

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS OF CONVERSION ELECTRONS FROM ORIENTED Ce 137m NUCLEI

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1x98p799>

Authors

Stone, N.J.

Frankel, R.B.

Shirley, D.A.

Publication Date

1965-05-01

University of California
Ernest O. Lawrence
Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

**ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS OF CONVERSION
ELECTRONS FROM ORIENTED $\text{Ce}^{137\text{m}}$ NUCLEI**

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

International Conference on the Internal Conversion
Process, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn.,
May 11-13, 1965

UCRL-11462 Rev

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS OF CONVERSION ELECTRONS FROM
ORIENTED $\text{Ce}^{137\text{m}}$ NUCLEI

N. J. Stone, R. B. Frankel, and D. A. Shirley

May 1965

ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS OF CONVERSION ELECTRONS FROM ORIENTED $\text{Ce}^{137\text{m}}$ NUCLEI*N. J. Stone, R. B. Frankel,[†] and D. A. ShirleyDepartment of Chemistry and Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California, Berkeley, California

Presented by N. J. Stone

Measurement of the energy dependence of charged-particle anisotropies from oriented nuclei would be valuable for many problems in nuclear physics. This report will describe special experimental techniques which have been developed to make such measurements possible, and their application to obtain the angular distribution of conversion electrons from oriented $\text{Ce}^{137\text{m}}$. The simultaneous measurement of gamma and conversion electron angular distributions gives a most direct determination for the "particle parameter." The general expression for the observed angular distribution of conversion electrons following the decay of oriented nuclei is

$$W(\theta) = 1 + \sum_{\nu \text{ even}} B_{\nu} U_{\nu} Q_{\nu} g_{\nu} b_{\nu} F_{\nu} P_{\nu}(\cos\theta) \quad (1)$$

Here B_{ν} are orientation functions, P_{ν} are Legendre polynomials, and F_{ν} are the angular correlation coefficients. The g_{ν} are attenuation coefficients which account for finite counter solid angles. The parameters Q_{ν} and U_{ν} describe, respectively, the reorientation in intermediate states, and the reorientation due to unobserved transitions between the original states in which the nuclei are oriented, and the state from which the observed radiations are emitted. b_{ν} are "particle parameters" which modify F_{ν} for the observed transition.

The $\text{Ce}^{137\text{m}}$ isomeric transition is a very favorable case for study by nuclear orientation. The decay scheme is shown in slide 1.² The decay

sequence is $11/2 \rightarrow M^4 \rightarrow 3/2$ and the M^4 transition should be very pure.

Ce^{137m} aligned in neodymium ethyl sulphate (N.E.S.) shows large gamma-ray anisotropies, and the 255-keV transition is highly converted ($e_K/\gamma \approx 6$).³

There are no intermediate states in this experiment, so the $U_\nu Q_\nu$ factors in Eq. (1) can be replaced by unity. The B_ν are identical for conversion electrons and γ -ray emission, and all B_ν for $\nu > 4$ are negligible. The relationship between B_2 and B_4 is accurately known from γ -ray studies,⁴ and their values are known for any measured $W(0)_\gamma$. The g_2 corrections may be calculated, thus, along the axis of orientation ($P_\nu=1$) we have

$$W(0)_e = 1 + B_2 g_2(e) b_2 F_2 + B_4 g_4(e) b_4 F_4 \quad (2)$$

$$W(0)_\gamma = 1 + B_2 g_2(\gamma) F_2 + B_4 g_4(\gamma) F_4$$

where all except b_2 and b_4 are known. The b_ν are related by⁵

$$b_\nu = 1 + (b_2 - 1) \frac{\nu(\nu+1)[L(L+1)-3]}{3[2L(L+1)-\nu(\nu+1)]} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{i.e., } b_4 = 17/3 b_2 - 14/3$$

so that from the ratio

$$\frac{1-W(0)_e}{1-W(0)_\gamma} = \frac{F_2 g_2(e) B_2 b_2 + F_4 g_4(e) B_4 b_4}{F_2 g_2(\gamma) B_2 + F_4 g_4(\gamma) B_4} \quad (4)$$

we have, for any value of $1-W(0)_\gamma$, an equation with b_2 as the only unknown.

The experimental cryostat is shown schematically in slide 2. The NES crystal is mounted with its c axis (the axis of orientation) horizontal, and electron detectors are placed inside the cryostat along and normal to this

axis. The gamma-ray detectors are outside the cryostat. The source was a small spot on the crystal onto which a small drop of aqueous solution containing the radioactive Ce^{3+} ions had been placed. The drop was deposited and removed several times, without evaporation, and some Ce^{3+} ions replaced Nd^{3+} in the lattice. This gave a 'thin' electron source, and the large anisotropies obtained showed that the Ce^{3+} was in lattice sites. The electron detectors were surface-barrier counters with up to 30v bias applied across an evaporated gold layer on a 1 m x 0.8 cm x 0.8 cm Ge crystal.

Upon demagnetization, simultaneous gamma and conversion electron counts were taken for about 1-1/2 hours as the source warmed up. Then exchange gas was admitted to the cryostat, warming the source to 1° K where normalizing 'warm' counts were taken. Typical 'cold' and 'warm' electron and gamma-ray spectra are shown in slide 3.

The results are shown in the final two slides where we have compared the data with values of the ratio $[1-W(0)_e]/[1-W(0)_\gamma]$ for several values of b_2 . The final results are

$$b_2(K) = 1.061 (18)$$

$$b_2(L+M) = 1.059 (20).$$

The quoted uncertainties include estimated systematic errors in background and solid-angle corrections.

The theoretical value for this transition, for K electrons, based on a point nucleus model, is $b_2(K) = 1.055^5$ which is in excellent agreement with our result. No theoretical values are available for the L and M shells. For such a high multipolarity, point nucleus calculations are expected to be satisfactory.

The techniques reported here would be applicable for measuring particle parameters in any nuclear orientation experiments in which intensities permit and for which sufficiently thin sources can be prepared. In these cases this type of measurement can be especially valuable for the following reasons:

(1) Nuclear orientation, employing singles rather than coincidence counting, is the most direct method for measuring particle parameters. This leads to higher accuracy as is shown by comparison of the present result ($\pm 2\%$) with typical results of angular-correlation experiments ($\pm 10\%$).^{6,7,8}

(2) The b_γ are parity sensitive, thus for an unknown transition an internal parity determination, which is absent in directional correlation of γ -rays alone, is provided.

(3) The ratio $F_2(e)/F_2(\gamma)$ for mixed transitions is in some multipolarity regions extremely sensitive to the multipole mixing ratio and can give this ratio with high accuracy than any other method.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

* This work was supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

† Present address: National Magnet Laboratory, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

1. R. J. Blin-Stoyle and M. A. Grace, in Handbuch der Physik, edited by S. Flügge (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957), Vol. 42, p. 556.
2. D. Strominger, J. M. Hollander, and G. T. Seaborg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 30, 585 (1958).
3. A. R. Brosi and B. H. Ketelle, Phys. Rev. 100, 169 (1955).
4. J. N. Haag, C. E. Johnson, D. A. Shirley, and D. H. Templeton, Phys. Rev. 121, 591 (1961).
5. L. C. Biedenharn and M. E. Rose, Rev. Mod. Phys. 25, 729 (1953).
6. Z. Grabowski, B. G. Petterson, T. R. Gerholm, and J. E. Thun, Nucl. Phys. 24, 251 (1961).
7. F. Gimmi, E. Heer, and P. Scherrer, Helv. Phys. Acta 29, 147 (1956).
8. J. H. Hamilton, E. F. Zganjar, T. M. George, and W. H. Hibbitts, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 567 (1965).

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

Faint, illegible text covering the majority of the page, likely bleed-through from the reverse side.

