

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

IHM 6 MAGNETIC FIELD MEASUREMENTS

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1wc6n5w2>

Authors

Nelson, D.H.

Yourd, R.B.

Publication Date

1982-03-01

LBID-522
c.1



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Engineering & Technical Services Division

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

MAY 24 1982

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room



LBID-522
c.1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

SUBJECT

IHM 6 Magnetic Field Measurements

NAME

D.H. Nelson & R.B. Yourd

DATE

March 1, 1982

INTRODUCTION

In February, 1982, we tested the magnetic field of Bevatron injector magnet IHM 6.^{1,2} The purpose of the tests was to determine the "effective field boundary" (efb) in order to establish the bending strength and focusing properties of the magnet.

COORDINATE SYSTEMS

Two coordinate systems were defined by the three fiducials on the top of the magnet to be used for accurately positioning the magnet in the beam-line. As suggested in Figure 1, three holes on the top surface of the magnet define the entrance (y') and exit (-y) directions. We established the right handed cartesian coordinate systems shown in Figure 1 with $z = 0$, the (nominal) magnet midplane.

TECHNIQUE

To determine the effective field boundary (efb {x,z}), we

1. measured magnetic induction at even intervals on paths parallel to the entrance and exit beam-trajectories, i.e., parallel to y' and -y respectively,
2. numerically integrated over the measured path length (from ~ 2 gaps outside to ~ 1 gap inside pole edge), and
3. solved equation 1

$$\text{efb}(x, z) = y_0 - \int_{-\infty}^{y_0} B_z(x, y, z) / B_z(0, y_0, 0) dy \quad (1)$$

where:

efb \equiv effective field boundary

y_0 \equiv a y coordinate where the field is uniform (~ 1 gap inside pole edge)

$-\infty$ \equiv a y coordinate where the field is zero (negligible). We approximated this by ~ 2 gaps outside pole edge. At that location, the field was $\sim 1\%$ of $B(0, y_0, 0)$.

$B_z(x, y, z) \equiv$ z - component of magnetic induction at location (x,y,z)
(See Figure 1).

SUBJECT			SKETCH LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA		JOB ORDER INFORMATION	Job No.	Tag No.
DRAWN BY CYR						Serial No.	No.
DATE 2-24-82	BLDG. NO. 25A	ROOM NO. 119	APPROVED BY DHN	DATE 82Feb25		Date Issued	Date Reqd.
						Deliver To	




FIGURE 1

RL-112 (Rev. 8/75) 600-55589

SKETCH NUMBER

SUBJECT

IHM 6 Magnetic Field Measurements

NAME
D.H. Nelson & R.B. YourdDATE
March 1, 1982RESULTS

Table I summarizes results based on selected profile data. Figures 2 and 3 are working graphs showing selected profiles and calculations of efb and effective edge angles. Figure 4 displays magnetization data. The total magnetic effective length (the distance between effective field boundaries along the central arc) is 35.46 inches with a circular arc radius of 58.04 inches and a total bending angle of 35°.


<u>End</u>	<u>I</u> (A)	<u>z</u> (in.)	<u>x</u> (in.)	<u>efb*</u> (in.)	Effective Edge Angle (Calculated from)	
					<u>B(x = ±1/2")</u> (degrees)	<u>B(x = ±1")</u> (degrees)
Entrance	1296	0	- 1	-2.71		
"	"	"	-1/2	-2.76		
"	"	"	0	-2.82		
"	"	"	1/2	-2.91	8.5°	
"	"	"	1	-2.98		7.6°
"	1296	- 1	- 1	-2.69**		
"	"	- 1	0	-2.83**		
"	"	-7/8	1	-3.00**		8.7°
"	560	0	0	-2.87**		
Exit	1296	0	- 1	-2.93		
"	"		-1/2	-2.87		
"	"		0	-2.78		
"	"		1/2	-2.72	8.5°	
"	"		1	-2.63		8.4°
"	560	0	-1/2	2.78**		
"	"		0	2.65**		
"	"		1/2	2.58**	8.7°	

* efb ≡ effective field boundary

** Calculations marked by asterisk used different algorithm than those unmarked and used different approximations (comparisons of efb were within 30 mils, i.e., 0.03 inch)

TABLE I Summary of Profile Data

Figure 2. IHM6 Working Graph
 Y Profiles @ I = 1296 A
 Data: DNN, EAC
 Drawn: RBY



DPE/BLM 521744
 I = 1296 Amps
 Bz vs Y

10.0
 9.0
 8.0
 7.0
 6.0
 5.0
 4.0
 3.0
 2.0
 1.0
 0

21"
 20
 19
 18
 17
 16
 15
 14
 13
 12
 11
 10
 9
 8
 7
 6

alignment pin
 ref 17.0"

X = 0, Center
 X = +1, inside radius
 X = -1, outside radius

efb calc
 $(\frac{\sum B_{y,0.5}}{9530})$
 $\frac{120406 \times .5}{9530} = 6.32$
 $\frac{123492 \times .5}{9530} = 6.48$
 $\frac{118362 \times .5}{9530} = 6.21$
 $\frac{119302 \times .5}{9530} = 6.26$
 $\frac{122143 \times .5}{9530} = 6.41$


Plotted efb
 () - 20"
 14.18
 14.02
 14.29
 14.24
 14.09

Efb outside pin
 () - 3.5"
 2.82
 2.98
 2.71
 2.76
 2.91

IHM6, entrance end
 Fringe Field for $X = 0, \pm 1$ "
 Effective Field bdy (efb)
 numerically calculated for areas
 under the curves
 $efb = \frac{\sum B_{y,0.5}}{B_0}$

$\phi = \text{effective edge angle} = \tan^{-1} \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}$
 $\phi = \tan^{-1} \frac{2.98 - 2.71}{2} = \tan^{-1} .135 = 7.7^\circ$

Figure 3 - IHMG Working Graph
 Y Profiles @ I = 1296 Amps
 Data 82 Feb 22 DHM/EAC
 Drawn 82 Feb 22 RY



(Y + 17.5), scale readout, inches.

IHM6, exit end (power leads)
 Fringe field for $X=0, \pm 1$ "
 Effective field boundary (efb)
 numerically calculated for
 areas under the curves -


$efb = \frac{\sum B_y \Delta y}{B_0}$

Y	efb calc	efb plotted	efb distance outside pin
20.5(*)	($\frac{\sum B_y \Delta y}{9540}$)	() - 20.5(*)	() - 3.0
14.72"	$\frac{5543}{9540} = 5.78$ "	14.72"	2.78"
14.87"	$\frac{44182}{9540} = 4.63$ (*)	14.87"	2.63"
14.57"	$\frac{56547}{9540} = 5.93$ "	14.57"	2.93"

(*) measured from 19.5" ref instead of 20.5"

$\phi = \text{effective edge angle} = \tan^{-1} \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}$
 $\phi = \tan^{-1} \frac{2.93 - 2.63}{2.0} = \tan^{-1} .15 = 8.4^\circ$

SQUARE TO X TO THE LEFT OF THE CURVE AS 8014



SUBJECT

IHM 6 Magnetic Field Measurements

NAME

D.H. Nelson & R.B. Yourd

DATE

March 1, 1982

TEST EQUIPMENT

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of our test setup (shown at the exit end; the entrance end setup was similar). Table II lists specific equipment used for these tests.

DISCUSSION

The most challenging aspect of these tests was establishing measurement coordinate systems and relating those to the two magnet coordinate systems. Once established, relative positioning was reproducible to $\pm 1/64$ inch in the y direction and ± 1 mm in x and z. Ed Cyr used a 6' steel rule to extend the y axis and machinist's squares both to translate the y axis to our "zip-track" direction and to establish the x and y location of the hall probe. z position was approximated by surfaces of the vacuum tank flange.

The tedious parts of this project were recording the data by hand and carrying out the numerical integration by keying measured data into a calculator. We could have avoided this tedium by using the MME data logger,³ but it was unavailable for these tests.

REFERENCES

1. R. Yourd, "Bevatron Vacuum Improvement BL17MH (IHM 6) Magnet Replacement for UBI Project", LBL Mechanical Engineering Note BW7892, Rev. February 8, 1982.
2. A.J. Dancosse, "IHM 6 Magnet 35° Bending Magnet", LBL Engineering Drawing No. 19P5046B,
3. D.H. Nelson, M.I. Green, "LBL/SSRL Undulator Results of 1980 Magnetic Measurements", LBL Electronics Engineering Note MT 292, p. 40, December 15, 1980.

DISTRIBUTION

K.C. Crebbin
T. Elioff
M.I. Green

E.C. Hartwig/L.H. Wagner/W.H. Deuser
A.L. Loop, Jr.
R.M. Richter

J.W. Staples
E. Zajec

ENGINEERING NOTE

SUBJECT

IHM 6 Magnetic Field Measurements

NAME

D.H. Nelson & R.B. Yourd

DATE

March 1, 1982

<u>Device</u>	<u>Description</u>
Magnet	Bevatron Injector Magnet IHM 6 (BL17MH Assy. Drwg. 19P5046B
Magnet Power Supply	LBL Electronics Drwg. No. 9Y7475
Shunt	Bev 2000 A #36 - 24.96 $\mu\Omega$ July, 1965
Voltmeter 1	Dixson Mod VT200 DOE 196363
Milling Head	LBL x and z lead screws with scales
Linear Positioner	LBL - 16 inches (y - motion)
Bias Supply Module	LBL Electronics Drwg. No. 6V1392
Voltmeter 2	Keithley Mod 6V1392 177 microvolt DMM S/N 10445
Hall Probe	F.W. Bell Mod HTJ40618 S/N 110242
Gaussmeter	F.W. Bell Mod 811A DOE 519117
x y Plotter	Moseley Mod 7000AR DOE 159260
Calculator	H.P. Mod 97 DOE 509819

TABLE II Equipment List

This work was supported by the U.S. Dept. of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720