UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Ubiquitin Specific Protease 1 Expression and Function in T Cell Immunity.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1w4603fj>

Journal

The Journal of Immunology, 207(5)

ISSN

0022-1767

Authors

Omilusik, Kyla D Nadjsombati, Marija S Yoshida, Tomomi M [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1w4603fj#author)

Publication Date

2021-09-01

DOI

10.4049/jimmunol.2100303

Peer reviewed

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript J Immunol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Immunol. 2021 September 01; 207(5): 1377–1387. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.2100303.

Ubiquitin specific protease 1 expression and function in T cell immunity

Kyla D Omilusik1,* , **Marija S Nadjsombati**1, **Tomomi M Yoshida**1, **Laura A Shaw**1, **John Goulding**1, **Ananda W Goldrath**1,*

¹Division of Biological Sciences, Molecular Biology Section, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA

Abstract

T cells are essential mediators of immune responses against infectious diseases and provide longlived protection from reinfection. The differentiation of naive to effector T cells and subsequent differentiation and persistence of memory T cell populations in response to infection is a highly regulated process. E protein transcription factors and their inhibitors, Id proteins, are important regulators of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses; however, their regulation at the protein level has not been explored. Recently, the deubiquitinase USP1 was shown to stabilize Id2 and modulate cellular differentiation in osteosarcomas. Here, we investigated a role for Usp1 in posttranslational control of Id2 and Id3 in murine T cells. We show that Usp1 was upregulated in T cells following activation in vitro or following infection in vivo, and the extent of Usp1 expression correlated with the degree of T cell expansion. Usp1 directly interacted with Id2 and Id3 following T cell activation. However, Usp1-deficiency did not impact Id protein abundance in effector T cells or alter effector T cell expansion or differentiation following a primary infection. Usp1 deficiency resulted in a gradual loss of memory $CDS⁺ T$ cells over time and reduced Id2 protein levels and proliferation of effector $CD8⁺ T$ cell following reinfection. Together, these results identify Usp1 as a player in modulating recall responses at the protein level and highlight differences in regulation of T cell responses between primary and subsequent infection encounters. Finally, our observations reveal that differential regulation of Id2/3 proteins between immune vs non-immune cell types.

Keywords

T cell differentiation; antiviral T cell responses; protein regulation; ubiquitylation; deubiquitinase

Introduction

T cells are key components of the adaptive immune response to combat infectious disease. In the event of infection, naive T cells are induced to proliferate and differentiate into armed effector cells that can directly eliminate pathogen as well as enhance and direct the function of other immune cells. Upon the resolution of infection, a fraction of the antigenspecific cells persist indefinitely as memory T cells, providing protection from reinfection.

^{*}Correspondence should be addressed to A.W.G or K.D.O.: agoldrath@ucsd.edu; komilusik@ucsd.edu.

Functional and phenotypic heterogeneity exists within both the $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ effector T cell populations. Naive $CD4^+$ T cells can differentiate into different T helper lineages, including Th1, Th2, Th17, T regulatory and T follicular helper (Tfh) lineages depending on the context of the infection or inflammation (1). Two major subsets of effector $CD8^+$ T cells can be identified by differential expression of CD127 and KLRG1, where KLRG1hiCD127^{lo} define terminal effector (TE) and KLRG1^{lo}CD127^{hi} delineate memory precursor (MP) cells (2). Both populations undergo contraction as the infection is cleared; however, the TE subset continues to contract over the months following antigen exposure while the MP subset provides stable, persistent memory (3, 4). The long-lived circulating memory T cell pool has also been broadly classified into 2 main subsets: effector memory (T_{EM}) and central memory (T_{CM}) T cells (5, 6). T_{EM} considered to be shorter-lived and more terminally differentiated, display enhanced effector-like properties and circulate through the vasculature and tissue while T_{CM} exhibit heightened homeostatic proliferation, multipotency, longevity and recall potential and mediate their localization to lymph nodes via expression of CD62L and CCR7 $(5–8)$. Within the peripheral CD8⁺ T cell memory pool, a population of long-lived cells that are terminally differentiated but with potent effector functions, t - T_{EM} , have also been described (9, 10)

Heterogeneous memory T cell fates are directed and maintained by distinct transcriptional programs that are induced in activated T cells (11–15). E box-binding transcription factors and their inhibitors, Id proteins, are essential regulators of both $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cell differentiation (16–23). Increased E protein activity in CD8⁺ T cell shortly after activation supports a transcriptional program that promotes MP cell formation and E protein-deficient $CD8⁺$ T cells favor production of KLRG1^{hi} TE and effector-memory cells (17). Id2 and Id3 inhibit E protein activity to modulate T cell differentiation in seemingly opposing fashion. Effector $CD8^+$ T cells require Id2 to accumulate and persist long term, and Id2deficiency results in almost exclusive formation of the MP subset (16, 18–20). Conversely, Id3-expressing effector CD8+ T cells exhibit a similar transcriptional gene-expression profile to long-lived memory cells, preferentially differentiate into memory cells, survive longer, and responded better to secondary challenge compared to effector cells that do not upregulate Id3 (22). Within the $CD4^+$ T cell helper and memory populations, E and Id proteins are critical for T helper cell specification. E protein deficiency promotes enhanced Treg cell development while Id2 and Id3 deletion impair differentiation and localization of Foxp3+ Treg cells and loss of Id2 results in reduced survival and function of adipose tissue Treg cells (24–27). Furthermore, CD4+ T cells lacking Id2 or Id3 have impaired Th17 cell development in mouse models of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and asthma, respectively (26, 28). During viral infection, Th1 cells preferentially express Id2 while Tfh cells express high levels of Id3 (21, 29). Id2-deficiency impairs Th1 differentiation after infection and leads to the generation of effector cells with mixed Th1/Tfh characteristics while lack of Id3 promotes Tfh development following infection and immunization (21, 30).

While mRNA levels are reported as proxy for protein abundance and activity of corresponding proteins; localization, post-translational modifications and programmed destruction of proteins also contribute to regulation of protein concentration within the cell (31, 32). Ubiquitylation is a key post-translational modification that facilitates protein-protein interactions, directs protein localization, instructs protein destruction, and

regulates signal transduction, all of which are essential for proper cell function (33, 34). Ubiquitylation is achieved through a regulated, multi-step enzymatic reaction with E3 ubiquitin ligases catalyzing the formation of ubiquitin chains and deubiquitinase (DUB) enzymes countering this by removing ubiquitin modifications in a substrate-specific manner (33–35). Recently, a DUB family member, USP1, was shown to play a major role in promoting Id2 stability in a common bone cancer, osteosarcoma (36). Overexpression of USP1 *in vitro* resulted in increased abundance of non-ubiquitinated Id2. In contrast, USP1 knockdown experiments in osteosarcoma cell lines and primary osteoblasts resulted in Id2 protein destabilization and increased E protein transcriptional activity (36). Further analysis of several primary osteosarcoma tumors also showed a significant increase in USP1 expression that correlated with an increase in Id2 levels (36).

As the exact mechanisms regulating E/Id protein activity that maintain controlled expansion, differentiation and survival of T cells remain unclear, we investigated the role of Usp1 in antiviral T cell responses. Here, we show Usp1 is expressed by effector CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells and interacts with both Id2 and Id3 proteins. Despite this interaction, Usp1 did not appear to be essential for regulation of Id protein abundance and was not required for expansion or differentiation of $CD4^+$ or $CD8^+$ T cells in primary infection; however, Usp1deficiency resulted in reduced Id2 protein levels and moderately impaired the proliferation of secondary effector CD8+ T cells. Thus, T cell dependence on Usp1 for Id2 protein stability appears to be stage-specific, and this study highlights differential requirements by in CD8+ T cells following repeated infection.

Materials and Methods

Mice and cell lines

All mouse strains were bred and housed in specific pathogen–free conditions in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Guidelines of the University of California San Diego. Usp1 knockout-first gene-targeted C57BL/6 ES cells were obtained from the KOMP Repository ([www.komp.org\)](http://www.komp.org) and were created at the University of California Davis by the trans-NIH Knock-Out Mouse Project (KOMP) using vector Usp1^{tm1a(KOMP)Wtsi} (37). Usp1-LacZ reporter mice were generated by the Transgenic Mouse and Knock-Out Core at UCSD. Usp1 f/f mice were produced by mating the Usp1-LacZ reporter mouse line to FLPo deleter strain (The Jackson Laboratory, stock no 012930). Usp1-floxed mice were subsequently crossed to a CD4-Cre recombinase line (The Jackson Laboratory, stock no 017336) for T cell-specific deletion of Usp1. Id $2^{f l / f l}$ (38), Id $3^{f l / f l}$ (39), P14 mice (with transgenic expression of H-2D^b–restricted TCR specific for LCMV glycoprotein gp33), CD45.1 and CD45.1.2 congenic mice were all bred in house. All mice were fully backcrossed onto a C57BL/6J background. Both male and female mice were used throughout the study, with sex- and age-matched T cell donors and recipients. Mouse A20 cells (ATCC TIB-208) were grown in RPMI-1640 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 0.05mM 2-mercaptoethanol.

T cell activation

Naive CD4+ or CD8+ T cells were positively selected from single-cell suspensions prepared from spleen and lymph node by mechanical disruption using streptavidin MicroBeads according to manufacturer's instructions (Miltenyi Biotec). Purified cells were activated in twelve-well plates coated with 0.1-10 μg/mL αCD3 (145–2C11, eBioscience), and 1 μg/mL αCD28 (37.51, eBioscience) for indicated time. To assess proliferation, naive cells were stained with CellTrace Violet according to manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen) prior to activation.

Immunoprecipitation and Western Blot

Proteins were extracted in lysis buffer (1% NP-40, 120 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], and 1 mM EDTA) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). 10ug of protein per sample was resolved on NuPage 4-12% Bis-Tris precast gels in MES buffer (Invitrogen), transferred to 0.45μm PVDF membrane then blocked with 5% BSA in TBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20. Id2 (1:1000; 9-2-8, CalBioeagents or D39E8, Cell Signaling), Id3 (1:1000; 6-1, CalBioreagents), Usp1 (1:1000; D37B4, Cell Signaling), Wdr48 (1:1000; NBP1-81404, Novus Biologicals) or β-actin (1:1000; 8H10D10, Cell Signaling) primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C followed by HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature (1:10000, Jackson ImmunoResearch). Proteins were visualized with chemiluminescent ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent (Amersham) or ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Pierce) and imaged on a BioRad ChemiDoc. ImageJ software was used to quantify protein bands. For Immunoprecipitation, 1-1.5 mg of protein lysate was incubated with Id2 (9-2-8, CalBioeagents) or Id3 (6-1, CalBioreagents) antibody overnight at 4°C followed by protein A/G agarose beads (Santa Cruz) for 2-3 hours at 4°C.

Cell transfer and infections

P14 CD8⁺ T cells congenically distinct for CD45 were adoptively transferred at $5x10^4$ cells per recipient mouse. Mice were then infected with $2x10^5$ PFU LCMV-Armstrong by intraperitoneal injection, $2x10^6$ PFU VV-GP₃₃ by intraperitoneal injection or $3x10^4$ CFU Lm-GP₃₃ by intravenous injection.

Flow Cytometry and Sorting

Single-cell suspensions were prepared from blood or spleen. The following antibodies were used for surface staining (all from eBioscience unless otherwise stated): CD4 (RM4-5 or GK1.5), CD8 (53-6.7), CD11a (M17/4, Biolegend), CD27 (LG-7F9), CD43 (1B11), CD44 (IM7,eBioscience or MEL-14,Biolegend), CD45.1 (A20-1.7), CD45.2 (104), CD49d (R1-2), CD62L (MEL-14), CD122 (TM-b1), CD127 (A7R34), CXCR3 (CXCR3-173), CXCR5 (SPRCL5, Invitrogen), KLRG1 (2F1), PD1 (J43), and SLAM (TC15-12F12.2, Biolegend). GP₃₃ (H-2D^b/KAVYNFATC, NIH) or GP₂₇₆ (H-2D^b/SGVENPGGYCL, NIH) tetramers were included with the surface antibody stain. Cells were incubated for 30 min at 4°C in PBS supplemented with 2% bovine growth serum and 0.1% sodium azide. Intracellular staining was performed using the BD Cytofix/Cytoperm Solution Kit (BD Biosciences) and the following antibodies: BCL2 (3F11, BD PharMingen), Eomes

(Dan11mag, eBiosciences), GzmB (GB12; Invitrogen), Ki67 (SolA15, eBiosciences), Tbet (eBio4B10, eBiosciences), and TCF1 (C63D9, Cell Signaling). For cytokine staining, splenocytes were incubated for 5 hours at 37° C in RPMI-1640 media containing 10% (v/v) bovine growth serum with 10 nM GP₃₃₋₄₁ peptide (Anaspec) and Protein Transport Inhibitor (eBioscience) then stained with IFNγ (XMG1.2, eBioscience) and TNFα (MP6-XT22, eBioscience) antibodies. For LacZ reporter detection by flow cytometry, cells were loaded with Fluorescein di(β-D-galactopyranoside) (FDG, Sigma). Single cell suspensions in warm HBSS buffer (1x HBSS supplemented with 2% fetal calf serum and 10mM buffer [pH 7.2]) were mixed in a 1:1 ratio with 2mM FDG diluted in $dH₂O$ and incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 min. The cell mixture was added to ice cold HBSS buffer and incubated for 1.5 hours on ice before staining with surface antibodies. Stained cells were analyzed using LSRFortessa or LSRFortessa X-20 cytometers (BD) and FlowJo software (TreeStar). All sorting was performed on BD FACSAria or BD FACSAria Fusion instruments.

Statistics

Two-tailed paired Student's t test and linear regression analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software. Microarray data from Immgen [\(https://www.immgen.org/\)](https://www.immgen.org/) was analyzed using GenePattern software.

Results

Usp1 is expressed upon T cell activation

Usp1 has recently been shown to play a major role in promoting Id2 stability and subsequent regulation of E protein activity in osteosarcoma (36). Given the necessity of Id2 for T cell survival and differentiation following infection (16, 18, 20–22) and the corresponding increase in Usp1 mRNA upon $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cell activation (Fig. 1A), we further investigated the role of Usp1 as a regulator of T cell fate and survival.

Usp1 expression may be induced downstream of the T cell receptor (TCR) to regulate the factors, such as Id proteins, that are necessary for effector T cell activation and cell differentiation. We first sought to define the expression pattern of Usp1 in T cells. Using targeted 'knockout-first' ES cells, we generated reporter mice where the LacZ gene is driven by the Usp1 promoter (37). A flow cytometry-based assay to detect β-galactosidase ($LacZ$) activity in isolated reporter T cells demonstrated Usp1 expression is increased in CD8+ and CD4+ T cells over 3 days following TCR engagement (Fig. 1B), and with increasing doses of plate-bound αCD3 (Fig. 1C). As well, Usp1 was expressed prior to the first cell division then thereafter in almost all proliferating $CD8⁺$ and $CD4⁺$ T cells (Fig. 1D) consistent with the finding in non-immune cells that Usp1 transcription is regulated in a cell-cycle dependent fashion (40).

We confirmed these results by Western blot and compared the expression of Usp1 and its functional partner Wdr48 to that of Id2 and Id3 as these transcriptional regulators have been shown to interact with Usp1 in other cell types (36) and to be necessary for T cell activation and differentiation into effector and memory subsets (Fig. 1E) (16, 18, 20–23). Usp1 protein levels correlated with the abundance of Id2 and Id3 protein, further supporting a role for

Usp1 in stabilizing these important T cell factors. We indeed found a direct association of Usp1 with Id2 and Id3 proteins in activated T cells. Usp1 coimmunoprecipitated with both Id2 and Id3 from T cells that had been activated with plate-bound αCD3 for one day (Fig. 1F). While Usp1 undoubtedly targets additional proteins in the T cell activation and differentiation pathways, these results place Usp1 in a setting where it may contribute to Id protein stability and/or function as it does in osteosarcoma cells (36).

Antigen-specific T cell express Usp1 following infection

Using our established Usp1-LacZ reporter mice, we next examined Usp1 expression patterns in T cells responding to infection. Usp1-LacZ reporter mice were infected with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) and peripheral blood CD8+ T cells specific for the immunodominant epitope GP_{33} (Fig. 2A,C) or the subdominant epitope GP_{276} (Fig. 2B,C) were assessed for Usp1 reporter activity over the course of infection. At day 7 of infection, the peak of the effector CD8⁺ T cell response, \sim 90% of all GP₃₃-specific CD8⁺ T cells expressed Usp1. On subsequent days, the proportion of CD8+ T cells expressing Usp1 steadily declined with ~8% of $CD8⁺$ T cells expressing Usp1 by day 15 of infection and only \sim 1% of the memory population ($>$ day 30) remained positive for the Usp1 reporter. Within the effector $CD8^+$ T cell population responding to the subdominant LCMV epitope, GP_{276} , only ~56% of total cells expressed Usp1 at day 7 of infection suggesting that the strength of TCR signaling may dictate the level of Usp1 expression. Usp1 expression was also transient in the GP_{276} -specific population, and similar to the immunodominant T cell population by day 15 of infection only ~12% of GP_{276} -specific CD8⁺ T cells expressed Usp1. The kinetics of Usp1 expression as detected by the LacZ-reporter was consistent with measured Usp1 mRNAs levels following acute infection (Fig. 1D).

 $CD8⁺$ T cells subsets are differentially reliant on Id protein transcriptional regulation with Id2 promoting and sustaining survival and terminal differentiation of the terminal effector (TE; KLRG1^{hi} CD127^{lo}) CD8⁺ T cells (16, 18, 20–22) and Id3 (22, 23) supporting memory precursor (MP; KLRG1^{lo}CD127^{hi}) effector and memory $CD8^+$ T cell populations. While Id3 expression is specific to the MP CD8⁺ T cells, Id2 is expressed in all effector CD8⁺ T cell populations leading us to speculate that subset-specific protein stability and/or function mediated by DUBs might account for the TE subset dependency on Id2. Thus, we next compared Usp1 expression between TE and MP CD8+ T cells in the spleen (Fig. 2E). The proportion of Usp1 expressing cells and the degree to which Usp1 was expressed was comparable between TE and MP $CD8^+$ T cells at day 8 and 9 of infection. Western blot analysis of KLRG1^{hi} and KLRG1^{1o} CD8⁺ T cells at day 10 of infection confirmed similar Usp1 expression across effector CD8+ T cell subsets (Fig. 2F).

Splenic effector CD4⁺ T cells from Usp1-LacZ reporter mice infected with LCMV-Armstrong were also analyzed. On day 8 of infection, ~36% of antigen-experienced (CD11a+CD49d+) CD4+ T cells expressed Usp1 (Fig. 2G). We previously reported differential Id2 and Id3 expression among CD4+ T cell subsets with Th1 cells exhibiting robust Id2 expression and Tfh and GCTfh cells predominantly expressing Id3 (21). We further examined these subsets to see if Usp1 was also differentially expressed (Fig. 2H). Th1 cells (CXCR5− SLAM+ or CXCR5− PD1−) had clear bimodal expression of

Usp1; \sim 40% of cells within the Th1 population were Usp1 reporter⁺. The Tfh subset $(CXCR5+SLAM^{lo}$ or $CXCR5+PD1^{lo}$ contained the fewest Usp1 expressing cells; and the GCTfh population showed more homogeneous Usp1 reporter expression than the Th1 subset (Fig. 2I). The polyclonal antigen-experienced population includes CD4+ T cells with different antigen-specificities, thus we cannot rule out the possibility that the variation of USP1 expression within the Th populations is a result of different TCR signaling strength across the effector $CD4^+$ T cell population. Usp1 mRNA levels in $CD4^+$ T cells following infection showed similar trends to those detected by analysis of the Usp1 LacZ-reporter mice (Fig 2J). Overall, CD4+ T cell subsets responding to LCMV infection showed unique patterns of Usp1 expression; however, this expression was not as distinct between the Th1 and Tfh populations as that exhibited by the Id proteins (21).

Our in vitro analysis of Usp1 expression indicated Usp1 was expressed in T cells that had divided (Fig.1D). To correlate Usp1 expression to differences in effector T cell expansion, we next examined the population of antigen-specific T cells responding to three distinct pathogens. To track the T cell responses in vivo, the Usp1-LacZ reporter mice were crossed to LCMV-GP $_{33}$ -specific P14 TCR transgenic mice. Congenically distinct Usp1-LacZ reporter P14 CD8+ T cells were transferred into mice that were then infected with LCMV or 2 different recombinant pathogens, *Listeria monocytogenes* bacterial strain (Lm-GP₃₃) or recombinant Vaccinia Virus strain (VV-GP₃₃). Peripheral blood CD8⁺ T cells compared over the course of infection were examined by flow cytometry for Usp1-LacZ reporter activity (Fig. S1A,B). CD8+ T cell population responding to LCMV infection exhibited the earliest Usp1 expression and the greatest proportion of Usp1-expressing cells, with \sim 95% at day 6 of infection. Lm-GP₃₃ infection induced a peak of Usp1 expression in effector CD8⁺ T cells a day later, at day 7 of infection, with ~53% of all P14 CD8⁺ T cells expressing Usp1. Finally, the frequency of Usp1 expression was lowest in the CD8⁺ T cell population responding to VV-GP₃₃ infection with \sim 37% of cells expressing Usp1 at day 8 of infection. Importantly, the degree of expansion of CD8+ T cells correlated with the frequency of Usp1 expressing cells (Fig. S1C) indicating that the extent of T cell proliferation following infection likely dictates the extent of Usp1 expression. Regardless of the frequency of Usp1 expressing T cells within the effector T cell population, Usp1 expression was similar between the TE and MP subsets in all infections examined (Fig. S1D,E).

TE CD8+ T cells express increased Usp1 in recall responses

Upon multiple encounters with antigen, the effector CD8⁺ T cell population evolves to include an increased frequency of KLRG1 and Granzyme B expressing T cells as well as acquires a slower ability to attain central memory characteristics and displays stepwise changes in its transcriptional memory program (3, 41–44). Thus, we next examined Usp1 expression in a CD8+ T cell population responding to rechallenge. Congenically distinct naive Usp1-LacZ reporter P14 CD8⁺ T cells were transferred into mice that were then infected with LCMV. After 30 days of infection, the mice were rechallenged with Lm-GP_{33} and the antigen-specific CD8+ T cells were examined for Usp1 expression over the course of the secondary response. The mice were infected a third time with a $VV-GP_{33}$ infection to assess a tertiary response. The CD8⁺ P14 population in the peripheral blood had the

highest frequency of Usp1-expressing cells at day 4 and 5 following both secondary and tertiary infection with a peak of 27% and 23% (Fig. 3A,B), respectively. Interestingly, when the TE and MP were individually assessed for Usp1 expression, on both day 4 and 5 of the secondary or tertiary infection, the TE CD8⁺ T cell subset had a significantly increased frequency of Usp1-expressing cells compared to the MP population on the same day of infection (Fig. 3C,D). To ensure this phenomenon was not simply due to differences in the pathogens used for rechallenge, we transferred congenically distinct Usp1-LacZ reporter P14 $CD8^+$ T cells into mice then infected half with LCMV and the other half with Lm-GP₃₃. After 30 days, these mice were rechallenged with the opposite pathogen to that used for the primary infection. Regardless of whether LCMV or Lm-GP₃₃ was delivered as a secondary infection, the TE subset contained an increased frequency of Usp1-expressing CD8+ T cells compared to the MP population (Fig. S2).

Usp1-deficient CD8+ T cells fail to accumulate during rechallenge

We next assessed the role of Usp1 in survival and differentiation of T cells responding to infection. To achieve this, we generated Usp1 conditional knockout (KO) mice by crossing our Usp1-LacZ reporter mouse line to transgenic FLP mice. Flp recombinase converts the Usp1 knockout-first allele to a Usp1 conditional allele that is floxed with loxP sites (37). The Usp1-floxed mice were then crossed to a CD4-Cre recombinase line to achieve T cell-specific deletion of Usp1. Finally, these mice were crossed to the P14 TCR transgenic line so we could track antigen-specific T cells in vivo. Congenically distinct Usp1^{f/f}-CD4Cre⁺ (Usp1^{KO}) and wildtype littermate (Usp1^{WT}) P14 CD8⁺ T cells were mixed 1:1 and transferred into recipient mice that were then infected with LCMV. The frequency of $Usp1^{KO}$ P14 CD8⁺ T cells remained equivalent or moderately increased compared to $Usp1^{WT}$ cells in the peripheral blood through the effector (day 5-8) phase of infection (Fig. 4A,B). Similar proportion and number of $Usp1^{WT}$ and $Usp1^{KO}$ effector P14 $CD8⁺$ T cells were also observed in the spleen at day 5 and 7 of infection (Fig. 4C). The Usp1^{KO} P14 CD8⁺ T cells did persisted into the memory (\sim day 60-74) phase of infection in the peripheral blood at a marginally reduced frequency compared to WT controls (Fig. 4A,B). Usp1 deficiency had minimal impact on proliferation and survival of effector CD8+ T cells at day 5 and 7 of primary infection as measured by Ki67 and BCL2 expression, respectively (Fig. 4D). Furthermore, the splenic Usp 1^{WT} and Usp 1^{KO} antigen-specific effector T cell populations were composed of similar frequencies of TE and MP subsets at day 5 and 7 of infection (Fig. 4E) and expressed comparable levels of T cell activation markers (CD44, PD1), T cell subset defining surface markers (CD27, CD43, CXCR31, and CD122), and transcription factors (TCF1, EOMES, and TBET) (Fig. 4F). As Usp1 has been reported to play a role in DNA damage repair (40, 45), we next assessed the impact of Usp1-deficiency on the CD62L^{hi} MP effector population reported to have heightened DNA damage sensing and repair ability (46). We observed a small, statistically significant increase in this population perhaps suggesting that a reduction of DNA damage repair mechanisms allows for increased cell expansion (Fig. 4G). Finally, effector T cells lacking Usp1 secreted equivalent IFN γ and TNF α following ex vivo GP₃₃ stimulation and showed elevated expression of Granzyme B compared to $Usp1^{WT} P14 CD8⁺ T$ cells (Fig. 4H,I).

We also examined the impact of Usp1-deficiency on the formation of Th cell subsets following acute infection. Usp1^{KO} and Usp1^{WT} were infected with LCMV and antigenexperienced (CD11a⁺CD49d⁺) CD4⁺ T cells were assessed on day 8 of infection. Although the Th cell subsets showed a range of Usp1 expression, Th1 (CXCR5−SLAM+ or CXCR5[−]PD1[−]), Tfh (CXCR5⁺SLAM^{lo} or CXCR5⁺PD1^{lo}), and GCTfh (CXCR5⁺PD1⁺) cell lacking Usp1 accumulated to the same frequency and numbers as the wild type controls (Fig. S3).

While we see the reporter expressed, we do not see an essential cell intrinsic role for Usp1 that correlates with the dependency on Id proteins; thus, we looked to see if Id2 or Id3 protein levels in T cells were altered with Usp1 deficiency. Naive CD8+ and CD4+ T cells lacking Usp1 were activated in vitro with αCD3 and αCD28 then Id2 and Id3 protein was detected by Western blot. Following activation, Id2 and Id3 protein levels in Usp1^{KO} CD4⁺ and CD8+ T cells were comparable to corresponding wild type cells (Fig. 4J). Furthermore, Usp1^{WT} and Usp1^{KO} CD8⁺ P14 cells at day 7 of LCMV infection also expressed equivalent levels of Id2 protein (Figure 4K). However, when we assessed Id2 and Id3 protein levels in Usp1-deficient thymocytes, we detected a slight decrease in Id2 protein expression (Fig. S4). Therefore, while Usp1 expression was dynamically regulated in activated T cells, loss of Usp1 alone did not appear to be essential for Id protein stability in effector T cells following primary infection, in contrast to thymocytes and osteosarcoma cells (36).

As noted above, Usp1-deficient P14 $CD8⁺$ T cells declined in frequency compared to WT cells at late memory timepoints (Fig. 4A,B). In particular, a decreased proportion of Usp1^{KO} T_{CM} (CD62L^{hi}CD127^{hi}) was observed despite an increased frequency CD62L⁺ MP cells observed earlier in infection (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, Usp1 expression appeared more dynamic across the secondary and tertiary effector $CD8⁺ T$ cell subsets as compared to the primary effector populations (Fig. 3); thus, we next evaluated the recall response of Usp1-deficient memory $CD8^+$ T cells. Mice receiving a mixed transfer of Usp1^{WT} and Usp1^{KO} P14 CD8⁺ T cells were infected with LCMV then 30 days after infection were rechallenged with Lm-GP_{33} . Following the kinetics of the CD8⁺ T cell secondary response in the blood, we found the $\text{Usp1}^{\text{KO}}\text{CD8}^+$ T cells showed a modest accumulation defect following reinfection and were maintained at a reduced frequency compared to the $Usp1^{WT}$ cells beyond the contraction phase (Fig. 5B,C). A reduced frequency and number of USP1KO P14 CD8+ T cells was also observed in the spleen on day 4 of reinfection (Fig. 5D). Despite the peripheral blood $Usp1^{KO}CD8⁺$ T cell population appearing slightly more terminally differentiated than their Usp1WT counterpart (Fig. S5A–C), the splenic Usp1-deficient effector CD8+ T cell population contained an equivalent proportion of TE (KLRG1^{hi}CD127^{lo}), DP (KLRG1^{hi}CD127^{hi}) and MP (KLRG1^{lo}CD127^{hi}) cells that expressed similar levels of T cell subset defining markers (CD27, CD43, CX3CR1) when compared to the Usp1WT control on day 4 of secondary infection (Fig. 5E,F). On day 4 of reinfection, the CD8⁺ T cells lacking Usp1 produced IFN γ and TNF α and underwent degranulation in response to stimulation (Fig. S5D–E); however, they expressed significantly less Granzyme B than the Usp1^{WT} CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 5I).

Since Usp1-deficiency affected the accumulation of the secondary effector T cell population, we next examined Id2 protein abundance in Usp1^{KO} T cells. Importantly, Usp1^{KO} CD8⁺ P14

T cells at day 4 of LM-GP₃₃ infection had reduced Id2 protein levels when compared to the Usp1^{WT} CD8⁺ T cells (Fig 5G). E proteins and their regulators Id proteins are critical for controlling survival and proliferation during T cell development and differentiation (47, 48). Therefore, we investigated whether the reduced accumulation of the secondary effector $CD8^+$ T cells was a result of diminish proliferation or survival. Usp1^{KO} $CD8^+$ T cells expressed comparable levels of the antiapoptotic molecule BCL2 (Fig. S5F) to USP1WT $CD8⁺$ T cells at day 4 of secondary infection but expressed significantly less Ki67 (Fig. 5H) indicating that reduced numbers of USP1-deficient secondary effector CD8⁺ T cells are a result of reduced cellular proliferation. Thus, although modest, Usp1-deficiency impacted the secondary effector T cells more so than the effector T cells of the primary response suggesting stage-specific regulation of T cell populations.

Discussion

E and Id protein are key transcriptional regulators driving the development, differentiation and maintenance of T cell populations (47), and thus their expression and function must be precisely modulated. Here, we investigated the regulation of Id2 and Id3 protein stability by the DUB enzyme, Usp1. Usp1 expression was upregulated in activated T cells in vitro and in effector $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cell populations following infection *in vivo* with the degree of Usp1 expression correlating with the size of the effector $CD8^+$ T cell population. Despite its expression pattern, Usp1-deficiency did not impact effector CD8+ T cells proliferation and survival and $\text{Usp1}^{\text{KO}}\text{CD8}^+$ T cells accumulated to levels comparable to the WT population following primary infection. Differentiation of primary effector $CD8⁺ T$ cells was also unaffected by lack of Usp1 but a gradual loss of memory T cells did occur over time. Furthermore, the subsequent Usp1-deficient secondary effector T cell population had a modest defect in accumulation due to impaired proliferation. While Usp1 associated with both Id2 and Id3 in activated T cells, the Id protein levels in in vitro activated T cells and effector CD8+ T cells during primary expansion were unaffected by the loss of Usp1. However, we did observe a reduction in Id2 protein levels for $\mathrm{Usp1^{KO}}$ T cells responding to subsequent infection as reported for osteosarcomas (36). Taken together, the role of Usp1 in modulating Id protein abundance appears dependent on cell-stage, highlighting that additional mechanisms beyond Usp1-mediated deubiquitylation must be employed in effector T cell populations to regulate Id protein turnover.

The fact that T cells within the secondary effector population rely on Usp1 expression to a greater degree than those in the primary effector population may suggest that other DUBs compensate for the absence of Usp1 in the primary response more so than in subsequent responses. Differential regulation of hundreds of genes after repetitive antigen stimulation of CD8+ T cells has been reported (44). Id2 expression is upregulated upon each antigen encounter while several DUBs including Usp36, Usp48, Usp53 and Stambpl1 decrease in expression with repeated antigen stimulation (44). Increased Id2 protein abundance in secondary effector T cell populations might necessitate increased reliance on deubiquitinase activity while reduced expression of compensating DUB family members may make these T cells more dependent on Usp1. Thus, differential reliance of Usp1 could be explained by dynamic expression of compensatory DUBs across memory populations. Alternatively, Usp1 is also known to function as an important regulator of DNA damage repair processes (40,

45, 49). T cell populations undergoing multiple rounds of proliferation would be subjected to DNA damage and would require mechanisms to maintain genomic integrity that may vary across T cell populations (46). Secondary effector and memory populations may more readily employ Usp1-dependent DNA damage repair mechanisms.

Following activation, dramatic changes in gene expression occur within a T cell to support proliferation, differentiation, effector function and subsequent memory formation. Many studies have contributed to detailing the transcriptional networks that regulate the differentiation of effector and memory T cell population (11, 12, 14, 15). However, despite being essential for defining cell activity and identity, how the transcriptome relates to actual protein abundance or function in T cell populations remains understudied. Studies relating mRNA and protein abundance in T cell populations have been limited by cell numbers and lack of reagents including antibodies specific for E and Id proteins. Importantly, our data here demonstrate Id2 and Id3 protein levels mirror the kinetics of mRNA expression previously described for CD8⁺ T cells (22).

Protein translation is dynamically regulated in CD8⁺ T cell populations responding to acute LCMV infection and tumors (50, 51). A recent study comparing naive and activated human T cells (52) suggest naive T cells exist in a state of preparedness by maintaining a high protein turnover, a large set of idling ribosomes and a pool of repressed messenger RNAs, including those coding for glycolytic enzymes, that can be rapidly engaged in translation. As well, nondegradative and degradative ubiquitylation events have been shown to contribute to various aspects of TCR, costimulatory and coinhibitory, and cytokine signaling that are important for regulating T cell activation, expansion, differentiation and persistence (53). Altogether, this points to the importance of regulation of T cell activation and differentiation at the translation and protein level. Here we examined a very specific function of Usp1 in regulating Id2 protein levels in differentiating T cells, as demonstrated to occur in other cell types (36). While Usp1 appears to play only a moderate role in antiviral $CD8^+$ T cells responses, the notion that fate decision of differentiating T cells is controlled by the rate and quality of protein translation or turnover warrants further study.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Kevin Brannen and Brandon Chechile for their assistance with cell sorting, and Sara Quon for her help with analysis of microarray data. We also thank Dr. Nicole Scharping and Amir Ferry for critical review of this manuscript. The ES cells used to generate the mouse strain for this research project were created using a vector generated by the trans-NIH Knock-Out Mouse Project (KOMP) and were obtained from the KOMP repository (www.komp.org).

Grant Support

This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) R01 grants (AI067545, AI072117) and the UCSD Tata Chancellor's Professorship to A.W. Goldrath, the NIH Ruth L. Kirschstein NRSA F31 Predoctoral Fellowship (1F31AG043222-01A1) and the TATA Institute for Genomics and Society Fellowship to L.A. Shaw, and the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society Career Development Award to K.D. Omilusik. NIH grants to Velocigene at Regeneron Inc (U01HG004085) and the CSD Consortium (U01HG004080) funded the generation of gene-targeted

vectors and ES cells for over 8500 genes in the KOMP Program and archived and distributed by the KOMP Repository at UC Davis and CHORI (U42RR024244).

References

- 1. Nguyen QP, Deng TZ, Witherden DA, and Goldrath AW. 2019. Origins of CD4(+) circulating and tissue-resident memory T-cells. Immunology157: 3–12. [PubMed: 30897205]
- 2. Omilusik KD, and Goldrath AW. 2019. Remembering to remember: T cell memory maintenance and plasticity. Curr Opin Immunol58: 89–97. [PubMed: 31170601]
- 3. Joshi NS, Cui W, Chandele A, Lee HK, Urso DR, Hagman J, Gapin L, and Kaech SM. 2007. Inflammation directs memory precursor and short-lived effector CD8(+) T cell fates via the graded expression of T-bet transcription factor. Immunity27: 281–295. [PubMed: 17723218]
- 4. Voehringer D, Koschella M, and Pircher H. 2002. Lack of proliferative capacity of human effector and memory T cells expressing killer cell lectinlike receptor G1 (KLRG1). Blood100: 3698–3702. [PubMed: 12393723]
- 5. Sallusto F, Lenig D, Forster R, Lipp M, and Lanzavecchia A. 1999. Two subsets of memory T lymphocytes with distinct homing potentials and effector functions. Nature401: 708–712. [PubMed: 10537110]
- 6. Jameson SC, and Masopust D. 2018. Understanding Subset Diversity in T Cell Memory. Immunity48: 214–226. [PubMed: 29466754]
- 7. Masopust D, Vezys V, Marzo AL, and Lefrancois L. 2001. Preferential localization of effector memory cells in nonlymphoid tissue. Science291: 2413–2417. [PubMed: 11264538]
- 8. Wherry EJ, Teichgraber V, Becker TC, Masopust D, Kaech SM, Antia R, von Andrian UH, and Ahmed R. 2003. Lineage relationship and protective immunity of memory CD8 T cell subsets. Nat Immunol4: 225–234. [PubMed: 12563257]
- 9. Milner JJ, Nguyen H, Omilusik K, Reina-Campos M, Tsai M, Toma C, Delpoux A, Boland BS, Hedrick SM, Chang JT, and Goldrath AW. 2020. Delineation of a molecularly distinct terminally differentiated memory CD8 T cell population. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A117: 25667–25678. [PubMed: 32978300]
- 10. Olson JA, McDonald-Hyman C, Jameson SC, and Hamilton SE. 2013. Effector-like CD8(+) T cells in the memory population mediate potent protective immunity. Immunity38: 1250–1260. [PubMed: 23746652]
- 11. Chang JT, Wherry EJ, and Goldrath AW. 2014. Molecular regulation of effector and memory T cell differentiation. Nat Immunol15: 1104–1115. [PubMed: 25396352]
- 12. Kaech SM, and Cui W. 2012. Transcriptional control of effector and memory CD8+ T cell differentiation. Nat Rev Immunol12: 749–761. [PubMed: 23080391]
- 13. Chen Y, Zander R, Khatun A, Schauder DM, and Cui W. 2018. Transcriptional and Epigenetic Regulation of Effector and Memory CD8 T Cell Differentiation. Front Immunol9: 2826. [PubMed: 30581433]
- 14. Spinner CA, and Lazarevic V. 2020. Transcriptional regulation of adaptive and innate lymphoid lineage specification. Immunol Rev.
- 15. Sheikh AA, and Groom JR. 2021. Transcription tipping points for T follicular helper cell and T-helper 1 cell fate commitment. Cell Mol Immunol18: 528–538. [PubMed: 32999454]
- 16. Cannarile MA, Lind NA, Rivera R, Sheridan AD, Camfield KA, Wu BB, Cheung KP, Ding Z, and Goldrath AW. 2006. Transcriptional regulator Id2 mediates CD8+ T cell immunity. Nat Immunol7: 1317–1325. [PubMed: 17086188]
- 17. D'Cruz LM, Lind KC, Wu BB, Fujimoto JK, and Goldrath AW. 2012. Loss of E protein transcription factors E2A and HEB delays memory-precursor formation during the CD8+ T-cell immune response. Eur J Immunol42: 2031–2041. [PubMed: 22585759]
- 18. Knell J, Best JA, Lind NA, Yang E, D'Cruz LM, and Goldrath AW. 2013. Id2 influences differentiation of killer cell lectin-like receptor G1(hi) short-lived CD8+ effector T cells. J Immunol190: 1501–1509. [PubMed: 23325888]

- 19. Masson F, Minnich M, Olshansky M, Bilic I, Mount AM, Kallies A, Speed TP, Busslinger M, Nutt SL, and Belz GT. 2013. Id2-mediated inhibition of E2A represses memory CD8+ T cell differentiation. J Immunol190: 4585–4594. [PubMed: 23536629]
- 20. Omilusik KD, Nadjsombati MS, Shaw LA, Yu B, Milner JJ, and Goldrath AW. 2018. Sustained Id2 regulation of E proteins is required for terminal differentiation of effector CD8(+) T cells. J Exp Med215: 773–783. [PubMed: 29440362]
- 21. Shaw LA, Belanger S, Omilusik KD, Cho S, Scott-Browne JP, Nance JP, Goulding J, Lasorella A, Lu LF, Crotty S, and Goldrath AW. 2016. Id2 reinforces TH1 differentiation and inhibits E2A to repress TFH differentiation. Nat Immunol17: 834–843. [PubMed: 27213691]
- 22. Yang CY, Best JA, Knell J, Yang E, Sheridan AD, Jesionek AK, Li HS, Rivera RR, Lind KC, D'Cruz LM, Watowich SS, Murre C, and Goldrath AW. 2011. The transcriptional regulators Id2 and Id3 control the formation of distinct memory CD8+ T cell subsets. Nat Immunol12: 1221– 1229. [PubMed: 22057289]
- 23. Ji Y, Pos Z, Rao M, Klebanoff CA, Yu Z, Sukumar M, Reger RN, Palmer DC, Borman ZA, Muranski P, Wang E, Schrump DS, Marincola FM, Restifo NP, and Gattinoni L. 2011. Repression of the DNA-binding inhibitor Id3 by Blimp-1 limits the formation of memory CD8+ T cells. Nat Immunol12: 1230–1237. [PubMed: 22057288]
- 24. Gao P, Han X, Zhang Q, Yang Z, Fuss IJ, Myers TG, Gardina PJ, Zhang F, and Strober W. 2014. Dynamic changes in E-protein activity regulate T reg cell development. J Exp Med211: 2651–2668. [PubMed: 25488982]
- 25. Miyazaki M, Miyazaki K, Chen S, Itoi M, Miller M, Lu LF, Varki N, Chang AN, Broide DH, and Murre C. 2014. Id2 and Id3 maintain the regulatory T cell pool to suppress inflammatory disease. Nat Immunol15: 767–776. [PubMed: 24973820]
- 26. Maruyama T, Li J, Vaque JP, Konkel JE, Wang W, Zhang B, Zhang P, Zamarron BF, Yu D, Wu Y, Zhuang Y, Gutkind JS, and Chen W. 2011. Control of the differentiation of regulatory T cells and T(H)17 cells by the DNA-binding inhibitor Id3. Nat Immunol12: 86–95. [PubMed: 21131965]
- 27. Frias AB Jr., Hyzny EJ, Buechel HM, Beppu LY, Xie B, Jurczak MJ, and D'Cruz LM. 2019. The Transcriptional Regulator Id2 Is Critical for Adipose-Resident Regulatory T Cell Differentiation, Survival, and Function. J Immunol203: 658–664. [PubMed: 31201238]
- 28. Lin YY, Jones-Mason ME, Inoue M, Lasorella A, Iavarone A, Li QJ, Shinohara ML, and Zhuang Y. 2012. Transcriptional regulator Id2 is required for the CD4 T cell immune response in the development of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Immunol189: 1400–1405. [PubMed: 22745378]
- 29. Choi YS, Yang JA, Yusuf I, Johnston RJ, Greenbaum J, Peters B, and Crotty S. 2013. Bcl6 expressing follicular helper CD4 T cells are fate committed early and have the capacity to form memory. J Immunol190: 4014–4026. [PubMed: 23487426]
- 30. Liu X, Chen X, Zhong B, Wang A, Wang X, Chu F, Nurieva RI, Yan X, Chen P, van der Flier LG, Nakatsukasa H, Neelapu SS, Chen W, Clevers H, Tian Q, Qi H, Wei L, and Dong C. 2014. Transcription factor achaete-scute homologue 2 initiates follicular T-helper-cell development. Nature507: 513–518. [PubMed: 24463518]
- 31. Buccitelli C, and Selbach M. 2020. mRNAs, proteins and the emerging principles of gene expression control. Nat Rev Genet21: 630–644. [PubMed: 32709985]
- 32. de Sousa Abreu R, Penalva LO, Marcotte EM, and Vogel C. 2009. Global signatures of protein and mRNA expression levels. Mol Biosyst5: 1512–1526. [PubMed: 20023718]
- 33. Komander D, and Rape M. 2012. The ubiquitin code. Annu Rev Biochem81: 203–229. [PubMed: 22524316]
- 34. Swatek KN, and Komander D. 2016. Ubiquitin modifications. Cell Res26: 399–422. [PubMed: 27012465]
- 35. Mevissen TET, and Komander D. 2017. Mechanisms of Deubiquitinase Specificity and Regulation. Annu Rev Biochem86: 159–192. [PubMed: 28498721]
- 36. Williams SA, Maecker HL, French DM, Liu J, Gregg A, Silverstein LB, Cao TC, Carano RA, and Dixit VM. 2011. USP1 deubiquitinates ID proteins to preserve a mesenchymal stem cell program in osteosarcoma. Cell146: 918–930. [PubMed: 21925315]

- 37. Skarnes WC, Rosen B, West AP, Koutsourakis M, Bushell W, Iyer V, Mujica AO, Thomas M, Harrow J, Cox T, Jackson D, Severin J, Biggs P, Fu J, Nefedov M, de Jong PJ, Stewart AF, and Bradley A. 2011. A conditional knockout resource for the genome-wide study of mouse gene function. Nature474: 337–342. [PubMed: 21677750]
- 38. Niola F, Zhao X, Singh D, Castano A, Sullivan R, Lauria M, Nam HS, Zhuang Y, Benezra R, Di Bernardo D, Iavarone A, and Lasorella A. 2012. Id proteins synchronize stemness and anchorage to the niche of neural stem cells. Nat Cell Biol14: 477–487. [PubMed: 22522171]
- 39. Guo Z, Li H, Han M, Xu T, Wu X, and Zhuang Y. 2011. Modeling Sjogren's syndrome with Id3 conditional knockout mice. Immunol Lett135: 34–42. [PubMed: 20932862]
- 40. Nijman SM, Huang TT, Dirac AM, Brummelkamp TR, Kerkhoven RM, D'Andrea AD, and Bernards R. 2005. The deubiquitinating enzyme USP1 regulates the Fanconi anemia pathway. Mol Cell17: 331–339. [PubMed: 15694335]
- 41. Masopust D, Ha SJ, Vezys V, and Ahmed R. 2006. Stimulation history dictates memory CD8 T cell phenotype: implications for prime-boost vaccination. J Immunol177: 831–839. [PubMed: 16818737]
- 42. Jabbari A, and Harty JT. 2006. Secondary memory CD8+ T cells are more protective but slower to acquire a central-memory phenotype. J Exp Med203: 919–932. [PubMed: 16567385]
- 43. Martin MD, and Badovinac VP. 2018. Defining Memory CD8 T Cell. Front Immunol9: 2692. [PubMed: 30515169]
- 44. Wirth TC, Xue HH, Rai D, Sabel JT, Bair T, Harty JT, and Badovinac VP. 2010. Repetitive antigen stimulation induces stepwise transcriptome diversification but preserves a core signature of memory CD8(+) T cell differentiation. Immunity33: 128–140. [PubMed: 20619696]
- 45. Huang TT, Nijman SM, Mirchandani KD, Galardy PJ, Cohn MA, Haas W, Gygi SP, Ploegh HL, Bernards R, and D'Andrea AD. 2006. Regulation of monoubiquitinated PCNA by DUB autocleavage. Nat Cell Biol8: 339–347. [PubMed: 16531995]
- 46. Johnnidis JB, Muroyama Y, Ngiow SF, Chen Z, Manne S, Cai Z, Song S, Platt JM, Schenkel JM, Abdel-Hakeem M, Beltra JC, Greenplate AR, Ali MA, Nzingha K, Giles JR, Harly C, Attanasio J, Pauken KE, Bengsch B, Paley MA, Tomov VT, Kurachi M, Vignali DAA, Sharpe AH, Reiner SL, Bhandoola A, Johnson FB, and Wherry EJ. 2021. Inhibitory signaling sustains a distinct early memory $CD8(+)$ T cell precursor that is resistant to DNA damage. Sci Immunol6.
- 47. Omilusik KD, Shaw LA, and Goldrath AW. 2013. Remembering one's ID/E-ntity: E/ID protein regulation of T cell memory. Curr Opin Immunol25: 660–666. [PubMed: 24094885]
- 48. Belle I, and Zhuang Y. 2014. E proteins in lymphocyte development and lymphoid diseases. Curr Top Dev Biol110: 153–187. [PubMed: 25248476]
- 49. Garcia-Santisteban I, Peters GJ, Giovannetti E, and Rodriguez JA. 2013. USP1 deubiquitinase: cellular functions, regulatory mechanisms and emerging potential as target in cancer therapy. Mol Cancer12: 91. [PubMed: 23937906]
- 50. Araki K, Morita M, Bederman AG, Konieczny BT, Kissick HT, Sonenberg N, and Ahmed R. 2017. Translation is actively regulated during the differentiation of CD8(+) effector T cells. Nat Immunol18: 1046–1057. [PubMed: 28714979]
- 51. Hurst KE, Lawrence KA, Robino RA, Ball LE, Chung D, and Thaxton JE. 2020. Remodeling Translation Primes CD8(+) T-cell Antitumor Immunity. Cancer Immunol Res8: 587–595. [PubMed: 32075802]
- 52. Wolf T, Jin W, Zoppi G, Vogel IA, Akhmedov M, Bleck CKE, Beltraminelli T, Rieckmann JC, Ramirez NJ, Benevento M, Notarbartolo S, Bumann D, Meissner F, Grimbacher B, Mann M, Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F, Kwee I, and Geiger R. 2020. Dynamics in protein translation sustaining T cell preparedness. Nat Immunol21: 927–937. [PubMed: 32632289]
- 53. Zhou X, and Sun SC. 2021. Targeting ubiquitin signaling for cancer immunotherapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther6: 16. [PubMed: 33436547]

Key Points

Usp1 is expressed in activated T cells and interacts with Id2 and Id3

- Usp1 loss affects CD8⁺ T cells responding to secondary but not primary infection
- Diminished Id2 protein and proliferation by Usp1-deficient memory CD8⁺ T cells

Figure 1. Usp1 is expressed and interacts with Id proteins in activated T cells.

(A) Heatmap of ImmGen microarray data illustrating the row scaled RMA normalized expression of deubiquitinases differentially expressed in antigen-specific CD8+ T cells (left) or CD4+ T cells (right) at various time of LCMV infection. **(B-C)** Purified naive wild type (WT) or Usp1^{Lacz/+} CD8⁺ or CD4⁺ T cells were *in vitro* activated with 10μg/ml of α CD3 plus αCD28 for indicted days (B) or for 3 days with indicated concentration of αCD3 plus αCD28 (C) and Usp1-LacZ reporter expression was measured in Usp1Lacz/+ (Reporter+; black) and WT (Reporter-; grey) T cells by flow cytometry. Quantification of normalization

of Usp1-LacZ levels between Reporter+ and Reporter− controls is shown. **(D)** Purified naive Usp1^{Lacz/+} CD8⁺ or CD4⁺ T cells were labelled with cell trace violet (CTV) then in vitro activated with 10μg/ml of αCD3 plus αCD28. After 3 days of activation, the T cells were examined by flow cytometry for Usp1-LacZ reporter expression and CTV dilution (left). The proportion of cells expressing Usp1-LacZ reporter (+ve) or not (-ve) in the 0 or 1 division is shown (right). **(E)** Purified naive WT CD8+ or CD4+ T cells were activated as in (B) and on indicated days protein expression was assessed by Western blot analysis. **(F)** Naive WT splenocytes (Sp) or those activated with 10μg/ml of αCD3 plus αCD28 for 1 day were lysed. Immunoprecipitation with Id2 or Id3 antibody was performed followed by Western blot analysis for Usp1 or Wdr48 (left). Protein expression was detected in whole cell lysate (WCL) as a control for total protein levels (right). A20 cell line (+) was used as a control for Usp1 and Wdr48 expression. β-actin was detected as a loading control. * on Usp1 Western blots indicate potential non-specific band. Data are from cumulative (B-D) or one representative (E,F) of 2 independent experiments with n=2-4. Graphs show mean \pm SEM; *p < 0.05, ** p<0.01, ***p< 0.001.

Figure 2. Usp1 is expressed in antigen-specific effector T cells following infection.

Usp1Lacz/+ reporter or wild type (Reporter −ve) mice were infected with LCMV. The **(A)** GP₃₃-specific or **(B)** GP₂₇₆-specific CD8⁺ T cells in the peripheral blood were examined by flow cytometry for Usp1-LacZ reporter expression at indicated time of infection. **(C)** Quantification of the frequency of indicated tetramer⁺ CD8⁺ T cells expressing Usp1-LacZ reporter. **(D)** Usp1 mRNA expression (ImmGen) in antigen-specific OTI CD8⁺ T cells responding to recombinant Listeria monocytogenes-OVA infection at indicated time of infection. **(E)** Terminal effector (TE; KLRG1+CD127−) and memory precursor (MP;

KLRG1[−]CD127⁺) CD8⁺ T cells at day 8 and 9 of infection were examined by flow cytometry for Usp1-LacZ reporter expression (left). Quantification of the frequency of TE or MP cells expressing Usp1-LacZ reporter (middle) or the gMFI of Usp1-LacZ reporter expression in the total TE and MP populations (right) is shown. **(F)** On day 10 of infection, KLRG1^{hi} and KLRG1^{1o} CD8⁺ T cells were sort purified from the spleen and Usp1, Id3 and Id2 protein levels were assessed by Western blot analysis (top). A20 cell line (+) was used as a control for Usp1 expression. Id3^{-/−} and Id2^{-/−} thymocytes were used as a control for Id2 and Id3 expression. β-actin expression was analyzed for a loading control. * on Usp1 Western blot indicates potential non-specific band. Quantification of Usp1 protein in KLRG1^{hi} and KLRG1^{1o} CD8⁺ T cells is shown (bottom). Total (G) or Th1 (SLAM+CXCR5− or PD1−CXCR5−), Tfh (SLAM−CXCR5+ or PD1−CXCR5+) and GC-Tfh (PD1+CXCR5+) subsets **(H)** of CD11a+CD49d+ antigen-experienced CD4+ T cells from the spleen at day 8 of infection were examined by flow cytometry for Usp1-LacZ reporter expression. **(I)** Quantification of the proportion of Reporter+ cells in the CD4+ T cell subsets is shown. **(J)** Usp1 mRNA expression (ImmGen) in total antigen-experienced (CD11a+CD49d+) CD4+ T cells (top) or antigen-specific Th1 (SLAM−CXCR5+) and Tfh (SLAM+CXCR5−) SMARTA CD4+ T cells responding to LCMV (bottom). Numbers in plots indicate percent of cells in corresponding gate. Data are from one representative $(A-C,E,F,G)$ or cumulative (D,H,I) of 2 independent experiments with n=3-5. Graphs show mean \pm SEM; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Omilusik et al. Page 20

Figure 3. Terminal effector CD8+ T cells express increased levels of Usp1 during recall responses. Usp1Lacz/+ reporter or wild type (Reporter −ve) mice with resolved primary LCMV infections were rechallenged with a secondary Lm-GP_{33} (2°) and a subsequent tertiary VV-GP33 (3°) infection. **(A)** Usp1-LacZ reporter expression in total peripheral blood GP33-specific CD8+ T cells on day of indicated infection is shown. **(B)** Quantification of the frequency of indicated tetramer+ CD8+ T cells expressing Usp1-LacZ reporter. **(C)** TE (KLRG1+CD127−) and MP (KLRG1−CD127+) effector CD8+ T cell populations on the indicated day of infection were examined by flow cytometry for Usp1-LacZ reporter expression. **(D)** Quantification of the frequency of Reporter⁺ cells (left) or the gMFI of Usp1-Reporter (right) in the indicated subset is shown. Numbers in plots indicate percent of cells in corresponding gate. Data are cumulative of 2 independent experiments with n=3. Graphs show mean \pm SEM; **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Figure 4. Usp1-deficient CD8+ T cells competently respond to primary infection.

CD45.1 host mice were given a cotransfer of Usp1 $\rm \ddot{wr}$ (CD45.2) and Usp1^{KO} (CD45.1.2) P14 CD8+ T cells then subsequently infected with LCMV. **(A)** Frequency of Usp1WT and Usp1^{KO} cells among total P14 CD8⁺ T cells in peripheral blood was assessed by flow cytometry. **(B)** Quantification of the frequency of $Usp1^{WT}$ and $Usp1^{KO}$ P14 CD8⁺ T cells in the peripheral blood over the course of primary infection is shown. **(C)** Representative flow cytometry plots (top) and quantification (bottom) of the frequency of $Usp1^{WT}$ and Usp1^{KO} cells among total P14 CD8⁺ T cells and the number of Usp1^{WT} and Usp1^{KO}

P14 CD8+ T cells in the spleen on day 5 and 7 of infection. **(D)** Flow cytometry analysis of Ki67 and BCL2 expression in total Usp1^{WT} (shaded grey) and Usp1^{KO} (black) P14 CD8⁺ T cells in the spleen on day 5 and 7 of infection (left). The endogenous $CD8⁺$ T cell population (dashed black) is shown as a control. Frequency of Ki67⁺ P14 CD8+ T cells and the gMFI of BCL2 expression is quantified (right). **(E)** Expression of KLRG1 and CD127 on Usp1^{WT} and Usp1^{KO} P14 CD8⁺ T cells from spleen on indicated day of infection (left). Quantification of the frequency of EE (KLRG1−CD127−), TE (KLRG1+CD127−), DP (KLRG1+CD127+) and MP (KLRG1−CD127+) cells within the total P14 CD8+ T cell population (right). **(F)** Expression of indicated surface protein (top, middle) or transcriptional regulator (bottom) in the total Usp1^{WT} (shaded grey) and Usp1^{KO} (black) P14 CD8⁺ T cell population in the spleen on day 5 of infection is shown. The endogenous CD8+ T cell population (dashed black) is shown as a control. **(G)** The expression of CD62L and CD27 among the MP (KLRG1−CD127+) population (top). The frequency of the CD62L⁺ subset within the MP (KLRG1[−]CD127⁺) population is shown in spleen on day 7 of infection (bottom). **(H)** Total Usp1^{WT} and Usp1^{KO} P14 CD8⁺ T cells from the spleen on day 5 of infection were assessed for IFN γ and TNF α production following *ex vivo* stimulation with GP₃₃ peptide (top). Frequency of IFN γ - or IFN γ TNFa - producing P14 CD8+ T cells is shown (bottom). **(I)** Granzyme B expression in total Usp1WT (shaded grey) and Usp1^{KO} (black) P14 CD8⁺ T cells from the spleen on day 7 of infection (top). The endogenous $CD44^{10}CD8+T$ cell population (dashed black) is shown as a control. Quantification of the gMFI of granzyme B expression is shown (bottom). **(J)** Purified naive Usp1^{WT}, Usp1^{KO}, Id2^{WT} and Id2^{KO} CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells were activated with 10μg/ml of αCD3 plus αCD28 for indicted days or **(K)** total Usp1WT and Usp1KO P14 CD8+ T cells were sorted from the spleen at day 7 of LCMV infection. Usp1, Id2 or Id3 protein expression was detected by Western blot. β-actin was detected as a loading control. Thymocytes from $Id2^{KO}$ mice were used as a control for Id2 expression (K). * on Usp1 Western blots indicate potential non-specific band. Numbers in graphs indicate percent of cells in corresponding gate (A,C,D left,E,G,H) or gMFI (D right,F,I) of population. Data are representative (A,D,F,J,K) or cumulative (B,C,E,H,I) of 2 independent experiments with n=3-4. Graphs show mean \pm SEM; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Omilusik et al. Page 23

Figure 5. Usp1-deficient CD8+ T cells have an impaired secondary response.

(A) Expression of CD62L and CD127 on Usp1 \tilde{W} ^T and Usp1^{KO} P14 CD8⁺ T cells in the blood at >60 days of LCMV was measured by flow cytometry (left). Quantification of the frequency of T_{CM} (CD62L⁺CD127⁺), T_{EM} (CD62L⁻CD127⁺), t-T_{EM} (CD62L⁻CD127⁻) subsets of total P14 CD8+ T cells in the blood (right). **(B-F)** Recipient mice were rechallenged with Lm-GP_{33} at >30 days of the primary LCMV infection. **(B)** Frequency of Usp1WT and Usp1KO cells among total P14 CD8⁺ T cells in peripheral blood was assessed by flow cytometry. **(C)** Quantification of the fold change of the frequency of Usp1KO to

Usp1^{WT} P14 CD8⁺ T cells in peripheral blood (left) or the frequency of Usp1^{WT} and Usp1^{KO} P14 CD8⁺ T cells of total CD8⁺ T cells in the peripheral blood normalized to day 0 of secondary infection is shown. (**D)** Representative flow cytometry plot (left) and quantification (right) of the frequency of Usp1^{WT} and Usp1^{KO} cells among total P14 CD8⁺ T cells and the number of Usp1^{WT} and Usp1^{KO} P14 CD8⁺ T cells in the spleen on day 4 of reinfection. **(E)** Expression of KLRG1 and CD127 on Usp1WT and Usp1KO P14 CD8⁺ T cells of the spleen on day 4 of reinfection (left). Quantification of the frequency of TE (KLRG1+CD127−), DP (KLRG1+CD127+) and MP (KLRG1−CD127+) cells within the P14 CD8+ T cell population (right). **(F)** Expression of indicated surface protein in the total Usp1^{WT} (shaded grey) and Usp1^{KO} (black) P14 CD8⁺ T cell population in the spleen at day 4 of secondary infection is shown. The endogenous $CD8⁺$ T cell population (dashed black) is shown as a control. **(G)** Granzyme B expression in total Usp1WT (shaded grey) and Usp1^{KO} (black) P14 CD8⁺ T cells from the spleen on day 4 of reinfection (top). The endogenous $CD44^{10}CD8^+$ T cell population (dashed black) is shown as a control. Quantification of the gMFI of granzyme B expression is shown (bottom). **(H)** Total Usp1WT and Usp1^{KO} P14 CD8⁺ T cells were sorted from the spleen at day 4 of reinfection. Usp1 or Id2 protein expression was detected by Western blot (top). β-actin was detected as a loading control. Thymocytes from $Id2^{WT}$ and $Id2^{KO}$ mice were used as a control for Id2 expression. * on Usp1 Western blot indicates potential non-specific band. Quantification of the total Id2 protein level compared to the β-actin loading control normalized to the Usp1^{WT} sample is shown (bottom). **(I)** Flow cytometry analysis of Ki67 expression in total Usp1WT (shaded grey) and $Usp1^{KO}$ (black) P14 CD8⁺ T cells in the spleen on day 4 of reinfection (top). The endogenous $CD8⁺$ T cell population (dashed black) is shown as a control. Frequency of $Ki67⁺ P14 CDS⁺ T cells is quantified (bottom). Numbers in graphs indicate percent of cells$ in corresponding gate (A,B,D,E,I) or gMFI (F,G,). Data are representative of 2 independent experiments with n=3-6. Graphs show mean \pm SEM; *p< 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.