

# UC Berkeley

## IGS Poll

### Title

Tabulations from a July 2021 Survey About California Voter Views About State Gun Laws

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/219861nt>

### Author

Di Camillo, Mark

### Publication Date

2021-07-29



University of California, Berkeley  
Institute of Governmental Studies  
109 Moses Hall, #2370  
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370  
Tel: 510-642-1473  
Fax: 510-642-3020  
Email: [igs@berkeley.edu](mailto:igs@berkeley.edu)

**Tabulations from a July 2021 Survey  
About California Voter Views  
About State Gun Laws**

*by the*  
***Berkeley IGS Poll***  
**Institute of Governmental Studies**  
**University of California, Berkeley**

**July 18-24, 2021**

## **Introduction**

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed online in English and Spanish July 18-24, 2021 among 5,795 California registered voters by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley. Funding for the poll was provided in part by the *Los Angeles Times*.

The survey distributed email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the University and provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt-out link was provided for voters not wishing to participate and not wanting to receive further email invitations.

Samples of registered voters with email addresses were provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists in California. The email addresses of voters were derived from information contained on the state's official voter registration rolls. Prior to the distribution of the emails, the overall sample was stratified by age and gender in an attempt to obtain a proper balance of survey respondents across all major segments of the registered voter population.

To protect the anonymity of survey respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information derived from the original voter listing were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. Post-stratification weights were applied to align the overall sample of the registered voters to population characteristics of the state's registered voters.

The sampling error associated with the results from the survey are difficult to calculate precisely due to the effects of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that the results from the overall sample of registered voters are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/- 2 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

## **Regional definitions referenced in this report**

The results from the state's geographic regions referenced in this volume are comprised of the following counties:

Los Angeles County (Los Angeles County)

Orange County (Orange County)

San Diego County (San Diego County)

Inland Empire (Riverside and San Bernardino counties)

Central Coast (Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito counties)

SF Bay Area (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, San Mateo, Santa Clara).

North Coast/Sierras (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Lassen, Mendocino, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Lake, Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Mono, Mariposa, Inyo counties.)

Sacramento Valley (Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Colusa, Yuba, Placer, Sutter, Yolo, El Dorado, and Sacramento counties)

San Joaquin Valley (San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern counties)

Central Valley (net) (includes all counties in the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley referenced above)

## **About the Institute of Governmental Studies**

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll, which is disseminated widely, seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* go to <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

# July 2021 Berkeley IGS Poll

Table 1: Q11 When it comes to gun ownership laws, which do you think is more important? (Registered Voters)

	Area			Region										Party Registration				Sex		Likely Voter	
	Total	Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Bay Area	North Coast	Sierras	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	Dem.	Rep.	No party preference	Other party	Male	Female	Likely Voters
unweighted n	5795.00	4360.00	1435.00	1363.00	700.00	421.00	547.00	312.00	1453.00	136.00	783.00	432.00	351.00	3209.00	1108.00	1146.00	332.00	2900.00	2895.00	3266.00	2529.00
weighted n	5795.00	4075.00	1720.00	1511.00	510.00	465.00	616.00	274.00	1205.00	138.00	994.00	465.00	529.00	2650.00	1410.00	1381.00	354.00	2780.00	3015.00	2783.00	3012.00
Protecting Americans' 2nd Amendment right to bear arms	0.36	0.31	0.49	0.29	0.37	0.39	0.45	0.39	0.25	0.48	0.52	0.46	0.57	0.13	0.79	0.34	0.53	0.44	0.29	0.44	0.29
Imposing greater controls on gun ownership	0.57	0.62	0.44	0.64	0.58	0.54	0.49	0.58	0.67	0.46	0.41	0.46	0.36	0.81	0.15	0.57	0.38	0.48	0.65	0.51	0.62
No opinion	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.09

Table 2: Q11 When it comes to gun ownership laws, which do you think is more important? (Registered Voters)

	Age								Race				Latino by Lang.		Nativity		Union HH		
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or older (net)	65-74	75 or older	White	Black	Asian/Pacific Islander	American Indian/Native Amer	Latino (net)	English dominant/bilingual (Latino)	Spanish dominant/bilingual (Latino)	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	5795.00	796.00	930.00	948.00	1626.00	1495.00	992.00	503.00	3298.00	398.00	629.00	150.00	1295.00	909.00	376.00	4755.00	1019.00	1147.00	4613.00
weighted n	5795.00	1078.00	1035.00	873.00	1425.00	1383.00	909.00	474.00	3043.00	336.00	785.00	107.00	1556.00	1085.00	463.00	4696.00	1084.00	1043.00	4729.00
Protecting Americans' 2nd Amendment right to bear arms	0.36	0.34	0.37	0.36	0.40	0.35	0.32	0.39	0.41	0.22	0.30	0.48	0.30	0.37	0.14	0.39	0.24	0.39	0.36
Imposing greater controls on gun ownership	0.57	0.58	0.55	0.57	0.54	0.61	0.63	0.56	0.53	0.67	0.62	0.47	0.62	0.55	0.80	0.55	0.66	0.54	0.58
No opinion	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.07

Table 3: Q11 When it comes to gun ownership laws, which do you think is more important? (Registered Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education					Income						Rent/Own			
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewha con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewha lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school grad	High school grad	Some col- lege/trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	1795.00	396.00	Less than \$20K	\$20K- \$39,999	\$40K- \$59,999	\$60K- \$99,999	\$100K- \$149,999	\$150K- \$199,999	\$200K+	Own
unweighted n	5795.00	493.00	802.00	1774.00	1303.00	1378.00	93.00	482.00	1545.00	1856.00	1795.00	396.00	396.00	651.00	638.00	1167.00	1082.00	686.00	987.00	3298.00	1991.00
weighted n	5795.00	692.00	1021.00	1850.00	1120.00	1076.00	141.00	831.00	2327.00	1564.00	905.00	571.00	571.00	815.00	779.00	1196.00	983.00	548.00	740.00	2915.00	2285.00
Protecting Americans' 2nd Amendment right to bear arms	0.36	0.81	0.67	0.35	0.12	0.04	0.32	0.40	0.45	0.29	0.24	0.29	0.29	0.38	0.40	0.38	0.38	0.34	0.31	0.38	0.33
Imposing greater controls on gun ownership	0.57	0.13	0.27	0.55	0.82	0.91	0.56	0.53	0.48	0.64	0.71	0.59	0.56	0.53	0.55	0.55	0.63	0.63	0.56	0.60	
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.08	

Table 4: Q11 When it comes to gun ownership laws, which do you think is more important? (Registered Voters)

	Total	Presidential Election Voting					Biden Job Rating			Gun in HH		Primary political news source				Covid stimulus		Gun laws		Filibuster rule	
		Non- voter	Voter	Biden	Trump	Other/ didn't vote for pres/ re- fused	Approve	Disapprove	No opin- ion	Yes	No	Televisio n	Social Me- dia	News Sites	Other	Helped	No ef- fect or hurt	Effective	Not ef- fec- tive	Do not change/ in place	to kep- ple ma- jor- ity
unweighted n	5795.00	316.00	5479.00	3914.00	1154.00	411.00	3917.00	1662.00	216.00	1637.00	4048.00	1688.00	656.00	2327.00	685.00	2653.00	2865.00	3627.00	2018.00	1852.00	3216.00
weighted n	5795.00	1168.00	4627.00	2923.00	1580.00	124.00	3407.00	2120.00	268.00	1777.00	3909.00	1734.00	821.00	2171.00	603.00	2734.00	2761.00	3267.00	2355.00	2157.00	2770.00
Protecting Americans' 2nd Amendment right to bear arms	0.36	0.37	0.36	0.10	0.84	0.54	0.12	0.75	0.31	0.60	0.24	0.34	0.39	0.34	0.32	0.22	0.50	0.09	0.75	0.66	0.14
Imposing greater controls on gun ownership	0.57	0.54	0.57	0.84	0.11	0.29	0.81	0.20	0.43	0.34	0.69	0.61	0.54	0.59	0.60	0.71	0.44	0.86	0.19	0.28	0.81
No opinion	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.16	0.06	0.05	0.26	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.05

Table 5: Q12 How effective do you think stronger laws restricting the sale and possession of certain types of guns would be in reducing the amount of violent crime in your community? (Registered Voters)

	Area			Region										Party Registration				Sex		Likely Voter	
	Total	Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Bay Area	North Coast/Sierras	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	Dem.	Rep.	No party preference	Other party	Male	Female	Likely Voters	Not Likely
unweighted n	5795.00	4360.00	1435.00	1363.00	700.00	421.00	547.00	312.00	1453.00	136.00	783.00	432.00	351.00	3209.00	1108.00	1146.00	332.00	2900.00	2895.00	3266.00	2529.00
weighted n	5795.00	4075.00	1720.00	1511.00	510.00	465.00	616.00	274.00	1205.00	138.00	994.00	465.00	529.00	2650.00	1410.00	1381.00	354.00	2780.00	3015.00	2783.00	3012.00
Effective (net)	0.56	0.61	0.46	0.66	0.55	0.53	0.46	0.55	0.63	0.53	0.44	0.47	0.42	0.79	0.18	0.55	0.46	0.49	0.63	0.50	0.62
Very effective	0.24	0.27	0.19	0.32	0.24	0.21	0.19	0.25	0.25	0.14	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.36	0.05	0.22	0.19	0.19	0.29	0.22	0.27
Somewhat effective	0.32	0.34	0.27	0.34	0.31	0.32	0.26	0.30	0.38	0.39	0.26	0.29	0.24	0.43	0.12	0.33	0.27	0.30	0.34	0.28	0.36
Not effective (net)	0.41	0.36	0.52	0.30	0.43	0.45	0.52	0.43	0.33	0.45	0.54	0.51	0.56	0.19	0.79	0.41	0.50	0.48	0.34	0.48	0.34
Not too effective	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.19	0.17	0.10	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.15
Not at all effective	0.26	0.22	0.37	0.18	0.29	0.28	0.37	0.26	0.19	0.32	0.38	0.34	0.42	0.08	0.60	0.24	0.40	0.33	0.20	0.35	0.19
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04

Table 6: Q12 How effective do you think stronger laws restricting the sale and possession of certain types of guns would be in reducing the amount of violent crime in your community? (Registered Voters)

	Total	Age							Race					Latino by Lang.		Nativity		Union HH	
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or older (net)	65-74	75 or older	White	Black	Asian/Pacific Islander	American Indian/Native Amer	Latino (net)	English dominant/bilingual (Latino)	Spanish dominant	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	5795.00	796.00	930.00	948.00	1626.00	1495.00	992.00	503.00	3298.00	398.00	629.00	150.00	1295.00	909.00	376.00	4755.00	1019.00	1147.00	4613.00
weighted n	5795.00	1078.00	1035.00	873.00	1425.00	1383.00	909.00	474.00	3043.00	336.00	785.00	107.00	1556.00	1085.00	463.00	4696.00	1084.00	1043.00	4729.00
Effective (net)	0.56	0.61	0.53	0.54	0.53	0.60	0.61	0.58	0.51	0.63	0.61	0.49	0.66	0.59	0.82	0.54	0.67	0.56	0.57
Very effective	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.21	0.18	0.29	0.26	0.25	0.36	0.28	0.54	0.21	0.38	0.23	0.25
Somewhat effective	0.32	0.37	0.31	0.30	0.27	0.36	0.35	0.37	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.24	0.30	0.31	0.27	0.33	0.28	0.33	0.32
Not effective (net)	0.41	0.35	0.43	0.41	0.44	0.39	0.38	0.41	0.47	0.30	0.35	0.49	0.31	0.39	0.14	0.43	0.29	0.43	0.40
Not too effective	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.14
Not at all effective	0.26	0.20	0.27	0.28	0.32	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.31	0.16	0.20	0.40	0.20	0.25	0.07	0.29	0.16	0.29	0.26
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.03



Table 7: Q12 How effective do you think stronger laws restricting the sale and possession of certain types of guns would be in reducing the amount of violent crime in your community? (Registered Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education					Income					Rent/Own			
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewha con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewha lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school grad	High school grad	Some col- lege/ trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20K	\$20K- \$39,999	\$40K- \$59,999	\$60K- \$99,999	\$100K- \$149,999	\$150K- \$199,999	\$200K+	Own	Renter
unweighted n	5795.00	493.00	802.00	1774.00	1303.00	1378.00	93.00	482.00	1545.00	1856.00	1795.00	396.00	651.00	638.00	1167.00	1082.00	686.00	987.00	3298.00	1991.00
weighted n	5795.00	692.00	1021.00	1850.00	1120.00	1076.00	141.00	831.00	2327.00	1564.00	905.00	571.00	815.00	779.00	1196.00	983.00	548.00	740.00	2915.00	2285.00
Effective (net)	0.56	0.19	0.29	0.57	0.77	0.84	0.57	0.56	0.49	0.61	0.67	0.61	0.59	0.58	0.54	0.52	0.57	0.59	0.52	0.62
Very effective	0.24	0.11	0.11	0.24	0.28	0.43	0.47	0.27	0.21	0.26	0.25	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.29
Somewhat effective	0.32	0.08	0.18	0.34	0.49	0.41	0.10	0.29	0.29	0.35	0.42	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.33	0.30	0.37	0.39	0.32	0.32
Not effective (net)	0.41	0.75	0.69	0.39	0.22	0.12	0.38	0.40	0.48	0.36	0.32	0.33	0.38	0.39	0.43	0.46	0.41	0.39	0.45	0.35
Not too effective	0.14	0.12	0.20	0.16	0.13	0.08	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.13
Not at all effective	0.26	0.63	0.49	0.23	0.09	0.04	0.24	0.25	0.33	0.22	0.19	0.20	0.24	0.24	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.24	0.30	0.22
No opinion	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03

Table 8: Q12 How effective do you think stronger laws restricting the sale and possession of certain types of guns would be in reducing the amount of violent crime in your community? (Registered Voters)

	Total	Presidential Election Voting					Biden Job Rating			Gun in HH		Primary political news source				Covid stimulus		Gun laws		Filibuster rule	
		Non- voter	Voter	Biden	Trump	Other/ didn't vote for pres/ re- fused	Approve	Disapprove	No opin- ion	Yes	No	Televisio n	Social Me- dia	News Sites	Other	Helped	No ef- fect or hurt	Effective	Not ef- fec- tive	Do not change/ in place	Change to simp- le ma- jor- ity
unweighted n	5795.00	316.00	5479.00	3914.00	1154.00	411.00	3917.00	1662.00	216.00	1637.00	4048.00	1688.00	656.00	2327.00	685.00	2653.00	2865.00	3627.00	2018.00	1852.00	3216.00
weighted n	5795.00	1168.00	4627.00	2923.00	1580.00	124.00	3407.00	2120.00	268.00	1777.00	3909.00	1734.00	821.00	2171.00	603.00	2734.00	2761.00	3267.00	2355.00	2157.00	2770.00
Effective (net)	0.56	0.60	0.56	0.79	0.15	0.29	0.79	0.21	0.42	0.34	0.68	0.61	0.56	0.58	0.55	0.73	0.42	1.00	0.00	0.30	0.77
Very effective	0.24	0.29	0.23	0.34	0.04	0.12	0.35	0.07	0.23	0.10	0.31	0.31	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.34	0.16	0.43	0.00	0.13	0.35
Somewhat effective	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.45	0.10	0.17	0.44	0.14	0.19	0.24	0.36	0.30	0.32	0.37	0.33	0.39	0.26	0.57	0.00	0.17	0.42
Not effective (net)	0.41	0.36	0.42	0.19	0.83	0.63	0.19	0.76	0.37	0.64	0.29	0.36	0.40	0.40	0.42	0.24	0.56	0.00	1.00	0.69	0.21
Not too effective	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.18	0.17	0.11	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.00	0.35	0.19	0.11
Not at all effective	0.26	0.23	0.27	0.06	0.65	0.46	0.07	0.58	0.17	0.46	0.16	0.24	0.26	0.24	0.28	0.13	0.39	0.00	0.65	0.50	0.10
No opinion	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.02	0.03	0.21	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02

Table 9: Q13 Last month a federal judge invalidated California’s three-decade old ban on assault weapons ruling that it was unconstitutional on the grounds that it violated the nation’s 2nd amendment rights to bear arms. The state has appealed that ruling and an appeals court has put the ruling on hold. In your opinion, do you believe that California’s assault weapons ban law violates the U.S. Constitution? (Registered Voters)

	Area			Region									Party Registration				Sex		Likely Voter		
	Total	Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Bay Area	North Coast/Si	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	Dem.	Rep.	No party preference	Other party	Male	Female	Likely Voters	Not Likely
unweighted n	5795.00	4360.00	1435.00	1363.00	700.00	421.00	547.00	312.00	1453.00	136.00	783.00	432.00	351.00	3209.00	1108.00	1146.00	332.00	2900.00	2895.00	3266.00	2529.00
weighted n	5795.00	4075.00	1720.00	1511.00	510.00	465.00	616.00	274.00	1205.00	138.00	994.00	465.00	529.00	2650.00	1410.00	1381.00	354.00	2780.00	3015.00	2783.00	3012.00
Yes	0.32	0.27	0.43	0.24	0.36	0.34	0.40	0.36	0.21	0.41	0.46	0.38	0.53	0.11	0.70	0.30	0.45	0.41	0.23	0.38	0.26
No	0.56	0.61	0.46	0.62	0.54	0.55	0.49	0.56	0.68	0.39	0.44	0.54	0.36	0.79	0.17	0.56	0.43	0.50	0.63	0.54	0.59
No opinion	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.20	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.08	0.15

Table 10: Q13 Last month a federal judge invalidated California’s three-decade old ban on assault weapons ruling that it was unconstitutional on the grounds that it violated the nation’s 2nd amendment rights to bear arms. The state has appealed that ruling and an appeals court has put the ruling on hold. In your opinion, do you believe that California’s assault weapons ban law violates the U.S. Constitution? (Registered Voters)

	Total	Age						Race					Latino by Lang.		Nativity		Union HH		
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65 or older (net)	65-74	75 or older	White	Black	Asian/Pacific Islander	American Indian/Native American	Latino (net)	English dominant/bilingual (Latino)	Spanish dominant	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	5795.00	796.00	930.00	948.00	1626.00	1495.00	992.00	503.00	3298.00	398.00	629.00	150.00	1295.00	909.00	376.00	4755.00	1019.00	1147.00	4613.00
weighted n	5795.00	1078.00	1035.00	873.00	1425.00	1383.00	909.00	474.00	3043.00	336.00	785.00	107.00	1556.00	1085.00	463.00	4696.00	1084.00	1043.00	4729.00
Yes	0.32	0.30	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.34	0.18	0.28	0.46	0.31	0.33	0.25	0.33	0.25	0.33	0.32
No	0.56	0.56	0.54	0.56	0.54	0.62	0.63	0.62	0.57	0.67	0.56	0.45	0.55	0.54	0.58	0.56	0.58	0.55	0.57
No opinion	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.15	0.16	0.09	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.10	0.17	0.12	0.12

Table 11: Q13 Last month a federal judge invalidated California’s three-decade old ban on assault weapons ruling that it was unconstitutional on the grounds that it violated the nation’s 2nd amendment rights to bear arms. The state has appealed that ruling and an appeals court has put the ruling on hold. In your opinion, do you believe that California’s assault weapons ban law violates the U.S. Constitution? (Registered Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education					Income					Rent/Own			
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewha con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewha lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school grad	High school grad	Some col- lege/ trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20K	\$20K- \$39,999	\$40K- \$59,999	\$60K- \$99,999	\$100K- \$149,999	\$150K- \$199,999	\$200K+	Own	Renter
unweighted n	5795.00	493.00	802.00	1774.00	1303.00	1378.00	93.00	482.00	1545.00	1856.00	1795.00	396.00	651.00	638.00	1167.00	1082.00	686.00	987.00	3298.00	1991.00
weighted n	5795.00	692.00	1021.00	1850.00	1120.00	1076.00	141.00	831.00	2327.00	1564.00	905.00	571.00	815.00	779.00	1196.00	983.00	548.00	740.00	2915.00	2285.00
Yes	0.32	0.73	0.60	0.29	0.12	0.05	0.37	0.36	0.39	0.25	0.19	0.27	0.34	0.31	0.35	0.34	0.29	0.27	0.34	0.29
No	0.56	0.13	0.26	0.56	0.81	0.89	0.48	0.48	0.50	0.64	0.70	0.55	0.52	0.57	0.55	0.57	0.63	0.61	0.55	0.60
No opinion	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.07	0.07	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.18	0.14	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.11

Table 12: Q13 Last month a federal judge invalidated California’s three-decade old ban on assault weapons ruling that it was unconstitutional on the grounds that it violated the nation’s 2nd amendment rights to bear arms. The state has appealed that ruling and an appeals court has put the ruling on hold. In your opinion, do you believe that California’s assault weapons ban law violates the U.S. Constitution? (Registered Voters)

	Total	Presidential Election Voting					Biden Job Rating			Gun in HH		Primary political news source				Covid stimulus		Gun laws		Filibuster rule	
		Non- voter	Voter	Biden	Trump	Other/ didn't vote for pres/ re- fused	Approve	Disapprove	No opin- ion	Yes	No	Televisio n	Social Media	News Sites	Other	Helped	No ef- fect or hurt	Effective	Not ef- fec- tive	Do not change/ keep	Change to imple ma- jor- ity
unweighted n	5795.00	316.00	5479.00	3914.00	1154.00	411.00	3917.00	1662.00	216.00	1637.00	4048.00	1688.00	656.00	2327.00	685.00	2653.00	2865.00	3627.00	2018.00	1852.00	3216.00
weighted n	5795.00	1168.00	4627.00	2923.00	1580.00	124.00	3407.00	2120.00	268.00	1777.00	3909.00	1734.00	821.00	2171.00	603.00	2734.00	2761.00	3267.00	2355.00	2157.00	2770.00
Yes	0.32	0.29	0.33	0.11	0.72	0.51	0.11	0.66	0.24	0.52	0.21	0.30	0.31	0.29	0.32	0.19	0.45	0.08	0.66	0.59	0.13
No	0.56	0.56	0.57	0.80	0.15	0.30	0.79	0.23	0.31	0.39	0.66	0.59	0.53	0.60	0.59	0.69	0.45	0.82	0.23	0.30	0.81
No opinion	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.19	0.09	0.11	0.45	0.09	0.13	0.12	0.16	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.06