UC Irvine Faculty Publications

Title

Greenhouse effect of chlorofluorocarbons and other trace gases

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1v81x5g3>

Journal Journal of Geophysical Research, 94(D13)

ISSN 0148-0227

Authors

Hansen, James Lacis, Andrew Prather, Michael

Publication Date 1989

DOI 10.1029/JD094iD13p16417

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, availalbe at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Greenhouse Effect of Chlorofluorocarbons and Other Trace Gases

JAMES HANSEN, ANDREW LACIS, AND MICHAEL PRATHER

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Institute for Space Studies, New York

We compare the radiative (greenhouse) forcing of the climate system due to changes of atmospheric chlorofluorocarbons and other trace gases. We find that CFCs, defined to include chlorofluorocarbons, chlorocarbons, and fluorocarbons, now provide about one-quarter of current annual increases in anthropogenic greenhouse climate forcing. If the growth rates of CFC production in the early 1970s had continued to the present, current annual growth of climate forcing due to CFCs would exceed that due to CO₂.

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been realized for more than a century [Tyndall, 1861] that if it were not for the presence of infrared-absorbing (greenhouse) gases in the Earth's atmosphere, mainly H₂O and CO₂, the Earth's surface would be several tens of degrees **Celsius colder than it is. The possibility of global climate** change due to anthropogenic and natural variations of CO₂ has **been debated increasingly during the past century. Ramanathan [1975] fundamentally altered the greenhouse issue when he showed that CFCs, entirely man-made, also cause a significant greenhouse effect. Wang et al. [1976] argued that** a broad range of other trace gases, including CH₄ and N₂O, **are also contributing to greenhouse changes. Lacis et al. [1981]** showed that the combined measured changes of CFCs, CH₄ and N₂O in the period 1970–1980 caused a greenhouse climate forcing in the range 50-100% of that for the CO₂ change in **the same period. Ramanathan et al. [1985] argued that during the next several decades the net greenhouse forcing for** projected changes of trace gases other than CO₂ would exceed the greenhouse forcing due to projected CO₂ growth.

We calculate the contribution of CFCs to the increase in greenhouse climate forcing over the decade of the 1980s, comparing it with the greenhouse forcing by CO₂ and other **trace gases. The result shows that CFCs have grown to be a large fraction of current increases in greenhouse climate forcing. But this comparison also points out the importance of the sharp reduction in the growth of CFC production that occurred in 1974, illustrating the great impact that a combination of an environmentally aroused public, government action, and worldwide economics can have on the greenhouse forcing of climate. Of course this break in production trends occurred mainly because of concern that CFCs may destroy stratospheric ozone, not because of the greenhouse issue.**

2. CALCULATIONS FOR CFCs

Estimated growth rates and abundances of CFCs are listed in Table 1, based mainly on the observed trends reported by the International Ozone Trends Panel [Watson et al. 1988] and by Ramanathan et al. [1985]. We calculate the climate forcing, ΔT_{α} , due to the changes of each of the gases. Here, ΔT_{α} is the surface temperature change at equilibrium (T- ∞) with no **dimate feedbacks included (i.e., the surface albedo, absolute water vapor amount, atmospheric lapse rate, and all other**

Paper number 89JD01541.

parameters are held fixed), computed with a one-dimensional radiative-convective climate model [Lacis et al., 1981]. Spectral intervals for the thermal infrared region are of the width 50 cm 'l. Overlapping absorption by different gases within each spectral interval is approximated as uncorrelated, i.e., the net transmission is based on the sum of all products of individual (k-distribution) transmissions. Absorption by CFCs is assumed to be optically thin, so that CFC absorption can be combined linearly with the k-distribution of the other atmospheric gases and cloud particles.

The full climate response at equilibrium is 2-4 times larger than ΔT_0 if global climate sensitivity for doubled CO_2 is in the **range 2.5øC to 5øC, as estimated with current global climate models (GCMs). The time required to approach the equilibrium response is estimated to be at least several decades because of the large thermal inertia of the global ocean [Dickinson, 1986; Wigley and Schlesinger, 1985; Hansen et al., 1985].**

Uncertainties in the decadal changes of trace gas abundances are typically less than or of the order of 10% for the major species. Additional error in the net CFC climate forcing is due to uncertainties in infrared absorption data. Band strength measurements for the two principal CFC greenhouse gases, CFC-11 and CFC-12, appear to be reproducible within about 10%. But band strengths of other CFCs, most importantly CFC-22 and CFC-113, are more Recent measurements (D. Fisher, private **communication, 1989) show band strengths for CFC-22 that are a factor of 2.5 larger than the unpublished values used by Ramanathan et al. [1985] and for CFC-113 35% smaller than** the band strengths of Rogers and Stephens [1988]. **uncertainty in the total CFC climate forcing due to inaccuracies of the absorption coefficient data thus is at least of the order of 10%. Because the uncertainties for individual gases are so large, we have included in Table 1 the band strengths which we used for each gas. This allows our results to be scaled as more accurate absorption data become available, because the absorption bands are generally weak and thus in a linear regime.**

Figure 1 summarizes the results for the radiative forcing of the climate system due to increases of atmospheric CFCs in the 1980s. CFC-12 and CFC-11 account for two-thirds of the CFC climate forcing added in the 1980s. However, CFC-113 and CFC-22, which are growing more rapidly (Table 1), are approaching the magnitude of the CFC-12 and CFC-11 climate forcings. The climate forcings by the other CFCs are individually an order of magnitude smaller (Table 1), but their combined effect is not negligible (Figure 1).

This paper is not subject to U.S. copyright. Published in 1989 by **the American Geophysical Union.**

	ΔT_o , ^o C	Growth Rate, $%$ /уг	Estimated Abundance, pptv 1980	1990	Band Strength, -2 cm^{-2} atm ⁻¹ stp	Remarks	
		4.6					
CCI_2F_2 (CFC-12)	0.0143		297	468	3642	a.	- S
CCI_3F (CFC-11)	0.0067	4.7	173	275	2782	a,	-S
CCl_2FCClF_2 (CFC-113)	0.0057	13.3	20	70	5315	a.	R
CHCIF ₂ (CFC-22)	0.0034	8.2	50	110	2627	a.	F
$CF4$ (CFC-14)	0.0009	2.5	70	90	4640	b.	S
CCl ₄	0.0007	1.5	100	115	1437	a.	P
$CCIF2CCIF2 (CFC-114)$	0.0004	5.8	4	7	6570	a.	R
$CF3Cl$ (CFC-13)	0.0004	4.7	7	11	4452	b.	S
$CF3CF2Cl$ (CFC-115)	0.0003	9.0	$\overline{2}$	5		a.	x
CH_3CO_3	0.0002	4.4	110	170		a.	Y
CH ₂ Cl ₂	0.0002	3.9	30	44	583	b,	P
CH ₂ CICH ₂ CI	0.0002	2.4	30	38		b,	z
CF_3Br	0.0002	18.2	0.6	3.2	4144	а.	- P
C_2F_6 (CFC-116)	0.0001	3.2	4	5.5	4861	b,	$\mathbf P$
CHCl ₃	0.0001	$2.2\,$	10	12.5	1149	b,	- P
Sum	0.0338						

TABLE 1. Global Mean Radiative Forcing of the Climate System (ΔT_{o}) **due to Estimated Changes of Several Trace Gases During the 1980's.***

***CFC growth rates are based on (a) data of the International Ozone Trends Panel as summarized by Watson et al. [1988] and (b) on Ramanathan et al. [1985] estimates. Abundances are in parts per trillion by volume. Absorption data used to** compute ΔT_{0} were obtained from the following sources or assumptions indicated under the Remarks column: S [Smith et **al., 1985], R [Rogers and Stephens, 1988], F (D. Fisher, private communication, 1989), P [Pugh and Rao, 1976], X (assumed** to absorb like $CFC-113$), Y (scaled from *Hummel and Reck* [1981]), Z (assumed to absorb like $CH₃CC1₃$).

The results tabulated in Table 1 and summarized in Figure 1 are useful in estimating the impact upon future greenhouse forcings of possible controls on CFC emissions. The Montreal Protocol restricts future growth in production of CFCs 11, 12, 113, 114, and 115, but as currently ratified it does not restrict growth in CFC-22 production. Given current growth rates

Fig. 1. Global mean radiative forcing of the climate system due to estimated changes of CFCs in the 1980s; ΔT_0 is the equilibrium **greenhouse warming computed with no climate feedbacks. The total response of the climate system to this forcing involves many feedback processes, some of which are poorly understood; current global climate models suggest that the global temperature response at equilibrium is about 2-4 times larger than the global radiative forcing.**

(Table 1), it seems likely that CFC-22 will become a substantial greenhouse gas in the future, especially if it becomes a major substitute for CFC-12 and CFC-11.

3. COMPARISON OF CFC AND OTHER GREENHOUSE FORCINGS

We compare the radiative forcing due to CFCs and other greenhouse gases, for the past few decades and the preceding century. Estimated abundances for the gases are given in Table 2. The estimates for recent decades are based mainly on atmospheric measurements, while the estimates of CO₂ and CH₄ for 1850 are based on measurements of air bubbles **trapped in polar ice as summarized by Oeschger and** Siegenthaler [1988], Cicerone and Oremland [1988], and Ehhalt **[1988]. The CFC history was estimated from production figures for reporting companies [Chemical Manufacturers Association, 1988] and the assumption of simple lifetimes (60 and 120 years for CFC-11 and CFC-12, respectively) with no lag between production and release. The calculated CFC atmospheric amounts are in good agreement with observations over the past several years (perhaps accidentally because failure to include production by nonreporting companies approximately compensates for the time lag between CFC production and release into the atmosphere).**

Figure 2 compares the decadal increments of climate forcing due to measured greenhouse gas changes since 1850. CO₂ is **the dominant greenhouse gas (62%) for the full period** 1850–1990, with CH₄ next at 21%, CFCs at 13%, and N₂O 4%. **The rate of increase of greenhouse forcing has accelerated in recent decades. Indeed, more than 50% of the total added**

TABLE 2. Trace Gas Abundances Used for Calculations Illustrated in Figures 2, 3, and 4, and the Computed Global Radiative Forcings $(\Delta T_0$ in ^oC) for the Four Time Intervals Between the Five Indicated Dates.^{*}

	1850		1960		1970	ΔT_{o}	1980		1990
		ΔT_{o}		ΔT_{o}				ΔT_{o}	
$CO2$ (ppm)	285	0.180	316.7	0.044	324.8	0.067	337.6	0.079	353.2
$CH4$ (ppb)	800	0.070	1300	0.016	1400	0.018	1555	0.017	1725
N_2O (ppb)	285	0.005	289	0.007	295	0.008	302	0.009	310
$CC1_2F_2$	$\bf{0}$	0.003	33	0.007	121	0.015	297	0.014	468
CCl ₃ F	0	0.011	11	0.003	60	0.007	173	0.007	275
Other CFCs	0	0.001	(mixed)	0.002	(mixed)	0.006	(mixed)	0.013	(mixed)
Total		0.260		0.079		0.121		0.139	

***Abundances of other CFCs are given in Table 1 for 1970 and 1980.**

forcing for the period 1850-1990 has been added during the last 30 years.

The increase of CFC forcing in the 1980s represents about one-quarter of the total growth in radiative forcing by trace gases (Figure 3). Indeed the CFC contribution to growth of the greenhouse forcing now clearly exceeds that of CH₄ and **N20 combined. The CFC component of the greenhouse forcing has continued to increase, despite slowdowns for CFC-11 and CFC-12, because of rapid growth of other CFCs, as shown in Figure 3.**

We note that there are at least two other changing greenhouse gases: ozone and stratospheric water vapor. Increases of tropospheric ozone and decreases of stratospheric ozone would both cause surface warming [Lacis et al., 1989]. However, the meager available observational data suggest that

the dominant O₃ change, for greenhouse purposes, is a **decrease in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere [Lacis et al., 1989], especially at high latitudes in both** hemispheres. Such an O₃ change would cause a negative **radiative forcing (cooling) which would partially offset the warming by other greenhouse gases, at these latitudes.**

Water vapor is the dominant greenhouse gas in the Earth's atmosphere. Change of tropospheric water vapor is considered to be a dimate feedback, rather than a dimate forcing, because the water vapor amount is determined by the climate, especially by the temperature. But stratospheric water vapor may be increasing as a result of the increasing abundance of atmospheric methane [Ehhalt, 1986]. This could cause a significant greenhouse warming; for example, a doubling of stratospheric water vapor from 3 to 6 ppm at altitudes between

Fig. 2. Global mean radiative forcing of the climate system due to estimated decadal changes of several trace gases. See Figure 1 for definition of ΔT_{0} .

Fig. 3. Relative contributions of different gases to the total estimated **greenhouse forcing that was added to the atmosphere during the 1980s.**

20 and 50 km would yield ΔT_o of approximately 0.06°C. **Because adequate measurements are not available, we do not include either ozone or stratospheric water vapor changes in our results. Based on the discussion above, it seems likely that these two omissions are partially offsetting.**

4. IMPACT OF PRODUCTION CONSTRAINTS IN 1970s

Prior to 1974 the production of CFC-12 and CFC-11 was increasing by about 8-11% per year (Figure 4a). After public concern was raised that CFCs may destroy stratospheric ozone there was a sharp break in the growth of CFC production, initially because consumers voluntarily turned to alternative products and, shortly thereafter, because legislatures in the United States, Canada and a few European countries passed laws restricting certain CFC uses.

If the growth rates of the early 1970s had continued to the present, the annual increments of greenhouse forcing by CFCs

would now exceed that for CO₂ (Figure 4b). Of course, some slowdown in CFC growth probably would have occurred due **to economic forces, even without environmental concerns. But it appears that CFC greenhouse forcing would now be much greater than it is, at least comparable in magnitude to that for CO2, if there had been no public concern about possible** adverse effects of continued CFC growth.

5. DISCUSSION

These results illustrate that CFCs are a large fraction, about one quarter, of current additions to greenhouse climate forcing. Thus, if the rate of release of CFCs to the atmosphere can be reduced, there is the potential for a major reduction in the rate of increase of the greenhouse effect. It should be noted that many of the proposed halocarbon substitutes for CFCs contain only fluorine, and, while posing no threat to the ozone layer, they may still contribute to an increased greenhouse effect. Assessment of the greenhouse impact of possible constraints on CFC emissions requires better data for the infrared absorption coefficients of various CFCs. These data are needed especially for compounds, such as CFC-22, which may be substituted for other CFCs.

If the growth of CFC production in the early 1970s had continued to date, the CFCs would now cause a much larger greenhouse effect, greater than that for CO₂. This illustrates **that growth trends of greenhouse forcing are not inevitable; in this example decisions of consumers and legislators had a major impact on global climate forcing. This example also shows that, even if emissions are not eliminated, there is eventually agreat difference between the greenhouse forcing with continued rapid growth of emissions and the greenhouse forcing with more constant emission rates. Of course, this** conclusion applies for CO₂ emissions as well as CFCs. Trace **gas scenarios that reach a greenhouse forcing equivalent to a** doubling of atmospheric CO₂ in about 40 years, such as those **of Rarnanathan et al. [1985] and scenario A of Hansen et al. [1988], are based on an assumption of continued exponential growth. If rapid growth of emissions can be avoided, the**

Fig. 4. (a) Annual production of CFC-11 and CFC-12 by reporting companies, as tabulated by the Chemical Manufacturers **Association, and extrapolations based on growth rates of the early 1970s. (b) Relative radiative forcing of the climate** system $(CO_2=1)$ due to increasing trace gases, including the CFC climate forcing which would have occurred for the **extrapolated CFC production in Figure 4a. Calculated radiative forcings are for smoothed current (1989) annual trace gas increments.**

actual climate forcing may be much less than in these "business as usual" scenarios.

Finally, we emphasize that full analysis of greenhouse climate forcing requires better measurements of other atmospheric constituents. The biggest uncertainties appear to arise from the lack of adequate monitoring of ozone in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, and of water vapor in the stratosphere.

REFERENCES

- **Chemical Manufacturers Association, Production, sales, and calculated release of CFC-11 and CFC-12 through 1987, CMA report, Washington, D.C., Dec. 12, 1988.**
- Cicerone, R. J., and R. S. Oremland, Biogeochemical aspects of **atmospheric methane, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 2, 299-327,1988.**
- **Dickinson, R. E., The climate system and modeling of future climate, in The Greenhouse Effect, Climate Change, and Ecosystems, edited by B. Bolin et al., pp. 206-270, John Wiley, New York, 1986.**
- Ehhalt, D. H., On the consequence of a tropospheric CH₄ increase **to the exospheric density, J. Geophys. Res., 91, 2843, 1986.**
- Ehhalt, D. H., How has the atmospheric concentration of CH₄ **changed, in The Changing Atmosphere, edited by F. S. Rowland and I. S. A. Isaksen, pp. 25-32, John Wiley, New York, 1988.**
- **Hansen, J., G. Russell, A. Lacis, I. Fung, D. Rind, and P. Stone, Climate response times: Dependence on climate sensitivity and ocean mixing, Science, 229, 857-859,1985.**
- **Hansen, J., I. Fung, A. Lacis, D. Rind, S. Lebedeff, R. Ruedy, G. Russell, and P. Stone, Global climate changes as forecast by Goddard Institute for Space Studies three-dimensional model, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 9341-9364,1988.**
- Hummel, J. R., and R. A. Reck, The direct thermal effects of CHCLF₂, CH₃CCI₃, and CH₂CI₂ on atmospheric surface **temperatures, Atmos. Environ., 15, 379-382,1981.**
- **I acis, A., J. Hansen, P. Lee, T. Mitchell, and S. Lebedeff, Greenhouse effect of trace gases, 1970-1980, Geophys. Res. Lett., 8, 1035-1038,1981.**
- **Lacis, A. A., D. J. Wuebbles, and J. A. Logan, Radiative forcing of global climate by changes in the vertical distribution of ozone, J. Geophys. Res. (submitted), 1989.**
- **Oeschger, H., and U. Siegenthaler, How has the atmospheric** concentration of CO₂ changed, in The Changing Atmosphere, edited **by F. S. Rowland and I. S. A. Isaksen, pp. 5-23, John Wiley, New York, 1988.**
- **Pugh, L. A., and K. N. Rao, Intensities from infrared spectra, Mol. Spectrosc.: Mod. Res., 2, 165-225,1976.**
- **Ramanathan, V., Greenhouse effect due to chlorofluorocarbons: Climate implications, Science, 190, 50-52,1975.**
- **Ramanathan, V., R. J. Cicerone, H. B. Singh, and J. T. Kiehl, Trace gas trends and their potential role in climate change, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 5547-5557,1985.**
- **Rogers, J. D., and R. D. Stephens, Absolute infrared intensities for** F-113 and F-114 and an assessment of their greenhouse warming **potential relative to other chlorofluorocarbons, Z Geophys. Res., 93, 2423-2428, 1988.**
- **Smith, M. A. H., C. P. Rinsland, B. Fridovich, and K. N. Rao, Intensitites and collision broadening parameters from infrared spectra, Mol. Spectrosc. Mod. Res., 3, 111-248, 1985.**
- **Tyndall, J., On the absorption and radiation of heat by gases and yapours, and on the physical connexion of radiation, absorption and conduction, Philos. Mag., Ser. 4, 22, 169-194and 273-285,1861.**
- **Wang, W. C., Y. L. Yung, A. A. Lacis, T. Mo, and J. E. Hansen, Greenhouse effects due to man-made perturbations of trace gases, Science, 194, 685-690,1976.**
- **Watson, R. T., M. J. Prather, and M. J. Kurylo, Present state of knowledge of the upper atmosphere 1988: An assessment report,** NASA Ref. Publ. 1208, 1988.
- **Wigley, T. M. L., and M. E. Schlesinger, Analytical solution for the** effect of increasing CO₂ on global mean temperature, Nature, 315, **649-652,1985.**

J. Hansen, A. Lacis, and M. Prather, NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, 2880 Broadway, New York, NY 10025.

> **(Received May 30, 1989; revised July 21, 1989; accepted July 21, 1989.)**