UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Fetal programming and Wilms tumor

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1w27x2f8

Journal

Pediatric Blood & Cancer, 66(1)

ISSN

1545-5009

Authors

Heck, Julia E He, Di Janzen, Carla et al.

Publication Date 2019

DOI

10.1002/pbc.27461

Peer reviewed

Fetal Programming and Wilms Tumor

Julia E Heck ^{1,2}, Di He¹, Carla Janzen ³, Noah Federman ⁴, Jorn Olsen ⁵, Beate Ritz ¹, Johnni Hansen ⁶

- 1. Department of Epidemiology, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, USA
- 2. Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Los Angeles, USA
- 3. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, USA
- 4. Department of Pediatrics, Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, USA
- 5. Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University, Denmark
- 6. Danish Cancer Society Research Center, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence: Julia E Heck Department of Epidemiology 650 Charles E. Young Drive, Box 951772 Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772 (Tel) +1 (310) 825-4254 Email: jeheck@ucla.edu

Key words: Nephroblastoma; Birth weight; Placenta; Body Size; Fetal Development

Abstract word count: 245 Main text word count: 3,342 Number of tables: 4 Number of figures: 1 Number of supplemental files: 6

Abbreviation	Full term
OR	Odds Ratio
CI	Confidence Interval
PLNR	Perilobar nephrogenic rests
ILNR	Intralobar nephrogenic rests
LGA	Large for gestational age
SGA	Small for gestational age
ICCC	International Classification of Childhood Cancer
ICD-O	International Classification of Diseases for Oncology
ICD	International Classification of Disease
BMI	Body mass index
Grb10	Growth Factor Receptor Bound Protein 10
IGF2	Insulin growth factor
IGF-1	Insulin-like growth factor-1
TNF-α	Tumor necrosis factor-α

Abbreviations key table:

Abstract

Background: The 'fetal programming' hypothesis has been evaluated in many adult diseases including cancer, but not for Wilms tumor. Wilms tumor has been related to high birthweight but little is known about other growth metrics such as a baby's birth length, ponderal index, or placenta size, which can shed additional light on growth patterns.

Methods: Cases of Wilms tumor (N=217) were taken from the Danish Cancer Registry, and controls (N=4,340) were randomly selected from the Population Register and matched to cases by sex and age. Linkage to the Medical Births Registry provided information on gestational factors and fetal growth measurements, while linkage to the Patient Register provided information on maternal and child health conditions.

Results: Despite having typically normal to higher birthweights, Wilms tumor cases had smaller placentas (\leq 540g; Odds Ratio (OR) = 4.24; 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 1.84, 9.78) and a lower placenta to birthweight ratio (OR =1.81; 95% CI 1.17, 2.82, per 1 SD decrease). Small placentas were more common among Wilms cases without congenital anomalies (OR = 6.43; 95% CI 1.95, 21.21). Wilms tumor cases had a higher prevalence of high birth weight (>4000 g; OR = 1.57; 95% CI 1.11, 2.22), birth length 55cm or longer (OR = 1.74; 95% CI 1.09, 2.78), and being large for gestational age (OR = 1.79; 95% CI 1.08, 2.96).

Conclusions: Our study corroborates earlier studies showing associations with high birthweight, and suggests associations between Wilms tumor and decreased placental size and low placenta-to-birthweight ratio.

Introduction

Increasing evidence points to in utero and early life exposures as important determinants of some aspects of health through the life course. This 'fetal programming' or 'fetal origins' hypothesis links antenatal factors, including xenobiotic exposures, stress, or diet to altered fetal development and later life health status via programming of biological and physiological changes. Applications exploring this hypothesis have suggested that markers of early growth including placental morphology and child's body size at birth are predictive of diseases later in life.¹⁻³

Wilms tumor (or nephroblastoma) is a malignant tumor arising from pluripotent embryonic kidney precursor cells or the metanephric mesenchyme, which comprises 95% of renal cancers diagnosed among children younger than 15 years of age.⁴ Most Wilms tumor is sporadic (98-99%),⁵ and in the United States incidence is highest in African-American (7.1 per million), followed by White (6.1 per million) children, with a lower incidence in Asian/Pacific Islander and Native American children (3.0 and 3.5 per million, respectively).⁶ Wilms tumor sometimes arises in kidneys with perilobar nephrogenic rests (PLNR) or intralobar nephrogenic rests (ILNR), representing differing stages of renal development, with INLR's representing an earlier stage of developmental disturbance.⁷ Wilms cases with INLR's are diagnosed at earlier ages (most often <3 years) compared to cases with PLNR's and are more common in males than in females.^{8,9}

Congenital anomalies and syndromes are reported in some children who develop Wilms tumor but make up a minority of all cases. The National Wilms Tumor Study Group reported that, among the most commonly reported co-occurring conditions, Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome is present in 1% of Wilms tumor cases, aniridia in <1%, and cryptorchidism and

hemihypertrophy each in 2.5% of cases.^{10,11} Suspected risk factors for Wilms tumor include maternal hypertension, exposure to pesticides, and parental exposure to hydrocarbon solvents; ^{12,13} but only a few purported risk factors have been studied in depth.

In part due to its relation with overgrowth syndromes, Wilms tumor is frequently related to high birthweight (>4000g). High birthweight occurs among cases with and without congenital anomalies,¹⁴ although Wilms tumors that occur in a kidney with ILNRs are associated with lower birthweight.⁸ Apart from birthweight, there have been few reports on the relation between fetal growth and Wilms tumor. Increases in risk with large for gestational age (LGA) were reported, while results for small for gestational age (SGA) were mixed.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Surprisingly for a cancer related to overgrowth, Wilms tumor was weakly related to low ponderal index in one study, while there was no association reported with either larger head circumference (\geq 39 cm) or longer birth length (\geq 54 cm).¹⁶

Early growth deviations may be important for later cancer risk but few published studies have examined a variety of growth metrics. An earlier Scandinavian study utilized some of the same cases as included in the present study, combining Danish data with other Registries in Norway and Sweden, ¹⁶ however the use of multiple Registries limited the number of variables available for pooling. The present study also adds 14 additional years of cancer data. The purpose of this study is to examine detailed metrics of fetal growth in relation to Wilms tumor risk.

Methods

Data from this study was derived from record-linkage of several national databases,¹⁹ with linkage occurring via the unique personal identification number assigned by the national

Central Population Registry (established 1968) and given to each person residing in Denmark; this register links parents to their children. We ascertained childhood cancer cases (age<20 years) listed in the Danish Cancer Registry.²⁰ Wilms tumor cases (N=217) were identified with International Classification of Childhood Cancer (ICCC-1 and ICCC-3) code 61 and International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O-1 and ICD-O-3) code 8960/3. Controls (N=4340) free of cancer at the date of their corresponding case's diagnosis, were matched (20:1 ratio) to cases on sex and year of birth and selected at random from the Central Population Register, as previously described.¹⁹ In order to have as complete information as possible from available Registers on gestational and parental information, study inclusion criteria were that all cases and controls were born in Denmark.

Family socioeconomic status information was taken from the Central Population Register and was based upon fathers' and mothers' job titles from income tax forms, with the family's socioeconomic status classified based on the higher of the two parent's job titles. Due to changing requirements on tax forms, job title was reported less frequently in the latter part of the study period. Wilms tumor incidence is highest in African and European-origin populations,⁶ however we do not have information on the ethnic background of families, hence we examined risk based on a proxy of ethnic heritage: whether parents were born in Denmark, vs. other European countries or North America, vs. other nations; low immigration prior to the 1980's suggests that most children in our study of African or Asian heritage would have had parents born outside of Denmark.²¹ Small numbers precluded examination of risk among children with African-origin parents separately, as only one case had an African-born parent.

The present analysis was limited to children with data available from the Medical Births Registry (computerized 1973+) which provided information on fetal growth and other gestational

factors.²² This Register's data were collected from midwives until 1996, after which time most variables were automatically taken from the Hospital Register, with some exceptions including smoking, which continued to be reported by midwives. Not all variables were collected in each year. We ascertained gestational age from this register, using multiple imputation to estimate this variable when it was missing, using a model which included birthweight, sex, maternal smoking, placenta weight, presence of anomalies, birth length, place of birth, complicated or normal delivery, and interventions during pregnancy. In a validation analysis of imputed gestational ages, we compared imputed gestational ages and actual gestational ages by applying our imputation model to the data for children where gestational age was non-missing. We observed that the predicted gestational age (days) averaged 277.0 days (s.d., 7.4) while the actual gestational age in days was 277.2 (s.d. 13.0); r=0.62. Very preterm children (<30 weeks gestation) were less likely to have gestation lengths predicted with accuracy. Imputation was only done for gestational age, with no other variables imputed.

After a literature review of the fetal programming hypothesis and chronic disease outcomes, we decided *a priori* to include all available fetal and placenta growth variables in analyses. We additionally decided *a priori* to report on maternal height, weight, and body mass index (BMI) since these maternal factors impact fetal growth, and only a limited literature has examined maternal body size in relation to cancers in children. We defined ponderal index as fetal weight (kg) / fetal length (cm)³. Placenta to birthweight ratio was derived by dividing the placental weight by birthweight, while head to abdominal circumference ratio was derived by dividing the soften birth" was reported by midwives and was intended to indicate risk factors present for the woman which suggested that the woman needed to give birth at a specialized obstetric

department. Size at gestation was considered as small if birth weight was less than the 10th percentile and as large if greater than 90th percentile of the birthweight standards for a given gestational age. The 10th and the 90th percentile values were obtained for each gestational week (20-45 weeks) by child's sex and birth year based on the total singleton live births in Denmark between 1981 and 2004, using a method described previously.²³

Information on mother's and child's hospitalized diseases was taken from the National Patient Register (1977+), which utilized International Classification of Disease version 8 (ICD-8) codes until 1993, and an extended version of ICD-10 thereafter. Procedure codes utilized a Nordic coding system from 1977-1995, and an extended version of ICD-10 thereafter.²⁴ We searched this Register for diagnoses of congenital malformations in the child. Congenital malformations were identified with ICD-8 codes (740xx-759xx) and ICD-10 codes (Q00-Q99) as listed in the Patient Register or the Medical Births Registry, or when midwives identified the children at birth as having any congenital anomaly. Because preliminary data suggested some evidence of altered placenta size among cases, we additionally examined the prevalence of maternal conditions that are related to altered placenta size.^{25,26} ICD-8 and ICD-10 codes used to identify these conditions are listed in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2. To ascertain mothers with these conditions, we only included families where at least one full trimester of the index pregnancy occurred after the establishment of the National Patient Register in 1977.

We categorized variables into quantiles based on the distribution in controls, and sometimes collapsed stratum that had small numbers of cases. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were derived via conditional logistic regression. We estimated adjusted effects only when there were more than 5 cases in a category. Consideration of factors for adjustment was based on literature review as well as examination of the data. Because the placenta grows in

tandem with the fetus across pregnancy, in adjusted analyses examining placental weight, we adjusted for birthweight (continuous); apart from analyses of placental weight, we did not adjust for birthweight as it may be an intermediate in the cancer pathway.²⁷ All other analyses were adjusted for gestational age (continuous). We considered additional adjustment for Wilms tumor risk factors ¹² and factors related to infant and placenta size,^{26,28} including maternal and paternal age, area of residence, maternal smoking, maternal risky behavior before birth, maternal body mass index (BMI), parity, birth order, and parental place of birth, but the inclusion of these variables did not change effect estimates by >10% and were left out of final models.

In sensitivity analyses, we examined the associations with fetal growth metrics among children without congenital anomalies (Supplementary Table S3). We additionally conducted stratified results by age at diagnosis (± 3 years) as shown in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5; children diagnosed at a younger age are more likely to have multifocal tumors, bilateral disease, genitourinary anomalies, aniridia, and ILNR, while children diagnosed at an older age have a higher prevalence of hemihypertrophy and PLNR.^{8,29} Other studies have shown variation in Wilms tumor and other pediatric cancer risk factors by age at diagnosis.^{16,18,30,31} We additionally conducted sensitivity analyses to examine results when multiple imputation was not used for gestational age, but findings did not change (Supplemental Table S6).

Results

Demographic and maternal characteristics are shown in Table 1. There was little evidence that parental age or socioeconomic status was related to Wilms tumor. A slightly elevated risk was seen among children born in small towns in Denmark, compared with cities or rural areas.

Descriptive statistics of maternal and child health are shown in Table 2. The mothers of Wilms tumor cases were more often of neutral weight compared with control mothers, with none being underweight, and a smaller proportion at the highest weight or BMI. Wilms tumor cases had a threefold increase in the risk of congenital anomalies compared with controls, and were twice as likely to have a 1-minute Apgar score less than 7. The effect estimate for 1-minute Apgar score did not change appreciably after adjustment for presence of a congenital anomaly (OR = 1.86; 95% CI 0.90, 3.82) or for gestational age (OR = 1.93; 95% CI 0.93, 3.99).

In multivariate analyses, Wilms tumor cases were more likely to be high birthweight and LGA, and greater than 55 cm in length at birth (Table 3). We observed smaller placenta size among cases, both before and after adjustment for birthweight (Figure 1). With adjustment for gestational age but not birthweight, results were similar to crude estimates (OR=2.94, 95% CI 1.32-6.56 for placenta size \leq 540 g). In sensitivity analyses, the risk of placenta size \leq 540 g was slightly attenuated after additional adjustment for maternal age (OR =3.32; 95% CI1.48, 7.47). After stratification by sex, small placentas were seen with both male and female infants, but the effect estimate was higher for females (OR = 5.06; 95% CI 1.59, 16.11, with adjustment for birthweight) than males (OR = 1.88; 95% CI 0.57, 6.18).

When we examined maternal health conditions related to altered placenta size, the sample sizes for specific conditions were generally small, limiting our ability to draw conclusions (Table 4). A slightly larger proportion of case than control mothers had preeclampsia or hypertension, while few cases had gestational or chronic diabetes.

After the exclusion of cases with congenital anomalies (Supplementary Table S3) the risk for Wilms tumor with small placenta increased (adjusted OR = 6.43; 95% CI 1.95, 21.21). Among the cases without anomalies, elevated risk for Wilms was still seen among children at high birthweight (OR=1.53; 95% CI 1.01, 2.31), larger abdominal circumference (OR=1.16; 95% CI 1.00, 1.35, for each 1 cm increase), lower head circumference to abdominal circumference ratio (OR=1.53; 95% CI 1.07, 2.18), and lower placenta-to-birthweight ratio (OR=2.16; 95% CI 1.23, 3.79).

When we stratified by age at diagnosis, we were hampered by small numbers across categories. Nonetheless there was evidence that children diagnosed younger than age 3 had elevated risk for Wilms tumor with lower placenta-to-birthweight ratio (OR = 1.94; 95% CI 1.12, 3.36; Supplementary Table S4) while statistical power was limited to examine many outcomes due to the small number of children (N=100). Children ages 3 and older had more evidence of metrics associated with overgrowth syndromes (Supplementary Table S5), including high birthweight, longer birth length (\geq 55cm; OR = 2.16; 95% CI 1.19, 3.92), and a larger proportion had a large placenta (\geq 800 g; 27.6% vs. 14.9% among younger children). However, the older children also had a more sharply increased risk with a small placenta (OR = 9.83; 95% CI 1.94, 49.67).

Discussion

In this population-based study, we observed that Wilms tumor cases were often born with smaller placentas and were more likely to have lower placenta-to-birthweight ratio compared to control children. Effect estimates were higher after the exclusion of cases with congenital anomalies; Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome occurs with larger placentas.³²⁻³⁴ Typically, the placenta grows in tandem with the fetus across pregnancy, and at-term placental and fetal weights are positively correlated.³⁵ Unusually low placenta-to-birthweight ratios are related to a higher risk of stillbirth and other negative perinatal outcomes, such as cerebral palsy.^{28,36}

Although we did not have more detailed placental metrics, smaller placenta weight typically indicates a smaller chorionic plate area, allowing for fewer maternal spiral arteries, and a thinner chorionic disk, with a less developed area for villous exchange.³⁷

Generally, maternally expressed genes in the placenta lower fetus and placenta size and paternally expressed genes increase both, yet fetal growth is also mediated by changes in placental structure. When fetal weight is much larger than placental weight in Growth Factor Receptor Bound Protein 10 (*Grb10*) knockout mice, the labyrinthine trophoblast, the main surface for maternal-fetal nutrient transfer, accounts for a larger proportion of placental area compared to wild type. Insulin growth factor (*IGF2*) appears to regulate the number, size, and types of cells present in the placenta, and is expressed in all placental tissue, while the *IGF2PO* promoter is exclusive to the labyrinthine trophoblast, and was associated with reduced placenta size relative to the fetus in mice.³⁸ Placental *IGF2* expression may be mediated by maternal or fetal endocrinology, particularly glucocorticoids which can influence DNA methylation and alter placental nutrient transfer. Whether these processes are altered within the placentas of Wilms tumor cases is unknown, however loss of imprinting of *IGF2*, or aberrant activation of the normally silent maternally inherited allele, is common among PNLR Wilms tumors.³⁹

Placental growth is influenced by maternal pre-pregnancy weight, dietary intake in pregnancy, and exercise, perhaps mediated through circulating levels of insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), leptin, and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α).^{40,41} The constituents of diet matter, as higher carbohydrate intake in early pregnancy and low dairy protein intake in late pregnancy both can lower placental weight. However the mother's pre-pregnancy (including early life) nutrient stores may be even more relevant to placenta growth, as they can compensate for poorer pregnancy nutrition.^{38,41} There are few studies on maternal nutrition and Wilms tumor risk.

Although we had anthropomorphic information on only a subsample of the mothers in our study, we observed that Wilms tumor mothers were typically of healthy weights, as was reported elsewhere.⁴² In contrast, a record-linkage study in New York reported a 41% increase in Wilms with maternal pre-pregnancy weight above 175 lb.¹⁸ Wilms tumor has not been clearly related to gestational weight gain,^{17,18,42} although 40+ lbs of weight gain was associated with Wilms diagnosed in children younger than 2 years of age (OR=1.70).¹⁸ Yet it is not possible to assess the importance of gestational weight gain without knowing pre-pregnancy weight and BMI. Interestingly, in two separate locations (US and Ontario) incidence of Wilms decreased after the mid-1990s implementation of programs to fortify cereal grains with folic acid,^{43,44} and a German study reported a lower risk with maternal intake of vitamins, iron and/or folate supplements in pregnancy (OR = 0.66).⁴⁵ Wilms tumor was also reported in children with spina bifida.^{46,47} These findings are intriguing given the important role that folic acid may play in early placental development, as it reportedly increases extravillous trophoblast invasion, matrix metalloproteinase secretion, and angiogenesis.⁴⁸

Smaller placenta size is associated with several risk factors that have been previously reported with Wilms tumor. This study and others reported increases in risk for Wilms with low Apgar scores;^{18,49} low Apgar score is also positively associated with small placenta and low placenta-to-birthweight ratio.^{50,51} Similarly, meconium staining, pregnancy hypertension, and fetal hydrops have been independently reported with both Wilms tumor and lower placenta weight.^{12,18,25,26,51-54} Also notable is the lower mean placenta weight of African-American infants (vs. White),²⁵ given that Wilms tumor has the highest incidence in African-Americans.⁶ However this pattern is not entirely consistent: while maternal diabetes is inversely related to low placenta weight,²⁶ it has been both positively and negatively associated with Wilms tumor.^{18,42,53}

Although placental weight may be a crude proxy for its function, placental size is correlated with birth size, and the ratio between placental weight and birth weight (sometimes called the fetoplacental ratio) may also be an indicator for placental efficiency.⁵⁵ However the use of this ratio has also been criticized, both because the average ratio changes across pregnancy, and also because a "normal" ratio can reflect birth weight and placental weight that are both normal, both low, or both high.⁵⁰ Wilms tumor cases are typically of higher birthweight,¹⁴ thus in analyses of placenta weight, when we adjusted for birthweight, effect estimates increased. Nonetheless, placenta weight was related to Wilms tumor also in crude analyses, and after adjustment only for gestational age.

Presuming our findings are corroborated elsewhere, it should not be assumed that a smaller placenta is a direct causal agent of Wilms tumor. Placental changes may be secondary to programming within the fetus, or the smaller placenta may be a response to the same insult that caused the cancer. Alternatively, a smaller, weaker placenta may be less able to act as a barrier, allowing the fetus to be exposed to greater levels of xenobiotics or other agents. Other mechanisms are also possible, such as altered placenta secretions including pro-inflammatory cytokines.⁵⁶

With regards to other fetal growth metrics, we corroborated earlier reports of increases in risk with high birthweight and large for gestational age.^{12,15-18} While an earlier study found no association with longer birth length,¹⁶ that study examined the risk from lengths \geq 54 cm, whereas we found increased risk for Wilms tumor only among children 55 cm or more.

Our study was limited by a lack of information on Wilms tumor subtypes. We lacked detailed information on placenta dimensions which may shed additional light on this association. While adjustment for gestational age might create a collider stratification bias, adjusted findings

were similar to crude results. Yet our study adds to the literature on the importance of the placenta in relation to health outcomes,¹ and suggests fetal programming may impact Wilms tumor risk. In combination with the substantial literature on fetal programming and adult health, this suggests a need for larger-scale recording of fetal and placental growth metrics in vital statistics records.

Conflict of Interest statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health (R21CA175959, R03ES021643). Dr. Heck is supported by a grant from Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (Grant 17-01882). The authors would like to thank Zeyan Liew, Yongfu Yu, and Clinton Hall for their assistance with the manuscript.

References

- 1. Barker DJ, Thornburg KL, Osmond C, et al. The prenatal origins of lung cancer. II. The placenta. *Am J Hum Biol.* 2010;22:512-516.
- 2. Barker DJ, Osmond C, Thornburg KL, et al. The shape of the placental surface at birth and colorectal cancer in later life. *Am J Hum Biol.* 2013;25:566-568.
- 3. Barker DJ, Osmond C, Thornburg KL, et al. The intrauterine origins of Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2013;37:321-323.
- 4. Ries LA, Smith MA, Gurney JG, et al. Cancer incidence and survival among children and adolescents: United States SEER program 1975-1995. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute; 1999. Report.
- 5. Ruteshouser EC, Huff V. Familial Wilms tumor. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet*. 2004;129C:29-34.
- 6. United States Cancer Statistics: 1998-2012, WONDER On-line database. United States Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and National Cancer Institute; 2016.
- 7. Beckwith JB, Kiviat NB, Bonadio JF. Nephrogenic rests, nephroblastomatosis, and the pathogenesis of Wilms' tumor. *Pediatr Pathol*. 1990;10:1-36.
- 8. Breslow NE, Beckwith JB, Perlman EJ, et al. Age distributions, birth weights, nephrogenic rests, and heterogeneity in the pathogenesis of Wilms tumor. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2006;47:260-267.
- 9. Fukuzawa R, Breslow NE, Morison IM, et al. Epigenetic differences between Wilms' tumours in white and east-Asian children. *Lancet*. 2004;363:446-451.
- 10. Porteus MH, Narkool P, Neuberg D, et al. Characteristics and outcome of children with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and Wilms' tumor: a report from the National Wilms Tumor Study Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000;18:2026-2031.
- 11. Breslow NE, Beckwith JB. Epidemiological features of Wilms' tumor: results of the National Wilms' Tumor Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 1982;68:429-436.
- 12. Chu A, Heck JE, Ribeiro KB, et al. Wilms' tumour: a systematic review of risk factors and meta-analysis. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2010;24:449-469.
- 13. Shrestha A, Ritz B, Wilhelm M, et al. Prenatal exposure to air toxics and risk of Wilms' tumor in 0- to 5-year-old children. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2014;56:573-578.
- 14. Leisenring WM, Breslow NE, Evans IE, et al. Increased birth weights of National Wilms' Tumor Study patients suggest a growth factor excess. *Cancer Res.* 1994;54:4680-4683.
- 15. Schuz J, Forman MR. Birthweight by gestational age and childhood cancer. *Canc Cause Contr.* 2007;18:655-663.
- 16. Bjorge T, Sorensen HT, Grotmol T, et al. Fetal growth and childhood cancer: a population-based study. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132:e1265-1275.
- 17. Puumala SE, Soler JT, Johnson KJ, et al. Birth characteristics and Wilms tumor in Minnesota. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;122:1368-1373.
- 18. McLaughlin CC. Perinatal risk factors for childhood cancer. Albany, NY: University at Albany, State University of New York; 2005. 303 pages p.
- 19. Contreras ZA, Hansen J, Ritz B, et al. Parental age and childhood cancer risk: A Danish population-based registry study. *Cancer Epidemiol.* 2017;49:202-215.

- 20. Gjerstorff ML. The Danish Cancer Registry. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011;39:42-45.
- 21. Statistics Denmark. Befolkningen i 150 år. 2000.
- 22. Knudsen LB, Olsen J. The Danish Medical Birth Registry. *Dan Med Bull*. 1998;45:320-323.
- 23. Alexander GR, Himes JH, Kaufman RB, et al. A United States national reference for fetal growth. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1996;87:163-168.
- 24. Schmidt M, Schmidt SA, Sandegaard JL, et al. The Danish National Patient Registry: a review of content, data quality, and research potential. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2015;7:449-490.
- 25. Baptiste-Roberts K, Salafia CM, Nicholson WK, et al. Maternal risk factors for abnormal placental growth: the national collaborative perinatal project. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2008;8:44.
- 26. McNamara H, Hutcheon JA, Platt RW, et al. Risk factors for high and low placental weight. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2014;28:97-105.
- 27. VanderWeele TJ, Mumford SL, Schisterman EF. Conditioning on intermediates in perinatal epidemiology. *Epidemiology*. 2012;23:1-9.
- 28. Teng J, Chang T, Reyes C, et al. Placental weight and neurologic outcome in the infant: a review. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2012;25:2082-2087.
- 29. Breslow N, Beckwith JB, Ciol M, et al. Age distribution of Wilms' tumor: report from the National Wilms' Tumor Study. *Cancer Res.* 1988;48:1653-1657.
- 30. Schmidt LS, Schuz J, Lahteenmaki P, et al. Fetal growth, preterm birth, neonatal stress and risk for CNS tumors in children: a Nordic population- and register-based case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2010;19:1042-1052.
- 31. O'Neill KA, Murphy MF, Bunch KJ, et al. Infant birthweight and risk of childhood cancer: international population-based case control studies of 40 000 cases. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44:153-168.
- 32. Armes JE, McGown I, Williams M, et al. The placenta in Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome: genotype-phenotype associations, excessive extravillous trophoblast and placental mesenchymal dysplasia. *Pathology (Phila)*. 2012;44:519-527.
- 33. Reish O, Lerer I, Amiel A, et al. Wiedemann-Beckwith syndrome: further prenatal characterization of the condition. *Am J Med Genet*. 2002;107:209-213.
- 34. Lage JM. Placentomegaly with massive hydrops of placental stem villi, diploid DNA content, and fetal omphaloceles: possible association with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Hum Pathol.* 1991;22:591-597.
- 35. Molteni RA, Stys SJ, Battaglia FC. Relationship of fetal and placental weight in human beings: fetal/placental weight ratios at various gestational ages and birth weight distributions. *J Reprod Med.* 1978;21:327-334.
- 36. Haavaldsen C, Samuelsen SO, Eskild A. Fetal death and placental weight/birthweight ratio: a population study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2013;92:583-590.
- 37. Salafia CM, Zhang J, Miller RK, et al. Placental growth patterns affect birth weight for given placental weight. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol.* 2007;79:281-288.

- 38. Fowden AL, Sibley C, Reik W, et al. Imprinted genes, placental development and fetal growth. *Horm Res.* 2006;65 Suppl 3:50-58.
- 39. Bjornsson HT, Brown LJ, Fallin MD, et al. Epigenetic specificity of loss of imprinting of the IGF2 gene in Wilms tumors. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2007;99:1270-1273.
- 40. Belkacemi L, Nelson DM, Desai M, et al. Maternal undernutrition influences placental-fetal development. *Biol Reprod*. 2010;83:325-331.
- 41. Robinson JS, Hartwich KM, Walker SK, et al. Early influences on embryonic and placental growth. *Acta Paediatr Suppl*. 1997;423:159-163; discussion 164.
- 42. Contreras ZA, Ritz B, Virk J, et al. Maternal pre-pregnancy and gestational diabetes, obesity, gestational weight gain, and risk of cancer in young children: a population-based study in California. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2016;27:1273-1285.
- 43. Linabery AM, Johnson KJ, Ross JA. Childhood cancer incidence trends in association with US folic acid fortification (1986-2008). *Pediatrics*. 2012;129:1125-1133.
- 44. Grupp SG, Greenberg ML, Ray JG, et al. Pediatric cancer rates after universal folic acid flour fortification in Ontario. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2011;51:60-65.
- 45. Schuz J, Weihkopf T, Kaatsch P. Medication use during pregnancy and the risk of childhood cancer in the offspring. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2007;166:433-441.
- 46. Tsai J, Kaye WE, Bove FJ. Wilms' tumor and exposures to residential and occupational hazardous chemicals. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2006;209:57-64.
- 47. Narod SA, Hawkins MM, Robertson CM, et al. Congenital anomalies and childhood cancer in Great Britain. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1997;60:474-485.
- 48. Williams PJ, Bulmer JN, Innes BA, et al. Possible roles for folic acid in the regulation of trophoblast invasion and placental development in normal early human pregnancy. *Biol Reprod.* 2011;84:1148-1153.
- 49. Heuch JM, Heuch I, Kvale G. Birth characteristics and risk of Wilms' tumour: a nationwide prospective study in Norway. *Br J Cancer*. 1996;74:1148-1151.
- 50. Hutcheon JA, McNamara H, Platt RW, et al. Placental weight for gestational age and adverse perinatal outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;119:1251-1258.
- 51. Bonds DR, Gabbe SG, Kumar S, et al. Fetal weight/placental weight ratio and perinatal outcome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 1984;149:195-200.
- 52. Bunin GR, Kramer S, Marrero O, et al. Gestational risk factors for Wilms' tumor: results of a case-control study. *Cancer Res.* 1987;47:2972-2977.
- 53. Daniels JL, Pan IJ, Olshan AF, et al. Obstetric history and birth characteristics and Wilms tumor: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2008;19:1103-1110.
- 54. Vadeyar S, Ramsay M, James D, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of congenital Wilms' tumor (nephroblastoma) presenting as fetal hydrops. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;16:80-83.
- 55. Salafia CM, Charles AK, Maas EM. Placenta and fetal growth restriction. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;49:236-256.
- 56. Burton GJ, Fowden AL, Thornburg KL. Placental Origins of Chronic Disease. *Physiol Rev.* 2016;96:1509-1565.

	Cases ((N=217)	Control	s (N=4340)	
	Mean (SD)	N (%)	Mean (SD)	N (%)	Crude OR (95% CI)
Maternal age					
Mean (SD)	28.3 (4.8)		28.3 (5.0)		
<25		43 (19.8)		1050 (24.2)	Referent
25-29		91 (41.9)		1584 (36.5)	1.41 (0.97, 2.05)
30-34		58 (26.7)		1165 (26.8)	1.23 (0.81, 1.87)
35-39		22 (10.1)		477 (11.0)	1.14 (0.66, 1.97)
40+		3 (1.4)		64 (1.5)	1.16 (0.34, 3.90)
Paternal age					
Mean (SD)	31.2 (5.9)		31.0 (5.9)		
<25		22 (10.1)		538 (12.5)	Referent
25-29		67 (30.9)		1342 (31.1)	1.23 (0.75, 2.01)
30-34		72 (33.2)		1352 (31.3)	1.31 (0.80, 2.16)
35-39		41 (18.9)		728 (16.9)	1.40 (0.81, 2.40)
40+		15 (6.9)		358 (8.3)	1.04 (0.52, 2.06)
Missing		0		22	
Area of residence at birth					
Urban		65 (30.0)		1454 (33.5)	Referent
Small town		80 (36.9)		1340 (30.9)	1.35 (0.96, 1.89)
Rural		72 (33.2)		1546 (35.6)	1.04 (0.74, 1.47)
Mother's country of birth		()			
Denmark		206 (94.9)		3973 (91.9)	Referent
Other Europe or N America		6 (2.8)		156 (3.6)	0.74 (0.32, 1.69)
Other		5 (2.3)		196 (4.5)	0.49 (0.20, 1.20)
Missing		0		15	
Father's country of birth					
Denmark		204 (94.4)		3933 (91.3)	Referent
Other Europe or N America		9 (4.2)		153 (3.6)	1.13 (0.57, 2.25)
Other		3 (1.4)		222 (5.2)	0.26 (0.08, 0.81)
Missing		1		32	(,)
Family socioeconomic status (b	based on job ti	tles)			
Unskilled worker		19 (12.8)		389 (13.7)	0.77 (0.42, 1.42)
Skilled worker		29 (19.5)		488 (17.1)	0.96 (0.55, 1.68)
Low salaried		20 (13.4)		543 (19.1)	0.61 (0.33, 1.10)
High salaried		54 (36.2)		971 (34.1)	0.89 (0.55, 1.44)
Academics and managers		27(18.1)		455 (16.0)	Referent
Missing		68		1494	
Number of siblings in the house	ehold before d	iagnosis		•	
0		57 (26.3)		1180 (27.2)	Referent
1		103 (47.5)		2066 (47.6)	1.04 (0.73, 1.46)
2		46 (21.2)		803 (18.5)	1.19 (0.79, 1.80)
- 3 or more		11(51)		291 (6 7)	0.79(0.40, 1.53)

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics of Wilms tumor cases and controls

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	TABLE 2 Maternal and child I	health and risk of	Wilms tumor	C	$C_{ontrols}$ (N=4240)		
Mean (SD) N (%) Mean (SD) N (%) N (%) C1 Maternal Health Gravidity * 9 36.1 733 (36.7) Referent 1 41 (38.0) 727 (33.7) 1.15 (0.73, 1.81) 2 or more 28 (25.9) 639 (29.6) 0.89 (0.54, 1.46) Number of previous live births * 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Case	$\frac{\text{es}(N=217)}{N(n(2))}$	Controls	s (N=4340)	$_$ Crude OR (95%	
Material Health Gravidity* 0 39 (36.1) 793 (36.7) Referent 1 41 (38.0) 727 (33.7) 1.15 (0.73, 1.81) 2 or more 28 (25.9) 639 (29.6) 0.89 (0.54, 1.46) Number of previous live births ^b 0 1 0 56 (40.0) 1316 (47.0) Referent 1 61 (43.6) 1015 (36.3) 1.42 (0.98, 2.05) 2 or more 23 (16.4) 468 (16.7) 1.15 (0.70, 1.89) Missing 0 1 Daily smoking at first midwife consultation " Yes 18 (18.4) 396 (21.7) 0.82 (0.48, 1.40) No 80 (81.6) 1427 (78.3) Referent Missing 4 217 Cigarettes per day ⁴ 11 No-masmoking 58 (86.6) 1018 (85.8) Referent Nisking 4 (6.0) 43 (3.6) 1.66 (0.57, 4.87) 6-10 4 (6.0) 43 (3.6) 1.66 (0.57, 4.87) 6-10 4 (6.0) 43 (3.6) 1.66 (0.57, 4.87) <th></th> <th>Mean (SD)</th> <th>N (%)</th> <th>Mean (SD)</th> <th>N (%)</th> <th>CI)</th>		Mean (SD)	N (%)	Mean (SD)	N (%)	CI)	
Gravatity" Second Secon	<u>Maternal Health</u>						
0 39 (36.1) 79 (36.7) Referent 1 41 (38.0) 727 (33.7) 1.15 (0.73, 1.81) 2 or more 28 (25.9) 639 (29.6) 639 (29.6) Referent Number of previous live births ^b 0 1 Referent 0 56 (40.0) 1316 (47.0) Referent 1 61 (43.6) 1015 (36.3) 1.42 (0.98, 2.05) 2 or more 23 (16.4) 468 (16.7) 1.15 (0.70, 1.89) Missing 0 1 Daily smoking at first midwife consultation ⁴ Yes 18 (18.4) 396 (21.7) 0.82 (0.48, 1.40) No 80 (81.6) 1427 (78.3) Referent Missing 4 217 Cigarettes per day ⁴ 1 Non-smoking 58 (86.6) 1018 (85.8) Referent System of in pregnancy ⁴ 1 1 1.50 (33.0) (3.04, 2.21) Risky behavior in pregnancy ⁴ 1 1 1.0 (30 (0.04, 2.21) Risky behavior in gregnancy ⁴ 1 1.50 (30.0) 1.60 (0.57, 4.87) No 25 (78.1) 549 (86.1) Referent	Gravidity "		20 (2 (1)				
1 41 (38.0) $727 (35.7)$ 1.15 (0.75, 1.81) 2 or more 28 (25.9) 639 (29.6) 0.89 (0.54, 1.46) Missing 0 1 Number of previous live births ^b	0		39 (36.1)		793 (36.7)	Referent	
2 or more 28 (25.9) 639 (0.54, 1.46) Missing 0 1 Number of previous live births ^b 0 1316 (47.0) Referent 0 56 (40.0) 1316 (47.0) Referent 1 61 (43.6) 1015 (36.3) 1.42 (0.98, 2.05) 2 or more 23 (16.4) 468 (16.7) 1.15 (0.70, 1.89) Missing 0 1 1 Daily smoking at first midwife consultation ^c 1 1 Yes 18 (18.4) 396 (21.7) 0.82 (0.48, 1.40) No 80 (81.6) 1427 (78.3) Referent Missing 4 217 1 1.66 (0.57, 4.87) Garactex per day ^d Non-smoking 58 (86.6) 1018 (85.8) Referent √5.5 4 (6.0) 43 (3.6) 1.66 (0.57, 4.87) 6-10 4 (6.0) 65 (5.5) 1.07 (0.38, 3.05) 11+ 1 (1.5) 60 (5.1) 0.30 (0.04, 2.21) Nisky behavior in pregnancy ^c . . . Yes 7 (21.9) 89 (1	1		41 (38.0)		727 (33.7)	1.15 (0.73, 1.81)	
Image 0 1 Number of previous live births ^b 56 (40.0) 1316 (47.0) Referent 1 61 (43.6) 1015 (36.3) 1.42 (0.98, 2.05) 2 or more 23 (16.4) 468 (16.7) 1.15 (0.70, 1.89) Missing 0 1 Daily smoking at first midwife consultation ^a Yes 18 (18.4) 396 (21.7) 0.82 (0.48, 1.40) No 80 (81.6) 1427 (78.3) Referent Cigarctics per day ^d . . . Non-smoking 58 (86.6) 1018 (85.8) Referent ≤ 5 4 (6.0) 63 (5.5) 1.07 (0.38, 3.05) 11+ 1 (1.5) 60 (5.1) 0.30 (0.04, 2.21) Risky behavior in pregnancy ^c . . . Yes 7 (21.9) 89 (13.9) 1.71 (0.72, 4.05) No 25 (78.1) 59 (86.6) 1.88 (0.81, 1.72) No 181 (83.4) 3712 (86.5) Referent Missing 0 1 . No 181 (83.4) 3712 (86.5) Referent Missing 0 </td <td>2 or more</td> <td></td> <td>28 (25.9)</td> <td></td> <td>639 (29.6)</td> <td>0.89 (0.54, 1.46)</td>	2 or more		28 (25.9)		639 (29.6)	0.89 (0.54, 1.46)	
Number of previous live births ° Section 1 1316 (47.0) Referent 0 56 (40.0) 1316 (47.0) Referent 1 61 (43.6) 1015 (36.3) 1.42 (0.98, 2.05) 2 or more 23 (16.4) 468 (16.7) 1.15 (0.70, 1.89) Missing 0 1 1 Daily smoking at first midwife consultation ° Yes 396 (21.7) 0.82 (0.48, 1.40) No 80 (81.6) 1427 (78.3) Referent Missing 4 217 Cigarettes per day d 1.66 (0.57, 4.87) ≤ 5 4 (6.0) 43 (3.6) 1.66 (0.57, 4.87) 6-10 4 (6.0) 63 (5.5) 1.07 (0.38, 3.05) 11+ 1 (1.5) 60 (5.1) 0.30 (0.04, 2.21) Risky behavior in pregnancy ° Yes 7 (21.9) 89 (13.9) 1.71 (0.72, 4.05) No 25 (78.1) 549 (86.1) Referent Missing 0 2 1 Missing 0 1 1 No 181 (83.4) 3712 (85.5)	Missing		0		1		
0 56 (40.0) 1316 (47.0) Referent 1 61 (43.6) 1015 (36.3) 1.42 (0.98, 2.05) 2 or more 23 (16.4) 468 (16.7) 1.15 (0.70, 1.89) Missing 0 1 1 Daily smoking at first midwife consultation ^c Yes 18 (18.4) 396 (21.7) 0.82 (0.48, 1.40) No 80 (81.6) 1427 (78.3) Referent Missing 4 217 Cigarettes per day ^d No-smoking 58 (86.6) 1018 (85.8) Referent ≤ 5 4 (6.0) 65 (5.5) 1.07 (0.38, 3.05) 11+ 1 (1.5) 60 (5.1) 0.30 (0.04, 2.21) Risky behavior in pregnancy ^e Yes 7 (21.9) 89 (13.9) 1.71 (0.72, 4.05) No 25 (78.1) 549 (86.1) Referent Missing 0 1 No 181 (83.4) 3712 (85.5) Referent Missing 0 1 1.82 (0.43, 7	Number of previous live births	6					
1 61 (43.6) 1015 (36.3) 1.42 (0.98, 2.05) 2 or more 23 (16.4) 468 (16.7) 1.15 (0.70, 1.89) Missing 0 1 Daily smoking at first midwife consultation " ************************************	0		56 (40.0)		1316 (47.0)	Referent	
2 or more 23 (16.4) 468 (16.7) 1.15 (0.70, 1.89) Missing 0 1 Daily smoking at first midwife consultation '' 396 (21.7) 0.82 (0.48, 1.40) No 80 (81.6) 1427 (78.3) Referent Missing 4 217 Cigarcttes per day ^d	1		61 (43.6)		1015 (36.3)	1.42 (0.98, 2.05)	
Missing 0 1 Daily smoking at first midwife consultation °	2 or more		23 (16.4)		468 (16.7)	1.15 (0.70, 1.89)	
Daily smoking at first midwife consultation ² I8 (18.4) 396 (21.7) 0.82 (0.48, 1.40) No 80 (81.6) 1427 (78.3) Referent Missing 4 217 Cigarettes per day ^d 118 (85.8) Referent ≤ 5 4 (6.0) 43 (3.6) 1.66 (0.57, 4.87) 6-10 4 (6.0) 65 (5.5) 1.07 (0.38, 3.05) 11+ 1 (1.5) 60 (5.1) 0.30 (0.04, 2.21) Risky behavior in pregnancy ⁶ Yes Yes 7 (21.9) 89 (13.9) 1.71 (0.72, 4.05) No 25 (78.1) 549 (86.1) Referent Missing 0 2 Missing 0 2 No 181 (83.4) 3712 (85.5) Referent Missing 0 1 No 110 (98.2) 2217 (9.0) Referent Missing 0 1 No 110 (98.2) 2217 (9.0) Referent Missing 0 1 No 110 (98.2) <td>Missing</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td>	Missing		0		1		
Yes18 (18.4)396 (21.7)0.82 (0.48, 1.40)No80 (81.6)1427 (78.3)ReferentMissing4217Cigarettes per day dNon-smoking58 (86.6)1018 (85.8)Referent ≤ 5 4 (6.0)43 (3.6)1.66 (0.57, 4.87)6-104 (6.0)65 (5.5)1.07 (0.38, 3.05)11+1 (1.5)60 (5.1)0.30 (0.04, 2.21)Risky behavior in pregnancy °7799 (13.9)1.71 (0.72, 4.05)No25 (78.1)549 (86.1)ReferentMissing021.18 (0.81, 1.72)No181 (83.4)3712 (85.5)ReferentMissing011.18 (0.81, 1.72)No181 (83.4)3712 (85.5)ReferentMissing011.18 (0.81, 1.72)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing011.18 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing011.18 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing31252.51Prepregnancy weight (kg) h65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5) ≤ 58 10 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	Daily smoking at first midwife	consultation ^c					
No80 (81.6)1427 (78.3)ReferentMissing4217Cigarettes per day d	Yes		18 (18.4)		396 (21.7)	0.82 (0.48, 1.40)	
Missing4217Cigarettes per day d	No		80 (81.6)		1427 (78.3)	Referent	
Cigarettes per day dNon-smoking58 (86.6)1018 (85.8)Referent ≤ 5 4 (6.0)43 (3.6)1.66 (0.57, 4.87) $6-10$ 4 (6.0)65 (5.5)1.07 (0.38, 3.05) $11+$ 1 (1.5)60 (5.1)0.30 (0.04, 2.21)Risky behavior in pregnancy °Yes7 (21.9)89 (13.9)1.71 (0.72, 4.05)No25 (78.1)549 (86.1)ReferentMissing02111Yes36 (16.6)627 (14.5)1.18 (0.81, 1.72)No181 (83.4)3712 (85.5)ReferentMissing01111History of stillbirth ^g 21.18 (0.82, 1.22)Yes2 (1.8)22 (1.0)1.82 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing01111Mother's height (cm) ^h 168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6) ≤ 163 11 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing3135135Prepregnancy weight (kg) ^h 65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5) ≤ 58 10 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	Missing		4		217		
Non-smoking58 (86.6)1018 (85.8)Referent≤ 54 (6.0)43 (3.6)1.66 (0.57, 4.87)6-104 (6.0)65 (5.5)1.07 (0.38, 3.05)11+1.1.5)60 (5.1)0.30 (0.04, 2.21)Risky behavior in pregnancy °Yes7 (21.9)89 (13.9)1.71 (0.72, 4.05)No25 (78.1)549 (86.1)ReferentMissing021.71 (0.72, 4.05)No25 (78.1)549 (86.1)ReferentMissing021.18 (0.81, 1.72)No181 (83.4)3712 (85.5)ReferentMissing011.18 (0.81, 1.72)No110 (98.2)22 (1.0)1.82 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing011.18 (0.44, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2117 (99.0)ReferentMissing011.18 (0.44, 7.80)No110 (98.2)217 (99.0)ReferentMissing011.18 (0.44, 7.80)Missing011.18 (0.44, 7.80)Missing011.18 (0.44, 7.40)164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)122 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing3135125Prepregnancy weight (kg) h65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5)≤5810 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent <td>Cigarettes per day ^d</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Cigarettes per day ^d						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Non-smoking		58 (86.6)		1018 (85.8)	Referent	
	≤ 5		4 (6.0)		43 (3.6)	1.66 (0.57, 4.87)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6-10		4 (6.0)		65 (5.5)	1.07 (0.38, 3.05)	
Risky behavior in pregnancy °Yes7 (21.9)89 (13.9)1.71 (0.72, 4.05)No25 (78.1)549 (86.1)ReferentMissing02History of miscarriage f Yes36 (16.6)627 (14.5)1.18 (0.81, 1.72)No181 (83.4)3712 (85.5)ReferentMissing01History of stillbirth g Yes2 (1.8)22 (1.0)1.82 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing01Mother's height (cm) h 168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6)≤16311 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing3135Prepregnancy weight (kg) h 65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5)	11+		1 (1.5)		60 (5.1)	0.30 (0.04, 2.21)	
Yes7 (21.9)89 (13.9)1.71 (0.72, 4.05)No25 (78.1)549 (86.1)ReferentMissing02History of miscarriage f 2Yes36 (16.6)627 (14.5)1.18 (0.81, 1.72)No181 (83.4)3712 (85.5)ReferentMissing01History of stillbirth g 2 (1.8)22 (1.0)1.82 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing011Mother's height (cm) h168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6)≤16311 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing3135Prepregnancy weight (kg) h65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5) ≤ 58 10 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	Risky behavior in pregnancy ^e						
No25 (78.1)549 (86.1)ReferentMissing02History of miscarriage f 36 (16.6)627 (14.5)1.18 (0.81, 1.72)No181 (83.4)3712 (85.5)ReferentMissing01History of stillbirth g 2 (1.8)22 (1.0)1.82 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing01Mother's height (cm) h 168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6)≤16311 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing3135135Prepregnancy weight (kg) h 65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5)	Yes		7 (21.9)		89 (13.9)	1.71 (0.72, 4.05)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } Missing & 0 & 2 \\ History of miscarriage ^{f} & & & & & & & & \\ Yes & 36 (16.6) & 627 (14.5) & 1.18 (0.81, 1.72) \\ No & 181 (83.4) & 3712 (85.5) & Referent \\ Missing & 0 & 1 \\ History of stillbirth ^g & & & & & \\ Yes & 2 (1.8) & 22 (1.0) & 1.82 (0.43, 7.80) \\ No & 110 (98.2) & 2217 (99.0) & Referent \\ Missing & 0 & 1 \\ Mother's height (cm)^h & 168.8 (7.6) & 168.2 (6.6) \\ \leq 163 & 11 (24.4) & 185 (22.4) & Referent \\ 164-168 & 8 (17.8) & 249 (30.2) & 0.55 (0.22, 1.39) \\ 169-172 & 11 (24.4) & 179 (21.7) & 1.05 (0.44, 2.49) \\ 173+ & 15 (33.3) & 212 (25.7) & 1.15 (0.51, 2.60) \\ Missing & 3 & 135 \\ Prepregnancy weight (kg)^h & 65.4 (8.5) & 68.3 (13.5) \\ \leq 58 & 10 (22.2) & 185 (22.5) & Referent \end{array}$	No		25 (78.1)		549 (86.1)	Referent	
History of miscarriage f36 (16.6)627 (14.5)1.18 (0.81, 1.72)No181 (83.4)3712 (85.5)ReferentMissing01History of stillbirth g2 (1.8)22 (1.0)1.82 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing011Mother's height (cm) h168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6) ≤ 163 11 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing313515Prepregnancy weight (kg) h65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5) ≤ 58 10 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	Missing		0		2		
Yes $36 (16.6)$ $627 (14.5)$ $1.18 (0.81, 1.72)$ No $181 (83.4)$ $3712 (85.5)$ ReferentMissing01History of stillbirth g $2 (1.8)$ $22 (1.0)$ $1.82 (0.43, 7.80)$ No $110 (98.2)$ $2217 (99.0)$ ReferentMissing011Mother's height (cm) h $168.8 (7.6)$ $168.2 (6.6)$ ≤ 163 $11 (24.4)$ $185 (22.4)$ Referent $164-168$ $8 (17.8)$ $249 (30.2)$ $0.55 (0.22, 1.39)$ $169-172$ $11 (24.4)$ $179 (21.7)$ $1.05 (0.44, 2.49)$ $173+$ $15 (33.3)$ $212 (25.7)$ $1.15 (0.51, 2.60)$ Missing3 135 Prepregnancy weight (kg) h $65.4 (8.5)$ $68.3 (13.5)$ ≤ 58 $10 (22.2)$ $185 (22.5)$ Referent	History of miscarriage ^f						
No181 (83.4)3712 (85.5)ReferentMissing01History of stillbirth g 2 (1.8)22 (1.0)1.82 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing011Mother's height (cm) h168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6) ≤ 163 11 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing3135Prepregnancy weight (kg) h65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5) ≤ 58 10 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	Yes		36 (16.6)		627 (14.5)	1.18 (0.81, 1.72)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	No		181 (83.4)		3712 (85.5)	Referent	
History of stillbirth g2 (1.8)22 (1.0)1.82 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing01Mother's height (cm) h168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6) ≤ 163 11 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing31359repregnancy weight (kg) h65.4 (8.5) ≤ 58 10 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	Missing		0		1		
Yes2 (1.8)22 (1.0)1.82 (0.43, 7.80)No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing01Mother's height (cm) h168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6) ≤ 163 11 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing31359Prepregnancy weight (kg) h65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5) ≤ 58 10 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	History of stillbirth ^g						
No110 (98.2)2217 (99.0)ReferentMissing01Mother's height (cm) h168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6)≤16311 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing3135Prepregnancy weight (kg) h65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5)≤5810 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	Yes		2 (1.8)		22 (1.0)	1.82 (0.43, 7.80)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No		110 (98.2)		2217 (99.0)	Referent	
Mother's height (cm) h168.8 (7.6)168.2 (6.6) ≤ 163 11 (24.4)185 (22.4)Referent164-1688 (17.8)249 (30.2)0.55 (0.22, 1.39)169-17211 (24.4)179 (21.7)1.05 (0.44, 2.49)173+15 (33.3)212 (25.7)1.15 (0.51, 2.60)Missing3135Prepregnancy weight (kg) h65.4 (8.5)68.3 (13.5) ≤ 58 10 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	Missing		0		1		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mother's height (cm) ^h	168.8 (7.6)		168.2 (6.6)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≤163		11 (24.4)		185 (22.4)	Referent	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	164-168		8 (17.8)		249 (30.2)	0.55 (0.22, 1.39)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	169-172		11 (24.4)		179 (21.7)	1.05 (0.44, 2.49)	
Missing3135Prepregnancy weight (kg) h $65.4 (8.5)$ $68.3 (13.5)$ ≤ 58 10 (22.2) $185 (22.5)$ Referent	173+		15 (33.3)		212 (25.7)	1.15 (0.51, 2.60)	
Prepregnancy weight (kg) h $65.4 (8.5)$ $68.3 (13.5)$ ≤ 58 10 (22.2)185 (22.5)Referent	Missing		3		135	/	
≤ 58 10 (22.2) 185 (22.5) Referent	Prepregnancy weight (kg) ^h	65.4 (8.5)		68.3 (13.5)			
	≤58	、 <i>、 、</i>	10 (22.2)		185 (22.5)	Referent	

59-65		14 (31.1)		230 (28.0)	1.13 (0.49, 2.61)
66-74		15 (33.3)		193 (23.5)	1.47 (0.65, 3.36)
75+		6 (13.3)		214 (26.0)	0.52 (0.19, 1.47)
Missing		3		138	
Prepregnancy Body Mass Index (BMI) ^h	23 (3.0)		24.1 (4.5)		
<18.5		0		35 (4.3)	
18.5-<25		34 (75.6)		509 (62.4)	Referent
25-<30		10 (22.2)		189 (23.2)	0.79 (0.38, 1.64)
30+		1 (2.2)		83 (10.2)	0.19 (0.03, 1.41)
Missing		3		144	
<u>Child Health</u>					
Congenital anomalies ^f					
Yes		63 (29)		483 (11.1)	3.35 (2.46, 4.58)
No		154 (71.0)		3863 (89.0)	Referent
Preterm birth (<37 weeks) ^{f,i}					
Yes		10 (4.5)		283 (6.3)	0.69 (0.36, 1.32)
No		207 (95.4)		4057 (93.5)	Referent
Missing		7		269	
Apgar score, 1 minute ^g	9.1 (1.8)		9.4 (1.3)		
< 7		9 (8.0)		85 (4.2)	1.99 (0.97, 4.06)
\geq 7		103 (92.0)		1921 (95.8)	Referent
Missing		0		234	
Apgar score, 5 minutes ^g	9.8 (0.9)		9.9 (0.6)		
≤ 9		7 (6.3)		127 (6.3)	0.99 (0.45, 2.19)
10		104 (93.7)		1875 (93.7)	Referent
Missing		1		238	

a. Available for births 1973-1990

b. Available for births 1973-1996

c. Available for births 1991-1996 and 1998+

d. Available for births 1998-2014

e. Available for births 1991-1996

f. Available for births 1973+

g. Available for births 1978-1996

h. Available for births 2004+

i. Shown are the gestational lengths without the use of multiple imputation for missing values.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Mean (SD)N (%)Mean (SD)N (%)CI) $(95\% CI)^a$ Placenta weight (g)648.6 (161.1)680.6 (168.8) ≤ 540 23 (30.3)243 (18.3)2.79 (1.25, 6.20)4.24 (1.84, 9.78 $550-620$ 17 (22.4)267 (20.1)1.83 (0.80, 4.20)2.07 (0.90, 4.78 $630-690$ 9 (11.8)255 (19.2)ReferentReferent $700-790$ 12 (15.8)268 (20.2)1.30 (0.54, 3.13)1.13 (0.46, 2.75 $800+$ 15 (19.7)293 (22.1)1.48 (0.64, 3.46)1.07 (0.44, 2.58Missing12141.06 (0.49, 2.29Mean (SD)3454.2 (621.4)3385.7 (585.7)227 (5.5)0.90 (0.47, 1.74)1.06 (0.49, 2.292500-4000160 (73.7)3321 (79.9)ReferentReferent>400047 (21.7)608 (14.6)1.61 (1.15, 2.26)1.57 (1.11, 2.22Missing01841.92 (1.25, 2.93)1.81 (1.17, 2.82Placenta to birth weight ratio bHereines1.92 (1.25, 2.93)1.81 (1.17, 2.82
Placenta weight (g) b648.6 (161.1)680.6 (168.8) ≤ 540 23 (30.3)243 (18.3)2.79 (1.25, 6.20)4.24 (1.84, 9.78 $550-620$ 17 (22.4)267 (20.1)1.83 (0.80, 4.20)2.07 (0.90, 4.78 $630-690$ 9 (11.8)255 (19.2)ReferentReferent $700-790$ 12 (15.8)268 (20.2)1.30 (0.54, 3.13)1.13 (0.46, 2.75 $800+$ 15 (19.7)293 (22.1)1.48 (0.64, 3.46)1.07 (0.44, 2.58Missing12141.480.64, 3.46)1.07 (0.44, 2.58Missing1214214214Birth weight (g)3454.2 (621.4)3385.7 (585.7)227 (5.5)0.90 (0.47, 1.74)1.06 (0.49, 2.29 $2500-4000$ 160 (73.7)3321 (79.9)ReferentReferent>400047 (21.7)608 (14.6)1.61 (1.15, 2.26)1.57 (1.11, 2.22Missing0184Placenta to birth weight ratio b1.92 (1.25, 2.93)1.81 (1.17, 2.82
Mean (SD) $648.6 (161.1)$ $680.6 (168.8)$ ≤ 540 23 (30.3)243 (18.3) $2.79 (1.25, 6.20)$ $4.24 (1.84, 9.78)$ $550-620$ 17 (22.4)267 (20.1) $1.83 (0.80, 4.20)$ $2.07 (0.90, 4.78)$ $630-690$ 9 (11.8)255 (19.2)ReferentReferent $700-790$ 12 (15.8)268 (20.2) $1.30 (0.54, 3.13)$ $1.13 (0.46, 2.75)$ $800+$ 15 (19.7)293 (22.1) $1.48 (0.64, 3.46)$ $1.07 (0.44, 2.58)$ Missing12141.06 (0.49, 2.29)Birth weight (g)3385.7 (585.7)227 (5.5) $0.90 (0.47, 1.74)$ $1.06 (0.49, 2.29)$ $2500-4000$ 160 (73.7) $3321 (79.9)$ ReferentReferent>400047 (21.7)608 (14.6) $1.61 (1.15, 2.26)$ $1.57 (1.11, 2.22)$ Missing0184Placenta to birth weight ratio ^b 1.92 (1.25, 2.93) $1.81 (1.17, 2.82)$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
550-620 17 (22.4) 267 (20.1) 1.83 (0.80, 4.20) 2.07 (0.90, 4.78 630-690 9 (11.8) 255 (19.2) Referent Referent 700-790 12 (15.8) 268 (20.2) 1.30 (0.54, 3.13) 1.13 (0.46, 2.75 800+ 15 (19.7) 293 (22.1) 1.48 (0.64, 3.46) 1.07 (0.44, 2.58 Missing 1 214 1.48 (0.64, 3.46) 1.07 (0.44, 2.58 Mean (SD) 3454.2 (621.4) 3385.7 (585.7)
630-690 9 (11.8) 255 (19.2) Referent Referent 700-790 12 (15.8) 268 (20.2) 1.30 (0.54, 3.13) 1.13 (0.46, 2.75) 800+ 15 (19.7) 293 (22.1) 1.48 (0.64, 3.46) 1.07 (0.44, 2.58) Missing 1 214 1.48 (0.64, 3.46) 1.07 (0.44, 2.58) Birth weight (g) 3385.7 (585.7) 227 (5.5) 0.90 (0.47, 1.74) 1.06 (0.49, 2.29) <2500
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Missing 1 214 Birth weight (g) 3385.7 (585.7) 5 Mean (SD) 3454.2 (621.4) 3385.7 (585.7) <2500
Birth weight (g) 3385.7 (585.7) <2500
Mean (SD) 3454.2 (621.4) 3385.7 (585.7) <2500
<2500
2500-4000 160 (73.7) 3321 (79.9) Referent Referent >4000 47 (21.7) 608 (14.6) 1.61 (1.15, 2.26) 1.57 (1.11, 2.22) Missing 0 184 Placenta to birth weight ratio ^b 1.92 (1.25, 2.93) 1.81 (1.17, 2.82)
>4000 47 (21.7) 608 (14.6) 1.61 (1.15, 2.26) 1.57 (1.11, 2.22) Missing 0 184 Placenta to birth weight ratio ^b 1.92 (1.25, 2.93) 1.81 (1.17, 2.82)
Missing 0 184 Placenta to birth weight ratio ^b Per 1 SD decrease 1.92 (1.25, 2.93) 1.81 (1.17, 2.82
Placenta to birth weight ratio ^b 1.92 (1.25, 2.93) 1.81 (1.17, 2.82)
Per 1 SD decrease 1.92 (1.25, 2.93) 1.81 (1.17, 2.82
Missing 1 217
Birth length (cm) ^c
Mean (SD) 51.9 (2.8) 51.6 (2.7)
<50cm 28 (13.0) 633 (15.4) 0.88 (0.53, 1.46) 0.95 (0.54, 1.62
50-51 61 (28.2) 1159 (28.1) 1.05 (0.69, 1.59) 1.06 (0.70, 1.61
52 38 (17.6) 754 (18.3) Referent Referent
53 26 (12.0) 654 (15.9) 0.79 (0.48, 1.32) 0.79 (0.48, 1.32)
54 24 (11.1) 476 (11.6) 1.00 (0.59, 1.70) 1.00 (0.59, 1.69
55+ 39 (18.1) 445 (10.8) 1.76 (1.11, 2.80) 1.74 (1.09, 2.78
Missing 1 219
Ponderal index ^c
Mean (SD) 2.45 (0.32) 2.44 (0.26)
Smallest42 (19.4)859 (20.8)0.98 (0.62, 1.54)1.02 (0.64, 1.60)
2 nd 43 (19.9) 769 (18.7) 1.12 (0.72, 1.75) 1.13 (0.72, 1.76)
3 rd 41 (19.0) 814 (19.8) Referent Referent
4 th 45 (20.8) 864 (21.0) 1.03 (0.67, 1.60) 1.03 (0.67, 1.59
Largest 45 (20.8) 815 (19.8) 1.10 (0.71, 1.70) 1.09 (0.71, 1.69
Missing 1 219
Head circumference (cm) ^b
Mean (SD) 35.1 (1.6) 34.9 (2.0)
≤ 33 11 (14.9) 265 (19.9) 0.70 (0.33, 1.52) 0.86 (0.39, 1.91)
34 14 (18.9) 257 (19.3) 0.95 (0.47, 1.95) 0.97 (0.47, 1.91
35 18 (24.3) 311 (23.3) Referent Referent
36-37 22 (29.7) 271 (20.3) 1.08 (0.58, 2.01) 1.02 (0.54, 1.91
38+ 5 (6.8) 68 (5.1) 1.30 (0.46, 3.64) 1.24 (0.44, 3.50
Missing 3 206
Per 1 cm increase 1.06 (0.94, 1.20) 1.01 (0.87, 1.16
Abdominal circumference (cm) ^b

Mean (SD)	33.6 (2.2)	33.1 (2.5)		
≤30	8 (11.1)	184 (14.	.3) 0.98 (0.37, 2.56)	1.25 (0.46, 3.43)
31-32	12 (16.7)	261 (20.	.3) 1.10 (0.46, 2.59)	1.16 (0.49, 2.75)
33	10 (13.9)	249 (19.	A) Referent	Referent
34	15 (20.8)	248 (19.	.3) 1.39 (0.61, 3.15)	1.37 (0.60, 3.12)
35+	27 (37.5)	344 (26.	.8) 1.86 (0.89, 3.92)	1.79 (0.85, 3.78)
Missing	5	254		
Per 1 cm increase			1.11 (1.00, 1.23)	1.08 (0.96, 1.21)
Head circumference to ab	dominal circumference rat	io ^b		
Per 1 SD decrease			1.23 (0.95, 1.58)	1.18 (0.91, 1.53)
Missing	5	254		
Size for gestational age d,	e			
<10th percentile	13 (10.2)	231 (9.2	1.21 (0.67, 2.19)	
Middle 80%	95 (74.2)	2027 (8)	1.1) Referent	
>90th percentile	20 (15.6)	240 (9.6	5)1.79 (1.08, 2.96)	
Missing	0	80		

a. Regressions adjust for gestational age, with the exception of the multivariate analysis of placenta weight, which adjusts for birthweight.

b. Available for births 1997+

c. Available for births 1973+

d. Available for births 1981-2004; analyses include singleton children only.

	Cases (N=19	94) Controls (N=3930)	Crude OP $(95\% \text{ CI})$
	N (%)	N (%)	Crude OK (95% CI)
Conditions related to large placenta			
Anemia	7 (3.6)	150 (3.8)	0.94 (0.43, 2.04)
Chronic diabetes	2 (1.0)	42 (1.1)	1.00 (0.24, 4.17)
Gestational diabetes	1 (0.5)	23 (0.6)	0.93 (0.12, 6.95)
Hyperthyroidism	0	27 (0.7)	
Hypothyroidism	0	21 (0.5)	
Any of the above	9 (4.6)	226 (5.8)	0.80 (0.40, 1.59)
Conditions related to small placenta			
Preeclampsia	9 (4.6)	155 (3.9)	1.19 (0.60, 2.37)
Chronic hypertension	2 (1.0)	41 (1.0)	1.02 (0.24, 4.24)
Either hypertension or preeclampsia	11 (5.7)	188 (4.8)	1.20 (0.64, 2.25)
Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome	0	12 (0.3)	
Any of the above	11 (5.7)	200 (5.1)	1.13 (0.60, 2.11)

TABLE 4 Risk of Wilms tumor in relation to maternal health conditions related to small or large placenta size ^a

a. Sample is limited to families where at least one full trimester of the index pregnancy occurred after the establishment of the National Patient Register in 1977. There were no women diagnosed with other conditions previously related to altered placenta size, including: fetal anemia, fetal hydrops, placental thrombi (infarction), toxoplasmosis, syphilis, parvovirus infection, placental tumor.

Supplementary Table 1. Danish extended version of ICD-8 and ICD-10: Codes used to identify conditions related to large placenta

Telated to large placenta		
Chronic Diabetes	ICD-10	E10, E100, E100B, E100D, E100E, E100F, E101, E102, E103, E104, E105, E105A, E105B, E105C, E106, E107, E108, E109, E109A, E11, E110, E110D, E110E, E111, E112, E113, E114, E115, E115A, E115B, E115C, E115D, E116, E117, E118, E119, E119A, E120, E121, E122, E123, E124, E125, E127, E128, E129, E13, E130, E131, E132, E133, E134, E135, E135C, E136, E137, E138, E139, E14, E140, E140A, E141, E142, E143, E144, E145, E145B, E145C, E145D, E146, E147, E148, E149, O24, O240, O240A, O240B, O241, O241A, O241B, O241C, O242, O242A, O243, O243A, O243C, O244, O244A, O244B, O244C, O244D, O244E, O249, O249A, O249C
	ICD-8	250, 25000, 25000, 25001, 25002, 25003, 25004, 25005, 25006, 25007, 25008, 25009, 250090, 250091
Gestational diabetes	ICD-10	O244, O244B, O244C, O244D, O244E
Maternal anemia	ICD-10	D46, D460, D460A, D460B, D461, D462, D462A, D462B, D464, D50, D500, D509, D509A, D51, D510, D510A, D511, D513, D519, D52, D520, D521, D529, D530, D532, D539, D550, D551, D552, D559, D589, D590, D592, D599, D599A, D610, D610A, D610C, D611, D612, D613, D619, D619A, D62, D629, D63, D630, D638, D640, D641, D642, D644, D648, D649, O368P, O908, O908B, O908C, O990, O990A, O990B, O990C
	ICD-8	28000, 28001, 28002, 28003, 28008, 28009, 281, 28100, 28101, 28108, 28109, 28119, 28129, 28199, 28209, 28219, 28229, 28239, 28249, 28250, 28258, 28259, 28299, 28309, 28390, 28391, 28392, 28393, 28394, 28395, 28399, 28400, 28402, 28404, 28408, 28409, 28509, 28589, 28599, 63309, 63319, 63399, 67699
Fetal anemia	ICD-10	O368P
Fetal hydrops Placental thrombi (infarction)	ICD-10 ICD-10	O362, O362C, O362D, O362E, P569, P832, P832A, P371A O438C
Toxoplasmosis	ICD-10	B580, B582, B582A, B583, B588, B589, P371, P371A
Ĩ	ICD-8	13009, 13019, 13029, 13099
Placental tumor	ICD-10	C589, C589A, D392
Parvovirus infection	ICD-10	B343
Syphilis	ICD-10	A506, A509, A510, A512, A513A, A514, A519, A521, A523, A528, A529, A530, A539
	ICD-8	9079, 9099, 9109, 9129, 9209, 9299, 9499, 9719, 9799
Hyperthyroidism	ICD-10	E05, E050, E050A, E050B, E050C, E050D, E051, E052, E052A, E053, E054, E055, E058, E058A, E058B, E058C, E059, E059A
	ICD-8	242, 24200, 24201, 24208, 24209, 242090, 24219, 24228, 24229, 242290
Hypothyroidism	ICD-10	E890, E890A, E890B, E029, E03, E030, E030A, E031, E031A, E031C, E032, E033, E034, E035, E038, E039

Supplementary Table 2. Danish extended version of ICD-8 and ICD-10: Codes used to identify conditions related to small placenta

1		
Preeclampsia	ICD-10	014, 0140, 0141, 0142, 0149
	ICD-8	63700, 63702, 63703, 63704, 63709
Hypertension	ICD-10	O13, O139, O169, I10, I109, I11, I110, I119, I119A,
		I12, I120, I129, I129A, I13, I131, I132, I139, I15,
		1150, 1151, 1152, 1158, 1159
	ICD-8	40009, 40019, 40029, 40039, 40099, 40199, 40299,
		40399, 40499
Hypertension or preeclampsia	ICD-10	013, 0139, 014, 0140, 0141, 0142, 0149, 0169,
		I10, I109, I11, I110, I119, I119A, I12, I120, I129,
		I129A, I13, I131, I132, I139, I15, I150, I151, I152,
		1158, 1159
	ICD-8	40009, 40019, 40029, 40039, 40099, 40199, 40299,
		40399, 40499, 63700, 63702, 63703, 63704, 63709
Polycystic ovarian syndrome	ICD-10	E282, E282B, E282C

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Supplementary Table 3. Fetal and Placental Growth Metrics, among Children without Congenital Anomalies						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Cases (N=	=154)	Controls (1	N=3863)	Crude OR (95%	Adjusted OR (95%
Placenta weight (g) * Mean (SD) 652.2 (161.0) 684.7 (163.2) \leq 540 13 (28.3) 198 (17.1) 3.58 (1.13, 11.37) 6.43 (1.95, 21.21) 550-620 11 (23.9) 228 (19.7) 3.07 (0.95, 9.98) 3.84 (1.17, 12.6) 630-690 48.7) 226 (19.6) Referent Referent Referent 700-790 9 (19.6) 244 (21.1) 2.05 (0.62, 6.82) 1.85 (0.55, 6.19) 800+ 9 (19.6) 260 (22.5) 1.94 (0.58, 6.43) 1.31 (0.38, 4.54) Missing 1 188 188 Birth weight (g) 3397.3 (575.8) 2500 8 (5.2) 1.78 (4.8) 1.09 (0.52, 2.27) 1.40 (0.58, 3.35) 2500-4000 113 (73.4) 2967 (80.2) Referent Referent 94000 33 (21.4) 555 (15.0) 1.59 (1.06, 2.39) 1.53 (1.01, 2.31) Missing 0 163 191 118 Birth length (cm) * 2.22 (1.28, 3.83) 2.16 (1.23, 3.79) Missing 1 191 51.7 (2.6) 52.1 (2.7) 51.7 (2.6) Soth 19 (12.4) <th< td=""><td></td><td>Mean (SD)</td><td>N (%)</td><td>Mean (SD)</td><td>N (%)</td><td>CI)</td><td>CI)^a</td></th<>		Mean (SD)	N (%)	Mean (SD)	N (%)	CI)	CI) ^a
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Placenta weight (g) ^b						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mean (SD)	652.2 (161.0)		684.7 (163.2)			
	$\leq \!\! 540$		13 (28.3)		198 (17.1)	3.58 (1.13, 11.37)	6.43 (1.95, 21.21)
	550-620		11 (23.9)		228 (19.7)	3.07 (0.95, 9.98)	3.84 (1.17, 12.6)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	630-690		4 (8.7)		226 (19.6)	Referent	Referent
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	700-790		9 (19.6)		244 (21.1)	2.05 (0.62, 6.82)	1.85 (0.55, 6.19)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	800+		9 (19.6)		260 (22.5)	1.94 (0.58, 6.43)	1.31 (0.38, 4.54)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Missing		1		188		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Birth weight (g)						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean (SD)	3442.2 (625.5)		3397.3 (575.8)			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<2500		8 (5.2)		178 (4.8)	1.09 (0.52, 2.27)	1.40 (0.58, 3.35)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2500-4000		113 (73.4)		2967 (80.2)	Referent	Referent
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	>4000		33 (21.4)		555 (15.0)	1.59 (1.06, 2.39)	1.53 (1.01, 2.31)
Placenta to birth weight ratio b Per 1 SD decrease $2.22 (1.28, 3.83)$ $2.16 (1.23, 3.79)$ Missing 1 191 Birth length (cm) c $Mean (SD)$ $52.1 (2.7)$ $51.7 (2.6)$ <50cm	Missing		0		163		
Per 1 SD decrease Missing2.22 (1.28, 3.83)2.16 (1.23, 3.79)Birth length (cm) $^{\circ}$ Mean (SD)52.1 (2.7)51.7 (2.6)<50cm	Placenta to birth weight 1	ratio ^b					
Missing1191Birth length (cm) ° Mean (SD) $52.1 (2.7)$ $51.7 (2.6)$ <50cm	Per 1 SD decrease					2.22 (1.28, 3.83)	2.16 (1.23, 3.79)
Birth length (cm) $^{\circ}$ Mean (SD)52.1 (2.7)51.7 (2.6)<50cm	Missing		1		191		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Birth length (cm) $^{\circ}$						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean (SD)	52.1 (2.7)		51.7 (2.6)			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<50cm		19 (12.4)		538 (14.7)	0.65 (0.36, 1.17)	0.67 (0.36, 1.26)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50-51		38 (24.8)		1034 (28.2)	0.70 (0.43, 1.13)	0.70 (0.43, 1.14)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	52		34 (22.2)		671 (18.3)	Referent	Referent
	53		16 (10.5)		596 (16.2)	0.52 (0.28, 0.97)	0.52 (0.28, 0.97)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54		15 (9.8)		436 (11.9)	0.66 (0.35, 1.25)	0.66 (0.35, 1.24)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	55+		31 (20.3)		395 (10.8)	1.56 (0.93, 2.62)	1.56 (0.93, 2.61)
Ponderal index c Mean (SD)2.42 (0.26)2.44 (0.25)Smallest34 (22.2)756 (20.6)1.15 (0.68, 1.95)1.19 (0.70, 2.03) 2^{nd} 31 (20.3)677 (18.4)1.24 (0.73, 2.12)1.25 (0.73, 2.13) 3^{rd} 28 (18.3)725 (19.8)ReferentReferent 4^{th} 30 (19.6)787 (21.4)0.98 (0.58, 1.67)0.98 (0.58, 1.67)Largest30 (19.6)725 (19.8)1.13 (0.66, 1.93)1.12 (0.66, 1.91)Missing1193193	Missing		1		193		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ponderal index ^c						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean (SD)	2.42 (0.26)		2.44 (0.25)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Smallest		34 (22.2)		756 (20.6)	1.15 (0.68, 1.95)	1.19 (0.70, 2.03)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2^{nd}		31 (20.3)		677 (18.4)	1.24 (0.73, 2.12)	1.25 (0.73, 2.13)
4 th 30 (19.6) 787 (21.4) 0.98 (0.58, 1.67) 0.98 (0.58, 1.67) Largest 30 (19.6) 725 (19.8) 1.13 (0.66, 1.93) 1.12 (0.66, 1.91) Missing 1 193 193	3^{rd}		28 (18.3)		725 (19.8)	Referent	Referent
Largest 30 (19.6) 725 (19.8) 1.13 (0.66, 1.93) 1.12 (0.66, 1.91) Missing 1 193 Head circumference (cm) ^b	4^{th}		30 (19.6)		787 (21.4)	0.98 (0.58, 1.67)	0.98 (0.58, 1.67)
Missing 1 193 Head circumference (cm) ^b	Largest		30 (19.6)		725 (19.8)	1.13 (0.66, 1.93)	1.12 (0.66, 1.91)
Head circumference (cm) ^b	Missing		1		193		
$(\mathrm{cm})^{\mathrm{b}}$	Head circumference						
	(cm) ^b						
Mean (SD) 35.0 (1.6) 34.9 (1.9)	Mean (SD)	35.0 (1.6)		34.9 (1.9)			
≤ 33 7 (15.6) 222 (19.1) 0.72 (0.27, 1.89) 0.87 (0.32, 2.36)	≤33		7 (15.6)		222 (19.1)	0.72 (0.27, 1.89)	0.87 (0.32, 2.36)
347 (15.6)221 (19.0)0.79 (0.30, 2.08)0.78 (0.30, 2.06)	34		7 (15.6)		221 (19.0)	0.79 (0.30, 2.08)	0.78 (0.30, 2.06)
35 11 (24.4) 277 (23.8) Referent Referent	35		11 (24.4)		277 (23.8)	Referent	Referent
36-37 18 (40.0) 389 (33.4) 1.12 (0.52, 2.43) 1.05 (0.48, 2.30)	36-37		18 (40.0)		389 (33.4)	1.12 (0.52, 2.43)	1.05 (0.48, 2.30)
38+ 2 (4.4) 56 (4.8) 0.82 (0.17, 3.96)	38+		2 (4.4)		56 (4.8)	0.82 (0.17, 3.96)	
Missing 2 179	Missing		2		179		
Per 1cm increase 1.05 (0.89, 1.24) 0.99 (0.82, 1.19)	Per 1cm increase					1.05 (0.89, 1.24)	0.99 (0.82, 1.19)
Abdominal circumference (cm) ^b	Abdominal circumference	$e(cm)^{b}$					
Mean (SD) 33.9 (2.1) 33.1 (2.4)	Mean (SD)	33.9 (2.1)		33.1 (2.4)			
≤ 30 3 (7) 147 (13.0) 0.49 (0.12, 1.95)	≤30		3 (7)	·	147 (13.0)	0.49 (0.12, 1.95)	
31-327 (16.3)228 (20.2)0.94 (0.32, 2.77)0.97 (0.33, 2.87)	31-32		7 (16.3)		228 (20.2)	0.94 (0.32, 2.77)	0.97 (0.33, 2.87)
33 7 (16.3) 228 (20.2) Referent Referent	33		7 (16.3)		228 (20.2)	Referent	Referent
348 (18.6)227 (20.1)1.05 (0.37, 2.97)1.06 (0.37, 3.00)	34		8 (18.6)		227 (20.1)	1.05 (0.37, 2.97)	1.06 (0.37, 3.00)

35+	18 (41.9)	299 (26.5)	1.77 (0.72, 4.35)	1.71 (0.69, 4.22)
Missing	4	215		
Per 1 cm increase			1.19 (1.04, 1.37)	1.16 (1.00, 1.35)
Head circumference to abdomina	al circumference ratio ^b			
Per 1 SD decrease			1.58 (1.12, 2.23)	1.53 (1.07, 2.18)
Missing	4	215		
Size for gestational age ^d				
<10th percentile	9 (10.6)	200 (8.9)	1.10 (0.54, 2.25)	
Middle 80%	64 (75.3)	1826 (81.6)	Referent	
>90th percentile	12 (14.1)	213 (9.5)	1.56 (0.81, 2.97)	
Missing	0	71		

a. Regressions adjust for gestational age, with the exception of the multivariate analysis of placenta weight, which adjusts for birthweight.

b. Available for births 1997+

c. Available for births 1973+

d. Available 1981-2004, singleton pregnancies only

Supplementary Table 4. Feta	l and Placental G	rowth Metrie	cs among Childre	n Diagnosed a	ges ≤ 2 years	
· · · ·	Cases (N=	=100)	Controls (1	N=2000)	Crude OR (95%	Adjusted OR
	Mean (SD)	N (%)	Mean (SD)	N (%)	CI)	(95% CI) ^a
Placenta weight (g) ^b						
Mean (SD)	652.2 (161.0)		684.7 (163.2)			
≤540		14 (29.8)		153 (18.7)	1.99 (0.77, 5.11)	2.78 (1.03, 7.53)
550-620		11 (23.4)		163 (19.9)	1.48 (0.55, 3.97)	1.61 (0.60, 4.33)
630-690		7 (14.9)		148 (18.1)	Referent	Referent
700-790		8 (17.0)		169 (20.7)	1.01 (0.36, 2.85)	0.89 (0.31, 2.55)
800+		7 (14.9)		185 (22.6)	0.82 (0.28, 2.38)	0.63 (0.21, 1.94)
Missing		0		122		
Birth weight (g)						
Mean (SD)	3442.2 (625.5)		3397.3 (575.8)			
<2500	× ,	3 (3.0)	× ,	103 (5.4)	0.53 (0.17, 1.72)	
2500-4000		82 (82.0)		1499 (79.1)	Referent	Referent
>4000		15 (15.0)		294 (15.5)	0.93 (0.53, 1.64)	0.95 (0.53, 1.68)
Missing		0		104		
Placenta to birth weight ratio	b					
Per 1 SD decrease					1.94 (1.13, 3.33)	1.94 (1.12, 3.36)
Missing		0		125		
Birth length (cm) ^c		-		-		
Mean (SD)	52.1 (2.7)		51.7 (2.6)			
<50cm		11 (11.1)		287 (15.3)	0.82 (0.38, 1.79)	0.79 (0.34, 1.86)
50-51		35 (35.4)		526 (28.0)	1.42 (0.78, 2.58)	1.41 (0.77, 2.57)
52		17 (17.2)		357 (19.0)	Referent	Referent
53		14(14.1)		295 (15.7)	0.99(0.48, 2.04)	0.99 (0.48, 2.05)
54		11(11.1)		212(11.3)	1.09(0.50, 2.37)	1.09 (0.50, 2.37)
55+		11(11.1)		203 (10.8)	1.09(0.50, 2.57) 1.16(0.53, 2.53)	1.09(0.53, 2.54) 1.16(0.53, 2.54)
Missing		1		120	1110 (0100, 2100)	1110 (0.000, 2.01)
Ponderal index °		1		120		
Mean (SD)	2.4(0.3)		2.4(0.3)			
Smallest	2.1 (0.5)	15 (15.2)	2.1 (0.5)	315 (16.8)	0.79 (0.41, 1.56)	0.80 (0.40, 1.58)
2 nd		15(15.2)		329(17.5)	0.76(0.39, 1.49)	0.76 (0.39, 1.49)
3 rd		23(232)		379(202)	Referent	Referent
$\Delta^{\rm th}$		23(23.2) 24(24.2)		427(22.7)	0.93(0.51, 1.67)	0.93(0.51, 1.67)
T Largest		27(272)		427(22.7) 430(22.9)	0.95(0.51, 1.07) 0.84(0.46, 1.54)	0.95(0.91, 1.07) 0.84(0.46, 1.54)
Missing		1		120	0.01 (0.10, 1.51)	0.01 (0.10, 1.51)
Head circumference (cm) ^b		1		120		
Mean (SD)	350(16)		349(19)			
<33	55.0 (1.0)	5(111)	54.9 (1.9)	169 (20.5)	0 39 (0 14 1 12)	
34		9(200)		109(20.3) 154(187)	0.37(0.14, 1.12) 0.81(0.34, 1.92)	0.82(0.35, 1.93)
34		$\frac{9}{20.0}$		134(10.7) 188(22.8)	0.01 (0.34, 1.92) Referent	0.02 (0.33, 1.93) Referent
35		14(31.1) 16(35.6)		188(22.8) 280(33.0)	0.70(0.37, 1.67)	0.78 (0.37, 1.66)
28±		10(33.0) 1(2.2)		230(33.3)	0.79(0.37, 1.07) 0.41(0.05, 2.10)	0.78(0.57, 1.00)
Missing		1(2.2)		34 (4.1) 115	0.41(0.05, 5.19)	
Par 1 am increase		2		115	1.06(0.02, 1.23)	1.05 (0.80, 1.23)
Abdominal aircumforanae (ar	m) b				1.00 (0.92, 1.23)	1.05 (0.89, 1.25)
Abdominiai circumierence (ci	$\frac{11}{220(21)}$		221(24)			
	33.9 (2.1)	6(14.0)	33.1 (2.4)	108(12.5)	1 27 (0 20 4 14)	1 50 (0 44 5 20)
≥ 30		0(14.0) 0(19.6)		100(13.3) 163(20.2)	1.27 (0.39, 4.14) 1.24 (0.42, 2.67)	1.30(0.44, 3.20) 1.20(0.42, 2.96)
21-32 22		0(10.0) 6(14.0)		103(20.3) 157(10.6)	1.24(0.42, 3.0/)	1.27 (0.43, 3.80)
23 24		0(14.0) 11(25.6)		137(19.0) 155(10.2)	$\frac{170}{0.61}$	$\mathbf{K} \in \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{R}$
34		11 (23.0)		155 (19.5)	1.70(0.01, 4.73)	1.07 (0.00, 4.08)

35+	12 (27.9)	219 (27.3)	1.35 (0.50, 3.67)	1.32 (0.49, 3.60)
Missing	4	138		
Per 1 cm increase			1.04 (0.91, 1.18)	1.02 (0.88, 1.18)
Head circumference to abdominal cir	rcumference ratio ^b			
Per 1 SD decrease			1.02 (0.76, 1.39)	0.99 (0.72, 1.36)
Missing	4	138		
Size for gestational age ^d				
<10th percentile	7 (10.9)	109 (8.8)	1.28 (0.57, 2.90)	
Middle 80%	50 (78.1)	1005 (81.5)	Referent	
>90th percentile	7 (10.9)	119 (9.7)	1.18 (0.52, 2.66)	
Missing	0	48		

Regressions adjust for gestational age, with the exception of the multivariate analysis of placenta weight, Available for births 1997+ a.

b.

Available for births 1973+ c.

Available 1981-2004, singleton pregnancies only d.

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Supplementary Table 5. Fetal and Placental Growth Metrics among Children Diagnosed Ages 3+							
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Cases (N=117) Controls		(N=2340) Crude OR (95%		Adjusted OR		
Placenta weight (g) ⁶ Mean (SD) 652.2 (16.0) 684.7 ≤ 540 6 (20.7) 104 (20.5) 3.05 (0.60, 15.41) 3.68 (0.72, 18.33) 630-690 2 (6.9) 107 (21.1) Referent Referent 700.790 4 (13.8) 99 (19.5) 2.32 (0.41, 13.03) 800+ 8 (27.6) 108 (21.3) 4.18 (0.85, 0.41) 2.70 (0.53, 13.69) Birth weight (g) Mean (SD) 3442.2 3397.3 ≤ 2500 7 (6.0) 124 (5.5) 1.27 (0.58, 2.82) 1.84 (0.72, 4.73) 2200-4000 78 (66.7) 1822 (80.6) Referent Referent 2000-400 78 (66.7) 1822 (80.6) Referent Referent Pare 150 decrease 1.87 (0.94, 3.72) 1.56 (0.76, 3.20) Missing 0 80 Placenta-birth weight ratio ⁸ Per 15D decrease 1.87 (0.94, 3.72) 1.56 (0.76, 3.20) Missing 1 92 Birth length (cm) ⁵ Mean (SD) 52.1 (2.7) 51.7 (2.6) $\leq 50cm$ 17 (14.5) 346 (15.4) 0.93 (0.48, 1.79) 1.06 (0.52, 2.17) 50.51 26 (22.2) 633 (28.2) 0.77 (0.42, 1.39) 0.79 (0.44, 1.43) 52 (2.17) 51.7 (2.6) $\leq 50cm$ 17 (14.5) 346 (15.4) 0.93 (0.48, 1.79) 1.06 (0.52, 2.17) 50.51 26 (22.2) 633 (28.2) 0.77 (0.42, 1.39) 0.79 (0.44, 1.43) 52 (2.17) 51.7 (2.6) $\leq 50cm$ 2.12 (10.3) 359 (16.0) 0.63 (0.31, 1.31) 0.63 (0.31, 1.30) 54 2 (2.10, 3.359 (16.0) 0.63 (0.31, 1.31) 0.63 (0.31, 1.30) 54 2 (2.10, 3.359 (16.0) 0.53 (0.31, 1.31) 0.63 (0.31, 1.30) 55 + 28 (2.2.9) 242 (10.8) 2.22 (1.22, 4.02) 2.16 (1.19, 3.92) Missing 0 0 99 Ponderal index ⁶ Mean (SD) 2.43 (0.8) 7.23.1) $\leq 41 (1.8) 0.95 (0.46, 1.23) 0.93 (0.45, 1.90)$ ≤ 33 (2.10, 2.3) 440 (19.6) 1.52 (0.84, 2.87) 1.56 (0.85, 2.88) \leq^{41} 48 (15.4) 435 (19.4) Referent Referent $Referent 4^{4}$ 2.14 (19.6) 1.52 (0.84, 2.87) 1.56 (0.85, 2.84) \leq^{41} 3.12 (0.65, 2.28) 1.28 (0.68, 2.41) $\leq 24 (10.8) 0.240 (19.6) 1.55 (0.84, 2.87) 1.56 (0.85, 2.88) \leq^{41} 4.83 (15.4) 4.35 (19.4) Referent ReferentReferent 4^{4} 4.14 (0.76, 2.77) 1.43 (0.76, 2.70)\leq 33 4.40 (19.6) 1.57 (0.48, 0.58) 1.73 (0.58, 5.77)\leq 35 4.114 (0.72, 1.73 (0.75, 5.74) 1.47 (0.38, 567)\leq 35 4.1138 (12.4) 8.12 (2.42) Referent ReferentReferent 8.10 (3.4, 1.38) 3.44 (6.7)\leq 35 4.114 (13.8) 3.44 (6.7)\leq 35 4.114 (13.8)$		Mean (SD)	N (%)	Mean (SD)	N (%)	CI)	(95% CI) ^a	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Placenta weight (g) ^b							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean (SD)	652.2 (161.0)		684.7				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≤540		9 (31.0)		90 (17.7)	5.81 (1.19, 28.26)	9.83 (1.94, 49.67)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	550-620		6 (20.7)		104 (20.5)	3.05 (0.60, 15.41)	3.68 (0.72, 18.93)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	630-690		2 (6.9)		107 (21.1)	Referent	Referent	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	700-790		4 (13.8)		99 (19.5)	2.32 (0.41, 13.03)		
Missing192Birth weight (g)3442.23397.3<2500	<	800+		8 (27.6)		108 (21.3)	4.18 (0.85, 20.41)	2.70 (0.53, 13.69)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Missing		1		92			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Birth weight (g)							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean (SD)	3442.2		3397.3				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<2500		7 (6.0)		124 (5.5)	1.27 (0.58, 2.82)	1.84 (0.72, 4.73)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2500-4000		78 (66.7)		1822 (80.6)	Referent	Referent	
Missing080 1 Placenta-birth weight ratio bPer 1 SD decrease $1.87 (0.94, 3.72)$ $1.56 (0.76, 3.20)$ Missing192Birth length (cm) cMean (SD) $52.1 (2.7)$ $51.7 (2.6)$ <50cm	>4000		32 (27.4)		314 (13.9)	2.41 (1.56, 3.71)	2.26 (1.45, 3.52)	
$\begin{array}{l lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Missing		0		80			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Placenta-birth weight ratio ^b							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Per 1 SD decrease					1.87 (0.94, 3.72)	1.56 (0.76, 3.20)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Missing		1		92			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Birth length (cm) ^c							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean (SD)	52.1 (2.7)		51.7 (2.6)				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<50cm	. ,	17 (14.5)		346 (15.4)	0.93 (0.48, 1.79)	1.06 (0.52, 2.17)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50-51		26 (22.2)		633 (28.2)	0.77 (0.42, 1.39)	0.79 (0.44, 1.43)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	52		21 (17.9)		397 (17.7)	Referent	Referent	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	53		12 (10.3)		359 (16.0)	0.63 (0.31, 1.31)	0.63 (0.31, 1.30)	
$55+$ $28 (23.9)$ $242 (10.8)$ $2.22 (1.22, 4.02)$ $2.16 (1.19, 3.92)$ Missing099Ponderal index c Mean (SD) $2.43 (0.38)$ $2.41 (0.26)$ Smallest27 (23.1) $544 (24.3)$ $1.22 (0.65, 2.28)$ $1.28 (0.68, 2.41)$ 2^{md} 28 (23.9)440 (19.6) $1.55 (0.84, 2.87)$ $1.56 (0.85, 2.88)$ 3^{rd} 18 (15.4)435 (19.4)ReferentReferent 4^{th} 21 (17.9)437 (19.5) $1.16 (0.61, 2.21)$ $1.14 (0.60, 2.18)$ Largest23 (19.7)385 (17.2) $1.44 (0.76, 2.72)$ $1.43 (0.76, 2.70)$ Missing099Head circumference (cm) b $Mean (SD)$ $35.0 (1.6)$ $34.9 (1.9)$ ≤ 33 6 (20.7)96 (18.9) $1.79 (0.49, 6.51)$ $2.74 (0.74, 10.22)$ 34 5 (17.2)103 (20.2) $1.42 (0.37, 5.44)$ $1.47 (0.38, 5.67)$ 35 4 (13.8)123 (24.2)ReferentReferent $36-37$ 10 (34.5)153 (30.1) $2.08 (0.63, 6.83)$ $1.73 (0.53, 5.72)$ $38+$ 4 (13.8)34 (6.7) $3.71 (0.87, 15.84)$ Missing191Per lem increase $1.06 (0.86, 1.32)$ $0.94 (0.73, 1.22)$ Abdominal circumference (cm) b $33.9 (2.1)$ $33.1 (2.4)$ ≤ 22 ≤ 32 6 (20.7)174 (36.0) $0.80 (0.27, 2.39)$ $0.95 (0.31, 2.88)$ 33.34 8 (27.6)185 (38.2)ReferentReferent $35+$ <td>54</td> <td></td> <td>13 (11.1)</td> <td></td> <td>264 (11.8)</td> <td>0.95 (0.46, 1.93)</td> <td>0.93 (0.45, 1.90)</td>	54		13 (11.1)		264 (11.8)	0.95 (0.46, 1.93)	0.93 (0.45, 1.90)	
Missing099Ponderal index °Mean (SD)2.43 (0.38)2.41 (0.26)Smallest27 (23.1)544 (24.3)1.22 (0.65, 2.28)1.28 (0.68, 2.41) 2^{nd} 28 (23.9)440 (19.6)1.55 (0.84, 2.87)1.56 (0.85, 2.88) 3^{st} 18 (15.4)435 (19.4)ReferentReferent 4^{th} 21 (17.9)437 (19.5)1.16 (0.61, 2.21)1.14 (0.60, 2.18)Largest23 (19.7)385 (17.2)1.44 (0.76, 2.72)1.43 (0.76, 2.70)Missing09999Head circumference (cm) b6 (20.7)96 (18.9)1.79 (0.49, 6.51)2.74 (0.74, 10.22)345 (17.2)103 (20.2)1.42 (0.37, 5.44)1.47 (0.38, 5.67)354 (13.8)123 (24.2)ReferentReferent36-3710 (34.5)153 (30.1)2.08 (0.63, 6.83)1.73 (0.53, 5.72)38+4 (13.8)34 (6.7)3.71 (0.87, 15.84)Missing1911.06 (0.86, 1.32)0.94 (0.73, 1.22)Abdominal circumference (cm) b33.9 (2.1)33.1 (2.4)2326 (20.7)174 (36.0)0.80 (0.27, 2.39)0.95 (0.31, 2.88)33-348 (27.6)185 (38.2)ReferentReferentReferent35+15 (51.7)125 (25.8)2.83 (1.16, 6.92)2.61 (1.05, 6.45)Missing1116116	55+		28 (23.9)		242 (10.8)	2.22 (1.22, 4.02)	2.16 (1.19, 3.92)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Missing		0		99	(,)		
Mean (SD)2.43 (0.38)2.41 (0.26)Smallest27 (23.1)544 (24.3)1.22 (0.65, 2.28)1.28 (0.68, 2.41) 2^{rd} 28 (23.9)440 (19.6)1.55 (0.84, 2.87)1.56 (0.85, 2.88) 3^{rd} 18 (15.4)435 (19.4)ReferentReferent 4^{th} 21 (17.9)437 (19.5)1.16 (0.61, 2.21)1.14 (0.60, 2.18)Largest23 (19.7)385 (17.2)1.44 (0.76, 2.72)1.43 (0.76, 2.70)Missing099Head circumference (cm) b $35.0 (1.6)$ 34.9 (1.9) ≤ 33 6 (20.7)96 (18.9)1.79 (0.49, 6.51)2.74 (0.74, 10.22)345 (17.2)103 (20.2)1.42 (0.37, 5.44)1.47 (0.38, 5.67)354 (13.8)123 (24.2)ReferentReferent36-3710 (34.5)153 (30.1)2.08 (0.63, 6.83)1.73 (0.53, 5.72)38+4 (13.8)34 (6.7)3.71 (0.87, 15.84)Missing191Missing191Mean (SD)33.9 (2.1)33.1 (2.4) ≤ 32 6 (20.7)174 (36.0)0.80 (0.27, 2.39)0.95 (0.31, 2.88)33-348 (27.6)185 (38.2)ReferentReferent35+15 (51.7)125 (25.8)2.83 (1.16, 6.92)2.61 (1.05, 6.45)Missing1116116	Ponderal index ^c							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean (SD)	2.43 (0.38)		2.41 (0.26)				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Smallest	- ()	27 (23.1)		544 (24.3)	1.22 (0.65, 2.28)	1.28 (0.68, 2.41)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2^{nd}		28 (23.9)		440 (19.6)	1.55 (0.84, 2.87)	1.56 (0.85, 2.88)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 rd		18 (15.4)		435 (19.4)	Referent	Referent	
Largest Missing $23 (19.7)$ 0 $385 (17.2)$ 99 $1.44 (0.76, 2.72)$ $1.43 (0.76, 2.70)$ $1.43 (0.76, 2.70)$ Head circumference (cm) b Mean (SD) $35.0 (1.6)$ $34.9 (1.9)$ ≤ 33 34 $6 (20.7)$ $5 (17.2)96 (18.9)1.38 (22.2)1.79 (0.49, 6.51)1.42 (0.37, 5.44)2.74 (0.74, 10.22)1.47 (0.38, 5.67)3435354 (13.8)123 (24.2)123 (24.2)ReferentReferentReferentReferent36-3738+Missing10 (34.5)1153 (30.1)912.08 (0.63, 6.83)1.73 (0.53, 5.72)38+Mean (SD)33.9 (2.1)33.1 (2.4)\leq 3233-346 (20.7)33.9 (2.1)33.1 (2.4)\leq 3233-346 (27.6)125 (25.8)2.83 (1.16, 6.92)2.83 (1.16, 6.92)2.61 (1.05, 6.45)Missing35+Missing1116$	4 th		21 (17.9)		437 (19.5)	1.16 (0.61, 2.21)	1.14 (0.60, 2.18)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Largest		23 (19.7)		385 (17.2)	1.44 (0.76, 2.72)	1.43 (0.76, 2.70)	
Head circumference (cm) bMean (SD) $35.0 (1.6)$ $34.9 (1.9)$ ≤ 33 $6 (20.7)$ $96 (18.9)$ $1.79 (0.49, 6.51)$ $2.74 (0.74, 10.22)$ 34 $5 (17.2)$ $103 (20.2)$ $1.42 (0.37, 5.44)$ $1.47 (0.38, 5.67)$ 35 $4 (13.8)$ $123 (24.2)$ ReferentReferent $36-37$ $10 (34.5)$ $153 (30.1)$ $2.08 (0.63, 6.83)$ $1.73 (0.53, 5.72)$ $38+$ $4 (13.8)$ $34 (6.7)$ $3.71 (0.87, 15.84)$ Missing1 91 Per 1cm increase $1.06 (0.86, 1.32)$ $0.94 (0.73, 1.22)$ Abdominal circumference (cm) b $33.9 (2.1)$ $33.1 (2.4)$ ≤ 32 $6 (20.7)$ $174 (36.0)$ $0.80 (0.27, 2.39)$ $0.95 (0.31, 2.88)$ $33-34$ $8 (27.6)$ $185 (38.2)$ ReferentReferent $35+$ $15 (51.7)$ $125 (25.8)$ $2.83 (1.16, 6.92)$ $2.61 (1.05, 6.45)$ Missing1 116 116 116	Missing		0		99			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Head circumference (cm) ^b							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean (SD)	35.0 (1.6)		34.9 (1.9)				
34 $5 (17.2)$ $103 (20.2)$ $1.42 (0.37, 5.44)$ $1.47 (0.38, 5.67)$ 35 $4 (13.8)$ $123 (24.2)$ ReferentReferent $36-37$ $10 (34.5)$ $153 (30.1)$ $2.08 (0.63, 6.83)$ $1.73 (0.53, 5.72)$ $38+$ $4 (13.8)$ $34 (6.7)$ $3.71 (0.87, 15.84)$ $$ Missing1 91 $$ Per 1cm increase $1.06 (0.86, 1.32)$ $0.94 (0.73, 1.22)$ Abdominal circumference (cm) b $33.9 (2.1)$ $33.1 (2.4)$ $$ ≤ 32 $6 (20.7)$ $174 (36.0)$ $0.80 (0.27, 2.39)$ $0.95 (0.31, 2.88)$ $33-34$ $8 (27.6)$ $185 (38.2)$ ReferentReferent $35+$ $15 (51.7)$ $125 (25.8)$ $2.83 (1.16, 6.92)$ $2.61 (1.05, 6.45)$ Missing1 116 116 $$	<33	~ /	6 (20.7)		96 (18.9)	1.79 (0.49, 6.51)	2.74 (0.74, 10.22)	
35 $4 (13.8)$ $123 (24.2)$ ReferentReferent $36-37$ $10 (34.5)$ $153 (30.1)$ $2.08 (0.63, 6.83)$ $1.73 (0.53, 5.72)$ $38+$ $4 (13.8)$ $34 (6.7)$ $3.71 (0.87, 15.84)$ $$ Missing 1 91 $1.06 (0.86, 1.32)$ $0.94 (0.73, 1.22)$ Abdominal circumference (cm) ^b $33.9 (2.1)$ $33.1 (2.4)$ $$ ≤ 32 $6 (20.7)$ $174 (36.0)$ $0.80 (0.27, 2.39)$ $0.95 (0.31, 2.88)$ $33-34$ $8 (27.6)$ $185 (38.2)$ ReferentReferent $35+$ $15 (51.7)$ $125 (25.8)$ $2.83 (1.16, 6.92)$ $2.61 (1.05, 6.45)$ Missing 1 116 116 116	34		5 (17.2)		103 (20.2)	1.42 (0.37, 5.44)	1.47 (0.38, 5.67)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	35		4 (13.8)		123 (24.2)	Referent	Referent	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	36-37		10 (34.5)		153 (30.1)	2.08 (0.63, 6.83)	1.73 (0.53, 5.72)	
Missing191Per 1cm increase1Abdominal circumference (cm) b ≤ 32 6 (20.7) ≤ 32 6 (20.7) 33.34 8 (27.6) $35+$ 15 (51.7) $Missing$ 1 16	38+		4 (13.8)		34 (6.7)	3.71 (0.87, 15.84)		
Per lcm increase $1.06 (0.86, 1.32)$ $0.94 (0.73, 1.22)$ Abdominal circumference (cm) b $33.9 (2.1)$ $33.1 (2.4)$ ≤ 32 $6 (20.7)$ $174 (36.0)$ $0.80 (0.27, 2.39)$ $0.95 (0.31, 2.88)$ $33-34$ $8 (27.6)$ $185 (38.2)$ ReferentReferent $35+$ $15 (51.7)$ $125 (25.8)$ $2.83 (1.16, 6.92)$ $2.61 (1.05, 6.45)$ Missing 1 116	Missing		1		91			
Abdominal circumference (cm) b 33.9 (2.1)33.1 (2.4) ≤ 32 6 (20.7)174 (36.0)0.80 (0.27, 2.39)0.95 (0.31, 2.88)33-348 (27.6)185 (38.2)ReferentReferent35+15 (51.7)125 (25.8)2.83 (1.16, 6.92)2.61 (1.05, 6.45)Missing1116116	Per 1cm increase		-		<i>,</i> -	1.06 (0.86, 1.32)	0.94 (0.73, 1.22)	
Mean (SD) $33.9 (2.1)$ $33.1 (2.4)$ ≤ 32 $6 (20.7)$ $174 (36.0)$ $0.80 (0.27, 2.39)$ $0.95 (0.31, 2.88)$ $33-34$ $8 (27.6)$ $185 (38.2)$ ReferentReferent $35+$ $15 (51.7)$ $125 (25.8)$ $2.83 (1.16, 6.92)$ $2.61 (1.05, 6.45)$ Missing 1 116	Abdominal circumference (cm	1) ^b					(((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((
$ \begin{array}{c} \leq 32 \\ 33-34 \\ 35+ \\ Missing \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 6 \ (20.7) \\ 8 \ (27.6) \\ 15 \ (51.7) \\ 1 \\ 16 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 174 \ (36.0) \\ 185 \ (38.2) \\ 2.83 \ (1.16, 6.92) \\ 2.61 \ (1.05, 6.45) \\ 116 \end{array} \right) $	Mean (SD)	33.9 (2.1)		33.1 (2.4)				
33-348 (27.6)185 (38.2)ReferentReferent35+15 (51.7)125 (25.8)2.83 (1.16, 6.92)2.61 (1.05, 6.45)Missing1116	<32		6 (20.7)		174 (36.0)	0.80 (0.27. 2.39)	0.95 (0.31, 2.88)	
35+ 15 (51.7) 125 (25.8) 2.83 (1.16, 6.92) 2.61 (1.05, 6.45) Missing 1 116	33-34		8 (27.6)		185 (38.2)	Referent	Referent	
Missing 1 116	35+		15 (51.7)		125 (25.8)	2.83 (1.16. 6.92)	2.61 (1.05, 6.45)	
	Missing		1		116	())	(,	

Per 1 cm increase			1.23 (1.03, 1.46)	1.18 (0.98, 1.43)
Head circumference-abdominal circumfere				
Per 1 SD decrease			1.66 (1.09, 2.54)	1.60 (1.03, 2.50)
Missing	1	116		. ,
Size for gestational age ^d				
<10th percentile	6 (9.4)	122 (9.6)	1.13 (0.47, 2.70)	
Middle 80%	45 (70.3)	1022 (80.8)	Referent	
>90th percentile	13 (20.3)	121 (9.6)	2.49 (1.30, 4.77)	
Missing	0	32		

a. Regressions adjust for gestational age, with the exception of the multivariate analysis of placenta weight, which adjusts for birthweight.

b. Available for births 1997+

c. Available for births 1973+

d. Available 1981-2004, singleton pregnancies only

imputed						
	Cases (N=210)		Controls (N=4071)		Crude OR (95%	Adjusted OR
	Mean (SD)	N (%)	Mean (SD)	N (%)	CI)	(95% CI) ^a
Placenta weight (g) ^b						
Mean (SD)	648.6 (161.1)		680.6 (168.8)			
≤540		23 (31.1)		241 (18.3)	2.79 (1.25, 6.21)	4.44 (1.93, 10.25)
550-620		16 (21.6)		265 (20.1)	1.72 (0.74, 3.98)	1.95 (0.84, 4.55)
630-690		9 (12.2)		255 (19.3)	Referent	Referent
700-790		11 (14.9)		267 (20.3)	1.17 (0.48, 2.88)	0.99 (0.40, 2.46)
800+		15 (20.3)		290 (22.0)	1.50 (0.64, 3.50)	1.03 (0.42, 2.50)
Missing		1		44		
Birth weight (g)						
Mean (SD)	3454.2 (621.4)		3385.7 (585.7)			
<2500		9 (4.3)		223 (5.5)	0.83 (0.42, 1.64)	0.97 (0.43, 2.17)
2500-4000		156 (74.3)		3239 (79.8)	Referent	Referent
>4000		45 (21.4)		597 (14.7)	1.59 (1.13, 2.25)	1.55 (1.09, 2.21)
Missing		0		12		
Placenta to birth weight	ratio ^b					
Per 1 SD decrease					2.07 (1.33, 3.22)	1.94 (1.23, 3.08)
Missing		1		47		
Birth length (cm) ^c						
Mean (SD)	51.9 (2.8)		51.6 (2.7)			
<50cm		27 (12.9)		623 (15.5)	0.87 (0.52, 1.46)	0.95 (0.54, 1.66)
50-51		61 (29.2)		1134 (28.2)	1.08 (0.71, 1.65)	1.10 (0.72, 1.69)
52		37 (17.7)		733 (18.2)	Referent	Referent
53		24 (11.5)		640 (15.9)	0.76 (0.45, 1.28)	0.76 (0.45, 1.28)
54		22 (10.5)		462 (11.5)	0.95 (0.55, 1.63)	0.94 (0.55, 1.62)
55+		38 (18.2)		434 (10.8)	1.80 (1.13, 2.89)	1.78 (1.11, 2.85)
Missing		1		45		
Ponderal index ^c						
Mean (SD)	2.4 (0.3)		2.4 (0.3)			
Smallest		42 (20.1)		838 (20.8)	0.98 (0.62, 1.55)	1.02 (0.65, 1.62)
2^{nd}		39 (18.7)		749 (18.6)	1.02 (0.65, 1.61)	1.03 (0.65, 1.62)
3 rd		41 (19.6)		794 (19.7)	Referent	Referent
4^{th}		44 (21.1)		843 (20.9)	1.01 (0.65, 1.57)	1.01 (0.65, 1.57)
Largest		43 (20.6)		802 (19.9)	1.06 (0.68, 1.64)	1.05 (0.68, 1.64)
Missing		1		45		
Head circumference (cm	ı) ^b					
Mean (SD)	35.1 (1.6)		34.9 (2.0)			
≤33		11 (15.3)		264 (19.9)	0.74 (0.34, 1.62)	0.95 (0.43, 2.11)
34		14 (19.4)		257 (19.4)	0.99 (0.48, 2.06)	1.02 (0.49, 2.11)
35		17 (23.6)		310 (23.4)	Referent	Referent
36-37		25 (34.7)		427 (32.2)	1.11 (0.58, 2.10)	1.03 (0.54, 1.97)
38+		5 (6.9)		68 (5.1)	1.40 (0.50, 3.97)	1.33 (0.47, 3.80)
Missing		3		36		
Per 1 cm increase					1.06 (0.94, 1.12)	1.00 (0.86, 1.16)
Abdominal circumference (cm) ^b						
Mean (SD)	33.6 (2.2)		33.1 (2.5)			
≤30		8 (11.3)		184 (14.4)	0.98 (0.37, 2.56)	1.25 (0.46, 3.40)
31-32		12 (16.9)		260 (20.3)	1.10 (0.46, 2.59)	1.16 (0.49, 2.74)
33		10 (14.1)		247 (19.3)	Referent	Referent

Supplementary Table 6. Multivariate analysis of growth metrics and Wilms tumor, among women whose gestational age was not imputed

34	14 (19.7)	245 (19.2)	1.30 (0.57, 3.00)	1.30 (0.56, 2.99)
35+	27 (38.0)	342 (26.8)	1.87 (0.89, 3.94)	1.81 (0.86, 3.81)
Missing	4	84		
Per 1 cm increase			1.11 (1.00, 1.23)	1.08 (0.96, 1.21)
Head circumference to abdominal	l circumference ratio ^b			
Per 1 SD decrease			1.23 (0.96, 1.60)	1.19 (0.91, 1.56)
Missing	4	84		
Size for gestational age ^d				
<10th percentile	13 (10.3)	231 (9.3)	1.22 (0.67, 2.21)	
Middle 80%	93 (73.8)	2002 (81.0)	Referent	
>90th percentile	20 (15.9)	240 (9.7)	1.81 (1.10, 3.00)	
Missing	0	3		

a. Regressions adjust for gestational age, with the exception of the multivariate analysis of placenta weight, which adjusts for birthweight.

b. Available for births 1997+

c. Available for births 1973+

d. Available 1981-2004, singleton pregnancies only



FIGURE 1 Birth weight vs. Placenta weight, Wilms tumor cases and controls