

UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Evidence for Quantity-Quality Trade-Offs, Sex-Specific Parental Investment, and Variance Compensation in Colonized Agta Foragers Undergoing Demographic Transition

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/24t6t32k>

Author

Ross, Cody

Publication Date

2016

Peer reviewed



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

 ScienceDirect

Evolution and Human Behavior 00 (2017) 1–17

Evolution and Human

Behavior

Evidence for Quantity-Quality Trade-Offs, Sex-Specific Parental Investment, and Variance Compensation in Colonized Agta Foragers Undergoing Demographic Transition

Cody T. Ross^{a,*}, Monique Borgerhoff Mulder^{a,b,c}, Bruce Winterhalder^{a,b}, Ray Uehara^e, Janet Headland^d, Thomas Headland^d

^aDepartment of Anthropology, University of California, Davis, California, United States

^bGraduate Group in Ecology, University of California, Davis, California, United States

^cCenter for Population Biology, University of California, Davis, California, United States

^dDepartment of Anthropology, SIL International, Dallas, Texas, United States

^eGlobal Technology Information and Services Department, SIL International, Dallas, Texas, United States

Abstract

Evolutionary ecological models of human fertility predict that (1) parents will bias investment toward the sex with the highest fitness prospects in a particular socio-ecological context; (2) fertility is subject to quantity-quality trade-offs; and (3) fertility decisions will be sensitive to both predictable and stochastic mortality risk and the relative fitness value of differently sized sib-sets (the variance compensation hypothesis). We test these predictions using demographic records from the Agta, an indigenous population from the Philippines, who, as a result of disruption by loggers, miners, and settlers, are undergoing a demographic and social/ecological transition from foragers to peasant laborers. Leveraging the spatial and temporal variation in the Agta Demographic Database, we conduct a Bayesian analysis of Agta life-history traits across this transition. Specifically, we compare the Casiguran Agta (CA) with the more isolated peninsular San Ildefonso Agta (SIA) sub-population from before (phase 1) and after (phase 2) encroachment. We find: (1) evidence of a decline in overall survival from phase 1 to phase 2, coupled with increased parental investment in first-born daughters compared to first-born sons in the CA population, and increased parental investment in sons versus daughters in the SIA population; (2) evidence of a moderate quantity-quality trade-off in CA and SIA fertility in phase 1; and (3) support for predictions of the variance compensation hypothesis as a driver of the lowered relative fertility in the CA. Our customized methods, comparative framework, and simultaneous focus on fertility and mortality allow us to show how heterogeneity in mortality and fertility are linked to life history trade-offs and environmental context in a manner consistent with the predictions of evolutionary ecological models.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Keywords: Human life-history, Quantity-Quality Trade-Offs, Birth-Order Effects, Sex-Biased Investment, Variance Compensation Hypothesis, Demographic Transition, Agta

*Corresponding Author: ctross@ucdavis.edu

1. Introduction

Human behavioral ecological (HBE) models give us predictions about evolutionary relationships between the socio-environmental context of a population and a variety of life-history traits (Winterhalder and Smith, 2000). In recent years, numerous studies have appeared testing specific predictions from the literature, but there is increasing recognition that such tests require the integration of multiple variables in the assessment of various suites of life-history trade-offs (see for example, Moorad et al., 2011; Lawson et al., 2013; Zietsch et al., 2014). Following their lead, we make use of the Agta Demographic Database (ADD, see Howell, 2011) produced by Headland et al. (2011) to conduct a Bayesian analysis of sex-biased parental investment, birth-order effects, quantity-quality trade-offs, and adaptive fertility modulation in the Agta population of eastern Luzon, Philippines. We investigate how life-history trade-offs change during the transition of the Agta subsistence system from foraging to peasant agricultural labor by comparing two geographic sub-divisions of the Agta, a relatively isolated peninsular population (San Ildefonso Agta) and a more rapidly acculturating mainland population (Casiguran Agta) across two time periods. Because the Agta database includes demographic and life-history data over the period that the Agta livelihood was transitioning from foraging to landless peasantry, it provides a valuable window into the dynamic relationship between socio-ecological circumstances and human life-history evolution (Hill and Hurtado, 1996).

We begin by introducing the Agta data set and the ethnographic context. We then discuss key concepts in human life-history theory and adaptive demographic change. We describe our operationalized research questions and outline our statistical methodology. Finally, we present our results and conclusions. We find reliable evidence for changes in: (1) offspring survival/parental investment by sex and birth-order, (2) maternal fertility, and (3) quantity-quality trade-offs, across Agta subpopulations and temporal phases indicative of the transition from foraging to peasantry. By considering both mortality and fertility changes in a unified framework, we are able to provide quantitative evidence in support of the adaptive transition to reduced fertility predicted by the variance compensation hypothesis (Winterhalder and Leslie, 2002; Leslie and Winterhalder, 2002).

1.1. The Agta Demographic Database

Headland et al. (2011) published records containing the complete demographic history of the San Ildefonso Agta over more than a half-century, drawing on Thomas and Janet Headland's prolonged residency and ethnographic observations among them, from 1962 to 2010. The remarkable time depth and completeness of these demographic records makes the ADD a rich resource for testing the predictions of life-history theory in a foraging population. Despite its careful documentation and comprehensiveness, there has been little use of the ADD in evolutionary anthropology, except for select inclusion of Agta samples in cross-cultural comparisons (Walker et al., 2006; Gurven and Kaplan, 2007; Walker et al., 2008). Likewise, there have been no thorough analyses of the entire Agta data set since the mostly qualitative and descriptive publication by Early and Headland (1998). This neglect may in part be because the ADD, despite its extraordinary value, is complicated by data censoring, missing information, and spatial and temporal clustering. Each of these features is common in ethnographic data; each renders problematic analysis using the traditional statistical techniques of anthropological demography. The multi-level Bayesian approach adopted here allows us to circumvent these problems, by allowing us to account for uncertainty introduced by missing and censored data, while still characterizing the relationship between socio-ecological variation and human reproductive decision-making.

1.2. Ethnographic Background of the Agta

The subpopulations of Agta represented in the ADD include the Casiguran Agta (CA) who live on the mainland, and the San Ildefonso Agta (SIA), a geographically separate subpopulation that live on the San Ildefonso Peninsula (Headland et al., 2011); see Figure 1(a) for the locations of the CA and SIA.

In the period of their first detailed ethnographic description (1960s-70s) the Agta were foragers. They subsisted through hunting and gathering as well as through reciprocal exchange relations with Filipino lowlanders, especially in the case of the mainland CA. There were only limited encounters between the Agta and outsiders until the 1960s, when large-scale logging operations began to deforest areas used by the Agta for subsistence. At the same time, a growing number of non-Agta homesteaders began to seize control of and occupy Agta territories. Logging operations led to the collapse of forest prey populations; the use of stream beds as heavy equipment routes muddied waters and destroyed fresh-water fish and shrimp stocks. As a consequence of extensive ecological damage and the immigration of farmers, foraging became unsustainable (Headland, 1984). This transformation left the minority Agta as a

largely landless peasantry (Headland, 1988) subsisting on wage labor. Increased alcoholism, generalized poverty, new diseases, and cases of outright land-grabbing, murder, and kidnapping led to high death rates and related population changes (Headland, 2002).

Early and Headland (1998) argue that this transition began around 1965 and was complete by about 1980. Damage to their traditional livelihood and the concomitant increase in the population density of lowlanders had a significant impact on the ability of the Agta to provide for themselves and their children. Through the late 1960s and 1970s they shifted from living as largely independent and self-sufficient foragers to an exploited underclass dominated by extractive industries and non-Agta farmers (Headland, 2002). In our analysis, we use the term: *phase 1* to refer to the CA and SIA populations pre-1965, during their forager phase. Likewise, we use the term: *phase 2* to refer to the CA and SIA populations post-1965, during their peasantry phase. Our before:after treatment of temporal phase differs from Early and Headland (1998), who define 1965-1980 as a transitional phase and post-1980 as the peasantry phase. The three periods used by Early and Headland (1998) are more ethnographically nuanced than the two phases used here, but the three-fold comparison they entail would make the statistical modeling less tractable. From long-term fieldwork (by authors JH and TH) and exploratory data analysis, we are confident that the dichotomous approach also captures the major modal adjustment in Agta livelihood and demography.

Agta livelihood changes from phase 1 to phase 2 are cross-cut by geographical differences and the interaction of phase and location; see Figure 1(b). The mainland CA have traditionally lived in closer contact with the lowlanders than have the peninsular SIA, and have benefited from greater access to health care and other resources. Over the period covered by the ADD, there has been a greater degree of acculturation of the CA into the non-Agta, lowlander Philippine culture. This acculturation often results from the intermarriage of Agta females and lowlander males, the offspring of which are typically raised in the lowlander cultural tradition. The majority of the acculturating Agta population described by Early and Headland (1998) are CA and their Agta-lowlander descendants. These intercultural dynamics are analogous to the more well-studied African cases of cultural interdependence, as with the Efe and Lese (Bailey and DeVore, 1989) or the Aka and Ngandu (Hewlett, 1993).

[Figure 1 about here.]

Before the 1965-1980 transition, the SIA were able to forage effectively for their own food, but after the transition they were increasingly forced to subsist as agricultural laborers on a small fraction of the agricultural production of lowlanders who treated them as second-class residents. The phase 2 SIA did not have the same options for acculturation and integration by marriage as the phase 2 CA. We sharpen the geographic distinction between CA and SIA in the sections that follow. The shift in livelihood and subsistence strategy from phase 1 to phase 2, and the shifting cultural constraints imposed on the Agta as a function of geographic location, CA or SIA, have the potential to create differential selective pressures on Agta demography. We explore how the behavioral strategies that maximize fitness might vary along these selection gradients.

1.3. Life-history Trade-Offs in the Agta

We focus on investigating: (1) how sex- and parity-specific offspring survival, a proxy for parental investment, changes as a function of geographic location (SIA versus CA) and temporal phase (forager [pre-1965] and peasant [1965 and later]), and (2) how fertility rates and quantity-quality trade-offs in offspring shift along the same gradients. We then review: (3) how fertility rates might be affected by varying levels of stochastic mortality, and the possibility of asymmetry in the value function (Winterhalder and Leslie, 2002) linking a narrowly defined *reproductive success* to a more generally defined *fitness*. We begin by briefly reviewing the empirical literature on human life-history, focusing on issues of importance to HBE that we can explore directly with Agta data.

1.3.1. Birth-Order Effects and Sex Biases in Parental Investment and Offspring Survival

Parental investment decisions are structured by the local socio-ecological and cultural conditions that mediate the effects of investment on offspring recruitment (Kaplan, 1996), offspring reproductive success (Mace, 1996; Borgerhoff Mulder, 1998; Gillespie et al., 2008) and ultimately, the parents' long term fitness (Harpending and Rogers, 1990; Rogers, 1995; Boone and Kessler, 1999). Multiple economic and cultural factors shape these outcomes. For instance, Hrdy and Judge (1993) argue that birth-order and sex-biased investment may become more important when land is viewed as impartible. Initially, parents in North American farm homesteads preferentially invested in a single

98 male heir, thereby ensuring the survival of their farm-based lineage over time. Male-biased unigeniture, however, was
99 dropped as the importance of landholdings decreased and progressive legal changes improved the resource-holding
100 potential of female offspring. Similarly, one can posit that differential investment in first-born daughters may be ad-
101 vantageous in contexts where the relative payoff to investment in female reproductive value and access to land or
102 other resources exceeds that of males. Marriage offers such opportunities, as shown for marginalized populations
103 such as Hungarian gypsies (Bereczkei and Dunbar, 1997), Central African Efe foragers (Bailey, 1988), and Kenyan
104 Mukogodo transitional forager-pastoralists (Cronk, 1989, 1991).

105 There have been differences in the adaptive challenges faced by the SIA and CA. The SIA in the early phase
106 were able to depend on foraged resources, while sometimes trading wild meat, forest products (such as rattan, wild
107 honey, or copal), and medicinal plants for starch-based foods. Mortality was high compared to other groups in the
108 Philippines, but families typically had relatively equal access to resources (Early and Headland, 1998). As the SIA
109 subpopulation shifted from reliance on foraging to work as peasant laborers, access to resources, specifically land,
110 became a key constraint in the production of the food needed to support a family and engage in trade. Indeed it appears
111 that mortality in the SIA increased during this period (Early and Headland, 1998).

112 Conditions for the CA were rather different due to the possibility of intermarriage into the lowlander population
113 and the challenge of integration in their culture. In almost all cases of interethnic marriage between the CA and
114 lowlanders, it is an Agta woman who marries a lowlander male (Early and Headland, 1998). Thus, in the acculturating
115 population, increased investment in daughters (especially in first-borns, since parents might not survive long enough to
116 produce and care for later-borns) may have become important in securing their recruitment into the socially dominant
117 population. Marriage of daughters to lowlander males may better secure access to farming land and thus long-term
118 lineage survival (Rogers, 1995; Boone and Kessler, 1999; Trivers and Willard, 1973), as has been observed in other
119 foraging populations, such as the Efe (Bailey and DeVore, 1989) and Aka (Hewlett, 1993) during transition to a new
120 mode of subsistence. Interethnic marriage between a CA male and a landowning lowlander female has remained
121 socially untenable, making investment in male offspring less likely to result in increased reproductive success or
122 access to land.

123 With this background in mind, we expect to see that sex and birth-order biases in Agta mortality are affected by
124 geographic location and temporal phase. Specifically, we predict increased investment in early-born females relative
125 to males in the acculturating CA population, where hypergyny could be an important adaptive strategy. We utilize a
126 multi-level Bayesian survival analysis model to investigate the structuring of mortality by sex and birth-order in both
127 space and time. Our analysis relies on the assumption that investment decisions can at least partially be inferred on the
128 basis of offspring survival; ideally, our analyses would be supplemented by other measurable indicators of investment,
129 but such measures are not available in our data set.

130 1.3.2. *Fertility and the Quantity-Quality Trade-Off*

131 Variation in life-history traits among populations are believed to result from overall budget constraints and from
132 differential allocations of limited energy among: (1) growth and reproduction (e.g., Hill and Hurtado, 1996), (2)
133 reproduction and survival (e.g., Penn and Smith, 2007), and (3) the quantity and quality of offspring (e.g., Meij et al.,
134 2009).

135 Anthropologists typically attribute the higher fertility of intensive agriculturalists relative to foragers to lessened
136 overall budgetary constraints. Well-established agricultural production systems are often hypothesized to offer a
137 greater availability of food and permit larger contributions of children to food production (Bentley et al., 1993; Sellen
138 and Mace, 1997; Kramer and Boone, 2002). Despite a large overlap in values, farming populations typically have
139 higher fertility than foraging populations (Gurven et al., 2010; Campbell and Wood, 1988).

140 Fertility can also be affected by trade-offs between the number and quality of offspring produced (Lack, 1947;
141 Kaplan, 1996; Kramer and Ellison, 2010). Life-history models addressing this typically assume that resources are
142 limited, that investment per offspring decreases as a function of the number of offspring conceived, that offspring
143 reproductive value increases as a function of maternal investment, and that maternal fitness is determined by the num-
144 ber and reproductive value of offspring ultimately recruited into the breeding population (e.g., Gillespie et al., 2008).
145 Most empirical studies of the quantity-quality trade-off have focused on child survival. In some contexts, high fertility
146 is associated with low survival, for example in small-scale farming populations in Mali (Strassmann and Gillespie,
147 2002) and Ghana (Meij et al., 2009). In other contexts, for instance in Kenyan agropastoralists (Borgerhoff Mulder,
148 1998) and Paraguayan foragers (Hill and Hurtado, 1996), there are no effects of increasing maternal fertility on child

149 survival. When measures of quality extend from offspring survival to recruitment into the breeding population and
150 subsequent fitness, the diminishing returns of increased parity can sometimes be much more apparent (e.g., in 19th
151 century Utah residents, Jones and Bird, 2014) and tend to vary by social strata (Gillespie et al., 2008; Borgerhoff Mul-
152 der, 2000).

153 Some of the variability in whether sibling number affects child survival can be explained as a function of the
154 local production system. Siblings may compete with one another over the inheritance of material resources, or they
155 may have opportunities to help their parents and one another with childcare and food production. Accordingly,
156 the negative effects of sibling number on child survival are typically stronger among farmers and herders who rely
157 heavily on inherited material resources (Borgerhoff Mulder et al., 2009), than among foragers (Lawson and Mace,
158 2011). Extrinsic mortality may also play a role. The sharpness of the trade-off between the number of offspring a
159 mother can produce and the number she can keep alive may reflect the extent to which child mortality is extrinsic,
160 or unresponsive to parental investment (Pennington and Harpending, 1988; Quinlan, 2007). The logic here is not
161 that multiple offspring fail to deplete maternal resources, but that mortality cannot easily be averted by deploying
162 these resources. A large analysis of child survival in relation to family size across sub-Saharan Africa suggests
163 that the costs of a large sib set on child survival are highest where the local risk of mortality is low and, among
164 national subsamples where maternal somatic (height) and extrasomatic (education) capital is high (Lawson et al.,
165 2012). Conversely, population-specific studies in 18th and 19th century Finland (Gillespie et al., 2008) and among
166 Kenyan agropastoralists (Borgerhoff Mulder, 2000) suggest that the trade-off is more apparent in resource-limited
167 sectors of the population. These latter studies suggest that it is budgetary constraints rather than features of the
168 mortality regime that affect the extent to which offspring quantity trades off against quality.

169 Here we assess whether the subsistence shift from forager to peasant laborer has an effect on fertility, and we ana-
170 lyze the direction of the effect of changes in extrinsic mortality on the quantity-quality trade-off. Following Gillespie
171 et al. (2008) we would predict sharper trade-offs in the SIA because of their limited resources as foragers, whereas
172 following Lawson et al. (2012) we would predict less sharp trade-offs in the SIA, insofar as mortality is more likely
173 to be extrinsic. To make these comparisons, we estimate fertility rates and the extent of the quantity-quality trade-off
174 in offspring using multi-level Gamma-Poisson regression models, which integrate over uncertainty due to censored
175 observations and produce unique estimates of fertility rate and quantity-quality trade-offs in each Agta subpopulation
176 in each temporal phase.

177 1.3.3. *The Variance Compensation Hypothesis*

178 Risk sensitive fertility models incorporate the uncertainty of stochastically losing offspring into the estimate of
179 optimal fertility. By linking geometric mean fitness effects to reproductive decisions, one finds that the trade-off
180 between offspring quantity and quality is dependent on the nature of mortality risks. Boone and Kessler (1999) and
181 (Rogers, 1995) show that inter-generational wealth transmission, coupled with a strong effect of wealth on survival
182 and even moderate levels of catastrophic population dynamics can create ecological conditions where selection favors
183 reduced family size in response to large-scale stochastic shocks. Leslie and Winterhalder (2002), however, argue
184 that elevated levels of stochastic forms of mortality unrelated to maternal investment may cause selective pressures
185 leading to the overproduction of children. This is because risk-sensitive optimization requires compensation for both
186 the mean effects of stochastic mortality, as well as compensation for the variance in stochastic mortality as affected
187 by asymmetries in the value to parents of surviving sibships of differing sizes.

188 The variance compensation hypothesis (VCH), introduced by Winterhalder and Leslie (2002) and Leslie and Win-
189 terhalder (2002), entails the following logic: if survival from birth to adulthood is probabilistic and parents, aware
190 of this fact, are making reproductive decisions with an eye to their completed fertility in terms of recruited adult off-
191 spring, then analysis of their fertility decisions requires a risk-sensitive approach. Modeling those decisions requires
192 that we estimate the product of two functions: (1) the outcome distribution of recruited offspring as a function of fer-
193 tility; and (2) the fitness value to the parents of each outcome. In this analysis, we focus on the first of these functions,
194 as it is directly estimable from the data (i.e. we implicitly assume that the fitness value of fertility decisions is directly
195 proportional to the recruited offspring outcome function from fertility). In the discussion, we outline theoretical and
196 empirical reasons why we believe that as the Agta population is approaching a possible cultural extinction, the fitness
197 value of a smaller number of offspring with increased investment is actually elevated relative to higher fertility behav-
198 ior. For these reasons, our assumption of proportionality between the outcome function of recruited offspring from
199 fertility and the fitness value function from recruited offspring is conservative in our test of the VCH.

We test if fertility rates shift according to two major predictions of the VCH. [Leslie and Winterhalder \(2002\)](#) argue that: (1) reduced stochastic outcome variance (variance in recruited offspring, holding constant the effects of maternal parity) will lead to reduction in fertility. To test this prediction, we estimate the residual difference between the estimated value function linking maternal births to recruited offspring in each location-phase pairing and the observed data on number of recruited offspring. A decrease in age-specific fertility in response to a decrease in the dispersion of these residuals would be consistent with the predictions of the VCH model for demographic transition to lowered fertility. Additionally, [Leslie and Winterhalder \(2002\)](#) argue that: (2) an increase in the value of a small number of conceived offspring will drive a reduction in fertility. To test this prediction, we estimate and compare the mean value (in terms of recruited offspring) of lowered fertility across Agta subpopulations. A decrease in age-specific fertility in response to an increased value of low fertility behavior, detected as an elevation of the lower portion of the value function linking maternal births to mean recruited offspring, would be consistent with the predictions of the VCH model. Finally, we explicitly integrate the results of the survival analysis and quantity-quality trade-off models in order to numerically estimate the extent of variance compensation in each subpopulation in each phase.

1.4. *Research Questions and Predictions*

(1) Structuring of Mortality

a. Since opportunities for hypergyny into a wealthier population favor female-biased investment in offspring, we predict increased investment (and, thus, decreased mortality) in daughters—particularly first born daughters—relative to sons in the CA across phases.

(2) Structuring of Fertility

a. Age-specific fertility will vary across the SIA and mainland CA populations during the forager and peasantry phases as a consequence of changing budgetary constraints. Assuming higher budgets in the CA relative to the SIA in phase 1, we would predict increased fertility in the CA. Assuming decreased budgets in phase 2 for both CA and SIA (relative to their respective phase 1 values), we would predict decreased phase 2 fertility in both populations relative to their phase 1 values.

b. The extent of the quantity-quality trade-off will vary across the SIA and mainland CA populations during the forager and peasantry phases of Agta society as a result of variation in the costs of raising children and/or the impact of extrinsic mortality. Following [Gillespie et al. \(2008\)](#), we would predict more extreme quantity-quality trade-offs in the SIA because of their limited resources as foragers. On the other hand, following [Lawson et al. \(2012\)](#), we would predict smaller trade-offs in the SIA, insofar as mortality is more likely to be extrinsic.

c. Assuming the quantity-quality trade-off is driving demographic transition, we predict decreased fertility in the populations and phases with increased quantity-quality trade-offs.

(3) VCH Predictions

a. We predict that reduced stochastic variance in reproductive outcomes will be associated with lower fertility.

b. We predict a decrease in fertility in response to an elevation of the lower portion of the fitness value function linking maternal pregnancies to mean recruited offspring.

c. We predict numerically elevated levels of variance compensation in the SIA relative to the CA in both phases.

2. **Methods**

2.1. *Data Source: The Agta Demographic Database*

The data used in this study come from the female fertility reports in the Microsoft Access © database hosted on the Summer Institute of Linguistics servers ([Headland et al., 2011](#)). We selected reports for both the SIA and CA (non-SIA) subpopulations.

Survival analysis was conducted using the ages of death or censoring for all individuals in the data-set with a known year of birth by an Agta woman. Fertility and quantity-quality trade-off outcomes were modeled using the data from all Agta women who have had at least a single birth or miscarriage. We set exposure to risk of pregnancy

246 equal to *Age* minus 15 years; it is capped at 40 years, implying that pregnancy after age 55 has zero probability. As
 247 noted earlier, the year 1965 marks the switch point between phases 1 and 2. In the survival analysis model, anyone
 248 born on or after 1965 was considered as part of phase 2. In the quantity-quality trade-off and fertility models, any
 249 woman who began her reproductive career (reached the age of 15) in the year 1965 or later was considered as part
 250 of phase 2. An offspring is considered recruited if he or she survives to an age of 15 years. In the text, we use the
 251 phrase *reported pregnancies* to refer to the number of reported births, still births, and miscarriages attributed to each
 252 woman in the Agta database. While it is often more common to analyze only live births, given the thoroughness
 253 of the data and our interest in the dynamics leading parents to conceive new offspring, we decided to classify all
 254 reported pregnancies as fertility decisions. This methodological choice also allows for the mortality patterns apparent
 255 as stochastic outcome variance in our quantity-quality trade-off model to be interpreted in conjunction with the results
 256 of our survival analysis model, since they are based on the same set of survival outcomes. Additionally, we were
 257 worried that cases of infanticide could have been described as still births, and information on sex-specific parental
 258 investment would be lost by excluding such cases. The sample sizes of observed, censored, and missing data in each
 259 class of interactions used in our analyses are included in the Supplementary Materials, Section 1.8.

260 2.2. Hierarchical Bayesian Statistical Modeling

261 Testing life-history predictions using human demographic data on foraging populations is challenging. Historical
 262 demographic data sets rarely include hunter-gatherer populations, and contemporary data sets are frequently incom-
 263 plete and plagued by censored information. The ADD data used in this analysis are highly detailed and very complete
 264 across approximately 4-7 generations. However: 1) a large portion of the data are censored, meaning that women's
 265 reproductive careers were still unfolding and hence incomplete at the time of data collection, 2) the sex of many off-
 266 spring who died early in life is unknown, 3) there is variation in the accuracy of age data, and 4) there is multi-level
 267 structuring in the data. To address these data issues, we designed custom statistical models which can accommodate
 268 these shortcomings. Specifically, we define models which: 1) deal with censored outcomes, 2) integrate over uncer-
 269 tainty in the effects of sex for offspring which have missing sex data, 3) model measurement error in age, and 4) use a
 270 hierarchical structure to infer location- and phase-specific effects (Mace, 2013).

271 To estimate unknown parameters in our models, we use Hamiltonian Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulation
 272 (Hoffman and Gelman, 2014). Our Markov chains are coded in C++ using the Stan 2.2.0 library (Stan Development
 273 Team, 2013a), and implemented through the RStan interface. In the Supplementary Materials Sections 1.3-1.5, we
 274 address model fit, Markov chain convergence diagnostics, and effective posterior sample sizes for each model.

275 2.2.1. A Hierarchical Bayesian Survival Analysis Model

To estimate the survival profiles of the Agta subpopulations in each phase and location, we utilize a multi-level
 Bayesian Weibull regression model, a standard approach to parametric survival analysis (Dellaportas and Smith, 1993;
 Ibrahim et al., 2005; Peltola et al., 2014). We estimate subpopulation and phase-specific effects of the interaction of
 sex and birth-order (first-born vs. later-born). We account for censoring by modeling individuals with an observed
 age of death, $AOD_{[i]}$, as:

$$AOD_{[i]} \sim \text{Weibull} \left(\phi_{[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}]}, \exp \left(- \frac{\psi_{[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}, s_{[i]}, b_{[i]}]}}{\phi_{[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}]}} \right) \right) \quad (1)$$

and, following the parameterization suggested in example Stan models provided by Peltola et al. (2014), we model
 individuals with an observed age of censoring, $AOC_{[i]}$, as:

$$AOC_{[i]} \sim \text{Weibull}_{\text{CCDF}} \left(\phi_{[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}]}, \exp \left(- \frac{\psi_{[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}, s_{[i]}, b_{[i]}]}}{\phi_{[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}]}} \right) \right) \quad (2)$$

where the symbol $\text{Weibull}_{\text{CCDF}}$ is the complimentary cumulative distribution—or survival—function. The symbols
 $l_{[i]}$, $p_{[i]}$, $s_{[i]}$, and $b_{[i]} \in \{1, 2\}$ are indicators of the location, temporal phase, sex, and birth-order of individual i . The ψ
 parameters are defined as a $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2$ array, and are partially pooled in a multi-level framework:

$$\psi_{[l, p, s, b]} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{\psi}, \sigma_{\psi}) \quad (3)$$

Some individuals in the Agta data set are not classified as male or female, normally due to death during the infancy
 period. The distribution of individuals of unclassified sex is modeled as a mixture of males and females, with unknown

mixing proportions unique to each location and phase. Let $\xi_{[l,p]}$ be a unit 2-simplex, so for all cases where the sex of the individual is unknown, we integrate over uncertainty in sex by using a weighted sum of the sex-specific ψ parameters in the Weibull model:

$$\xi_{1[l_{[i]},p_{[i]}]} \psi_{[l_{[i]},p_{[i]},s=1,b_{[i]}]} + \xi_{2[l_{[i]},p_{[i]}]} \psi_{[l_{[i]},p_{[i]},s=2,b_{[i]}]} \quad (4)$$

The shape parameter of the Weibull distribution, ϕ , determines how the hazard changes over the life course. A value of one indicates a constant hazard and a value less than one indicates a decreasing hazard over time. A ϕ value of less than one is typically indicative of infant mortality in population data. To estimate and control for infant mortality across subpopulations (SIA vs CA) and temporal phase, we estimate unique ϕ coefficients for each combination of temporal phase and location using partial pooling:

$$\log(\phi_{[l,p]}) \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_\phi, \sigma_\phi) \quad (5)$$

All hyperparameters are given weakly regularizing priors, which concentrate prior probability in the realm of empirically plausible parameter values (see Chapter 6.3, [McElreath, 2015](#)):

$$\mu_\psi \sim \text{Normal}(0, 10) \quad (6)$$

$$\mu_\phi \sim \text{Normal}(0, 10) \quad (7)$$

$$\sigma_\psi \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 2.5)T[0, \infty] \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_\phi \sim \text{Cauchy}(0, 2.5)T[0, \infty] \quad (9)$$

Ages in the Agta database are not always known exactly. Both year of birth and year of death are characterized by uncertainty ranging from less than a month to more than nine years. To account for this uncertainty, we model age of death as a random variable for all deceased individuals using a standard Gaussian measurement error model:

$$AOD_{[i]} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{AOD_{[i]}}, \sigma_{AOD_{[i]}}) \quad (10)$$

where $\mu_{AOD_{[i]}}$ is the estimated of age of death provided in the Agta database. To obtain $\sigma_{AOD_{[i]}}$, a standard deviation, we assume that errors in age are Gaussian, such that an error range can be described by 6 standard deviations, covering approximately 99.7% of observations. For deceased individuals we assume that errors in year of birth and year of death are independent. Error on age of death, $\sigma_{AOD_{[i]}}$, then can be described from the errors on year of birth, $\sigma_{YOB_{[i]}}$ and year of death, $\sigma_{YOD_{[i]}}$, as:

$$\sigma_{AOD_{[i]}} = \sqrt{\sigma_{YOB_{[i]}}^2 + \sigma_{YOD_{[i]}}^2} \quad (11)$$

Likewise, we model age of censoring as a random variable for all censored individuals using a standard measurement error model:

$$AOC_{[i]} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_{AOC_{[i]}}, \sigma_{AOC_{[i]}}) \quad (12)$$

276 where $\mu_{AOC_{[i]}}$ is the estimated of age of censoring provided in the Agta database and $\sigma_{AOC_{[i]}}$ is equal to $\sigma_{YOB_{[i]}}$.

To estimate the probability of death, $Pr(D_Z)$ before a given age Z , we integrate the Weibull function on the interval $(0, Z)$, yielding the cumulative distribution function (CDF) up to Z :

$$Pr(D_Z|l, p, s, b) = \int_0^Z \text{Weibull}(x, \phi_{[l,p]}, \exp[-\frac{\psi_{[l,p,s,b]}}{\phi_{[l,p]}}]) dx \quad (13)$$

277 Conditional probabilities of death (e.g., the probability of dying before age 15 conditional on having survived to age
278 1) can then be understood as a difference of of CDFs. [Note that the above paragraph fixes some explanatory errors in
279 the official publication.]

280 2.2.2. Hierarchical Bayesian Fertility Model

281 To investigate the relationship between offspring quantity and quality in the Agta, we replicate analyses that have
 282 regressed recruited offspring on maternal fertility, as performed by Meij et al. (2009), Gillespie et al. (2008), and
 283 Strassmann and Gillespie (2002). However, in contrast to these studies, we utilize multi-level Bayesian Gamma-
 284 Poisson (a.k.a. Negative Binomial, Spencer, 1980; Wood, 1994) regression models to estimate age-specific fertility
 285 and the number of offspring recruited to reproductive age as a function of reported pregnancies. The complete model
 286 allows us to characterize both age-specific fertility and quantity-quality trade-offs across locations and temporal phases
 287 in a single unified framework that integrates over uncertainty introduced by data censoring and missing information.

The total recruited offspring, $Y_{[i]}$, of individual i , is modeled using a Gamma-Poisson model structure, where:

$$Y_{[i]} \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_{[i]}) \quad (14)$$

and:

$$\lambda_{[i]} \sim \text{Gamma}(A_{[i]}, B_{[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}]}) \quad (15)$$

We use the Gamma-Poisson structure because these data show signs of over-dispersion relative to a pure Poisson distribution. The A parameter vector of the Gamma distribution is modeled as a function of the number of reported pregnancies, $P_{[i]}$, of individual i :

$$\log\left(\frac{A_{[i]}}{B_{[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}]}}\right) = \theta_{1[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}]} + \theta_{2[l_{[i]}, p_{[i]}]}\log(P_{[i]}) \quad (16)$$

which implies a linear model on the mean through a log link function, since the mean of a Gamma distribution can be written as $\mu = \frac{A}{B}$. The B parameter is modeled hierarchically as:

$$\log(B_{[l, p]}) \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_B, \sigma_B) \quad (17)$$

with regularizing priors on the hyperparameters:

$$\mu_B \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1) \quad (18)$$

$$\sigma_B \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)T[0, \infty] \quad (19)$$

The $\theta_{[l, p]}$ parameter array allows each combination of location and temporal phase to have unique regression lines. These regression parameters are partially pooled in a hierarchical framework using a multivariate normal distribution:

$$\theta_{[l, p]} \sim \text{Multivariate Normal}(\mu_\theta, \Sigma_\theta) \quad (20)$$

where each cell of the mean hyperparameter vector has a weakly regularizing prior distribution:

$$\mu_\theta \sim \text{Normal}(0, 10) \quad (21)$$

288 A prior on the covariance matrix is specified using a Cholesky factor parameterization. This approach improves
 289 Markov Chain Monte Carlo efficiency when the posterior distributions of parameters are correlated (details in Sup-
 290 plementary Materials Section 1.7; Supplementary Stan Code).

291 Some women in this population have incomplete or censored reproductive careers; the number of their pregnancies
 292 and recruited offspring are known only to lower limits. There are three classes of mothers in the Agta database:

293 (1) Women either dead or older than fifty-five years who have complete pregnancy and rearing records (all off-
 294 spring are either dead or recruited, i.e., ≥ 15 years of age).

295 (2) Women either dead or older than fifty-five years who have complete pregnancy records and incomplete or
 296 censored rearing records (some offspring are alive and not yet recruited).

297 (3) Women alive and younger than fifty-five years who have incomplete pregnancy records and, therefore, incom-
 298 plete or censored rearing records.

299

300 We model data from the first class of women using the statistical methodology presented above. Data from the
 301 other two classes must be modeled using a more complex variant of the above model. Censored outcome data can
 302 be modeled by analytically integrating over the the area of the CDF falling above the lower censoring threshold,
 303 $\min Y_{[i]}$, which is known by counting the total number of recruited offspring for woman i at the time of censoring, and
 304 below $\max Y_{[i]}$, the maximum number of recruited offspring possible given the number of already recruited offspring
 305 and the remaining number of living and unrecruited offspring of woman i . See Supplementary Materials Section 1.6
 306 for details.

The number of reported pregnancies, $P_{[i]}$, of individual i is modeled as a function of the time each woman has spent at reproductive age, $E_{[i]}$, defined in this analysis as the number of years lived by each woman in the interval $\{15, 16, \dots, 55\}$ years of age, using a standard Gamma-Poisson regression model:

$$P_{[i]} \sim \text{Poisson}(\Lambda_{[i]}) \quad (22)$$

where:

$$\Lambda_{[i]} \sim \text{Gamma}(Q_{[i]}, V_{[l(i), p(i)]}) \quad (23)$$

$$\log\left(\frac{Q_{[i]}}{V_{[l(i), p(i)]}}\right) = \zeta_{1[l(i), p(i)]} + \zeta_{2[l(i), p(i)]} \log(E_{[i]}) \quad (24)$$

and:

$$\zeta_{[l,p]} \sim \text{Multivariate Normal}(\mu_{\zeta}, \Omega) \quad (25)$$

$$\log(V_{[l,p]}) \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_V, \sigma_V) \quad (26)$$

with priors:

$$\mu_{\zeta} \sim \text{Normal}(0, 10) \quad (27)$$

$$\mu_V \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1) \quad (28)$$

$$\sigma_V \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)T[0, \infty] \quad (29)$$

307 3. Results

308 3.1. The Structuring of Survival by Location, Phase, Sex, and Birth-Order

309 There is substantial variation in the survival profiles of Agta as a function of the interaction of location, phase,
 310 sex, and birth-order. Table 1 displays the cumulative probabilities of death for each category of Agta on the intervals:
 311 0-1 year, 0-15 years, and 0-45 years, as well as on the interval 1-15 years. Figure 2 plots these data. The full posterior
 312 estimates from the survival analysis model for the four variables, as well as the empirical survivorship curves, are
 313 included in the Supplementary Materials Section 1.1.

314 [Figure 2 about here.]

315 These patterns are evident: (1) across phases, the CA have reduced pre-recruitment mortality relative to the SIA;
 316 (2) survival outcomes for young CA and SIA were better in phase 1, before the encroachment of loggers, miners, and
 317 homesteaders; (3) conditional on surviving to age 1, risk of death before recruitment is greatest in the SIA phase 1
 318 (especially for males); (4) there is evidence of an emerging male survival bias in the SIA from phase 1 to phase 2;
 319 and, (5) there is evidence of an emerging female survival bias in the CA phase 2.

320 Infant mortality appears to be highest in the SIA phase 2, $\phi = 0.19$ (PCI95: 0.17, 0.21), and lowest in the CA phase
 321 1, $\phi = 1.38$ (PCI95: 1.25, 1.51), with intermediate levels in the SIA phase 1, $\phi = 0.52$ (PCI95: 0.47, 0.57), and the
 322 CA phase 2, $\phi = 0.25$ (PCI95: 0.23, 0.31). Note that the symbol *PCI95* indicates the equal-tail ninety-five percent
 323 posterior credibility interval.

324 [Table 1 about here.]

3.2. The Structuring of Age-Specific Fertility by Location and Phase

In Figure 3, we present predictions from the regression model of reported pregnancies on years at risk for pregnancy for each geographic subpopulation of Agta in each phase; we superimpose the model predictions on the observed data. Fertility in the CA is reduced relative to fertility in the SIA. Fertility slightly increases in the SIA from phase 1 to phase 2, while it remains low in the CA across phases. Table 2 displays the parameter estimates and ninety-five percent posterior credibility intervals (PCI95s) of our model.

Mean completed fertility (fertility at 40 years post-menarche) in the SIA phase 1 is 5.95 (PCI95: 5.55, 6.36); it is only 3.94 (PCI95: 3.63, 4.23) in the CA during phase 1. Fertility increases in the SIA during phase 2 to reach 7.11 (PCI95: 6.49, 7.75), while it remains approximately constant in the CA during phase 2 at 4.13 (PCI95: 3.62, 4.70).

[Figure 3 about here.]

[Table 2 about here.]

3.3. The Structuring of the Quantity-Quality Trade-Off by Location and Phase

In Figure 4, we plot the predictions of the regression model of recruited offspring on number of reported pregnancies for each subpopulation of Agta in each phase; we superimpose the model predictions, the distribution of censored observations, and the raw data. There is evidence of a quantity-quality trade-off in both the SIA and CA in phase 1, as indicated by the parameters, θ_2 , falling reliably short of proportionality. If there were no quantity-quality trade-off, we would expect recruitment to increase linearly ($\theta_2 = 1$) with reported pregnancies. The substantially concave fit ($\theta_2 < 1$) provides evidence for the existence of a quantity-quality trade-off. There is less evidence of such a trade-off in phase 2, as indicated by the credibility intervals on θ_2 including 1, the value of proportionality. Evidence of a quantity-quality trade-off is, however, slightly stronger in the SIA in phase 1, where θ_2 equals 0.80 (PCI95: 0.68, 0.91), than in the CA in phase 1, where θ_2 equals 0.84 (PCI95: 0.72, 0.96). Table 3 displays the parameter estimates and credibility intervals of our model.

[Figure 4 about here.]

[Table 3 about here.]

3.4. The Variance Compensation Hypothesis

To investigate if fertility change in the Agta follows the predictions of the VCH model, we utilize information from the survival, age-specific fertility, and quantity-quality trade-off models.

We first note from the fertility model that completed fertility is reduced in the CA relative to the SIA in both phases. From the survival analysis, we note that the CA show significantly reduced rates of pre-recruitment offspring mortality in both phases relative to the SIA. While these results are consistent with the VCH, the model has the merit of offering a more specific set of predictions. Accordingly, we estimate the extent of stochastic outcome variance—variance in pre-recruitment death of offspring, controlling for the effects of maternal parity—by calculating the maximum *a posteriori* residuals for all observed and censored data. Residuals for censored data were calculated using $\max Y_{[i]} - \min Y_{[i]}$ as a data point. We present kernel density estimates of these residuals in each location phase pair in Figures 5(a) and 5(b). An increasing width of dispersion in these residuals indicates an increasing amount of stochastic pre-recruitment death in offspring, controlling for the mean effects of maternal parity. In ecological contexts with lowered levels of stochastic outcome variance, the VCH predicts lowered fertility, since mothers will need to engage in less anticipatory compensation to ensure recruitment of the desired number of offspring. In phase 1, the variance of the residuals was 1.73 and 0.89 in the SIA and CA respectively; this is a ratio of 1.94 (PCI95, F-test: 1.46, 2.58), which is strong evidence of increased stochastic outcome variance in the SIA. In phase 2, the variance of the residuals was 1.47 and 0.98 in the SIA and CA respectively; this is a ratio of 1.50 (PCI95, F-test: 1.06, 2.11), which is also strong evidence of increased stochastic outcome variance in the SIA. As before, these results are consistent with what would be expected under the VCH model of fertility decline.

In Figures 5(c) and 5(d), we present the results of an analysis illustrating the influence of the shape and level of the value function on fertility. Across both phases, the value function linking pregnancies to expected recruited offspring is significantly elevated in the CA relative to the SIA. We illustrate the effect of this change in the value function

371 on fertility by showing the number of pregnancies needed to reach a target number of recruited offspring in each
 372 subpopulation. For example, if the target number of recruited offspring were 4, then in phase 1 an SIA mother would
 373 need 7.0 (PCI95: 6.2, 7.9) pregnancies on average to yield such an outcome, while 5.2 (PCI95: 4.6, 5.8) pregnancies
 374 would suffice for a mother in the CA. A similar pattern holds true in phase 2, where an SIA mother would need 10.0
 375 (PCI95: 8.3, 13.0) pregnancies to reach a target of 4 recruited offspring on average, while only 6.7 (PCI95: 5.3, 8.5)
 376 pregnancies would be needed by a CA mother on average. As such, assuming a target fertility of 4 recruited offspring,
 377 this analysis predicts about 2 fewer offspring in the CA relative to the SIA in phase 1, and 3 fewer offspring in the CA
 378 relative to the SIA in phase 2, estimates which corresponds almost exactly with the empirical differences in completed
 379 fertility in each phase.

380 [Figure 5 about here.]

381 Finally in Figures 6(a) and 6(b), we use code developed in Winterhalder et al. (2015) to explicitly integrate the
 382 results of both the survival analysis and the quantity-quality trade-off model in order to generate numerical predictions
 383 (see Table 4) that allow us to decompose excess fertility relative to a target number of recruited offspring into mean
 384 compensation and variance compensation. In both phases, the empirical difference in completed fertility between the
 385 SIA and CA—about 2 pregnancies in phase 1 and 3 pregnancies in phase 2—is numerically consistent with the relative
 386 levels of predicted mean and variance compensation arising from the differential fitness value of a given number of
 387 pregnancies in each subpopulation and differences between the subpopulations in levels of stochastic mortality. Note
 388 that variance compensation is strongest in the SIA phase 1, where stochastic mortality is highest. In phase 2, we do
 389 not observe as much evidence of variance compensation under the coarse assumptions of the model, but we still see
 390 high levels of mean compensation due to the elevated predictable mortality in the SIA in phase 2 lowering the value
 391 function. The joint model correctly predicts both that fertility should be elevated in the SIA relative to the CA in both
 392 phases—even under the assumption that both populations have similar targets for number of recruited offspring—and
 393 that SIA fertility should increase by about 1 birth over phases, which it does.

394 [Figure 6 about here.]

395 [Table 4 about here.]

396 4. Discussion

397 In one of the classic papers of evolutionary biology, Levins (1966) argued that multiple simple models would be
 398 needed to address any complex adaptive phenomenon. It is becoming increasingly clear (e.g., Moorad et al., 2011;
 399 Lawson et al., 2013; Zietsch et al., 2014) that empirical assessments of the various life-history trade-offs that an
 400 organism faces must integrate different components of such models. Here we investigate, within the framework of
 401 human behavioral ecology and life-history theory, predictions of several models concerning heterogeneity in mortality
 402 and fertility. We then combine different components of these analyses in order to address research questions—for
 403 example, the role of variance compensation in driving demographic transition—that cannot be broached in an analysis
 404 focused on a single kind of outcome.

405 In the subsections that follow, we contextualize the findings of each of our models. The survival analysis explores
 406 the structuring of mortality, and is discussed in terms of constraints on, and strategic options open to, foraging and
 407 post-foraging populations; this analysis highlights the importance of heavy investment in first-born daughters in pop-
 408 ulations undergoing hypergynous interethnic integration. Our results are consistent with studies of other population
 409 undergoing such transitions (e.g., in Berezkei and Dunbar, 1997; Bailey, 1988; Cronk, 1991). The fertility model
 410 directly compares fertility rates across locations and phases. From our findings and ethnographic descriptions of
 411 Agta life in each location and phase, we infer that extrinsic mortality is a more important driver of fertility decisions
 412 than budgetary constraints, but we acknowledge that the evidence for this is somewhat indirect. The quantity-quality
 413 model is designed to investigate the trade-off between the number of children produced by a mother and the number
 414 recruited to reproductive age. The greater prominence of the quantity-quality trade-off in the SIA population suggests
 415 that budgetary constraints may be an important factor affecting child survival in large families. Additionally, we show
 416 that quantity-quality trade-offs are insufficiently strong, and incorrectly structured across CA and SIA populations, to

417 account for the demographic transition observed in the CA relative to the SIA. Finally, by integrating model-based
 418 inference on pre-recruitment mortality, the quantity-quality trade-off value function, and completed fertility, we can
 419 demonstrate for the first time empirically that the VCH is capable of explaining demographic transition to lowered
 420 fertility. In short, we have attempted to use the Agta Demographic Database to examine how changes in reproduc-
 421 tive decision-making across locations and temporal phases are consistent (or not) with different predictions based on
 422 life-history theory. Furthermore, we illustrate key methodological advances by deriving problem-specific Bayesian
 423 models that incorporate and control for multi-level structuring of data and integrate over uncertainty due to missing,
 424 censored, and/or partially known information.

425 4.1. *Effects of Birth-Order and Sex on Survival*

426 There is substantial heterogeneity in Agta survival outcomes by temporal phase and location:

427 (1) Across phases, the elevated SIA mortality relative to that of the CA is generally consistent with studies showing
 428 elevated mortality rates among foragers (e.g., [Hill and Hurtado, 1996](#)). The SIA experience relatively high mortality
 429 during both infancy and childhood, but there is some patterning by phase. Only the SIA in phase 1 can be seen as
 430 undisrupted foragers, and we find that mortality risk is especially high in the interval from age 1 to age 15 in the SIA
 431 phase 1 [see [Figure 2\(d\)](#)]. Our findings here echo the results of [Sellen and Mace \(1997\)](#) who found that the elevated
 432 mortality of foragers is often due to increased hazard post-infancy.

433 (2) Elevated infant mortality in the SIA and CA phase 2 relative to phase 1 is unexpected on the basis of production
 434 system changes ([Sellen and Mace, 1997](#)). It is, however, understandable in light of ecological damage and displace-
 435 ment, and the abuse of the Agta by settlers, loggers, and miners ([Early and Headland, 1998](#); [Headland, 2002, 2004](#)).
 436 Although the Agta appear to be shifting away from foraging, their socio-economic subjugation as landless peasants
 437 obviates any potential mortality reduction which might be associated with the subsistence change. More generally,
 438 the Agta case illustrates that care must be taken when using contemporary data to inform demographic transitions in
 439 prehistory. Although agriculture has replaced foraging as the predominant subsistence mode in the Casiguran region,
 440 subsistence appears not to be the most important factor in the Agta demographic experience there.

441 (3) Decreased mortality before age 45 in the CA during phase 2 is expected on the basis of acculturation and
 442 hypergenous marriage patterns ([Headland and Headland, 1998](#); [Early and Headland, 1998](#)). This change may be
 443 driven by economic status, specifically the increased access of some acculturating or interethnic Agta to the resources
 444 held by lowlander families, as well as the associated cultural capital ([Early and Headland, 1998](#)) and increased access
 445 to western medical facilities ([Headland, 2004](#)).

446 (4) There is evidence for increasing parental favoritism directed toward male offspring in the SIA in phase 2
 447 relative to phase 1, perhaps as a result of the increased value of males as agricultural laborers ([Burton and White,](#)
 448 [1984](#); [Boserup, 2007](#)). Alternatively, if Agta women played an important role in foraging, they may have suffered a
 449 decline in status as foraged foods became replaced in the SIA economy by male-oriented labor and exchange.

450 (5) In the CA, however, the transition from phase 1 to phase 2 has been marked by a significant decrease in
 451 survival for first-born males, but increased survival for females. This pattern may be related to what [Berezkei and](#)
 452 [Dunbar \(1997\)](#) found in Hungarian Gypsy populations and [Cronk \(1989\)](#) found in the Mukogodo: opportunities for
 453 hypergyny into a wealthier population favor female-biased investment in offspring. In the phase 2 circumstances of the
 454 CA, marriage into a lowlander family is one of the surest ways of securing long-term lineage survival. In the face of
 455 pressures from loggers, miners, homesteaders, and international socio-political struggles, the population levels of the
 456 Agta have been declining in both relative and absolute terms. The Agta were ~10% of the Philippine population during
 457 the Spanish colonial period, but are only 0.05% currently; the CA and SIA numbered ~1,000 individuals in 1936, but
 458 number less than 600 individuals today ([Headland et al., 2011](#)). Given such dramatic population decline, intermarriage
 459 and acculturation may be the only way in which lineage survival can be insured ([Headland and Headland, 1998](#)). We
 460 hypothesize that the external disruption of their livelihood has placed intense pressure on CA adults to invest primarily
 461 in daughters, as a means of seeing that their offspring are recruited into the lowlander cultural group.

462 4.2. *Fertility Change and the Quantity-Quality Trade-Off*

463 4.2.1. *Fertility Change*

464 With respect to fertility change in the Agta, we find: (1) strong evidence of reduced completed fertility in the
 465 CA relative to the SIA, possibly reflecting an onset of demographic transition that is clearly unrelated to decreased

466 budgets, given the greater material resources of the CA relative to the SIA; and (2) we find a small increase in
 467 completed fertility in the SIA between phase 1 and phase 2. This increase is unlikely to reflect relaxed budgetary
 468 constraints, insofar as the SIA in phase 2 have experienced considerable disturbance to their resource base. Rather, it
 469 may reflect elevated levels of extrinsic mortality increasing the need of parents to overproduce offspring in order to
 470 have a viable sibship at offspring adulthood (Lawson et al., 2012; Leslie and Winterhalder, 2002).

471 4.2.2. *The Quantity-Quality Trade-Off*

472 We find evidence of the quantity-quality trade-off, but the absolute magnitude of the effect is moderate (as in
 473 Lawson et al., 2012). It predominately occurs during phase 1 and is unlikely to be a factor affecting fertility decline,
 474 since the minor differences in this trade-off across the SIA and CA illustrate that there are slightly higher quantity-
 475 quality trade-offs in the population with *elevated* fertility rates. The quantity-quality trade-offs during phase 1 are
 476 stronger in the SIA than in the CA, suggesting that the costs associated with raising children under a limited budget,
 477 harsher environmental context, and lack of medicine could be important factors in driving mortality as maternal
 478 fertility increases.

479 Our analysis of the quantity-quality trade-off is potentially compromised by the confounding influence of pheno-
 480 typic correlation (Hill and Hurtado, 1996). If, for instance, Agta mothers vary in their maternal resources, and women
 481 with greater resources not only have more children, but are more successful in raising them, then standard regres-
 482 sion models will underestimate the possibility of a trade-off. Fortunately, the ADD allows us to test for phenotypic
 483 correlation by controlling for maternal quality operationalized as maternal height, weight, or weight-for-height. We
 484 fit basic maximum likelihood Poisson regression models to a subset of the Agta data for which we have complete
 485 fertility records and height and weight data (Supplementary Materials Section 1.2). Formal model comparison with
 486 AIC indicates that the model with no controls for maternal state is preferred. This indicates that our main findings are
 487 not likely to be confounded by maternal embodied capital, at least insofar as it is measured by these proxy variables.
 488 Our results parallel those of Sear (2007), who found that controlling for maternal condition in Gambia did not affect
 489 her findings concerning quantity-quality trade-offs.

490 Results presented in Supplementary Materials Section 1.2 also show that repeated reproduction is associated with
 491 declining maternal condition. In the second ranked model, the parameter modulating the effect of weight-for-height on
 492 number of recruited offspring indicates a strong negative association between maternal embodied capital and number
 493 of recruited offspring. Put differently, there is a trade-off between maternal investment in her own body mass and the
 494 survival of her offspring. This suggests that—over the short term—women with elevated weight-to-height ratios could
 495 invest body mass in increased reproduction. However, long-term considerations might make it non-adaptive to do so.
 496 These results parallel some of the findings on ‘maternal depletion syndrome’ reviewed by Dewey and Cohen (2007),
 497 and are congruent with classic studies in the non-human animal literature; for example, the negative effects of large
 498 clutch size in songbirds often do not show up until subsequent breeding seasons (e.g., Williams, 1966).

499 4.3. *Variance Compensation*

500 We tested two hypotheses that spring from the idea that no parent can be sure whether or not their children will
 501 survive to recruitment age—in other words, that target reproductive outcomes are affected by stochastic variance
 502 (Winterhalder and Leslie, 2002; Leslie and Winterhalder, 2002). Other factors being equal, lower fertility is predicted
 503 in contexts where stochastic outcome variance is reduced, and where the lower portion of the fitness value function
 504 linking pregnancies to recruited offspring approaches direct proportionality. Both predictions are supported in this
 505 study.

506 Controlling for the mean effects of increasing maternal fertility, reduced stochastic outcome variance in recruited
 507 offspring is associated with lower fertility. Stochastic outcome variance is significantly lower in the CA than the SIA.
 508 Likewise, we observe an elevation of the lower portion of the value function—i.e. an increase in the expected number
 509 of recruited offspring given a small number of pregnancies—in the CA relative to the SIA.

510 Furthermore, the value of a smaller number of offspring may be elevated in the CA relative to the SIA in ways not
 511 reducible strictly to offspring recruitment. While many researchers of non-human animal behavior measure the value
 512 of reproductive decisions by assessing the number of recruited offspring or grand-offspring per fertility decision, it
 513 is likely that the value function in humans is more complex. Potentially it is a result of offspring number, embodied
 514 capital, and socio-relational and material wealth (Rogers, 1995; Boone and Kessler, 1999; Kaplan, 1996; Winterhalder

515 and Leslie, 2002; Smith et al., 2010; Gurven et al., 2010; Borgerhoff Mulder et al., 2009; Snopkowski and Kaplan,
 516 2014). By intermarrying with the Filipino lowlanders and reducing fertility, the acculturating CA could be building
 517 more economic and socio-relational security (Headland and Headland, 1998), and thus increasing the likelihood of
 518 long-term lineage survival. Confirmation of this possibility would require more in-depth ethno-demographic study
 519 of the acculturating CA. We note that such a context would serve to increase the value of lowered fertility to a
 520 greater extent than that assumed in our analysis. Our results are grounded on what we believe is a more conservative
 521 assumption that the value function is based only on offspring recruitment, and we still find evidence in support of the
 522 VCH.

523 4.4. Fertility Change, Variance Compensation, and the Agta Demographic Transition

524 The general situation of the Agta—rife with exploitation and dispossession of traditional resources—suggests that
 525 lowered fertility in the CA may be adaptive in the way argued by Rogers (1995) and Boone and Kessler (1999). As
 526 lineage survival becomes best ensured by producing high-quality daughters who can marry hypergynously, selection
 527 can favor lowered total reproduction (Winterhalder and Leslie, 2002; Leslie and Winterhalder, 2002), especially in
 528 contexts where population dynamics are marked by severe shocks and increased investment per offspring can help to
 529 shift a lineage out of an ecological context that would lead to extirpation (Boone and Kessler, 1999). Our successful
 530 empirical test of the VCH as an explanation for demographic transition of course does not exclude the possibility that
 531 other adaptive (Kaplan, 1996; Kaplan et al., 1995) and non-adaptive (Richerson and Boyd, 2008; Richerson et al.,
 532 2015; Pérusse, 1993; Goodman et al., 2012; Colleran et al., 2014) theories for demographic transition might also be
 533 consistent with the data.

534 Variation in behavioral and life history strategies can be strongly affected by the local political economy (Nettle,
 535 2010; Ross and Winterhalder, 2015). Our discussion has focused on exploring specific HBE models that may explain
 536 variability in Agta demographic outcomes, but we cannot conclude without acknowledging a more fundamental result
 537 evident in our analyses of Agta demography in the late 20th century: the incursion of settlers and extractive industries
 538 into Agta territory and the ensuing expropriation of Agta homeland and natural resources have been disastrous for the
 539 welfare of the Agta people (Headland et al., 2011).

540 4.5. The Value of Bayesian Methods in Twenty-First Century Anthropology

541 Finally, we have demonstrated how Bayesian model specification allows researchers to create custom statistical
 542 tools that account for uncertainty in measurement of covariates and outcomes, missing data, and censored variables
 543 like recruited offspring. Demographic and anthropological data on foraging and other subsistence populations, like
 544 data on archaeological sites (Winterhalder et al., 2010), are often limited in sample size, highly localized and poten-
 545 tially unique, and difficult and costly to gather. As is evident in the ADD, collection of such data requires years or
 546 even decades of detailed anthropological fieldwork. Statistical tools like Stan (Stan Development Team, 2013b) are
 547 both user friendly and have the computational power to allow us to make complete use of this painstakingly collected
 548 information despite its imperfections.

549 5. Acknowledgments

550 We would like to thank Mark Grote and Curtis Atkisson for suggestions through all phases of research design,
 551 analysis, and statistical modeling. We thank Sheryl Gerety for help with final editing. CR designed and conducted
 552 the statistical analysis; CR, MBM and BW conceptualized and wrote the paper; JH and TH collected ADD data and
 553 provided ethnographic expertise; RU constructed the ADD.

554 References

- 555 Bailey, R. C. (1988). The significance of hypergyny for understanding subsistence behavior among contemporary hunters and gatherers. *Diet and*
 556 *Subsistence: Current Archaeological Perspectives*, pages 57–65.
 557 Bailey, R. C. and DeVore, I. (1989). Research on the Efe and Lese populations of the Ituri Forest, Zaire. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*,
 558 78(4):459–471.
 559 Bentley, G. R., Goldberg, T., and Jasieńska, G. (1993). The fertility of agricultural and non-agricultural traditional societies. *Population Studies*,
 560 47(2):269–281.

- 561 Berezkei, T. and Dunbar, R. I. (1997). Female-biased reproductive strategies in a Hungarian Gypsy population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*
 562 *Series B: Biological Sciences*, 264(1378):17–22.
- 563 Boone, J. L. and Kessler, K. L. (1999). More status or more children? social status, fertility reduction, and long-term fitness. *Evolution and Human*
 564 *Behavior*, 20(4):257–277.
- 565 Borgerhoff Mulder, M. (1998). Brothers and sisters: How sibling interactions affect optimal parental allocations. *Human Nature*, 9(2):119–161.
- 566 Borgerhoff Mulder, M. (2000). Optimizing offspring: the quantity–quality tradeoff in agropastoral Kipsigis. *Evolution and Human Behavior*,
 567 21(6):391–410.
- 568 Borgerhoff Mulder, M., Bowles, S., Hertz, T., Bell, A., Beise, J., Clark, G., Fazzio, I., Gurven, M., Hill, K., Hooper, P. L., et al. (2009). Intergener-
 569 ational wealth transmission and the dynamics of inequality in small-scale societies. *Science*, 326(5953):682–688.
- 570 Boserup, E. (2007). *Woman's Role in Economic Development*. Earthscan.
- 571 Burton, M. L. and White, D. R. (1984). Sexual division of labor in agriculture. *American Anthropologist*, 86(3):568–583.
- 572 Campbell, K. L. and Wood, J. W. (1988). Fertility in traditional societies. *Natural Human Fertility: Social and Biological Determinants*, pages
 573 39–69.
- 574 Colleran, H., Jasienska, G., Nenko, I., Galbarczyk, A., and Mace, R. (2014). Community-level education accelerates the cultural evolution of
 575 fertility decline. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281(1779):20132732.
- 576 Cronk, L. (1989). Low socioeconomic status and female-biased parental investment: The Mukogodo example. *American Anthropologist*,
 577 91(2):414–429.
- 578 Cronk, L. (1991). Preferential parental investment in daughters over sons. *Human Nature*, 2(4):387–417.
- 579 Dellaportas, P. and Smith, A. F. (1993). Bayesian inference for generalized linear and proportional hazards models via Gibbs sampling. *Applied*
 580 *Statistics*, 42:443–443.
- 581 Dewey, K. G. and Cohen, R. J. (2007). Does birth spacing affect maternal or child nutritional status? a systematic literature review. *Maternal &*
 582 *Child Nutrition*, 3(3):151–173.
- 583 Early, J. D. and Headland, T. N. (1998). *Population Dynamics of a Philippine Rain Forest People: The San Ildefonso Agta*. University Press of
 584 Florida.
- 585 Gelman, A., Carlin, J. B., Stern, H. S., Dunson, D. B., Vehtari, A., and Rubin, D. B. (2013). *Bayesian Data Analysis*. CRC press.
- 586 Gillespie, D. O., Russell, A. F., and Lummaa, V. (2008). When fecundity does not equal fitness: evidence of an offspring quantity versus quality
 587 trade-off in pre-industrial humans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Series B: Biological Sciences*, 275(1635):713–722.
- 588 Goodman, A., Koupil, I., and Lawson, D. W. (2012). Low fertility increases descendant socioeconomic position but reduces long-term fitness in a
 589 modern post-industrial society. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279(1746):4342–4351.
- 590 Gurven, M., Borgerhoff Mulder, M., Hooper, P. L., Kaplan, H., Quinlan, R., Sear, R., Schniter, E., Von Rueden, C., Bowles, S., Hertz, T., et al.
 591 (2010). Domestication alone does not lead to inequality. *Current Anthropology*, 51(1):49–64.
- 592 Gurven, M. and Kaplan, H. (2007). Longevity among hunter-gatherers: A cross-cultural examination. *Population and Development Review*,
 593 33(2):321–365.
- 594 Harpending, H. and Rogers, A. (1990). Fitness in stratified societies. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 11(6):497–509.
- 595 Headland, T., Headland, J., and Uehara, R. (2011). Agta Demographic Database: Chronicle of a hunter-gatherer community in transition.
- 596 Headland, T. N. (1984). Agta Negritos of the Philippines. *Cultural Survival Quarterly*, 8(3):29–33.
- 597 Headland, T. N. (1988). Ecosystemic change in a Philippine tropical rainforest and its effect on a Negrito foraging society. *Tropical Ecology*,
 598 29(2):121–135.
- 599 Headland, T. N. (2002). *Why southeast Asian Negritos are a disappearing people: A case study of the Agta of eastern Luzon, Philippines*, pages
 600 25–40. SIL International and International Museum of Cultures, Dallas, TX.
- 601 Headland, T. N. (2004). Basketballs for bows & arrows: Deforestation and Agta culture. *Cultural Survival Quarterly*, 28(2):41–45.
- 602 Headland, T. N. and Headland, J. D. (1998). Hypergyny: The outmarriages of Agta women and the future of Philippine Negrito post-foraging
 603 populations. In *97th Annual Meeting of the American Anthropological Association*, pages 2–6.
- 604 Hewlett, B. S. (1993). *Intimate Fathers: The Nature and Context of Aka Pygmy Paternal Infant Care*. University of Michigan Press.
- 605 Hill, K. R. and Hurtado, A. M. (1996). *Ache Life History: The Ecology and Demography of a Foraging People*. Transaction Publishers.
- 606 Hoffman, M. D. and Gelman, A. (2014). The No-U-Turn Sampler: Adaptively setting path lengths in Hamiltonian Monte Carlo. *Journal of*
 607 *Machine Learning Research*, 15:1351–1381.
- 608 Howell, N. (2011). Review of Thomas N. Headland, Janet D. Headland, and Ray T. Uehara's Agta Demographic Database: Chronicle of a
 609 Hunter-gatherer Community in Transition (Dallas: SIL International, 2011). *Human Nature*, 22(4):444–446.
- 610 Hrdy, S. B. and Judge, D. S. (1993). Darwin and the puzzle of primogeniture. *Human Nature*, 4(1):1–45.
- 611 Ibrahim, J. G., Chen, M.-H., and Sinha, D. (2005). *Bayesian Survival Analysis*. Wiley Online Library.
- 612 Jones, J. H. and Bird, R. B. (2014). The marginal valuation of fertility. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 35(1):65–71.
- 613 Kaplan, H. (1996). A theory of fertility and parental investment in traditional and modern human societies. *American journal of Physical*
 614 *Anthropology*, 101(S23):91–135.
- 615 Kaplan, H. S., Lancaster, J. B., Johnson, S. E., and Bock, J. A. (1995). Does observed fertility maximize fitness among New Mexican men? *Human*
 616 *Nature*, 6(4):325–360.
- 617 Kramer, K. and Boone, J. (2002). Why intensive agriculturalists have higher fertility: A household energy budget approach. *Current Anthropology*,
 618 43(3):511–517.
- 619 Kramer, K. L. and Ellison, P. T. (2010). Pooled energy budgets: Resituating human energy-allocation trade-offs. *Evolutionary Anthropology:*
 620 *Issues, News, and Reviews*, 19(4):136–147.
- 621 Lack, D. (1947). The significance of clutch-size. *Ibis*, 89(2):302–352.
- 622 Lawson, D. W., Alvergne, A., and Gibson, M. A. (2012). The life-history trade-off between fertility and child survival. *Proceedings of the Royal*
 623 *Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279(1748):4755–4764.
- 624 Lawson, D. W. and Mace, R. (2011). Parental investment and the optimization of human family size. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
 625 *Society B: Biological Sciences*, 366(1563):333–343.

- 626 Lawson, D. W., Makoli, A., and Goodman, A. (2013). Sibling configuration predicts individual and descendant socioeconomic success in a modern
627 post-industrial society. *PLoS ONE*, 8(9):e73698.
- 628 Leslie, P. and Winterhalder, B. (2002). Demographic consequences of unpredictability in fertility outcomes. *American Journal of Human Biology*,
629 14(2):168–183.
- 630 Levins, R. (1966). The strategy of model building in population biology. *American Scientist*, 54(4):421–431.
- 631 Mace, R. (1996). Biased parental investment and reproductive success in Gabbra pastoralists. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 38(2):75–81.
- 632 Mace, R. (2013). Social science: The cost of children. *Nature*, 499(7456):32–33.
- 633 McElreath, R. (2015). *Statistical Rethinking: A Bayesian Course with R Examples*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- 634 Meij, J., Van Bodegom, D., Ziem, J., Amankwa, J., Polderman, A., Kirkwood, T., De Craen, A., Zwaan, B., and Westendorp, R. (2009). Quality–
635 quantity trade-off of human offspring under adverse environmental conditions. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 22(5):1014–1023.
- 636 Moorad, J. A., Promislow, D. E., Smith, K. R., and Wade, M. J. (2011). Mating system change reduces the strength of sexual selection in an
637 American frontier population of the 19th century. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 32(2):147–155.
- 638 Nettle, D. (2010). Dying young and living fast: Variation in life history across English neighborhoods. *Behavioral Ecology*, 21(2):387–395.
- 639 Peltola, T., Havulinna, A. S., Salomaa, V., and Vehtari, A. (2014). Hierarchical Bayesian survival analysis and projective covariate selection in
640 cardiovascular event risk prediction. In *Proceedings of the Eleventh UAI Bayesian Modeling Applications Workshop*, volume 1218, pages 79–88.
- 641 Penn, D. J. and Smith, K. R. (2007). Differential fitness costs of reproduction between the sexes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
642 104(2):553–558.
- 643 Pennington, R. and Harpending, H. (1988). Fitness and fertility among Kalahari !Kung. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 77(3):303–
644 319.
- 645 Pérusse, D. (1993). Cultural and reproductive success in industrial societies: Testing the relationship at the proximate and ultimate levels. *Behav-
646 ior and Brain Sciences*, 16(02):267–283.
- 647 Quinlan, R. J. (2007). Human parental effort and environmental risk. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 274(1606):121–125.
- 648 Richerson, P., Baldini, R., Bell, A., Demps, K., Frost, K., Hillis, V., Mathew, S., Newton, E., Narr, N., Newson, L., et al. (2015). Cultural group
649 selection plays an essential role in explaining human cooperation: A sketch of the evidence. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, pages 1–71.
- 650 Richerson, P. J. and Boyd, R. (2008). *Not by Genes Alone: How Culture Transformed Human Evolution*. University of Chicago Press.
- 651 Rogers, A. R. (1995). For love or money: the evolution of reproductive and material motivations. In Dunbar, R. I., editor, *Human Reproductive
652 Decisions*, pages 76–95.
- 653 Ross, C. T. and Winterhalder, B. (2015). A hierarchical bayesian analysis of parasite prevalence and sociocultural outcomes: The role of structural
654 racism and sanitation infrastructure. *American Journal of Human Biology*.
- 655 Sear, R. (2007). The impact of reproduction on Gambian women: does controlling for phenotypic quality reveal costs of reproduction? *American
656 Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 132(4):632–641.
- 657 Sellen, D. W. and Mace, R. (1997). Fertility and mode of subsistence: A phylogenetic analysis. *Current Anthropology*, 38(5):878–889.
- 658 Smith, E. A., Hill, K., Marlowe, F., Nolin, D., Wiessner, P., Gurven, M., Bowles, S., Borgerhoff Mulder, M., Hertz, T., and Bell, A. (2010). Wealth
659 transmission and inequality among hunter-gatherers. *Current Anthropology*, 51(1):19.
- 660 Snopkowski, K. and Kaplan, H. (2014). A synthetic biosocial model of fertility transition: testing the relative contribution of embodied capital
661 theory, changing cultural norms, and women’s labor force participation. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 154(3):322–333.
- 662 Spencer, P. (1980). Polygyny as a measure of social differentiation in Africa. In Mitchell, J., editor, *Numerical Techniques in Social Anthropology*.
663 Philadelphia: Institute for the Study of Human Issues.
- 664 Stan Development Team (2013a). Stan: A C++ Library for Probability and Sampling, Version 2.0.
- 665 Stan Development Team (2013b). *Stan Modeling Language User’s Guide and Reference Manual, Version 2.0*.
- 666 Strassmann, B. I. and Gillespie, B. (2002). Life–history theory, fertility and reproductive success in humans. *Proceedings of the Royal Society
667 Series B: Biological Sciences*, 269(1491):553–562.
- 668 Trivers, R. L. and Willard, D. E. (1973). Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of offspring. *Science*, 179(4068):90–92.
- 669 Walker, R., Gurven, M., Hill, K., Migliano, A., Chagnon, N., De Souza, R., Djurovic, G., Hames, R., Hurtado, A., Kaplan, H., et al. (2006). Growth
670 rates and life histories in twenty-two small-scale societies. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 18(3):295.
- 671 Walker, R. S., Gurven, M., Burger, O., and Hamilton, M. J. (2008). The trade-off between number and size of offspring in humans and other
672 primates. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Series B: Biological Sciences*, 275(1636):827–834.
- 673 Williams, G. C. (1966). Natural selection, the costs of reproduction, and a refinement of Lack’s principle. *American Naturalist*, 100(916):687–690.
- 674 Winterhalder, B., Kennett, D. J., Grote, M. N., and Bartruff, J. (2010). Ideal free settlement of California’s northern Channel Islands. *Journal of
675 Anthropological Archaeology*, 29(4):469–490.
- 676 Winterhalder, B. and Leslie, P. (2002). Risk-sensitive fertility: the variance compensation hypothesis. *Evolution and Human Behavior*, 23(1):59–82.
- 677 Winterhalder, B., Puleston, C., and Ross, C. (2015). Production risk, inter-annual food storage by households and population-level consequences
678 in seasonal prehistoric agrarian societies. *Environmental Archaeology*, 20(4):337–348.
- 679 Winterhalder, B. and Smith, E. A. (2000). Analyzing adaptive strategies: Human behavioral ecology at twenty-five. *Evolutionary Anthropology
680 Issues News and Reviews*, 9(2):51–72.
- 681 Wood, J. W. (1994). *Dynamics of Human Reproduction: Biology, Biometry, Demography*. Transaction Publishers.
- 682 Zietsch, B. P., Kuja-Halkola, R., Walum, H., and Verweij, K. J. (2014). Perfect genetic correlation between number of offspring and grandoffspring
683 in an industrialized human population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(3):1032–1036.

684 **List of Figures**

685 **1 Location of the Agta and the Structuring of Agta Data:** Frame 1(a) location of the Casiguran mu-
686 nicipality and the San Ildefonso Peninsula. Frame 1(b) the main contrasts in our analytic structure
687 and data. We compare the life histories and demography of Agta in geographically separated sub-
688 populations (vertical axis), clustered by temporal phase (horizontal axis). Subsistence strategies and
689 socio-ecological context vary across these geographic and temporal clusters. 20

690 **2 Mortality in the Agta:** Frame 2(a) cumulative probability of death in age interval (0,1). Frame 2(b)
691 cumulative probability of death in age interval (0,15). Frame 2(c) cumulative probability of death
692 in age interval (0,45). Frame 2(d) probability of death in age interval (1,15). These plots depict the
693 mortality estimates provided in Table 1. SIA and CA indicate the geographic subpopulation. P1 and
694 P2 indicate temporal phase. F and M indicate female and male. FB and LB indicate first-born and
695 later-born, respectively. We note that infant mortality in the phase 1 CA might be underestimated. 21

696 **3 Age-Specific Fertility in the Agta:** Results of Gamma-Poisson regression modeling of reported preg-
697 nancies on years at risk for pregnancy, by location and phase, using the parameters from Table 2.
698 Frame 3(a) phase 1 fertility in the SIA. Frame 3(b) phase 2 fertility in the SIA. Frames 3(c) and 3(d)
699 are the corresponding plots for the CA. The black points are (jittered) observed data; the dark red
700 line is the maximum *a posteriori* estimate of the regression line, the shaded red area is the central
701 ninety-five percent posterior credibility interval of the model of the mean, and the light blue shaded
702 area is the central ninety-five percent posterior prediction interval. 22

703 **4 The Quantity-Quality Trade-Off in the Agta:** Results of Gamma-Poisson regression modeling of
704 recruited offspring on number of reported pregnancies by location and phase, using the parameter
705 estimates contained in Table 3. Frame 4(a) phase 1 quantity-quality trade-offs in the SIA. Frame 4(b)
706 phase 2 quantity-quality trade-offs in the SIA. Frames 4(c) and 4(d) are the corresponding plots for
707 the CA. The diagonal black lines represent direct one-to-one proportionality of recruited offspring
708 and reported pregnancies (no offspring mortality before recruitment); the black points are (jittered)
709 observed data. The vertical grey bars are (jittered) censored data. The dark red line is the maximum *a*
710 *posteriori* estimate of the regression line, the shaded red area is the central ninety-five percent posterior
711 credibility interval of the model of the mean, and the light blue shaded area is the central ninety-five
712 percent posterior prediction interval. 23

713 **5 The Effects of Stochastic Outcome Variance and Elevation of the Value Function on Fertility:**
714 Frames 5(a) and 5(b) plot the kernel density estimates of the variance of the residuals from the
715 quantity-quality trade-off models in the SIA (green) and CA (orange), for phase 1 and phase 2, re-
716 spectively. The area of the central ninety-five percent of each distribution is delineated by the shaded
717 regions. There is reduced variance in the residuals from the quantity-quality trade-off model in the
718 CA compared to the SIA, regardless of phase. Frames 5(c) and 5(d) plot the estimated value func-
719 tions (and PCI95s) linking pregnancies to recruited offspring in the SIA (green) and CA (orange), for
720 phase 1 and phase 2, respectively. The diagonal black line represents direct proportionality between
721 pregnancies and recruited offspring. The horizontal black line represents a possible target number of
722 recruited offspring (=4). To calculate the number of pregnancies needed to reach this target on aver-
723 age, we move down vertically from the intersection of the the value function and the target outcome.
724 The solid vertical bars represent the estimated number of pregnancies needed to reach target offspring
725 recruitment (see discussion in text), and the dashed vertical bars are PCI95s. 24

726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755

6 Variance Compensation and Demographic Transition in the Agta: Frames 6(a) and 6(b) plot the number of pregnancies (X-intercepts the of vertical blue bars) needed to meet risk-sensitive target outcomes of recruited offspring (black contours for target recruited offspring = {1, 2, 3, ...}), conditional on the empirical level of stochastic probability of death before recruitment in the subpopulation (horizontal red bar), and the subpopulation-specific value function of fertility in terms of recruited offspring estimated in the quantity-quality trade-off model. Read the figure this way: imagine that a woman in the SIA phase 1—Frame 6(a)—has a target of 3 recruited offspring, then if stochastic mortality were zero (i.e. the red bar were moved down to 0 on the Y-axis), she would need 5 pregnancies to reach such an outcome given value function linking pregnancies to recruited offspring in the SIA phase 1, since the contour for recruited offspring=3 intersects the X-axis at the value of 5 pregnancies. Now, assume that stochastic mortality increases, such that the red bar is set to some empirical level of stochastic mortality risk, 0.33 in this example. In this case, the woman now must compensate by increasing fertility to offset for offspring lost due to stochastic mortality; specifically, she now needs about 7.6 pregnancies on average to meet her target goal of 3 recruited offspring, as indicated by the intersection of the vertical blue bar and the target contour. Thus, a woman must increase fertility by 2.6 pregnancies on average to compensate for the effects of stochastic mortality, even though her expected offspring loss due to stochastic mortality is only 1 (3 target offspring times the stochastic mortality risk of 0.33); the additional 1.6 pregnancies are variance compensation. In these figures, we hypothetically assume that the level of stochastic mortality in a given subpopulation can be described by the cumulative probability of death conditional on surviving to the age of 1 year (0.33 for the SIA and 0.15 for the CA, in phase 1; these are approximately the mean values of CPD15|S1 in Table 1), and we also hypothetically assume that the mortality captured by the value function is due purely to infant mortality. These are not necessarily empirically-supported assumptions, but they allow us to demonstrate how mortality, fertility, and the quantity-quality trade-off interact in a unified model. Disentangling these effects might be empirically challenging, but we can still hope to gain an understanding of the system dynamics using the simple assumptions we make here. We only plot phase 1 outcomes here, but include the results from both phase 1 and 2 in Table 4. See Supplementary Model Code to produce plots for phase 2. Table 4 provides the numerical results of our model, and partitions excess fertility relative to a target number of recruited offspring into mean and variance compensation. See Table 4 for further discussion.

25

Figure 1. **Location of the Agta and the Structuring of Agta Data:** Frame 1(a) location of the Casiguran municipality and the San Ildefonso Peninsula. Frame 1(b) the main contrasts in our analytic structure and data. We compare the life histories and demography of Agta in geographically separated subpopulations (vertical axis), clustered by temporal phase (horizontal axis). Subsistence strategies and socio-ecological context vary across these geographic and temporal clusters.




Figure 2. **Mortality in the Agta:** Frame 2(a) cumulative probability of death in age interval (0,1). Frame 2(b) cumulative probability of death in age interval (0,15). Frame 2(c) cumulative probability of death in age interval (0,45). Frame 2(d) probability of death in age interval (1,15). These plots depict the mortality estimates provided in Table 1. SIA and CA indicate the geographic subpopulation. P1 and P2 indicate temporal phase. F and M indicate female and male. FB and LB indicate first-born and later-born, respectively. We note that infant mortality in the phase 1 CA might be underestimated.




Figure 3. **Age-Specific Fertility in the Agta:** Results of Gamma-Poisson regression modeling of reported pregnancies on years at risk for pregnancy, by location and phase, using the parameters from Table 2. Frame 3(a) phase 1 fertility in the SIA. Frame 3(b) phase 2 fertility in the SIA. Frames 3(c) and 3(d) are the corresponding plots for the CA. The black points are (jittered) observed data; the dark red line is the maximum *a posteriori* estimate of the regression line, the shaded red area is the central ninety-five percent posterior credibility interval of the model of the mean, and the light blue shaded area is the central ninety-five percent posterior prediction interval.







Figure 4. **The Quantity-Quality Trade-Off in the Agta:** Results of Gamma-Poisson regression modeling of recruited offspring on number of reported pregnancies by location and phase, using the parameter estimates contained in Table 3. Frame 4(a) phase 1 quantity-quality trade-offs in the SIA. Frame 4(b) phase 2 quantity-quality trade-offs in the SIA. Frames 4(c) and 4(d) are the corresponding plots for the CA. The diagonal black lines represent direct one-to-one proportionality of recruited offspring and reported pregnancies (no offspring mortality before recruitment); the black points are (jittered) observed data. The vertical grey bars are (jittered) censored data. The dark red line is the maximum *a posteriori* estimate of the regression line, the shaded red area is the central ninety-five percent posterior credibility interval of the model of the mean, and the light blue shaded area is the central ninety-five percent posterior prediction interval.




(a) SIA – Phase 1



(b) SIA – Phase 2




(c) CA – Phase 1




(d) CA – Phase 2


Figure 5. **The Effects of Stochastic Outcome Variance and Elevation of the Value Function on Fertility:** Frames 5(a) and 5(b) plot the kernel density estimates of the variance of the residuals from the quantity-quality trade-off models in the SIA (green) and CA (orange), for phase 1 and phase 2, respectively. The area of the central ninety-five percent of each distribution is delineated by the shaded regions. There is reduced variance in the residuals from the quantity-quality trade-off model in the CA compared to the SIA, regardless of phase. Frames 5(c) and 5(d) plot the estimated value functions (and PCI95s) linking pregnancies to recruited offspring in the SIA (green) and CA (orange), for phase 1 and phase 2, respectively. The diagonal black line represents direct proportionality between pregnancies and recruited offspring. The horizontal black line represents a possible target number of recruited offspring (=4). To calculate the number of pregnancies needed to reach this target on average, we move down vertically from the intersection of the value function and the target outcome. The solid vertical bars represent the estimated number of pregnancies needed to reach target offspring recruitment (see discussion in text), and the dashed vertical bars are PCI95s.




(a) Kernel density estimates of the variance of the residuals from the quantity-quality trade-off model in the SIA (green) and CA (orange), phase 1.



(b) Kernel density estimates of the variance of the residuals from the quantity-quality trade-off model in the SIA (green) and CA (orange), phase 2.




(c) Estimated value functions (and ninety-five percent PCIs) linking pregnancies to recruited offspring in the SIA (green) and CA (orange), phase 1.




(d) Estimated value functions (and ninety-five percent PCIs) linking pregnancies to recruited offspring in the SIA (green) and CA (orange), phase 2.

Figure 6. **Variance Compensation and Demographic Transition in the Agta:** Frames 6(a) and 6(b) plot the number of pregnancies (X-intercepts the of vertical blue bars) needed to meet risk-sensitive target outcomes of recruited offspring (black contours for target recruited offspring = {1, 2, 3, ...}), conditional on the empirical level of stochastic probability of death before recruitment in the subpopulation (horizontal red bar), and the subpopulation-specific value function of fertility in terms of recruited offspring estimated in the quantity-quality trade-off model. Read the figure this way: imagine that a woman in the SIA phase 1—Frame 6(a)—has a target of 3 recruited offspring, then if stochastic mortality were zero (i.e. the red bar were moved down to 0 on the Y-axis), she would need 5 pregnancies to reach such an outcome given value function linking pregnancies to recruited offspring in the SIA phase 1, since the contour for recruited offspring=3 intersects the X-axis at the value of 5 pregnancies. Now, assume that stochastic mortality increases, such that the red bar is set to some empirical level of stochastic mortality risk, 0.33 in this example. In this case, the woman now must compensate by increasing fertility to offset for offspring lost due to stochastic mortality; specifically, she now needs about 7.6 pregnancies on average to meet her target goal of 3 recruited offspring, as indicated by the intersection of the vertical blue bar and the target contour. Thus, a woman must increase fertility by 2.6 pregnancies on average to compensate for the effects of stochastic mortality, even though her expected offspring loss due to stochastic mortality is only 1 (3 target offspring times the stochastic mortality risk of 0.33); the additional 1.6 pregnancies are variance compensation. In these figures, we hypothetically assume that the level of stochastic mortality in a given subpopulation can be described by the cumulative probability of death conditional on surviving to the age of 1 year (0.33 for the SIA and 0.15 for the CA, in phase 1; these are approximately the mean values of CPD15|S1 in Table 1), and we also hypothetically assume that the mortality captured by the value function is due purely to infant mortality. These are not necessarily empirically-supported assumptions, but they allow us to demonstrate how mortality, fertility, and the quantity-quality trade-off interact in a unified model. Disentangling these effects might be empirically challenging, but we can still hope to gain an understanding of the system dynamics using the simple assumptions we make here. We only plot phase 1 outcomes here, but include the results from both phase 1 and 2 in Table 4. See Supplementary Model Code to produce plots for phase 2. Table 4 provides the numerical results of our model, and partitions excess fertility relative to a target number of recruited offspring into mean and variance compensation. See Table 4 for further discussion.



(a) Full VCH analysis, SIA phase 1.



(b) Full VCH analysis, CA phase 1.

756 **List of Tables**

757 1 **Mortality in the Agta:** Estimates of the cumulative probability of death, *CPD*, in the time periods
758 from birth to 1, 15, and 45 years, and the *CPD* to age 15 conditional on surviving to age 1 (*CPD15|S1*),
759 as plotted in Figure 2. Each row presents estimates for the specified subpopulation/location (*SIA* or
760 *CA*); phase, *P*, (1 or 2); sex (male or female); and, birth-order, *BO*, (first-born or later-born). The
761 estimates are posterior medians, with posterior *PCI95s* in parentheses. Note that the estimated infant
762 mortality in *CA* phase 1 is suspiciously low and might reflect dropout from the sample that could not
763 be accounted for in the model. See Supplementary Materials Section 1.1 for plots of the empirical
764 survival functions and the model’s posterior predictions of these functions. 28

765 2 **Age-Specific Fertility Regression Estimates:** Key parameter estimates from the age-specific fertility
766 model plotted in Figure 3. The value of the parameter labeled ζ_2 across locations and phases is
767 indicative of fertility as a function of years at risk for pregnancy (a.k.a. exposure). We note elevated
768 fertility in the *SIA* relative to the *CA* in both phases. Further, we note a slight increase in *SIA* fertility
769 from phase 1 to phase 2, while fertility remains roughly constant in the *CA* across phases. 29

770 3 **Quantity-Quality Trade-Off Regression Estimates:** Key parameter estimates of the quantity-quality
771 trade-off model plotted in Figure 4. The value of the parameter labeled θ_2 across locations and phases
772 is indicative of offspring recruitment as a function of reported pregnancies. We note evidence of the
773 quantity-quality trade-off in the *SIA* and *CA*, during phase 1, as the θ_2 parameter in these models falls
774 significantly short of 1, the value which indicates proportionality between reported pregnancies and
775 recruited offspring. We fail to find robust evidence of the quantity-quality trade-off in the *SIA* or *CA*
776 during phase 2. 30

777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808

4 Variance Compensation and Demographic Transition in the Agta: We present the total number of pregnancies needed to reach a target outcome of recruited offspring for each location (Loc) and phase (P) assuming zero probability of stochastic mortality before recruitment. We then present the same estimates when the probability of stochastic mortality before recruitment is set to the empirical levels estimated using the survival analysis model (CPD15|S1, see Table 1). The offset at zero stochastic mortality is the excess number of births needed on average to yield a target number of recruited offspring given the value function linking pregnancies to expected recruited offspring in the hypothetical absence of stochastic mortality. In the model, we assume that all fertility compensation occurring when stochastic mortality is set to zero arises from the predictable mortality described by the quantity-quality trade-off value function. Thus, when there is no stochastic mortality, there is no variance compensation. Next, we calculate how many additional births are required to offset the empirical levels of stochastic mortality relative to the mean offset that would be required at zero risk of stochastic mortality. We partition this quantity into that which is needed to offset the mean effects of stochastic mortality, and that which is needed to offset the effect of variance in stochastic mortality given an asymmetrical value function; we call this quantity “variance compensation.” As an example, in the SIA phase 1, to reach a risk-sensitive target of 3 recruited offspring (at zero stochastic mortality) one would need 5 pregnancies on average, given the shape of the quantity-quality trade-off value function. This implies a mean offset of 2 additional pregnancies to compensate for the reduced value of pregnancies relative to recruited offspring. Next, if we set stochastic mortality before recruitment equal to the empirical levels estimated in the survival analysis model, we find that we need 7.6 pregnancies to reach a risk-sensitive target of 3 recruited offspring. This implies that an additional 2.6 pregnancies are needed to offset stochastic mortality risks. If we were to hypothetically assume that all mortality under age 1 is predictable and a result of the quantity-quality trade-off value function, and that all mortality between the ages of 1 and 15 is stochastic, then we can decompose the additional offset needed to compensate for empirical mortality into mean and variance compensation by subtracting mean compensation—the product of the target number of offspring and the stochastic mortality rate—from the total additional offset. In our example, the offset of 2.6 additional pregnancies can be decomposed into 1 additional pregnancy of mean compensation, and 1.6 additional pregnancies of variance compensation. In both phases, the empirical difference in completed fertility between the SIA and CA is numerically consistent with the relative levels of predicted mean and variance compensation arising from differences in fertility payoffs and mortality regimes between the SIA and CA.

. 31

Table 1. **Mortality in the Agta:** Estimates of the cumulative probability of death, *CPD*, in the time periods from birth to 1, 15, and 45 years, and the CPD to age 15 conditional on surviving to age 1 (CPD15|S1), as plotted in Figure 2. Each row presents estimates for the specified subpopulation/location (SIA or CA); phase, P, (1 or 2); sex (male or female); and, birth-order, BO, (first-born or later-born). The estimates are posterior medians, with posterior PCI95s in parentheses. Note that the estimated infant mortality in CA phase 1 is suspiciously low and might reflect dropout from the sample that could not be accounted for in the model. See Supplementary Materials Section 1.1 for plots of the empirical survival functions and the model's posterior predictions of these functions.

Loc	P	Sex	BO	CPD1	CPD15	CPD45	CPD15 S1
SIA	1	F	FB	0.11 (0.08, 0.15)	0.37 (0.29, 0.45)	0.56 (0.46, 0.66)	0.26 (0.21, 0.31)
SIA	1	F	LB	0.12 (0.1, 0.15)	0.41 (0.36, 0.46)	0.61 (0.55, 0.66)	0.29 (0.26, 0.32)
SIA	1	M	FB	0.18 (0.14, 0.23)	0.54 (0.47, 0.62)	0.75 (0.67, 0.82)	0.37 (0.32, 0.41)
SIA	1	M	LB	0.2 (0.16, 0.24)	0.6 (0.55, 0.64)	0.8 (0.76, 0.83)	0.39 (0.36, 0.42)
SIA	2	F	FB	0.41 (0.3, 0.51)	0.59 (0.46, 0.71)	0.67 (0.53, 0.78)	0.18 (0.15, 0.2)
SIA	2	F	LB	0.3 (0.24, 0.34)	0.45 (0.37, 0.5)	0.52 (0.44, 0.58)	0.15 (0.13, 0.17)
SIA	2	M	FB	0.33 (0.24, 0.44)	0.49 (0.37, 0.62)	0.57 (0.43, 0.7)	0.16 (0.12, 0.19)
SIA	2	M	LB	0.29 (0.25, 0.34)	0.43 (0.38, 0.5)	0.5 (0.44, 0.57)	0.15 (0.13, 0.17)
CA	1	F	FB	< 0.01 (0, 0.01)	0.14 (0.11, 0.19)	0.51 (0.42, 0.59)	0.14 (0.11, 0.18)
CA	1	F	LB	< 0.01 (0, 0.01)	0.17 (0.14, 0.21)	0.58 (0.52, 0.64)	0.17 (0.14, 0.2)
CA	1	M	FB	< 0.01 (0, 0.01)	0.15 (0.11, 0.21)	0.53 (0.44, 0.62)	0.15 (0.11, 0.2)
CA	1	M	LB	< 0.01 (0, 0.01)	0.17 (0.13, 0.2)	0.56 (0.5, 0.62)	0.16 (0.13, 0.2)
CA	2	F	FB	0.09 (0.05, 0.15)	0.16 (0.09, 0.26)	0.21 (0.12, 0.33)	0.08 (0.04, 0.12)
CA	2	F	LB	0.11 (0.07, 0.15)	0.2 (0.15, 0.26)	0.25 (0.19, 0.33)	0.09 (0.07, 0.12)
CA	2	M	FB	0.23 (0.16, 0.32)	0.4 (0.3, 0.52)	0.49 (0.37, 0.62)	0.17 (0.13, 0.22)
CA	2	M	LB	0.16 (0.12, 0.2)	0.29 (0.23, 0.35)	0.36 (0.3, 0.44)	0.13 (0.1, 0.16)

Table 2. **Age-Specific Fertility Regression Estimates:** Key parameter estimates from the age-specific fertility model plotted in Figure 3. The value of the parameter labeled ζ_2 across locations and phases is indicative of fertility as a function of years at risk for pregnancy (a.k.a. exposure). We note elevated fertility in the SIA relative to the CA in both phases. Further, we note a slight increase in SIA fertility from phase 1 to phase 2, while fertility remains roughly constant in the CA across phases.

Loc	Phase	Parameter	Variable	Mean	SE	2.5 PCI	97.5 PCI
SIA	1	ζ_1	Intercept	-0.36	0.24	-0.84	0.10
SIA	1	ζ_2	Exposure	0.58	0.07	0.45	0.72
SIA	2	ζ_1	Intercept	-0.36	0.22	-0.82	0.06
SIA	2	ζ_2	Exposure	0.63	0.07	0.5	0.77
CA	1	ζ_1	Intercept	-0.39	0.25	-0.93	0.09
CA	1	ζ_2	Exposure	0.48	0.07	0.34	0.63
CA	2	ζ_1	Intercept	-0.28	0.24	-0.74	0.22
CA	2	ζ_2	Exposure	0.46	0.08	0.3	0.61

Table 3. **Quantity-Quality Trade-Off Regression Estimates:** Key parameter estimates of the quantity-quality trade-off model plotted in Figure 4. The value of the parameter labeled θ_2 across locations and phases is indicative of offspring recruitment as a function of reported pregnancies. We note evidence of the quantity-quality trade-off in the SIA and CA, during phase 1, as the θ_2 parameter in these models falls significantly short of 1, the value which indicates proportionality between reported pregnancies and recruited offspring. We fail to find robust evidence of the quantity-quality trade-off in the SIA or CA during phase 2.

Loc	Phase	Parameter	Variable	Mean	SE	2.5 PCI	97.5 PCI
SIA	1	θ_1	Intercept	-0.15	0.11	-0.36	0.08
SIA	1	θ_2	Pregnancies	0.80	0.06	0.68	0.91
SIA	2	θ_1	Intercept	-0.59	0.22	-1.09	-0.2
SIA	2	θ_2	Pregnancies	0.85	0.11	0.65	1.12
CA	1	θ_1	Intercept	0.0	0.10	-0.19	0.20
CA	1	θ_2	Pregnancies	0.84	0.06	0.72	0.96
CA	2	θ_1	Intercept	-0.24	0.16	-0.58	0.04
CA	2	θ_2	Pregnancies	0.87	0.1	0.69	1.1

Table 4. Variance Compensation and Demographic Transition in the Agta: We present the total number of pregnancies needed to reach a target outcome of recruited offspring for each location (Loc) and phase (P) assuming zero probability of stochastic mortality before recruitment. We then present the same estimates when the probability of stochastic mortality before recruitment is set to the empirical levels estimated using the survival analysis model (CPD15|S1, see Table 1). The offset at zero stochastic mortality is the excess number of births needed on average to yield a target number of recruited offspring given the value function linking pregnancies to expected recruited offspring in the hypothetical absence of stochastic mortality. In the model, we assume that all fertility compensation occurring when stochastic mortality is set to zero arises from the predictable mortality described by the quantity-quality trade-off value function. Thus, when there is no stochastic mortality, there is no variance compensation. Next, we calculate how many additional births are required to offset the empirical levels of stochastic mortality relative to the mean offset that would be required at zero risk of stochastic mortality. We partition this quantity into that which is needed to offset the mean effects of stochastic mortality, and that which is needed to offset the effect of variance in stochastic mortality given an asymmetrical value function; we call this quantity “variance compensation.” As an example, in the SIA phase 1, to reach a risk-sensitive target of 3 recruited offspring (at zero stochastic mortality) one would need 5 pregnancies on average, given the shape of the quantity-quality trade-off value function. This implies a mean offset of 2 additional pregnancies to compensate for the reduced value of pregnancies relative to recruited offspring. Next, if we set stochastic mortality before recruitment equal to the empirical levels estimated in the survival analysis model, we find that we need 7.6 pregnancies to reach a risk-sensitive target of 3 recruited offspring. This implies that an additional 2.6 pregnancies are needed to offset stochastic mortality risks. If we were to hypothetically assume that all mortality under age 1 is predictable and a result of the quantity-quality trade-off value function, and that all mortality between the ages of 1 and 15 is stochastic, then we can decompose the additional offset needed to compensate for empirical mortality into mean and variance compensation by subtracting mean compensation—the product of the target number of offspring and the stochastic mortality rate—from the total additional offset. In our example, the offset of 2.6 additional pregnancies can be decomposed into 1 additional pregnancy of mean compensation, and 1.6 additional pregnancies of variance compensation. In both phases, the empirical difference in completed fertility between the SIA and CA is numerically consistent with the relative levels of predicted mean and variance compensation arising from differences in fertility payoffs and mortality regimes between the SIA and CA.

Loc	P	Target Number of Recruited Offspring	Total Fertility Needed at Zero Stochastic Mortality	Offset at Zero Stochastic Mortality	Variance Compensation at Zero Stochastic Mortality	Total Fertility Needed at Empirical Mortality	Additional Offset to Compensate for Empirical Mortality	Mean Compensation at Empirical Mortality	Variance Compensation at Empirical Mortality
SIA	1	1	2	1	0	2.5	0.5	0.33	0.17
SIA	1	2	3	1	0	4	1	0.66	0.34
SIA	1	3	5	2	0	7.6	2.6	1	1.6
SIA	1	4	7	3	0	10.9	3.9	1.33	2.57
SIA	2	1	3	2	0	3.2	0.2	0.16	0.04
SIA	2	2	5	3	0	5.4	0.4	0.32	0.08
SIA	2	3	8	5	0	8.6	0.6	0.48	0.12
SIA	2	4	11	7	0	11.8	0.8	0.64	0.16
CA	1	1	1	0	0	1.2	0.2	0.15	0.05
CA	1	2	2	0	0	2.4	0.4	0.3	0.1
CA	1	3	4	1	0	4.6	0.6	0.45	0.15
CA	1	4	5	1	0	5.8	0.8	0.6	0.2
CA	2	1	2	1	0	2.15	0.15	0.12	0.03
CA	2	2	3	1	0	3.25	0.25	0.24	0.01
CA	2	3	5	2	0	5.4	0.4	0.36	0.04
CA	2	4	7	3	0	7.6	0.6	0.48	0.12