# UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

## Title

Epistatic interaction between vernalization genes Vrn-Am1 and Vrn-Am2 in diploid wheat

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1x8808kx

## Journal

Journal of Heredity, 91(4)

**ISSN** 0022-1503

## Authors

Tranquilli, G Dubcovsky, J

# Publication Date 2000-07-01

**DOI** 10.1093/jhered/91.4.304

Peer reviewed

## Epistatic Interaction Between Vernalization Genes *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* and *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* in Diploid Wheat

G. Tranquilli and J. Dubcovsky

Genes Vrn-A<sup>m1</sup> and Vrn-A<sup>m2</sup> control the vernalization requirement in diploid wheat (Triticum monococcum). The epistatic interaction between these two genes on flowering date was studied here using a factorial analysis of variance. One hundred and two F<sub>2</sub> plants were classified according to their genotypes for molecular markers tightly linked to Vrn-A<sup>m1</sup> and Vrn-A<sup>m2</sup>. Mean comparisons showed that the Vrn- $A^{m2}$  allele for winter growth habit was dominant to the vrn- $A^{m2}$  allele for spring growth habit and that the Vrn-A<sup>m1</sup> allele for spring growth habit was dominant to the vrn- $A^m$  allele for winter growth habit. A significant interaction was found between these two genes, suggesting that they work in the same developmental pathway. Plants homozygous for the recessive vrn-A<sup>m2</sup> allele for spring growth habit flowered earlier than plants from the Vrn-A<sup>m2</sup> class independently of the alleles present at Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1. However, differences in heading date between plants with the Vrn- $A^m$  allele and those with the vrn- $A^m$  allele were significant only when the dominant Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2 allele was present. A genetic model for the action of these two vernalization genes is proposed in which the role of Vrn-A<sup>m1</sup> is to counteract the Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2-mediated delay of flowering.

Wheat is produced worldwide in a large range of environments. This wide adaptability is partially based on the exploitation of genetic variation in sensitivity to daylength and the vernalization requirement to adjust flowering time. Of particular importance for adaptation to autumn sowings are the genes controlling the vernalization requirement that prevents flower development during winter, providing protection to the environmentally sensitive floral organs.

The response to vernalization in wheat is mainly controlled by the *Vrn-1* genes located on the long arms of chromosomes 5A, 5B, and 5D (McIntosh et al. 1998). Cultivars carrying the dominant allele *Vrn-1* at any of these three loci exhibit a reduced vernalization requirement and a spring growth habit; cultivars homozygous for the recessive alleles at all *Vrn-1* loci behave as winter genotypes.

Genes orthologous to *Vrn-1* have been reported in other cereals, including rye (*Vrn-R1*) (Plaschke et al. 1993), barley (*Vrn-H1*) (Laurie et al. 1995), and diploid wheat (*Triticum monococcum*) (*Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1*) (Dubcovsky et al. 1998). Recently, a new wheat vernalization gene designated *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* was mapped in the distal region of chromosome 5A<sup>m</sup>L more than 50 cM distal to *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1*. It was suggested that this gene is orthologous to *Vrn-H2* (originally *Sh*) from barley (Dubcovsky et al. 1998).

Analyses of the epistatic interactions between Vrn-H1 and Vrn-H2 in barley have shown that the vrn-H2 allele for spring growth habit is epistatic to vrn-H1, and that the Vrn-H1 allele for spring growth habit is epistatic to Vrn-H2 (Takahashi and Yasuda 1971). Based on the proposed orthology between barley and T. monococcum vernalization genes, we hypothesized that similar interactions would be observed in wheat. To test this hypothesis the epistatic interaction between Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1 and Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2 was determined in an F<sub>2</sub> population of T. monococcum segregating for both loci. Based on the results of this experiment, a genetic model for the action of these two genes in the regulation of wheat heading time is proposed.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Two spring accessions of *T. monococcum*, *T. monococcum* ssp. *monococcum* DV92 and *T. monococcum* ssp. *aegilopoides* G2528, previously characterized as having different combinations of vernalization genes (Dubcovsky et al. 1998), were used in the present study. The allelic constitu-

© 2000 The American Genetic Association 91:304–306

From the Department of Agronomy and Range Science, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95616-8515. G. Tranquilli is currently at the Instituto de Recursos Biológicos, INTA, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Address correspondence to Jorge Dubcovsky at the address above or e-mail: jdubcovsky@ucdavis.edu. The authors express their gratitude to M. E. Sorrells for supplying clones. J. Dubcovsky acknowledges financial support from USDA-NRI competitive grants program by grant no. 97-35300-4379. G. Tranquilli expresses gratitude to the Argentinean Research Council (CONICET) for a fellowship during this work.



**Figure 1.** Main effects of *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* and *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* on flowering time. Bars represent one standard error of the mean. Genotypes at the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* and *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* loci were inferred from RFLP loci *Xwg644* (completely linked to *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1*) and *Xbcd402* (completely linked to *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2*).

tion for *T. monococcum* ssp. *monococcum* DV92 is *vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1/vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* and for *T. monococcum* ssp. *aegilopoides* G2528, *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1/Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* (Dubcovsky et al. 1998).

These two accessions were crossed using accession G2528 as the female parent. Three F<sub>1</sub> plants were self-pollinated and 102 F<sub>2</sub> plants were planted on July 31, 1997, in a greenhouse at 24°C. The number of days between planting and complete emergence of the first spike was scored for each F<sub>2</sub> plant. Genotypes at RFLP loci Xwg644 (completely linked to Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1) and *Xbcd402* (completely linked to *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2*) (Dubcovsky et al. 1998) were used to infer the Vrn genotype of each  $F_2$  plant. Based on the complete linkage of the Vrn genes with these RFLP markers in a population of 74 F<sub>2</sub> plants (Dubcovsky et al. 1998) it is possible to calculate a maximum genetic distance of 2 cM for a 95% confidence interval (Hanson 1959).

The requirement for vernalization in diploid wheat is not absolute, and even plants with winter growth habit generally flower within 6 months. Therefore a guantitative analysis was necessary to show the interaction between these two genes. The data was first evaluated in a  $3 \times 3$ factorial experiment considering each locus as a factor with three levels: homozygous DV92, heterozygous, and homozygous G2528. Tukey comparisons were performed among least-squares means. The nonsignificantly different classes were merged for a second statistical analysis using a  $2 \times 2$  factorial analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS (SAS Institute 1994).

#### Results

#### **Dominance Relationships**

The 3  $\times$  3 factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed significant differences in flowering time among genotypes for both *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* (*P* = .0026) and *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* (*P* = .0001). Comparisons of least-squares means for the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* locus, showed no significant differences (*P* = .83) between

#### Table 1. Factorial (2 $\times$ 2) ANOVA for flowering time

Source of variation	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares	F	Р	
Vrn-A <sup>m</sup> 1	1	1831	8.6	.004	
Vrn-A <sup>m</sup> 2	1	19,624	92.1	.000	
Vrn-A <sup>m</sup> 1*Vrn-A <sup>m</sup> 2	1	877	4.1	.045	
Error	98	213			

Genotypes at the  $Vrn A^m 1$  and  $Vrn A^m 2$  loci were inferred from RFLP loci Xwg644 (completely linked to  $Vrn A^m 1$ ) and Xbcd402 (completely linked to  $Vrn A^m 2$ ).

the heterozygous class and the class homozygous for the G2528 *Vrn*- $A^m1$  allele (Figure 1). Plants from these two classes were significantly earlier than plants from the class homozygous for the DV92 *vrn*- $A^m1$  allele (P < .02; Figure 1). These results indicated that the *Vrn*- $A^m1$  allele was dominant for reduced vernalization requirement and spring growth habit.

For the *Vm*- $A^{m2}$  locus (Figure 1), no significant differences (P = .87) were found between the heterozygous class and the homozygous G2528 *Vm*- $A^{m2}$  allele. Plants from these two classes flowered significantly later than plants homozygous for the DV92 *vm*- $A^{m2}$  allele (P < .0001; Figure 1). These results indicated that the allele for winter growth habit *Vm*- $A^{m2}$  was dominant for increased vernalization requirement and winter growth habit (Figure 1).

#### **Epistatic Interaction**

Interaction was not significant in the 3  $\times$  3 factorial ANOVA (P > .05). However, this interaction was an average of lineal and quadratic interaction components. To increase the power to detect the lineal by lineal interaction, heterozygous and dominant homozygous classes were merged. The merged classes did not show significant differences for heading date in the 3  $\times$  3 factorial ANOVA.

The 2 × 2 factorial ANOVA showed a significant interaction between Vrn- $A^m1$  and Vrn- $A^m2$  (Table 1; P < .05). To determine the origin of this interaction, the effect of each locus was analyzed within each class of the other locus by four one-way ANO-VAs (Figure 2).

The heading date of the plants carrying different allelic variants for *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* was dependent on the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* allele present. When plants carrying *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* were compared with plants carrying *vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* within the recessive *vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* class, no significant differences were detected (P = .52; Figure 2A). When the same comparison was made within the dominant *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* class differences in heading date were highly significant (P < .0001; Figure 2B). Within the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* class, plants homozygous for the recessive *vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* flowered 20 days later than the other plants (Figure 2B).

Differences in flowering date between the allelic classes at the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* locus were significant, regardless of the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* class analyzed (P < .0001; Figure 2 C,D). Within the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* class, plants with the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* allele flowered 31 days later than plants with the *vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* allele (Figure 2D). Within the *vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* class the delay in flowering time for plants with the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* allele relative to the plants with the *vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* allele relative to the plants with the *vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* allele was even longer (47 days) due to the presence of true winter types (*vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1*/ *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2*; Figure 2C).

#### Discussion

Results from this experiment are a good example of the power of comparative genetics. Information generated almost 30 years ago for barley (Takahashi and Yasuda 1971) was used here to predict the



**Figure 2.** Effect of *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* and *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* on flowering time when analyzed within each class of the other locus. Heterozygous and homozygous dominant classes were merged. *P* indicates the probability of significant differences in flowering time between the allelic classes determined by one-way ANOVAs.

epistatic interaction between wheat vernalization genes. The experimental data confirmed the original hypothesis and demonstrated that wheat and barley vernalization genes are similar not only in their map location but also in their intralocus and interloci relationships. Wheat *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* and barley *Vrn-H1* are both dominant for spring growth habit, and *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* and *Vrn-H2* are both dominant for winter growth habit. In both species the alleles for spring growth habit are epistatic to the alleles for winter growth habit at the other locus.

If these epistatic interactions were similar in polyploid wheat, they could provide an explanation for the absence of reports on *Vm-2* in hexaploid bread wheats. Under this hypothesis, the epistatic effects of the *vm-2* alleles to the *vm-1* alleles would be observed only when recessive alleles are present simultaneously at *vm-A2*, *vm-B2*, and *vm-D2* in a plant that also has recessive alleles at all *Vm-1* loci. If the frequencies of recessive *vm-2* alleles at the different genomes were low, the simultaneous presence of recessive *vm-2* alleles at the three homologous loci would be an infrequent event.

If dominance is assumed to be an indication of an active allele, then Vrn-A2 can be considered to actively increase the vernalization requirement, while Vrn-A1 can be considered to actively reduce the vernalization requirement. Halloran (1967) tested the latter hypothesis in hexaploid wheat using nullisomic and tetrasomic lines for chromosomes of homologous group 5. When the dosage of one of the homoalleles was decreased in nullisomic plants, the vernalization requirement was increased. Conversely, when dosage of one of these genes was increased in tetrasomic plants, the vernalization requirement was reduced and the unvernalized plants flowered early. Therefore it was concluded that the Vrn-1 gene actively

promotes early flowering or reduces the vernalization requirement.

In T. monococcum, the epistatic effect of the recessive allele vrn-Am2 for spring growth habit on Vrn-A<sup>m1</sup> suggests that though Vrn-A<sup>m1</sup> and Vrn-A<sup>m2</sup> genes are both involved in the regulation of floral initiation, they act at different points of the regulatory pathway. Based on the results of this experiment the following model is suggested. The active Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2 allele is associated with a mechanism of repression of the constitutive floral initiation pathway in diploid wheat. In turn, this inhibitor gene is inhibited or suppressed by vernalization treatment or by the action of the dominant *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* allele. The postulated action of the Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1 gene product on *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2* explains the absence of the effect of the Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1 locus when the recessive vrn-A<sup>m</sup>2 allele was present.

This model parallels the MCDK flowering model for *Arabidopsis* proposed by Martinez-Zapater et al. (Weigel 1995). The MCDK model postulates the existence of a constitutive central flowering pathway regulated by genes that produce inhibitors, which can either suppress the activity of the genes within the pathway or inactivate their products. In turn, these inhibitor genes can be subjected to other inhibitor or suppressor genes and/or to environmental controls such as daylength or temperature (Law et al. 1994).

The detailed analyses available in *Arabidopsis* have shown a complex circuitry of epistatic interactions in the regulation of flowering time (Koornneef et al. 1998; Lee and Amasino 1995; Michaels and Amasino 1999). A similar complexity is expected in the control of heading date in wheat, including interactions between the environment, different vernalization genes, daylength-sensitive genes, and earliness per se genes (Laurie 1997). The model proposed here for the *Vrn-A<sup>m</sup>1* and *Vrn-A<sup>m2</sup>2* genes is just one piece of the complex puzzle of interactions involved in the regulation of flowering time in wheat.

#### References

Dubcovsky J, Lijavetzky D, Appendino L, and Tranquilli G, 1998. Comparative RFLP mapping of *Triticum mono-coccum* genes controlling vernalization requirement. Theor Appl Genet 97:968–975.

Halloran GM, 1967. Gene dosage and vernalization response in homoeologous group 5 of *Triticum aestivum*. Genetics 57:401–407.

Hanson, W.D. 1959. Minimum family sizes for planning of genetic experiments. Agron J 51:711–715.

Koornneef M, Alonso-Blanco C, Blankestijn-de Vries H, Hanhart CJ, and Peeters AJM, 1998. Genetic interactions among late-flowering mutants of *Arabidopsis*. Genetics 148:885–892.

Laurie DA, 1997. Comparative genetics of flowering time. Plant Mol Biol 35:167–177.

Laurie DA, Pratchett N, Bezant JH, and Snape JW, 1995. RFLP mapping of five major genes and eight quantitative trait loci controlling flowering time in a winter  $\times$  spring barley *Hordeum vulgare* (L.) cross. Genome 38: 575–585.

Law C, Dean C, and Coupland G, 1994. Genes controlling flowering and strategies for their isolation and characterization. In: The molecular biology of flowering (Jordan BR, ed). Oxon, UK: CAB International; 47–68.

Lee I and Amasino RM, 1995. Effect of vernalization, photoperiod, and light quality on the flowering phenotype of *Arabidopsis* plants containing the FRIGIDA gene. Plant Physiol 108:157–162.

McIntosh RA, Hart GE, Devos KM, Gale MD, and Rogers J, 1998. Catalogue of gene symbols for wheat. In: Proceedings of the 9th International Wheat Genetics Symposium, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada, August 2–7, 1998.? : University Extension Press, 1–236.

Michaels SD and Amasino RM, 1999. Flowering locus C encodes a novel MADS domain protein that acts as a repressor of flowering. Plant Cell 11:949–956.

Plaschke J, Börner A, Xie DX, Koebner RMD, Schlegel R, and Gale MD, 1993. RFLP mapping of genes affecting plant height and growth habit in rye. Theor Appl Genet 85:1049–1054.

SAS Institute, 1994. SAS user's guide, version 6, 4th ed. Cary, NC: SAS Institute.

Takahashi R and Yasuda S, 1971. Genetics of earliness and growth habit in barley. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Barley Genetics Symposium. Seattle: Washington State University Press; 388–408.

Weigel D, 1995. The genetics of flower development: from floral induction to ovule morphogenesis. Annu Rev Genet 29:19–39.

Received July 14, 1999 Accepted January 28, 2000 Corresponding Editor: Reid G. Palmer