Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Corrigendum

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/25f0829n

Journal Journal of Climate, 30(12)

ISSN

0894-8755

Authors

Schiemann, Reinhard Demory, Marie-Estelle Shaffrey, Len C <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2017-06-01

DOI

10.1175/jcli-d-17-0091.1

Peer reviewed

⁸Corrigendum

REINHARD SCHIEMANN, MARIE-ESTELLE DEMORY, LEN C. SHAFFREY, JANE STRACHAN,^a AND PIER LUIGI VIDALE

National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, United Kingdom

MATTHEW S. MIZIELINSKI AND MALCOLM J. ROBERTS

Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom

MIO MATSUEDA

Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Japan, and Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

MICHAEL F. WEHNER

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California

THOMAS JUNG

European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, United Kingdom, and Alfred Wegener Institute, Bremerhaven, Germany

(Manuscript received and in final form 13 February 2017)

In Schiemann et al. (2017), a mistake occurred in Fig. 11 in how the area-weighted correlation and root-mean-square error (RMSE) were calculated. The corrected figure appears below. This has no impact on the interpretation of the figure and on the conclusions of the paper. Any future quantitative comparisons will need to refer to the corrected version of the figure. We regret any inconvenience this may cause.

REFERENCE

Schiemann, R., and Coauthors, 2017: The resolution sensitivity of Northern Hemisphere blocking in four 25-km atmospheric global circulation models. *J. Climate*, **30**, 337–358, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0100.1.

O Denotes content that is immediately available upon publication as open access.

^a Current affiliation: Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, United Kingdom.



This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Corresponding author: R. Schiemann, r.k.schiemann@reading.ac.uk

DOI: 10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0091.1



FIG. 11. Blocking frequency root-mean-square error and spatial correlation with respect to the reanalysis blocking frequency field shown in Fig. 1 for the Atlantic–European sector $(45^{\circ}-75^{\circ}N, 280^{\circ}-80^{\circ}E)$. (a)–(d) The four different models; small symbols correspond to ensemble members and large/thickened symbols to the ensemble mean (see Table 2): results are shown for coarse resolution (upside down triangles), medium resolution (circles), and high resolution (triangles); and DJF (blue), MAM (green), JJA (red), and SON (orange).