### Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

### Title

Diverse Lifestyles and Strategies of Plant Pathogenesis Encoded in the Genomes of Eighteen Doethideomycetes Fungi

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2443h02f

#### Authors

Ohm, Robin A. Feau, Nicolas Henrissat, Bernard <u>et al.</u>

**Publication Date** 

2012-03-20

### Diverse Lifestyles and Strategies of Plant Pathogenesis Encoded in the Genomes of Eighteen Dothideomycetes Fungi

<u>Robin A. Ohm</u><sup>1</sup>\*, Nicolas Feau<sup>2</sup>, Bernard Henrissat<sup>3</sup>, Conrad L. Schoch<sup>4</sup>, Benjamin A. Horwitz<sup>5</sup>, Kerrie W. Barry<sup>1</sup>, Bradford J. Condon<sup>6</sup>, Alex C. Copeland<sup>1</sup>, Braham Dhillon<sup>2</sup>, Fabian Glaser<sup>7</sup>, Cedar N. Hesse<sup>8</sup>, Idit Kosti<sup>5,7</sup>, Kurt LaButti<sup>1</sup>, Erika A. Lindquist<sup>1</sup>, Susan Lucas<sup>1</sup>, Asaf A. Salamov<sup>1</sup>, Rosie E. Bradshaw<sup>9</sup>, Lynda Ciuffetti<sup>8</sup>, Richard C. Hamelin<sup>2</sup>, Gert H. J. Kema<sup>10</sup>, Christopher Lawrence<sup>11</sup>, James A. Scott<sup>12</sup>, Joseph W. Spatafora<sup>8</sup>, B. Gillian Turgeon<sup>6</sup>, Pierre J.G.M. de Wit<sup>13</sup>, Shaobin Zhong<sup>14</sup>, Stephen B. Goodwin<sup>15</sup>, Igor V. Grigoriev<sup>1</sup>

1. United States Department of Energy (DOE) Joint Genome Institute (JGI), Walnut Creek, CA, United States of America; 2. Faculty of Forestry Forest Sciences Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada; 3. Lab Architecture et Fonction des Macromolécules Biologiques, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France; 4. NIH/NLM/NCBI, Bethesda, MD, United States of America; 5. Department of Biology, Technion - IIT, Haifa, Israel; 6. Department of Plant Pathology & Plant-Microbe Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, United States of America; 7. Bioinformatics Knowledge Unit, Technion - IIT, Haifa, Israel; 8. Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, United States of America; 9. Institute of Molecular BioSciences, College of Sciences, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand; 10. Plant Research International, Wageningen, The Netherlands; 11. Virginia Bioinformatics Institute & Department of Biological Sciences, Blacksburg, VA, United States of America;

12. Division of Occupational & Environmental Health, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Canada; 13. Laboratory of Phytopathology, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands; 14. Department of Plant Pathology, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND, United States of America; 15. United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, United States of America

#### March 2013

The work conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-

05CH11231

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California. The Dothideomycetes class of fungi includes many pathogens that infect a broad range of plant hosts. Here, we compare genome features of 18 different members of this class, including 6 necrotrophs, 9 (hemi)biotrophs and 3 saprotrophs, and discuss genome structure, evolution, and the diverse strategies of pathogenesis. The 18 genome sequences show dramatic variation in size due to variation in transposon expansions, but less variation in core gene content. During evolution, gene order in these genomes is changed mostly within boundaries of chromosomes by a series of inversions often surrounded by simple repeats. This is in contrast to major interchromosomal rearrangements observed in other groups of genomes. Several Dothideomycetes contain gene-poor and TE-rich putatively dispensable chromosomes of unknown function. In the current set of organisms, biotrophs and hemibiotrophs are mostly phylogenetically separated from necrotrophs and saprobes, which is also reflected in differences between gene sets represented in each group. The 18 Dothideomycetes offer a rich catalogue of genes involved in cellulose degradation, proteolysis, Cys-rich small secreted proteins and secondary metabolism, many of which are enriched in proximity of transposable elements, suggesting faster evolution because of both TE mobility and RIP effects.



# **Diverse Lifestyles and Strategies of Plant Pathogenesis** Encoded in the Genomes of Eighteen Dothideomycetes Fungi

Robin A. Ohm<sup>1\*</sup>, Nicolas Feau<sup>2</sup>, Bernard Henrissat<sup>3</sup>, Conrad L. Schoch<sup>4</sup>, Benjamin A. Horwitz<sup>5</sup>, Kerrie W. Barry<sup>1</sup>, Bradford J. Condon<sup>6</sup>, Alex C. Copeland<sup>1</sup>, Braham Dhillon<sup>2</sup>, Fabian Glaser<sup>7</sup>, Cedar N. Hesse<sup>8</sup>, Idit Kosti<sup>5,7</sup>, Kurt LaButti<sup>1</sup>, Erika A. Lindquist<sup>1</sup>, Susan Lucas<sup>1</sup>, Asaf A. Salamov<sup>1</sup>, Rosie E. Bradshaw<sup>9</sup>, Lynda Ciuffetti<sup>8</sup>, Richard C. Hamelin<sup>2</sup>, Gert H. J. Kema<sup>10</sup>, Christopher Lawrence<sup>11</sup>, James A. Scott<sup>12</sup>, Joseph W. Spatafora<sup>8</sup>, B. Gillian Turgeon<sup>6</sup>, Pierre J.G.M. de Wit<sup>13</sup>, Shaobin Zhong<sup>14</sup>, Stephen B. Goodwin<sup>15</sup>, Igor V. Grigoriev<sup>1</sup> \* Email: raohm@lbl.gov

## Introduction

The class of *Dothideomycetes* is one of the largest and most diverse groups of fungi. Many are plant pathogens and pose a serious threat to agricultural crops grown for biofuel, food or feed. Most Dothideomycetes have only a single host and related species can have very diverse host plants. Eighteen genomes of *Dothideomycetes* have currently been sequenced by the Joint Genome Institute and other sequencing centers. Here we describe the results of comparative analyses of the fungi in this group.



Mycocosm. The web portal Mycocosm contains the genomes and annotations of all 18 sequenced Dothideomycetes, as well as over 100 other fungal genomes, sequenced by the JGI and other sequencing centers. Organism-specific and comparative tools are available to the user on http://jgi.doe.gov/fungi

К	GIS	👫 Home	/ MycoCosm 🚺	Project List 🔬	Login			
SE	ARCH	BLAST	CLUSTERS	DOWNLOAD	INFO	HELP!		
	##	Organism	Name				Assembly length	# genes
	1	Altbr1	Alternaria brassicicola				31,974,449	10,688
	2	Bauco1	Baudoinia compniacensis UAMH 10762 (4089826) v1.0				21,876,451	10,513
	3	Botdo1	<u>Botryosphaeri</u>	a dothidea	43,500,615	14,998		
	4	Cerzm1	Cercospora zeae-maydis v1.0				46,606,380	12,020
	5	CocheC4_1	Cochliobolus heterostrophus C4 v1.0				32,929,167	12,720
	6	CocheC5_3	Cochliobolus heterostrophus C5 v2.0				36,456,735	13,336
	7	Cocsa1	Cochliobolus sativus ND90Pr v1.0				34,417,436	12,250
	8	Dotse1	Dothistroma sentosporum NZE10 v1 0				30 209 431	12 580

**Dothideomycetes group page.** With tools for comparative analysis

# Effector genes involved in pathogenesis

Lifestyles are largely phylogenetically separated. This is also reflected in the gene classes that are involved in plant pathogenesis. Some potential effector gene classes are over-represented near Transposable Elements, speeding up their evolution.

# Whole-genome DNA comparison

Observed in





This phenomenon is most predominant among Dothideomycetes. It has previously been

called mesosynteny (Hane et al. 2011). Here we show that mesosynteny appears to be due

to intra-chromosomal inversions. Very few inter-chromosomal rearrangements take place.

Interestingly, simple repeats (i.e. low-complexity DNA) are over-represented near inversion



Simulations show that intrachromosomal inversions lead to a pattern of mesosynteny that is observed among Dothideomycetes.

Dispensable chromosomes	Gene order conservation				
	M. graminicola Image: Constraint of the second				
	A. brassicicola M. fijiensis v.2 M. fijiensis v.2				
	De <b>e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e </b>				



**Secreted lipases** 

Peptidases, secreted lipases and CAZYmes. In each of these gene classes the organisms belonging to the same order cluster together.



content is likely an under-

pathogenesis gene classes are over-represented near these TEs. The higher rate of point mutations in this region speeds up their evolution, presumably allowing the pathogens to evade plant defenses.

Eight of the 21 chromosomes of Mycosphaerella graminicola are dispensable (i.e. not necessary for survival). These dispensable chromosomes are smaller, less gene-dense and more repeat-rich than the core chromosomes. Proteins encoded by genes on these chromosomes less frequently contain a PFAM domain. Their function is unknown (Goodwin et al. 2011). Scaffolds with similar characteristics are also present in five other Dothideomycetes: Mycosphaerella fijiensis, Cochliobolus heterostrophus C5, Setosphaeria turcica, Leptosphaeria maculans, and Stagonospora nodorum.

# Conclusions

breakpoints (data not shown)



• The genomes of 18 *Dothideomycetes* (of which 15 are plant pathogens) are currently sequenced and available via MycoCosm. • Lifestyles are largely phylogenetically separated. Counts of pathogenesis genes follow this pattern.

 Some classes of pathogenesis genes (secretome and secondary) metabolism) are over-represented near transposable elements, where they are subject to Repeat Induced Point mutations (RIP). This speeds up their evolution.

• During Dothideomycete evolution many intra-chromosomal, but few • 98 orthologous gene-pairs are up-regulated in both organisms during pathogenesis. inter-chromosomal rearrangements have taken place. This may keep - 24 are oxidoreductases - 3 are transcription factors dispensable chromosomes intact. **A.** The full proteome of the *Dothideomycetes* contains 215225 proteins and for the majority of these the function according to KOG is • Because these 98 genes have a conserved expression Comparative transcriptomics gives insight into conserved fungal unknown or poorly characterized. **B.** The core proteome contains the responses during infection, they may very well be involved in 66761 proteins from multi-gene families that had at least one member the pathogenesis process. responses during pathogenesis, leading to new targets to fight in each *Dothideomycete*. Relative to (A), this set of proteins has more KOG annotations than the full proteome. In particular genes involved infections. in metabolism are over-represented.



**Peptidases** 

## **Comparative transcriptomics**

• Microarray data is available for *M. graminicola* (Keon et al. 2005 and 2007) and *L. maculans* (Rouxel et al. 2011).

• In both cases gene expression was analyzed during early and late stage of infection, allowing comparative analysis.

1. United States Department of Energy (DOE) Joint Genome Institute (JGI), Walnut Creek, CA, United States of America; 2. Faculty of Forestry Forest Sciences Centre, Université, Marseille, France; 4. NIH/NLM/NCBI, and a; 3. Lab Architecture et Fonction des Macromolécules Biologiques, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France; 4. NIH/NLM/NCBI, and a; 3. Lab Architecture et Fonction des Macromolécules Biologiques, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France; 4. NIH/NLM/NCBI, and a; 3. Lab Architecture et Fonction des Macromolécules Biologiques, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France; 4. NIH/NLM/NCBI, and a; 3. Lab Architecture et Fonction des Macromolécules Biologiques, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, France; 4. NIH/NLM/NCBI, and a set the set to be a set to be an and a set to be a set to b Bethesda, MD, United States of America; 5. Department of Biology, Technion - IIT, Haifa, Israel; 8. Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, United States of America; 9. Institute of Molecular BioSciences, College of Sciences, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand; 10. Plant Research International, Wageningen, The Netherlands; 11. Virginia Bioinformatics Institute & Department of Biological Sciences, Blacksburg, VA, United States of America; The work conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

12. Division of Occupational & Environmental Health, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University, Fargo, ND, United States of America; 15. United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, United States of America