UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 inhibition: a potential treatment target for pulmonary fibrosis.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1xz8k5kc>

Journal European Respiratory Review, 33(172)

Authors

Volkmann, Elizabeth Denton, Christopher Kolb, Martin [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1xz8k5kc#author)

Publication Date

2024-04-01

DOI

10.1183/16000617.0015-2024

Peer reviewed

Lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 inhibition: a potential treatment target for pulmonary fibrosis

fibrotic lung diseases. <https://bit.ly/4cUcumz>

Elizabeth R. Volkmann¹, Christopher P. Denton \mathbf{O}^2 \mathbf{O}^2 , Martin Kolb \mathbf{O}^3 , Marlies S. Wijsenbeek-Lourens⁴, Claire Emson⁵, Krischan Hudson⁶, Anthony J. Amatucci⁷, Oliver Distler⁸, Yannick Allanore⁹ and Dinesh Khanna¹⁰

¹Department of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ²Department of Medicine, University College London, London, UK. ³Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada. ⁴Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ⁵Translational Medicine, Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen, Inc.), Rockville, MD, USA. ⁶Clinical Development, Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen, Inc.), Deerfield, IL, USA. ⁷Global Medical Affairs, Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen, Inc), Deerfield, IL, USA. ⁸Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.
⁹Pheumatology Department Cochin Hospital APHP INSEPM U1016 Université Paris Cité Paris Franc ⁹Rheumatology Department, Cochin Hospital APHP, INSERM U1016, Université Paris Cité, Paris, France. ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

Corresponding author: Dinesh Khanna (khannad@med.umich.edu)

Shareable abstract (@ERSpublications) This review discusses how novel pharmaceuticals target lysophosphatidic acid signalling in pulmonary fibrosis and summarises clinical trials of these investigational drugs within select

Cite this article as: Volkmann ER, Denton CP, Kolb M, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 inhibition: a potential treatment target for pulmonary fibrosis. Eur Respir Rev 2024; 33: 240015 [\[DOI: 10.1183/16000617.0015-2024\].](https://doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0015-2024)

Copyright ©The authors 2024

This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact permissions@ersnet.org

Received: 25 Jan 2024 Accepted: 1 April 2024

Abstract

Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA)-mediated activation of LPA receptor 1 (LPAR1) contributes to the pathophysiology of fibrotic diseases such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and systemic sclerosis (SSc). These diseases are associated with high morbidity and mortality despite current treatment options. The LPA-producing enzyme autotaxin (ATX) and LPAR1 activation contribute to inflammation and mechanisms underlying fibrosis in preclinical fibrotic models. Additionally, elevated levels of LPA have been detected in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid from patients with IPF and in serum from patients with SSc. Thus, ATX and LPAR1 have gained considerable interest as pharmaceutical targets to combat fibrotic disease and inhibitors of these targets have been investigated in clinical trials for IPF and SSc. The goals of this review are to summarise the current literature on ATX and LPAR1 signalling in pulmonary fibrosis and to help differentiate the novel inhibitors in development. The mechanisms of action of ATX and LPAR1 inhibitors are described and preclinical studies and clinical trials of these agents are outlined. Because of their contribution to numerous physiologic events underlying fibrotic disease, ATX and LPAR1 inhibition presents a promising therapeutic strategy for IPF, SSc and other fibrotic diseases that may fulfil unmet needs of the current standard of care.

Introduction

Fibrosis is a pathologic hallmark of diverse diseases and disease processes, including interstitial lung diseases (ILDs) such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and systemic sclerosis (SSc)-ILD [\[1](#page-13-0)–[4\]](#page-14-0). Although their distinct disease aetiologies are not completely understood, IPF and SSc-ILD share the common pathologic features of low-grade inflammation and excessive extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition within the lung. Patients with SSc are also affected by fibrosis in other organ systems, including the skin, as well as by vasculopathy in different organs [[2](#page-14-0), [5, 6](#page-14-0)]. Processes that contribute to fibrosis are necessary for several physiologic events, including wound healing. However, uncontrolled, excessive ECM deposition and inflammation are pathogenic and may lead to a chronic profibrotic state, culminating in fibrotic disease [\[1,](#page-13-0) [5](#page-14-0), [7, 8](#page-14-0)]. Among the multiple mechanisms that contribute to fibrosis, lipid mediators such as lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) that primarily activate LPA receptors (LPARs) and are predominantly produced by autotaxin (ATX) may play a central role in the convergence of inflammation and fibrosis [\[3, 4, 9](#page-14-0)–[13\]](#page-14-0).

This review will focus on the roles of ATX and LPAR1 in IPF and SSc-ILD, diseases in which the leading causes of death are progression of pulmonary fibrosis and respiratory failure [\[14](#page-14-0), [15\]](#page-14-0). Therapeutic options for these diseases are limited and may be tolerated poorly by patients [[16, 17](#page-14-0)]. Thus, several mechanisms that underly fibrosis are currently pursued as targets for the development of novel therapies such as LPAR1 and ATX inhibition [\[12](#page-14-0)]. Activation of LPAR1 by LPA contributes to fibrosis, with some preclinical studies suggesting LPAR1 may disproportionally contribute to fibrosis more so than other LPAR family members [[12, 18, 19\]](#page-14-0). The ATX/LPA/LPAR1 signalling axis also contributes to inflammation through mechanisms such as macrophage survival and activation [\[20](#page-14-0), [21](#page-14-0)]. Numerous inhibitors of the ATX/LPA/LPAR1 signalling axis have entered clinical trials for fibrotic diseases [\[22](#page-14-0)–[25\]](#page-14-0). Inhibitors of ATX and LPAR1 signalling in clinical development have varying mechanisms of action (MOA) and are emerging as potential therapies for IPF and SSc-ILD. This review describes these investigational therapies and their potential to intervene with these disease processes. The main objectives of this review include the following: 1) review ATX and LPAR1 signalling and their roles in fibrotic disease with a focus on IPF and SSc-ILD; 2) discuss ATX and LPAR1 inhibition as a potential therapy for fibrotic disease and differentiate the MOA of ATX and LPAR1 pathway inhibitors; and 3) review ongoing clinical trials of ATX and LPAR1 inhibitors.

Physiologic role of ATX/LPA/LPAR1 signalling

ATX

ATX is an enzyme with lysophospholipase D activity and is the predominant enzyme that converts lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) to LPA in the plasma [[26, 27\]](#page-14-0). LPA is a bioactive phospholipid that mediates a host of physiologic processes (e.g. cell migration, proliferation and differentiation, cytoskeleton regulation, macrophage survival, inflammation, and alteration of cell–cell adhesions) and signals through a six-member family of G-coupled protein receptors, LPAR1–6 [[21,](#page-14-0) [28\]](#page-15-0). Because ATX largely contributes to LPA availability, ATX activity is associated with outcomes of LPA signalling independent and dependent of LPAR, including LPAR-mediated fibrosis and inflammation [[9](#page-14-0), [11](#page-14-0), [21](#page-14-0), [28](#page-15-0)–[30\]](#page-15-0). In ATX-dependent LPA synthesis, phospholipase A-1 or A-2 removes a fatty acid from the sn-1 or sn-2 position, respectively, from membrane phospholipids resulting in LPC, which is subsequentially hydrolysed by the lysophospholipase D activity of ATX to produce LPA [\[27](#page-14-0), [28\]](#page-15-0). However, ATX is not the sole LPA producer, as LPA is also produced via ATX-independent mechanisms, albeit to a lesser extent. At least four intracellular ATX-independent pathways are capable of LPA production [\[28](#page-15-0)].

A recent study encompassing biochemical, cellular, and murine assays suggests that ATX also acts as an LPA chaperone to facilitate delivery of LPA to LPAR, independent of its catalytic activity [[31\]](#page-15-0). This study revealed that ATX bound to LPA favours activation of LPAR6 more than LPAR1. Although in vitro and in vivo studies support the notion that LPA species and ATX-mediated chaperoning may influence LPAR isoform binding preference, questions remain regarding how ATX/LPA/LPAR signalling specificity affects biologic functions.

LPAR1 pathway

LPAR1 belongs to a six-member family of LPARs, each with numerous and sometimes redundant physiologic effects, including cell migration, proliferation and differentiation, cytoskeleton regulation, inflammation, and alteration of cell–cell adhesions, all of which are processes that contribute to fibrosis [\[8,](#page-14-0) [28\]](#page-15-0). LPA, the primary ligand of LPAR1, contains a glycerol backbone with a fatty acid chain at the sn-1 or sn-2 and a phosphate group at the sn-3 position [\[28](#page-15-0)]. Species of LPA are differentiated by the degree of saturation and length of the fatty acid chain and have varying affinities for LPA receptor isoforms [[28\]](#page-15-0). LPAR1 has broad LPA species selectivity and binds both saturated and unsaturated LPA [\[32](#page-15-0)].

LPAR1, expressed by macrophages and fibroblasts amongst others cell types, promotes inflammation and fibrosis in various tissues (e.g. kidney, skin and lung) [\[4, 11](#page-14-0), [12,](#page-14-0) [33](#page-15-0)–[35\]](#page-15-0). After tissue injury (e.g., bleomycin exposure) or during blood clotting, LPA levels increase locally to activate LPAR1 and promote a profibrotic state [\[4,](#page-14-0) [36](#page-15-0)]. The LPAR1-associated G-protein (G α_i , G α_{q} or G $\alpha_{12/13}$) is activated upon binding of LPA to LPAR1 and this activation is associated with the aforementioned cellular events that contribute to fibrosis [\[3, 12,](#page-14-0) [37](#page-15-0)–[42](#page-15-0)]. Preclinical evidence also suggests that LPAR1 indirectly increases ECM deposition through activation of fibroblast migration [\[4\]](#page-14-0). Additionally, LPAR1 increases activity of key inflammatory players such as NF-κB and c-jun N-terminal kinase; it also increases expression of chemoattractants and proinflammatory cytokines (e.g. interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-8, chemokine C-X-C motif ligand 1 (CXCL1), CXCL8, C-C motif chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2) and CCL3) [\[12](#page-14-0), [20,](#page-14-0) [33](#page-15-0), [34, 43](#page-15-0), [44\]](#page-15-0).

Role of ATX and LPAR1 signalling in fibrotic diseases

IPF

IPF is characterised by progressive loss of lung function, dyspnoea and poor prognosis [\[1\]](#page-13-0). Approximately 70% of patients with IPF are males, with disease presentation usually occurring at an age of >60 years [[16\]](#page-14-0). Risk factors for IPF include cigarette smoking, air pollution, other environmental exposures, genetics and older age [[16](#page-14-0)]. An analysis of IPF survival in the literature estimates a median IPF survival of 3.2 years, with the majority of studies included in the analysis reporting median survival rates of 2–5 years [\[45\]](#page-15-0). Real-world data, although inconsistent, have demonstrated that approved IPF therapies (i.e. pirfenidone and nintedanib) are associated with improvements in survival [\[46](#page-15-0)–[49\]](#page-15-0). For example, one study of 457 patients reported transplant-free survival rates of 3.4 versus 2.2 years with approved treatment versus without, respectively (p=0.005) [[46\]](#page-15-0). However, although current pharmacologic therapies slow the decline of lung function in IPF, they do not halt disease progression and may have poor tolerability ([table 1\)](#page-4-0) [\[50, 51\]](#page-15-0). Further, the failure and termination of recent phase 3 clinical trials (Zephyrus-1 and ISABELA-1/2) that evaluated promising antifibrotic molecules (pamrevlumab (anti–connective tissue growth factor) and ziritaxestat (ATX inhibitor)) highlights challenges in drug development for IPF [\[24](#page-14-0), [52](#page-15-0), [53\]](#page-15-0). Thus, there remains an urgent need for better treatments for IPF with several phase 2 and 3 trials currently ongoing [\[54](#page-16-0)–[59](#page-16-0)].

Preclinical and clinical studies have implicated ATX and LPA/LPAR1 signalling in IPF pathogenesis [\(figure 1](#page-6-0)). In one study, increased protein levels of ATX were observed in lung tissue and alveolar macrophages of the fibrotic interstitium from patients with IPF and in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) from mice with bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis. Moreover, conditional ATX knockout in bronchiolar epithelial cells and macrophages protected mice from bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis [\[60](#page-16-0)]. Another study in a mouse model of bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis found that ATX protein levels and activity increased in BALF and lung homogenates (but not plasma) after bleomycin challenge and that the increase was dependent on vascular leak rather than increased mRNA expression of ATX [[29\]](#page-15-0). Therefore, lung LPA production via ATX may be primarily dependent on ATX localisation via vascular leak and not local production of ATX. Collectively, these data suggest that ATX may contribute to pulmonary fibrosis and inflammation.

Regarding LPAR1 specifically, in a study of mice with bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis, LPAR1 deficiency reduced fibroblast chemotaxis, vascular leakage and mortality [[4](#page-14-0)]. Although LPAR1 deficiency did not affect fibroblast to myofibroblast differentiation, LPAR1-deficient mice exhibited reduced collagen accumulation following bleomycin injury, suggesting that LPAR1 indirectly drives collagen accumulation through fibroblast chemotaxis [[4](#page-14-0)]. In another study of bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis, LPAR1 knockout mice exhibited less bronchial epithelial cell apoptosis than LPAR1 wild-type mice. Additionally, serum-deprived primary mouse lung fibroblasts treated with LPA were more resistant to apoptosis than were untreated cells and inhibitors targeting LPAR1 attenuated this apoptotic resistance [\[3\]](#page-14-0). Collectively, these in vivo and in vitro observations suggest that LPAR1 contributes to vascular leakage, epithelial cell apoptosis and fibroblast chemotaxis and survival, all of which are contributory mechanisms of pulmonary fibrosis [[3](#page-14-0), [4\]](#page-14-0) Further, LPAR1 mRNA expression is the highest among the LPAR family in pulmonary fibroblasts, supporting the importance of this isoform in LPA signalling outcomes in pulmonary fibrosis [\[4\]](#page-14-0). Clinically, elevated levels of LPA have been observed in BALF and plasma from patients with IPF [\[4, 12,](#page-14-0) [61\]](#page-16-0). Further, higher levels of plasma LPA associated with greater fibrosis in the lower lung and decline in lung function as measured by diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide (D_{LCO}) [[61\]](#page-16-0). These observations suggest that LPA/LPAR signalling is also relevant for human pathology.

In a study using the bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis mouse model, LPAR1 deficiency did not attenuate the inflammatory leukocyte response or leukocyte activation in BALF [[4](#page-14-0)]. However, in humans with IPF, serum LPA levels positively correlate with inflammation-related biomarkers (i.e. CCL17 and CCL18) that also have profibrotic properties [[61\]](#page-16-0). Thus, LPA may contribute to pathogenic fibrosis and inflammation within IPF.

SSc and SSc-associated ILD

SSc is a chronic connective tissue disease characterised by autoimmunity, vasculopathy and fibrosis of the skin and internal organs [[5](#page-14-0)]. Categorised into two clinical subsets on the basis of cutaneous involvement (limited cutaneous SSc (lcSSc) and diffuse cutaneous SSc (dcSSc)), SSc is a heterogeneous disease and no therapeutic regimen has been found to be effective across various SSc phenotypes [[5](#page-14-0)]. Patients with dcSSc experience profound skin fibrosis that is proximal as well as distal to the elbows/knees and generally progresses at a more rapid rate than those with lcSSc. However, both cutaneous subtypes are associated with serious internal organ involvement [[62, 63](#page-16-0)]. Further, patients with dcSSc have a poor prognosis and low survival rate, estimated at 88 and 74% at 5 and 10 years, respectively [[64\]](#page-16-0).

https://doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0015-2024

https://doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0015-2024

#: including SSc, idiopathic inflammatory myositis (including polymyositis or dermatomyositis) or mixed connective tissue disease with associated severe or progressive ILD. ": CTD-ILD or idiopathic interstitial pneumonia; 23 participants (53% of the CTD-ILD group) had SSc. \cdot : all patients with pulmonary valve disease in this study had physiologic pulmonary valve regurgitation that was not pathologic. 6MWT: 6-min walk test; AE: adverse event; CFB: change from baseline; CTD-ILD: connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung disease; CYC: cyclophosphamide; dcSSc: diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis; D_{LCG} : diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; D.:VA: diffusing capacity adjusted for alveolar volume; EQ-5D: Euro Quality of Life; FVC: forced vital capacity; FVC%: FVC as ^a percentage of predicted value; GDA: global disease activity; GI: gastrointestinal; HRCT: high-resolution computed tomography; HAQ-DI: Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index; HSCT: haematopoietic stem cell transplantation; mHSCT: myeloablative autologous HSCT; MMF: mycophenolate mofetil; mRSS: modified Rodnan skin score; NA: not applicable; PFS: progression-free survival; OLF-LM: quantitative lung fibrosis-most affected lobe; OoL: quality of life; RTX: rituximab; SF-36: 36-Item Short Form Health Survey; SGRO: St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire; SLS: Scleroderma Lung Study; TDI: translational dyspnoea index; TLC%: total lung capacity as a percentage of predicted value; UIP: usual interstitial pneumonia; VAS: visual analogue scale.

FIGURE 1 Model of autotaxin (ATX) and lysophosphatidic acid receptor (LPAR) 1 in systemic sclerosis and pulmonary fibrosis. Model is derived from empirical data collected from preclinical dermal and pulmonary fibrotic models. After injury, local levels of ATX rise. In the extracellular space, ATX catalytically converts lysophospholipids such as lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) to lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), thus elevating local levels of LPA. LPA activates the LPAR family of G protein-coupled receptors. Additionally, ATX can chaperone LPA to LPARs, with heightened specificity for LPAR6 compared with LPAR1. Activation of LPAR1/2 and their G protein-coupled receptors leads to downstream signalling that results in cellular processes involved in fibrosis. Bleomycin-induced dermal fibrotic mouse models support that LPAR1, but not LPAR2, contributes to cellular events that culminate in dermal fibrosis. Bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrotic mouse models support that LPAR1 and LPAR2 contribute to cellular events that culminate in pulmonary fibrosis. The effect of LPAR3–6 is not as well characterised in the development of dermal and pulmonary fibrosis.

> Positive clinical trials of therapies for SSc and SSc-ILD are reviewed in [table 1](#page-4-0). Although these therapies may slow disease progression and improve symptoms and certain organ manifestations, treatments are not generally curative and do not arrest fibrosis [\[5, 17,](#page-14-0) [65](#page-16-0)]. Further, current treatments are ineffective in comprehensively treating the broad spectrum of SSc clinical manifestations. For example, the IL-6 receptor antagonist tocilizumab and the antifibrotic, nintedanib, are both approved for slowing the rate of pulmonary function decline in adults with SSc-ILD; however, neither was associated with improvement in skin fibrosis compared with placebo [[66](#page-16-0)–[69](#page-16-0)]. To fulfil the unmet need of the current treatment landscape, novel agents that target underlying mechanisms of both inflammation and fibrosis are being developed as potential therapies for SSc (e.g. ATX and LPAR1 inhibitors).

> Pulmonary involvement is a potentially severe SSc manifestation that may manifest as ILD and is associated with high rates of morbidity and mortality [\[1,](#page-13-0) [70](#page-16-0), [71\]](#page-16-0). An estimated prevalence of ILD in patients with SSc (n=1168) from the Canadian Scleroderma Research Group registry was 52% (95% CI 46–59%) [[71\]](#page-16-0). Although ILD is common in patients with dcSSc, ILD occurs in patients with lcSSc, as well (dcSSc: 70%; lcSSc: 39% (Registry of the Spanish Network for SSc)) [[72\]](#page-16-0). These estimates are possibly underestimated due to lack of uniform screening for early SSc for ILD [\[73](#page-16-0)]. The prevalence of progressive pulmonary fibrosis (PPF) among patients with SSc-ILD varies by patient population, but studies report that approximately 27–39% of SSc-ILD cases can be classified as PPF [\[74](#page-16-0), [75](#page-16-0)].

As previously described, two studies of bleomycin-challenged pulmonary fibrotic mice have demonstrated an association between ATX and pulmonary fibrosis [\[29](#page-15-0), [60\]](#page-16-0). Because bleomycin mouse models are used for both SSc-ILD and IPF, these studies suggest a link between ATX and SSc-ILD, while another study demonstrated that ATX is additionally involved in dermal fibrosis. In a bleomycin-induced dermal fibrosis mouse model, dermal ATX mRNA and protein levels were enhanced compared with saline-injected mice [\[76](#page-16-0)]. Inhibition of ATX reduced collagen and myofibroblast accumulation in the dermis as well as dermal thickening after bleomycin challenge [[76\]](#page-16-0). This involvement of ATX in dermal fibrosis is also observed in humans. Messenger RNA ATX levels are elevated in skin from patients with dcSSc compared with healthy skin [[76\]](#page-16-0). Further, ATX, LPA and IL-6 participate in an amplification loop in dermal fibroblasts, wherein LPA produced by ATX increases IL-6 expression and IL-6, in turn, enhances ATX expression [[76\]](#page-16-0). This feedback mechanism is more pronounced in SSc versus healthy fibroblasts in vitro and implicates ATX in fibrotic-related inflammation [[76\]](#page-16-0). Therefore, ATX may contribute to both dermal and pulmonary fibrosis and may thus be a mediator in SSc and SSc-ILD pathogenesis [\(figure 1\)](#page-6-0).

Preclinical and clinical evidence also support LPAR1 as a contributor to SSc. Two studies involving bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrotic mice with LPAR1 deficiency, one of which also involved primary mouse lung fibroblasts treated with LPAR1 inhibitors, concluded that LPAR1 contributes to physiologic mechanisms associated with pulmonary fibrosis and thus SSc-ILD (reviewed in the IPF section) [\[3, 4\]](#page-14-0). Mice with bleomycin-induced dermal fibrosis and LPAR1 knockout have reduced dermal thickening as well as collagen and myofibroblast accumulation compared with wild-type bleomycin-induced dermal fibrotic mice. Skin fibroblasts from patients with SSc had elevated LPA-activated CI[−] current activity, a phenomenon involved in lung fibroblast differentiation [\[77](#page-16-0)]. Dermal fibroblasts and skin biopsies from patients with SSc contain more LPAR1 mRNA than mRNA encoding other LPAR isoforms [[12\]](#page-14-0). Inhibition of LPAR1 in SSc dermal fibroblasts led to decreased CCL2, CXCL1 and IL-6 protein secretion in response to LPA, supporting a proinflammatory role of LPAR1 [[12\]](#page-14-0). Moreover, patients with SSc have elevated total serum LPA:lypophosphatidylcholine ratios compared with healthy controls [[78\]](#page-16-0). Together, these observations associate LPAR1 activity with SSc.

In addition to its fibrotic and immunomodulatory effects, LPAR1 activation exerts vascular effects such as intimal hyperplasia in response to vascular injury due to interactions with smooth muscle and vasoconstriction [\[79](#page-16-0), [80\]](#page-16-0). Therefore, LPAR1 activity may also contribute to SSc-related vasculopathy, which may be improved with LPAR1 inhibition. In summary, evidence of ATX and LPAR1 involvement in fibrotic disease and potential involvement in vasculopathy suggests that modulation of ATX or LPAR1 may attenuate fibrosis and that this antagonism may be an effective strategy in the development of therapies for IPF and SSc.

Preclinical and phase 1 clinical trials of ATX and LPAR1 inhibitors

ATX inhibition

The contribution of ATX in pathological fibrosis has motivated the design of multiple ATX inhibitors that have been reviewed extensively elsewhere [\[81](#page-16-0), [82](#page-17-0)]. Although ATX inhibition may decrease activation of LPAR1 (via LPA depletion), because LPA engages LPARs 1–6, ATX inhibition is not specific to LPAR1 and may indirectly inhibit LPARs 2–6, as well ([figure 2](#page-8-0)) [[28\]](#page-15-0). Several ATX inhibitors are under preclinical development and three ATX inhibitors have entered clinical trials for IPF, namely BLD-0409 (cudetaxestat) [[55\]](#page-16-0), GLPG1690 (ziritaxestat) [[83\]](#page-17-0) and BBT-877 [[84, 85](#page-17-0)]. In mice with bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis, all three inhibitors reduced the fibrosis versus vehicle [[85](#page-17-0)–[87](#page-17-0)].

In bleomycin-challenged mice, cudetaxestat reduced expression of ATX/LPA target genes in RNAseq experiments, plasma LPA levels, mRNA expression of key drivers of lung fibrosis and eventually lung fibrosis in a dose-dependent manner [[86\]](#page-17-0). The safety of cudetaxestat was evaluated in four phase 1 clinical trials of healthy volunteers (n>200) [\[88](#page-17-0)]. A single/multiple ascending dose study of oral cudetaxestat solution reported only transient, mild gastrointestinal treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs), while a bioavailability study of oral cudetaxestat tablets reported no gastrointestinal TEAEs. A drug–drug interaction study reported that cudetaxestat is safe to use in combination with nintedanib or pirfenidone with no significant changes in drug exposure during concomitant use. No serious adverse events (AEs) were reported in the phase I studies [\[88](#page-17-0)].

Ziritaxestat inhibits ATX catalytic and chaperone function and has higher selectivity for LPAR6 than for LPAR1 [[31\]](#page-15-0). Ziritaxestat was shown to be more efficient at protecting mice from radiation-induced pulmonary fibrosis than was an ATX catalytic inhibitor that did not inhibit chaperone activity, supporting the premise that ATX chaperone inhibition may contribute to pulmonary fibrosis protection [[31\]](#page-15-0). Ziritaxestat also reduced LPA 18:2 levels in BALF of bleomycin-challenged mice [\[85](#page-17-0)]. In the first

FIGURE 2 Theoretical mechanism of action of a) autotaxin (ATX) and b) selective lysophosphatidic acid receptor (LPAR) 1 inhibitors. In panel a), inhibition of ATX leads to decreased LPA and thus decreased activation of all LPARs. Decreased LPAR1 signalling leads to decreased dermal and

lung fibrosis, while decreased LPAR2 signalling leads to decreased pulmonary fibrosis. Inhibition of LPAR3–6 is not as well characterised because data for LPAR3–6 signalling in dermal and pulmonary fibrotic models are lacking. Inhibition of ATX chaperone function may lead to a more pronounced inactivation of LPAR6 and thus decreased pulmonary fibrosis. In panel b), during LPAR1 selective inhibition, upstream signalling and activation of LPAR2–6 is maintained. Inhibition of LPAR1 leads to decreased cellular events that improve dermal and pulmonary fibrosis. Because LPAR1 is expressed at higher levels compared with other LPARs in pulmonary fibroblasts and systemic sclerosis dermal fibroblasts, this selective inhibition targets cell types involved in dermal and pulmonary fibrosis. LPA: lysophosphatidic acid; LPC: lysophosphatidylcholine.

> in-human study of ziritaxestat in healthy men, headache was the only reported TEAE and no serious AEs were reported [\[89](#page-17-0)].

> BBT-877 reduced LPA 18:2 and 20:4 with higher potency than GLP1690 in ex vivo enzymatic assays that used human plasma. BBT-877 also reduced body weight loss, lung weight, Ashcroft score and collagen content in bleomycin-challenged mice compared with the vehicle. A phase I trial of BBT-877 in 80 healthy volunteers resulted in only mild AEs and no serious AEs [\[87](#page-17-0)].

LPAR1 inhibition

Although ATX and LPAR1 inhibitors both reduce fibrosis via inhibition of LPA signalling, their MOA vary considerably. Unlike ATX inhibitors, which deplete LPA production, LPAR1 inhibitors bind LPAR1 and specifically inhibit its activation by LPAs [\[12](#page-14-0), [90\]](#page-17-0). Hence, LPAR1 inhibitors may have no or a limited effect on LPARs 2–6, depending on binding specificity for LPAR1 within the LPAR family ([figure 2\)](#page-8-0) [[12\]](#page-14-0). Examples of LPAR1 inhibitors are fipaxalparant (HZN-825), BMS-986020 and BMS-986278 [\[23,](#page-14-0) [54](#page-16-0), [91\]](#page-17-0). Although these inhibitors target the same receptor, in vitro and in vivo evidence suggests that their binding mechanisms differ, which may lead to differences in efficacy and safety profiles in the clinic [[90](#page-17-0), [92](#page-17-0)].

Fipaxalparant behaves as a selective allosteric LPAR1 inhibitor [\[12](#page-14-0), [90\]](#page-17-0). In vitro, fipaxalparant inhibited LPAR1-mediated activation by five different LPA species, including 20:4 LPA, which is increased in serum of patients with SSc [[12,](#page-14-0) [78](#page-16-0)]. In cellular assays, fipaxalparant blocked calcium response in Chinese hamster ovary cells treated with serum from patients with SSc, prevented LPA-mediated differentiation of IPF fibroblasts, decreased Wnt signalling and decreased secretion of inflammatory markers (IL-6, CCL2 and CXCL1) in SSc dermal fibroblasts [[12\]](#page-14-0). Additionally, in Tsk1 mice, fipaxalparant reduced hypodermal thickening, myofibroblast accumulation, hydroxyproline content and secretion of CCL2 and CXCL1 [[12\]](#page-14-0). Notably, fipaxalparant was inactive on LPAR2, 3 and 5 and had some activity with LPAR6 [[12\]](#page-14-0). Thus, fipaxalparant exerts its effect primarily on LPAR1, but it has limited effect on other LPARs, whose contributions to dermal and pulmonary fibrosis are not as well established [\[3, 4](#page-14-0), [12, 19\]](#page-14-0). For example, evidence from mice subcutaneously injected with bleomycin indicates that LPAR2 does not contribute to dermal fibrosis, while evidence from a bleomycin-induced fibrotic mouse model supports that LPAR2 does contribute to pulmonary fibrosis [[19,](#page-14-0) [93](#page-17-0)]. Together, these data suggest that fipaxalparant selectively inhibits LPAR1 and attenuates fibrotic processes in preclinical models. Five phase 1 safety trials of fipaxalparant in healthy volunteers (n=94) reported no severe AEs. The most frequently reported TEAEs were headache, orthostatic hypotension, postural dizziness, flatulence and abdominal pain (unpublished data, Horizon Theraputics).

BMS-986020 and BMS-986278 are structurally related yet distinct inhibitors of LPAR1 [\[92](#page-17-0), [94](#page-17-0)]. An in vitro study suggested that BMS-986020 binds LPAR1 differently than fipaxalparant [[90\]](#page-17-0). BMS-986020 also inhibits hepatic resident transporters, particularly bile salt export pump (BSEP) and mitochondrial function in hepatic cells and, therefore, has off-target effects that likely caused the hepatobiliary toxicity observed in a phase 2 clinical trial [\[23](#page-14-0), [92\]](#page-17-0). Conversely, BMS-986278 inhibition of BSEP was weak in in vitro assays, suggesting that BMS-986278 may have a better safety profile than BMS-986020 [[92\]](#page-17-0). BMS-986278 did not cause hepatobiliary toxicity in rat or monkey models [\[95](#page-17-0)]. In addition, a phase 1 trial of BMS-986278 in healthy individuals did not report hepatic toxicity. Most AEs were mild and the most frequent one was headache. Decreased blood pressure was also reported (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT03429933) [[96, 97\]](#page-17-0).

Phase 2/3 clinical trials of ATX and LPAR1 inhibitors

Phase 2 and 3 clinical trials of ATX and LPAR1 inhibitors are reviewed in this section. Notably, the eligibility criteria pertaining to background therapy have not been uniform throughout trials and use of background therapy may have influenced outcomes [[22,](#page-14-0) [83\]](#page-17-0). Therefore, the ability to holistically interpret results may be limited.

ATX inhibitors

Three ATX inhibitors have entered phase 2 of clinical development: BBT-877, cudetaxestat and ziritaxestat [\(table 2\)](#page-11-0) [[55,](#page-16-0) [83, 84](#page-17-0)]. ISABELA 1 and 2 were phase 3, double-blind, placebo-controlled, global, randomised clinical trials that evaluated the safety and efficacy of ziritaxestat in participants with IPF (n=525; n=781) [\[24](#page-14-0)]. Participants were randomised 1:1:1 to receive ziritaxestat 600 mg, ziritaxestat 200 mg or placebo for at least 52 weeks. Participants were permitted, but not required, to receive standard-of-care treatment (nintedanib, pirfenidone) during the study. Both trials were terminated early after a review by an independent data safety monitoring committee that concluded there was increased mortality in the 600 mg ziritaxestat group and lack of efficacy in all treatment groups [[24\]](#page-14-0). Despite early termination, most patients were included in the analysis (1300/1306) and mean (SD) total treatment duration for ISABELA-1 and ISABELA-2 were 345 (188) and 339 (161) days, respectively. Ziritaxestat treatment did not result in benefits in the primary end-point (annual rate of forced vital capacity (FVC) decline versus placebo) or in key secondary end-points versus the placebo group. Outcomes for time to first respiratory-related hospitalisation were worse in the ziritaxestat groups versus placebo. Pooled all-cause mortality rates for ziritaxestat 600 mg, ziritaxestat 200 mg and placebo were 8.9, 7.0 and 5.5%, respectively, and respiratory-specific mortality rates were 3.6, 3.5 and 1.8%, respectively. The most common TEAEs were gastrointestinal disorders. Serious AEs were reported similarly across treatment groups (ISABELA 1: 22, 22 and 21%; ISABELA 2: 25, 24 and 16%; for 600 mg, 200 mg and placebo, respectively). Of participants treated with ziritaxestat, 74% had reduced LPA C18:2 plasma levels and 26% had increased LPA C18:2 levels, indicative of target engagement by ziritaxestat in a portion of participants [[24\]](#page-14-0). Clinical development of ziritaxestat was stopped after termination of the ISABELA trials [\[83](#page-17-0)].

NOVESA was a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2A clinical trial for ziritaxestat in participants with dcSSc (n=33). This trial included patients with early dcSSc (first manifestation of SSc other than Raynaud's phenomenon within the last 5 years) and excluded patients with FVC $\leq 45\%$. Most participants (90%) were receiving background immunosuppressant standard-of-care therapy. The NOVESA trial met its primary end-point of reduced mean modified Rodnan skin thickness score (mRSS) at week 24 (p=0.04; ziritaxestat versus placebo groups), with no significant differences in the change from baseline in FVC between groups. Diarrhoea and headaches were the most frequently reported TEAEs in the ziritaxestat group and 9.5 and 8.3% of patients experienced serious AEs in the ziritaxestat and placebo groups, respectively. In ziritaxestat-treated participants, circulating LPA C18:2 was significantly reduced (p<0.0001 versus placebo group), demonstrating ATX inhibition. Multiple fibrosis biomarkers in the blood were stabilised in the ziritaxestat group and increased in the placebo group (between-group difference (p<0.04)), while no differential gene expression was observed in the skin of ziritaxestat versus placebo groups. Further, membrane-spanning 4-domains A4A RNA, a biomarker of M2 macrophages, was significantly reduced 1.9-fold in blood samples from patients treated with ziritaxestat compared with placebo (p=0.03) [[83\]](#page-17-0).

31 of the 33 participants enrolled in the NOVESA trial entered the 104-week open-label extension study. However, premature termination of the NOVESA study following results from ISABELA trials precluded analysis of the NOVESA open-label extension beyond week 52. At week 52 of the open-label extension, the mean (standard error) change from baseline in mRSS was −11.6 (3.0) and −12.2 (1.6) units in the ziritaxestat–ziritaxestat and placebo–ziritaxestat groups, respectively. All participants experienced at least one TEAE and serious AEs were reported in 29% of all participants [\[83](#page-17-0)].

LPAR1 inhibitors

The clinical profile of fipaxalparant is being investigated in two ongoing phase 2 trials in individuals with IPF and dcSSc (NCT05032066 and NCT04781543) [\(table 2](#page-11-0)). The safety and tolerability of fipaxalparant were demonstrated in 32 participants with dcSSc in a phase 2a study wherein participants were treated with fipaxalparant or placebo for 8 weeks, followed by a 16-week extension during which all participants received treatment. Most patients (24/32) were receiving background immunosuppressive therapy. Headache was the most commonly reported TEAE in the fipaxalparant-treated group and one (6.7%) participant in the fipaxalparant-treated group experienced a serious AE [\[22](#page-14-0)].

At the end of the extension period, participants treated with fipaxalparant for the entire 24-week study duration experienced a clinically meaningful decrease in mean total mRSS from baseline (mean: −7.36; median: −7.5) [\[98](#page-17-0)]. Participants treated with placebo for 8 weeks and fipaxalparant for 16 weeks also experienced a clinically meaningful decrease in mRSS from baseline (mean: −7.31; median: −7.00) [\[22](#page-14-0), [99](#page-17-0)]. Clinically meaningful improvements in Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index were observed in fipaxalparant-treated participants at week 8 (−0.14) and week 24 (−0.15) and in placebo/ fipaxalparant–treated participants at week 24 (−0.23) [[22\]](#page-14-0). The most commonly reported TEAEs were infection and headache. Two (6.7%) serious AEs were reported, one in each treatment group.

6MWT: 6-min walk test; CFB: change from baseline; dcSSc: diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis; D_{LCO} : diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; FVC: forced vital capacity; FVC%: FVC as a percentage of predicted value; HAQ-DI: Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index; ILD: interstitial lung disease; IPF: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; K-BILD: King's Brief Interstitial Lung Disease; LCQ: Leicester Cough Questionnaire; L-IPF: Living with IPF; MDGA: Physician Global Assessment; mRSS: modified Rodnan skin score; PFS: progression-free survival; PtGA: patient'^s global assessment of disease activity; QLF: quantitative lung fibrosis; SGRQ: St. George's Respiratory Questionnaire; SSPRO-18: Skin Patient-reported Outcome-18 items.

Once-daily and twice-daily BMS-986020 were evaluated in a phase 2, multicentre, three-group, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial in individuals with IPF. Twice-daily BMS-986020 significantly decreased FVC decline compared with placebo at week 26 (p=0.49). BMS-986020 caused hepatobiliary toxicity in participants with IPF, inciting early termination of the study after three cases of cholecystitis occurred. Of the 143 participants, 108 completed the 26-week dosing phase and 35 participants withdrew from the study, 13 because of AEs [[23\]](#page-14-0).

A phase 2, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial evaluated BMS-986278 in patients with IPF (n=276; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT04308681). Participants were permitted background therapy (pirfenidone or nintedanib) and/or immunosuppressive therapy if they were receiving a stable dose before screening [\[91](#page-17-0)]. The primary end-point was rate of change in FVC% over 26 weeks. Patients randomised to receive placebo, 30 mg or 60 mg of BMS-986278 twice daily exhibited a mean rate of change in FVC of −2.7, −2.8 and −1.2%, respectively. Thus, the mean FVC% difference of 60 mg twice daily compared with placebo was +1.4%. A subgroup analysis concluded that 60 mg of BMS-986278 had an effect regardless of background antifibrotic therapy use. Serious AEs occurred in 17, 11 and 11% of patients receiving placebo, 30 mg and 60 mg, respectively. The most frequently reported AEs were diarrhoea, cough and orthostatic hypotension [\[25](#page-14-0)]. A parallel cohort including 123 patients with PPF (defined as having fibrotic ILD with prior progression within 2 years) exhibited a mean rate of change in FVC of −4.3, −2.7 and −1.1%, for those treated with placebo, 30 mg and 60 mg, respectively. The mean FVC difference between the BMS-986278 and placebo groups was 1.6% for 30 mg and 3.2% for 60 mg. Treatment differences in the PPF cohort were independent of background antifibrotics and usual interstitial pneumonia pattern. AEs occurred in 78, 83 and 67% of the placebo, 30 mg and 60 mg groups, respectively [\[100\]](#page-17-0). Findings from both the IPF and PPF cohorts of this phase 2 trial support progression to phase 3 [[25](#page-14-0), [100\]](#page-17-0).

The future of ATX and LPAR1 inhibitors

The failure of ISABELA-1/2 may trigger concerns regarding ATX and LPAR1 inhibitors. However, lessons from ISABELA-1/2 and positive phase 2 data for BMS-986278 provide optimism for future trials of therapies targeting the ATX/LPA/LPAR1 axis.

Clinical trial design challenges

Background therapy has been inconsistent throughout IPF/ILD trials and may affect trial outcomes. ISABELA-1/2 were the first phase 3 trials in IPF to allow pirfenidone (n=454/1281) and nintedanib (n=444/1281) background therapy [\[24](#page-14-0), [101\]](#page-17-0). A drug–drug interaction between ziritaxestat and nintedanib caused elevated plasma levels of nintedanib and may have contributed to high rates of AEs (e.g. diarrhoea and nausea overall; nondiarrhoeal TEAEs (600 mg ziritaxestat arm)) [[24\]](#page-14-0). Moreover, greater rates of annual FVC decline were observed in the placebo arm for patients with background therapy versus without (least squares mean, nintedanib: −163.1 mL; pirfenidone: −189.0 mL; neither: −149.1 mL) [\[24](#page-14-0)]. Comparatively, nintedanib and pirfenidone pivotal trials reported a greater decline for placebo-treated patients (adjusted annual rate: −207 and −240 mL; linear rate at week 52: −280 mL, respectively) [\[50](#page-15-0), [51\]](#page-15-0), suggesting an enrolment bias for patients with poor response to antifibrotic therapy or with less progressive disease that has also occurred in smaller IPF trials [[101](#page-17-0), [102](#page-17-0)]. Prior to nintedanib and pirfenidone trials, low rates of FVC decline in the placebo arm have proved challenging (e.g. CAPACITY 006 failure) [[103](#page-17-0)]. Future trial designs should consider novel strategies to overcome these challenges, such as leveraging precision medicine or biomarkers (e.g. phase 3 PRECISIONS trial) [\[104\]](#page-17-0) to increase the likelihood of success.

Despite permitted background antifibrotic use, a 26-week phase 2 trial of BMS-986278 in patients with IPF (n=276) and ILD (n=125) yielded positive results [[25,](#page-14-0) [100](#page-17-0)]. Comparatively, the phase 2a study of ziritaxestat in IPF was smaller (n=23) and shorter (12 weeks); rapid progression into ISABELA-1/2 had uncertain risks because drug–drug interactions may not have been fully characterised [\[105\]](#page-17-0). Therefore, the BMS-986278 trial provides optimism for its phase 3 trial despite persisting challenges.

MOA: ATX versus LPAR1 inhibitors

The failure of the ISABELA-1/2 phase 3 trials stand in contrast to the promising results of the BMS-986278 phase 2 trial, suggesting an association between MOA and clinical outcomes. Increased levels of plasma ATX were detected in participants in ISABELA-1/2, indicative of disease progression or a regulatory feedback loop that has previously been observed in mice treated with an ATX inhibitor [\[106,](#page-17-0) [107\]](#page-18-0). Raised ATX levels suggest that the broad effect of ATX inhibition (e.g. cellular responses via ATX substrate accumulation and LPAR1–6 inhibition) may cause unwanted upstream effects, whereas LPAR1 selective inhibition may confer a more regulated effect. Furthermore, LPAR1 inhibitors may initially cause increased LPA levels that could trigger a feedback mechanism, resulting in reduced levels of ATX and LPA [\[107](#page-18-0)]. Therefore, LPAR1 inhibitors may attenuate ATX activity more than that of ATX inhibitors

(i.e. reduced expression versus pharmacological inhibition). To date, no drug–drug interactions between BMS-986278 or fipaxalparant and nintedanib or pirfenidone have been reported [\[108\]](#page-18-0). Although association of MOA and clinical outcome is not currently confirmed, the MOA of LPAR1 inhibitors may contribute to success in forthcoming trials of BMS-986278 and fipaxalparant. Moreover, synergy between LPAR1 inhibitors and antifibrotic therapies may occur due to distinct MOAs. Forthcoming trials will assist in testing these hypotheses.

Conclusions

Despite current therapeutic approaches, pulmonary fibrotic diseases such as IPF and SSc-ILD are associated with high morbidity and mortality [[109](#page-18-0)]. Current therapies are not universally effective across the spectrum of pulmonary fibrosis and some have poor tolerability. An unmet need remains for therapies that improve quality of life, stabilise or even improve lung function, and are safe and well tolerated.

Although the pathology of fibrotic diseases is multifactorial, evidence to date indicates that the ATX/LPA/ LPAR axis plays an important role in contributing to pathogenic fibrosis and inflammation. Therefore, inhibition of LPA production via ATX inhibition or more selective inhibition of LPAR1 may decrease fibrosis and inflammation and, thus, disease pathology. Because studies do not confirm the ATX/LPA/ LPAR1 axis as the sole driver of fibrosis, combination of treatments with additive effects may be required for optimal treatment of fibrotic diseases. Developing ATX- or LPAR1-targeted therapies may be a promising avenue for stabilising lung function decline and improving outcomes in patients with SSc, IPF and other ILDs, and thereby have the potential to reduce disease burden, improve quality of life and increase long-term survival.

Provenance: Submitted article, peer reviewed.

Conflict of interest: E.R. Volkmann has received consulting fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, GSK and Roche; speaking fees (unbranded disease state lectures) from Boehringer Ingelheim; and grant support from Boehringer Ingelheim, Corbus, Forbius, Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen, Inc), Kadmon and Prometheus. C.P. Denton has received research grants to his institution from Arxx Therapeutics, GSK, Horizon (now Amgen, Inc) and Servier; and consulting fees from Acceleron, Arxx Therapeutics, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL Behring, Galapagos, GSK, Janssen, Lilly, Roche and Sanofi. M. Kolb reports grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, the Canadian Institute for Health Research, Structure Therapeutics and United Therapeutics; and personal fees from AbbVie, Algernon, Bellerophon, Boehringer Ingelheim, Cipla, CSL Behring, DevPro Biopharma, European Respiratory Journal, Horizon (now Amgen, Inc), LabCorp, Nitto Denko, Pieris, Roche, Structure Therapeutics and United Therapeutics. M.S. Wijsenbeek-Lourens reports grants to her institution from AstraZeneca/Daiichi-Sankyo, Boehringer Ingelheim, the Dutch Lung Foundation, the Dutch Pulmonary Fibrosis Patients Association, Hoffmann-La Roche, The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, Sarcoidosis.nl and the Thorax Foundation; and consultancy fees paid to her institution from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, CSL Behring, Galapagos, Galecto, Hoffmann-La Roche, Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen, Inc), Kinevant Sciences, Molecure, NeRRe, Novartis, PureTech, Thyron, Trevi and Vicore. C. Emson and K. Hudson are employees of Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen, Inc) and own stock. A.J. Amatucci was employed at Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen, Inc) during development of this manuscript. O. Distler has/had a consultancy relationship with and/or has received research funding from and/or has served as a speaker for the following companies in the area of potential treatments for systemic sclerosis and its complications in the last 3 calendar years: 4P-Pharma, AbbVie, Acceleron, Alcimed, Altavant, Amgen, AnaMar, Argenx, Arxx, AstraZeneca, Blade, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Corbus, CSL Behring, Galderma, Galapagos, Glenmark, Gossamer, Horizon, Janssen, Kymera, Lupin, Medscape, Merck, Miltenyi Biotec, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Novartis, Orion, Prometheus, Redxpharma, Roivant, Topadur and UCB; has a patent issued "mir-29 for the treatment of systemic sclerosis" (US8247389, EP2331143); and is co-founder of CITUS AG. Y. Allanore has received consulting fees from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen, Galderma, Medsenic and Prometheus. D. Khanna has received consulting fees from AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chemomab, CSL Behring, GSK, Merck, Novartis, Prometheus, Roche and UCB; and grant support from BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen, Inc), Pfizer and Prometheus.

Support statement: Funded by Horizon Therapeutics (now Amgen Inc.). Funding information for this article has been deposited with the [Crossref Funder Registry.](https://www.crossref.org/services/funder-registry/)

References

¹ Raghu G, Remy-Jardin M, Richeldi L, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (an update) and progressive pulmonary fibrosis in adults: an official ATS/ERS/JRS/ALAT clinical practice guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2022; 205: e18–e47.

- 2 Cappelli S, Bellando Randone S, Camiciottoli G, et al. Interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: where do we stand? Eur Respir Rev 2015; 24: 411–419.
- 3 Funke M, Zhao Z, Xu Y, et al. The lysophosphatidic acid receptor LPA1 promotes epithelial cell apoptosis after lung injury. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2012; 46: 355–364.
- 4 Tager AM, LaCamera P, Shea BS, et al. The lysophosphatidic acid receptor LPA1 links pulmonary fibrosis to lung injury by mediating fibroblast recruitment and vascular leak. Nat Med 2008; 14: 45–54.
- 5 Volkmann ER, Andréasson K, Smith V. Systemic sclerosis. Lancet 2022; 401: 304–318.
- 6 Mei O, Liu Z, Zuo H, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: an update on pathogenesis. Front Pharmacol 2021; 12: 797292.
- 7 Ahluwalia N, Grasberger PE, Mugo BM, et al. Fibrogenic lung injury induces non-cell-autonomous fibroblast invasion. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2016; 54: 831–842.
- 8 Henderson NC, Rieder F, Wynn TA. Fibrosis: from mechanisms to medicines. Nature 2020; 587: 555-566.
- 9 Sakai N, Chun J, Duffield JS, et al. LPA1-induced cytoskeleton reorganization drives fibrosis through CTGF-dependent fibroblast proliferation. FASEB J 2013; 27: 1830–1846.
- 10 Sakai N, Chun J, Duffield JS, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid signaling through its receptor initiates profibrotic epithelial cell fibroblast communication mediated by epithelial cell derived connective tissue growth factor. Kidney Int 2017; 91: 628–641.
- 11 Sakai N, Bain G, Furuichi K, et al. The involvement of autotaxin in renal interstitial fibrosis through regulation of fibroblast functions and induction of vascular leakage. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 7414.
- 12 Ledein L, Léger B, Dees C, et al. Translational engagement of lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 in skin fibrosis: from dermal fibroblasts of patients with scleroderma to tight skin 1 mouse. Br J Pharmacol 2020; 177: 4296–4309.
- 13 Salgado-Polo F, Perrakis A. The structural binding mode of the four autotaxin inhibitor types that differentially affect catalytic and non-catalytic functions. Cancers 2019; 11: 1577.
- 14 Fernández Pérez ER, Daniels CE, Schroeder DR, et al. Incidence, prevalence, and clinical course of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a population-based study. Chest 2010; 137: 129–137.
- 15 Volkmann ER, Tashkin DP, Sim M, et al. Short-term progression of interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis predicts long-term survival in two independent clinical trial cohorts. Ann Rheum Dis 2019; 78: 122–130.
- 16 Podolanczuk AJ, Thomson CC, Remy-Jardin M, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: state of the art for 2023. Eur Respir J 2023; 61: 2200957.
- 17 Raghu G, Montesi SB, Silver RM, et al. Treatment of systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease: evidence-based recommendations. An official American Thoracic Society clinical practice guideline. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2023; 209: 137–152.
- 18 Rancoule C, Viaud M, Gres S, et al. Pro-fibrotic activity of lysophosphatidic acid in adipose tissue: in vivo and in vitro evidence. Biochim Biophys Acta 2014; 1841: 88–96.
- 19 Castelino FV, Seiders J, Bain G, et al. Amelioration of dermal fibrosis by genetic deletion or pharmacologic antagonism of lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 in a mouse model of scleroderma. Arthritis Rheum 2011; 63: 1405–1415.
- 20 Hornuss C, Hammermann R, Fuhrmann M, et al. Human and rat alveolar macrophages express multiple EDG receptors. Eur J Pharmacol 2001; 429: 303–308.
- 21 Koh JS, Lieberthal W, Heydrick S, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid is a major serum noncytokine survival factor for murine macrophages which acts via the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling pathway. J Clin Invest 1998; 102: 716–727.
- 22 Allanore Y, Distler O, Jagerschmidt A, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 antagonist SAR100842 for patients with diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis: a double-blind, randomized, eight-week placebo-controlled study followed by a sixteen-week open-label extension study. Arthritis Rheumatol 2018; 70: 1634–1643.
- 23 Palmer SM, Snyder L, Todd JL, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial of BMS-986020, a lysophosphatidic acid receptor antagonist for the treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Chest 2018; 154: 1061–1069.
- 24 Maher TM, Ford P, Brown KK, et al. Ziritaxestat, a novel autotaxin inhibitor, and lung function in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: the ISABELA 1 and 2 randomized clinical trials. JAMA 2023; 329: 1567–1578.
- 25 Corte TJ, Cottin V, Glassberg MK, et al. BMS-986278, an oral lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 (LPA1) antagonist, for patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: results from a phase 2 randomized trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2023; 207: A2785.
- 26 Tanaka M, Okudaira S, Kishi Y, et al. Autotaxin stabilizes blood vessels and is required for embryonic vasculature by producing lysophosphatidic acid. J Biol Chem 2006; 281: 25822–25830.
- 27 Tokumura A, Majima E, Kariya Y, et al. Identification of human plasma lysophospholipase D, a lysophosphatidic acid-producing enzyme, as autotaxin, a multifunctional phosphodiesterase. J Biol Chem 2002; 277: 39436–39442.
- 28 Geraldo LHM, Spohr T, Amaral RFD, et al. Role of lysophosphatidic acid and its receptors in health and disease: novel therapeutic strategies. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2021; 6: 45.
- 29 Black KE, Berdyshev E, Bain G, et al. Autotaxin activity increases locally following lung injury, but is not required for pulmonary lysophosphatidic acid production or fibrosis. FASEB J 2016; 30: 2435–2450.
- 30 Zhang X, Li M, Yin N, et al. The expression regulation and biological function of autotaxin. Cells 2021; 10: 939.
- 31 Salgado-Polo F, Borza R, Matsoukas MT, et al. Autotaxin facilitates selective LPA receptor signaling. Cell Chem Biol 2023; 30: 69–84.e14.
- 32 Bandoh K, Aoki J, Taira A, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) receptors of the EDG family are differentially activated by LPA species. Structure-activity relationship of cloned LPA receptors. FEBS Lett 2000; 478: 159–165.
- 33 Magkrioti C, Antonopoulou G, Fanidis D, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid is a proinflammatory stimulus of renal tubular epithelial cells. Int J Mol Sci 2022; 23: 7452.
- 34 Zhao J, He D, Su Y, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 modulates lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation in alveolar epithelial cells and murine lungs. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2011; 301: L547–L556.
- 35 Duong CQ, Bared SM, Abu-Khader A, et al. Expression of the lysophospholipid receptor family and investigation of lysophospholipid-mediated responses in human macrophages. Biochim Biophys Acta 2004; 1682: 112–119.
- 36 Bolen AL, Naren AP, Yarlagadda S, et al. The phospholipase A1 activity of lysophospholipase A-I links platelet activation to LPA production during blood coagulation. J Lipid Res 2011; 52: 958–970.
- 37 Kim J, Keys JR, Eckhart AD. Vascular smooth muscle migration and proliferation in response to lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) is mediated by LPA receptors coupling to Gq. Cell Signal 2006; 18: 1695–1701.
- 38 Xu M, Yin H, Cai Y, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid induces integrin β6 expression in human oral squamous cell carcinomas cells via LPAR1 coupling to $G\alpha_i$ and downstream SMAD3 and ETS-1 activation. Cell Signal 2019; 60: 81–90.
- 39 Konopa A, Meier MA, Franz MJ, et al. LPA receptor 1 (LPAR1) is a novel interaction partner of filamin A that promotes filamin A phosphorylation, MRTF-A transcriptional activity and oncogene-induced senescence. Oncogenesis 2022; 11: 69.
- 40 An S, Bleu T, Hallmark OG, et al. Characterization of a novel subtype of human G protein-coupled receptor for lysophosphatidic acid. J Biol Chem 1998; 273: 7906–7910.
- 41 An S, Dickens MA, Bleu T, et al. Molecular cloning of the human Edg2 protein and its identification as a functional cellular receptor for lysophosphatidic acid. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1997; 231: 619–622.
- 42 Ishii I, Contos JJ, Fukushima N, et al. Functional comparisons of the lysophosphatidic acid receptors, LP_{A1}/VZG-1/EDG-2, LP_{A2}/EDG-4, and LP_{A3}/EDG-7 in neuronal cell lines using a retrovirus expression system. Mol Pharmacol 2000; 58: 895–902.
- 43 Miyabe C, Miyabe Y, Nagai J, et al. Abrogation of lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 ameliorates murine vasculitis. Arthritis Res Ther 2019; 21: 191.
- 44 Saatian B, Zhao Y, He D, et al. Transcriptional regulation of lysophosphatidic acid-induced interleukin-8 expression and secretion by p38 MAPK and JNK in human bronchial epithelial cells. Biochem J 2006; 393: 657–668.
- 45 Ryerson CJ, Kolb M. The increasing mortality of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: fact or fallacy? Eur Respir J 2018; 51: 1702420.
- 46 Platenburg M, van Moorsel CHM, Wiertz IA, et al. Improved survival of IPF patients treated with antifibrotic drugs compared with untreated patients. Lung 2023; 201: 335–343.
- 47 Noor S, Nawaz S, Chaudhuri N. Real-world study analysing progression and survival of patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis with preserved lung function on antifibrotic treatment. Adv Ther 2021; 38: 268–277.
- 48 Stefanikova M, Doubkova M, Ovesna P, et al. The effect of nintedanib on lung functions and survival in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: real-life analysis of the Czech EMPIRE registry. BMC Pulm Med 2023; 23: 154.
- 49 Lechartier B, Humbert M. Pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis. Presse Med 2021; 50: 104062.
- 50 King TE Jr, Bradford WZ, Castro-Bernardini S, et al. A phase 3 trial of pirfenidone in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. N Engl J Med 2014; 370: 2083–2092.
- 51 Richeldi L, du Bois RM, Raghu G, et al. Efficacy and safety of nintedanib in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. N Engl J Med 2014; 370: 2071–2082.
- 52 ClinicalTrials.gov. Zephyrus I: evaluation of efficacy and safety of pamrevlumab in participants with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). Date last updated: 15 December 2023. Date last accessed: 4 March 2024. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03955146>
- 53 Connolly AM, Zaidman CM, Brandsema JF, et al. Pamrevlumab, a fully human monoclonal antibody targeting connective tissue growth factor, for non-ambulatory patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. J Neuromuscul Dis 2023; 10: 685–699.
- 54 ClinicalTrials.gov. A multicenter trial to evaluate the efficacy, safety and tolerability of HZN-825 in subjects with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Date last updated: 14 August 2023. Date last accessed: 11 September 2023.<https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05032066>
- 55 ClinicalTrials.gov. RESPIRARE efficacy and safety of cudetaxestat in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). Date last updated: 18 May 2022. Date last accessed: 11 September 2023. [https://clinicaltrials.](https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05373914) [gov/study/NCT05373914](https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05373914)
- 56 ClinicalTrials.gov. A study to evaluate the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of BMS-986278 in participants with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Date last updated: 22 August 2023. Date last accessed: 6 September 2023. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT06003426>
- 57 ClinicalTrials.gov. A study to find out whether BI 1015550 improves lung function in people with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). Date last updated: 23 August 2023. Date last accessed: 7 September 2023. [https://](https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05321069) clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05321069
- 58 ClinicalTrials.gov. Study of efficacy and safety of inhaled treprostinil in subjects with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (TETON). Date last updated: 5 March 2024. Date last accessed: 18 March 2024. [https://clinicaltrials.](https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04708782) [gov/study/NCT04708782](https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04708782)
- 59 ClinicalTrials.gov. Randomized, double-blind study of efficacy and safety of bexotegrast (PLN-74809) for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Date last updated: 26 February 2024. Date last accessed: 18 March 2024. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT06097260>
- 60 Oikonomou N, Mouratis MA, Tzouvelekis A, et al. Pulmonary autotaxin expression contributes to the pathogenesis of pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2012; 47: 566–574.
- 61 Neighbors M, Li Q, Zhu SJ, et al. Bioactive lipid lysophosphatidic acid species are associated with disease progression in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J Lipid Res 2023; 64: 100375.
- 62 Adigun R, Goyal A, Hariz A. Systemic Sclerosis. StatPearls. Treasure Island, StatPearls Publishing LLC, 2022.
- 63 Al-Dhaher FF, Pope JE, Ouimet JM. Determinants of morbidity and mortality of systemic sclerosis in Canada. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2010; 39: 269–277.
- 64 Rubio-Rivas M, Royo C, Simeón CP, et al. Mortality and survival in systemic sclerosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2014; 44: 208–219.
- 65 Bobeica C, Niculet E, Tatu AL, et al. Old and new therapeutic strategies in systemic sclerosis (review). Exp Ther Med 2022; 23: 134.
- 66 Distler O, Highland KB, Gahlemann M, et al. Nintedanib for systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease. N Engl J Med 2019; 380: 2518–2528.
- 67 Khanna D, Lin CJF, Furst DE, et al. Tocilizumab in systemic sclerosis: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Respir Med 2020; 8: 963–974.
- 68 Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals. OFEV. Ridgefield, CT, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 2022.
- 69 Genentech. Actemra. San Francisco, CA, Genentech, 2021.
- 70 Bergamasco A, Hartmann N, Wallace L, et al. Epidemiology of systemic sclerosis and systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease. Clin Epidemiol 2019; 11: 257–273.
- 71 Steele R, Hudson M, Lo E, et al. Clinical decision rule to predict the presence of interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Care Res 2012; 64: 519–524.
- 72 Simeón-Aznar CP, Fonollosa-Plá V, Tolosa-Vilella C, et al. Registry of the Spanish network for systemic sclerosis: clinical pattern according to cutaneous subsets and immunological status. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2012; 41: 789–800.
- 73 Baimashev AS, Agisheva ER, Simonova AE, et al. The importance of early diagnosis of systemic scleroderma. J Med Chem Sci 2023; 6: 177–185.
- 74 Hoffmann-Vold AM, Allanore Y, Alves M, et al. Progressive interstitial lung disease in patients with systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease in the EUSTAR database. Ann Rheum Dis 2021; 80: 219–227.
- 75 Nashatyreva MS, Trofimenko IN, Chernyak BA, et al. Pulmonary fibrosis and progressive pulmonary fibrosis in a prospective registry of interstitial lung diseases in Eastern Siberia. Life 2023; 13: 212.
- 76 Castelino FV, Bain G, Pace VA, et al. An autotaxin/lysophosphatidic acid/interleukin-6 amplification loop drives scleroderma fibrosis. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016; 68: 2964–2974.
- 77 Yin Z, Carbone LD, Gotoh M, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid-activated Cl⁻ current activity in human systemic sclerosis skin fibroblasts. Rheumatology 2010; 49: 2290–2297.
- 78 Tokumura A, Carbone LD, Yoshioka Y, et al. Elevated serum levels of arachidonoyl-lysophosphatidic acid and sphingosine 1-phosphate in systemic sclerosis. Int J Med Sci 2009; 6: 168–176.
- 79 Dancs PT, Ruisanchez É, Balogh A, et al. LPA1 receptor-mediated thromboxane A_2 release is responsible for lysophosphatidic acid-induced vascular smooth muscle contraction. FASEB J 2017; 31: 1547–1555.
- 80 Panchatcharam M, Miriyala S, Yang F, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid receptors 1 and 2 play roles in regulation of vascular injury responses but not blood pressure. Circ Res 2008; 103: 662–670.
- 81 Jia Y, Li Y, Xu XD, et al. Design and development of autotaxin inhibitors. Pharmaceuticals 2021; 14: 1203.
- 82 Tan Z, Lei H, Guo M, et al. An updated patent review of autotaxin inhibitors (2017–present). Expert Opin Ther Pat 2021; 31: 421–434.
- 83 Khanna D, Denton CP, Furst DE, et al. A 24-week, phase IIa, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of ziritaxestat in early diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis (NOVESA). Arthritis Rheumatol 2023; 75: 1434–1444.
- 84 ClinicalTrials.gov. To evaluate the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of BBT-877 in patients with IPF. Date last updated: 1 June 2023. Date last accessed: 11 September 2023. www.clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05483907
- 85 Desroy N, Housseman C, Bock X, et al. Discovery of 2-[[2-ethyl-6-[4-[2-(3-hydroxyazetidin-1-yl)-2-oxoethyl] piperazin-1-yl]-8-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl]methylamino]-4-(4-fluorophenyl)thiazole-5-carbonitrile (GLPG1690), a first-in-class autotaxin inhibitor undergoing clinical evaluation for the treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J Med Chem 2017; 60: 3580–3590.
- 86 Wong C, Xu X, Yu W, et al. Differentiating characteristics of cudetaxestat (BLD-0409), a non-competitive autotaxin inhibitor under development for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2022; 205: A5234.
- 87 Lee G, Kang S, Ryou J, et al. BBT-877, a potent autotaxin inhibitor in clinical development to treat idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Eur Respir J 2019; 54: Suppl. 63, PA1293.
- 88 Mody D, Yu W, Lin J, et al. Clinical evaluation of cudetaxestat for safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and potential drug–drug interactions. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2022; 205: A2438.
- 89 van der Aar E, Desrivot J, Dupont S, et al. Safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of the autotaxin inhibitor GLPG1690 in healthy subjects: phase 1 randomized trials. J Clin Pharmacol 2019; 59: 1366–1378.
- 90 Ellery J, Dickson L, Cheung T, et al. Identification of compounds acting as negative allosteric modulators of the LPA₁ receptor. Eur J Pharmacol 2018; 833: 8-15.
- 91 Corte TJ, Lancaster L, Swigris JJ, et al. Phase 2 trial design of BMS-986278, a lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 (LPA1) antagonist, in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) or progressive fibrotic interstitial lung disease (PF-ILD). BMJ Open Respir Res 2021; 8: e001026.
- 92 Gill MW, Murphy BJ, Cheng PTW, et al. Mechanism of hepatobiliary toxicity of the LPA₁ antagonist BMS-986020 developed to treat idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: contrasts with BMS-986234 and BMS-986278. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2022; 438: 115885.
- 93 Huang LS, Fu P, Patel P, et al. Lysophosphatidic acid receptor-2 deficiency confers protection against bleomycin-induced lung injury and fibrosis in mice. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 2013; 49: 912–922.
- 94 Cheng PTW, Kaltenbach RF, III, Zhang H, et al. Discovery of an oxycyclohexyl acid lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 (LPA₁) Antagonist BMS-986278 for the treatment of pulmonary fibrotic diseases. J Med Chem 2021; 64: 15549–15581.
- 95 Sivaraman L, Gill M, Nelson DM, et al. Structure dependence and species sensitivity of in vivo hepatobiliary toxicity with lysophosphatidic acid receptor 1 (LPA₁) antagonists. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2022; 438: 115846.
- 96 ClinicalTrials.gov. A study of experimental medication BMS-986278 given to healthy participants. Date last updated: 1 October 2019. Date last accessed: 11 September 2023.<https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03429933>
- 97 Tirucherai G, Dale Y, Revankar R, et al. BMS-986278, a lysophosphatidic acid 1 (LPA1) receptor antagonist, in healthy participants: a single/multiple ascending dose (SAD/MAD) phase 1 study. Eur Respir J 2019; 54: Suppl. 63, PA1398.
- 98 Khanna D, Clements PJ, Volkmann ER, et al. Minimal clinically important differences for the modified Rodnan skin score: results from the scleroderma lung studies (SLS-I and SLS-II). Arthritis Res Ther 2019; 21: 23.
- 99 Khanna D, Furst DE, Clements PJ, et al. Standardization of the modified Rodnan skin score for use in clinical trials of systemic sclerosis. J Scleroderma Relat Disord 2017; 2: 11–18.
- 100 Corte TJ, Cottin V, Behr J, et al. RCT Abstract BMS-986278 for progressive pulmonary fibrosis (PPF): results from a phase 2 randomized controlled trial. Eur Respir J 2023; 62: Suppl. 67, RCT800.
- 101 Kawano-Dourado L, Funke-Chambour M, Wells AU. Ziritaxestat and lung function in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. JAMA 2023; 330: 973.
- 102 Maher TM, Ford P, Wijsenbeek MS. Ziritaxestat and lung function in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis–reply. JAMA 2023; 330: 973–974.
- 103 Noble PW, Albera C, Bradford WZ, et al. Pirfenidone in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (CAPACITY): two randomised trials. Lancet 2011; 377: 1760–1769.
- 104 Podolanczuk AJ, Kim JS, Cooper CB, et al. Design and rationale for the prospective treatment efficacy in IPF using genotype for NAC selection (PRECISIONS) clinical trial. BMC Pulm Med 2022; 22: 475.
- 105 Maher TM, van der Aar EM, Van de Steen O, et al. Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of GLPG1690, a novel autotaxin inhibitor, to treat idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (FLORA): a phase 2a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Respir Med 2018; 6: 627–635.
- 106 Taneja A, Jentsch G, Delage S, et al. ISABELA studies: plasma exposure and target engagement do not explain the lack of efficacy of ziritaxestat in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2024; 115: 606–615.
- 107 Benesch MG, Zhao YY, Curtis JM, et al. Regulation of autotaxin expression and secretion by lysophosphatidate and sphingosine 1-phosphate. J Lipid Res 2015; 56: 1134–1144.
- 108 Song Y, Hudson K, Ye Z, et al. Pharmacokinects study to evaluate drug-drug interactions (DDI) between HZN-825 and pirfenidone/nintedanib. Chest 2023; 164: Suppl. A126–A127.
- 109 Nathan SD, Shlobin OA, Weir N, et al. Long-term course and prognosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis in the new millennium. Chest 2011; 140: 221–229.
- 110 Taniguchi H, Ebina M, Kondoh Y, et al. Pirfenidone in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Eur Respir J 2010; 35: 821–829.
- 111 Tashkin DP, Roth MD, Clements PJ, et al. Mycophenolate mofetil versus oral cyclophosphamide in scleroderma-related interstitial lung disease (SLS II): a randomised controlled, double-blind, parallel group trial. Lancet Respir Med 2016; 4: 708–719.
- 112 Goldin JG, Kim GHJ, Tseng CH, et al. Longitudinal changes in quantitative interstitial lung disease on computed tomography after immunosuppression in the scleroderma lung study II. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2018; 15: 1286–1295.
- 113 Volkmann ER, Tashkin DP, LeClair H, et al. Treatment with mycophenolate and cyclophosphamide leads to clinically meaningful improvements in patient-reported outcomes in scleroderma lung disease: results of scleroderma lung study II. ACR Open Rheumatol 2020; 2: 362–370.
- 114 Tashkin DP, Elashoff R, Clements PJ, et al. Cyclophosphamide versus placebo in scleroderma lung disease. N Engl J Med 2006; 354: 2655–2666.
- 115 ClinicalTrials.gov. Scleroderma lung disease (SLS). Date last updated: 27 March 2015. Date last accessed: 11 September 2023.<https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT00004563>
- 116 Maher TM, Tudor VA, Saunders P, et al. Rituximab versus intravenous cyclophosphamide in patients with connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung disease in the UK (RECITAL): a double-blind, double-dummy, randomised, controlled, phase 2b trial. Lancet Respir Med 2022; 11: 45–54.
- 117 Mankikian J, Caille A, Reynaud-Gaubert M, et al. Rituximab and mycophenolate mofetil combination in patients with interstitial lung disease (EVER-ILD): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Eur Respir J 2023; 61: 2202071.
- 118 Ebata S YA, Oba K, Kashiwabara K, et al. Safety and efficacy of rituximab in systemic sclerosis (DESIRES): open-label extension of a double-blind, investigators-initiated, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Rheumatol 2022; 4: e546–e555.
- 119 Flaherty KR, Wells AU, Cottin V, et al. Nintedanib in progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1718–1727.
- 120 Khanna D, Spino C, Bernstein E, et al. Combination therapy of mycophenolate mofetil and pirfenidone vs. mycophenolate alone: results from the scleroderma lung study III. Arthritis Rheumatol 2022; 74: Suppl. 9. [https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/combination-therapy-of-mycophenolate-mofetil-and-pirfenidone](https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/combination-therapy-of-mycophenolate-mofetil-and-pirfenidone-vs-mycophenolate-alone-results-from-the-scleroderma-lung-study-iii/)[vs-mycophenolate-alone-results-from-the-scleroderma-lung-study-iii/](https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/combination-therapy-of-mycophenolate-mofetil-and-pirfenidone-vs-mycophenolate-alone-results-from-the-scleroderma-lung-study-iii/)
- 121 van Laar JM, Farge D, Sont JK, et al. Autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation vs intravenous pulse cyclophosphamide in diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2014; 311: 2490–2498.
- 122 Sullivan KM, Goldmuntz EA, Keyes-Elstein L, et al. Myeloablative autologous stem-cell transplantation for severe scleroderma. N Engl J Med 2018; 378: 35–47.
- 123 ClinicalTrials.gov. A multicenter trial to evaluate the efficacy, safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of HZN-825 in patients with diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis. Date last updated: 18 August 2023. Date last accessed: 11 September 2023. www.clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04781543
- 124 ClinicalTrials.gov. A study measuring the effectiveness, safety, and tolerability of BMS-986278 in participants with lung fibrosis. Date last updated 1 August 2023. Date last accessed: 11 September 2023. [www.](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04308681) [clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04308681](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04308681)