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Immune checkpoint blockade therapies constitute one of the major advances in cancer treatment. Despite the long-lasting responses observed in a wide range of tumor types, the majority of patients do not respond or relapse shortly after. In order to increase response rates, we need to better predict patients that would benefit from the treatment as well as identify resistance mechanisms to find novel treatment strategies. However, the interplay between the immune system, the cancer cells and the tumor microenvironment is complex and dynamic, rendering the understanding of resistant mechanisms particularly challenging.

Lack of immune cell infiltration constitutes one of the main mechanisms of primary resistance to checkpoint blockade. The absence of T cells on the tumor margin leaves the therapeutic targeting of immune checkpoints ineffective. Interestingly, cancer cell-intrinsic mechanisms could actively participate in the process of immune evasion and importantly, could be pharmacologically targeted to reverse immune exclusion. The finding of actionable molecules that increases T cell infiltration in the tumor could be used in combination with PD-1 blockade, in order to reverse adaptive immune resistance.

Here, we characterized the tumors of melanoma patients treated with PD-1 blockade. Our analyses further validated lack of immune cell infiltration as one mechanism of resistance. Importantly, we identified PAK4 as a novel and actionable target that is enriched in biopsies with poor immune infiltration and lack of response to PD-1 blockade. We show how genetically and pharmacologically that inhibition of PAK4 increases immune cell infiltration and improves checkpoint blockade therapy in several in vivo mouse models. We further characterized the impact of inhibiting PAK4 expression. The transcriptomic profiles of PAK4 KO tumors revealed the profound effect that has on the tumor microenvironment, particularly in the genes related to the extracellular matrix and blood vessel formation. This work serves as an example of how direct changes in cancer cells impact the tumor microenvironment and influence the anti-tumor immune response. Importantly, this work also provides the scientific rationale for a novel treatment strategy to combine PAK4 inhibitor with checkpoint blockade.
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## Chapter 1:

## Immune checkpoint blockade

Checkpoint blockade therapies induce major responses by releasing the inhibitory mechanisms that control T cell mediated immunity ${ }^{1}$. Immune checkpoints refer to the set of inhibitory pathways that immune cells possess in order to regulate and control the durability of the immune response while maintaining self-tolerance. Among the different immunecheckpoint receptors, antibodies blocking two of them have been approved by the FDA for clinical use: cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) and programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) or its ligand PD-L1.


Figure 1. Checkpoint blockade: mechanism of action. Reprinted from Abril-Rodriguez and Ribas 2017.

CTLA-4 is expressed by T cells and controls T cell activation during early stages at the lymph nodes. CTLA-4 competes with the co-stimulatory receptor CD28 for the binding to ligands CD80 (B7.1) and CD86 (B7.2). Upon MHC-TCR engagement (signal 1), CD28 binds to CD80/86 (signal 2) to expand TCR signaling and T cell activation. This process also triggers the surface expression of CTLA-4 which was previously located in intracellular vesicles in the naive T cell. CTLA-4 presents higher affinity for the CD80/86 ligands than CD28. Therefore, CTLA-4 out competes CD28 in the binding of these ligands and by doing so prevents and controls further proliferation of the initial T-cell response ${ }^{2}$. On the other hand, PD-1 is expressed on activated T cells, B lymphocytes and natural killer cells and constitutes a main
mechanism of tumor immune resistance in peripheral tissues. PD-1 contains a tyrosine-based inhibitory motif (ITIM) together with an immune-receptor tyrosine-based switch motif (ITSM), which is phosphorylated upon binding with the B7-family of ligands PD-L1 (B7-H1 or CD274) or PD-L2 (B7-DC or CD273). Ligand engagement leads to the recruitment of SH2-domains containing tyrosine phosphatase 2 (SHP-2) resulting in the suppression of T cell proliferation and response ${ }^{3}$. The blockade of PD-1 receptor or its ligand PD-L1 with antibodies enhances pre-existent antitumor immune activity providing patients with major and durable immune responses against the tumor.

## 2.- PD-1 blockade mechanism of action: Interferon induced adaptive immune resistance

 Interferons play a major role in mediating antitumor responses and they constitute the basis of adaptive immune resistance through the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction. There are three main types of IFNs: (1) type I which includes IFN- $\alpha$ and IFN- $\beta$, (2) type II IFN- $\gamma$ and (3) type III IFN$\lambda$. All three types of IFNs involve similar mechanisms of action. Binding to its specific receptor activates the associated Janus kinases (JAKs) and results in the recruitment of signal transducers and activators of transcription (STATs). Signal transduction is then completed by translocation of the activated STATs to the nucleus where they bind to specific elements of target promoters to amplify and regulate the expression of critical mediators of the immune response involved in cell proliferation, apoptosis, antigen processing machinery or migration ${ }^{4}$. However, interferons might also lead to the expression of genes that are involved in immunosuppression such as PD-L1 or indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), which can be induced in response to both type I and II IFNs. Although PD-L1 could also be constitutively expressed by activation of different oncogenic pathways ${ }^{5-7}$, interferon induced PD-L1 expression seems to be a major mechanism whereby cancer cells evade T cell attack ${ }^{8}$. In short, antigen specific T cells release IFN- $\gamma$ upon activation of their T cell receptor (TCR) after recognition of cognate antigen presented by major histocompatibility complexes (MHC). Consequent IFN- $\gamma$ engagement to IFNGR1 and IFNGR2 on tumor cells leads to JAK1 and JAK2 activation resulting in STAT1 and STAT3 recruitment and phosphorylation. The complexis then translocated to the nucleus where it binds to the gamma activated sequence (GAS) leading to interferon regulatory factor 1 (IRF1) activation. IRF1 induces PD-L1 expression ${ }^{9}$ which is predominant at the invasive tumor margins where initial T cell/cancer cell interaction occurs and blocks the antitumor response of the pre-existing T cells (Tumeh et al., 2014). This process, termed adaptive immune resistance, allows cancer cells to evade the immune response by expressing PD-L1 which inhibits the initial T cell attack. PD-1 blockade therapies induce responses by inhibiting the adaptive immune resistance.


Figure 2. Interferon-induced adaptive immune resistance. Reprinted from Abril-Rodriguez and Ribas 2017.

## 3.- Tumor cell intrinsic mechanisms of resistance to checkpoint blockade

PD-1 blockade works by reinvigorating the pre-existing T cell anti-tumor response. However, in order to fully understand why tumors are or become resistant to checkpoint blockade, we need to first recapitulate what are the key steps involved in the generation of this pre-existing T cell anti-tumor immune response. In short, as described in the review Oncology Meets

Immunology: The Cancer-Immunity Cycle by Chen and Mellman ${ }^{10}$, there are seven required steps: 1) Release of cancer cell antigens. 2) Uptake of this specific tumor antigens by antigen presentation cells (APCs). 3) Priming and activation of T cells in the lymph node. 4) Trafficking of tumor-specific T cells to the tumor. 5) Infiltration of T cells into the tumors. 6) Recognition of cancer by T cells and 7) killing of cancer cells. Now, one could imagine that defects in any of these critical steps could have an impact on the outcome of checkpoint blockade immunotherapy ${ }^{11}$. Here, we will describe some of the primary or acquired resistance mechanisms that are relevant for this thesis.

## 3.1.- Absence of tumor antigens

Since immune checkpoint blockade therapies reactive T cells that recognize tumor specific antigens, alterations that disrupt the TCR-peptide-HLA axis could despair treatment efficacy. For instance, this could be accomplished through mutations in genes that play a key role in the antigen presentation machinery, such as deletions in TAP or B2M ${ }^{12,13}$. In addition, tumors could have antigens that could be potentially recognized by T cells but develop mechanisms to block HLA expression, and hence, preventing the presentation and recognition of such tumor antigens by T cells ${ }^{14-16}$. Similarly, tumors with low mutational burden might not present tumor antigens that could be recognized by T cells ${ }^{17}$. In this scenario, blocking the PD-1/PDL1 axis would not result in any clinical benefit as there is no pre-existing T cell army to reactivate. Interestingly, it has also been observed that dedifferentiation could also lead to the loss of tumor antigens, such as MART-1 in melanoma ${ }^{18}$. Although this resistance mechanism could be more relevant in adoptive T cell transfer therapies ${ }^{19}$, it highlights the possibility that tumor antigens could be loss in response to inflammatory stimuli which could also impact checkpoint blockade outcome ${ }^{20}$.

## 3.2.- Disruption of the IFN-y axis

The understanding of how PD-L1 expression is regulated highlights the critical role of the IFNY pathway in PD-1 blockade. Hence, mutations or epigenetic silencing in mediators of the IFN-

ү/IFNGR1-IFNGR2/JAK1-JAK2/STAT1-STAT3/IRF1 axis, which results in the loss of sensitivity to IFN-y pathway ${ }^{9,21}$, could lead to the loss of PD-L1 expression, rendering checkpoint blockade ineffective. In addition to PD-L1 up-regulation, IFN- $\gamma$ exhibits a potent anti-proliferative effect on cancer cells together with an increase in the expression of T cell attracting chemokines and antigen-presenting machinery components ${ }^{22}$. Given the scenario where PD-1/PD-L1 engagement is already being blocked, continued exposure of cancer cells to T cell released IFN- $\gamma$ induces a selective pressure that promotes the selection of cancer cells that have acquired defects in the IFN- $\gamma$ pathway. Here, insensitivity to IFN- $\gamma$ functions as an acquired immune-evasion mechanism that allows cancer cells to overcome the IFN- $\gamma$ mediated growth arrest, T cell attraction and the increased antigen-presenting machinery expression ${ }^{23}$.


Figure 3. Acquired resistance to checkpoint blockade by loss of sensitivity to IFN- $\gamma$. Reprinted from Abril-Rodriguez and Ribas 2017.

## 3.3.- Oncogenic signaling pathways in immune evasion and resistance

In the recent years, it has become clearer that tumor intrinsic oncogenic signaling pathways play a key role in the outcome of cancer immunotherapies by actively influencing immune cell infiltration ${ }^{24,25}$. The WNT/ $\beta$-catenin signaling pathway has been extensively associated with lack of T cell infiltration and resistance to PD-1 blockade resistance ${ }^{26-28}$. It has been proposed that active $\beta$-catenin could lead to decreased CCL4 expression, resulting in the absence of CD103 ${ }^{+}$dendritic cells in the tumor and the lack of primed and activated T cells ${ }^{29}$. Other oncogenic signaling pathways include the MAPK pathway which increases VEGF and IL-8 expression, resulting in poor T cell recruitment and function ${ }^{30}$. Also, PTEN loss, which increases PI3K signaling, is associated with decreased T cell recruitment and resistance to PD-1 blockade ${ }^{31}$. In the context of oncogenic KRAS mutant, the loss of LKB1 increases IL-6 production which augments neutrophil recruitment while reducing T cell infiltration ${ }^{32}$. Furthermore, inactivating mutations in p53 have also been associated with poor immune infiltration ${ }^{33}$. These are just few examples of how cancer cells could alter the cancer-immunity cycle. Ideally, these pathways could be targeted pharmacologically to reverse immune resistance and use in combination with checkpoint blockade therapies.

## 4.- Overcoming resistance to PD-1 blockade immunotherapy

Huge efforts are currently underway to discover novel treatment strategies that improve and overcome resistance to PD-1 blockade therapy. Thousands of clinical trials are investigating the potential synergistic effect of new compounds in combination with anti-PD-1 therapy. For instance, as of September 2019, there are 2261 active trials testing combination regimens of PD-1/PD-L1 mAbs with other cancer therapies ${ }^{34}$. Unfortunately, the majority of these trials will not increase the efficacy of checkpoint blockade therapies. The incorporation of robust scientific rationales into the design of clinical trials will be key to improve and accelerate the finding of novel potent and effective treatment strategies. The following chapters will navigate towards this purpose. We characterized the transcriptomic profile of melanoma patients treated with anti-PD-1 to understand and unveil a new mechanism of resistance. Our analyses
identified PAK4 as a novel actionable target that improves PD-1 blockade efficacy and established the scientific foundation to combine a PAK4 inhibitor with PD-1/PD-L1 mAbs.
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Lack of tumor infiltration by immune cells is the main mechanism of primary resistance to programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) blockade therapies for cancer. It has been postulated that cancer cell-intrinsic mechanisms may actively exclude T cells from tumors, suggesting that the finding of actionable molecules that could be inhibited to increase Tcell infiltration may synergize with checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy. Here, we show that p21-activated kinase 4 (PAK4) is enriched in non-responding tumor biopsies with low $\mathbf{T}$ cell and dendritic cell infiltration. In mouse models, genetic deletion of PAK4 increased T cell infiltration and reversed resistance to PD-1 blockade in a CD8 T cell-dependent manner. Furthermore, combination of anti-PD-1 with the PAK4 inhibitor KPT-9274 improved anti-tumor response compared with anti-PD-1 alone. Therefore, high PAK4 expression is correlated with low $T$ cell and dendritic cell infiltration and a lack of response to PD-1 blockade, which could be reversed with PAK4 inhibition.
mmune checkpoint blockade therapies have significantly altered the current landscape of cancer treatment ${ }^{1}$. Programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) blockade induces major and durable antitumor response by releasing the PD-1/programmed death-ligand 1 checkpoint that blocks the effector functions of anti-tumor T cells ${ }^{2}$. However, this approach has limited activity in patients with cancers that lack pre-existing immune cell infiltration, which is the primary mechanism of resistance to PD-1 blockade therapy ${ }^{3-6}$. Exclusion of tumor infiltration by T cells could be mediated by several mechanisms that result in failure to attract or retain antigen-specific T cells in tumors, such as a lack of antigenic mutations, alterations in the antigen processing machinery, loss of human leukocyte antigen expression, and disruption of the interferon (IFN) signaling pathway that is needed to amplify the anti-tumor T cell response ${ }^{7}$. In addition, it has been proposed that cancer cell-intrinsic mechanisms such as oncogenic mitogen-activated protein kinase, phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and WNT signaling pathways may actively exclude T cells from tumors ${ }^{8-11}$. In particular, it has been reported that alterations in the WNT/ $\beta$-catenin signaling pathway are associated with impaired dendritic cell recruitment and immune cell exclusion in melanoma and other tumor types such as colorectal cancer ${ }^{12-14}$. These observations necessitate a clearer understanding of how WNT signaling causes immune evasion, as well as identification of actionable WNT-related targets that can be exploited to reverse T cell exclusion and overcome primary resistance to PD-1 blockade therapy.

To address this issue, we compared the transcriptional landscape of tumor biopsies from patients with advanced melanoma treated with anti-PD-1 immunotherapy. Here, we report on p21-activated kinase 4 (PAK4) as an actionable target that could be inhibited in combination with immune checkpoint blockade therapies to increase immune cell infiltration and overcome primary resistance to these therapies. PAK4 is a kinase known to be involved in tumorigenesis that directly binds and phosphorylates a specific site in $\beta$-catenin to activate WNT signaling ${ }^{15-18}$. Our work shows that: (1) PAK4 expression is enriched in non-responding tumor biopsies with low immune cell infiltration; (2) genetic and pharmacologic PAK4 inhibition improve response to PD-1 blockade in vivo; and (3) this provides a novel therapeutic strategy that may improve the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitor therapies.

## Results

Resistance to PD-1 blockade is associated with lack of immune cell infiltration. To identify drivers of resistance to immunotherapy, we generated transcriptome data from biopsies of 41 patients with advanced melanoma treated with PD-1-blocking antibody. We sequenced a total of 27 baseline and 33 on-treatment biopsies, including 14 non-responding and 13 responding samples (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 1). We removed two samples because CD8A gene expression did not agree with CD8 protein levels measured using immunohistochemistry (IHC) (Supplementary Fig. la-c), and four samples based on their outlier keratinocyte biomarker
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Fig. 1 | Responding biopsies present features of an adaptive immune response, while non-responding biopsies lack sufficient immune cell infiltration. $\mathbf{a}$, Schematic of the analysis of tumor biopsies from patients with metastatic melanoma included in the RNA-Seq studies. $\mathbf{b}$, Heatmap of a CD8 T cell effector signature for on-treatment biopsies (non-responding (NR; red; $n=14$ ) and responding ( $R$; blue; $n=13$ )). $P=1 \times 10^{-4} . \mathbf{c}$, GSEA of on-treatment responding biopsies showing the top signatures for the Gene Ontology gene set. d, Differences in gene expression between non-responding ( $n=14$ ) and responding biopsies $(n=13)$ for CD8A $\left(P=1.45 \times 10^{-5}\right)$, TNF $\left(P=6.04 \times 10^{-4}\right), \operatorname{GZMA}\left(P=6.76 \times 10^{-6}\right)$ and IFNG $\left(P=1.11 \times 10^{-4}\right)$. e, Differences in immune population scores between non-responding and responding on-treatment biopsies ( $n=13$ ), including T cell score ( $P=1.21 \times 10^{-5}$ ), CD8 T cell score $\left(P=2.04 \times 10^{-5}\right)$, cytotoxic lymphocytes score $\left(P=1.14 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ and dendritic cell score $\left(P=1.90 \times 10^{-5}\right)$. From top to bottom, box plots in $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{e}$ define the maximum, third quartile, median, first quartile and minimum values. $P$ values were determined by two-sided Welch's $t$-test ( ${ }^{\star \star \star} P<0.001 ;{ }^{* * * *} P<0.0001$ ).
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gene expression of KRT15 and KRT5, which indicated that these biopsies mostly consisted of keratinocytes and did not have enough melanoma content ${ }^{19}$ (Supplementary Fig. 1d,e). On-treatment biopsies taken from patients with a response to PD-1 blockade showed increased expression of a CD8 T cell effector signature including CXCL9, CXCL10, GZMB, PRF1, GZMA, CD8A, TBX21, IFNG and TNF (Fig. 1b; $P=1 \times 10^{-4}$ ), consistent with previous data ${ }^{2-5}$. Paired $t$-tests with matched samples also confirmed that only biopsies from patients with a clinical response to PD-1 blockade exhibited significant increases in the expression of markers of immune response (Extended Data Fig. 1). We applied gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the Gene Ontology gene sets to demonstrate that, unlike non-responding biopsies, the genes significantly increased in on-treatment responding biopsies were enriched in signatures associated with an adaptive immune response (Fig. 1c). We further identified immune genes that were upregulated in on-treatment responding biopsies relative to on-treatment non-responding biopies. As expected, CD8A, TNF, GZMA and IFNG, among other immune genes, were expressed at higher levels in biopsies from patients who responded to PD-1 blockade therapy (Fig. 1d and Extended Data Fig. 1). To estimate the relative abundances and diversity of the different immune cells present in the tumor biopsies, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq)-based immune cell deconvolution using the microenvironment cell populations counter (MCP-counter) ${ }^{20}$. Responding biopsies were significantly infiltrated with T cells, CD8 T cells, myeloid dendritic cells and natural killer cells compared with non-responding tumor biopsies (Fig. 1e and Extended Data Fig. 1). Altogether, on-treatment biopsies from patients with a response to therapy present the characteristic features of an adaptive immune response, while on-treatment biopsies from patients without a response mostly lack T cell infiltration.

PAK4 expression is enriched in poorly infiltrated tumor samples and constitutes a potential target to improve PD-1 blockade immunotherapies. Because immune cell exclusion was a common factor among non-responding biopsies, we sought to determine tumor-intrinsic drivers of T cell exclusion by comparing immune-infiltrated tumor biopsies with immune-excluded ones. Differential gene expression analysis revealed that only 18 overlapping genes were enriched in biopsies with both a low dendritic cell score and a low T cell score ( $\log _{2}[$ fold change $]>1$ and false discovery rate $<5 \times 10^{-5}$; Supplementary Table 2c). Among these genes, we were interested in studying an actionable gene whose function could be blocked by a drug. PAK 4 stood out among the list of 18 genes as its expression was consistently higher in tumor biopsies with low infiltration with dendritic cells (adjusted $P$ value $(q)<0.0001$ ) and T cells ( $q<0.0001$ ) (Fig. 2a,c and Supplementary Table 2a), as well as in tumor biopsies with low expression of CD8A, TNF and IFNG (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Table 2b). The correlation with low intratumoral T cell and dendritic cell infiltration was validated in a published cohort of 99 biopsies analyzed by RNA-Seq ${ }^{5}$ (Fig. 2b).

Furthermore, tumors with high expression of PAK4 were enriched and positively correlated with a signature of immune cell exclusion reported by Jerby-Arnon et al. ${ }^{6}$, based on analysis of 33 melanoma biopsies using single-cell RNA-Seq (Extended Data Fig. 2a,b). PAK4 is a serine/threonine kinase that functions downstream of the small GTPases CDC42 and RAC, and plays an important role in several signaling pathways involved in tumorigenesis, including a known function of phosphorylating $\beta$-catenin, and shuttling with it into the nucleus to activate the WNT/ $\beta$-catenin pathway ${ }^{15-18,21}$. This function of PAK4 seemed relevant based on previous work by Spranger et al. ${ }^{11}$ showing that tumor-intrinsic $\beta$-catenin signaling can impair T cell infiltration in melanoma.

PAK4 negatively correlated with immune markers of an active CD8 T cell response, including CD8A, TNF, GZMA and PRF1, as well as with transcriptome signatures of different immune cell populations, such as T cells, CD8 T cells, cytotoxic T cells and dendritic cells, in both our cohort and the Riaz et al. ${ }^{5}$ validation cohort (Fig. 2d and Extended Data Fig. 2c). To determine whether PAK4 was expressed by melanoma cancer cells, we performed IHC on on-treatment tumor biopsies. Indeed, PAK4 co-localized with the melanoma marker S100 (Fig. 2e and Extended Data Fig. 2d). In addition, IHC analysis validated the inverse correlation between PAK4 and CD8 T cell infiltration observed by RNA-Seq (Fig. 2e). Overall, our data suggest that tumor-intrinsic PAK4 expression is associated with a lack of immune cell infiltration, and constitutes a potential target to overcome PD-1 blockade resistance.

PAK4 expression correlates with WNT/ $\beta$-catenin pathway activation in melanoma tumor biopsies and regulates its activation in vitro. Given the evidence relating WNT signaling, immune infiltration and a lack of response to checkpoint blockade immunotherapies in melanoma and other solid tumors, and consistent with the known relationship between PAK4 and WNT signaling ${ }^{15-18,21}$, we further investigated the role of PAK4 in the $\beta$-catenin pathway using clinical tumor samples. Tumor biopsies with high PAK4 expression had increased levels of MYC and CTNNB1 compared with tumor biopsies with low PAK4 expression (Fig. 3a). Tumors with high expression of PAK4 were also enriched for and positively correlated with a previously reported WNT signature that includes $A P C, M Y C, C T N N B 1, D K K 2$ and $V E G F A^{12}$ (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, IHC analysis of the on-treatment tumor biopsies also showed that $\beta$-catenin co-localized with PAK4 (Fig. 3c). Of note, the PAK4 overlap with $\beta$-catenin was higher in the two tumor biopsies with low T cell infiltration, suggesting that there may be a dual requirement of $\beta$-catenin and PAK4 to induce a T cell-excluded phenotype (Extended Data Fig. 2e).

To directly investigate the impact of PAK4 deletion on WNT signaling, we first generated PAK4 knockout (KO) sublines of the murine melanoma B16 using CRISPR-Cas9 (three sublines: B16 KO 6.2, B16 KO 8.1 and B16 KO 8.2; Extended Data Fig. 3a-d). To quantify WNT signaling activation, B16 PAK4 KO cells were

Fig. 2 | PAK4 expression is enriched in non-infiltrated tumor biopsies and negatively correlates with immune markers in melanoma. a,b, Volcano plots derived from differential gene expression analysis between the upper and lower quartiles of the dendritic cell score, using both pre- and on-treatment samples. PAK4 expression was enriched in the samples with low dendritic cell scores in our UCLA cohort ( $\mathbf{a} ; n=30$ biopsies; $q=1.19 \times 10^{-5}$ ), as well as in the Riaz et al. ${ }^{5}$ validation cohort ( $\mathbf{b} ; n=50$ biopsies; $q=1.59 \times 10^{-11}$ ). c, PAK4 expression was also enriched in samples with low T cell infiltration $\left(q=2.74 \times 10^{-7}\right)$, and low expression of CD8A $\left(q=9.08 \times 10^{-9}\right)$, TNF $\left(q=6.67 \times 10^{-12}\right)$ and IFNG $\left(q=1.9 \times 10^{-6}\right)(n=15$ biopsies per group for each comparison). In a-c, $P$ values were calculated using the negative binomial generalized linear model fitting and Wald significance test, while $q$ values were obtained by applying the Benjamini-Hochberg method. d, PAK4 expression negatively correlates with $\log _{2}$ [FPKM] expression of the known immune markers CD8A ( $\left.r=-0.54 ; P=7.95 \times 10^{-6}\right)$, TNF ( $r=-0.69 ; P=1.12 \times 10^{-9}$ ), GZMA ( $r=-0.59 ; P=7.95 \times 10^{-7}$ ) and PRF7 ( $r=-0.41 ; P=6.20 \times 10^{-4}$ ), as well as the different immune populations assessed using MCP-counter: $T$ cells ( $r=-0.62 ; P=1.04 \times 10^{-7}$ ), CD8 T cells ( $r=-0.55 ; P=5.25 \times 10^{-6}$ ), cytotoxic lymphocytes ( $r=-0.46 ; P=1.90 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and dendritic cells ( $r=-0.49 ; P=6.60 \times 10^{-5}$ ) ( $n=60$ biopsies for all correlations). Correlations were calculated applying Pearson's correlation coefficient test. e, Images from biopsies of two representative patients of non-responding/low T cell infiltration (top) and responding/high T cell infiltration (bottom). Slides were stained with S100, PAK4 and CD8. The results showed co-localization of PAK4 and S100, and validation of the exclusivity between PAK4 and CD8 expression. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
transfected with the Topflash luciferase reporter, which is under wild-type (WT) CRISPR control cells, the induction of Topflash the control of consensus T cell factor-binding sites ${ }^{22,23}$. Whereas luciferase activity by Wnt-3a was reduced in B16 PAK4 KO cells Wnt-3a exposure induced the Topflash luciferase activity in B16 (Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 4a). Rescuing WT PAK4 expression
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Fig. 3 | PAK4 expression correlates with WNT genes in tumor biopsies and regulates WNT signaling activation in vitro. a, Comparison of MYC $\left(P=1.32 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ and $\beta$-catenin (CTNNB1; $P=7.00 \times 10^{-4}$ ) $\log _{2}[F P K M]$ expression values between tumor biopsies within the upper $(n=15)$ and lower ( $n=15$ ) quartile of PAK4 expression using the UCLA cohort. $\mathbf{b}$, Left: comparison of WNT scores ( $P=3 \times 10^{-3}$ ) between tumor biopsies within the upper ( $n=15$ ) and lower ( $n=15$ ) quartile of PAK4 expression. Right: correlation ( $n=60 ; r=0.45 ; P=2.96 \times 10^{-4}$ ) between WNT scores and log ${ }_{2}$ [FPKM] PAK4 expression values (blue: responders; red: non-responders; yellow: stable disease; gray: pre-treatment). The WNT score was obtained based on the geometric mean of the following WNT-related genes: APC, MYC, CTNNB1, DKK2 and VEGFA. In a and $\mathbf{b}, P$ values were determined by two-sided Welch's $t$-test ( $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ (left)) and Pearson's correlation coefficient ( $\mathbf{b}$ (right)) (** $P<0.01$; ${ }^{* * * ~} P<0.001$; ${ }^{* * * * ~} P<0.0001$ ). From top to bottom, box plots show the maximum, third quartile, median, first quartile and minimum values. $\mathbf{c}$, Images from biopsies of two representative patients of non-responding/low $T$ cell infiltration (top) and responding/high T cell infiltration (bottom). Slides were stained with $\beta$-catenin, PAK4 and CD8. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. d,e, Topflash WNT activity assays indicating that B16 PAK4 KO cells failed to upregulate WNT signaling as high as PAK4 CRISPR control WT cells (d; $P=0.0054$ for B16 WT CRISPR control (CC) versus B16 KO 6.2; $P=0.0026$ for B16 WT CC versus B16 KO 8.1; $P=0.0033$ for B16 WT CC versus B16 KO 8.2), while rescuing PAK4 expression increased basal WNT activity ( $\mathbf{e} ; P=0.0002$ for B16 KO 6.2 versus B16 KO 6.2 rescue; $P<0.0001$ for B16 KO 8.1 versus B16 KO 8.1 rescue; $P=0.0004$ for B16 KO 8.2 versus B16 KO 8.2 rescue) ( $n=3$ technical replicates per group). The results are representative of three independent experiments. In $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{e}$, data represent means $\pm$ s.e.m. and the results were compared by two-tailed unpaired $t$-test. $\mathbf{f}$, Immunoblot for $\beta$-catenin S675 phosphorylation. Phosphorylation levels were decreased in B16 PAK4 KO cells compared with PAK4 WT cells and restored in PAK4 rescue cell lines. The results are representative of three independent experiments. Source data are available for d-f.


Fig. 4 | PAK4 expression is enriched in non-responding tumor biopsies and negatively correlates with immune markers in multiple tumor types. a, Pan-cancer analysis using TCGA transcriptome data shows the negative correlation between PAK4 expression and T cell (blue), cytotoxic T cell (red) and dendritic cell scores (yellow) across 32 tumor types (the sample size for each cancer type and the associated $P$ value for each correlation can be found in Supplementary Table 3). Correlations were evaluated using Spearman's correlation coefficient. DLBC, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. b,c, On-treatment non-responding biopsies $(n=14)$ have higher levels of $\log _{2}[F P K M]$ PAK4 expression compared with responding biopsies $\left(\mathbf{b} ; n=13 ; P=4.72 \times 10^{-3}\right)$, and are enriched in gene signatures related to known oncogenic signatures involved in immune cell exclusion, as observed by GSEA using Gene Ontology gene sets as targets (c). From top to bottom, box plots in $\mathbf{b}$ show the maximum, third quartile, median, first quartile and minimum values, and the $P$ value was determined by two-sided Welch's $t$-test ( ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P} P<0.01$ ).
in B16 PAK4 KO cells increased baseline WNT activity (Fig. 3 e and Extended Data Fig. 3e), although PAK4 deletion did not affect WNT activity at steady state (Extended Data Fig. 4b). In addition, PAK4 deletion decreased nuclear $\beta$-catenin phosphorylation at serine 675 (S675), which was restored in PAK4 rescue cell lines (Fig. 3f). Of note, neither PAK4 deletion nor overexpression affected the levels of $\beta$-catenin nuclear protein (Extended Data Fig. 4c). Moreover, PAK4 inhibition with the dual PAK4 and nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT) inhibitor KPT-9274 (refs. ${ }^{24-27}$ ) recapitulated the results observed with the B16 PAK4 KO clones as it diminished nuclear S675 $\beta$-catenin phosphorylation and decreased sensitivity to Wnt-3a, while it did not affect WNT activity at steady state, nor nuclear $\beta$-catenin protein levels (Extended Data Fig. 5a-c). Furthermore, B16 PAK4 KO cell lines decreased tyrosinase expression (Extended Data Fig. 5d) and lost their pigmentation when cultured over time (Extended Data Fig. 5e) - a phenotype that is consistent with the suggested role of PAK4 in melanogenesis and the $\beta$-catenin/MITF (microphthalmia-associated transcription factor) pathway ${ }^{17}$. Taken together, our results validate the association between PAK4 expression and WNT/ $\beta$-catenin pathway activation, and provide evidence that genetic deletion and pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 impair Wnt/ $\beta$-catenin pathway signaling in vitro.

PAK4 negatively correlates with immune cell infiltration across human cancers. We then investigated whether the association between PAK4 expression and the lack of T cell infiltration in melanoma tumor biopsies could be expanded to other tumor types. To do so, we analyzed transcriptome data from 32 different cancer types in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), and calculated the correlation between PAK4 expression and T cell, cytotoxic T cell and dendritic cell scores generated using MCP-counter ${ }^{20}$ in all of the samples for each cancer type. In addition to cutaneous melanoma, we observed a negative correlation with T cell infiltration in the majority of cancer types (18 out of 32 ), including cancers that are notoriously resistant to anti-PD-1 therapy, such as prostate cancer, adrenocortical carcinoma, germ cell cancers and glioblastoma multiforme (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Table 3). In line with published data, one of the strongest negative correlations was in pancreatic cancer, where a pan-PAK inhibitor had previously been shown to enhance anti-tumor immune response in a preclinical model ${ }^{28}$.

Lack of response to PD-1 blockade is associated with increased PAK4 expression and is enriched in oncogenic pathways involved in immune cell exclusion. As PAK4 showed a strong inverse correlation with both dendritic cells and T cells in melanoma, we reasoned


Fig. 5 | Inhibition of PAK4 reverses tumor-specific T cell exclusion and sensitizes tumors to PD-1 blockade. a, Tumor growth curves for B16 PAK4 KO 6.2 tumors ( $n=16$ per group) treated with isotype (blue) or anti-PD-1 (red). Anti-PD-1-treated B16 PAK4 KO tumors showed decreased tumor growth compared with untreated B16 PAK4 KO tumors ( $P=3.65 \times 10^{-6}$ at day 14). $\mathbf{b}$, Tumor growth curves for B16 WT CC tumors treated with isotype (blue; $n=14$ ) or anti-PD-1 (red; $n=13$ ). No significant differences were observed in tumor growth ( $P=0.91$ at day 14). c, Tumor growth curves for B16 6.2 PAK4 rescue tumors treated with isotype (blue; $n=5$ ) or anti-PD-1 (red; $n=5$ ). Anti-PD-1 treatment did not have significant anti-tumor efficacy when restoring PAK4 expression ( $P=0.74$ at day 14). d, Tumor growth for B16 PAK4 KO 6.2 tumors with CD8 depletion $(n=5)\left(P=4.31 \times 10^{-5}\right.$ at day 14 for B16 PAK4 KO anti-PD-1 versus B16 PAK4 KO anti-PD-1+ anti-CD8). e, T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding plots for each of the following four groups: B16 PAK4 KO isotype; B16 PAK4 KO anti-PD-1; B16 WT isotype; and B16 WT anti-PD-1. The different immune populations were: myeloid cell (My); B cells (B); CD8 T cells (CD8 eff); CD4 T cells (CD4 eff); T cells (T); natural killer cells (NK); Ly6G+ cluster (Ly6G) and unidentified cluster (UIC). f, Percentage of T cell and natural killer cell (NK cell) population from CD45 ${ }^{+}$cells. PAK4 KO treated tumors had increased T and NK cell infiltration relative to WT treated tumors (median percentage: $16.18 \%$ for KO anti-PD-1; 4.99\% for WT anti-PD-1; P<0.05). PAK4 KO untreated tumors also showed increased T and NK cell infiltration relative to WT untreated tumors (median percentage: $11.89 \%$ for KO anti-PD-1; $1.57 \%$ for WT anti-PD-1; $P=0.02$ ) ( $n=4$ mice per group). g, Percentage of T cell population from CD45 ${ }^{+}$ cells. B16 PAK4 KO tumors presented increased T cell infiltration compared with B16 WT tumors (median percentage: $10 \%$ for KO; 1.37\% for WT; $P=0.009$ ) ( $n=8$ mice per group). In $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$, means $\pm$ s.e.m are shown. Statistical significance and corrections for multiple comparisons were determined using the Holm-Šidak method ( $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$ ) or two-tailed unpaired $t$-test ( $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ ) ( ${ }^{*} P<0.05$; ${ }^{* * * *} P<0.0001$ ). NS, not significant. Source data are available for $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$.


Fig. 6 | Analysis of tumor-infiltrating immune cells by CyTOF. $\mathbf{a}$, Heatmap with the normalized median percentage for each of the immune markers in the different clusters obtained. Only clusters with a $>0.5 \%$ frequency were analyzed. $\mathbf{b}$, Tumor growth curves for the 16 samples ( $n=4$ per group) used for the CyTOF analysis. Data represent means $\pm$ s.e.m.
that tumor biopsies from patients without a response to anti-PD-1 may have enriched PAK4 expression. Indeed, non-responding biopsies had higher levels of PAK4 transcripts ( $P=0.004$; Fig. 4 b ). We also investigated whether our cohort of tumor biopsies nonresponding to PD-1 blockade therapy recapitulated known oncogenic mechanisms of T cell exclusion ${ }^{10}$. To test this hypothesis, we compared on-treatment non-responding biopsies with responding biopsies, and applied GSEA using the curated gene sets. Signatures enriched in non-responding biopsies included gene sets related to WNT/ $\beta$-catenin signaling and the WNT target gene MYC (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Table 4). Overall, biopsies from patients without a response to PD-1 blockade were enriched for PAK4 expression and gene signatures related to known oncogenic pathways involved in T cell exclusion ${ }^{10}$.

Genetic KO of PAK4 sensitizes tumors to PD-1 blockade and increases immune cell infiltration. If PAK4 plays an active role in excluding tumor-specific T cells from the tumor microenvironment of melanoma biopsies, PAK4 inhibition would increase tumor-specific $T$ cell infiltration and hence sensitize tumors to PD-1 blockade therapy. To test this hypothesis, we used the murine melanoma model B16, which exhibits primary resistance to PD-1 blockade ${ }^{29}$, lacks previous infiltration by tumor-specific lymphocytes ${ }^{30}$, and
intrinsically expresses the immune resistance program defined by Jerby-Arnon ${ }^{6}$. To assess the anti-tumor efficacy of PD-1 blockade in the context of PAK4 deletion, we treated syngeneic C57BL/6 mice bearing B16 PAK4 KO or B16 WT tumors with a murine anti-PD-1 antibody. We observed the anti-tumor activity of PD-1 blockade only in melanoma tumors lacking PAK4 expression (Fig. 5a,b and Extended Data Fig. 6a,b). Of note, untreated B16 PAK4 KO tumors grew progressively, suggesting that although PAK4 deletion provides sensitization to PD-1 blockade therapy, it is not sufficient by itself in the B16 model to trigger an anti-tumor immune response. In addition, restoring PAK4 protein levels in B16 PAK4 KO tumors resulted in the loss of PD-1 blockade anti-tumor efficacy (Fig. 5c and Extended Data Fig. 6c). To elucidate whether the observed response to anti-PD-1 was CD8 dependent, we depleted CD8 T cells in syngeneic C57BL/6 mice bearing B16 PAK4 KO tumors. CD8 depletion completely abrogated the anti-tumor activity of mouse anti-PD-1, showing that PAK4 deletion sensitized melanoma B16 tumors to PD-1 blockade in a CD8 T cell-dependent manner (Fig. 5d and Extended Data Fig. 6d). These results suggest that genetic PAK4 deletion allows the infiltration of tumor-specific T cells that confer anti-tumor efficacy on PD-1 blockade.

To validate whether PAK4 deletion facilitates immune cell infiltration, we performed immune profiling of tumor-infiltrating
immune cells using cytometry by time of flight (CyTOF), and identified a total of 16 independent cell clusters (Fig. 6a). The T cell population was defined by three clusters, including a non-T regulatory CD4 T cell cluster positive for CD3e, CD4, IFN- $\gamma$ and Ki-67, a CD8 T cell cluster positive for CD3e, CD8a, Tbet and Ki-67, and a general T cell cluster positive for CD3e. A natural killer cluster positive for CD335 and CD161 was also identified. B16 PAK4 KO anti-PD-1-treated tumors presented increased infiltration of T and natural killer cells compared with B16 WT anti-PD-1-treated tumors ( $P=0.049$; Fig. 5e,f). Interestingly, untreated B16 PAK4 KO tumors already presented increased T and natural killer cell infiltration compared with B16 WT untreated tumors ( $P=0.02$; Fig. 5e,f), although we did not observe anti-tumor efficacy in the B16 PAK4 KO group (Fig. 6b). Consistently, B16 PAK4 KO tumors had increased levels of T cells regardless of treatment with murine anti-PD-1 ( $P=0.009$; Fig. 5g). Therefore, these data support the hypothesis that PAK4 depletion increases tumor-specific $T$ cell infiltration, which sensitizes tumors to PD-1 blockade.

Pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 synergizes with PD-1 blockade immunotherapy. KPT-9274 is a dual PAK4 and NAMPT inhibito ${ }^{24-27}$ currently in clinical trials. We tested whether treatment with KPT-9274 recapitulates the anti-tumor effects of genetic PAK4 deletion to sensitize B16 melanoma to murine anti-PD-1 therapy. Indeed, B16 murine melanoma tumors treated with anti-PD-1 in combination with KPT-9274 showed a stronger anti-tumor effect compared with anti-PD-1 ( $P=0.01$; Fig. 7a) and KPT-9274 monotherapy ( $P=0.0007$; Fig. 7a). To expand the testing to other settings of partial anti-PD-1 therapy resistance, we used the MC38 mouse colon adenocarcinoma model, which is a model of a cancer with high tumor mutation burden and is partially sensitive to PD-1 blockade, but with ample margin for improvement as tumors grow progressively after a period of transient response ${ }^{31,32}$. Consistent with being an immunogenic tumor model, and with PAK4 deletion per se facilitating T cell infiltration (Fig. 5f,g), both MC38 WT tumors, treated with either a combination of KPT-9274 and anti-PD-1 or KPT-9274 alone, showed decreased tumor growth compared with the anti-PD-1 monotherapy group (Fig. 7b). We generated a PAK4 KO subline of MC38 through CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing (Extended Data Fig. 7a,b), and consistent with the results with KPT-9274, MC38 PAK4 KO tumors achieved tumor regression even in the absence of anti-PD-1 therapy (Fig. 7c). Of note, MC38 PAK4 KO tumors only reached complete regression $(n=3)$ when treated with anti-PD-1, suggesting that PD-1 blockade also improves anti-tumor T cell response in the setting of partial response to anti-PD-1 therapy. In addition, we found that MC38 PAK4 KO clones were more sensitive to the anti-proliferative effects of tumor necrosis factor (TNF), which is consistent with the current literature ${ }^{33,34}$, and could contribute to the phenotype observed in this model (Extended Data Fig. 7c). Altogether, these data suggest that PAK4 inhibition synergizes with anti-PD-1 treatment.

## Discussion

By studying the transcriptional landscape of biopsies of patients with melanoma treated with PD-1 blockade immunotherapy, we found that the expression of PAK4 is associated with immune exclusion and lack of clinical response. Genetic and pharmacological PAK4 inhibition altered WNT/ $\beta$-catenin signaling, increased intratumoral T cell infiltration and improved the response to checkpoint blockade therapy in two mouse models. The negative correlation between PAK4 expression and T cell infiltration held true across several human cancers, including cancers notoriously resistant to PD-1 blockade, and hence expands the potential clinical applicabilty of the combined inhibition of PAK4 and PD-1
Finding novel molecular targets that could improve and overcome resistance to PD-1 blockade therapy remains one of the main challenges that needs to be tackled to increase the efficacy rate of cancer immunotherapies ${ }^{10}$. Our current work validates and builds on the fundamental knowledge that PD-1 blockade works by unleashing the immune breaks of a pre-existent tumorspecific T cell population ${ }^{2}$. The transcriptional characterization of melanoma tumor samples highlighted the common denominator among biopsies of non-responding patients-the lack of a proper immune T cell infiltration of the tumor microenvironment. Therefore, interventions that increase the immunogenicity and the immune infiltration within the tumor microenvironment remain a top therapeutic priority ${ }^{7}$.

The immune system exercises a selective pressure that shapes cancer evolution and results in the selection of malignant cells able to escape an immune cell attack. This has been termed cancer immunoediting ${ }^{35}$. Cancer cells can exploit and rewire oncogenic signaling pathways that alter the immunogenicity of the tumor and confer an advantage against the immune system ${ }^{10}$. Among the different oncogenic signaling pathways, there is compelling evidence from several studies that associate an active WNT/ $\beta$-catenin signaling pathway with immune exclusion and resistance to immune checkpoint blockade therapy ${ }^{11-13}$. In this context, among the list of differentially expressed genes in non-immune-infiltrated biopsies, the appeal of focusing our studies on PAK4 became more relevant given its previously reported involvement in the WNT/ $\beta$-catenin pathway ${ }^{15,18}$. PAK4 deletion disrupted WNT signaling activity without altering $\beta$-catenin protein levels, which suggests that PAK4 may be regulating WNT activity by means other than the number of molecules that translocate into the nucleus, such as alteration of the interaction of $\beta$-catenin with other proteins that are important in regulating $\beta$-catenin transcriptional activity. Overall, our findings provide novel insights into the mechanism by which PAK4 impacts WNT signaling activity. However, it still remains necessary to fully elucidate how PAK4-induced WNT inhibition contributes to overcoming PD-1 blockade resistance.

PAK4 overexpression or increased activity is associated with the development and progression of several tumor malignancies, including melanoma ${ }^{36}$, pancreatic cancer ${ }^{37}$ and prostate cancer ${ }^{38}$. In breast cancer, PAK4 messenger RNA levels are also often overexpressed ${ }^{39}$,

Fig. 7 | Pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 improves anti-PD-1 anti-tumor response. a, Tumor growth curves for B16 WT melanoma tumors treated with KPT-9274 in combination with anti-PD-1 ( $n=6$; purple), KPT-9274 ( $n=6$; green) or anti-PD-1 ( $n=6$; red) versus controls ( $n=6$; blue). The combination of KPT-9274 and anti-PD-1 showed decreased tumor growth compared with both anti-PD-1 monotherapy ( $P=0.01$ at day 12) and KPT-9274 monotherapy ( $P=0.0007$ at day 12). Data represent means $\pm$ s.e.m. $\mathbf{b}$, Tumor growth curves for MC38 WT tumors treated with KPT-9274 and anti-PD-1 ( $n=7$; purple), KPT-9274 ( $n=5$; green), anti-PD-1 ( $n=5$; red) and isotype ( $n=3$; blue). The combination of KPT-9274 and anti-PD-1 or KPT-9274 monotherapy resulted in significantly decreased tumor growth compared with anti-PD-1 alone ( $P=0.01$ for the combination group; $P=0.02$ for KPT- 9274 monotherapy; both at day 10). KPT-9274 was given twice daily from days 4-7 and then discontinued due to KPT-9274-associated toxicity. c, Tumor growth curves for MC38 WT and MC38 PAK4 KO tumors treated with PD-1 blockade ( $n=7$ for the MC38 PAK4 KO anti-PD-1 and MC38 PAK4 KO isotype groups; $n=4$ for the MC38 WT isotype and MC38 WT anti-PD-1 groups). Treated tumors received four doses of anti-PD-1 in total. Both MC38 PAK4 KO untreated and anti-PD-1-treated tumors showed decreased tumor growth compared with the MC38 WT anti-PD-1-treated group ( $P=0.001$ for the WT isotype versus the KO isotype; $P=0.004$ for WT anti-PD-1 versus KO anti-PD-1; both at day 21). Statistical significance and correction for multiple comparisons were calculated using the Holm-Šidak method ( ${ }^{*} P<0.05$; ${ }^{* *} P<0.01$ ). Source data are available for a-c.
and its protein activity is required for oncogenic transformation ${ }^{40,41}$. including those that have been previously involved in immune cell In addition to regulating WNT/ $\beta$-catenin signaling ${ }^{15,18}$, PAK4 can exclusion ${ }^{16}$. For instance, PAK4 can increase PI3K/AKT signalpromote tumorigenesis by altering different oncogenic pathways,
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and increasing AKT phosphorylation ${ }^{42-45}$. Interestingly, PAK4 also presents kinase-independent functions. It has been reported that PAK4 acts as a scaffold to facilitate TNF receptor type 1-associated death domain (TRADD) protein binding to the TNF receptor to promote TNF survival activity ${ }^{33}$. Therefore, PAK4 protein inhibition increases TNF-induced apoptosis-an observation that is in line with our results seen in the MC38 model ${ }^{34}$. The role of PAK4 and TNF signaling in PD-1 blockade sensitivity necessitates a better understanding and will need to be explored further in the future.

In these studies, we primarily used the B16 murine melanoma model as it has previously been reported that it is poorly inflamed and resistant to checkpoint blockade ${ }^{29}$. Of note, we acknowledge that there may be additional mouse models that have not been used in this study and that could help expand our understanding of the role of PAK4 in immune cell exclusion, such as the Braf ${ }^{V 600 \mathrm{E}} / \mathrm{Pten}^{-1-/}$ CAT-STA mice used in the Spranger et. al. study ${ }^{11}$. This particular mouse model has been used to study WNT-induced T cell exclusion in melanoma, and has provided evidence that the oncogenic WNT/ $\beta$-catenin pathway mediates dendritic cell exclusion, resulting in immune evasion. However, it is a model that relies on the in situ generation of multiple oncogene-driven primary skin cancers on induction of the driver oncogenes. This does not allow the genetic testing of PAK4 inhibition, unless a new transgenic mouse with an inducible PAK4 inhibition is created and cross-bred with the already Braf ${ }^{6600 \mathrm{E}} / \mathrm{Pten}^{-1} /$ CAT-STA triple genetically engineered mice.
In summary, this study presents a potential new therapeutic strategy to overcome PD-1 blockade resistance. Having analyzed three patient biopsy-derived RNA-Seq datasets, we conclude that PAK4 expression is enriched in poorly infiltrated tumor samples and constitutes a target to reverse PD-1 blockade resistance. Our pan-cancer correlation analysis with multiple cancer histologies having an anti-correlation of PAK4 expression and T cell infiltration suggests that patients with different cancers could potentially benefit from dual PAK4 and PD-1 inhibition. The results from this study have led to the planning of a phase 1 clinical trial combining the anti-PD-1 nivolumab with the dual PAK4 and NAMPT inhibitor KPT-9274 (NCT02702492).

## Methods

Patients, tumor biopsies and response assessment. Tumor biopsies were collected patients, tumor Uiopsies and response assessment. Tumor biopsies were collected California, Los Angeles (UCLA) Institutional Review Board approvals 11-001918 and 11-003066 from 41 patients with metastatic melanom reated with erner pembrolizumab or nivolumab. All patients signed a writen informed consent form. Samples were immediately stored in RNAlater (Ambion) or snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent RNA extraction. Response was assessed for each biopsy independently by A.R. Complete patient clinical information can be found in Supplementary Table 1
RNA isolation and RNA-Seq analysis. We obtained a total of 66 tumor samples from which we extracted RNA using the AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) and mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Ambion). Poly-A selection was used for library construction, and samples were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform with a read length of $2 \times 100$ at the UCLA Technology Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics. Raw FASTQ files were aligned to the hg19 genome using HISAT2 version 2.0 .4 (ref. ${ }^{40}$ ) with the default parameters, and counted with HTSeq version 0.6 .1 (ref. ${ }^{4}$ ) with the intersection-nonempty mode (ambiguous reads were counted if fully overlapping). Raw counts were then normalized to fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM). Two tumor biopsies were excluded from the analysis due to discordancy with previous HC analysis (Supplementary Fig. la-c). Four tumor biopsies were excluded based on the expression of KRT15 and KRT5 (Supplementary Fig. 1d,e). A total of 60 tumor biopsies were considered for transcriptomic analysis. RNA-Seq-based cell deconvolution of tissue-infiltrating and stromal populations was performed using MCP-counter ${ }^{-0}$ with the default settings, and immune cell infiltration was define using the upper and lower quartile scores for each of the obtained immune cell populations. Differential gene expression was performed based on the negative inomial distribution with the DESeq 2 package ${ }^{48}$ using the default settings (Wald significance test). Principal component analyses were also performed, using the DESeq2 package ${ }^{47}$, on prior normalization of raw reads using the variancestabilizing transformation (vst) function. To identify enriched signaling pathways, we utilized GSEA with the following gene sets: C2 Curated Gene Sets and C5 Gene

Ontology Gene Sets ${ }^{49}$. Pan-cancer correlation analysis between PAK4 expression and immune cell infiltration (calculated using MCP-counter as described above) was performed using gene expression data from 32 tumor types from the TCGA Research Network (http://cancergenome. nih.gov/).

Cell lines and PAK4 CRISPR-Cas9 KO and rescue. Murine B16 and MC38 cells were maintained in DMEM and RPMI medium respectively, supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum, $100 \mathrm{Uml}^{-1}$ penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ streptomycin $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The foll NAs targeting PAK4 were used: forward: $5^{\prime}$-TTCGAGCACCGI reverse: $5^{\prime}$-GTGTGTACACGGTGCTCGAA- $3^{\prime}$. These were cloned into the SpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP vector (Addgene) as described in Zheng's protocol ${ }^{50}$. Cells were then transfected with PAK4-single guide RNA plasmid using lipofectamin 000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and green fluorese were collected and single-cell sorted 48 h after transection XS: Macherey Nagel) and fer PCR amplifying the PAK4 ( $u$ ence, we used the racking of indels by docer racking of efficiency (Extonporion (Figs 3 We 7a) PAK4 deletion was also validated by western blot, performed as described previously $\mathrm{y}^{52}$ PAK4 antibody (Proteintech) immunoreactivity was assessed with an ECL-Plus Kit (Amersham Biosciences) ond malyzed using the ChemiDoc MP system (Bio-Rad Laboratories) (Extended Data Figs 3d and 7b). To restore PAK4 levels in PAK4 KO cells, we cxoned the mouse PAK4 open reading frame into a lentiviral vector containing loned the 293T cells whe uped for lentivirl pricle loneration and B16 PAK4 KO cells were tranduced $20 \%$ confluency. The 24 h after transduction, the medi els PAK4 expression was then validated by western blot (Extended Data Fig 3e):

WNT activity assays. $\beta$-catenin protein levels and phosphorylation were investigated by western blot performed as described previously $y^{51}$ using the following antibodies: $\beta$-catenin (catalog number: 9587); phospho- $\beta$-catenin ( S 675 catalog number: 9567 ) and phospho- $\beta$-catenin (S33/37/T41; catalog number: 561) (all from Cell Signaling Technology). Cytoplasm and nuclear extraction were performed with NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer's protocol

For the Topflash WNT activity assay, cells were plated in 24 -well plates and o-transfected with pSV- $\beta$-galactosidase control vector (PR-E1081; Promega) long with either p Topflash (Addgene; catalog number: 12456) or pFopflash Adt Wor catalog Reporter Iysis Buffer (Pros) 200 Reporter Lysis Buffer (Promega, catag number. PRe Assay System (Promera;
 catalog number: PR-E2610) and Beta-Glo Assay System (Promega; catalog number: PR-E4720). The luciferase activity was norma eta-Glo activity to account for transfection efficiency.
Tyrosinase expression was measured by reverse transcription PCR ollowing manufacturer's protocol for the Power SYBR Green RNA'CT $5^{\prime}$-GCACCTATCGGCCATAACAG-3' and 5'-GCCAGATACGACTGGCTTGT- $3^{\prime}$

TNF proliferation assay. To assess the anti-proliferative effects of TNF, MC38 cells were plated into 96 -well plates by triplicate with either media or media containing NF ( $100 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$; Peprotech). Cell confluency was measured using the IncuCyte S3 ive System. To determine the percentage of cell growth inhibition, we measured the area under the curve of each group curve between the untreated and TNF-treated cells.

Mouse model studies. All mouse studies were performed under UCLA Animal Research Committee protocol number 2004-159-23. C57BL/6 mice were bred and kept under defined-flora pathogen-free conditions at the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-approved animal facility f the Division of Experimental Radiation Oncology at UCLA. To study the in vivo effect of PD-1 blockade in anti-tumor response and immune cell infilration, we
 O cells subcutaneously into the flanks of $\mathrm{C} 7 \mathrm{BL} / 6$ syngeneic mice. Then, 96 h fter tumor injection, mice were andomy assigned to the different groups. Anti-D-1 (catalog number. BE0146; clone RMP1-14, BioXCel) trament was injected intraperitoneally three times per week at $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per dose. For the CD8 depletion studies, we administered anti-CD8 (catalog number BE0117; clone YST 169.4; BioXCell) 1 d before anti-PD-1 treatment and then it was co-administered with n-PD-1 for 1 or mice were taken to validate CD8 depletion efficacy (Extended Data Fig. 6a). To tudy the combination effects of PAK4 inhibition with KPT-9274 and anti-PD-1 catalog number: BE0146, cone RMP1-14; BioXCell) 16 and anti-tumor response, $0.3 \times 10$ B16 WF or $0.5 \times 10 \mathrm{MC3} 8 \mathrm{~F}$ cells were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of C5 7 L/6 mice. Then, 96 h after tum njection, mice were randomly assigned to the different groups. For B16 WI tumors, KPT-9274 was administered once a day by oral gavage at $300 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ while
nti-PD-1 treatment was administered as described above. For MC38 WT tumors KPT-9274 was administered twice a day by oral gavage at $150 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$. Tumor progression was monitored three times per week by measuring two perpendicular dimensions with a calliper

Mass cytometry. To study the different immune cell populations in the tumor microenvironment of melanoma B16 PAK4 KO and B16 WT tumors, we collected spleen and tumor samples from anti-PD-1-treated or untreated mice for each of he two conditions. Tumor samples were processed using the Tumor Dissociation Kit, mouse (Miltenyi Biotec) following the manufacturer's protocol. Spleens were manually disaggregated and filtered with a $70-\mu \mathrm{m}$ strainer following digestion wit CK Lysing Buffer (Lonza). Samples were then stained and processed as ${ }^{53}$, with reviously ${ }^{\text {, }}$ with two deviations. (1) samples were not barcoded; and (2) 3\% araformaldehyde was Used for immune cell phenotyping can be found in Supplementary Table 5. Fluidigm) patform at the UCLA Flow Cytometry Core Sample quality control was assessed by measuring the fluctuation/disruption over time Calibration ass (Cs140) were also gluded Samples were pre-gated for cells, singlets ( Crpression of the viabe CD45 single-cell positive population using FlowI oftware (version 10.42) and used as the input for Cytofkit ${ }^{54 / 4}$ which was analyzed R (version 3.51). To identify and annotate each the clusters obtained, cluster
 mmune markers ${ }^{53}$ T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding plots were


IHC. We re-analyzed IHC samples used in our previous work ${ }^{2}$ with matching RNA-Seq data to correlate immune cell infiltration between IHC and RNA-Seq We generated new slides for two representative patients and stained them with ematoxylin and eosin, S100, CD8, PAK4 and CTNNB1 at the UCLA Anatomi Pathology IHC Laboratory. Leica Bond-III autostainers (Leica Biosystems) were sed for immunostaining as previously described ${ }^{2}$. Cell density (cells $\mathrm{mm}^{-2}$ ) and quantification of PAK4 co-localization with S100 and CTNNB1 were calculated using the Indica Labs HALO 2.

Statistics and reproducibility. GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software) and R software (version 3.5.1) were used for graphic representation and statistical nalysis. Gene expression comparisons were performed using two-sided Welch's -test unless matching pre- and onent samples were used, in which cas wo $p$ analysis was performed ne ession was pring the R package DeSeq 2 in which Pvalues ene expression was performed using the $R$ package DeSeq2 in which $P$ values were calculaed using the negalve binomial generalzed low model fiting and Wald significance test, while $q$ values were obtained by applying the Benjaminiochberg method. For in wivo studies, statistical signicance and correction ifferences were consid ifferes were conal data are epresentative of at least three experiments unless otherwise specified

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

## Data availability

NA-Seq data supporting the findings of this study have been deposited in he National Center for Biotechnology Information database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap/) with accession number phs001919. The data for the pan-cancer correlation analysis were derived from he TCGA Research Network (http://cancergenome.nih.gov/). Source data on unprocessed blots in Fig. 3 and Extended Data Figs. 3-5 and 7, as well as numerical raw data for Figs. 3, 5 and 7 and Extended Data Figs. 4-6 are provided with the paper. All other data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Differential change in immune populations between non-responding and responding biopsies during anti-PD-1 therapy.
Comparison (two-sided, paired T-test) of each of the immune populations and immune markers between baseline and on-treatment tumour samples for responding ( $n=5$ ) and non-responding $(n=6)$ biopsies. From left to right: T cell score ( $R P=0.007, N R P=0.44$ ), Dendritic cell score ( $R P=0.009$, NR $P=0.08$ ), CD8 T cell score ( $R P=0.006, N R P=0.48$ ), CTL score ( $R P=0.01, N R P=0.43$ ), NK cell score ( $R P=0.006, N R P=0.32$ ), Monocyte lineage score ( $R P=0.004, N R P=0.48$ ), IFNg ( $R P=0.01, N R P=0.47$ ), TNF ( $R P=0.01, N R P=0.9$ ), GZMA ( $R P=0.01, N R P=0.73$ ), PRF1 ( $R P=0.004$, NR $P=0.29$ ) and CD8A ( $R P=0.004, N R P=0.52$ ) expression. Increase in all immune populations and markers was significant $(P<0.05)$ only in responding biopsies. ${ }^{*} P<0.05,{ }^{* *} P<0.01$; ns, not significant


Extended Data Fig. 2 | PAK4 expression analysis with immune infiltration and overlap with S100 and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-catenin staining. a, Comparison of exclusion up Jerby-Arnon score expression ( $P=3.28 e-05$ ) between tumour biopsies within the upper ( $n=15$ ) and lower $(n=15)$ quartile of PAK4 expression. $\mathbf{b}$, PAK4 correlation with Jerby-Arnon score expression $(n=60)(R=0.65, P=1.78 e-08)$. Exclusion up Jerby-Arnon was obtained based on the geometric mean of the 302 gene from Jerby-Arnon et al. $\mathbf{c}, \mathrm{CD} 8 \mathrm{~A}(R=-0.39, P=6.07 \mathrm{e}-05)$, TNF $(R=-0.49, P=1.89 \mathrm{e}-07), \mathrm{GZMA}(R=-0.45, P=2.47 \mathrm{e}-06), P R F 1$ ( $R=-0.28, P=4 e-03$ ) and the different immune populations assessed using MCP-Counter: $T$ cells $(R=-0.39, P=4.41 e-05), C D 8 T$ cells $(R=-0.36$, $P=1.71 \mathrm{e}-04$ ), cytotoxic lymphocytes ( $R=-0.28, P=4.9 e-03$ ) and dendritic cells ( $R=-0.57, P=3.95 e-10$ ). $n=99$ for all plots. d, Quantification of PAK positive cells out of S100 total positive cells. PT0158_tx2 and PT0112_tx are two biopsies with low T cell infiltration and high PAK4 expression while PT0294_tx2 and PT0349_tx have low PAK4 and high T cell infiltrate as determined by RNAseq. e, Quantification of PAK4 positive cells out of $\beta$-catenin total positive cells. From top to bottom box-plots define the maximum, 3rd quartile, median, 1st quartile and minimum values a. P values obtained using two-sided Welch's t-test a. Correlations were calculated applying Pearson's correlation coefficient test b, c
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Extended Data Fig. 3 | Validation of the generation of a PAK4 KO B16 cell line. $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ TIDE analysis of the B16 PAK4 KO clones: 6.2, 8.1 and 8.2
respectively. d, e, Analysis of PAK4 protein expression in the three B16 PAK4 KO clones, B16 WT CRISPR control and rescue cell lines by Western blot. Results are representative from three independent experiments. Unprocessed blot images are provided as a Source Data file d, e.
a
b


c


Extended Data Fig. 4 | PAK4 depletion impact on nuclear protein $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-catenin and WNT signalling activity. a, Negative control for the Topflash experiment using the Fopflash luciferase vector which contains a mutated version of the TCF/LEF binding motifs. There are no changes in Fopflash activity upon stimulation with Wht-3a ligand for 8 hours in any of the tested cell lines ( $n=3$ per group) ( $P>0.05$ for all comparisons). $\mathbf{b}$, Baseline WNT activity levels assessed by Topflash assay ( $n=3$ per group). Values were normalized to B16 WT CC cell lines and no significant WNT activity changes were observed between PAK4 WT and KO cell. c, Immunoblots for nuclear $\beta$-catenin protein levels show no differences between B16 WT CRISPR control, PAK4 KO and PAK4 rescue cells. Results are representative from three independent experiments. Means $+/-$ SEM two-tailed unpaired $t$-test $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$. Unprocessed blot images and raw data are provided as a Source Data file a-c.
a

b
c

d


Extended Data Fig. 5 | See next page for caption.



Extended Data Fig. 5 | PAK4 inhibition disrupts WNT signalling and melanogenesis. a, Cells were cultured with $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ KPT-9274 for 72 hours before nuclear protein isolation. Showing immunoblots for nuclear $\beta$-catenin, nuclear phosphor- $\beta$-catenin (S675) and nuclear PAK4 protein levels. Results are representative from two independent experiments. b. Cells were cultured with $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ KPT- 9274 for 72 hours and Wnt-3a for 8 hours prior to Topflash assay ( $n=3$ per group). Pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 significantly decreases sensitivity to Wnt-3a stimulation ( $P=0.005$ for WT Wnt3a vs WT KPT-9274 + Wnt3a comparison). c, Baseline WNT activity levels assessed by Topflash assay of cell treated with $2 \mu$ M KPT- 9274 for 72 hours ( $n=3$ per group) $(P>0.05)$. Values were normalized to untreated B16 WT CC cells. d, RT-PCR for tyrosinase expression show that PAK4 depletion reduces the expression levels of this gene. Showing means $+/-$ SEM. Results are normalized to B16 WT CRISPR control levels and then $\log 2$ transformed ( $n=3$ ). e, For image, cells were cultured and harvest upon reaching $80 \%$ confluency. B16 WT CRISPR Control cell line maintains melanin production over time while PAK4 KO clones lose their pigmentation. Results are representative from three independent experiments. Means $+/-S E M$ two-tailed unpaired $\mathbf{t}$-test $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$. Unprocessed blots and raw data are provided as a Source Data file a-c.
a

b

c

d


Extended Data Fig. 6 | See next page for caption.
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Extended Data Fig. 6 | In vivo experiments with additional B16 PAK4 KO and rescue clones and CD8 depletion validation. a, Tumour growth curves for B16 PAK4 KO 8.1 tumours treated with isotype (blue, $n=10$ ) or anti-PD-1 (red, $\mathrm{n}=12$ ) ( $P=0.00024$, day 15). $\mathbf{b}$, Tumour growth curves for B16 PAK4 KO 8.2 tumours treated with isotype (blue, $n=10$ ) or anti-PD-1 (red, $n=10)(P=0.02$, day 15 ). In both PAK 4 KO cell lines anti-PD-1 treated tumours showed decreased tumour growth compared to untreated tumours. c, Tumour growth curves for B16 8.1 PAK4 rescue tumours treated with isotype (blue, $n=5$ ) or anti-PD-1 (red, $n=5$ ). Anti-PD-1 treatment did not result in any significant anti-tumour efficacy ( $P=0.80$, day 15 ). $\mathbf{d}$, Flow cytometry analysis of CD8 positive splenocytes after CD8 depletion. Left panel show splenocytes pattern without anti-CD8 treatment (CD8 population $=18.9 \%$ ) while middle and right panel show splenocytes derived from two independent mice treated with anti-CD8 antibody (CD8 population $=0.77 \%$ and $0.50 \%$ respectively) Plotting the mean $+/$ - s.e.m a-c. Statistical significance and correction for multiple comparisons was calculated using Holm-Sidak method a-c. Raw data is provided as a Source Data file a-c. ${ }^{*} P<0.05,{ }^{* *} P<0.01,{ }^{* * *} P<0.001$, ${ }^{* * * *} P<0.0001$. ns, not significant.
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Extended Data Fig. 7 | PAK4 KO validation and sensitivity to TNF in MC38 cells. a, TIDE analysis of the MC38 PAK4 KO 6.9 clone. b, Analysis of PAK4 protein expression in MC38 PAK4 KO 6.9 clone and MC38 WT by Western blot. Results are representative from two independent experiments. c, Cells were plated by triplicate into 96 well plates and then treated with TNF at $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. Cell proliferation was measured by cell confluence using the IncuCyte S3 Live Cell Analysis System. TNF treatment decreased proliferation of MC38 WT, MC38 PAK4 KO 6.9 and MC38 PAK4 KO 6.10 cells by $41 \%$, $95 \%$ and $74 \%$ respectively compared to untreated cells (means $+/-$ SEM). Results are representative from three biologically independent experiments. Unprocessed blots are provided as a Source Data file b.
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Policy information about availability of computer code
Data collection Transcriptome data from TCGA Research Network was collected from: http://cancergenome.nih.gov/
Data analysis The softwares used to analyze the data include: HISAT2 version 2.0.4, HTseq version 0.6.1, R version 3.5.1, DESeq 2 version 1.20.0, MCPcounter version 1.0.0, Cytofkit version 1.12.0 and FlowJo version 10.4.2
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Raw sequencing data derived from tumor biopsies collected under UCLA Institutional Review Board approvals 11-001918 and 11-003066 are deposited to dbGAP under accession number: phs001919
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| Sample size | －No statistical method was used to determine the patient sample as they were collected upon availability of samples obtained in a clinical trial for PD－1 blockade in melanoma．We used a total of 27 baseline and 33 on－treatment biopsies from 41 metastatic melanoma patients（Fig．1a）． In any case，potential limitations of our sample size were tackle by validating our finding in several available clinical datasets． －For mice studies we used a sample size of $>5$ mice per group unless otherwise specified．This $n$ is a standard sample size for these experiments and was sufficient to evaluate the effects of genetic and pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 on anti－tumor activity when combined with anti－PD－1． |
| :---: | :---: |
| Data exclusions | A total of 6 patient－derived RNA－seq samples were excluded from this study．We removed two samples because CD8A gene expression did not agree with CD8 protein levels measured using immunohistochemistry（Supplementary Fig．1a－c）and four samples based on an outlier keratinocyte biomarker gene expression of KRT15 and KRT5（Supplementary Fig．1d－e）． |
| Replication | －B16 PAK4 KO in vivo studies with the 6.2 clone were repeated and successfully replicated a total of 4 times（Fig．5a shows the combination of replicate studies．Total of 16 mice per group）．As for in vivo studies with the two additional PAK4 KO clones（ 8.1 and 8.2 ）in vivo studies were repeated and successfully replicated twice and the $n$ for each of the groups is equal or higher than 10 （Extended Data Fig．6a，b）．As for the B16 PAK4 KO treated with anti－PD－1＋CD8 depletion（figure 5d）was repeated and successfully replicated once． <br> －B16 WT CRISPR control studies（figures 5b）were repeated and successfully replicated twice and results are consistent with published data on this model．In the original submission，we had use a B16 WT cell line（not CRISPR control）and we performed the experiment once with the same results as in the B16 WT CRISPR control model．Nevertheless，we have just included the in vivo results with the B16 WT CRISPR control cell line． <br> －In addition，both B16 PAK4 KO and WT in vivo studies were repeated for the main purpose of CyTOF，however，tumor growth was also measured（Figure 6b）and it recapitulated the results shown in Figure 5）． <br> －B16 WT studies with the PAK4 inhibitor，KPT－9274 were performed one time with a total of 24 mice（ 6 mice per group）． <br> －MC38 PAK4 KO studies were performed one time <br> －MC38 WT studies with KPT－9274 were performed one time <br> －B16 PAK4 Rescue in vivo studies with the 6.2 clone were repeated and successfully replicated a total of 2 times（Fig．5c， $\mathrm{n}=12$ ）．We also performed the experiment using the B16 PAK4 Rescue 8.1 cell line one time（ $n=5$ ）（Extended Data Fig．6c）． |
| Randomization | Mice were evenly distributed by tumor size four days after tumor injection． |
| Blinding | Blinding was not possible due to the treatment schedule． |
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| Materials \＆experimental systems |  | Methods |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n／a | Involved in the study | n／a | Involved in the study |
|  | 【 Antibodies | 区 | $\square$ ChIP－sea |
| $\square$ | 区 Eukaryotic cell lines | $\square$ | 】 Flow cytometry |
| 区 | $\square$ Palaeontology | 区 | $\square$ MRI－based neuroimaging |
|  | \ Animals and other organisms |  |  |
| $\square$ | \ Human research participants |  |  |
| 区 | $\square$ Clinical data |  |  |

## Antibodies

Antibodies used
-Lamin B1 antibody for Western Blot (Cat. No. 12987-1-AP, Proteintech, Rosemont, IL). Dilution 1/3000 Histone H3 for Western Blot (Cat. No. 4499, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). Dilution 1/1000 B-tubulin for Western Blot (Cat. No. 2128, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). Dilution 1/1000 Anti PD-1 for in vivo experiments (Cat. No. BE0146, clone RMP1-14, BioXCell, West Lebanon, NH). Concentration: 200ug Anti-CD8 for in vivo experiments (Cat.No.BE0117, clone YST 169.4, BioXCell). Concentration: 200ug -CD8 antibody for IHC (Cat.No. M7103, clone C8/144B, Dako, Santa Claro, CA). Dilution 1/100 S100 antibody for IHC (Cat.No. Z0311, Dako, Santa Claro, CA). Dilution 1/10000
B-catenin antibody for IHC (Cat.No. 9562, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). Dilution 1/500
PAK4 antibody for IHC (Cat. No. ab62509, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Dilution 1/2000
-Detailed list of conjugated antibodies (including clone and company) used for CyTOF can be found in Supplementary Table 5.
Validation
-Validations statements and relevant references for the used antibodies can be found on manufacture's website. Validation of CD8 antibody (Cat.No.BEO117, clone YST 169.4, BioXCell) was also assessed by flow cytometry (Extended Data Figure 9)

| Eukaryotic cell lines |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Policy information about cell lines |  |
| Cell line source(s) | -B16 from ATCC <br> - MC38 from Steve Rosenberg's lab |
| Authentication | None of these cell lines were authenticated <br> Mycoplasma contamination <br> B16 WT and PAK4 KO cells were negative for mycoplasma contamination. MC38 WT and PAK4 KO cell lines have been not <br> tested for contamination yet. |
| Commonly misidentified lines None of the cell lines are listed in the ICLAC database <br> (See ICLAC register)  |  |

## Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

| Laboratory animals | We used female 8-10 weeks old C57BL/6 mice, bred and kept under defined-flora pathogen-free conditions at the Association <br> for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care approved animal facility of the Division of Experimental Radiation <br> Oncology, UCLA. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wild animals | Study did not involved wild animals |
| Field-collected samples | Study did not involved samples collected from the field |
| Ethics oversight | All mouse studies were performed and approved under UCLA Animal Research Committee protocol \#2004-159-23 |

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript,

Human research participants
Policy information about studies involving human research participants
Population characteristics Covariant-relevant population characteristics, including sex, age, date of biopsy, anatomical location, response, cycle 1 day 1 , overall survival, disease status, study, prior treatment regimens, histological subtype are provided in Supplemental Table 1.

Recruitment Patients with metastatic melanoma treated with either pembrolizumab or nivolumab with metastasis amenable for biopsy were asked to consider signing a consent for tissue and blood collection for analyses under UCLA Institutional Review Board approvals 11-001918 and 11-003066

Ethics oversight This study was approved by UCLA Institutional Review Board: approvals 11-001918 and 11-003066. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Tumor samples were processed using the tumor dissociation kit, mouse (Miltenyi, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) following manufacture's protocol. Spleens were manually disaggregated and filtered with a $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ strainer following digestion with the ACK lysis buffer (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland). Samples were then stained and processed as previously described (ref 29 from article) with two deviations: samples were not barcoded and $3 \%$ paraformaldehyde was used instead.

Instrument
-For CyTOF experiment (Figure 5e-f and Figure 6a) we used Helios mass cytometer (Fluidigm, South San Francisco, CA) -Flow cytometry for CD8 depletion validation (Extended Data Figure 6d): Attune Nxt Acoustic Focusing Cytometer

Software

Cell population abundance
We didn't perform any sorting. Not applicable.

Gating strategy can be found on Supplementary Figure 2: a, Gating strategy for CD8 depletion validation. Splenocytes were first gated based on physical parameters (FSC-H vs SSC-H). Then, we excluded doublets using FSC-H vs FSC-A. Singlets were selected for viable cells (LiveDead vs SSC-H) and then gated for CD45 positive cells (CD45-Brilliant Violet vs SSC-H). We then gate CD4 vs CD8 to quantify the number of CD8 positive cells. b, Gating strategy for CD45+ cells. First, we checked the stability over time data not shown) and excluded the beads (140Ce vs 1931r). Singlets were gated based on 1931r and CD45 cells were selected and used as an input for CyTOF analysis using Cytofkit.

X Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.
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## Supplementary Figure 1



Supplementary Figure 1: Biopsy sample exclusion criteria. a, Scatterplot between percentage of CD8 T cells by immunohistochemistry and CD8A gene expression by RNA-seq. PT0285_tx and PT0325_base show high T cell infiltration by immunohistochemistry and was not captured by RNAseq and therefore they were excluded from the analysis. $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$, Immunohistochemistry images for PT0285_tx (b) and PT0325_base (c). Slides were stained with CD8 (top) and S100 (bottom). d, Principal component analysis revealed four outlier samples ( $n=64$ ). e, Heatmap showing that these samples are outliers with respect to overexpression of keratinocyte biomarkers KRT15 and KRT5, flagging them for exclusion from further analysis ( $\mathrm{n}=64$ ).

## Supplementary Figure 2
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Supplementary Figure 2: Gating strategy for CD8 depletion validation. Splenocytes were first gated based on physical parameters (FSC-H vs SSC-H). Then, we excluded doublets using FSC-H vs FSC-A. Singlets were selected for viable cells (LiveDead vs SSC-H) and then gated for CD45 positive cells (CD45-Brilliant Violet vs SSC-H). We then gate CD4 vs CD8 to quantify the number of CD8 positive cells. b, Gating strategy for CD45+ cells. First, we checked the stability over time (data not shown) and excluded the beads (140Ce vs 1931r). Singlets were gated based on 193ir and CD45 cells were selected and used as an input for CyTOF analysis using Cytofkit.
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Supplementary table 1. Detailed description of the clinical information of the 60 patients
used for analysis.

| unique patient ID | scenario | response_biopsy | response_irRECIST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt31_base | base |  | PD |
| Pt32_base | base |  | PD |
| Pt35_base | base |  | PR |
| Pt37_base | base |  | PR |
| Pt38_base | base |  | PR |
| PT0035_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0079_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0089_tx | tx | PR | PR |
| PT0109_base | base |  | CR |
| PT0112_tx | tx | PD | PD |
| PT0158_tx4 | tx | PD | PR |
| PT0158_tx2 | tx | PD | PR |
| PT0158_tx3 | tx | PD | PR |
| PT0179_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0204_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0204_tx | tx | PD | PD |
| PT0247_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0247_tx | tx | PD | PD |
| PT0270_tx1 | tx | PR | PD |
| PT0270_tx2 | tx | PR | PD |
| PT0270_tx3 | tx | PR | PD |
| PT0284_tx | tx | CR | CR |
| PT0294_tx2 | tx | SD | PD |
| PT0294_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0294_tx3 | tx | PR | PD |
| PT0294_tx1 | tx | SD | PD |
| PT0295_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0300_tx2 | tx | PD | SD |
| PT0300_tx1 | tx | NE | SD |
| PT0308_base | base |  | CR |
| PT0310_tx3 | tx | PR | PR |
| PT0310_base | base |  | PR |
| PT0310_tx2 | tx | PR | PR |
| PT0310_tx1 | tx | PD | PR |
| PT0319_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0319_tx | tx | PD | PD |
| PT0325_tx | tx | PR | PR |
| PT0328_tx | tx | NE | PD |
| PT0329_tx | tx | NE | PD |
| PT0334_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0335_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0343_base | base |  | PR |
| PT0343_tx | tx | PR | PR |
| PT0344_tx2 | tx | PD | PD |
| PT0344_tx1 | tx | SD | PD |
| PT0344_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0345_base | base |  | PR |
| PT0345_tx | tx | PR | PR |
| PT0347_base | base |  | PR |
| PT0349_base | base |  | CR |
| PT0349_tx | tx | CR | CR |
| PT0350_tx | tx | PR | PR |
| PT0353_tx | tx | PD | PD |
| PT0354_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0360_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0360_tx | tx | PD | PD |
| PT0364_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0364_tx | tx | PD | PD |
| PT0374_base | base |  | PD |
| PT0375_tx | tx | PD | PD |


| age start of |  | dise |  | OS_SOR | Date of biok | Cycle 1 day | Anatomical | BRAF | NRAS | NF1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | M | M1c | 704 | Alive | 2/22/13 | 1/13/14 | Sigmoid colo | V600E |  |  |
| 47 | M | M1c | 171 | Dead | 4/16/14 | 9/29/14 | Abdominal w | V600E |  |  |
| 65 | F | M1c | 427 | Alive | 8/1/14 | 11/3/14 | Flank | V600E |  |  |
| 70 | F | M1c | 364 | Alive | 6/12/12 | 9/13/13 | Small bowel | K483T, D59 |  |  |
| 57 | F | M1c | 448 | Alive | 9/11/13 | 10/16/14 | Cervical, LN |  |  |  |
| 59 | M | M1c | 327 | Dead | 12/7/12 | 1/4/13 | L lower abdo | V600E |  |  |
| 84 | M | M1c | 269 | Dead | 2/13/12 | 9/27/12 | Lung | - |  |  |
| 60 | M | M1b | 945 | Dead | 6/28/12 | 3/5/12 | Lung |  |  |  |
| 69 | M | M1a | NA | Alive | 5/19/14 | NA | R leg, SC | V600R |  |  |
| 85 | M | M1c | 282 | Dead | 6/20/12 | 4/20/12 | Left arm |  |  |  |
| 64 | M | M1c | 1328 | Dead | 12/11/13 | 1/22/13 | Left groin | Base is V600 |  |  |
| 64 | M | M1c | 1328 | Dead | 7/18/13 | 1/22/13 | L inguinal LN | Base is V600 |  |  |
| 64 | M | M1c | 1328 | Dead | 8/15/13 | 1/22/13 | R flank | Base is V600 |  |  |
| 65 | M | M1c | 666 | Dead | 7/24/13 | 7/31/13 | Lung | V600E |  |  |
| 66 | F | M1b | 607 | Dead | 1/27/12 | 2/13/12 | L posterior ti |  | Q61L |  |
| 66 | F | M1b | 607 | Dead | 3/12/12 | 2/13/12 | Back of left I | WT |  |  |
| 55 | M | M1c | 182 | Dead | 1/3/13 | 1/8/13 | L Flank | V600E |  |  |
| 55 | M | M1c | 182 | Dead | 3/13/13 | 1/8/13 | Axillary LN |  |  |  |
| 58 | M | M1c | 503 | Dead | 4/25/12 | 1/4/12 | R axillary LN | Base is V600 |  |  |
| 58 | M | M1c | 503 | Dead | 7/20/12 | 1/4/12 | Tumor 1 | Base is V600 |  |  |
| 58 | M | M1c | 503 | Dead | 7/20/12 | 1/4/12 | Tumor 2 | Base is V600 |  |  |
| 71 | M | M1c | 2008 | Alive | 7/10/12 | 4/17/12 | L inguinal | Base is WT |  | R440* |
| 70 | M | M1b | 980 | Dead | 3/26/14 | 10/15/12 | R supraclav | cular |  |  |
| 70 | M | M1b | 980 | Dead | 10/4/12 | 10/15/12 | R claviculae | WT | G13D | Q1255* |
| 70 | M | M1b | 980 | Dead | 10/30/14 | 10/15/12 | R chest |  |  |  |
| 70 | M | M1b | 980 | Dead | 11/7/12 | 10/15/12 | R supraclav | cular |  |  |
| 77 | M | M1b | 282 | Dead | 9/24/12 | 9/27/12 | Lung |  |  |  |
| 45 | M | M1c | 684 | Dead | 1/17/13 | 5/3/12 | right groin LT | Base is WT |  |  |
| 45 | M | M1c | 684 | Dead | 6/5/12 | 5/3/12 | Groin | Base is WT |  |  |
| 68 | M | M0 | 1809 | Alive | 9/5/12 | 9/24/12 | L upper arm | V600K |  |  |
| 61 | M | M1c | 1875 | Alive | 4/8/13 | 10/3/12 | L flank |  |  |  |
| 61 | M | M1c | 1875 | Alive | 9/5/12 | 10/3/12 | L Chestwall, | V600E |  |  |
| 61 | M | M1c | 1875 | Alive | 1/14/13 | 10/3/12 | L flank |  |  |  |
| 61 | M | M1c | 1875 | Alive | 10/23/12 | 10/3/12 | L Chestwall |  |  |  |
| 59 | F | M1c | 203 | Dead | 1/8/13 | 1/10/13 | R armpit | WT | NRAS mut |  |
| 59 | F | M1c | 203 | Dead | 2/20/13 | 1/10/13 | No annotated | d in care conn | ect |  |
| 45 | M | M1c | 1456 | Alive | 3/11/14 | 2/1/13 | Chest |  |  |  |
| 76 | M | M1c | 199 | Dead | 4/17/13 | 6/2/13 | Leg | Base is WT |  |  |
| 81 | M | M1b | 168 | Dead | 3/20/13 | 2/27/13 | Scalp | Base is WT |  |  |
| 55 | F | M1c | 662 | Dead | 8/8/13 | 8/15/13 | Crown/scalp | WT | - | - |
| 63 | F | M1c | 337 | Dead | 8/29/14 | 9/4/13 | L clavicle | - | Q61R | K615K Splice |
| 51 | M | M1c | 1579 | Alive | 6/6/13 | 6/7/13 | L forearm, S | V600E | - | - |
| 51 | M | M1c | 1579 | Alive | 8/5/13 | 6/7/13 | L forearm |  |  |  |
| 44 | F | M1c | 317 | Dead | 2/6/14 | 10/9/13 | Inner thigh |  |  |  |
| 44 | F | M1c | 317 | Dead | 10/30/13 | 10/9/13 | R infrapubic |  |  |  |
| 44 | F | M1c | 317 | Dead | 10/7/13 | 10/9/13 | R upper leg/ | V600E |  |  |
| 62 | M | M1c | 1489 | Alive | 6/3/13 | 6/7/13 | Adrenal | L331F | - | S2597* |
| 62 | M | M1c | 1489 | Alive | 7/22/13 | 6/7/13 | Adrenal |  |  |  |
| 55 | M | M1c | 1570 | Alive | 6/4/13 | 6/21/13 | Lung | WT | - | Frame_Shift |
| 53 | F | M1c | 1456 | Alive | 6/6/13 | 6/14/13 | Lower back, | V600E | - | - |
| 53 | F | M1c | 1456 | Alive | 8/23/13 | 6/14/13 | L scapula |  |  |  |
| 38 | M | M1c | 1580 | Alive | 8/26/13 | 6/17/13 | Liver | V600E |  |  |
| 45 | F | M1c | 149 | Dead | 8/12/13 | 7/2/13 | R armpit | WT |  |  |
| 74 | M | M1c | 262 | Dead | 7/30/13 | 7/31/13 | L Outer Ingu |  | - | - |
| 63 | M | M1c | 103 | Dead | 10/4/13 | 10/8/13 | L leg | V600K | - | - |
| 63 | M | M1c | 103 | Dead | 10/30/13 | 10/8/13 | L leg |  |  |  |
| 58 | M | M1c | 401 | Dead | 11/5/13 | 11/20/13 | Chest |  |  |  |
| 58 | M | M1c | 401 | Dead | 12/17/13 | 11/20/13 | Chest |  |  |  |
| 27 | F | M1c | 115 | Dead | 1/17/14 | 1/17/14 | R neck, SC | V600E | - | R2349C |
| 19 | M | M1b | 186 | Dead | 4/30/14 | 2/10/14 | Lung | V600E | - | - |



Supplementary table 2A: Differential gene expression analysis between upper and lower
quartile of dendritic cell score. Showing genes enriched in the low dendritic cell group.

| gene | baseMean | log2FoldChange | IfcSE | stat | pvalue | padj |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPI | 20437.101 | 1.170261859 | 0.1862642 | 6.2828058 | 3.33E-10 | 3.06E-08 |
| CNKSR3 | 1644.8013 | 2.378900208 | 0.4002603 | 5.9433832 | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 2.07E-07 |
| ITPKA | 153.51751 | 3.075361448 | 0.528283 | 5.8214282 | 5.83E-09 | 3.83E-07 |
| PTPRN | 911.82116 | 4.993903696 | 0.8645681 | 5.7761831 | 7.64E-09 | 4.87E-07 |
| APCDD1 | 9064.8585 | 4.64203725 | 0.8036121 | 5.7764652 | 7.63E-09 | 4.87E-07 |
| RNFT2 | 199.57573 | 2.014865933 | 0.360327 | 5.5917701 | $2.25 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| NKD1 | 2251.4788 | 3.977099531 | 0.7199402 | 5.5242082 | $3.31 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.83E-06 |
| TOMM34 | 3237.1728 | 1.316061365 | 0.239685 | 5.4907962 | $4.00 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| SLC38A8 | 53.293317 | 6.398504956 | 1.1686737 | 5.4750139 | 4.37E-08 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| NRAP | 46.524662 | 5.078605187 | 0.9325576 | 5.4458888 | $5.15 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| SLC35E4 | 824.17447 | 1.650105941 | 0.3036186 | 5.4347981 | $5.49 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 2.83E-06 |
| DPF1 | 113.23191 | 1.973894066 | 0.3647733 | 5.4112891 | 6.26E-08 | $3.18 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| RFNG | 2148.4631 | 1.028814301 | 0.1904282 | 5.4026368 | $6.57 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $3.31 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| SP5 | 571.0496 | 5.795877324 | 1.0766251 | 5.3833755 | 7.31E-08 | 3.62E-06 |
| GPR39 | 738.55297 | 4.397509507 | 0.8352613 | 5.2648312 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $6.42 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| TMEM189 | 2739.2461 | 1.093817557 | 0.2079348 | 5.260388 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $6.54 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| ACO2 | 9642.5629 | 1.299972989 | 0.2474086 | 5.2543572 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 6.73E-06 |
| GPC1 | 13648.157 | 3.37613282 | 0.643728 | 5.2446576 | 1.57E-07 | 7.08E-06 |
| DNAJB1 | 11730.605 | 1.205152626 | 0.2316165 | 5.203224 | 1.96E-07 | 8.61E-06 |
| ONECUT2 | 396.14645 | 3.626152888 | 0.6985857 | 5.1907058 | 2.09E-07 | $9.11 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| SLC1A5 | 14325.666 | 1.939282721 | 0.3741058 | 5.1837816 | 2.17E-07 | 9.37E-06 |
| PAK4 | 3208.4323 | 1.155104701 | 0.2249118 | 5.1358123 | $2.81 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.19E-05 |
| ESPN | 274.88854 | 3.811009485 | 0.7429295 | 5.1297054 | $2.90 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| CIT | 2712.7044 | 1.471022033 | 0.2869754 | 5.125951 | 2.96E-07 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TRIB3 | 4980.3364 | 2.049526016 | 0.400997 | 5.1110752 | $3.20 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.33E-05 |
| KLHDC8A | 156.86839 | 4.115646907 | 0.8082856 | 5.0918228 | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| MSX1 | 437.76175 | 1.603963591 | 0.3150909 | 5.0904796 | 3.57E-07 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| GAP43 | 2447.5338 | 5.02900688 | 0.9900466 | 5.079566 | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| FAM19A5 | 1721.3673 | 3.790628082 | 0.7546784 | 5.0228393 | 5.09E-07 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| HES6 | 2054.2813 | 2.741225655 | 0.5462145 | 5.018588 | $5.21 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.03 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ALDOA | 61654.985 | 1.197328087 | 0.2391119 | 5.0073975 | 5.52E-07 | 2.13E-05 |
| CCDC74B | 30.333758 | 3.650335497 | 0.7293423 | 5.0049689 | 5.59E-07 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| FNTB | 2252.6564 | 2.676647629 | 0.53673 | 4.9869539 | $6.13 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.33E-05 |
| PMP2 | 2056.5516 | 5.450317291 | 1.0941381 | 4.9813799 | $6.31 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ARC | 701.37478 | 4.135708592 | 0.8302933 | 4.9810214 | $6.32 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| TTLL12 | 3565.1407 | 1.173545164 | 0.2363057 | 4.9662169 | 6.83E-07 | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| SWI5 | 1319.5175 | 1.097425315 | 0.2223851 | 4.9347968 | 8.02E-07 | $2.93 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PARVB | 6234.7599 | 1.573390667 | 0.3189788 | 4.932587 | $8.11 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.95 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| SLAMF9 | 320.9587 | 4.045054078 | 0.822444 | 4.9183338 | 8.73E-07 | $3.14 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| CFAP77 | 59.003119 | 3.672547953 | 0.7489731 | 4.9034442 | $9.42 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| DPH3P1 | 380.55472 | 1.857118097 | 0.3789108 | 4.9012013 | 9.53E-07 | $3.39 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| BNIP3 | 973.52429 | 1.839562657 | 0.3788627 | 4.855486 | 1.20E-06 | $4.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ |


| HSPA1B | 4211.4357 | 1.811004883 | 0.3741614 | 4.84017 | 1.30E-06 | 4.42E-05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NRTN | 159.88092 | 3.468208592 | 0.7251011 | 4.7830687 | 1.73E-06 | $5.70 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ADCY2 | 401.7384 | 3.893884643 | 0.8166397 | 4.7681792 | 1.86E-06 | $6.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ISM2 | 9.6701889 | 2.917396875 | 0.6118384 | 4.7682475 | 1.86E-06 | $6.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| BARX1 | 36.210624 | 3.99805859 | 0.8387246 | 4.7668311 | 1.87E-06 | $6.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| ZNRF3 | 1367.4841 | 1.749771654 | 0.3680483 | 4.7541897 | 1.99E-06 | $6.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| DHRS2 | 413.23059 | 3.856584873 | 0.8134868 | 4.7408084 | 2.13E-06 | $6.83 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| NPW | 64.666702 | 3.1793424 | 0.6709881 | 4.7382996 | 2.16E-06 | $6.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| XPNPEP3 | 1533.9678 | 1.151996821 | 0.2431998 | 4.7368335 | 2.17E-06 | $6.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| LRP1B | 132.49839 | 4.692785991 | 0.9976362 | 4.7039052 | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 7.97E-05 |
| HOXD11 | 31.09414 | 3.993306731 | 0.8501501 | 4.6971785 | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $8.19 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| CFAP61 | 67.344985 | 3.196063611 | 0.6811037 | 4.692477 | 2.70E-06 | 8.36E-05 |
| TUBA8 | 462.03548 | 2.714547412 | 0.5819071 | 4.6649156 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $9.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| SLC24A4 | 3996.0059 | 4.191110203 | 0.9013249 | 4.6499439 | 3.32E-06 | $9.96 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| METAP1D | 727.29294 | 1.284446564 | 0.2762721 | 4.6492091 | 3.33E-06 | $9.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| LMF2 | 8515.0902 | 1.326191148 | 0.2865176 | 4.6286557 | 3.68E-06 | 0.0001092 |
| FAT1 | 11852.878 | 1.396733531 | 0.301835 | 4.6274735 | $3.70 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.0001097 |
| COMT | 13390.754 | 1.902958173 | 0.4116245 | 4.6230445 | $3.78 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.0001117 |
| EEF1A2 | 2184.7826 | 4.352885093 | 0.9462253 | 4.600263 | 4.22E-06 | 0.0001216 |
| ZP1 | 67.702514 | 3.7316213 | 0.8118328 | 4.596539 | 4.30E-06 | 0.0001234 |
| OPRK1 | 8.5193417 | 5.511059038 | 1.1997035 | 4.5936843 | $4.35 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.0001248 |
| OSGIN1 | 783.83022 | 1.648488187 | 0.3598138 | 4.5815039 | 4.62E-06 | 0.0001311 |
| VIM | 259294.04 | 1.007019024 | 0.2203631 | 4.5698164 | $4.88 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.0001374 |
| CHST10 | 1601.0363 | 1.042929066 | 0.2286553 | 4.5611418 | 5.09E-06 | 0.0001422 |
| SOWAHC | 1157.9932 | 1.102775106 | 0.2418336 | 4.5600569 | 5.11E-06 | 0.0001424 |
| BAG3 | 3841.2672 | 1.131053291 | 0.2480931 | 4.558987 | 5.14E-06 | 0.0001428 |
| SLC25A1 | 4072.4214 | 1.316695887 | 0.2900528 | 4.539504 | 5.64E-06 | 0.0001541 |
| SCN5A | 53.683901 | 2.857190526 | 0.6311799 | 4.5267449 | 5.99E-06 | 0.0001615 |
| IL36RN | 35.534126 | 3.90808958 | 0.8643943 | 4.5211886 | $6.15 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.0001651 |
| PFKFB4 | 1854.2354 | 1.794807439 | 0.3991178 | 4.4969365 | 6.89E-06 | 0.000183 |
| C17orf53 | 290.00063 | 1.176251613 | 0.262107 | 4.487677 | 7.20E-06 | 0.0001896 |
| CHPF | 14441.323 | 1.417560397 | 0.3174955 | 4.4648211 | 8.01E-06 | 0.0002096 |
| GSTT2B:2 | 5.1969872 | 3.097629354 | 0.6971643 | 4.4431845 | 8.86E-06 | 0.0002277 |
| CRYM | 193.23772 | 2.825922796 | 0.6362481 | 4.441542 | 8.93E-06 | 0.0002286 |
| SLC7A4 | 175.74469 | 3.592944893 | 0.8107631 | 4.4315593 | $9.36 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.0002375 |
| ZNF462 | 2121.9123 | 1.118735805 | 0.2532013 | 4.4183645 | 9.95E-06 | 0.0002495 |
| IL36B | 14.647743 | 3.685988937 | 0.835008 | 4.414316 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0002536 |
| FAM178B | 1246.8067 | 3.930041667 | 0.8916574 | 4.4075694 | 1.05E-05 | 0.0002606 |
| SCLY | 955.93398 | 1.033345017 | 0.2345832 | 4.4050249 | $1.06 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0002626 |
| TIMP2 | 72137.702 | 1.278892606 | 0.2908159 | 4.3976027 | $1.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00027 |
| MIF | 29574.444 | 1.405404701 | 0.3198843 | 4.3934781 | 1.12E-05 | 0.0002741 |
| IGF1R | 8236.9339 | 1.204750893 | 0.2752872 | 4.3763419 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0002947 |
| SEMA3B | 7609.4402 | 3.15841239 | 0.7227397 | 4.3700554 | 1.24E-05 | 0.0003029 |
| TMPRSS9 | 246.54655 | 2.626197308 | 0.6015211 | 4.3659272 | $1.27 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0003075 |
| HRAS | 1632.6837 | 1.095273971 | 0.2509902 | 4.3638118 | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0003101 |
| MCAT | 1147.9 | 1.051104174 | 0.24194 | 4.3444821 | $1.40 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0003332 |


| DUSP14 | 1406.147 | 1.095946941 | 0.2527084 | 4.3368044 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000343 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RTBDN | 54.441555 | 4.265270178 | 0.9849348 | 4.3305103 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0003516 |
| P4HA1 | 6382.9777 | 1.100975872 | 0.2544132 | 4.3275103 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0003551 |
| MZT2A | 690.79099 | 1.118477959 | 0.2588901 | 4.3202813 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0003665 |
| ZNF771 | 409.48591 | 1.251083734 | 0.2897499 | 4.3178051 | $1.58 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0003688 |
| ANO7 | 144.09598 | 1.319342004 | 0.306223 | 4.3084357 | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0003811 |
| SLC9A2 | 182.9201 | 4.202389169 | 0.9776139 | 4.2986186 | 1.72E-05 | 0.000395 |
| LONP1 | 7252.1778 | 1.042675098 | 0.2430425 | 4.2900944 | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0004071 |
| TMEM249 | 49.418728 | 1.547288552 | 0.3609922 | 4.2862105 | 1.82E-05 | 0.0004123 |
| RIPK4 | 3282.5848 | 2.912000571 | 0.6805942 | 4.2786153 | $1.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0004241 |
| VAT1 | 33489.121 | 1.237135319 | 0.2899157 | 4.2672244 | $1.98 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0004422 |
| LRRC45 | 1254.0453 | 1.026820142 | 0.2407442 | 4.2651923 | $2.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0004457 |
| CLDN14 | 147.16379 | 2.983389749 | 0.700904 | 4.2564887 | $2.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0004607 |
| WFS1 | 2599.0738 | 1.070411139 | 0.2516401 | 4.2537387 | $2.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0004648 |
| PMEPA1 | 7864.3931 | 2.274002122 | 0.5360926 | 4.2418082 | 2.22E-05 | 0.0004863 |
| FN3K | 1876.0525 | 1.575678351 | 0.3715571 | 4.2407433 | 2.23E-05 | 0.000488 |
| TTLL1 | 928.90262 | 1.312808503 | 0.3101118 | 4.23334 | $2.30 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0005032 |
| PERM1 | 88.658962 | 1.947870625 | 0.4622242 | 4.2141248 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0005376 |
| WDR54 | 894.44169 | 1.098231303 | 0.2607614 | 4.2116326 | $2.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0005424 |
| DIP2C | 5507.7257 | 1.312527339 | 0.3124696 | 4.2004962 | $2.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0005666 |
| CA12 | 1879.0994 | 2.470623165 | 0.5897924 | 4.1889706 | $2.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0005929 |
| OR51B5 | 11.807045 | 4.096189067 | 0.9794399 | 4.1821751 | $2.89 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0006079 |
| AVPI1 | 1873.8409 | 1.994910664 | 0.4772846 | 4.1797085 | $2.92 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0006128 |
| HSPA1A | 5542.3525 | 1.391996718 | 0.3331789 | 4.1779261 | $2.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0006163 |
| IGLON5 | 57.478059 | 2.624421389 | 0.6287996 | 4.1737006 | $3.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0006251 |
| RNF182 | 864.17066 | 2.91545457 | 0.6988543 | 4.1717633 | 3.02E-05 | 0.0006277 |
| SLC3A2 | 15983.118 | 1.192956254 | 0.2859536 | 4.1718526 | 3.02E-05 | 0.0006277 |
| MEX3D | 1440.2853 | 1.048568465 | 0.2514403 | 4.1702475 | $3.04 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0006285 |
| ENO1 | 84443.236 | 1.190291567 | 0.2873851 | 4.1417998 | $3.45 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0006997 |
| EME1 | 535.97661 | 1.515056821 | 0.3660256 | 4.1392098 | $3.49 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0007069 |
| TM4SF19 | 364.35318 | 2.593986252 | 0.627086 | 4.136572 | 3.53E-05 | 0.0007136 |
| LCN2 | 70.907614 | 3.780882617 | 0.9143579 | 4.1350138 | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0007177 |
| CHRND | 11.669543 | 3.326273741 | 0.8070845 | 4.1213449 | $3.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0007544 |
| WDR31 | 305.50162 | 1.049113449 | 0.25472 | 4.1186927 | $3.81 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0007605 |
| DDIT3 | 2806.707 | 1.725518959 | 0.4190366 | 4.1178239 | 3.82E-05 | 0.0007621 |
| PPFIA4 | 425.77571 | 1.806022366 | 0.4391817 | 4.1122438 | 3.92E-05 | 0.0007746 |
| VPS37D | 185.5173 | 1.220191837 | 0.2968274 | 4.1107786 | $3.94 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0007776 |
| C9orf129 | 19.285589 | 4.214670633 | 1.0274186 | 4.1021942 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0008037 |
| NUP93 | 5140.0525 | 1.213307713 | 0.2961292 | 4.0972247 | $4.18 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0008187 |
| USP11 | 8410.8774 | 1.132343001 | 0.2765432 | 4.094633 | $4.23 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0008244 |
| CYB5R2 | 1869.235 | 2.926508701 | 0.716504 | 4.0844273 | 4.42E-05 | 0.0008512 |
| SLC2A1 | 5514.3482 | 1.264847714 | 0.309801 | 4.0827751 | $4.45 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0008556 |
| P4HB | 63685.449 | 1.129446454 | 0.277217 | 4.074233 | 4.62E-05 | 0.0008823 |
| UCN2 | 1162.7535 | 3.2503279 | 0.7980423 | 4.0728768 | 4.64E-05 | 0.0008865 |
| GAD1 | 272.80529 | 3.419546581 | 0.8400748 | 4.0705262 | $4.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0008927 |
| DLX2 | 79.846436 | 2.68717152 | 0.6604959 | 4.0684151 | $4.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0008992 |


| SRXN1 | 2995.0135 | 1.021349789 | 0.2511902 | 4.0660423 | $4.78 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0009056 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDT1 | 990.1377 | 1.214414694 | 0.298745 | 4.065054 | $4.80 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0009069 |
| ESM1 | 793.79274 | 2.036746994 | 0.5026593 | 4.0519431 | $5.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.000948 |
| CCDC74A | 143.88096 | 2.473492365 | 0.6121223 | 4.0408467 | 5.33E-05 | 0.0009863 |
| MED15 | 9503.1924 | 1.01778666 | 0.2522705 | 4.0345046 | 5.47E-05 | 0.0010078 |
| PEX10 | 1905.036 | 1.060431373 | 0.2630369 | 4.0314933 | 5.54E-05 | 0.0010186 |
| NCAPH2 | 4700.5384 | 1.127244871 | 0.2796466 | 4.0309628 | 5.55E-05 | 0.0010199 |
| UPK1A | 47.026932 | 2.410475471 | 0.5999681 | 4.017673 | $5.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0010719 |
| SOX12 | 2647.2796 | 1.164995388 | 0.2899676 | 4.0176739 | $5.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0010719 |
| NAV2 | 8528.4872 | 1.436866388 | 0.3579658 | 4.0139771 | 5.97E-05 | 0.0010828 |
| C10orf126 | 8.9940517 | 4.513233127 | 1.1259882 | 4.0082418 | $6.12 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0011041 |
| PES1 | 7303.3271 | 1.05255145 | 0.2631184 | 4.0002954 | 6.33E-05 | 0.0011344 |
| CHADL | 172.35862 | 1.909863194 | 0.4782356 | 3.9935616 | $6.51 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0011595 |
| OPRD1 | 53.834216 | 3.609001711 | 0.9046326 | 3.9894668 | 6.62E-05 | 0.0011721 |
| CBARP | 266.152 | 1.601704281 | 0.4017859 | 3.9864617 | $6.71 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0011841 |
| GGA1 | 4923.0641 | 1.040729686 | 0.2615581 | 3.9789617 | $6.92 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0012128 |
| MRPS2 | 2490.5426 | 1.049927879 | 0.2640516 | 3.9762229 | $7.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0012239 |
| FASN | 22708.036 | 1.592265809 | 0.400598 | 3.9747221 | 7.05E-05 | 0.0012289 |
| PLPPR4 | 2492.7097 | 3.255142737 | 0.8195614 | 3.9718106 | 7.13E-05 | 0.0012384 |
| CNP | 14757.311 | 1.112855086 | 0.2801833 | 3.9718817 | 7.13E-05 | 0.0012384 |
| ZNF703 | 4246.7894 | 1.67686507 | 0.4225674 | 3.9682785 | 7.24E-05 | 0.0012535 |
| NR4A1 | 3299.0575 | 1.560724166 | 0.3944299 | 3.956911 | 7.59E-05 | 0.0013029 |
| KDELR3 | 4107.2958 | 1.211894206 | 0.3063034 | 3.9565153 | $7.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0013039 |
| MAFF | 3077.8001 | 1.458547045 | 0.3694236 | 3.9481699 | $7.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001343 |
| CEP170B | 4896.2445 | 1.438705665 | 0.3648649 | 3.9431193 | 8.04E-05 | 0.0013655 |
| CRMP1 | 1277.4458 | 1.500822632 | 0.3811953 | 3.9371483 | 8.25E-05 | 0.0013937 |
| IFT27 | 1284.9323 | 1.22148135 | 0.3102965 | 3.9364977 | 8.27E-05 | 0.0013963 |
| B3GNT7 | 1474.5555 | 2.086798946 | 0.5302002 | 3.9358694 | 8.29E-05 | 0.0013975 |
| HM13 | 12767.533 | 1.022374716 | 0.2599399 | 3.9331196 | 8.39E-05 | 0.0014111 |
| PNCK | 85.03719 | 2.243695423 | 0.5705626 | 3.9324261 | $8.41 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0014139 |
| ATAD3A | 2025.4859 | 1.079997998 | 0.2754586 | 3.9207273 | 8.83E-05 | 0.001474 |
| ACP7 | 20.115859 | 3.494275728 | 0.8914208 | 3.919895 | 8.86E-05 | 0.0014778 |
| ARHGDIA | 25164.21 | 1.041437661 | 0.2659387 | 3.9160823 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0014965 |
| RNF144A | 4425.0804 | 1.015095734 | 0.2593933 | 3.9133461 | $9.10 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0015093 |
| SPHK1 | 2832.2818 | 1.64076608 | 0.4197165 | 3.9092247 | $9.26 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.00153 |
| MTCL1 | 991.20035 | 1.762604764 | 0.4511024 | 3.9073278 | 9.33E-05 | 0.0015392 |
| KCNJ14 | 117.61043 | 1.045338615 | 0.2683146 | 3.8959434 | $9.78 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.0015957 |
| BAIAP2 | 3189.0974 | 1.01864035 | 0.2615303 | 3.8949222 | 9.82E-05 | 0.0016011 |
| SH3BGR | 155.12786 | 1.639291524 | 0.421276 | 3.8912533 | 9.97E-05 | 0.00162 |
| UBE2S | 2530.5834 | 1.23527285 | 0.317638 | 3.8889332 | 0.0001007 | 0.0016328 |
| E2F1 | 1755.9538 | 1.264478505 | 0.3252899 | 3.887236 | 0.0001014 | 0.0016428 |
| KCNG1 | 369.32723 | 2.544143815 | 0.6546772 | 3.886104 | 0.0001019 | 0.0016491 |
| ANKRD37 | 447.0346 | 1.213243992 | 0.3127089 | 3.8797867 | 0.0001045 | 0.0016856 |
| DCXR | 2769.1204 | 1.321292311 | 0.3408993 | 3.8759017 | 0.0001062 | 0.0017056 |
| ADM | 2069.7808 | 1.893821156 | 0.4909469 | 3.8574866 | 0.0001146 | 0.0018193 |
| TRIM62 | 1435.9328 | 1.557722436 | 0.4039807 | 3.8559328 | 0.0001153 | 0.0018278 |


| SV2C | 46.435351 | 2.948535024 | 0.76687 | 3.8448954 | 0.0001206 | 0.0018995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IRS2 | 5089.6779 | 1.054763194 | 0.2746993 | 3.8397014 | 0.0001232 | 0.0019259 |
| NECAB2 | 44.940524 | 2.370066715 | 0.6175862 | 3.8376292 | 0.0001242 | 0.0019407 |
| MAP6D1 | 235.77281 | 1.369879052 | 0.3586513 | 3.8195293 | 0.0001337 | 0.002052 |
| LINGO1 | 3108.7904 | 2.232311301 | 0.5845596 | 3.8187917 | 0.0001341 | 0.0020548 |
| SEC14L2 | 1469.3027 | 1.666969652 | 0.4365851 | 3.8182013 | 0.0001344 | 0.0020565 |
| DHX33 | 4248.5782 | 1.393036197 | 0.3653148 | 3.8132491 | 0.0001372 | 0.0020881 |
| CCND1 | 28237.76 | 1.485837504 | 0.3903632 | 3.8062953 | 0.0001411 | 0.002135 |
| WNT7B | 80.075461 | 3.053965917 | 0.8028134 | 3.8040795 | 0.0001423 | 0.0021516 |
| SLC7A11 | 3122.1287 | 2.63324614 | 0.6925712 | 3.8021308 | 0.0001435 | 0.0021652 |
| GNG4 | 568.82966 | 1.974717134 | 0.5194863 | 3.8012879 | 0.0001439 | 0.0021706 |
| NOTUM | 222.2643 | 3.286481705 | 0.865431 | 3.7975084 | 0.0001462 | 0.0021962 |
| VSX1 | 7.7034419 | 2.803170882 | 0.7386233 | 3.7951294 | 0.0001476 | 0.002215 |
| TTYH2 | 5586.1857 | 1.628962467 | 0.4292487 | 3.7949157 | 0.0001477 | 0.0022151 |
| TRIML2 | 9.8797872 | 2.569193624 | 0.67725 | 3.7935678 | 0.0001485 | 0.00222 |
| MYO1D | 15510.619 | 1.999889958 | 0.5272023 | 3.7934018 | 0.0001486 | 0.00222 |
| CHCHD10 | 3663.1736 | 1.987633561 | 0.5248959 | 3.7867192 | 0.0001526 | 0.00227 |
| LOC101928841 | 79.509496 | 2.947425676 | 0.7784973 | 3.7860448 | 0.0001531 | 0.0022744 |
| SPTB | 548.6002 | 2.090004244 | 0.5523629 | 3.7837521 | 0.0001545 | 0.0022866 |
| PRICKLE3 | 1226.3072 | 1.219845658 | 0.3224202 | 3.7834035 | 0.0001547 | 0.002288 |
| PERP | 5804.0176 | 1.6020532 | 0.4235901 | 3.7820841 | 0.0001555 | 0.0022966 |
| RAB36 | 801.74529 | 1.897805828 | 0.5021285 | 3.7795225 | 0.0001571 | 0.002315 |
| PAQR4 | 2984.6948 | 1.091877619 | 0.290185 | 3.7626952 | 0.0001681 | 0.0024483 |
| PEX26 | 3327.9337 | 1.008127225 | 0.2680449 | 3.7610386 | 0.0001692 | 0.0024627 |
| VGF | 13763.392 | 3.66640495 | 0.9755027 | 3.7584775 | 0.000171 | 0.0024795 |
| PSAT1 | 5593.5284 | 2.585429561 | 0.6880381 | 3.7576838 | 0.0001715 | 0.0024809 |
| TMEM99 | 639.0198 | 1.290704923 | 0.3440243 | 3.7517841 | 0.0001756 | 0.0025305 |
| BRINP2 | 40.83539 | 4.497929204 | 1.1998216 | 3.7488316 | 0.0001777 | 0.0025489 |
| MZT2B | 1785.6418 | 1.004789432 | 0.2681839 | 3.7466436 | 0.0001792 | 0.0025674 |
| LYPD1 | 1660.5633 | 2.549757275 | 0.6810303 | 3.7439706 | 0.0001811 | 0.0025891 |
| TK1 | 2136.1039 | 1.148252114 | 0.307163 | 3.7382504 | 0.0001853 | 0.0026408 |
| TEKT5 | 84.515592 | 3.472186888 | 0.9293029 | 3.736335 | 0.0001867 | 0.0026531 |
| NPTX2 | 4407.9347 | 3.305257255 | 0.8859822 | 3.7306137 | 0.000191 | 0.0027081 |
| DHCR7 | 2463.9503 | 1.448782721 | 0.388405 | 3.7300829 | 0.0001914 | 0.0027099 |
| MC1R | 2962.8609 | 1.711677289 | 0.459171 | 3.7277554 | 0.0001932 | 0.0027309 |
| POLR3H | 5462.366 | 1.085322816 | 0.2912933 | 3.7258769 | 0.0001946 | 0.0027453 |
| ENO2 | 6087.893 | 1.653476357 | 0.4438628 | 3.7251967 | 0.0001952 | 0.0027507 |
| TLN2 | 1959.1331 | 1.284768819 | 0.3450897 | 3.7229992 | 0.0001969 | 0.0027666 |
| FOXC1 | 875.20688 | 1.05075629 | 0.2822734 | 3.7224774 | 0.0001973 | 0.0027682 |
| SLC5A4 | 240.06549 | 2.777652259 | 0.7466898 | 3.7199548 | 0.0001993 | 0.0027879 |
| KNOP1 | 2259.4651 | 1.130973276 | 0.304322 | 3.7163703 | 0.0002021 | 0.0028154 |
| NKAIN1 | 178.80669 | 2.619652382 | 0.7049334 | 3.7161702 | 0.0002023 | 0.0028156 |
| PRSS3 | 19.46726 | 3.187419696 | 0.8589553 | 3.7108096 | 0.0002066 | 0.0028696 |
| KCNU1 | 16.099172 | 3.704029885 | 0.9994281 | 3.7061494 | 0.0002104 | 0.0029145 |
| GPR3 | 209.86184 | 1.286639813 | 0.3479762 | 3.6974941 | 0.0002177 | 0.0029968 |
| SERINC2 | 1740.2227 | 1.192239963 | 0.3228833 | 3.6924792 | 0.0002221 | 0.0030386 |


| SLC6A8 | 5243.2981 | 1.28343986 | 0.3479529 | 3.6885448 | 0.0002255 | 0.003077 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STC2 | 814.36761 | 1.796576302 | 0.4887289 | 3.6760181 | 0.0002369 | 0.0032043 |
| METTL9 | 13985.008 | 1.477247191 | 0.4032899 | 3.6629912 | 0.0002493 | 0.0033345 |
| RRP7A | 5719.2559 | 1.102086149 | 0.3011977 | 3.6590122 | 0.0002532 | 0.003382 |
| TMEM52 | 33.351286 | 2.377983277 | 0.650091 | 3.6579238 | 0.0002543 | 0.0033916 |
| ANKRD54 | 2427.415 | 1.107838667 | 0.3029932 | 3.6563154 | 0.0002559 | 0.0034082 |
| FAHD1 | 2465.6899 | 1.082098372 | 0.2962025 | 3.6532384 | 0.000259 | 0.0034422 |
| MARCH4 | 19.283207 | 2.119065083 | 0.5807524 | 3.6488273 | 0.0002634 | 0.0034945 |
| PMAIP1 | 1559.9549 | 1.933933607 | 0.5300672 | 3.6484684 | 0.0002638 | 0.003497 |
| HBG2 | 16.770212 | 2.967157373 | 0.8148447 | 3.6413776 | 0.0002712 | 0.0035824 |
| HAGHL | 1165.5677 | 1.279259549 | 0.3522185 | 3.6320056 | 0.0002812 | 0.0036796 |
| CXCL8 | 1902.6457 | 2.602441743 | 0.7166337 | 3.6314811 | 0.0002818 | 0.003683 |
| C4orf47 | 80.871531 | 1.568977889 | 0.4321051 | 3.6310098 | 0.0002823 | 0.0036862 |
| RNASEH2A | 1771.8497 | 1.105757861 | 0.3045612 | 3.6306596 | 0.0002827 | 0.0036887 |
| HK2 | 6142.9265 | 1.017138972 | 0.2803229 | 3.6284551 | 0.0002851 | 0.0037103 |
| NCS1 | 3013.5338 | 1.098621015 | 0.3028998 | 3.6270118 | 0.0002867 | 0.003726 |
| ABCB6 | 2011.2311 | 1.071380031 | 0.2961404 | 3.6178116 | 0.0002971 | 0.003817 |
| ANKRD18B | 18.542026 | 3.87963561 | 1.0737719 | 3.613091 | 0.0003026 | 0.003869 |
| KRT80 | 278.2721 | 2.982167019 | 0.826867 | 3.6065859 | 0.0003103 | 0.0039488 |
| B4GALNT3 | 1563.9349 | 2.287832358 | 0.6349094 | 3.6033997 | 0.0003141 | 0.0039896 |
| CRLF1 | 545.58224 | 2.976419903 | 0.8271461 | 3.5984209 | 0.0003202 | 0.0040543 |
| SOGA3 | 543.58039 | 1.989343077 | 0.5529715 | 3.5975508 | 0.0003212 | 0.0040619 |
| OLFM2 | 932.80248 | 1.77604423 | 0.493685 | 3.5975249 | 0.0003213 | 0.0040619 |
| MAD1L1 | 3887.1148 | 1.289755662 | 0.3596269 | 3.5863717 | 0.0003353 | 0.0042008 |
| TFRC | 11120.433 | 1.219370051 | 0.3403319 | 3.5828854 | 0.0003398 | 0.004249 |
| TCFL5 | 1824.5912 | 1.052310147 | 0.2939856 | 3.5794611 | 0.0003443 | 0.004291 |
| GVQW2 | 31.362602 | 1.262363227 | 0.3530379 | 3.5757157 | 0.0003493 | 0.0043473 |
| ACSL3 | 14105.799 | 1.053409189 | 0.2950269 | 3.5705527 | 0.0003562 | 0.0044195 |
| IGF2BP2 | 3201.3166 | 1.387614491 | 0.3894524 | 3.5629884 | 0.0003667 | 0.0045168 |
| RAB32 | 3758.6457 | 1.283957611 | 0.3604825 | 3.5617756 | 0.0003684 | 0.0045284 |
| SPATC1L | 586.84933 | 1.294834008 | 0.3636202 | 3.560952 | 0.0003695 | 0.004533 |
| DDT | 2072.8556 | 1.093241696 | 0.306994 | 3.5611178 | 0.0003693 | 0.004533 |
| TMEM179 | 17.84129 | 3.324726558 | 0.9341541 | 3.5590772 | 0.0003722 | 0.0045539 |
| CMTM8 | 571.47508 | 1.936138643 | 0.5443528 | 3.5567717 | 0.0003754 | 0.0045808 |
| OSBPL5 | 2122.9255 | 1.166477728 | 0.3280364 | 3.5559396 | 0.0003766 | 0.0045924 |
| PKMYT1 | 1192.1951 | 1.391278187 | 0.391377 | 3.5548288 | 0.0003782 | 0.0046031 |
| PCLO | 1199.3486 | 2.440139003 | 0.6880179 | 3.5466216 | 0.0003902 | 0.0047398 |
| MYL10 | 26.391144 | 3.730697907 | 1.052119 | 3.5458898 | 0.0003913 | 0.004747 |
| DNAAF5 | 2799.7945 | 1.003413543 | 0.2833668 | 3.541041 | 0.0003986 | 0.0048229 |
| ATP2B2 | 149.58376 | 2.97953126 | 0.8416591 | 3.5400688 | 0.0004 | 0.0048315 |
| IGFBP3 | 12658.816 | 1.660176142 | 0.4690326 | 3.5395755 | 0.0004008 | 0.0048357 |
| CCDC140 | 87.856362 | 1.825694409 | 0.5158494 | 3.5392005 | 0.0004013 | 0.0048383 |
| ANKRD39 | 817.82318 | 1.033398188 | 0.2924516 | 3.5335699 | 0.00041 | 0.004927 |
| GSG1 | 6.5042475 | 2.559697918 | 0.7246771 | 3.5321912 | 0.0004121 | 0.0049466 |
| HSPB1 | 23582.088 | 1.092461317 | 0.3093682 | 3.5312658 | 0.0004136 | 0.0049608 |
| FMN2 | 276.98719 | 3.113459473 | 0.8828395 | 3.5266426 | 0.0004209 | 0.005042 |


| CD3EAP | 1023.2281 | 1.500437059 | 0.4265051 | 3.5179816 | 0.0004348 | 0.00519 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLC22A18AS | 290.59429 | 1.615670901 | 0.4594227 | 3.5167416 | 0.0004369 | 0.005211 |
| ATG4B | 4347.6607 | 1.049530046 | 0.2984696 | 3.5163721 | 0.0004375 | 0.005215 |
| FAM118A | 1911.6056 | 1.196358398 | 0.3408463 | 3.5099641 | 0.0004482 | 0.0053192 |
| GALE | 2642.3385 | 1.052161034 | 0.2998048 | 3.5094868 | 0.000449 | 0.0053254 |
| HPS4 | 10657.838 | 1.611908065 | 0.4619696 | 3.4892082 | 0.0004845 | 0.0056587 |
| ASCL4 | 46.351822 | 4.995608352 | 1.4318654 | 3.4888812 | 0.000485 | 0.0056622 |
| TDRD3 | 4234.0304 | 1.814915268 | 0.5203354 | 3.4879716 | 0.0004867 | 0.0056781 |
| PRKAA2 | 188.28596 | 2.176064098 | 0.6239292 | 3.4876781 | 0.0004872 | 0.0056808 |
| HES2 | 192.93525 | 2.87201478 | 0.8265522 | 3.4746925 | 0.0005114 | 0.0058968 |
| HYAL3 | 235.60314 | 1.203710693 | 0.3465622 | 3.4732894 | 0.0005141 | 0.0059212 |
| COL9A3 | 7501.3703 | 2.768116696 | 0.7973514 | 3.4716394 | 0.0005173 | 0.0059409 |
| AHNAK2 | 9523.1572 | 2.123711904 | 0.6120181 | 3.470015 | 0.0005204 | 0.0059663 |
| SLC16A3 | 6398.2371 | 1.469553337 | 0.4235975 | 3.4692209 | 0.000522 | 0.0059803 |
| ADRA2C | 728.88956 | 2.461901904 | 0.7106501 | 3.4642956 | 0.0005316 | 0.0060547 |
| ARHGEF4 | 351.00296 | 2.309400352 | 0.6667601 | 3.4636152 | 0.000533 | 0.0060665 |
| STEAP3 | 2702.6964 | 1.873929383 | 0.5417127 | 3.4592679 | 0.0005416 | 0.0061434 |
| TMEM59L | 204.44704 | 2.985073125 | 0.8630619 | 3.4587012 | 0.0005428 | 0.0061527 |
| UBE2C | 2324.963 | 1.298115455 | 0.3758974 | 3.4533771 | 0.0005536 | 0.0062495 |
| TTYH3 | 18573.071 | 1.00957876 | 0.2925613 | 3.4508278 | 0.0005589 | 0.0062977 |
| VEPH1 | 2080.4959 | 2.668312573 | 0.7733987 | 3.4501127 | 0.0005604 | 0.0063107 |
| BACE2 | 22401.777 | 1.336249201 | 0.3877056 | 3.4465567 | 0.0005678 | 0.0063794 |
| TSPAN11 | 1653.4268 | 2.148215325 | 0.6233524 | 3.4462295 | 0.0005685 | 0.0063834 |
| COL7A1 | 2012.9493 | 2.17387762 | 0.6308322 | 3.4460472 | 0.0005689 | 0.0063839 |
| TRIP13 | 1058.7741 | 1.191609897 | 0.3459254 | 3.4447018 | 0.0005717 | 0.0064045 |
| PLCD3 | 1204.6592 | 1.322853037 | 0.3843279 | 3.4419903 | 0.0005775 | 0.0064577 |
| HS3ST2 | 1060.7781 | 2.584144667 | 0.7512201 | 3.43993 | 0.0005819 | 0.0064995 |
| DNER | 940.06108 | 3.660383247 | 1.0645731 | 3.4383579 | 0.0005853 | 0.006529 |
| RASGEF1C | 109.2007 | 2.925005346 | 0.8522762 | 3.4319923 | 0.0005992 | 0.0066501 |
| WIPF3 | 488.24816 | 2.042410585 | 0.5953215 | 3.4307689 | 0.0006019 | 0.0066763 |
| MARCH10 | 30.402144 | 2.306961272 | 0.672504 | 3.4304054 | 0.0006027 | 0.0066814 |
| TRIM7 | 216.29414 | 1.404548519 | 0.4108231 | 3.4188645 | 0.0006288 | 0.0069273 |
| TLX3 | 27.703928 | 3.641384132 | 1.0654559 | 3.4176772 | 0.0006316 | 0.0069458 |
| CRELD2 | 3385.8167 | 1.045949359 | 0.306313 | 3.4146421 | 0.0006387 | 0.0070073 |
| ARMC5 | 1291.8236 | 1.017502165 | 0.2981555 | 3.4126557 | 0.0006433 | 0.0070505 |
| OBSL1 | 4817.1109 | 1.270450412 | 0.3724522 | 3.4110427 | 0.0006472 | 0.0070778 |
| SYT1 | 324.37422 | 2.493006562 | 0.73129 | 3.4090532 | 0.0006519 | 0.0071199 |
| FAH | 2506.4253 | 1.153750402 | 0.3384536 | 3.4088882 | 0.0006523 | 0.0071201 |
| ABHD14A | 715.29899 | 1.050670194 | 0.3083787 | 3.4070774 | 0.0006566 | 0.0071635 |
| STXBP1 | 8786.8565 | 1.284089009 | 0.377804 | 3.3988231 | 0.0006768 | 0.0073331 |
| PTPRU | 2565.1987 | 2.115213343 | 0.6229207 | 3.3956381 | 0.0006847 | 0.0074064 |
| PCBP4 | 3760.1449 | 1.200071165 | 0.3535753 | 3.3941039 | 0.0006885 | 0.0074361 |
| ETV5 | 16686.446 | 1.395772745 | 0.4112812 | 3.393719 | 0.0006895 | 0.0074417 |
| SS18L1 | 2168.5348 | 1.213995329 | 0.3579011 | 3.3919859 | 0.0006939 | 0.0074694 |
| SDF2L1 | 2363.8743 | 1.029571349 | 0.3037175 | 3.3898977 | 0.0006992 | 0.0075208 |
| PSMC3IP | 722.66853 | 1.030346683 | 0.3049018 | 3.3792742 | 0.0007268 | 0.0077652 |


| C16orf59 | 380.00127 | 1.110489349 | 0.3289364 | 3.3760006 | 0.0007355 | 0.0078495 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RAC3 | 702.51449 | 1.398789641 | 0.4149143 | 3.3712739 | 0.0007482 | 0.007928 |
| SLC1A4 | 7362.3175 | 1.611448308 | 0.4784642 | 3.3679598 | 0.0007573 | 0.0080062 |
| GPSM1 | 2152.1491 | 1.103213456 | 0.3276282 | 3.3672725 | 0.0007592 | 0.0080129 |
| FAM166A | 16.121783 | 2.232620849 | 0.6631364 | 3.3667594 | 0.0007606 | 0.0080235 |
| KCP | 272.79915 | 1.83813281 | 0.5462525 | 3.3649876 | 0.0007655 | 0.0080707 |
| BEGAIN | 302.48512 | 2.205610832 | 0.655667 | 3.3639191 | 0.0007684 | 0.0080842 |
| ACP6 | 1825.2568 | 1.700529735 | 0.5058228 | 3.3619078 | 0.0007741 | 0.0081255 |
| IQCK | 3507.6701 | 1.597960191 | 0.4753732 | 3.3614857 | 0.0007752 | 0.0081335 |
| MAP1B | 11747.991 | 1.793590078 | 0.5337712 | 3.3602224 | 0.0007788 | 0.0081663 |
| PSEN2 | 3876.7357 | 1.338430233 | 0.3985182 | 3.3585172 | 0.0007836 | 0.0082124 |
| TPPP | 950.01136 | 1.86022394 | 0.5545863 | 3.3542553 | 0.0007958 | 0.0083217 |
| RFPL2 | 48.878496 | 2.488270938 | 0.7438143 | 3.3452854 | 0.000822 | 0.008525 |
| CTSV | 238.8535 | 1.703700381 | 0.5094554 | 3.3441602 | 0.0008253 | 0.008542 |
| ENTHD1 | 471.72397 | 2.288093767 | 0.6842967 | 3.3437158 | 0.0008266 | 0.0085464 |
| NT5M | 233.97824 | 1.162894676 | 0.3478051 | 3.3435239 | 0.0008272 | 0.0085477 |
| KIAA0930 | 13679.224 | 1.104239771 | 0.3308012 | 3.3380762 | 0.0008436 | 0.008675 |
| RTN4R | 902.37536 | 1.916024131 | 0.5740294 | 3.3378503 | 0.0008443 | 0.0086774 |
| IL17B | 62.303349 | 2.619220073 | 0.7849033 | 3.3369971 | 0.0008469 | 0.0086948 |
| PLOD1 | 22420.102 | 1.064448713 | 0.3191259 | 3.3355134 | 0.0008514 | 0.008734 |
| TCERG1L | 18.843864 | 3.038681936 | 0.9112589 | 3.3345979 | 0.0008542 | 0.0087514 |
| CDC6 | 1553.7716 | 1.124441943 | 0.3379206 | 3.3275328 | 0.0008762 | 0.0089304 |
| LUZP4 | 5.2241497 | 3.137160224 | 0.9430132 | 3.3267406 | 0.0008787 | 0.0089445 |
| DAB1 | 77.86625 | 2.908836424 | 0.8752649 | 3.3233785 | 0.0008893 | 0.0090387 |
| ARSE | 457.36682 | 1.682240602 | 0.5062231 | 3.3231207 | 0.0008902 | 0.0090416 |
| IGSF8 | 8242.9191 | 1.22446672 | 0.3694666 | 3.3141476 | 0.0009192 | 0.0092785 |
| OLAH | 6.590418 | 2.573250317 | 0.7764798 | 3.3139951 | 0.0009197 | 0.0092786 |
| HES4 | 439.66564 | 1.776650084 | 0.5362927 | 3.3128368 | 0.0009235 | 0.0093036 |
| BNC1 | 68.129378 | 2.511032502 | 0.7603537 | 3.3024531 | 0.0009584 | 0.0095983 |
| GRAMD4 | 4657.8262 | 1.21449083 | 0.3681108 | 3.299253 | 0.0009694 | 0.0096932 |
| SH3PXD2B | 8197.2714 | 1.412018854 | 0.429222 | 3.2897168 | 0.0010029 | 0.0099345 |
| ASB5 | 16.486179 | 4.231744739 | 1.286691 | 3.2888586 | 0.0010059 | 0.0099546 |
| GPAT3 | 807.49101 | 1.81355059 | 0.5517474 | 3.2869216 | 0.0010129 | 0.009982 |
| LKAAEAR1 | 16.740715 | 2.111909571 | 0.643063 | 3.2841412 | 0.0010229 | 0.0100552 |
| SLC7A5 | 24019.027 | 1.514003153 | 0.4610543 | 3.2837848 | 0.0010242 | 0.010059 |
| CIB2 | 459.79228 | 1.203928768 | 0.3667205 | 3.2829597 | 0.0010272 | 0.0100716 |
| USP13 | 2651.9027 | 1.029154388 | 0.3136477 | 3.2812432 | 0.0010335 | 0.0101228 |
| SLC12A1 | 15.177191 | 2.079927703 | 0.6355246 | 3.272773 | 0.001065 | 0.0103833 |
| DAPL1 | 187.63949 | 3.392881522 | 1.0368302 | 3.2723599 | 0.0010665 | 0.0103932 |
| FOXRED2 | 4447.9056 | 1.118480854 | 0.3422901 | 3.2676405 | 0.0010845 | 0.0105323 |
| MT3 | 10.12554 | 2.227986085 | 0.682323 | 3.2652952 | 0.0010935 | 0.0105967 |
| BSN | 194.27121 | 1.172857995 | 0.3597291 | 3.2603922 | 0.0011126 | 0.0107459 |
| HAPLN2 | 19.247583 | 2.048432595 | 0.6290004 | 3.2566475 | 0.0011274 | 0.0108548 |
| EREG | 49.586402 | 3.209097847 | 0.9880048 | 3.2480589 | 0.001162 | 0.0111195 |
| C9orf116 | 103.81433 | 1.106472351 | 0.3426396 | 3.2292596 | 0.0012411 | 0.0117519 |
| TCN1 | 746.49357 | 2.419773212 | 0.7505428 | 3.2240311 | 0.001264 | 0.0119137 |


| ERFE | 79.408661 | 1.626635855 | 0.504539 | 3.2240041 | 0.0012641 | 0.0119137 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RDH8 | 598.72728 | 3.593144743 | 1.1159628 | 3.2197711 | 0.0012829 | 0.0120723 |
| LGALS1 | 44157.638 | 1.135255507 | 0.3529956 | 3.2160616 | 0.0012996 | 0.0122054 |
| HEY1 | 3235.0737 | 1.617720926 | 0.5034681 | 3.2131546 | 0.0013129 | 0.0122935 |
| ZNF70 | 616.04552 | 1.094278002 | 0.340723 | 3.2116355 | 0.0013198 | 0.0123527 |
| FAM86B2 | 7.7704836 | 1.633531792 | 0.510041 | 3.2027462 | 0.0013612 | 0.0126942 |
| TUBB3 | 6615.8136 | 1.57110564 | 0.4911578 | 3.1987798 | 0.0013801 | 0.0128354 |
| DHRS11 | 622.1543 | 1.212827618 | 0.379244 | 3.1980137 | 0.0013838 | 0.0128634 |
| FERMT1 | 169.53555 | 2.67215818 | 0.8359994 | 3.1963636 | 0.0013917 | 0.0128999 |
| LAYN | 1069.2708 | 1.757589147 | 0.5500614 | 3.1952602 | 0.001397 | 0.012924 |
| CDC20 | 1974.0838 | 1.179988528 | 0.3692933 | 3.1952613 | 0.001397 | 0.012924 |
| ARVCF | 757.55675 | 1.126837545 | 0.353048 | 3.1917406 | 0.0014142 | 0.0130636 |
| TMEM240 | 83.312744 | 1.280365932 | 0.4016341 | 3.1878917 | 0.0014331 | 0.0131753 |
| GYPC | 9673.5001 | 1.472488631 | 0.4636449 | 3.1758971 | 0.0014937 | 0.0136084 |
| SNCB | 136.46023 | 2.873730679 | 0.9062344 | 3.1710679 | 0.0015188 | 0.0137648 |
| DDTL | 480.9208 | 1.538700255 | 0.4852313 | 3.1710655 | 0.0015188 | 0.0137648 |
| NAGS | 224.70229 | 1.208924255 | 0.381517 | 3.1687295 | 0.0015311 | 0.0138432 |
| MELTF | 11374.236 | 1.783774623 | 0.5635704 | 3.1651318 | 0.0015501 | 0.0139628 |
| ADSSL1 | 434.53758 | 1.435406975 | 0.4549826 | 3.1548614 | 0.0016057 | 0.0143894 |
| ABCG5 | 25.161678 | 2.269095192 | 0.7194936 | 3.1537394 | 0.0016119 | 0.0144381 |
| NQO1 | 4333.1712 | 1.402966407 | 0.445372 | 3.1501001 | 0.0016321 | 0.0145987 |
| PROSER2 | 396.57368 | 1.547283774 | 0.4918721 | 3.1457033 | 0.0016569 | 0.0147717 |
| ACVR1C | 188.50759 | 1.396718824 | 0.4445717 | 3.141718 | 0.0016796 | 0.0149395 |
| ZNF697 | 3405.0793 | 1.012548635 | 0.3223623 | 3.1410273 | 0.0016836 | 0.0149608 |
| LRRC8E | 256.75683 | 1.431151376 | 0.4569394 | 3.1320373 | 0.001736 | 0.0153271 |
| CKMT2 | 174.84853 | 1.802057497 | 0.5761167 | 3.1279384 | 0.0017604 | 0.0154839 |
| TM7SF2 | 532.82154 | 1.021119407 | 0.3269169 | 3.1234832 | 0.0017872 | 0.015682 |
| SLC22A18 | 1913.2923 | 1.089322878 | 0.3489682 | 3.1215533 | 0.001799 | 0.0157601 |
| FAM131C | 9.1442105 | 2.870976587 | 0.9201 | 3.1202875 | 0.0018067 | 0.0158136 |
| RTN4RL1 | 723.3263 | 2.533857068 | 0.8124278 | 3.1188705 | 0.0018155 | 0.0158826 |
| POU3F1 | 336.85242 | 2.017168643 | 0.6469008 | 3.1182041 | 0.0018196 | 0.015904 |
| MAP1LC3B2 | 47.843198 | 1.070172311 | 0.3434024 | 3.1163798 | 0.0018309 | 0.0159591 |
| FABP3 | 784.00193 | 1.387850759 | 0.4453737 | 3.1161486 | 0.0018323 | 0.0159643 |
| PTX4 | 9.6856854 | 2.528908874 | 0.8119641 | 3.1145575 | 0.0018422 | 0.0160145 |
| CDC42EP3 | 5907.1271 | 1.030322976 | 0.3309038 | 3.113663 | 0.0018478 | 0.0160338 |
| GOLGA7B | 1942.1497 | 1.936018483 | 0.6220765 | 3.112187 | 0.0018571 | 0.0160915 |
| BFSP1 | 874.8083 | 1.91652954 | 0.6162768 | 3.1098516 | 0.0018718 | 0.0161835 |
| OAF | 5301.7081 | 1.609299219 | 0.5179104 | 3.1072925 | 0.0018881 | 0.0163023 |
| CA8 | 304.64223 | 1.985011896 | 0.6391262 | 3.1058217 | 0.0018975 | 0.0163614 |
| DHH | 162.15347 | 1.950456924 | 0.6288109 | 3.101818 | 0.0019234 | 0.0165101 |
| CCDC148 | 71.538471 | 1.663851111 | 0.5367796 | 3.0996913 | 0.0019372 | 0.0165993 |
| ASIP | 34.171777 | 1.315773199 | 0.4257938 | 3.0901656 | 0.0020004 | 0.0170194 |
| PTTG1IP | 40634.77 | 1.022672292 | 0.3310101 | 3.0895505 | 0.0020046 | 0.0170471 |
| CDON | 1289.1731 | 1.134095608 | 0.3687475 | 3.0755346 | 0.0021013 | 0.0176963 |
| AATK | 767.13163 | 1.471117412 | 0.4792365 | 3.0697105 | 0.0021427 | 0.0179661 |
| GSTP1 | 18734.219 | 1.178497628 | 0.3841079 | 3.0681423 | 0.0021539 | 0.0180528 |


| KLK4 | 25.838684 | 2.625384562 | 0.8559127 | 3.0673509 | 0.0021597 | 0.0180719 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRR7 | 717.09234 | 1.258177093 | 0.4102061 | 3.0671828 | 0.0021609 | 0.0180719 |
| FAHD2B | 378.87398 | 1.331795167 | 0.4354705 | 3.0582903 | 0.002226 | 0.0184953 |
| LONRF3 | 385.48591 | 1.658571816 | 0.5424533 | 3.0575386 | 0.0022316 | 0.0185177 |
| OR51B4 | 7.5400534 | 3.466220659 | 1.1339562 | 3.0567501 | 0.0022375 | 0.0185184 |
| FSTL3 | 2342.797 | 1.45339859 | 0.4754553 | 3.0568566 | 0.0022367 | 0.0185184 |
| CDK18 | 1644.2989 | 1.691615447 | 0.5534831 | 3.0563089 | 0.0022408 | 0.0185376 |
| ENTPD2 | 222.74983 | 3.187706404 | 1.0432937 | 3.0554257 | 0.0022474 | 0.0185532 |
| MAPK12 | 981.97769 | 1.270396138 | 0.416967 | 3.0467546 | 0.0023133 | 0.0189541 |
| NACAD | 330.6252 | 1.835352759 | 0.6033671 | 3.0418507 | 0.0023513 | 0.0192112 |
| PAEP | 2418.4621 | 3.268391039 | 1.0753049 | 3.0395016 | 0.0023697 | 0.0193205 |
| BRSK1 | 884.6873 | 1.496020768 | 0.492258 | 3.0390993 | 0.0023729 | 0.0193298 |
| BHMT | 7.9425807 | 2.343083195 | 0.7717127 | 3.0362117 | 0.0023957 | 0.0194333 |
| ASPHD1 | 469.40388 | 1.566156511 | 0.5164852 | 3.0323358 | 0.0024267 | 0.0196348 |
| GPR137B | 8525.5881 | 1.192894955 | 0.3935403 | 3.0311892 | 0.0024359 | 0.0196919 |
| TMEM158 | 3027.1341 | 1.993896963 | 0.6590291 | 3.0255066 | 0.0024822 | 0.0199741 |
| ABCD1 | 3432.9797 | 1.039285289 | 0.3438532 | 3.0224676 | 0.0025072 | 0.0201588 |
| CYTH3 | 12093.36 | 1.302701636 | 0.43118 | 3.0212475 | 0.0025174 | 0.0202232 |
| HBEGF | 1679.2921 | 1.529605993 | 0.5068199 | 3.0180465 | 0.0025441 | 0.0204039 |
| KLK6 | 247.45261 | 2.835643042 | 0.9413945 | 3.012173 | 0.0025938 | 0.0206989 |
| MRPS24 | 1896.207 | 1.215630389 | 0.4037258 | 3.0110299 | 0.0026036 | 0.0207597 |
| CDC42EP1 | 2771.6686 | 1.140070304 | 0.3788117 | 3.0095961 | 0.002616 | 0.020832 |
| ANKRD13B | 545.24578 | 1.204276757 | 0.4010056 | 3.0031423 | 0.0026721 | 0.0211384 |
| SMOC1 | 230.81641 | 2.11697015 | 0.7059347 | 2.9988187 | 0.0027103 | 0.021335 |
| KCNF1 | 51.710016 | 2.724839776 | 0.9088533 | 2.9981073 | 0.0027166 | 0.0213586 |
| NAT16 | 33.350298 | 2.35753632 | 0.786808 | 2.9963301 | 0.0027325 | 0.0213957 |
| HIST1H4B | 4.6364878 | 1.752824462 | 0.5858502 | 2.9919328 | 0.0027722 | 0.0216465 |
| SH3RF3 | 1073.5651 | 1.273349376 | 0.4256162 | 2.9917783 | 0.0027736 | 0.0216465 |
| BEX1 | 134.3764 | 2.500270518 | 0.8369583 | 2.9873298 | 0.0028143 | 0.0218661 |
| FAM57B | 18.620908 | 2.120819169 | 0.7104688 | 2.9850984 | 0.0028349 | 0.0220174 |
| CDC45 | 1044.2333 | 1.136752531 | 0.3813439 | 2.9809114 | 0.0028739 | 0.0222485 |
| FGD1 | 1624.2323 | 1.062182662 | 0.3566065 | 2.978585 | 0.0028958 | 0.0224 |
| P4HA2 | 5116.0745 | 1.324998649 | 0.4450372 | 2.9772765 | 0.0029082 | 0.0224596 |
| SHROOM2 | 1667.5054 | 1.472022672 | 0.4944499 | 2.9770915 | 0.00291 | 0.0224641 |
| SMIM1 | 150.4029 | 1.55019262 | 0.520901 | 2.9759831 | 0.0029205 | 0.0225364 |
| CDR2L | 1175.2829 | 1.266065072 | 0.4260387 | 2.9717139 | 0.0029614 | 0.0227787 |
| LRAT | 510.22573 | 2.497108825 | 0.8406018 | 2.9706203 | 0.002972 | 0.0228325 |
| DMRT2 | 87.317224 | 1.920343048 | 0.6464909 | 2.97041 | 0.002974 | 0.022839 |
| NID1 | 21523.545 | 1.675225322 | 0.5642095 | 2.9691549 | 0.0029862 | 0.022905 |
| CAPN3 | 10380.003 | 1.724930369 | 0.5819393 | 2.9641071 | 0.0030356 | 0.023219 |
| SLC22A14 | 7.7340013 | 2.213419945 | 0.7475024 | 2.9610874 | 0.0030655 | 0.0234105 |
| FLRT1 | 181.16025 | 2.096609948 | 0.7081426 | 2.9607172 | 0.0030692 | 0.0234294 |
| TMC7 | 344.40707 | 1.818515202 | 0.6154485 | 2.9547803 | 0.0031289 | 0.0237641 |
| FAM135B | 29.334657 | 1.772526494 | 0.5998479 | 2.9549599 | 0.0031271 | 0.0237641 |
| GPRIN1 | 1410.6323 | 1.204837723 | 0.4084786 | 2.949574 | 0.0031821 | 0.0240896 |
| PI3 | 114.23629 | 2.646972341 | 0.8986944 | 2.9453531 | 0.0032259 | 0.0243151 |


| ERICH2 | 169.02937 | 1.714927133 | 0.5825022 | 2.9440696 | 0.0032393 | 0.0243778 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DLGAP1 | 690.74617 | 1.873751382 | 0.6371538 | 2.9408149 | 0.0032735 | 0.0245872 |
| HOMER2 | 675.33353 | 1.610848065 | 0.5488053 | 2.9351907 | 0.0033334 | 0.0249396 |
| GP1BB | 161.47065 | 1.481710404 | 0.5055115 | 2.9311109 | 0.0033775 | 0.0252006 |
| KLK5 | 90.39318 | 3.757484547 | 1.2841344 | 2.9260836 | 0.0034326 | 0.0255319 |
| MAFK | 3516.9587 | 1.235472745 | 0.4227688 | 2.9223366 | 0.0034742 | 0.0257911 |
| SPECC1 | 2067.0333 | 1.14633082 | 0.3925954 | 2.9198781 | 0.0035017 | 0.0259653 |
| ODF3L2 | 18.298295 | 2.067273717 | 0.7083188 | 2.9185639 | 0.0035165 | 0.0259845 |
| CELF5 | 33.696247 | 1.862338554 | 0.6387603 | 2.9155513 | 0.0035506 | 0.0261661 |
| ZCCHC12 | 17.121589 | 1.491801272 | 0.5126867 | 2.9097717 | 0.0036169 | 0.0265274 |
| IL19 | 6.7313529 | 2.786324832 | 0.9583673 | 2.9073665 | 0.0036449 | 0.0266761 |
| CHST6 | 1805.0306 | 1.717695909 | 0.5909943 | 2.9064509 | 0.0036555 | 0.0267441 |
| PHLDA2 | 1835.7657 | 1.644900084 | 0.5685729 | 2.8930328 | 0.0038154 | 0.0276708 |
| RGS7 | 36.200108 | 2.817411434 | 0.9762256 | 2.8860249 | 0.0039014 | 0.0281772 |
| PHF21B | 178.53119 | 2.415921942 | 0.8380673 | 2.8827304 | 0.0039424 | 0.0283773 |
| APOLD1 | 1449.1099 | 1.125880307 | 0.3907055 | 2.8816597 | 0.0039559 | 0.0284526 |
| FSD1 | 110.86483 | 2.231103906 | 0.7747056 | 2.8799378 | 0.0039775 | 0.0285762 |
| HIBCH | 2474.9308 | 1.279740092 | 0.4449012 | 2.876459 | 0.0040216 | 0.028796 |
| EPHA4 | 1693.3027 | 1.845909287 | 0.6437419 | 2.8674679 | 0.0041377 | 0.0293964 |
| ADAM23 | 2533.5393 | 1.715476199 | 0.5982819 | 2.8673378 | 0.0041394 | 0.0293974 |
| FOSL1 | 1060.4172 | 1.735975933 | 0.6062883 | 2.8632846 | 0.0041927 | 0.0296555 |
| FUT3 | 30.124226 | 2.639911296 | 0.9226664 | 2.8611764 | 0.0042207 | 0.0297765 |
| ITPR3 | 12752.178 | 1.270873774 | 0.4446415 | 2.8581985 | 0.0042605 | 0.0300022 |
| TMEM229A | 10.378348 | 3.091657582 | 1.0831877 | 2.8542216 | 0.0043142 | 0.0303358 |
| ADD2 | 357.08551 | 1.852134671 | 0.6490026 | 2.8538169 | 0.0043197 | 0.0303633 |
| SCUBE2 | 1092.8338 | 1.840322002 | 0.6460408 | 2.8486157 | 0.004391 | 0.0307401 |
| COL9A1 | 207.31843 | 2.71544348 | 0.9534477 | 2.8480255 | 0.0043991 | 0.0307781 |
| IQGAP3 | 1456.093 | 1.015563528 | 0.356604 | 2.8478744 | 0.0044012 | 0.0307781 |
| TMEM184A | 824.79292 | 2.489954573 | 0.8749758 | 2.8457409 | 0.0044308 | 0.0309625 |
| BIRC5 | 2345.9448 | 1.035524432 | 0.364105 | 2.8440271 | 0.0044547 | 0.0310615 |
| ANKRD9 | 1939.3786 | 1.406335385 | 0.4955319 | 2.8380319 | 0.0045393 | 0.0314565 |
| ALDH1A2 | 178.39553 | 1.421297667 | 0.5008346 | 2.8378581 | 0.0045417 | 0.0314622 |
| KIAA1549L | 3949.7671 | 1.365175559 | 0.481325 | 2.8362863 | 0.0045642 | 0.0315719 |
| QRFPR | 12.590444 | 3.073130802 | 1.085016 | 2.8323368 | 0.0046209 | 0.0318518 |
| SHB | 981.99506 | 1.528017774 | 0.5394944 | 2.8323146 | 0.0046212 | 0.0318518 |
| REP15 | 118.28856 | 1.11389392 | 0.3932458 | 2.8325638 | 0.0046176 | 0.0318518 |
| FOXD1 | 374.51197 | 1.370522578 | 0.4848206 | 2.8268652 | 0.0047006 | 0.0322828 |
| LRRIQ4 | 13.105708 | 2.376803721 | 0.841538 | 2.8243569 | 0.0047376 | 0.032477 |
| ACY1 | 1110.673 | 1.415191027 | 0.5010845 | 2.8242561 | 0.0047391 | 0.032477 |
| CATSPERZ | 19.407378 | 1.697323731 | 0.6024422 | 2.8174052 | 0.0048413 | 0.033001 |
| ITPRIP | 3380.9492 | 1.074537278 | 0.3817739 | 2.8145908 | 0.0048839 | 0.0332087 |
| EXO1 | 824.26479 | 1.003432115 | 0.3567182 | 2.8129546 | 0.0049089 | 0.0333545 |
| UCP1 | 3.1404122 | 3.594438195 | 1.2809924 | 2.8059794 | 0.0050164 | 0.0339049 |
| OTX1 | 132.34647 | 1.406783855 | 0.5016044 | 2.8045686 | 0.0050384 | 0.0340176 |
| ERVMER34-1 | 197.88695 | 2.206667333 | 0.7873519 | 2.8026443 | 0.0050686 | 0.034149 |
| PLXNC1 | 18024.423 | 1.578690809 | 0.5648796 | 2.7947386 | 0.0051942 | 0.0347997 |


| SLC24A2 | 40.920172 | 1.874733536 | 0.672139 | 2.789205 | 0.0052838 | 0.0352153 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRELID2 | 432.52897 | 1.027270495 | 0.3688459 | 2.785094 | 0.0053512 | 0.0355797 |
| FAM71D | 6.9199316 | 1.94004044 | 0.6973784 | 2.7819049 | 0.0054041 | 0.0358304 |
| HBE1 | 5.8834167 | 2.447954176 | 0.8802264 | 2.7810506 | 0.0054183 | 0.0358875 |
| DRAXIN | 141.70761 | 2.320608704 | 0.8352712 | 2.7782699 | 0.0054649 | 0.0361586 |
| LTK | 319.71698 | 1.72290768 | 0.6202976 | 2.7775504 | 0.005477 | 0.0361888 |
| SLC7A8 | 6704.8166 | 1.258884989 | 0.4532853 | 2.7772464 | 0.0054822 | 0.0361977 |
| PDF | 488.29555 | 1.165983674 | 0.421131 | 2.7686956 | 0.0056281 | 0.0369072 |
| MOCOS | 1150.2372 | 1.492371963 | 0.5390548 | 2.7684977 | 0.0056315 | 0.036917 |
| MSRB2 | 1983.9027 | 1.172859708 | 0.4239252 | 2.7666665 | 0.0056633 | 0.0370616 |
| HHAT | 1207.9313 | 1.131773221 | 0.4100718 | 2.7599389 | 0.0057812 | 0.0375965 |
| RASAL1 | 134.43432 | 2.20169257 | 0.7978584 | 2.759503 | 0.0057889 | 0.0376143 |
| PRR19 | 175.85868 | 1.243653777 | 0.4511195 | 2.7568169 | 0.0058367 | 0.0378862 |
| TMEM233 | 60.012603 | 1.589708137 | 0.5768676 | 2.7557591 | 0.0058556 | 0.0379663 |
| FAM71E1 | 96.648743 | 1.595619672 | 0.580239 | 2.7499354 | 0.0059607 | 0.0384504 |
| PCDH20 | 81.146632 | 2.825565985 | 1.027783 | 2.7491855 | 0.0059744 | 0.03848 |
| CCNA1 | 92.249098 | 2.087207097 | 0.7604534 | 2.7446878 | 0.0060569 | 0.0388417 |
| CATSPER1 | 112.1516 | 1.642069931 | 0.5983501 | 2.7443295 | 0.0060635 | 0.0388423 |
| SLC35G2 | 370.38631 | 1.489071619 | 0.5426175 | 2.7442383 | 0.0060651 | 0.0388423 |
| TMEM151B | 63.808755 | 2.073274431 | 0.755811 | 2.7431123 | 0.006086 | 0.0389113 |
| FSCN1 | 13830.56 | 1.251992288 | 0.4566286 | 2.7418173 | 0.00611 | 0.039026 |
| POPDC3 | 555.62021 | 1.737337563 | 0.6347238 | 2.7371552 | 0.0061973 | 0.0395045 |
| KCNJ12 | 113.73032 | 1.818988394 | 0.6647499 | 2.7363502 | 0.0062125 | 0.0395881 |
| MFSD12 | 12447.246 | 1.037445814 | 0.3791656 | 2.7361288 | 0.0062167 | 0.0396016 |
| TGFA | 690.06689 | 1.737200735 | 0.6350657 | 2.7354662 | 0.0062292 | 0.039655 |
| PDZRN3 | 2279.2956 | 1.332935277 | 0.4884629 | 2.7288365 | 0.0063558 | 0.04027 |
| RASEF | 1462.2913 | 2.136711105 | 0.7833987 | 2.7274888 | 0.0063818 | 0.0403587 |
| NR4A3 | 1035.1178 | 1.803508182 | 0.6617718 | 2.725272 | 0.0064249 | 0.0405618 |
| ANKRD30B | 55.838018 | 2.492154425 | 0.9155261 | 2.7221008 | 0.0064868 | 0.0408609 |
| LHX9 | 9.9216383 | 2.561473783 | 0.941825 | 2.7196918 | 0.0065343 | 0.0411057 |
| C9orf50 | 31.45324 | 1.9585035 | 0.7206436 | 2.7177145 | 0.0065735 | 0.0412979 |
| RNF157 | 3029.7843 | 1.204825675 | 0.4434311 | 2.7170529 | 0.0065866 | 0.0413399 |
| TANC2 | 4197.1891 | 1.069960729 | 0.3940448 | 2.7153275 | 0.006621 | 0.0415152 |
| CRYBA2 | 3.8493998 | 4.7373828 | 1.7458794 | 2.713465 | 0.0066584 | 0.0417083 |
| SBSN | 101.54031 | 1.932046497 | 0.7120877 | 2.7132143 | 0.0066634 | 0.0417168 |
| USH2A | 29.226255 | 1.429616664 | 0.527051 | 2.7124826 | 0.0066781 | 0.0417639 |
| PLEK2 | 308.59633 | 1.24589999 | 0.4595519 | 2.7111191 | 0.0067057 | 0.0418814 |
| WIPI1 | 7027.555 | 1.21837756 | 0.4504109 | 2.7050358 | 0.0068297 | 0.04249 |
| SLC19A3 | 413.43383 | 2.0357921 | 0.7528354 | 2.7041664 | 0.0068476 | 0.0425513 |
| SLC38A3 | 42.387014 | 2.062911353 | 0.7635866 | 2.7016075 | 0.0069005 | 0.0427448 |
| PLOD3 | 25277.654 | 1.094119522 | 0.4051032 | 2.7008412 | 0.0069164 | 0.0427851 |
| MAGED4:1 | 5.976417 | 3.327139632 | 1.2324643 | 2.699583 | 0.0069426 | 0.0428726 |
| MAGED4B:1 | 5.976417 | 3.327139632 | 1.2324643 | 2.699583 | 0.0069426 | 0.0428726 |
| CREG2 | 13.611149 | 1.641479832 | 0.6082083 | 2.6988775 | 0.0069574 | 0.0429221 |
| NHSL1 | 2967.6701 | 1.210774209 | 0.4486974 | 2.6984205 | 0.0069669 | 0.0429396 |
| LDLR | 3938.5023 | 1.663344806 | 0.6167376 | 2.6970058 | 0.0069966 | 0.0430809 |


| NME2 | 13212.768 | 1.072176451 | 0.3979621 | 2.6941673 | 0.0070565 | 0.0433521 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LUZP2 | 27.665484 | 2.810913647 | 1.0436106 | 2.6934507 | 0.0070717 | 0.0434112 |
| GJB1 | 5598.2502 | 1.934377226 | 0.7205615 | 2.6845415 | 0.0072629 | 0.0442658 |
| SLC26A10 | 240.04939 | 1.37709301 | 0.5129678 | 2.6845604 | 0.0072625 | 0.0442658 |
| LRRN1 | 462.63699 | 2.338342585 | 0.8712367 | 2.6839349 | 0.0072761 | 0.0443039 |
| FBLN7 | 665.42566 | 1.16296181 | 0.433409 | 2.6832896 | 0.0072902 | 0.0443754 |
| FKBP10 | 18212.826 | 1.016031881 | 0.3791118 | 2.6800326 | 0.0073615 | 0.0447101 |
| ARL14EPL | 5.5278609 | 2.585878228 | 0.9654456 | 2.6784296 | 0.0073968 | 0.0448678 |
| PDIA2 | 34.514264 | 2.284288804 | 0.8530663 | 2.6777387 | 0.0074121 | 0.0449248 |
| SLC25A4 | 3783.0866 | 1.041216264 | 0.3891071 | 2.6759119 | 0.0074526 | 0.0450877 |
| SLC35D3 | 1.8468921 | 2.530775876 | 0.9464178 | 2.6740578 | 0.007494 | 0.0451995 |
| TMEM38A | 113.09854 | 1.391114322 | 0.5204983 | 2.6726587 | 0.0075253 | 0.0453456 |
| SPRR2D | 16.894152 | 2.050920366 | 0.7675166 | 2.6721513 | 0.0075367 | 0.0453715 |
| CDH3 | 5363.596 | 2.228999112 | 0.8345343 | 2.6709497 | 0.0075637 | 0.04549 |
| ABLIM2 | 232.82328 | 1.412690825 | 0.5297135 | 2.6668961 | 0.0076555 | 0.045871 |
| INHA | 30.127707 | 1.528185419 | 0.5731945 | 2.6660851 | 0.007674 | 0.04591 |
| NMUR2 | 7.0130969 | 2.72408579 | 1.0222127 | 2.6648915 | 0.0077013 | 0.0460446 |
| MCF2L2 | 121.20782 | 1.058573694 | 0.3973778 | 2.6638972 | 0.0077241 | 0.0460946 |
| EYA4 | 844.18065 | 1.646587979 | 0.6184752 | 2.6623346 | 0.0077601 | 0.0462659 |
| PLAC4 | 117.6507 | 1.463726122 | 0.5498675 | 2.6619616 | 0.0077687 | 0.0463028 |
| AXIN2 | 1090.069 | 1.563382731 | 0.5877824 | 2.6597984 | 0.0078187 | 0.0464856 |
| GPER1 | 442.55968 | 1.400410664 | 0.5266371 | 2.659157 | 0.0078336 | 0.0465598 |
| LARGE1 | 2865.7479 | 1.142802768 | 0.4303712 | 2.6553885 | 0.0079217 | 0.0469812 |
| KAAG1 | 15.54188 | 2.261703557 | 0.8519461 | 2.6547495 | 0.0079367 | 0.0470412 |
| TMEM255A | 725.79612 | 1.670724492 | 0.6297945 | 2.6528089 | 0.0079825 | 0.0472541 |
| NKX6-1 | 97.972757 | 2.196388234 | 0.8280309 | 2.6525438 | 0.0079888 | 0.0472766 |
| ULBP2 | 108.7533 | 1.462598959 | 0.5516414 | 2.6513584 | 0.0080169 | 0.0473843 |
| TGFBR3L | 48.543801 | 1.540067203 | 0.581128 | 2.6501343 | 0.008046 | 0.0474539 |
| CHRNA7 | 36.641191 | 2.238387016 | 0.8454674 | 2.6475143 | 0.0081086 | 0.0477204 |
| HHIP | 11.746102 | 1.661257865 | 0.6275841 | 2.647068 | 0.0081193 | 0.0477542 |
| GRIK4 | 102.8037 | 1.970125393 | 0.7443085 | 2.6469203 | 0.0081228 | 0.0477604 |
| TMEM163 | 3654.5809 | 2.408147435 | 0.9106339 | 2.6444736 | 0.0081818 | 0.0480039 |
| FMN1 | 17521.063 | 1.234155513 | 0.4667313 | 2.6442528 | 0.0081872 | 0.0480206 |
| GAMT | 886.65826 | 1.175630487 | 0.4446809 | 2.6437618 | 0.008199 | 0.0480756 |
| TGM3 | 11.053825 | 2.156805975 | 0.8161903 | 2.6425284 | 0.008229 | 0.0481743 |
| EFCAB6 | 167.8661 | 1.753908812 | 0.6645191 | 2.6393655 | 0.0083061 | 0.0484811 |
| RAB6B | 2858.9785 | 1.402570118 | 0.5318417 | 2.6371946 | 0.0083595 | 0.0487463 |
| MAP7D2 | 424.81304 | 2.068067285 | 0.7846803 | 2.6355538 | 0.0084 | 0.0488654 |
| TMEM246 | 506.88922 | 1.62095804 | 0.6160626 | 2.6311579 | 0.0085094 | 0.0493075 |
| NEFL | 1569.1682 | 2.837738434 | 1.0788807 | 2.6302615 | 0.0085319 | 0.049378 |
| WIF1 | 5.2991884 | 2.071627098 | 0.7881301 | 2.6285346 | 0.0085754 | 0.0495409 |
| FAM227A | 143.72209 | 1.053547737 | 0.4009932 | 2.6273457 | 0.0086054 | 0.0496383 |
| TRABD2B | 167.06901 | 1.71413951 | 0.652658 | 2.6263976 | 0.0086294 | 0.0497392 |

Supplementary table 2B: PAK4 expression analysis between upper and lower quartile of different immune markers of response.

| Comparison | $\log 2 \mathrm{FC}$ | FDR | Rank | Genes in comparison (FDR < 0.05) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dendritic Cells | 1.15 | $1.18 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 23 | 1449 |
| T cells | 1.31 | $2.74 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 61 | 3044 |
| CD8A | 1.3 | 9.08E-09 | 14 | 2635 |
| TNF | 1.55 | $6.67 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 5 | 2859 |
| IFNg | 1.21 | 1.90E-06 | 35 | 2103 |
| BATF3 DC score | 1.52 | 2.25E-09 | 35 | 3752 |

Supplemental table 2C: Overlap of genes enriched in samples with low (lower quartile) T cells and dendritic cells (log2FC > 1 and FDR < 5e-05)

| Genes | Kinase | Known inhibitor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CNKSR3 | NO |  |
| ITPKA | NO |  |
| APCDD1 | NO |  |
| NKD1 | NO |  |
| TOMM34 | NO |  |
| SLC35E4 | NO |  |
| DPF1 | NO |  |
| SP5 | NO |  |
| TMEM189 | NO |  |
| SLC1A5 | NO |  |
| PAK4 | YES | KPT-9274, PF-03758309 |
| TRIB3 | YES |  |
| FAM19A5 | NO |  |
| HES6 | NO |  |
| PMP2 | NO |  |
| TTLL12 | NO |  |
| CFAP77 | NO |  |
| DPH3P1 | NO |  |

Supplemental table 3: Pan-cancer analysis between PAK4 expression and T cell, cytotoxic

## T cell and Dendritic Cell scores

| Cancer Type | Cytotoxic T-cell Spearman Rho | Cytotoxic T-cell Spearman P-value | Cytotoxic T-cell Qvalue | Cytotoxic T-cell Q-value Rank Anti-Correlated | Cytotoxic T-cell Total Genes Significantly AntiCorrrelated by Q-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adrenocortical Adenocarcinoma [ACC] | -0.49 | 5.42E-06 | $8.50 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 174 | 1974 |
| Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma [BLCA] | -0.33 | 5.13E-12 | 2.89E-11 | 534 | 3788 |
| Low Grade Glioma [LGG] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Breast Cancer [BRCA] | -0.12 | 4.00E-05 | 9.30E-05 | 1925 | 3259 |
| Cervical Cancer [CESC] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Cholangiocarcinoma [CHOL] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Colon Adenocarcinoma [COAD] | -0.22 | $9.36 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 2.80E-06 | 1294 | 3011 |
| Esophageal Carcinoma [ESCA] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Glioblastoma multiforme [GBM] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma [HNSC] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Chromophobe Renal Cell Carcinoma [KICH] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Clear Cell Renel Cell carcinoma [KIRC] | -0.23 | 8.53E-08 | 4.83E-07 | 105 | 1141 |
| Papillary Renal Cell Carcinoma [KIRP] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Liver Hepatocellular Carcinoma [LIHC] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Lung Adenocarcinoma [LUAD] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma [LUSC] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| DLBC [DLBC] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Mesothelioma [MESO] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Ovarian Cancer [OV] | -0.20 | $6.88 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.44E-04 | 508 | 2062 |
| Pancreatic adenocarcinoma [PAAD] | -0.39 | 1.20E-07 | 5.61E-07 | 151 | 1684 |
| Pheochromocytoma and Paraganglioma [PCPG] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Prostate Adenocarcinoma [PRAD] | -0.19 | $1.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 4.12E-05 | 846 | 1862 |
| Rectum Adenocarcinoma [READ] | -0.37 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 22 | 988 |
| Sarcoma [SARC] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Skin Cutaneous Melanoma [SKCM] | -0.34 | $6.61 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 4.86E-13 | 17 | 1796 |
| Stomach Adenocarcinoma [STAD] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Testicular Germ Cell Tumors [TGCT] | -0.29 | 3.89E-04 | 1.90E-03 | 821 | 2071 |
| Thymoma [THYM] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Thyroid Cancer [THCA] | -0.13 | 3.33E-03 | 8.25E-03 | 1832 | 2261 |
| Uterine Carcinosarcoma [UCS] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma [UCEC] | -0.18 | $1.91 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.38E-04 | 255 | 1323 |
| Uveal Melanoma [UVM] | NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| N.S. - Not Significant |  |  |  |  |  |


| Dendritic cell Spearman Rho | Dendritic cell Spearman Pvalue | Dendritic cell Q-value | Dendritic cell Q-value Rank Anti-Correlated | Dendritic cell Total Genes Significantly AntiCorrelated by Q-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.48 | 1.03E-05 | 1.32E-04 | 344 | 2448 |
| -0.23 | $3.03 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 484 | 2968 |
| 0.17 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.48 \mathrm{E}-04$ | NA | NA |
| -0.13 | 1.72E-05 | $4.81 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1197 | 2762 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| -0.19 | $4.07 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.27E-04 | 734 | 1808 |
| -0.25 | 1.63E-03 | 7.44E-03 | 545 | 1119 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| -0.20 | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 36 | 540 |
| -0.27 | 2.43E-06 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 105 | 1181 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| -0.31 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 8.83E-13 | 619 | 4187 |
| -0.17 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.07E-04 | 1829 | 3403 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| -0.23 | $6.31 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.26 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 276 | 2433 |
| -0.36 | $8.40 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 4.39E-06 | 68 | 1211 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| -0.28 | 4.33E-10 | 1.40E-09 | 288 | 2167 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| -0.24 | 8.12E-05 | $5.79 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 423 | 1943 |
| -0.35 | $8.10 \mathrm{E}-15$ | $6.70 \mathrm{E}-14$ | 3 | 1736 |
| -0.20 | $1.02 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 3.02E-04 | 1407 | 2814 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| -0.55 | $5.15 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $4.12 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 517 | 5076 |
| -0.24 | $2.88 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $7.38 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 2540 | 5247 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA |
| -0.35 | 1.32E-03 | 3.86E-03 | 220 | 446 |


| T-cell Average Spearman Rho | T-cell Average Spearman P-value | T-cell Average Qvalue | T-cell Average Qvalue Rank AntiCorrelated | T-cell Total Genes Significantly AntiCorrelated by Q-value | Number of samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.40 | 2.70E-04 | 2.91E-03 | 445 | 1618 | 79 |
| -0.29 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 5.67E-09 | 1099 | 4305 | 414 |
| 0.29 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-11$ | $5.46 \mathrm{E}-11$ | NA | NA | 1109 |
| -0.12 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 2.72E-04 | 2511 | 3768 | 288 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 306 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 36 |
| -0.23 | 5.50E-07 | 1.88E-06 | 1036 | 2973 | 480 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 48 |
| -0.28 | 2.77E-04 | 1.05E-03 | 2675 | 4355 | 162 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 169 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 502 |
| -0.27 | 2.51E-10 | 2.36E-09 | 70 | 1564 | 65 |
| -0.32 | 5.07E-08 | 4.66E-07 | 51 | 2360 | 538 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 374 |
| -0.13 | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 7.51E-03 | 1627 | 2105 | 529 |
| -0.14 | $1.31 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.01E-03 | 2862 | 3736 | 502 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 535 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 470 |
| -0.27 | $6.91 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 6.09E-07 | 357 | 3397 | 86 |
| -0.41 | $1.64 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 1.05E-07 | 50 | 1420 | 379 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 178 |
| -0.26 | 7.90E-09 | 2.89E-08 | 389 | 2123 | 180 |
| -0.25 | $9.31 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 4.64E-03 | 241 | 655 | 499 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 167 |
| -0.31 | $7.38 \mathrm{E}-12$ | 5.13E-11 | 54 | 2263 | 263 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 375 |
| -0.32 | $7.66 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.53E-04 | 2240 | 4160 | 150 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 119 |
| -0.23 | 1.89E-07 | 5.95E-07 | 1664 | 4416 | 510 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 56 |
| -0.15 | 5.22E-04 | 3.34E-03 | 497 | 1247 | 552 |
| NA | NA | N.S | NA | NA | 80 |

Supplemental table 4: GSEA from on-treatment non-responding biopsies compared to
responding biopsies using Curated Gene Sets (C2), q < 0.2

| NAME | GS<br> follo GS DETAILS | SIZE | ES | NES | NOM p-val | FDR q-val | FWER p-val |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WELCSH_BRCA1_TARGETS_DN | WELCSH_BR Details ... | 139 | 0.6422657 | 3.163371 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MANALO_HYPOXIA_DN | MANALO_HY Details ... | 270 | 0.5573447 | 3.0424507 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SOTIRIOU_BREAST_CANCER_GRADE_1_VS_3_UP | SOTIRIOU_B Details ... | 141 | 0.58930874 | 2.9300787 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| YAO_TEMPORAL_RESPONSE_TO_PROGESTERONE_CLUSTER_14 | YAO_TEMPO Details ... | 134 | 0.576613 | 2.8172123 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MENSSEN_MYC_TARGETS | MENSSEN_N Details ... | 51 | 0.6932221 | 2.8031504 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SCHUHMACHER_MYC_TARGETS_UP | SCHUHMAC1 Details ... | 77 | 0.6166993 | 2.7812567 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LI_DCP2_BOUND_MRNA | LI_DCP2_BOI Details ... | 84 | 0.5840576 | 2.7371175 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WINTER_HYPOXIA_UP | WINTER_HYI Details ... | 88 | 0.5884712 | 2.6980932 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENG_GLUTAMINE_DEPRIVATION_DN | PENG_GLUT. Details ... | 325 | 0.48952675 | 2.660823 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| WINNEPENNINCKX_MELANOMA_METASTASIS_UP | WINNEPENN Details ... | 151 | 0.5144166 | 2.6306832 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENG_RAPAMYCIN_RESPONSE_DN | PENG_RAPA Details ... | 231 | 0.4946737 | 2.6215663 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KOBAYASHI_EGFR_SIGNALING_24HR_DN | KOBAYASHI_ Details ... | 241 | 0.4979802 | 2.620339 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| YAO_TEMPORAL_RESPONSE_TO_PROGESTERONE_CLUSTER_17 | YAO_TEMPO Details ... | 171 | 0.5033298 | 2.6022208 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RHODES_CANCER_META_SIGNATURE | RHODES_CAI Details ... | 63 | 0.591095 | 2.5810163 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PENG_LEUCINE_DEPRIVATION_DN | PENG_LEUCI Details ... | 182 | 0.49582386 | 2.5746064 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| KIM_ALL_DISORDERS_DURATION_CORR_DN | KIM_ALL_DIS Details ... | 142 | 0.50752264 | 2.55055 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BILANGES_RAPAMYCIN_SENSITIVE_VIA_TSC1_AND_TSC2 | BILANGES_R Details ... | 71 | 0.56648415 | 2.5071523 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OXFORD_RALA_OR_RALB_TARGETS_UP | OXFORD_RA Details . | 45 | 0.6142026 | 2.5067632 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DANG_REGULATED_BY_MYC_UP | DANG_REGL Details ... | 70 | 0.55954903 | 2.4921827 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FOURNIER_ACINAR_DEVELOPMENT_LATE_2 | FOURNIER_f Details ... | 265 | 0.45493805 | 2.4844851 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MOOTHA_VOXPHOS | MOOTHA_VOXPHOS | 84 | 0.52912754 | 2.4148815 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DANG_MYC_TARGETS_UP | DANG_MYC_TARGETS_UP | 135 | 0.47602034 | 2.4082212 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| REACTOME_MITOCHONDRIAL_PROTEIN_IMPORT | REACTOME_MITOCHONDRIAL_I | 47 | 0.57679176 | 2.403725 | 0 | $5.96 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| FRASOR_RESPONSE_TO_SERM_OR_FULVESTRANT_DN | FRASOR_RESPONSE_TO_SERM | 50 | 0.5865024 | 2.4022262 | 0 | $5.71 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| REACTOME_MRNA_SPLICING_MINOR_PATHWAY | REACTOME_MRNA_SPLICING_\ | 40 | 0.5974374 | 2.3997123 | 0 | $5.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| SANSOM_APC_TARGETS_REQUIRE_MYC | SANSOM_APC_TARGETS_REQU | 192 | 0.4534387 | 2.3912604 | 0 | $5.27 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| REACTOME_RESPIRATORY_ELECTRON_TRANSPORT | REACTOME_RESPIRATORY_ELE | 64 | 0.55282086 | 2.39051 | 0 | $5.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| REACTOME_TRNA_AMINOACYLATION | REACTOME_TRNA_AMINOACYL | 42 | 0.59777385 | 2.3637283 | 0 | $4.89 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| WONG_EMBRYONIC_STEM_CELL_CORE | WONG_EMBRYONIC_STEM_CE | 330 | 0.43178394 | 2.3555942 | 0 | $4.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| GRADE_COLON_AND_RECTAL_CANCER_UP | GRADE_COLON_AND_RECTAL_ | 273 | 0.42499885 | 2.3476837 | 0 | $4.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| STARK_HYPPOCAMPUS_22Q11_DELETION_DN | STARK_HYPPOCAMPUS_22Q11. | 18 | 0.73649263 | 2.344347 | 0 | $4.42 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| CHAUHAN_RESPONSE_TO_METHOXYESTRADIOL_UP | CHAUHAN_RESPONSE_TO_MET | 50 | 0.5747056 | 2.341423 | 0 | $4.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| REACTOME_RESPIRATORY_ELECTRON_TRANSPORT_ATP_SYNTHESIS | \&REACTOME_RESPIRATORY_ELE | 80 | 0.50809836 | 2.3386672 | 0 | $4.15 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.001 |
| NIKOLSKY_BREAST_CANCER_16P13_AMPLICON | NIKOLSKY_BREAST_CANCER_1€ | 108 | 0.48471385 | 2.3327332 | 0 | $7.92 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002 |
| KEGG_AMINOACYL_TRNA_BIOSYNTHESIS | KEGG_AMINOACYL_TRNA_BIOS | 41 | 0.59299195 | 2.330928 | - 0 | $7.69 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002 |
| WONG_MITOCHONDRIA_GENE_MODULE | WONG_MITOCHONDRIA_GENE | 216 | 0.4337401 | 2.3259742 | 0 | $7.48 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002 |
| KEGG_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION | KEGG_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORY | 116 | 0.47559005 | 2.32503 | 0 | $7.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002 |
| SCHLOSSER_MYC_TARGETS_AND_SERUM_RESPONSE_UP | SCHLOSSER_MYC_TARGETS_AI | 46 | 0.5733477 | 2.3072815 | 0 | $7.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002 |
| REACTOME_EXTENSION_OF_TELOMERES | REACTOME_EXTENSION_OF_TE | 27 | 0.6444721 | 2.3045483 | 0 | $6.90 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002 |
| REACTOME_BIOSYNTHESIS_OF_THE_N_GLYCAN_PRECURSOR_DOLIC | REACTOME_BIOSYNTHESIS_OF. | 28 | 0.64010525 | 2.3024354 | 0 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.003 |
| REACTOME_POST_TRANSLATIONAL_MODIFICATION_SYNTHESIS_OF | REACTOME_POST_TRANSLATIC | 26 | 0.6318254 | 2.2874875 | 0 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.005 |
| PELLICCIOTTA_HDAC_IN_ANTIGEN_PRESENTATION_UP | PELLICCIOTTA_HDAC_IN_ANTIG | 62 | 0.5263341 | 2.2845087 | 0 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.006 |
| WHITEFORD_PEDIATRIC_CANCER_MARKERS | WHITEFORD_PEDIATRIC_CANCE | 111 | 0.46219832 | 2.2752745 | 0 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.007 |
| YAO_TEMPORAL_RESPONSE_TO_PROGESTERONE_CLUSTER_13 | YAO_TEMPORAL_RESPONSE_T | 164 | 0.4360182 | 2.2726867 | 0 | $2.50 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.008 |
| REACTOME_GLUCONEOGENESIS | REACTOME_GLUCONEOGENESI | 31 | 0.6132355 | 2.248203 | 0 | $4.27 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.014 |
| KEGG_RNA_POLYMERASE | KEGG_RNA_POLYMERASE | 29 | 0.61928356 | 2.244533 | 0 | $4.47 \mathrm{E}-04$ | 0.015 |

Supplemental table 5: Panel of immune markers for CyTOF

| Tag | Target | Clone | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 191/3 Ir | Single cells | - | Fluidigm |
| Cisplatin | Dead cells | - | Fluidigm |
| 89Y | CD45 | 30-F11 | DVS |
| 142 Nd | CD11c | N418 | DVS |
| 143 Nd | CD69 | H1.2F3 | DVS |
| 146 Nd | F4/80 | BM8 | DVS |
| 148 Nd | CD11b (Mac-1) | M1/70 | DVS |
| 149 Nd | CD62L (L-selectin)* | MEL-14 |  |
| 150Nd | Ly6C | Hk1.4 | DVS |
| 151Eu | Ly6G | 1A8 | DVS |
| 152Sm | CD3e | 145-2C11 | DVS |
| 153Eu | CD274_PDL-1 |  |  |
| 155Gd | CD25 (IL-2R)* | 3 C 7 | Biolegend |
| 159Tb | CD279_PD1 | 29F.1A12 | DVS |
| 160Gd | CD62L (L-selectin) | MEL-14 | DVS |
| 162Dy | CD366 (TIM3) | RMT3-23 | DVS |
| 166Er | CD19 | 6D5 | DVS |
| 167Er | CD335(Nkp46) | 29A1.4 | DVS |
| 168Er | CD8a | 53-6.7 | DVS |
| 170Er | CD161 (NK1.1) | PK136 | DVS |
| 171Yb | CD44 | IM7 | DVS |
| 172Yb | CD4 | RM4-5 | DVS |
| 174Yb | I-A/I-E (MHC class II) | M5/114.15.2 | DVS |
| 175 Lu | CD103 |  | Biolegend |
| 176Yb | CD45R_B220 | RA3-6B2 | DVS |
|  | Intracellular |  |  |
| 115 IN | Ki67 | SolA15 | ThermoScientific |
| 141Pr | TNF alfa | MP6-XT22 | DVS |
| 147Sm | Eomes | Dan11mag | ThermoScientific |
| 158Gd | FoxP3 | FJK-16s | DVS |
| 161Dy | T-bet | B56 | DVS |
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#### Abstract

PAK4 inhibition can sensitize tumors to immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) therapy, however, the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. We report that PAK4 inhibition reverses immune cell exclusion by increasing the infiltration of CD8 T cells and CD103+ dendritic cells (DCs), a specific type of DCs that excel at cross-presenting tumor antigens and constitute a source of CXCL10. Interestingly, in melanoma clinical datasets, PAK4 expression levels negatively correlate with the presence of CCL21, the ligand for CCR7 expressed in CD103+ DCs. Furthermore, we extensively characterized the transcriptome of PAK4 knock out (KO) tumors, in vitro and in vivo, and established the importance of PAK4 expression in the regulation of the extracellular matrix, which can facilitate immune cell infiltration. Comparison between PAK4 wild type (WT) and KO anti-PD-1 treated tumors revealed how PAK4 deletion sensitizes tumors to ICB from a transcriptomic perspective. In addition, we validated genetically and pharmacologically that inhibition of PAK4 kinase activity is sufficient to improve anti-tumor efficacy of anti-PD-1 blockade. Therefore, this study provides novel insights into the mechanism of action of PAK4 inhibition and provides the foundation for a new treatment strategy that aims to overcome resistance to PD-1 blockade by combining anti-PD-1 with a small molecule PAK4 kinase inhibitor.


## Introduction

Cancer immunotherapy has changed the treatment landscape of multiple tumor types, including advanced melanoma ${ }^{1}$. PD-1/PD-L1 blockade therapy works by releasing the brakes on the immune system and allowing the pre-existing anti-tumor response to resume and eradicate cancer cells ${ }^{2}$. Despite the unprecedented clinical success, with the approval by the FDA of multiple monoclonal antibodies blocking the PD-1/PD-L1 axis, the majority of patients with cancer do not respond to treatment or relapse shortly after ${ }^{3,4}$. Lack of tumor infiltration by immune cells, which results in low interferon-gamma signature, constitutes one of the main mechanisms of resistance to ICB therapies ${ }^{2,5-7}$, and tumor-intrinsic oncogenic signaling pathways could drive immune cell exclusion from the tumor microenvironment ${ }^{8-10}$. Among the different pathways, WNT/ $\beta$-catenin signaling has been associated with poor immune cell infiltration and resistance to anti-PD-1 blockade therapy in melanoma and other tumor types ${ }^{11-}$ ${ }^{13}$. However, there is a paucity of targets that could potentially be inhibited in order to reverse immune cell exclusion and overcome resistance to PD-1 blockade therapies.

PAK4 is a member of the group II p21-activated kinases (PAKs) family and functions as a central player in the reorganization of the cytoskeleton ${ }^{14}$. PAK4 is also involved in several other cellular functions including cell survival and proliferation ${ }^{15-17}$, but it is best known for its role in controlling cellular morphology, cell adhesion and cell migration ${ }^{18-20}$. Of note, PAK4 overexpression is associated with tumorigenesis in several tumor types including breast, pancreatic, bladder, ovarian cancer and melanoma ${ }^{21-26}$, and constitutes a potential target for cancer treatment. We have recently shown that in melanoma, PAK4 overexpression is associated with lack of immune cell infiltration and resistance to PD-1 blockade immunotherapy, with its inhibition resulting in increased immune cell infiltration leading to overcoming resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy ${ }^{13}$. In addition, it has been shown that deletion of the PAK4 gene in endothelial cells remodels the vascular microenvironment leading to increased T cell infiltration and improved responses to CAR-T immunotherapy in
glioblastoma ${ }^{27}$. However, the specific mechanisms underlying the improvement of effectiveness of ICB remain largely unknown.

Here, we show how PAK4 inhibition increases not only T cell infiltration, but also CD103+ dendritic cell infiltration, an important subset of dendritic cells that excel at cross-presenting tumor antigens and priming T cells. We also describe how lack of PAK4 expression alters the tumor microenvironment and sensitizes murine melanoma to anti-PD-1 therapy from a transcriptomic perspective. Finally, we demonstrate that the kinase activity of PAK4 is responsible for the improved response and show that pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 activity with a specific PAK4 kinase inhibitor improves the efficacy of anti-PD-1 immunotherapy. Taken together, these findings provide the rationale for a novel treatment strategy to overcome PD-1 blockade resistance by administering a combination of anti-PD-1 in combination with a specific PAK4 kinase inhibitor.

## Results

## PAK4 inhibition increases CD103 ${ }^{+}$dendritic cell infiltration and its expression is associated with CCL21 levels in biopsies of patients with melanoma

To elucidate how the lack of tumor PAK4 expression sensitizes cancer cells to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy we aimed to characterize the tumor immune cell compartment. Previously, we described an increase in T cell infiltration in murine melanoma B16 PAK4 KO tumors ${ }^{13}$. In the current work, we sought to identify differences in the infiltration of other immune cell types that are key to orchestrating an anti-tumor response, such as dendritic cells. To do so, we implanted B16 PAK4 KO or B16 WT CRISPR control (CC) cells in the flanks of syngeneic C57BL/6 mice and treated them with murine anti-PD-1. To study the priming of $T$ cells in the initial steps in the generation of anti-tumor immunity, we harvested tumors on day 6, after administering only one dose of anti-PD-1 therapy. A total of 44 murine melanoma B16 tumors (22 PAK4 KO and 22 WT ) were then analyzed by flow cytometry using specific markers to
characterize dendritic cells (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). We observed that PAK4 KO tumors, regardless of anti-PD-1 treatment, significantly increased the percentage of CD103+ DC (Fig. 1a), a subset of dendritic cells that have been described to excel at cross-presenting tumor antigens ${ }^{28}$. Almost half of the WT tumors analyzed (10/22) presented less than 5\% CD103+ DC infiltration while only three PAK4 KO tumors (3/22) had less than 5\% CD103+ DC infiltration. In line with the increase in dendritic cell infiltration, we also observed a significant increase in the percentage of $\mathrm{CD45}^{+} \mathrm{CD} 8^{+}$cells in the PAK4 KO group compared to WT tumors (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, the expression of the T cell attracting chemokine CXCL10, was significantly enriched in PAK4 KO tumors (Fig. 1c). This is consistent with previous work in which it is shown that the expression of CXCL10 was dependent on the presence of $\mathrm{CD}^{2} 103^{+} \mathrm{DCs}^{29}$.

We then investigated differences in chemokine expression that could explain the increase in CD103 ${ }^{+}$DC levels in the tumor microenvironment. To do so, we used transcriptomic data from multiple clinical datasets ${ }^{7,13}$ and compared melanoma tumors with high PAK4 expression versus low PAK4 expression. Interestingly, we found that among the different chemokines, high PAK4 expression was strongly associated with decreased CCL21 levels, which is the ligand for CCR7, the receptor expressed by CD103+ DC (Fig. 1d). We also found significant changes in CCL4 expression, a chemokine that has also been reported to facilitate the infiltration of this subset of dendritic cells ${ }^{11}$, but to a lesser extent than CCL21 levels (log2FC CCL21 $=6.09$, log2FC CCL4 $=2.34$, Abril-Rodriguez cohort). Of note, we did not find any differences in CCL21 secretion between B16 WT CC and PAK4 KO cell lines in vitro (Ext Data Fig. 1). Altogether, our results show that genetic PAK4 deletion increases the infiltration of CD103 ${ }^{+}$DCs, augments CXCL10 expression and results in higher CD8 T cell infiltration. Furthermore, this data is in line with our observation that in biopsies of patients with melanoma, PAK4 expression is negatively associated with levels of CCL21, a chemokine that orchestrates CD103+ DC migration.

## Transcriptomic characterization of PAK4 KO cells reveals major changes in the tumor microenvironment followed by increased sensitivity to anti-PD-1

In order to tease out the transcriptomic differences between B16 PAK4 KO and WT cells, we performed RNA-seq on a total of 18 in vitro samples ( 12 KO and 6 WT ), which included cultures that were treated with either IFN $\gamma$, TNF or Wnt3a. Principal component analysis showed that the main source of variance is due to lost PAK4 expression (PC1 $=47 \%$ of variance, Fig. 2a). This was also validated by analyzing cell lines treated with IFN $\gamma$, TNF or Wnt-3a separately, which showed that there were no significant differences on how PAK4 WT or KO cells sense through these different stimuli (Ext. Data Fig. 2). Hence, these data also suggest that neither IFN $\gamma$ nor TNF signaling in cancer cells plays a role in improving PD-1 blockade response in the context of PAK4 inhibition. Next, in order to gain statistical power, we compared all KO cells versus WT regardless of any additional cytokine (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table 2). We performed differential gene expression (DGE) analysis and used the output to perform gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) with GO signatures (C5), which showed that PAK4 KO cells were enriched in signatures associated with cell motility, cell adhesion and cytoskeleton (Fig. 2c). Due to its role in cytoskeleton organization, PAK4 loss might affect how cells interact with each other, which could impact the extracellular matrix, and hence, the tumor microenvironment. Interestingly, PAK4 KO cells had a higher expression of genes associated with blood vessel formation (CERCAM1, ENPEP, ITGA3 and LGALS3), and antigen presentation (H2-K1 and H2-DMB1) (Fig. 2d). However, MHC class I surface expression analysis by flow cytometry did not show any difference between PAK4 KO and WT cells (Ext. Data Fig. 3).

We next performed RNA-seq on murine melanoma B16 tumors implanted in the flanks of C57BL/6 mice that received treatment with either isotype or anti-PD-1. Here, tumors were harvested at two different time points: day 6 (early, 1 dose) and day 10 (late, 3 doses) so we could investigate the progression of transcriptomic changes over time. DGE analysis of day 6
tumors showed that anti-PD-1 treatment had no impact on either WT nor PAK4 KO tumors yet, as the mice had only have received one dose at this timepoint (Fig. 3a). Therefore, we focused solely on identifying the differences between WT and PAK4 KO tumors regardless of anti-PD-1 treatment status (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Table 3). We observed that $30 \%$ of the genes that were differentially expressed in PAK4 KO tumors at day 6 were also found differentially expressed in our in vitro analysis (Fig. 3c). B16 PAK4 KO tumors were also enriched in the same cell signatures as the PAK4 KO cells in vitro, such as cell motility, cell adhesion and blood vessel morphogenesis among others (Fig. 3d). In addition, the increased expression of CXCL10 in PAK4 KO tumors that we have previously described (Fig. 1c), was further validated in this RNA-seq analysis (Ext. Data Fig. 4).

When comparing B16 PAK4 KO and WT tumors harvested at day 10 , we observed that PAK4 KO tumors underwent far more transcriptomic changes in response to anti-PD-1 (Fig. 4a). We found that only two genes changed in response to anti-PD-1 in WT tumors, which is consistent with the lack of anti-tumor response seen in this model, whereas up to 2995 genes were differentially expressed in PAK4 KO tumors (log2FC > 2 or $<-2$ and FDR < 0.05, Supplementary Table 4). Therefore, lack of PAK4 expression facilitates changes in the tumor microenvironment, which become more evident when given anti-PD-1 therapy, to sensitize melanoma B16 tumors to anti-PD-1 treatment ${ }^{13}$. Among the differentially expressed genes, we found that the majority of changes occur in genes that are associated with or play a role in modulating the structure of the extracellular matrix (Fig. 4b). Furthermore, a cell adhesion signature with genes that play a role in the ECM and hence, could directly impact the tumor organization showed that the increase was specific for the PAK4 KO treated tumors (Fig. 4c). In addition, the comparison of PAK4 KO anti-PD-1 treated tumors with WT anti-PD-1 treated tumors yielded 2586 genes that were differentially expressed (log2FC > 1 or $<-1$ and FDR < 0.05 , Supplementary Table 5). This included several gene families that could also impact the tumor architecture, including the collagen gene family ( $n=20$ ), the cadherin/protocadherin gene family ( $n=11$ ), the integrin gene family $(n=8)$ and the adam gene family $(n=9)$ among
others (Supplementary Table 6). Additionally, we found that in B16 PAK4 KO tumors treated with anti-PD-1, there was an increase in the expression of a specific endothelial cell marker, Cdh5 (Fig. 4d), indicating an increase in angiogenesis, which was already suggested in the in vitro and in vivo day 6 analyses (Fig. 2d and 3d). Of note, we performed IHC on a representative sample for each of the four groups (WT isotype, WT anti-PD-1, PAK4 KO isotype and PAK4 KO anti-PD-1) on day 10, to evaluate the protein expression of CD8 and CD31, which is required for leukocyte transendothelial migration. We observed that PAK4 KO tumors treated with anti-PD-1 presented higher levels of both, CD31 (WT: 4\% and KO: 10\%) and CD8 expression (WT: 1\% and KO: 9\%) (Fig. 4e). PAK4 KO anti-PD-1 treated tumors also showed a high level of spatial colocalization of these two markers, suggesting a proper functionality of these blood vessels and an active migration of CD8 ${ }^{+}$cells into the tumor (Ext. Data. Fig. 5). In agreement with our results, recent work by Fan et. al. ${ }^{27}$ demonstrated that knocking out PAK4 in endothelial cells re-organize the whole tumor vascularity, increases immune cell infiltration and improves CAR-T cell therapy response in glioblastoma. Altogether, we characterized the tumor transcriptome of B16 PAK4 KO cells both, in vitro and in vivo, and determined that the main differences between PAK4 KO and WT transcriptomes are found in genes and cell signatures associated with the extracellular matrix. This suggests that the re-organization of the tumor architecture is necessary to increase immune cell infiltration and sensitize tumors to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy.

## PAK4 kinase activity is responsible for the improved response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in vivo

We next aimed to investigate whether inhibition of PAK4 kinase activity was sufficient to recapitulate the improved responses to ICB. Our prior work had used genetic knockdown and a PAK4 inhibitor, KPT-9274, which works by degrading the whole protein ${ }^{13,30,31}$, but did not directly demonstrate that the beneficial effects of PAK4 inhibition were due to inhibition of its kinase function. To this end, we first generated three different B16 PAK4 kinase dead (KD) cell lines by transducing PAK4 KO cells with a lentivirus containing the PAK4 open reading
frame (ORF) with the lysine (K) at position 352 changed to a methionine (M) (Ext. Data Fig. 6), which was expected to inhibit PAK4 kinase activity ${ }^{32,33}$. In order to validate loss of functionality at the PAK4 kinase domain, we evaluated whether B16 PAK4 KD cells had decreased phosphorylation of $\beta$-catenin S675 and reduced response to Wnt-3a stimulation, as we have previously observed in B16 PAK4 KO cells as well as in human melanoma PAK4 KO cells (Ext. Data. Fig. 7). Indeed, B16 PAK4 KD cells had decreased $\beta$-catenin S675 phosphorylation (Fig. 5a) and reduced sensitivity to Wnt-3a at levels similar to the ones observed in B16 PAK4 KO cells (Fig. 5b). We next sought to determine if B16 PAK4 KD cell lines were as sensitive to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy as B16 PAK4 KO cells. We observed that blockade of PAK4 kinase activity was sufficient to overcome resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy in B16 melanoma cells as there was a significant reduction in tumor volume in B16 PAK4 KD cells treated with anti-PD-1 compared to those treated with isotype (Fig. 5c). In summary, B16 PAK4 KD cells behave as B16 PAK4 KO cells, an observation that has important implications in the development of novel PAK4 kinase inhibitors that could potentially be more potent and specific compared to total pharmacological PAK4 protein reduction.

## A specific PAK4 kinase inhibitor synergizes with anti-PD-1 immunotherapy and improves responses in vivo

We next aimed to determine if pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 kinase activity recapitulated the effects previously observed in genetically modified cell lines. To this end, we used a novel and specific PAK4 kinase inhibitor, A0317859. First, in order to validate the efficacy of this compound in vitro, we studied its effect on the $\beta$-catenin/WNT signaling pathway. As observed in our PAK4 KO and KD cells, compound A0317859 succeeded in decreasing nuclear $\beta$ catenin phosphorylation at S675 (Fig. 6a) as well as in reducing sensitivity to Wnt-3a (Fig. 6b) in our B16 WT CC cells. We next investigated its activity in vivo. To do so, we treated murine melanoma B16 WT CC tumors with either vehicle, anti-PD-1, A0317859 or a combination of anti-PD-1 plus A0317859. The combination resulted in a significantly slower tumor growth compared to compound A0317859 or anti-PD-1 alone, which parallels the results of PAK4 KO
and KD tumors and provides a new rationale for the combination of PD-1 blockade with a specific PAK4 kinase inhibitor.

## Discussion

Several signaling pathways have been associated with resistance to ICBs, such as WNT/ $\beta$ catenin signaling pathway, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling, MYC signaling activation, pathways activated by the loss of the tumor suppressor phosphoinositide phosphatase PTEN or loss of function of liver kinase B1 (LKB1) mediated immunosuppression ${ }^{34}$. Ideally, these pathways could be targeted pharmacologically and used in combination with ICBs to overcome resistance to ICB therapies. Although the association of these pathways with clinical responses to immunotherapy has become more evident, there is a lack of targets that could be pharmacologically inhibited to successfully re-wire these cancer-intrinsic oncogenic signaling pathways and sensitize tumors to ICB. We have previously shown that inhibition of the expression of PAK4, which encodes a serine-threonine kinase involved in the WNT/ $\beta$-catenin pathway, increases T cell infiltration and overcomes resistance to PD-1 blockade in several mouse models ${ }^{13}$. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the increased sensitivity to PD-1 blockade upon PAK4 inhibition are still unclear.

In the current study, we show that PAK4 inhibition not only increases T cell infiltration but also increases the infiltration of a specific subset of dendritic cells, CD103+ DCs. We focused on this particular subset of dendritic cells since they have been previously associated with antitumor immunity in melanoma ${ }^{11}$. One study showed that T cell recruitment to the tumor was dependent on the presence of CD103+ DCs producing CXCL10 ${ }^{29}$. Similarly, our results showed an increase in CD103 ${ }^{+}$DCs and demonstrated that CXCL10 was the only chemokine up-regulated in PAK4 KO tumors at day 6. Moreover, these changes were observed when comparing PAK4 KO versus WT tumors, regardless of anti-PD-1 treatment status, which suggests that PAK4 inhibition alters the tumor microenvironment and facilitates the infiltration
of key immune cells that are required to mount an anti-tumor response. Nonetheless, these changes alone cannot generate a successful immune response as demonstrated by the similar growth rate of B16 PAK4 KO relative to B16 WT CC tumors. The observation that PAK4 KO tumors require the addition of anti-PD-1 in order to decrease tumor growth, highlights the importance of overcoming adaptive immune resistance mechanisms and blocking the PD-1/PD-L1 interaction. In line with these results, we found that PAK4 expression negatively correlates with the expression of CCL21, the ligand for the CCR7 receptor expressed on CD103 ${ }^{+}$DC. Importantly, a recent study showed that the expression of CCR7 in human melanoma correlates with the levels of T cell infiltration and patient survival ${ }^{28}$. The fact that PAK4 KO cells do not secrete any CCL21 suggests that, although another cell type is responsible for the secretion of this chemokine, the absence of PAK4 is necessary to increase its concentration in the tumor microenvironment. Furthermore, we have previously described that dendritic cells are the immune cell subtype that present the strongest negative correlation with PAK4 expression in human melanoma tumors ${ }^{13}$. Altogether, our results suggest that PAK4 plays a key role in an initial step in the generation of an anti-tumor immune response.

The first described functional activities of PAK4 were related to cell morphology and cytoskeleton reorganization ${ }^{35}$. Currently, PAK4 kinase activity has also been shown to regulate $\beta$-catenin phosphorylation, which impacts WNT signaling pathway activity ${ }^{36}$. In addition, PAK4 scaffold functions include interaction with and regulation of the TNF signaling pathway. For instance, a recent study showed that PAK4 activated the TNF-survival pathway by directly facilitating the binding of TRADD to the TNF receptor ${ }^{17}$. In order to tease out which functions and signaling pathways are mediating the sensitivity to anti-PD-1, in this study we performed an extensive analysis of the transcriptomic changes that occur in PAK4 KO samples in both in vitro and in vivo. From our results, we conclude that PAK4 inhibition does not alter TNF nor IFN $\gamma$ signaling pathways and we also were able to exclude the possibility of increased MHC class I presentation as a mechanism of action. Our analyses validate the role of PAK4 in $\beta$-catenin phosphorylation and WNT signaling activation. However, we have yet to
identify which key WNT-regulated genes are differentially stimulated in PAK4 KO cells. Therefore, the connection between PAK4, $\beta$-catenin and ICB efficacy requires further investigation. On the other hand, our transcriptomic data supports the importance of PAK4 in cell morphology, cell adhesion and extracellular matrix organization. In vitro, lack of PAK4 expression impacts the expression of genes that encode for membrane proteins involved in cell-cell interaction. Importantly, these changes are maintained in early in vivo samples (day 6 ) and could potentially affect the tumor architecture and impact its immunogenicity. Interestingly, we also observed changes in genes that were related to blood vessel formation. This became more relevant after Yi Fan's group demonstrated that blocking PAK4 expression in endothelial cells could reprogram the tumor vascular microenvironment, thus, facilitating the infiltration of CAR-T cells and improving the efficacy of immunotherapy in glioblastoma ${ }^{27}$. Although PAK4 expression is specifically knocked out in endothelial cells, there are some similarities with our melanoma PAK4 KO cells, stressing the importance of PAK4 in modulating the tumor microenvironment and impacting immunotherapy effectiveness. For instance, our immunohistochemistry analyses show an increase of CD31 in PAK4 KO anti-PD-1 treated tumors, as well as an increase of CD8, which is spatially co-localized with CD31. While this analysis does not provide any information on the quality of the blood vessels, it shows that immune cells are able to infiltrate the tumor through them, suggesting that the blood vessels are functional. Of note, these results are limited by the sample size. Furthermore, the importance of PAK4 deletion is also supported by our late in vivo RNA-seq data, where anti-PD-1 treatment only changed the transcriptome of melanoma PAK4 KO tumors. The main differences were observed in genes related to the extracellular matrix and angiogenesis, and are accompanied by an improved response to ICB in our B16 melanoma mouse model. Nevertheless, whilst the association between PAK4 and the tumor microenvironment is evident, further studies are needed to elucidate the key changes that facilitate the infiltration of immune cells and overcomes the resistance to ICB.

The identification of oncogenic-driven resistance mechanisms to ICB can provide novel candidates for clinical intervention. However, discovering targets that could be exploited pharmacologically remains a challenge. Specifically, the $\beta$-catenin/WNT signaling pathway has been extensively associated with poor immune infiltration and lack of response to PD-1 blockade, but to date, there are no clinical trials that have successfully combined WNTinhibitors with ICB inhibitors. This is in part due to the complexity and importance of this signaling pathway in regulating several essential cellular functions, which could narrow the therapeutic window. The link between PAK4 and $\beta$-catenin is consistent in our model, however we need to further investigate how PAK4 inhibition re-wires the WNT signaling pathway and examine whether these changes impact anti-PD1 efficacy. Our results demonstrate that lack of PAK4 expression modifies the sensitivity to the main WNT ligand, Wnt-3a, while other WNTdependent cellular functions, such as cell proliferation, remain intact. Importantly, we demonstrate that PAK4 kinase function is responsible for both: WNT signaling alteration and increased sensitivity to PD-1 blockade immunotherapy. Yet, we cannot completely exclude the possibility that other kinase-independent PAK4 functions may contribute to the phenotype we observe in vivo. Previous attempts to block PAK4 activity pharmacologically have failed due to a dearth of selective inhibitors or due to issues with the compound pharmacokinetics, as observed in the terminated clinical trial evaluating the pan-PAK inhibitor, PF-03758309 ${ }^{37}$. Here, we provide the initial results of a specific and novel PAK4 kinase inhibitor, A0317859. Importantly, this compound is able to recapitulate the in vivo efficacy observed in our B16 PAK4 KO and PAK4 KD in vivo models.

In summary, in this study we showed how PAK4 inhibition remodels the tumor microenvironment, enabling the infiltration of key immune cell subtypes and changing the expression of genes involved in the tumor architecture. In addition, we established that blocking PAK4 kinase function is sufficient to overcome PD-1 blockade resistance in vivo and demonstrated how a novel PAK4 kinase inhibitor could potentially overcome resistance to PD1 blockade. To date, there is only a single clinical trial (NCT02702492), combining an anti-PD-

1 antibody with a dual PAK4 and NAMPT inhibitor, KPT-9274, which decreases whole PAK4 protein expression. Our work lays the foundation for the translation of a novel, unique and specific PAK4 kinase inhibitor that could be used in combination with PD-1 blockade immunotherapy.
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Figure 1: PAK4 expression levels are negatively associated with the presence of CD103 ${ }^{+}$dendritic cells in vivo and the levels of their ligand, CCL21 in biopsies of patients with melanoma. a, Differences in the infiltration of CD103+ dendritic cells in B16 WT CC and PAK4 KO tumors ( $\mathrm{n}=44,22$ per group). Tumors were collected on day 6 , after one dose of anti-PD-1. After processing and staining, CD103+ ${ }^{+}$DCs were gated for singlets, live cells, $\mathrm{CD}^{+} 5^{+}, \mathrm{MHC}-\mathrm{II}^{+}, \mathrm{CD} 11 \mathrm{c}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CD} 103^{+}$cells. B16 PAK4 KO tumors had significantly higher levels of CD103+ DCs compared to B16 WT CC tumors ( $P=0.04$ ). b, Differences in the infiltration of CD8 ${ }^{+}$cells. Samples were gated for singlets, live cells, CD45 ${ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{CD8}^{+}$ population to have an estimate of the number of CD8 T cells. B16 PAK4 KO samples showed a significant increase of $\mathrm{CD45}^{+} / \mathrm{CD}^{+}$cells compared to WT CC tumors ( $P=0.02$ ). c, RNA from a total of 24 samples ( $n=12$ per each group) were collected to perform RT-PCR. The cycle threshold (Ct) of each sample was normalized by the mean of the WT isotype group. CXCL10 expression is significantly increased in the PAK4 KO group. d, Differences in CCL21 log2FPKM expression levels between high and low PAK4 in biopsies of patients with melanoma (based on the upper and lower quartile) across three different clinical datasets: Abril-Rodriguez et. al., Riaz et. al., and TCGA. In all 3 cohorts, CCL21 levels were significantly enriched ( $P<0.05$ ) in patients with low PAK4 expression.
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Figure 2: In vitro transcriptomic comparison of PAK4 KO and WT cells shows differences in extracellular matrix genes. a, Principal component analysis (PCA) of 18 in vitro samples (12 KO and 6 WT CC). Principal component 1 (PC1) is related to PAK4 expression and explains almost half of the variance of this cohort (47\%). b, Volcano plot derived from the differential gene expression analysis between PAK4 KO and WT CC samples. In red, genes with log2FC $>1$ or $<-1$ and $p$-value $<5 e-05$. In orange, genes with $\log 2 F C>1$ or $<-1$ and $p$-value $<0.05$. In grey, genes that do not fall in any of the two previous categories. c, Heatmap of two selected signatures: locomotion and biological adhesion from Gene Ontology (GO), after performing Gene Set Enrichment Analysis with the list of differentially expressed genes ( $q<0.05$ and log2FC $>1$ or $<-1$ ) resulted from KO vs WT CC comparison. Samples are separated based on PAK4 expression (condition: WT and KO). Plotting the raw z-score. d, Differences between PAK4 KO $(n=12)$ and WT CC $(n=6)$ cells in the expression of genes associated to blood vessel formation: Cercam, Enpep, Itga3, Lgals3, and antigen presentation machinery: H2-Dmb1, H2-K1 and H2-Q4 ( $P<0.05$ for all genes).
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Figure 3: Transcriptomic characterization of early in vivo PAK4 KO tumors reveals major changes in the tumor microenvironment. a, Principal component analysis (PCA) of 12 in vivo samples ( 6 KO and 6 WT CC ). In this case, principal component 2 (PC2) is related to PAK4 expression and explains almost $32 \%$ of the variance of this cohort. b, Volcano plot derived from the differential gene expression analysis between PAK4 KO and WT CC tumors, regardless of anti-PD-1 treatment. In red, genes with $\log 2 F C>1$ or $<-1$ and $p$-value $<5 \mathrm{e}-05$. In orange, genes with log2FC $>1$ or $<-1$ and $p$-value $<0.05$. In grey, genes that do not fall in any of the two previous categories. c, Venn diagram showing the overlap between DEG (q < 0.05 and log2FC $>1$ or $<-1$ ) in vitro and early in vivo samples. d, Heatmap (raw z-score) of four enriched GO signatures: locomotion, biological adhesion, leukocyte migration and blood vessel morphogenesis, after GSEA with the DEG from comparing KO vs WT CC tumors. Again, samples are separated based on PAK4 expression (condition: WT and KO).
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Figure 4: Transcriptome analysis of PAK4 KO deletion resulting in tumor sensitization to anti-PD-1 treatment. a, Volcano plots derived from the differential gene expression analysis between untreated and anti-PD-1 treated PAK4 KO tumors (left, $n=7$ ) or WT CC tumors (right, $n=7$ ). In red, genes with $\log 2 F C>1$ or $<-1$ and $p$-value $<5 e-05$. In orange, genes with $\log 2 F C>1$ or $<-1$ and $p$-value $<0.05$. In grey, genes that do not fall in any of the two previous categories. $\mathbf{b}$, Comparison of the geometric mean of a signature related to the extracellular matrix (Reactome ECM) for each of the different four groups: KO Isotype (KO ISO), KO anti-PD-1 (KO PD), WT Isotype (WT ISO) and WT anti-PD-1 (WT PD). Only PAK4 KO tumors significantly change upon anti-PD-1 treatment. c, Heatmap of selected genes related to the ECM such as cadherins, claudins and integrins among others. Again, anti-PD1 treatment affects the expression of these genes only in PAK4 KO tumors. Plotting the raw z-score. d, Differences between PAK4 WT and KO anti-PD-1 treated tumors in the expression of genes specific for endothelial cells. e, Images from two representative B16 WT anti-PD-1 (top) and PAK4 KO anti-PD-1 (bottom) tumors. Slides were stained with CD8 and CD31. Scale bar, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
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Figure 5: Genetic inhibition of PAK4 kinase activity sensitizes tumors to anti-PD-1 in vivo. a, Immunoblot for nuclear $\beta$-catenin expression (left) and nuclear phospho- $\beta$-catenin S675 (right) in B16 WT CC, PAK4 KO, PAK4 Kinase Dead and B16 PAK4 Rescue cells. PAK4 KD cells recapitulates the effects on $\beta$-catenin observed in B16 PAK4 KO cells. b, Topflash experiment to quantify $\beta$-catenin/WNT signaling activation. Cells were treated with ligand Wnt 3a at $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 8 hours. Showing the fold change in luciferase activity between untreated and WNTA-3a treated samples for B16 WT CC, KO and KD cells. Inhibition of PAK4 kinase activity decreases sensitivity to WNT-3a in vitro. Results are representative from three independent experiments. c, Tumor growth curves for B16 PAK4 Kinase Dead tumors treated with isotype ( $n=12$, blue), anti-PD-1 ( $n=14$, red) and B16 PAK4 rescue tumors treated with isotype ( $n=9$, black) and anti-PD-1 ( $n=9$, orange). PAK4 Kinase Dead tumors are able to overcome resistance to anti-PD-1 in melanoma B16 cells ( $P=2.1 \mathrm{e}-09$ ) day 13, comparison between PAK4 KD anti-PD-1 and Rescue anti-PD-1). Statistical significance and correction for multiple comparisons was calculated using Holm-Sidak method. **** $P<0.0001$.
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Figure 6: Pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 kinase activity with A0317859 synergizes with anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in vivo. a, Immunoblot for nuclear $\beta$-catenin expression (middle lane) and nuclear phospho- $\beta$-catenin S675 (top lane) in B16 WT CC cells treated with the PAK4 inhibitor, A0317859, at two different concentrations: 1 uM and 100 mM , and two different timepoints 24 h and 48 h . A0317859 is able to reduce $\mathrm{S} 675 \beta$-catenin phosphorylation in all four conditions without having an impact on total nuclear $\beta$-catenin expression. $\mathbf{b}$, Topflash experiment to test efficacy of PAK4 inhibitor on $\beta$-catenin/WNT signaling activation. The four groups include: cells treated with DMSO only, DMSO and Wnt-3a at 200ng/mL for 8 hours, A0317859 at 1uM for 24h and A0317859 at 1uM for 24h together with Wnt-3a at 200ng/mL for 8 hours. A0317859 is able to reduce sensitivity to Wnt-3a stimulation as observed in B16 PAK4 KD cells. Results are representative from three independent experiments. c, Tumor growth curves for B16 PAK4 WT tumors treated with isotype ( $\mathrm{n}=8$, blue), anti-PD-1 ( $\mathrm{n}=8$, red), A0317859 ( $\mathrm{n}=6$, green) and combination of A0317859 and anti-PD-1 ( $\mathrm{n}=8$, purple). Pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 kinase activity has a significantly higher anti-tumor activity compared to anti-PD-1 treatment alone ( $P=3.7 \mathrm{e}-09$ day 13 ). Statistical significance and correction for multiple comparisons was calculated using HolmSidak method. ${ }^{* * * *} P<0.0001$.

## Methods

## Cell lines and PAK4 Kinase Dead generation

Murine B16 cells were maintained in DMEM medium, supplemented with $10 \%$ FBS, 100 units $/ \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. In order to generate a B16 PAK4 Kinase Dead cell line we took advantage of the previously generated lentiviral vector with the PAK4 open reading frame and performed site directed mutagenesis with the following primers containing the mutation to change AAG codon to ATG (K352M): 5-GGCAAACTGGTGGCCGTCATGAAGATGGACTTGCGCAAGC-3 and 5-GCTTGCGCAAGTCCATCTTCATGACGGCCACCAGTTTGCC-3. After cloning and vector amplification on Stbl3, 293T cells were used for lentiviral particle generation and B16 PAK4 KO cells were transduced at $20 \%$ confluency. 24 hours after transduction, media was changed and cells were expanded and sorted based on Thy1.1 expression. PAK4 expression and loss of kinase activity was validated by Western Blot and Topflash assay.

## Mouse model studies

All mouse studies were performed under the UCLA Animal Research Committee protocol \#2004-159-23. C57BL/6 mice were bred and kept under defined-flora pathogen-free conditions at the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care approved animal facility of the Division of Experimental Radiation Oncology, UCLA. To study the in vivo effects of genetically blocking the kinase activity of PAK4 effect on PD-1 blockade efficacy, we subcutaneously injected $0.3 \times 10^{6}$ B16 PAK4 Kinase Dead and B16 PAK4 Rescue into the flanks of C57BL/6 syngeneic mice. 96 hours after tumor injection mice were randomly assigned into the different groups. Anti PD-1 (Cat. No. BE0146, clone RMP1-14, BioXCell, West Lebanon, NH) treatment was injected intraperitoneally three times per week at $300 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per dose. We followed the same protocol to test the impact of pharmacological inhibition of PAK4 kinase activity. In this case, we established four treatment groups of B16 WT CC melanoma cells: 1) vehicle, 2) anti-PD-1, 3) PAK4 inhibitor (A0317859) and 4) combination.

The PAK4 inhibitor was administered by oral gavage at $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ daily and anti-PD-1 was injected intraperitoneally three times per week at $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per dose. In all in vivo studies, tumor progression was monitored three times per week by measuring two perpendicular dimensions with a caliper.

## Flow cytometry

In order to characterize and quantify the dendritic cell population, we collected mouse tumor samples from B16 WT CC or PAK4 KO melanoma cells treated with anti-PD-1 or vehicle at two different time points, day 6 and day 10. As described previously ${ }^{13}$, tumor samples were processed using the mouse tumor dissociation kit (Miltenyi, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) following manufacture's protocol. Samples were stained using the antibodies listed in Supplementary Table 1. Following staining, samples were analyzed using the Attune Flow Cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) platform at the UCLA Flow Cytometry core. Samples were analyzed using FlowJo software (v10.4.2, Ashland, OR) and the gating strategy is provided on Supplementary Figure 1.

## RNA-seq analysis

To study the transcriptomic differences between PAK4 KO and WT cells, we harvested and collected RNA from a total of 18 in vitro samples (12 KO and 6 WT ), using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). These samples also included some that have been previously stimulated with either TNF at 100ng/mL for 6 hours (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ), IFNg at $100 \mathrm{UI} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 6 hours (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ) or Wnt-3a at 200ng/mL for 8 hours (R\&D Systems, Minneapolins, MN). We also isolated RNA from in vivo B16 WT CC and KO tumors as described previously ${ }^{13}$. Samples were sequenced using the Illumina NextSeq500 platform with a read length of $1 \times 75$ at the UCLA Technology Center for Genomics \& Bioinformatics. Raw FASTQ files were aligned to the GRCh38 genome (human) and GRCm38 genome (mouse) using HISAT2 version 2.1.038 using the default parameters and counted with HTseq version 0.6.1p1 $1^{39}$. Differential gene expression was performed based on the negative binomial
distribution with the DESeq2 package using default settings. To perform principal component analyses with the DESeq2 package ${ }^{40}$, raw reads were previously normalized using the variance stabilizing transformation (vst) function. We also used Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) analysis with the following gene sets: C2 Curated Gene Sets and C5 Gene Ontology Gene Sets ${ }^{41}$ to identify which signaling pathways were enriched in each of the different groups.

## IHC analysis

Tissues were fixed in 10\% neutral buffered formalin, processed and embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thickness using standard histological procedures. Slides were dewaxed using xylene and rehydrated with a graded series of ethanol using a DAKO Coverstainer (DAKO, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Antigen retrieval was performed in a high pH buffer using PT Link (DAKO, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara CA) at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. Immunohistochemistry was carried out using a DAKO Autostainer Link 48 platform (DAKO, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Briefly, slides were blocked for endogenous peroxidases and subsequently stained using the following primary antibodies: rabbit antimouse CD8 (D4W27 at $1: 200$ ), Rabbit anti-mouse CD45 (Cell Signaling Technologies D3F8Q at 1:200), and Rabbit anti-mouse CD31 antibodies (Abcam EPR17259 at 1:500) in Da Vinci diluent (Biocare Medical, Pacheco CA). MACH2 Rabbit AP polymer (Biocare Medical, Pacheco (A) was used to detect primary antibodies, followed by detection using Enzo Red chromogen (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY). Slides were then counterstained with Tacha's Hematoxylin (Biocare Medical, Pacheco CA), dehydrated, and coverslipped using the DAKO Coverstainer. Once dried, slides were scanned using a 3D Histech Pannoramic MIDI II Scanner (3DHISTECH, Budapest, Hungary), then image/spatial analyses were performed using HALO software (Indica Labs, Albuquerque, NM).

## WNT activity assays

Protein levels and S675-phosphorylation of $\beta$-catenin were evaluated by Western Blot as described previously ${ }^{13}$ using the following antibodies: $\beta$-catenin (Cat. No. 9587) and phospho-
$\beta$-catenin (S675) (Cat. No. 9567) from Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA. Nuclear and cytoplasmic extractions were performed with NE-PERTM Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) following the manufacture's protocol. Topflash WNT activity assay were performed as described previously ${ }^{13}$ using pSV- $\beta$ galactosidase control vector (PR-E1081, Promega, Madison, WI), pTopflash (Addgene, Cat. No. 12456) and mouse recombinant Wnt-3a (R\&D Systems, Minneapolins, MN). For luciferase activity detection, we used the Bright-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Cat. No. PR-E2610) and the Beta-Glo Assay System (Promega, Cat. No. PR-E4720).

## Protein level quantification

CXCL10 expression was measured by RT-PCR following the manufacturer's protocol for the Power SYBR® Green RNA-to-CT ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and using the following FW and RV primers: 5-AATCATCCCTGCGAGCCTAT-3 and 5-TTTTTGGCTAAACGCTTTCAT-3.

CCL21 protein expression was measured with the mouse 6-Ckine (CCL21A) ELISA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Mouse MHC Class I and II were analyzed by flow cytometry with the following antibodies: MHC Class I (H-2Kb) monoclonal antibody (AF6-88.5.5.3), APC, eBioscience and MCH Class II (I-A/I-E) monoclonal antibody (M5/114.15.2), APC, eBioscience.

## Statistics \& Reproducibility

GraphPad Prism7 (GraphPad Software, Inc, La Jolla, CA), R software (v3.5.1) and FlowJo ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Version 10.7.1 (Ashland, OR) was used for graphic representation and statistical analysis. Comparisons of CD103+ DC were performed using an unpaired t -test with Welch's correction. As described previously ${ }^{13}$, differential gene expression was performed using the $R$ package DESeq2 in which $p$-values were calculated using the negative binomial generalized linear model fitting and the Wald significance test. The adjusted $p$ values ( $q$ ) were obtained by applying the Benjamini Hochberg method. For in vivo studies, statistical significance and
correction for multiple comparisons was calculated using the Holm-Sidak method. Differences were considered statistically significant if $P<0.05$.

## Supplementary Data

Extended Data Figures and Supplementary Figures to "PAK4 inhibition remodels the tumor microenvironment to increase PD-1 blockade efficacy" by Gabriel Abril-Rodríguez, Davis Y. Torrejon, Katie M. Campbell, Egmidio Medina, Justin D. Saco, Ameya S. Champhekar, Ivan Perez-Garcilazo, Ignacio Baselga-Carretero, Jas Singh,Begoña Comin-Anduix, Cristina Puig-Saus, Antoni Ribas.

Ext. Data Fig. 1


Extended Data Figure 1: PAK4 deletion does not affect CCL21 secretion. Cells were seeded in 96 well plates by triplicate and media from B16 WT CC and three independent PAK4 KO cell lines were collected to measure CCL21 protein levels by ELISA. No differences between WT and KO cells were observed.

## Ext Data Fig. 2
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Extended Data Figure 2: No difference in signalling through different stimuli between PAK4 KO and WT cells. a, We collected RNA and sequenced cells treated with Wnt-3a at $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 8 hours. Scatter plot of the log2FPKM expression of all genes between untreated ( X axis) and treated ( Y axis) cells for each of the different PAK4 KO clones. To visualize that the same genes that change upon Wnt-3a stimuli in KO cells, also change in WT cells, we coloured them in red (up-regulated) and blue (down-regulated). b, Cells were treated with TNF at $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 6 hours before extracting RNA for sequencing. As in a, showing scatter plot of the log2FPKM expression of all genes between untreated and treated cells. TNF does not affect the transcriptome of B16 PAK4 KO cells. c, We collected RNA and sequenced cells treated with IFNg at 100ng/mL for 6 hours. Principal component analysis reveals that there are no differences in IFNg signalling between PAK4 KO and WT cells as both fall in the same position of the PC1 axis which explains the effect of adding IFNg.

Ext. Data Fig. 3


Extended Data Figure 3: PAK4 deletion does not affect MHC-I and II surface expression. B16 WT and KO cells were treated with IFNg at $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 6 hours and stained for MHC-I and II expression. No statistical differences between the MHC surface up-regulation in WT and KO cells was found.

Ext. Data Fig. 4


Extended Data Figure 4: PAK4 deletion increases CXCL10 expression in vivo. Boxplot showing the log2FPKM for CXCL10 at day 6 in PAK4 KO tumors $(n=6)$ and WT tumors $(n=6)$. CXCL10 expression in enriched in KO tumors.

Ext. Data Fig. 5


Extended Data Figure 5: Spatial colocalization of CD8 and CD31 positive cells. PAK4 KO tumors treated with anti-PD-1 show a high overlap between CD8 and CD31 while WT anti-PD-1 tumors show a more diffuse CD31 distribution without CD8 ${ }^{+} / \mathrm{CD} 31^{+}$clusters.

Ext. Data Fig. 6


Extended Data Figure 6: PAK4 protein expression levels in the different cell lines. Cells were cultured and harvested for protein isolation upon reaching $80 \%$ confluency. Showing immunoblot for PAK4 expression protein levels in WT, PAK4 KO, Kinase Dead and Rescue cell lines.

Ext. Data Fig. 7
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Extended Data Figure 7: PAK4 KO in human melanoma cells impairs B-catenin/WNT signalling. a, Immunoblot for PAK4, nuclear B-catenin and S675 phospho-B-catenin in human melanoma M370 cells. b, M370 WT and KO cells were treated with Wnt-3a at $200 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ for 8 hours to perform a Topflash assay. Showing the fold change between Wnt-3a treated and untreated cells for both groups. As observed in B16 PAK4 KO cells, PAK4 deletion decreases S675 phosphorylation and reduces sensitivity to Wnt-3a stimulation.

## Supplementary Figure 1



Supplementary Figure 1: Gating strategy for $\mathrm{CD103}^{+}$dendritic cells. Tumor cells were gated to exclude doublets using FSC-H vs FSC-A. Then singlets were selected for viable cells (LiveDead vs SSC-H) and then gated for CD45 positive cells (CD45 BV510 vs SSC-H). Then, MHC-II (MHC-II AF700 vs SSC-H) and CD11c (CD11c BV650 vs SSC-H) positive cells were selected to quantify the percentage of CD103 positive cells (CD103 PerCP-Cy5 vs SSC-H).
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