

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Lorcaserin treatment decreases body weight and reduces cardiometabolic risk factors in obese adults: A six-month, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1wv8z00j>

Journal

Diabetes Obesity and Metabolism, 21(6)

ISSN

1462-8902

Authors

Tuccinardi, Dario
Farr, Olivia M
Upadhyay, Jagriti
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2019-06-01

DOI

10.1111/dom.13655

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Diabetes Obes Metab. 2019 June ; 21(6): 1487–1492. doi:10.1111/dom.13655.

Lorcaserin treatment decreases body weight and improves cardiometabolic risk factors of obese adults: A 6-month-long, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial.

Dario Tuccinardi^{†,1}, Olivia M. Farr^{†,1}, Jagriti Upadhyay¹, Sabrina M. Oussaada¹, Hannah Mathew¹, Stavroula A. Paschou¹, Nikolaos Perakakis¹, Anastasia Koniaris¹, Theodoros Kelesidis², and Christos S. Mantzoros^{1,*}

¹Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, 330 Brookline Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA

²Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Abstract

Lorcaserin is a serotonin 2c receptor agonist, which promotes weight loss while improving type 2 diabetes and atherogenic lipid profile without higher rates of major cardiovascular events. The full spectrum of the possible lorcaserin-induced cardiometabolic improvements remains to be clarified. Thus, we investigated how lorcaserin administration may alter cardiovascular disease risk, either independently or through changes in body weight, measuring, for the first time, lipid particle quantification, lipid peroxidation, appetite-regulating hormones and the mRNA expression of *5-HT2C receptor*. Forty-eight obese subjects were enrolled in this 6-month-long, randomized (1:1), placebo-controlled, double-blinded clinical trial. Lorcaserin treatment reduced fat mass ($p < .001$), the Fatty Liver Index ($p < .0001$) and energy intake ($p < .03$) without affecting energy expenditure or lean mass. Total low-density lipoprotein (LDL; $p < .04$) and small LDL particles ($p < .03$) decreased, while total high-density lipoprotein (HDL; $p < .02$) increased and heart rate significantly decreased with lorcaserin. No mRNA expression of the *5-HT2C receptor* was observed in peripheral organs. These data suggest that lorcaserin treatment for 6 months improves cardiometabolic health mainly acting through the brain in obese subjects.

Keywords

Obesity; cardiovascular disease risk; lorcaserin; weight loss

*Address Correspondence to: Christos Mantzoros, MD DSc PhD *hc mult*, 330 Brookline Ave, ST820, Boston, MA 02215, P: 617-667-8630, ofarr@bidmc.harvard.edu.

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflicts of Interest

All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Introduction

Obesity and its comorbidities are a global epidemic that increase the risk of cardiometabolic disease[1] and, similar to other chronic conditions, require treatment. Even a modest reduction in weight can lead to improvements in the cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk profile[2]. However, despite the positive effect on weight, pharmacotherapy has often been challenging due to issues related to cardiovascular safety[3]. Conversely, lorcaserin, a Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved serotonin 5-hydroxytryptamine 2c (5-HT_{2c}) receptor agonist, effective for long-term weight management[4, 5], recently demonstrated to be also safe, showing no increase in cardiovascular events in addition to improving type 2 diabetes[6, 7].

Although large clinical trials showed an early weight-independent plasma glucose reduction[8] and improvement of CVD risk factors[4, 5] using lorcaserin, no studies have investigated whether lorcaserin acts independently and/or interacts with peripheral signals (e.g., circulating hormones or direct binding with 5-HT_{2c} peripheral receptors) and whether this may affect energy homeostasis, glucose, and lipid metabolism that in turn could improve CVD risk profile.

We have shown that lorcaserin exerts its weight loss effects by reducing brain activations related to attention and emotion in response to food cues[9]. Herein, we extend our previous findings through the same double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of lorcaserin (10mg twice a day) vs. matched placebo for 6 months. We examined whether lorcaserin administration either independently or through changes in body weight and fat mass might have 1) modified circulating hormones related to energy homeostasis, 2) altered CVD risk and/or 3) improved non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), by investigating lipid fractionation/peroxidation, changes in the fatty liver index and mRNA expression of *5-HT_{2c} receptor* in an array of human tissues.

Methods

48 participants consented to take part in this randomized (1:1), placebo-controlled, double-blind study, approved by the Institutional Review Board at BIDMC. Patients enrolled after a screening visit (Supplementary Figure 1). Details of methods and inclusion/exclusion criteria are found in our previous publication[9]. Briefly, subjects participated in four study visits during the first month with follow-up visits once a month for 5 additional months. Sample size was estimated as previously described[9]. Plasma and serum were isolated and stored at -80 °C until assayed in duplicate for subsequent analysis (see supplementary methods). HTR2C expression in human tissues was examined using TissueScan quantitative polymerase chain reaction (Qpcr) Arrays (id: TSC10511-HMRT303, OriGene, Rockville, MD, USA; see supplementary methods).

Statistical analysis

SPSS 19 software was used to analyze data. Continuous data are presented as mean ± standard error (SE) unless otherwise indicated. A modified intention-to-treat analysis (mITT) with last observation carried forward (LOCF) was performed with the

anthropometric data to confirm on-treatment analysis. A general linear mixed model, with terms for treatment, time, time*treatment interaction, age, gender and baseline values included as covariates was used to analyze continuous endpoints. The time*treatment interaction statistics were used to determine differences between lorcaserin and placebo. Further adjustments for BMI, fat mass, and concomitant medications were performed to analyze blood pressure, metabolic and lipid measurements (for more specific see supplementary methods).

Results

Demographics and safety

Baseline characteristics of the two randomized groups (n=48) were similar for age (placebo: 49.4±2.7years; lorcaserin: 45.5±3.0years; p<.34) and gender (11 females in placebo vs. 14 in lorcaserin; p<.39), but differed by BMI (placebo: 34.5±0.78kg/m²; lorcaserin: 40.2±1.5kg/m²; p<.001). We thus adjusted for baseline BMI in subsequent analyses. No significant differences in potential side effects or safety hormone labs were found. (Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Table 2).

Anthropometrics and body composition

Participants treated with lorcaserin lost significantly more weight than placebo (−6.25 ± 2.94% vs. 0.06 ± 0.65%. *P*<.001), and the resultant placebo-subtracted weight loss was −7.2kg (*P*<.01; Supplementary Figure 2A). The same pattern was observed in the mITT (LOCF) analysis (−4.66 ± 1.36 % vs. −0.09 ± 0.39 % . *P*<.001; Supplementary Figure 2C; Supplementary Table 3).

Lorcaserin treatment significantly reduced total body and trunk fat mass (*P*<.001) while body and trunk lean mass were not significantly changed. Similarly, patients treated with lorcaserin exhibited a greater percent reduction in waist circumference than placebo (−3.93 ± 3.28 % vs. 2.56 ± 1.01%. *P*<.001) for a placebo-subtracted reduction in waist circumference of −2.4cm (*P*<.002; Supplementary Figure 2B). A similar trend was found in the mITT (LOCF) analysis (−2.73 ± 1.33 % vs. 1.93 ± 0.81 % . *P*<.005) (Supplementary Figure 2D; Supplementary Table 3).

Energy intake and expenditure

Energy expenditure did not change across treatment period, while overall energy intake was reduced (p<.03) without changes in food preference (Table 1).

Metabolic effects

Leptin levels were reduced with lorcaserin treatment (*P*<.05; Table 2), but the difference disappears when corrected for BMI (*P*=.06) or fat mass changes (*P*=.15). No differences in other measured hormones were observed (Table 2). Patients on lorcaserin showed improvements in lipid parameters, including reduction in total cholesterol (*P*<.04), LDL (*P*<.04), and particularly from the lipid subfractionation analysis, in small LDL particles (*P*<.01), oxidized LDL (*P*<.03), VLDL & Chylomicron Particles (*P*<.02) as well as an increase in HDL (*P*<.02), HDL particles (*P*<.03), large HDL particles (*P*<.04), HDL mean size (*P*<.008)

and ApoA1 ($P < .03$; Table 2). The Lipoprotein Insulin Resistance Score (LI-IR), an NMR lipid analysis based and validated method to assess insulin resistance, was significantly reduced in the lorcaserin group ($P < .05$; Table 2). Fatty Liver Index (FLI) decreased in the lorcaserin group ($P < .0001$; Table 2). All of the above persist after adjustments for BMI, gender, concomitant medication, and age. No changes in blood pressure were detected between the two arms while heart rate significantly decreased in the lorcaserin group ($P < .03$; Supplementary Table 1).

Distribution of 5-HT_{2C} receptor mRNA gene expression in human tissues

5-HT_{2C} receptor expression was high in the nervous system (brain, optic nerve, spinal cord). No expression was observed in metabolic organs, such as the liver, pancreas or adipose tissue. In the brain, the *5-HT_{2C} receptor* was highly expressed in choroid plexus, olfactory bulb, substantia nigra, caudate, hippocampus and medulla (Supplementary Figure 3). All analyzed samples were drug-naïve.

Discussion

This study provides novel information on how lorcaserin may alter cardiometabolic risk improving lipid profile and exerting its weight loss effect through decreased appetite. Additionally, we demonstrated potential improvements on NAFLD using a validated index.

Changes in CVD risk

While previous studies have explored changes in lipids with lorcaserin[4, 5], no studies have yet looked at how lipid subfractionation and lipid peroxidation may be altered. Herein, we have shown that independent markers of CVD risk, including waist circumference, total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL and especially small LDL, significantly decreased after 6 months of lorcaserin treatment. Small LDL particles are more atherogenic than large ones and oxidation increases LDL atherogenicity[10]. We found a significant reduction in small LDL particles that was also accompanied by oxidized LDL percentage change decrease, suggesting benefits of lorcaserin on the LDL profile.

Previous studies have shown that decreased concentrations of HDL particles can predict CVD even more than HDL cholesterol itself and that there is an inverse correlation between CVD and large HDL circulation levels, while the opposite correlation has been observed with small HDL level[11]. HDL size has also been inversely correlated with CVD risk[12]. Herein, we showed a significant increase of HDL and ApoA1 as previously described in a larger clinical trial[4], but also an increase of total HDL particles, large HDL particle concentration and HDL size. We also observed a significant reduction in VLDL and chylomicron particles, which are independently CVD risk factors[13] in the lorcaserin-treated group.

We demonstrate herein an improvement not only of the standard lipid profile but also, for the first time, of the NMR-analyzed lipoprotein sub-particles with lorcaserin. While HDL and LDL related measurements are not affected by fat mass changes, improvements in triglycerides, total VLDL/chylomicron and oxidized LDL with lorcaserin became non-

significant when controlling for fat mass loss, suggesting these changes were fat mass dependent.

Changes in NAFLD risk

We showed herein that patients treated with lorcaserin have a significant reduction of the FLI, which remains after adjusting for fat mass, waist circumference and BMI change, suggesting that lorcaserin may represent an effective treatment for NAFLD or NASH.

Mechanisms of lorcaserin (central vs. peripheral action)

We measured several appetite-related hormones to evaluate the presence of a lorcaserin-endocrine-induced effect in the periphery. We also assessed the mRNA expression of 5-HT_{2c} receptors in the brain as well as in 48 human tissues array normalized to brain expression to investigate a possible direct effect of lorcaserin in the periphery. Hormones involved in appetite control (e.g. leptin) decreased with weight loss in the lorcaserin group, but this association disappeared when controlling for fat mass loss, suggesting these changes were counter-regulatory in function.

The virtual absence of peripheral 5-HT_{2c} receptors and the well-established central action of lorcaserin[9, 14] suggest that lorcaserin exerts its weight loss and metabolic effects primarily within the brain in humans, reducing appetite. Along these lines, we observed no major changes in appetite-regulating hormones between the two groups, confirming a lack of a peripheral effect of lorcaserin. We also observed a significantly reduced caloric intake with no change in resting energy expenditure or RQ with lorcaserin. Altogether, our findings suggest that lorcaserin exerts its weight loss effects through a central mechanism to decrease caloric intake. Notably, the absence of a strong, lorcaserin-induced hormonal peripheral response suggests the opportunity for future combination therapies for weight loss with hormonal agents.

Limitations and Conclusions

The main limitation of this study is the relatively small number of participants, although this was based on *a priori* power calculations and indeed led to statistically significant results. Additionally, we have examined several variables, which were each treated as discrete hypotheses. Despite the small number of participants we confirmed the results of prior studies on weight and lipids outcomes, in a similar population[15]. Retention rate was low, possibly because of the moderate effect in weight loss in both groups. Despite the randomization process, differences in BMI between the two arms at baseline were not fully eliminated. Nevertheless, we have appropriately controlled for this difference in the statistical analyses of the results. A potential limitation of the food diaries is in patient error; however, dieticians reviewed the diaries with patients at each visit and potential errors should be similar in both groups.

We report that lorcaserin-treated patients, in addition to central adipose tissue reduction, showed significant changes in atherogenic lipoproteins that strongly correlate with improved CVD risk, accompanied by a reduction of the FLI. These findings suggest that lorcaserin may represent an effective treatment for CVD risk reduction in obese subjects. However,

considering the exploratory nature of our work and the lack of a clear mechanistic explanation of the metabolic effects observed in subjects using lorcaserin, future studies designed to assess a lorcaserin-induced reduction of CVD events over time will be required.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Drug supply (lorcaserin and placebo) was provided by Arena Pharmaceuticals GmbH through Eisai, Inc., to investigators. Eisai, Inc., supported the study with an investigator-initiated study grant. No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported. Eisai, Inc. approved the design of the study but had no role in study design; conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; or the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript. The project was also supported by Harvard Clinical and Translational Science Center grant UL1-RR-025758. O.M.F. was supported by NIH 5T32HD052961. This study is also partly supported by NIH DK081913.

O.M.F. and C.S.M. designed the experiment. O.M.F., D.T., J.U., H.M., S.A.P., and C.S.M. conducted the trial and acquired data. D.T., S.A.P. and N.P. conducted assays and qPCR. D.T and O.M.F. analyzed the data. D.T. and O.M.F. wrote the manuscript with input from all of the other authors, and D.T. is the guarantor of this article and, as such, takes responsibility for the work as whole. C.S.M. oversaw the entire study, including the study design, evaluation of data and the decision to submit and publish the manuscript.

Funding: Eisai, Inc.; NIH DK081913, UL1-RR-025758. 5T32HD052961

Abbreviations:

| | |
|------------------|---|
| 5-HT2c | 5-hydroxytryptamine 2c |
| Alpha-MSH | Alpha-Melanocyte Stimulating Hormone |
| BIDMC | Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center |
| BMI | body mass index |
| CRC | clinical research center |
| CVD | cardiovascular disease |
| FDA | food and drug administration |
| FGF-21 | fibroblast growth factor 21 |
| FLI | Fatty Liver Index |
| GH | growth hormone |
| GIP | gastric inhibitory polypeptide |
| GLP-1 | glucagon-like peptide-1 |
| HDL | high density lipoprotein |
| HOMA-IR | homeostatic model of insulin resistance |
| IDL | Intermediate Density Lipoproteins |

| | |
|---------------|--|
| IGF-1 | insulin-like growth factor-1 |
| IGFBP3 | Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3 |
| LDL | low density lipoprotein |
| NAFLD | non-alcoholic fatty liver disease |
| NASH | non-alcoholic steatohepatitis |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| oxHDL | Oxidized High Density Lipoprotein |
| oxLDL | oxidized Low Density Lipoprotein |
| PYY | peptide YY |
| VLDL | Very Low Density Lipoprotein |

Bibliography

- [1]. Must A, Spadano J, Coakley EH, Field AE, Colditz G, Dietz WH. The disease burden associated with overweight and obesity. *JAMA* 1999; 282: 1523–1529 [PubMed: 10546691]
- [2]. Black E, Holst C, Astrup A, et al. Long-term influences of body-weight changes, independent of the attained weight, on risk of impaired glucose tolerance and Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2005; 22: 1199–1205 [PubMed: 16108849]
- [3]. Connolly HM, Crary JL, McGoon MD, et al. Valvular heart disease associated with fenfluramine-phentermine. *N Engl J Med* 1997; 337: 581–588 [PubMed: 9271479]
- [4]. Fidler MC, Sanchez M, Raether B, et al. A one-year randomized trial of lorcaserin for weight loss in obese and overweight adults: the BLOSSOM trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011; 96: 3067–3077 [PubMed: 21795446]
- [5]. O'Neil PM, Smith SR, Weissman NJ, et al. Randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial of lorcaserin for weight loss in type 2 diabetes mellitus: the BLOOM-DM study. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2012; 20: 1426–1436 [PubMed: 22421927]
- [6]. Bohula EAWS, McGuire DK, et al. Cardiovascular safety of lorcaserin in overweight or obese patients. *N Engl J Med* 2018; DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1808721:
- [7]. Bohula EA, Scirica BM, Inzucchi SE, et al. Effect of lorcaserin on prevention and remission of type 2 diabetes in overweight and obese patients (CAMELLIA-TIMI 61): a randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2018:
- [8]. Magkos F, Nikonova E, Fain R, Zhou S, Ma T, Shanahan W Effect of lorcaserin on glycemic parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2017; 25: 842–849 [PubMed: 28345809]
- [9]. Farr OM, Upadhyay J, Gavrieli A, et al. Lorcaserin Administration Decreases Activation of Brain Centers in Response to Food Cues and These Emotion- and Salience-Related Changes Correlate With Weight Loss Effects: A 4-Week-Long Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Double-Blind Clinical Trial. *Diabetes* 2016; 65: 2943–2953 [PubMed: 27385157]
- [10]. Toshima S, Hasegawa A, Kurabayashi M, et al. Circulating oxidized low density lipoprotein levels. A biochemical risk marker for coronary heart disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2000; 20: 2243–2247 [PubMed: 11031210]
- [11]. Otvos JD, Collins D, Freedman DS, et al. Low-density lipoprotein and high-density lipoprotein particle subclasses predict coronary events and are favorably changed by gemfibrozil therapy in the Veterans Affairs High-Density Lipoprotein Intervention Trial. *Circulation* 2006; 113: 1556–1563 [PubMed: 16534013]

- [12]. Arsenault BJ, Lemieux I, Despres JP, et al. HDL particle size and the risk of coronary heart disease in apparently healthy men and women: the EPIC-Norfolk prospective population study. *Atherosclerosis* 2009; 206: 276–281 [PubMed: 19268944]
- [13]. Cullen P Evidence that triglycerides are an independent coronary heart disease risk factor. *Am J Cardiol* 2000; 86: 943–949 [PubMed: 11053704]
- [14]. Doslikova B, Garfield AS, Shaw J, et al. 5-HT_{2C} receptor agonist anorectic efficacy potentiated by 5-HT_{1B} receptor agonist coapplication: an effect mediated via increased proportion of pro-opiomelanocortin neurons activated. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 2013; 33: 9800–9804 [PubMed: 23739976]
- [15]. Smith SR, Weissman NJ, Anderson CM, et al. Multicenter, placebo-controlled trial of lorcaserin for weight management. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 363: 245–256 [PubMed: 20647200]

Table 1.

Anthropometric, body composition, energy expenditure and blood pressure, and heart rate results from study visits over 6 months of lorcaserin or placebo treatment.

| Timing | Placebo | | Lorcaserin | | <i>p</i> |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| | Baseline | Month 6 | Baseline | Month 6 | |
| Anthropometry | | | | | |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 34.5 ± 0.78 | 34.08 ± 0.7 | 40.2 ± 1.5 | 38 ± 3.4 | <.001* |
| Body weight (kg) | 100.5 ± 2.8 | 99.5 ± 2.3 | 113.5 ± 4.7 | 105.3 ± 9.3 | <.001* |
| WC (cm) | 114.6 ± 1.87 | 113.3 ± 1.1 | 125.7 ± 3.56 | 122 ± 6.59 | <.001* |
| HC (cm) | 118.6 ± 2.21 | 117.8 ± 1.89 | 128.2 ± 3.33 | 120.8 ± 6.62 | .01* |
| Waist/Hip Ratio | 0.97 ± 0.02 | 0.98 ± 0.02 | 0.98 ± 0.02 | 0.98 ± 0.04 | .26 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 126.1 ± 2.39 | 125.2 ± 3.16 | 124 ± 3.57 | 125.6 ± 4.48 | .51 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 75.36 ± 2.03 | 75.25 ± 2.04 | 74.89 ± 2.75 | 77.29 ± 2.84 | .58 |
| Heart rate (beats/minute) | 73.77 ± 2.35 | 76.08 ± 2.75 | 84.94 ± 2.53 | 78.29 ± 3.9 | .03* |
| Body Composition | | | | | |
| Fat body mass (kg) | 38.26 ± 2.81 | 36.86 ± 1.71 | 48.39 ± 30.3 | 44.43 ± 64.9 | <.001* |
| Fat (% of body mass) | 36.38 ± 1.87 | 36.93 ± 1.91 | 41.51 ± 1.46 | 40.7 ± 2.84 | <.001* |
| Lean body mass (kg) | 62.53 ± 2.65 | 62.39 ± 1.47 | 63.07 ± 27.1 | 58.93 ± 3.33 | .98 |
| Trunk fat mass (kg) | 19.4 ± 1.1 | 18.47 ± 0.73 | 23.43 ± 1.56 | 22.45 ± 36.4 | <.001* |
| Trunk lean mass (kg) | 32.39 ± 1.47 | 31.03 ± 14.9 | 32.03 ± 1.13 | 30.93 ± 1.63 | .56 |
| Total body BMD (g/cm ²) | 1.24 ± 0.02 | 1.19 ± 0.03 | 1.21 ± 0.03 | 1.18 ± 0.04 | .42 |
| Total body T-score | 0.68 ± 0.25 | 0.31 ± 0.35 | 0.39 ± 0.27 | 0.24 ± 0.31 | .31 |
| Caloric Intake and Energy Expenditure | | | | | |
| Caloric intake (kcal) | 1954 ± 192 | 2217 ± 202 | 2014 ± 252 | 1864 ± 183 | .03* |
| % from fat | 37.64 ± 1.41 | 33.81 ± 1.18 | 39.49 ± 1.59 | 38.14 ± 3.19 | .42 |
| % from carbohydrates | 43.51 ± 1.83 | 46.82 ± 2.95 | 41.39 ± 2.26 | 42.44 ± 4.41 | .25 |
| % from proteins | 17.48 ± 0.87 | 17.48 ± 0.98 | 18.49 ± 1.31 | 18.25 ± 2.06 | .61 |
| VO ₂ (L/min) | 0.24 ± 0.01 | 0.26 ± 0.01 | 0.25 ± 0.01 | 0.24 ± 0.01 | .06 |
| VO ₂ /Kg (ml/kg/min) | 2.5 ± 0.08 | 2.62 ± 0.13 | 2.3 ± 0.07 | 2.34 ± 0.11 | .84 |
| VCO ₂ (L/min) | 0.21 ± 0.01 | 0.02 ± 0.01 | 0.21 ± 0.01 | 0.19 ± 0.01 | .55 |
| RQ | 0.83 ± 0.08 | 0.79 ± 0.01 | 0.82 ± 0.01 | 0.78 ± 0.03 | .61 |
| REE (kcal/day) | 1697 ± 64 | 1792 ± 114 | 1772 ± 71 | 1663 ± 103 | .27 |
| BMR (kcal/day) | 1859 ± 62 | 1833 ± 78 | 1933 ± 74 | 1805 ± 116 | .12 |

Data shown as means ± standard error (SE) of the mean from an on-treatment analysis. Patients included as long as they attended at least two visits. Weight, waist and hip circumferences and blood pressure measurements were analyzed across weeks 0, 1, 2, 4, and months 2, 3, 4, 5, while DEXA body composition and energy expenditure were analyzed across months 0, 1 and 6. Caloric intake was analyzed across week 0, 4 and month 3 and 6. The P-value was from a general linear mixed model analysis of the parameters. The variables of time, treatment and time*treatment were included in the model as fixed effects. Gender and age were included as covariates. The P-value time*treatment interaction is shown. The P-value for treatment is shown only for caloric intake.

* P <0.05. WC, waist circumference; VAT, visceral adipose tissue; RQ, respiratory quotient; REE, resting energy expenditure; BMR predicted basal metabolic rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

Table 2.

Metabolic, hormonal, lipids and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)-derived lipid particle concentrations from study visits over six months of lorcaserin or placebo treatments.

| Timing | Placebo | | Lorcaserin | | p |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| | Baseline | Month 6 | Baseline | Month 6 | |
| Glucose (mg/dL) | 92.18 ± 1.76 | 94.41 ± 2.25 | 93.28 ± 2.08 | 95.71 ± 3.02 | .75 |
| HbA1c (%) | 5.86 ± 0.59 | 5.82 ± 0.87 | 5.75 ± 0.71 | 5.85 ± 0.78 | .84 |
| C-peptide (ng/mL) | 2.65 ± 0.35 | 2.81 ± 0.46 | 2.98 ± 0.34 | 2.97 ± 0.33 | .18 |
| HOMA-IR | 3.64 ± 0.49 | 2.72 ± 0.43 | 3.91 ± 0.37 | 3.32 ± 0.56 | .22 |
| Lipoprotein Insulin Resistance Score | 55.63 ± 5.01 | 50.09 ± 5.43 | 49.28 ± 3.28 | 39.71 ± 3.59 | .05* |
| FLI | 79.95 ± 5.03 | 81.62 ± 3.35 | 90.48 ± 2.98 | 79.93 ± 8.66 | <.0001 |
| Alpha-MSH (pmol/L) | 17.41 ± 2.07 | 23.65 ± 3.61 | 21.91 ± 1.61 | 17.95 ± 4.52 | .42 |
| GH (ng/mL) | 0.66 ± 0.24 | 1.41 ± 0.71 | 0.81 ± 0.21 | 0.32 ± 0.11 | 1.3 |
| IGF-1 (ng/mL) | 130 ± 13.62 | 120 ± 14.81 | 137.5 ± 17.68 | 112.7 ± 16.58 | .95 |
| IGFBP3 (ng/mL) | 4.9 ± 0.32 | 5.31 ± 0.49 | 5.69 ± 0.56 | 5.86 ± 0.95 | .27 |
| Cortisol (ug/dL) | 16.27 ± 1.62 | 12.54 ± 1.98 | 16.91 ± 1.21 | 11.71 ± 1.97 | .49 |
| FGF-21 (pg/mL) | 222.47 ± 24.89 | 223.25 ± 43.59 | 268.84 ± 57.72 | 331.50 ± 77.56 | .65 |
| Leptin (pg/mL) | 33.02 ± 4.24 | 27.39 ± 4.31 | 57.2 ± 6.01 | 38.98 ± 8.56 | .05* |
| Adiponectin (pg/mL) | 14.63 ± 1.99 | 17.09 ± 2.3 | 12.67 ± 1.35 | 17.71 ± 1.91 | .9 |
| Ghrelin (pg/mL) | 513.62 ± 54.55 | 584.44 ± 28.79 | 484.97 ± 39.82 | 580.38 ± 26.01 | .84 |
| PYY (pg/mL) | 94.26 ± 9.23 | 83.21 ± 12.81 | 83.85 ± 5.94 | 76.86 ± 5.83 | 1.6 |
| GLP-1(pg/mL) | 43.90 ± 3.94 | 29.07 ± 5.42 | 40.32 ± 4.46 | 27.77 ± 3.93 | .61 |
| Oxyntomodulin (pg/mL) | 387.78 ± 67.94 | 161.64 ± 30.45 | 401.86 ± 57.41 | 213.67 ± 16.89 | .17 |
| Regular Lipids | | | | | |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 181.2 ± 7.36 | 185.8 ± 6.51 | 177.3 ± 7.12 | 174.7 ± 15.5 | .04* |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL) | 122.51 ± 8.96 | 102.4 ± 11.85 | 117.7 ± 8.85 | 96.43 ± 12.9 | <.001* |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 102.7 ± 6.23 | 106.7 ± 5.81 | 106.8 ± 5.45 | 99.29 ± 12.6 | .03* |
| Non-HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 127.2 ± 6.67 | 129.6 ± 5.57 | 130.2 ± 6.51 | 118.4 ± 14.5 | .02* |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 52.58 ± 3.37 | 53.67 ± 3.49 | 47.06 ± 2.19 | 56.29 ± 4.46 | .031* |
| ApoA1 (mg/dL) | 150.4 ± 6.11 | 150.9 ± 5.45 | 138.1 ± 4.86 | 148 ± 10.2 | .03* |
| ApoB (mg/dL) | 90.48 ± 3.81 | 86.61 ± 4.89 | 88.01 ± 5.01 | 88.29 ± 8.85 | .39 |
| NMR Analysis | | | | | |
| VLDL and Triglycerides | | | | | |
| Total VLDL & chylomicron particles (nmol/L) | 51.83 ± 4.62 | 41.33 ± 5.45 | 55.39 ± 4.57 | 42.02 ± 9.05 | .02* |
| Low Density Lipoproteins | | | | | |
| Small LDL particles (nmol/L) | 370.4 ± 32.5 | 465.5 ± 41.4 | 410.1 ± 25.5 | 399 ± 66.3 | .01* |
| oxLDL | 318.1 ± 21.3 | 353.7 ± 29 | 372.1 ± 28.5 | 360.4 ± 26.4 | .05 |
| oxLDL % change | | 14.91 ± 2.98 | | -2.47 ± 4.13 | .03* |
| oxLDL/LDL | 3.35 ± 0.23 | 3.68 ± 0.31 | 3.5 ± 0.23 | 3.9 ± 0.42 | .23 |
| High Density Lipoproteins | | | | | |

| Timing | Placebo | | Month 6 | | Lorcaserin | | Month 6 | | p |
|------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|------------------|
| | Baseline | | | | Baseline | | | | |
| HDL particles (μmol/L) | 29.03 ± 1.29 | | 29.46 ± 1.32 | | 28.51 ± 1.57 | | 31.54 ± 2.43 | | .03* |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 42.01 ± 3.34 | | 42.64 ± 2.31 | | 42.22 ± 2.61 | | 53.57 ± 5.84 | | .02* |
| Large HDL particles (μmol/L) | 4.31 ± 0.66 | | 4.39 ± 0.53 | | 4.57 ± 0.46 | | 6.95 ± 0.99 | | .04* |
| HDL size (nm) | 8.97 ± 0.13 | | 9.06 ± 0.12 | | 9.16 ± 0.09 | | 9.67 ± 0.16 | | <.008* |
| Clusterin (μg/mL) | 33.12 ± 1.53 | | 31.23 ± 1.72 | | 33.51 ± 1.82 | | 30.31 ± 1.99 | | .53 |
| Clusterin %change | 0 | | -8.41 ± 6.79 | | 0 | | 3.37 ± 13 | | .77 |
| oxHDL | 0.66 ± 0.04 | | 0.6 ± 0.04 | | 0.69 ± 0.03 | | 0.59 ± 0.05 | | .59 |
| oxHDL%change | 0 | | -2.7 ± 4.31 | | 0 | | -1.5 ± 6.32 | | .29 |
| LIRS | 55.63 ± 5.01 | | 50.09 ± 5.43 | | 49.28 ± 3.28 | | 39.71 ± 3.59 | | .05* |

Data shown as means ± standard error (SE) of the mean from an on-treatment analysis. Patients included as long as they attended at least two visits. Hormonal measurements took place at weeks 0, 1, 2, 4, and months 3 and 6; Lipid measurements took place at weeks 0, 1, 2, 4, and months 3 and 6; oxLDL and clusterin measurement took place at Months 0, 1 and 6. The p-value is from a general linear mixed model analysis of the parameters. The variables of time, treatment and time*treatment were included in the model as fixed effects, gender, BMI, baseline values and age were included as covariates. The P-value time*treatment interaction is shown

* P <0.05; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model of insulin resistance; PYY, peptide YY; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; GH, growth hormone; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor-1; IGFBP3, Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3; GIP, gastric inhibitory polypeptide; FGF-21, fibroblast growth factor 21; FLI, Fatty Liver Index; VLDL, Very Low Density Lipoprotein; LDL, Low Density Lipoprotein; IDL, Intermediate Density Lipoproteins; HDL, High Density Lipoprotein; oxLDL, oxidized Low Density Lipoprotein; oxHDL, oxidized High Density Lipoprotein; LIRS, Lipoprotein Insulin Resistant Score