

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

A SIMPLER APPROACH TO THE GEOMETRICAL EFFICIENCY OF A PARALLEL-DISK SOURCE AND DETECTOR SYSTEM

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/23g0z6zv>

### Authors

Ruby, Lawrence  
Rechen, Joseph B.

### Publication Date

1967-10-09

University of California  
Ernest O. Lawrence  
Radiation Laboratory

A SIMPLER APPROACH TO THE GEOMETRICAL EFFICIENCY  
OF A PARALLEL-DISK SOURCE AND DETECTOR SYSTEM

Lawrence Ruby and Joseph B. Rechen

October 9, 1967

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.  
For a personal retention copy, call  
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

UCRL-17868  
c. 2

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to Nucl. Inst. Methods

UCRL-17868  
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory  
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

**A SIMPLER APPROACH TO THE GEOMETRICAL EFFICIENCY  
OF A PARALLEL-DISK SOURCE AND DETECTOR SYSTEM**

Lawrence Ruby and Joseph B. Rechen

October 9, 1967

A SIMPLER APPROACH TO THE GEOMETRICAL EFFICIENCY  
OF A PARALLEL-DISK SOURCE AND DETECTOR SYSTEM

Lawrence Ruby and Joseph B. Rechen

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, California

October 9, 1967

Abstract

A little-known integral formulation, of considerable simplicity, has been used to compute representative values of the average solid angle subtended at a disk source by a coaxial parallel-disk detector. The advantage of the integral formulation is compared with a Monte Carlo calculation of the solid angle. It is concluded that the Monte Carlo calculation is of lesser advantage, but is more easily adaptable to complex geometries.

### Introduction

Ruffle<sup>1)</sup> has reviewed the history of approximations made to the calculation of the average solid angle subtended at a disk source by a coaxial parallel-disk detector. He also recommends an evaluation in terms of several elliptic integrals. We should like to point out that a simpler method exists<sup>2)</sup> which does not appear to be widely known. In this method, the integrand of the average-solid-angle integral is transformed into a relatively simple expression involving Bessel functions of order unity. The latter expression is readily evaluated on any computer equipped with integrating and Bessel-function-calculating routines.

### Theory

Consider a uniform source disk, assumed to emit isotropically, of area  $S_1 = \pi R_s^2$ , which is separated by a distance  $z$  from a coaxial parallel detector disk of area  $S_2 = \pi R_d^2$ . Let  $R$  denote the straight-line distance from an arbitrary element of surface area  $dS_1$  to an arbitrary element of surface area  $dS_2$ . Let  $\vec{n}_2$  be the normal vector from  $dS_2$  directed towards  $S_1$ . Then, if the source intensity is  $S_0$  per unit area of  $S_1$ , the number of particles per unit time emitted from  $S_1$  which strike  $S_2$  is

$$N = \frac{S_0}{4\pi} \int dS_2 \int dS_1 \frac{\vec{n}_2 \cdot \vec{R}}{R^3} .$$

The fractional solid angle, which is the ratio of the number of particles striking  $S_2$  to the total emission from  $S_1$ , is

$$I = N/S_0 \pi R_s^2 .$$

In Ref. 2 it is shown that this integral can be transformed to one containing Bessel functions of order unity, namely

$$I = \frac{R_d}{R_s} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk}{k} e^{-kz} J_1(kR_s) J_1(kR_d).$$

For ease of calculation, the latter expression can be transformed to the equivalent form

$$I = F \int_0^{\infty} \frac{du}{u} e^{-uZ} J_1(u) J_1(uF),$$

where  $u = kR_s$ ,  $Z = z/R_s$ , and  $F = R_d/R_s$ . We have calculated some representative values of the fractional solid angle as given by the integral formula described above, and also by the Monte Carlo approach to the problem as put forth by Williams, Craig, and Thompson<sup>3,4</sup>). The results are shown in Table 1.

For the integral calculation, the accuracy is determined by the choice of the convergence criterion in the integrating routine, which was taken to be 0.1%, but not to exceed 15 iterations; and by the choice of the finite integration interval, which was taken to be from  $u = 0.001$  to  $u = 80/F$ . The maximum error, as indicated by the rate of convergence in the integral, did not exceed the 0.1%. The CDC 6600 computer time used was 77.8 sec.

For the Monte Carlo calculation, the principal source of error is the number of random particle trajectories considered, which was taken to be 10 000 for each fixed geometry. The maximum statistical error for any of the calculations was 2.8%, and decreased as the ratio  $R_d/R_s$  increased. As noted by the authors in Ref. 3, the convergence is slow for  $R_d/R_s < 1$ . This is especially evident for the point at  $z = 0$  and  $R_d/R_s = 0.5$ , where the maximum statistical error occurs. With

50 000 trajectories for this point, instead of 10 000, the resulting value of the solid angle becomes 0.1484 with a statistical error of 1.0%, which may be compared to the correct value of 0.1250. The CDC 6600 computer time for the Monte Carlo calculations was 282 sec.

Discussion

The integral calculation discussed above appears to have a definite advantage over the Monte Carlo calculation, insofar as minimum error for a given amount of machine time is concerned. This is particularly the case for  $R_d/R_s < 1$ . Of course, for  $R_d/R_s \ll 1$ , the approximation formula as used, for example, by Wilkniss and Wynne<sup>5</sup>) can be just as useful as the integral formulation. The real advantage of the Monte Carlo method is that, in contrast to the integral formulation, it is more easily adaptable to geometries exhibiting less symmetry than coaxial parallel disks. In fact, the program described in Ref. 4 and used by us is also valid when the detector disk is not coaxial, but its axis intersects the source disk at its center.

- - - - -

We are indebted to Professor D. R. Olander for acquainting us with the existence of the integral method.

This work done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.



References

1. M. P. Ruffle, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 52 (1967) 354.
2. J. G. Poksheva, ALPHA-VOLTAIC STUDY OF THE RADIATION DAMAGE IMPARTED BY 4-MeV ALPHA PARTICLES TO Si SOLAR CELLS, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-16061.
3. I. R. Williams, A. M. Craig, Jr., and C. L. Thompson, A FORTRAN PROGRAM FOR CALCULATING THE SOLID ANGLE SUBTENDED BY ONE CIRCULAR DISC AT ANOTHER, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report ORNL-4099.
4. I. R. Williams, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 44 (1960)160.
5. P. E. Wilkniss and G. J. Wynne, Intern. J. Appl. Rad. and Isotopes 18 (1967) 77.

TABLE 1.

Calculation of Average Solid Angle for the Case of Unit  
Source Radius

z	R <sub>D</sub>	Integral Method	Monte Carlo Method
0	0.5	0.1250	0.1507
0	1	0.4960	0.5000
0	2	0.5003	0.5000
0	4	0.5002	0.5000
0	8	0.5005	0.5000
1	0.5	0.3457x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.3449x10 <sup>-1</sup>
1	1	0.1161	0.1117
1	2	0.2621	0.2631
1	4	0.3783	0.3745
1	8	0.4376	0.4370
2	0.5	0.1279x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.1290x10 <sup>-1</sup>
2	1	0.4675x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.4650x10 <sup>-1</sup>
2	2	0.1383	0.1377
2	4	0.2730	0.2739
2	8	0.3781	0.3785
3	0.5	0.6305x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.6197x10 <sup>-2</sup>
3	1	0.2399x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.2403x10 <sup>-1</sup>
3	2	0.8039x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.7961x10 <sup>-1</sup>
3	4	0.1971	0.1979
3	8	0.3236	0.3232
4	0.5	0.3693x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.3524x10 <sup>-2</sup>
4	1	0.1433x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.1413x10 <sup>-1</sup>
4	2	0.5115x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.5054x10 <sup>-1</sup>
4	4	0.1444	0.1448
4	8	0.2755	0.2747
5	0.5	0.2411x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.2406x10 <sup>-2</sup>
5	1	0.9445x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.9337x10 <sup>-2</sup>
5	2	0.3495x10 <sup>-1</sup>	0.3493x10 <sup>-1</sup>
5	4	0.1082	0.1081
5	8	0.2342	0.2344

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

