# UC Santa Cruz

UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

Title

Resources for the Comprehensive Discovery of Functional RNA Elements

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/25r0c30s

Journal

Molecular Cell, 61(6)

ISSN

1097-2765

Authors

Sundararaman, Balaji Zhan, Lijun Blue, Steven M <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2016-03-01

DOI 10.1016/j.molcel.2016.02.012

Peer reviewed



# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Mol Cell. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 March 17.

Published in final edited form as:

Mol Cell. 2016 March 17; 61(6): 903-913. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.02.012.

# Resources for the comprehensive discovery of functional RNA elements

Balaji Sundararaman<sup>1,5</sup>, Lijun Zhan<sup>2</sup>, Steven Blue<sup>1</sup>, Rebecca Stanton<sup>1</sup>, Keri Elkins<sup>1</sup>, Sara Olson<sup>2</sup>, Xintao Wei<sup>2</sup>, Eric L. Van Nostrand<sup>1</sup>, Stephanie C. Huelga<sup>1</sup>, Brendan M. Smalec<sup>2</sup>, Xiaofeng Wang<sup>3</sup>, Eurie L. Hong<sup>4</sup>, Jean M. Davidson<sup>4</sup>, Eric Lecuyer<sup>3</sup>, Brenton R. Graveley<sup>2,\*</sup>, and Gene W. Yeo<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Institute for Genomic Medicine, Stem Cell Program, Sanford Consortium for Regenerative Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA-92037, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Genome Sciences, Institute for Systems Genomics, UConn Health, Farmington, CT-06030, USA

<sup>3</sup>Institut de Recherches Cliniques de Montréal (IRCM), Montréal, Québec, Canada H2W 1R7

<sup>4</sup>ENCODE Data Coordinating Center (DCC), Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford CA-,94305, USA

# Summary

Transcriptome-wide maps of RNA binding protein (RBP)-RNA interactions by immunoprecipitation (IP)-based methods such as RNA IP (RIP) and crosslinking and IP (CLIP) are key starting points for evaluating the molecular roles of the thousands of human RBPs. A significant bottleneck to the application of these methods in diverse cell-lines, tissues and developmental stages, is the availability of validated IP-quality antibodies. Using IP followed by immunoblot assays, we have developed a validated repository of 438 commercially available antibodies that interrogate 365 unique RBPs. In parallel, 362 short-hairpin RNA (shRNA) constructs against 276 unique RBPs were also used to confirm specificity of these antibodies. These antibodies can characterize subcellular RBP localization. With the burgeoning interest in the roles of RBPs in cancer, neurobiology and development, these resources are invaluable to the broad scientific community. Detailed information about these resources is publicly available at the ENCODE portal (https://www.encodeproject.org/).

#### Author Contributions

Correspondence to be addressed to: graveley@uchc.edu and geneyeo@ucsd.edu. <sup>5</sup>Present Address: Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX-77030, USA.

B.S and G.W.Y collected antibodies. E.V.N and S.C.H collected shRNA constructs. B.S, S.B, R.S and K.E performed IP characterizations. L.Z, S.O and B.M.S performed knockdown characterizations. X. Wang and E.L performed immunofluorescence characterization. B.S, S.B, X. Wei and B.R.G curated images and metadata. S.C.H and G.W.Y. compiled the RBP list, E.L.H and J.M.D created and maintain the database. B.S, B.R.G and G.W.Y wrote the manuscript.

# Introduction

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) belong to a diverse class of proteins that are involved in coand post-transcriptional gene regulation (Glisovic et al., 2008). RBPs interact with RNA to form ribonucleoprotein complexes (RNPs), governing the maturation of their target RNA substrates, such as splicing, editing, cap and 3' end modifications, localization, turnover and translation. Dysregulation of and mutations in RBPs are major causes of genetic diseases such as neurological disorders (Kao et al., 2010; King et al., 2012; Lagier-Tourenne et al., 2010; Nussbacher et al., 2015; Paronetto et al., 2007) as well as cancer (Lukong et al., 2008; Martini et al., 2002; Paronetto et al., 2007). Traditionally, RBPs were identified by affinity purification of single proteins (Sonenberg et al., 1979a; Sonenberg et al., 1979b). Recent advancements in high-throughput techniques have identified hundreds of proteins that interact with polyadenylated mRNA in human and mouse cell lines (Baltz et al., 2012; Castello et al., 2012; Kwon et al., 2013).

Genome-wide studies that apply methods such as RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) (Sephton et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2010) and crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (CLIP) (Hafner et al., 2010; Konig et al., 2010; Licatalosi et al., 2008; Yeo et al., 2009), followed by highthroughput sequencing (-seq) have identified hundreds to thousands of protein-RNA interaction sites in the transcriptome for dozens of individual RBPs. These sites or clusters have revealed new rules for how RBPs affect RNA processing and novel pathways for understanding development and disease (Hoell et al., 2011; Modic et al., 2013; Wilbert et al., 2012). The availability of antibodies that specifically recognize the RBP and enable efficient immunoprecipitation of the protein-RNA complex is critical for the successful application of these large-scale techniques in a wide range of tissues and cell-types. Alternatively, expression of a fusion protein of one or more peptide tags such as V5, FLAG or HA in frame with the open reading frame of the RBP is also routinely used (Hafner et al., 2010; Wilbert et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2010), but has several practical and scientific disadvantages. First, it precludes studying the endogenous proteins in human tissues and currently available animal models of disease. Second, creating cell lines that stably express the tagged RBP is labor intensive and has to be performed for every RBP and cell type under investigation. Third, the tags might interfere severely with protein function or target recognition. Lastly, ectopic expression of tagged RBPs typically uses ubiquitously expressed promoters to drive expression, which might alter the endogenous stoichiometry of the RBP to its binding targets. Overexpression in general may complicate the interpretation of results in an irrelevant cell type.

Given these limitations, characterizing antibodies that can specifically enrich for a given RBP is a laborious yet necessary first step for the systematic evaluation of the endogenous RNA substrates of RBPs. In this study we obtained 700 commercially available antibodies that were predicted to recognize 535 candidate RBPs and screened each of them for their ability to efficiently and specifically IP the target RBP. For 51% of the RBPs, we have also identified shRNA reagents that efficiently deplete the target mRNA and protein, simultaneously validating the specificity of the antibodies and providing additional validated experimental reagents. Finally, these antibodies were also used in immunofluorescence assays to determine the subcellular localization of the protein. We expect that this catalog of

validated antibodies and shRNA constructs will provide a critical resource for the scientific community.

# **Results and Discussion**

#### A Comprehensive Human RNA Binding Protein Reagent Resource

To comprehensively characterize the protein-RNA interactions and functions of all human RBPs, it is essential to develop a resource of validated antibodies and shRNAs for each RBP. Each antibody must be validated to demonstrate that it efficiently and specifically immunoprecipitates the intended target protein. The efficiency of enriching for the target protein is measured by performing immunoprecipitation followed by western blotting, while the specificity of the antibody is measured by performing shRNA knockdown experiments followed by western blotting. These validated antibodies and shRNAs can also be used in a variety of experiments including CLIP-seq to characterize transcriptome-wide protein-RNA interactions, immuno-fluorescence studies to characterize the subcellular localization patterns of each RBP, and shRNA knockdowns followed by RNA-seq to characterize the function of each RBP in RNA metabolism.

We have compiled a list of candidate human RBPs from the PFAM database (http:// pfam.sanger.ac.uk/), selecting proteins that contain any of the 86 previously known RNA binding domains (Lunde et al., 2007) (Table S1). This list was further filtered to remove proteins containing RNA-binding domains specific for tRNAs, snoRNAs and rRNAs, with the remaining proteins containing domains predicted to bind pre-mRNA or mRNA sequences. Additional proteins such as UPF1 and MAGOH that do not contain canonical RNA binding motifs were added to the list based on their previously characterized associations with RNA regulation. Our primary list of 476 RBPs was then merged with the 845 candidate mRNA binding proteins identified in HeLa cells using interactome capture (Castello et al., 2012). Half of the RBPs in our domain-based list overlapped with the interactome-captured RBPs. The union of these two lists yielded a final list of 1,072 candidate RBPs (Table S1), which for the remainder of this manuscript, will be referred to as the 'RBP compilation'. Our primary list of RBPs based on Pfam domains and the putative RBPs identified by polyA captured proteomics study (Castello et al., 2012) are exclusively enriched for mRNA-binding proteins (mRBPs). 817 RBPs in the RBP compilation overlap with a growing list of RBPs known to bind all classes of RNA summarized in Gerstberger S, 2014 (Figure S1).

To begin building a human RBP resource, we acquired antibodies interrogating the RBP compilation from Bethyl Laboratories (330 antibodies), MBL International (129 antibodies) and GeneTex (245 antibodies), largely consisting of rabbit polyclonal antibodies. Details about the antibodies including catalog number, host species and antigen information are listed in Table S2. We also acquired 1,139 pre-made shRNA vectors for 491 RBPs from The RNAi Consortium (TRC). The shRNA TRCN ID numbers and the RBP target genes are listed in Table S3. Below we describe our efforts to validate the antibody and shRNA reagents.

#### Immunoprecipitation-Western blotting (IP-WB) validation of antibodies

To date, we have evaluated 700 antibodies, intended to recognize 535 unique RBPs by immunoprecipitation followed by western blotting (IP-WB) validation experiments using K562 whole cell lysate. These 700 antibodies also include antibodies against 410 RBPs that are common in both the RBP compilation as well as in the RBP census (Figure S1, Gerstberger S, 2014). We utilized an IP protocol that contains a series of stringent washing steps similar to that used in the iCLIP method (Konig et al., 2010; Konig et al., 2011). We devised a scheme to score antibodies for their specificity and IP efficiency as outlined in Figure 1, which are largely based on ENCODE ChIP-seq guidelines (Landt et al., 2012). These scores are based on several criteria including the efficiency of IP, the apparent molecular weight (MW) of the target protein (based on the predicted MWs from Genecards (http://www.genecards.org/), and the number of protein species recognized by the antibody (Figure 1A). The highest quality antibodies are given a score of 1, intermediate quality antibodies are scored 0.5 and low quality or unacceptable antibodies, are given a score of 0. In addition, if the protein recognized by the antibody is detected in the immunoprecipitation lane and not detected in the input lane due to expression and/or detection level thresholds, the indicator "IP" is appended to the score (e.g., 1IP). Similarly, if only one protein is detected in the input and immunoprecipitation lanes and is enriched upon immunoprecipitation, but the observed molecular weight deviates more than 20% from the expected molecular weight, the identifier "MW" is appended to the score (e.g., 1MW). Finally, if multiple proteins are detected in the input lane and/or are also enriched upon immunoprecipitation, the identifier "MB" is appended to the score (e.g., 1MB).

We identified 284 antibodies (40.6% of tested products) that were characterized with an IP score of '1' (represented by U2AF2 in Figure 1B) indicating that they recognize and enrich only one protein during IP, within 20% of the predicted MW. We identified 12 antibodies (1.7%) that recognize only one protein, but for which the size deviated by more than 20% from the predicted MW, and were therefore scored as '1MW' (KHDRBS2 in Figure 1B). For these antibodies, secondary validations by shRNA depletion of the protein followed by western blotting are necessary to confirm the specificity of the antibody. We identified 41 antibodies (5.9% of tested products) that were scored as '1IP' in K562 cells indicating that the target proteins were not readily detected in the input (DDX19B in Figure 1B), but were nevertheless enriched upon IP. The secondary validations to assess the specificity of these antibodies by depletion of the target protein cannot be performed in K562 cells as the protein level in untreated cells is below the detection limit of western blot analysis. However, these antibodies can be further validated for specificity in a different cell line that expresses the protein at a detectable level or by analyzing the whole proteome of the immunoprecipitate by mass spectrometry analysis. For example, antibody A300-864A (Bethyl Laboratories), which recognizes RBFOX2, was scored as '1IP' in validations using the K562 cell-line that does not express RBFOX2 (Figure S2A), but scored '1' when the validation experiment was performed in the HepG2 cell-line which expresses RBFOX2 at a detectable level (Figure S2B). 101 (14.4%) of the antibodies recognized multiple proteins below the expected MW in the input, supernatant and/or enriched upon IP and were scored as '1MB' (SF3A1 in Figure 1B). On the other hand, 35 (5.0%) of the antibodies recognized multiple proteins above the predicted MW and were scored as '0.5MB' (G3BP1 in Figure

1B). Antibodies scored as '1MB' may be suitable for CLIP experiments after passing the secondary validation experiments, as the CLIP-seq protocol involves a size selection step for selecting RNP complexes above the MW of the RBP. However, due to non-specific bands above the predicted MW, antibodies with a '0.5MB' score cannot be used for CLIP-seq. Because there is no size selection for the RNP complex after immunoprecipitation in the technique, 1MB and 0.5MB products should not be used in RIP-seq experiments, but are nonetheless useful reagents for western blotting.

We scored 29 (4.1%) antibodies as '0.5' (Low Enrichment-Low Priority), for which we observed that the efficiency of immunoprecipitation is such that the intensity of the bands detected in 50% of the immunoprecipitate (Lane 3, SRSF3 in Figure 1B) is less than the band intensity of the 2.5% Input sample (Lane 1). An additional 3.9% of the tested antibodies represented by AKAP8L in Figure 1B, had multiple complicating criteria including multiple bands (MB), MW discrepancy (MW) and detected only upon enrichment (IP) and were designated as '1MBMW', '1IPMW' etc. Due to ambiguity in the specificity of these antibodies, these are considered low priority ('Others' in the Table S4). Finally, there are 171 (24.4%) antibodies that were scored as failing IP validation in K562 cells, because they either do not recognize the correct protein or do not enrich the target protein upon immunoprecipitation. These antibodies were further grouped into two categories. Antibodies that recognize the correct protein in the input lane, but failed to enrich the protein in IP are scored as '0WB' (GTX105674 recognizing CNOT8 in Figure 1B) that can only be used for western blotting (63, 9.0%). Additionally there are 108 (15.4%) antibodies that neither recognized nor enriched the correct protein in K562 cells (RN043PW against NOVA1 in Figure 1B). This failure might be due to the absence of the protein recognized by the antibody in K562 cells or that the antibody is simply ineffective for IP and/or WB. The celltype specificity of each antibody could be evaluated in the future by validating the antibody in other cell types that express the RBP.

IP-WB images of all 700 antibodies characterized in K562 cells are available at the ENCODE project portal (www.encodeproject.org) and can be identified using ENCODE Antibody (ENCAB) accession IDs. The results of the IP-WB validations performed to date are summarized in Figure 1C and in Table S4, which includes 438 (62.5%) antibodies against 365 unique RBPs that scored 1, 1MW, 1IP and 1MB and thus are categorized as 'IPgrade' based on our protocol and scoring criteria in the indicated cell type. The domain diversity of these 365 RBPs was analyzed by searching for Pfam-annotated domains (pfam.xfam.org) associated with these proteins. We identified 322 domains associated with 359 RBPs with 680 total occurrences (see Table S4). 322 Pfam domains were classified into three groups. First, 159 domains are either associated with the direct interaction with RNA or are associated with RNA processing. 268 RBPs that contain at least one of these domains are considered as conventional RBPs (Figure 1D, pie chart). Second, 23 RBPs contain 34 Pfam domains that are either putative DNA/RNA binding domains or bind DNA/chromatin to regulate transcription. Third, another 68 RBPs contain 139 distinct Pfam domains that have no known role in RNA/DNA binding or RNA processing. Six RBPs did not have any annotated Pfam domains. The 20 most frequently occurring domains present in the 268 conventional RBPs are shown in Figure 1D bar chart. RRM\_1 domain (PF00076) is the most frequent domain present in 72 RBPs followed by Helicase C and DEAD domains.

As part of the ENCODE project, we are performing CLIP-seq experiments using our eCLIP method (Van Nostrand EL et al, manuscript under preparation). Briefly, cells are subjected to UV-mediated crosslinking, lysis and treatment with limiting amount of RNAase, followed by IP of protein-RNA complexes using the antibodies described above. RNA fragments protected from RNase digestion by the RBP of interest are then subjected to 3' RNA linker ligation, reverse-transcription and 3' DNA linker ligation to generate eCLIP libraries. The eCLIP method features an optional use of radioactivity, optimizations on enzymatic steps including ligations and unlike previous methods, also includes a paired size-matched input control which enables the removal of false positive binding sites (Van Nostrand EL et al, manuscript under preparation). The IP-WB images that are generated during eCLIP experiments have an additional lane of IP using host-species matched normal IgG antibody as control and can be accessed using the same ENCAB IDs in the portal. We observe that 70% of our *IP-grade* antibodies pass this quality control (QC) step during eCLIP experiments, due to differences in the IP protocol in our initial validation compared to the IP method used by eCLIP. Our initial IP protocol consists of an overnight incubation with the antibody for immunoprecipitation (see supplementary methods for details). For reasons of standardization and scalability, our CLIP efforts show that immunoprecipitation of the RNP complexes for 2 hours at 4 °C is generally sufficient (Van Nostrand EL et al, manuscript under preparation). Nevertheless, a fraction of the antibodies prefer an overnight incubation. In summary, of the 113 eCLIP experiments attempted in K562 cells to date, 70% passed the IP-WB QC step (Figure 1E).

As cell-type specific expression and post-translational modifications add additional layers of complexity that affect the success of immunoprecipitation of RBP-RNA complexes, we tested the utility of IP-grade antibodies validated in K562 cells for eCLIP experiments in HepG2 cells. Of the 53 RBPs that were subjected to eCLIP experiments in both K562 and HepG2 cells, 72% (38) of them passed the QC step in both cell-types; 9 antibodies failed the IP-WB step in HepG2 cells but passed in K562 cells and another 6 of them failed in both cell types (Figure 1E). Of the 70 eCLIP experiments performed in HepG2 cells, which included the 53, 70% (49) passed the QC step, which is comparable to the success rate in K562 cells. Thus we conclude that the majority of antibodies that are considered *IP-grade* by our criteria in K562 are likely to work in HepG2 cells. If an eCLIP experiment was attempted in HepG2 cell line, the portal will also have IP-WB images from the HepG2 experiments under the same accession ID.

#### Accessing information about the antibodies within the ENCODE portal

Western blot images of both IP and shRNA knockdown experiments (described in the next section) are uploaded on to ENCODE portal (Figure 2A) which is built and maintained by the Data Coordination Center (DCC) (Solan et al., 2016). Each unique pair of antibody catalog and lot numbers is given an ENCODE accession number (ENCAB ID). The text search box on the top right of the page of the portal can be used to search for a particular RBP of interest as well as an antibody or shRNA construct using their catalog or TRC numbers respectively (Figure 2A). Alternatively, the user can browse the entire collection of antibodies by opening the drop-down menu 'Data' on the top of the page and then choosing 'Antibodies'. In the Data/Antibodies page, the results can be filtered based on a number of

criteria ranging from 'Eligibility status', 'Target of antibody' (filters by purported role of target protein), 'Characterization method' (filters by method used to validate an antibody), 'Source' (filters by antibody manufacturer), 'Lab' (filters by which lab tested the antibody) etc as shown in Figure S3. The Eligibility status categorizes antibodies as 'not pursued', 'awaiting lab characterization', 'eligible for new data' and 'not eligible for new data' based on whether the characterizations met ENCODE standards (Detailed process of how ENCODE reviews antibody characterizations can be found in https:// www.encodeproject.org/help/antibody\_characterization\_process/). Each antibody is rigorously reviewed by the Data Coordinating Center (DCC), a subgroup in ENCODE consortium independent of the labs that characterized the antibodies, according to the ENCODE Standards document (https://www.encodeproject.org/about/experiment-guidelines/#antibody; (Landt et al., 2012) and assigned to one of the four eligibility statuses described below.

**'not pursued':** Indicates that the lab has planned to characterize the antibody and may have done preliminary primary characterizations in one cell type but it is not interested in doing further characterizations at present. Antibodies under this category are open for further validation either in the same or different cell type.

**'awaiting lab characterization':** Indicates that the lab has completed a primary characterization in one cell type and is expecting to do further validations.

**'eligible for new data':** Indicates that both primary and secondary characterizations are performed in at least one cell type, both of which met the ENCODE standards. Antibodies under this category are eligible for new data generation in the same cell type. A primary validation in the second cell type is necessary before generating new data in that cell type.

**'not eligible for new data':** Indicates that both primary and secondary validations are done in one or more cell types but they did not meet the ENCODE standards. These antibodies are not eligible for new data generation.

An antibody can be selected by clicking the RBP name listed in the Data/Antibodies page, directing the user to an 'antibodies/ENCABnnnxxx' page (Figure 2B) or by entering the RBP name in the search box (Figure S4). The ENCABnnnxxx page contains information regarding species and cell type in which that antibody was characterized, antibody metadata (vendor, host, antigen etc) and the characterizations. The characterization sub-page is expandable by clicking the 'more' option, which lists further information such as characterization methods (immunoprecipitation, knockdown-WB etc), image caption, submitter and lab names as well as links to download the characterization image and the version controlled standards documents used to set the eligibility status. Each antibody characterization is also classified into 'compliant', 'not compliant' or 'not submitted for review by lab' which indicate that they met, did not meet the standards or were not reviewed based on the standards document. These characterization statuses determine the eligibility status of the antibody. For example all antibodies with 'eligible for new data' status are expected to have both primary and secondary characterizations with 'compliant' status. As additional antibody validation experiments are performed, the results will also be added to the ENCODE project portal under the same accession IDs. The eligibility status of an

antibody on the portal will be updated with further characterization. For the antibodies with 'eligible for new data' status, the page also will contain links to experiments (eCLIP, for example) in which that antibody might have been used. For more information about data available at the ENCODE Portal, we recommend the help page (https://www.encodeproject.org/help/getting-started/) and Solan et al., 2016.

#### Secondary antibody validation by short hairpin RNA transduction

To verify the target specificities of these antibodies, we performed secondary validations using shRNA-mediated RNA interference. Specifically, to conclude that an antibody recognizes the intended protein, and not a different protein that migrates at the same size range as the intended protein, the band identified in the IP-Western blot must be decreased by at least 50% in shRNA knockdown cells compared to cells expressing a control shRNA. To do this, we first identified 1,139 shRNAs from the RNAi Consortium (TRC) that target 491 RBPs (Table S3). To date, we have tested a total of 370 shRNAs against 273 unique RBPs. 274 shRNAs against 242 unique RBPs have been tested in K562 cells and 333 shRNAs against 265 unique RBPs have been tested in HepG2 cells. Of these, 237 shRNAs against 234 RBPs have been tested in both cell lines. We defined a successful knockdown as shRNAs resulting in >50% reduction of the target mRNA or protein, compared to control cells transduced with a non-target control (NTC) shRNA, depending on whether depletion is monitored by qRT-PCR or western blotting. Of the 274 shRNAs tested in K562 cells, 70% passed the validation criteria by RT-qPCR and 60% passed the western blotting validation (Figure 3A). Similarly, in HepG2 cells 62% and 55% of the target mRNAs and proteins were depleted >50%, respectively, as monitored by qRT-PCR or western blotting (Figure 3B). In most cases, we observed reasonable correlation between the extents of depletion of both the mRNA and protein between both cell lines, though overall, the protein depletion efficiency is an average of 1.25-fold greater in HepG2 cells than in K562 cells (median depletion efficiency of 72% vs. 68%) (Figures 3C and 3D). Overall, 68.4% of RBPs tested in both cells were depleted at the protein level by more than 50% in both K562 and HepG2 cells while 21% of RBPs are depleted >50% in one cell but <50% in the other cell type (Figure 3D).

Of the 284 antibodies that were scored as '1' during IP validation, 183 were tested by KD-WB in K562 cells and 184 were tested in HepG2 cells. Many of these antibodies, as exemplified by the antibody recognizing PABPC4 (Figure 4A), recognize only a single protein in the control shRNA-treated sample lane and the band intensity was reduced >50% in the RBP shRNA knockdown lanes. 74 of the antibodies that were scored as '1' during IP validation were found to recognize multiple bands in the KD-WB experiments in both the control and RBP shRNA lanes, but only the band of the predicted MW was depleted >50% in the RBP shRNA knockdown lanes. The antibody that recognizes KHSRP is an example of such a case and recognizes proteins of ~40 kDa and ~80 kDa, but only the ~80 kDa band, near the predicted MW of KHSRP of 73 kDa, is depleted in the KHSRP shRNA samples (Figure 4B). We presume that the difference in the reagents (e.g. secondary antibodies) and detection sensitivity between chemiluminescence used for the IP-WB experiments and fluorescence used for the KD-WB experiments and site is an example of such a patterns observed between the experiments. In these cases, the multiple bands

detected by fluorescence are likely to be background or cross-reactivity, because only one band was detected when the same sample was analyzed using chemiluminescence. For the four antibodies we have tested so far which detected and immunoprecipitated a protein with an aberrant MW in the IP validation experiments (and received a score of 1MW), we also detected a band with the same aberrant MW in the control sample of KD-WB. In each case, the band with the aberrant MW was depleted upon shRNA knockdown, confirming the identity of the protein detected by the antibody. For example, the predicted MW of FASTKD2 is 81 kDa, yet the FASTKD2 antibody recognizes a protein of ~60kDa in both K562 and HepG2 cells (Figure 4C). The protein band recognized by FASTKD2 antibody is likely to either be an alternative protein isoform or post-translationally modified form of FASTKD2. For 6 of the antibodies we have tested by KD-WB that detected multiple bands (1MB) in the IP-WB validation, we also detected multiple bands in the control shRNA sample of KD-WB. For five of these, the band closest to the predicted MW was depleted >50% in the RBP shRNA sample, and the intensity of most other bands are comparable between the control and RBP shRNA lanes, indicating that the target RBP is one among the multiple bands detected by the antibody. Some cases are additionally complicated. For example, the ADAR antibody scored a 1 MB in the IP-WB experiments, recognizes multiple bands in the KD-WB experiments, and more than one band is observed to be depleted in the ADAR1 shRNA samples (Figure 4D). However, ADAR1 is annotated as expressing multiple protein isoforms and it is likely that the antibody recognizes more than one ADAR1 isoform. The specificity of '1MB' antibodies, which have multiple bands in the IP enrichment that are not depleted via shRNA knockdown, are not considered to be fully validated and therefore should not be used for CLIP experiments. The KD-WB data images can also be publicly accessed through the ENCODE portal and up-to-date report on the status of the RBP antibody validation experiments can be obtained at https://goo.gl/pZqDR5. Table S5 summarizes the results of the RT-PCR and KD-WB experiment for 370 shRNA constructs and also contains the TRC number of the shRNA plasmids, the target sequence of the shRNA, sequences of primers used for RT-qPCR validation, and catalog numbers of antibodies used in the KD-WB characterization.

#### Immuno-Labeling Studies

As an additional level of validation, and to gain deeper biological insights into RBP function, we have conducted immuno-fluorescence (IF) studies using the RBP compilation antibodies in conjunction with different subcellular markers. We observed clear subcellular distribution patterns for the majority (263 of 274; 96%) of antibodies tested in HepG2 cells. The antibody concentrations employed and staining intensities observed for IF studies are summarized in Table S6. These results are generally consistent with known subcellular localization features of previously characterized RBPs. For example, the DDX21, BUD13 and GRSF1 proteins are respectively localized to nucleoli, nuclear speckles and mitochondria (Figure 5), consistent with the known functions of these RBPs in rRNA maturation (Calo et al., 2015), splicing control (Zhou et al., 2013) and mitochondrial biogenesis (Antonicka et al., 2013; Jourdain et al., 2013). The full repertoire of results obtained through these systematic imaging studies have been organized within a resource imaging database that will be described in more detail in a separate manuscript (Lécuyer et al, in preparation).

In conclusion, we have comprehensively validated antibodies and shRNA constructs for hundreds of unique human RBPs. The scoring schema described for the IP-WB validations can be extended to future large-scale antibody characterization studies. The publicly accessible reagent collections serve as key resources for the illumination of functional RNA elements in the human transcriptome.

### **Experimental procedures**

#### Immunoprecipitation-western blot validation

Five million human K562 cells were lysed, sonicated (instead of DNase treatment) and the whole cell lysate used for IP characterizations. Five micrograms of antibody coated on Dynabeads (coupled with either Protein A or anti-Rabbit IgG or anti-mouse IgG) was used to IP overnight at 4°C. Protein-enriched beads were washed twice with a high salt wash buffer containing 1M NaCl and detergents to reduce non-specific interactions. For the western blot analysis, aliquots of the input (2.5%), supernatant (2.5%) and bound fractions (50%) ran on 4–12% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred onto PVDF membrane. Membrane was incubated with 0.2–0.5  $\mu$ g/ml (see Table S4) of the same antibody used for IP as the primary antibody. TrueBlot HRP secondary antibodies were used to avoid IgG heavy and light chain immunoreactivity. See the supplementary methods for detailed protocol.

#### shRNA knockdown-western blot validation

The shRNA constructs are in pLKO plasmids to facilitate the production of lentiviral particles following co-transfection with appropriate packaging vectors in 293T cells. Lentiviral particles were tittered by qPCR and used to transduce 0.5–0.7 million K562 cells or 0.5 million HepG2 cells in biological duplicate at a MOI of 10. One day after transduction, puromycin was added to the media and the cells were subjected to selection for 5–6 days after which we harvested both RNA and protein (see supplementary methods for detailed protocol).

#### Immunofluorescences imaging validation

HepG2 cells grown in Poly-L-Lysine coated 96-well clear bottom plates were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde and permeabilized by 0.5% Triton X-100. Cells were then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against RBPs (all rabbit antibodies) and marker proteins at 2–10  $\mu$ g/mL (concentration details provided in Table S6). Cells were washed and incubated with secondary antibodies for 90 min at RT. Imaging was conducted on an ImageXpress Micro high content screening system (Molecular Devices Inc) using a 40x objective (see supplementary methods for detailed protocol).

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank B Williams and J Fahrni of Bethyl Labs, S Kendall and B Parmakhtiar of GeneTex and S Kitamura of MBLI for their support in antibody collections. We also extend our thanks to the members of Yeo and Graveley

laboratories for their critical comments on the manuscript. This study is funded by NIH grant HG007005 to BRG and GWY and NIH grants HG004659, NS075449 to GWY. GWY is an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow.

### References

- Antonicka H, Sasarman F, Nishimura T, Paupe V, Shoubridge EA. The Mitochondrial RNA-Binding Protein GRSF1 Localizes to RNA Granules and Is Required for Posttranscriptional Mitochondrial Gene Expression. Cell Metab. 2013; 17:386–398. [PubMed: 23473033]
- Baltz AG, Munschauer M, Schwanhausser B, Vasile A, Murakawa Y, Schueler M, Youngs N, Penfold-Brown D, Drew K, Milek M, et al. The mRNA-Bound Proteome and Its Global Occupancy Profile on Protein-Coding Transcripts. Mol Cell. 2012; 46:674–690. [PubMed: 22681889]
- Calo E, Flynn RA, Martin L, Spitale RC, Chang HY, Wysocka J. RNA helicase DDX21 coordinates transcription and ribosomal RNA processing. Nature. 2015; 518:249–253. [PubMed: 25470060]
- Castello A, Fischer B, Eichelbaum K, Horos R, Beckmann BM, Strein C, Davey NE, Humphreys DT, Preiss T, Steinmetz LM, et al. Insights into RNA Biology from an Atlas of Mammalian mRNA-Binding Proteins. Cell. 2012; 149:1393–1406. [PubMed: 22658674]
- Gerstberger S, Hafner M, Tuschl T. A census of human RNA-binding proteins. Nat Rev Genet. 2014; 15(12):829–45. [PubMed: 25365966]
- Glisovic T, Bachorik JL, Yong J, Dreyfuss G. RNA-binding proteins and post-transcriptional gene regulation. Febs Lett. 2008; 582:1977–1986. [PubMed: 18342629]
- Hafner M, Landthaler M, Burger L, Khorshid M, Hausser J, Berninger P, Rothballer A, Ascano M, Jungkamp AC, Munschauer M, et al. Transcriptome-wide Identification of RNA-Binding Protein and MicroRNA Target Sites by PAR-CLIP. Cell. 2010; 141:129–141. [PubMed: 20371350]
- Hoell JI, Larsson E, Runge S, Nusbaum JD, Duggimpudi S, Farazi TA, Hafner M, Borkhardt A, Sander C, Tuschl T. RNA targets of wild-type and mutant FET family proteins. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2011; 18:1428–1431. [PubMed: 22081015]
- Jourdain AA, Koppen M, Wydro M, Rodley CD, Lightowlers RN, Chrzanowska-Lightowlers ZM, Martinou JC. GRSF1 Regulates RNA Processing in Mitochondrial RNA Granules. Cell Metab. 2013; 17:399–410. [PubMed: 23473034]
- Kao DI, Aldridge GM, Weiler IJ, Greenough WT. Altered mRNA transport, docking, and protein translation in neurons lacking fragile X mental retardation protein. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:15601–15606.
- King OD, Gitler AD, Shorter J. The tip of the iceberg: RNA-binding proteins with prion-like domains in neurodegenerative disease. Brain Res. 2012; 1462:61–80. [PubMed: 22445064]
- Konig J, Zarnack K, Rot G, Curk T, Kayikci M, Zupan B, Turner DJ, Luscombe NM, Ule J. iCLIP reveals the function of hnRNP particles in splicing at individual nucleotide resolution. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010; 17:909–915. [PubMed: 20601959]
- Konig J, Zarnack K, Rot G, Curk T, Kayikci M, Zupan B, Turner DJ, Luscombe NM, Ule J. iCLIP -Transcriptome-wide Mapping of Protein-RNA Interactions with Individual Nucleotide Resolution. Journal of Visualized Experiments. 2011; 50:e2638.
- Kwon SC, Yi H, Eichelbaum K, Fohr S, Fischer B, You KT, Castello A, Krijgsveld J, Hentze MW, Kim VN. The RNA-binding protein repertoire of embryonic stem cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2013; 20:1122–1130. [PubMed: 23912277]
- Lagier-Tourenne C, Polymenidou M, Cleveland DW. TDP-43 and FUS/TLS: emerging roles in RNA processing and neurodegeneration. Hum Mol Genet. 2010; 19:R46–64. [PubMed: 20400460]
- Landt SG, Marinov GK, Kundaje A, Kheradpour P, Pauli F, Batzoglou S, Bernstein BE, Bickel P, Brown JB, Cayting P, et al. ChIP-seq guidelines and practices of the ENCODE and modENCODE consortia. Genome Res. 2012; 22:1813–1831. [PubMed: 22955991]
- Licatalosi DD, Mele A, Fak JJ, Ule J, Kayikci M, Chi SW, Clark TA, Schweitzer AC, Blume JE, Wang XN, et al. HITS-CLIP yields genome-wide insights into brain alternative RNA processing. Nature. 2008; 456:464–469. [PubMed: 18978773]
- Lukong KE, Chang KW, Khandjian EW, Richard S. RNA-binding proteins in human genetic disease. Trends Genet. 2008; 24:416–425. [PubMed: 18597886]

- Lunde BM, Moore C, Varani G. RNA-binding proteins: modular design for efficient function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio. 2007; 8:479–490. [PubMed: 17473849]
- Martini A, La Starza R, Janssen H, Bilhou-Nabera C, Corveleyn A, Somers R, Aventin A, Foa R, Hagemeijer A, Mecucci C, et al. Recurrent rearrangement of the Ewing's sarcoma gene, EWSR1, or its homologue, TAF15, with the transcription factor CIZ/NMP4 in acute leukemia. Cancer Res. 2002; 62:5408–5412. [PubMed: 12359745]
- Modic M, Ule J, Sibley CR. CLIPing the brain: Studies of protein-RNA interactions important for neurodegenerative disorders. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2013; 56:429–435. [PubMed: 23583633]
- Nussbacher JK, Batra R, Lagier-Tourenne C, Yeo GW. RNA-binding proteins in neurodegeneration: Seq and you shall receive. Trends Neurosci. 2015; 38:226–236. [PubMed: 25765321]
- Paronetto MP, Achsel T, Massiello A, Chalfant CE, Sette C. The RNA-binding protein Sam68 modulates the alternative splicing of Bcl-x. J Cell Biol. 2007; 176:929–939. [PubMed: 17371836]
- Sephton CF, Cenik C, Kucukural A, Dammer EB, Cenik B, Han YH, Dewey CM, Roth FP, Herz J, Peng JM, et al. Identification of Neuronal RNA Targets of TDP-43-containing Ribonucleoprotein Complexes. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286:1204–1215. [PubMed: 21051541]
- Sloan CA, Chan ET, Davidson JM, Malladi VS, Strattan JS, Hitz BC, Gabdank I, Narayanan AK, Ho M, Lee BT, et al. ENCODE data at the ENCODE portal. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016; 44(D1):D726– D732. [PubMed: 26527727]
- Sonenberg N, Morgan M, Testa D, Colonno R, Shatkin A. Interaction of a limited set of proteins with different mRNAs and protection of 5'-caps against pyrophosphatase digestion in initiation complexes. Nucleic Acids Res. 1979a; 7:15–29. [PubMed: 493138]
- Sonenberg N, Rupprecht K, Hecht S, Shatkin A. Eukaryotic mRNA cap binding protein: purification by affinity chromatography on sepharose-coupled m7GDP. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1979b; 76:4345–4349. [PubMed: 291969]
- Wilbert ML, Huelga SC, Kapeli K, Stark TJ, Liang TY, Chen SX, Yan BY, Nathanson JL, Hutt KR, Lovci MT, et al. LIN28 Binds Messenger RNAs at GGAGA Motifs and Regulates Splicing Factor Abundance. Mol Cell. 2012; 48:195–206. [PubMed: 22959275]
- Yeo GW, Coufal NG, Liang TY, Peng GE, Fu XD, Gage FH. An RNA code for the FOX2 splicing regulator revealed by mapping RNA-protein interactions in stem cells. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2009; 16:130–137. [PubMed: 19136955]
- Zhao J, Ohsumi TK, Kung JT, Ogawa Y, Grau DJ, Sarma K, Song JJ, Kingston RE, Borowsky M, Lee JT. Genome-wide Identification of Polycomb-Associated RNAs by RIP-seq. Mol Cell. 2010; 40:939–953. [PubMed: 21172659]
- Zhou Y, Chen CC, Johansson MJO. The pre-mRNA retention and splicing complex controls tRNA maturation by promoting TAN1 expression. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013; 41:5669–5678. [PubMed: 23605039]



Figure 1. Immunoprecipitation-western blot validation of antibodies against RBPs

(A) Scoring scheme with rows of matching colors representing antibodies as in panel B. Column 1 is the IP score, column 2 is the description of protein species detected in the WB and column 3 is IP efficiency deduced from the ratio of band intensities of input and IP pull down lanes.

(B) Representative blots of antibodies with distinct IP scores. The shades of colors from green to yellow to red represent high, medium and unacceptable quality of antibodies. Grey antibody represents antibodies with ambiguous IP scores. Each blot contains name of the RBP, catalog number and expected MW at the top. Within each blot, lane 1 is 2.5% of input of K562 whole cell lysate, lane 2 is 2.5% of supernatant after IP and lane 3 is 50% of IP pull down sample. Red arrowhead in each panel points to the expected MW of the RBP and size marker (in kDa) is at the right.

(C) Distribution of IP scores of 700 antibodies validated in K562 cells. Color pattern is consistent with representative antibodies in panel A and score description in panel B.

(D) Domain analysis of 365 unique RBPs that have IP-grade antibodies. Pie chart shows the numbers of RBPs with canonical RNA binding domains, putative DNA/RNA binding domains and domains with various other functions. The bar chart shows the top 20 RNA Binding Domains represented in the RBPs.

(E) Summary of IP-WB results of eCLIP experiments done to date. Bar chart in the top panel summarizes the total number of antibodies that had either passed or failed the QC step in K562 and HepG2 cells individually. Punnett square at the bottom panel describes the QC results of 53 overlapping eCLIP experiments between K562 and HepG2 cell types. See also Figure S1, Figure S2, Table S1, Table S2 and Table S4.

ENCODE D	ata - Metho	ods <del>-</del> Abou	IL LINCODE -	ridip -					Search EN	CODE	Q Sig
Eligibility sta	atus		Showing 2	25 of 1144 an	Drond		onus		-		View A
<ul> <li>not pursu</li> <li>awaiting</li> </ul>	ued lab characteriza	509 tion 313			Dropa		enus	Se	arc	h b	ox
<ul> <li>not eligib</li> </ul>	le for new data	264	IGE2BP3 (A	domo saniens)					Jur O.		AUUDOC
<ul> <li>eligible fo</li> <li>pending</li> </ul>	or new data dcc review	53 6	Source: N	1BLI P / Lot ID: BN009) / (	002						ENCAB934MD
Target Orga	nism	1055	E7H2 (Hon	no canione)							Antibor
Mus muscul	lus	113	Source: C	Coll Signaling	_						ENCAB913H0
Caenorhabd Drosophila r	litis elegans melanogaster		Fi	lters							
Aequorea vi	ctoria	1/									Antiboo
	+1	See more.	Product II	D / Lot ID: 07-449 / 2	2120130						ENCAB036YA
Target of an transcription	tibody factor	590	CHD4 (Hor	no sanions) 🔒							Antibo
RNA binding	protein	345	Source: A	bcam							ENCAB062MM
histone mod	lification	200	Product II	D / Lot ID: ab70469	/ GR104037-5						
control		13	IGF2BP2 (F	Homo sapiens) (	•						Antibo
	+ :	See more	Source: N Product II	1BLI D / Lot ID: RN008P /	002						ENCAB5971
Characteriza	ation method	546									
immunoblot		500	Source: A	loam	s) 🥌						ENCARENCO
	ta Matha	da Abaud		I Jala					Search EN	ICODE	0
NCODE Da	ta• Ivieti ioi	us • About	ENCODE		agoggi	on TD			Oddroit Eri	OODE	~
ENCAB9	34MDN	-		A(	Cessi						
ntibody a	gainst H	omo sar	oiens IG	F2BP3							
	gamern	onno oup			_						
Homo capione	KS	62			An	cibody	y sta	tus		Eligib	lo for now de
nomo supiens	110					_				Ligio	ie for new at
					_						
Sour	ce (vendor):	MBLIC		)							
Sour	ce (vendor): Product ID:	MBLI C <sup>a</sup> RN009) C <sup>a</sup>		)							
Sour	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID:	MBLI 🕈 RN009) 🗗 002									
Sour	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets:	MBLI C <sup>®</sup> RN009) C <sup>®</sup> 002 IGF2BP3 (Hor	no sapiens)	Met	a dati	<u>,                                    </u>					
Sour	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host:	MBLI C <sup>®</sup> RN009) C <sup>®</sup> 002 IGF2BP3 (Horn Babbit	no sapiens)	Met	a data	a					
Sour	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host:	MBLI C <sup>2</sup> RN009) C <sup>2</sup> 002 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit	no sapiens)	Met	a data	a					
Sour	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality:	MBLI (2) RN009) (2) 002 IGF2BP3 (Hon Rabbit Polyclonal	no sapiens)	Met	a data	a					
Sour	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype:	MBLI C RN009) C 002 IGF2BP3 (Hon Rabbit Polycional IgA	no sapiens)	Met	a data	a					
Sour	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description:	MBLI C RN009) C 002 IGF2BP3 (Hon Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat	no sapiens) ed synthetic j		a data	harac	teri	zati	on s	sta	1118
Sour Antigen (	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description:	MBLI C* RN009) C* 002 IGF2BP3 (Hon Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat	no sapiens) ed synthetic (	Met.	a data	a Charac	cteri	zati	on s	sta	tus
Sour Antigen IGF2BP3 (Homo s	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens)	MBLI (2 RN09) (2 002 IGF2BP3 (Hon Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat	no sapiens) ed synthetic (	Met.	a data	a Charac 28P3 (Homo sa)	cteri	zati	on s	sta	tus
Sour Antigen IGF2BP3 (Homo &	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens)	MBLI (2* RN009) (2* 002 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polyclonal IgA KLH-conjugat	no sapiens) ed synthetic (	Met. peptide HQQQKALK	a data	a Charac 28P3 (Homo say	cteri	zati	on s	sta	tus
Sour Antigen IGF2BP3 (Homo e Method: immunoprecipitati	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens)	MBLI (2* RN009) (2* 002 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polyclonal IgA KLH-conjugat	no sapiens) ed synthetic (	Met.	a data	a Charac 28P3 (Homo sa) hod: kdown or knocl	cteri	zati	on s	sta	tus <sup>16190</sup> encorrenza
Soun Antigen IGP28P3 (Homo s Method: immunoprecipitati Gaption excerpt	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sepiens)	MBLL (2 RN009) (2 002 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polyclonal IgA KLH-conjugat	no sapiens) ed synthetic (	Met	a data	Charac 28893 (Homo say hod: ckdown or knock	cteri	zati	on s	sta	14.90 14.90
Sour Antigen IGF2BP3 (Homo s Method: Immunoprecipitat Caption excerpt: P-Western Biot a:	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens) on	MBLI (2) RN009) (2) 002 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat	no sapiens) ed synthetic (	Met.	a data	Charac 28P3 (Homo sa hod: ckdown or knoc term big rollowin	cteri piens) kgr	zati	on s	sta every	(14,92) 1962(16)(25) (14,92) 1962(16)(25)
Sour Antigen o IGF28P3 (Homo s Method: immunoprecipitati Gaption excerpt: U-Western Biot average using (G728P3 sp	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens) on	MBLI (2 RN009) (2 002 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat 4 ALH-conjugat 4 ALH-conjugat 4 ALH-conjugat	no sapiens) ed synthetic ( sate of is	Met.	a data	a Charac 28P3 (Homo sa hod: ckdown or knocl tion excerge discover a same 2 and Hop 2 with 2 and Hop 2 with 2 and Hop 2 with 2 and Hop 2 with	cteri piens) mg shRNA aga hole cell ysata no 1 is a ladd	zati	on s	sta veren	tus Magina magina Magina
Sour Antigen (GF2BP3 (Homo & Method: Immunoprecipitati Caption excerpt: Pl-Western Bict average using (GF2BP3 sp using the encommon sector) of the encommon sector) of the encommon sector of the encommon sec	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens) on nalysis of HopG excific antibody ise coll lysate in event using rabb	MBLI (2 RN009) (2 NO2 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polyclonal IgA KLH-conjugat S2 whole cell ly Lans 1 is 1% uput and lang 2	no sapiens) ed synthetic ( sate of is	Hepda Hepda	a data	Charac Charac 22BP3 (Homo say hod: kdown or knocl Hon excerpt antibody. La targeting control a satibody. La targeting control	cteri piens) koga ng shRNA aga nde cell ysata me 1 is a ladd sl knockdown,	Zati	ON 5		
Sour Antigen : IGF2BP3 (Homo s Method: Caption excerpt: IP-Wester Biot at using IGF2BP3 set twenty million who z5% of IP enrichm	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens) on nalysis of HepC on nalysis of HepC	MBLI (2 RN009) (2 105/28P3 (Hor Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat ALH-conjugat ALH-conjugat Lane 1 is 1% pupt and lane 2 it normal IgG.	no sapiens) ed synthetic   sate of is .	Met.	a data	Charac 28893 (Homo say hod: tem big/salfoliowi a antibody. Ea antibody. Ea antibody. Ea	cteri piens) ng shRNA aga hole cell ysat ane 1 is a ladd al knockdown,	zati	on s BP3 K662 t are		14.9 JU 14.9 J
Sour Antigen KGF28P3 (Homo s Method: Immunoprecipitati Gaption excerpt IP-Western Biot at using (GF28P2 a) Subje (GF28P2 a) S	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens) on nalysis of HepC	MBLI (2 RN009) (2 002 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat 32 whole cell ly Lane 1 is 1% upput and lane 2 it normal IgG	no sapiens) ed synthetic p sate of is	Met.	a data	Charac 28893 (Homo say hod: Eckdown or knoc tem blyc filowid fantbody, Le 2 april hold with tem antbody, Le 1 april hold with the antibody, Le	piens) kort no bele cell ysate ane 1 is a ladd ol knockdown,	Zati ainst IGF2BP b using IGF2 ler, lane 2 is l lane 3 and 4	ON S 3 3 1 BP3 K562 4 are		tus enter en
Sour Antigen IGF28P3 (Homo s Method: Immunoprecipitat Caption excerpt IP-Weater Biot at uing IGF28P3 s twenty million who	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: lsotype: description: sapiens) on nalysis of HopC descfe anthody pie cell ysate in nent using rabb	MBLI (2 RN009) (2 NGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat A S2 whole cell ly Lane 1 is 1% Lane 1 is 1% Lane 1 is 1% More	no sapiens) ed synthetic p sate of is	Met.	a data	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	pions) kor ng shRNA aga ble cell ysate ane 1 is a ladd sl knockdown,	Zati ainst IGF2BP b using IGF2 ler, lane 2 is lane 3 and 4 More	ON 5	Keek Generation Genera	tus entry entry en
Sour Antigen IGF2BP3 (Homo e Method: Graption excerpt: P-Western Blot at using IGF2BP3 set Wenty million who as IGF2BP3 (Homo e Wenty million who as IGF2B	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens) on nalysis of HepC edific antibody. Je cell ysate in rent using rabb	MBLI (2 RN009) (2 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat 32 whole cell ly Lane 1 is 1% pupt and lane 2 More More antibody	no sapiens) ed synthetic ( of is	Met.	a data	Characo 28893 (Homo say hod: Leton bly Collowic A control of knocl Market antibody. La 2 and Hogo 2 2 and Hogo 2 3 and Hog	cteri piens) kgan ng shRNA aga hole cell ysat ano 1 is a ladd al knockdown, to C	zati uinst IGF2BP using IGF2 using IGF2 Usin	on s s s s s s expe	sta i control i	tus energy second
Sour Antigen (GF2BP3 (Homo e Method: Immunoprecipitati Caption excerpt: Pl-Watern Bick and using (GF2BP3 sp using) (GF2B	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: ecific antibody on nalysis of HopC ecific antibody le cell lysate in nert using rabb	MBLIC <sup>2</sup> RN009)C <sup>2</sup> 002 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat 2 whole cell ly Lane 1 is 152 Lane 1 is 152 More More Biosample tr	no sapiens) ed synthetic ( sate of is :	Met.	a data	Characo 28893 (Homo seg hod: Land Homo Seg Hod Seg Land Hog Seg Land H	cteri piens) Ng shRNA aga hole cell ysate ane 1 is a ladd al knockdown, to C	zati uinst IGF28P u using IGF2 u using IGF2 using	on s	sta v control v	compliant
Sour Antigen IGP28P3 (Homo t Method: Immunoprecipitat Goption excerpt Pr-Western Biot at using IGF28P3 a twenty million who wenty million who and IGF28P3 a twenty mi	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens) on nalysis of HepG desGraptibody pie cell ysate in reent using rabb	MBLI (2 RN009) (2 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat S2 whole cell ly Lane 1 is 1% put and lane 2 it normal IgG. More antibody Biosample te Lanez	no sapiens) ed synthetic ( of is y ENCA srm name	Met.	a data	Charac 2893 (Homo say hot: tern bly collowi a antibody. La 2 anti-body a 2 anti-body a	to C	zati uinst IGF2BP using IGF2 using IGF2 Usin	on s and s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	sta Princesson	tus energy medication compliant ments Lab Gene Yeo. III
Sour Antigen IGF28P3 (Homo s Method: Immunoprecipitat Option except IP-Western Biot at allen GR2PP3 sp Development Capiton except Capiton exc	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: laotype: description: sapiens) on nalysis of HepC ecific antibody description: ts using Assay Assay Assay	MBLI (2 RN009) (2 OO2 IGF2BP3 (Hor Rabbit Polyclonal IgA KLH-conjugat 32 whole cell ly Lane to 18 1% polyclonal at the second second More antibod Biosample tr Happo2	no sapiens) ed synthetic p sate of is s y ENCA arm name	Met	a data	Characo 28893 (Homo say hod: Eckdown or knock tern blycollowid Mc antibody. La 2 april Hold 2 2	to C experiment on	Zati	on s areas areas a	sta Perin	tus atas complements complements Lab Gene Yeo, UC
Sour Antigen IGF28P3 (Homo a Method: Immunoprecipitati Immunoprecipitati Caption excerpt: I-Pvestem Biota very Pi-Vestem Pi-Vestem Pi-Vestem Vestem Vestem very Pi-Vestem Pi-Vestem Vestem Vest	ce (vendor): Product ID: Lot ID: Targets: Host: Clonality: Isotype: description: sapiens) on nalysis of HepC ecific antibody loc celfus antibody loc celfus antibody loc celfus antibody ts using Assay eCLIP eCLIP	MBLIC <sup>2</sup> RN009)C <sup>2</sup> 002 IGF28P3 (Hor Rabbit Polycional IgA KLH-conjugat ALH-conjuga	no sapiens) ed synthetic p sate of is	Met.	a data	Charac 2BP3 (Homo sa) had: ckdown or knocl tion excerner frem big/ follow 2 ear HopG2 wi 2 earthog to a chitody, tak frem big/ follow 2 earthog to a chitody to chitody to chitody to a chitody to chitody to chitody to chit	cteri piens) mg shRNA aga hole cell ysate and 1 is a ladd sl knockdown, to C experiment on ment on HepG2	zati ainst IGF2BP using IGF2 using IGF2 is lane 3 and 4 More LIP HepG2 again against IGF2	On s	u seta	tus compliant case your contraction compliant case your contraction compliant case you us gene Yeo, us gene Yeo, us

#### Figure 2. Accessing antibody characterizations in ENCODE portal

(A) Screen shot of the 'Antibodies' page of the ENCODE portal. Arrows point to dropdown menus at the top and filtering criteria (to narrow the search) in the left side of the page.
(B) Screen shot of a representative antibody characterization page from the portal. Top of the page contains the ENCAB accession ID and antibody status. Antibody metadata like catalog number, link to vendor page, lot number and other information are listed in the top middle panel. Characterizations are in the middle of the page which can be expanded by clicking 'more' option. These characterization subpages lists the submitter lab name, link to download image file and ENCODE standards document which was used to review the characterization. Links to any experiments that have used the antibody are also listed at the bottom of the page.

See also Figure S3 and S4.







Figure 4. shRNA knockdown-western blot validation of antibodies against RBPs

Representative IP-WB (left) and shRNA knockdown-western blot validations (right) for antibodies that cover a spectrum of band patterns. Experiments are shown for antibodies that recognize PABPC4 (A), KHSRP (B), FASTKD2 (C), and ADAR1 (D). For each experiment the molecular weight markers are shown along with the percent depletion in the knockdown sample compared to the control shRNA sample for both the western blot and qRT-PCR experiments. The position of the RBP (green) and the loading controls of GAPDH or Tubulin (red) are shown. See also Table S3 and Table S5.



#### Figure 5. Immunofluorescence characterization of antibodies

(A–C) Representative images of immunofluorescence characterizations of antibodies. Left column is the images of RBPs pseudo-colored in green, center column is the sub-cellular markers pseudo-colored in red and the right column is the merged image of RBP, subcellular marker and nuclear stain (blue). Scale bar in the merged image represents 20nm. (A) DDX21 antibody (RN090PW) co-stained with nucleolar marker fibrillarin. (B) BUD13 antibody (A303-320A) co-stained with nuclear speckles marker SC35 and (C) GRSF1 antibody (RN050PW) co-stained with mitochondrial marker mitotracker. See also Table S6.