UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Age Cohort Differences in Sexual Behaviors Among Black Men Who Have Sex With Men and Women.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/25w4d4h3>

Journal

Journal of sex research, 55(8)

ISSN

0022-4499

Authors

Dangerfield, Derek T
Harawa, Nina T
Fernandez, M Isabel
et al.

Publication Date

2018-10-01

DOI

10.1080/00224499.2017.1423016

Peer reviewed

Age Cohort Differences in Sexual Behaviors Among Black Men Who Have Sex With Men and Women

Derek T. Dangerfield II, Nina T. Harawa, M. Isabel Fernandez, Sybil Hosek, Jennifer Lauby, Heather Joseph, Heather Guentzel Frank & Ricky N. Bluthenthal

To cite this article: Derek T. Dangerfield II, Nina T. Harawa, M. Isabel Fernandez, Sybil Hosek, Jennifer Lauby, Heather Joseph, Heather Guentzel Frank & Ricky N. Bluthenthal (2018): Age Cohort Differences in Sexual Behaviors Among Black Men Who Have Sex With Men and Women, The Journal of Sex Research, DOI: [10.1080/00224499.2017.1423016](https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2017.1423016)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2017.1423016>

Published online: 29 Jan 2018.

Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)

Article views: 29

View related articles [↗](#)

View Crossmark data [↗](#)

Age Cohort Differences in Sexual Behaviors Among Black Men Who Have Sex With Men and Women

Derek T. Dangerfield II

The REACH Initiative, Johns Hopkins School of Nursing

Nina T. Harawa

Department of Medicine, UCLA Geffen School of Medicine; and Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science

M. Isabel Fernandez

College of Osteopathic Medicine, Nova Southeastern University

Sybil Hosek

Department of Psychiatry, Stroger Hospital of Cook County, Chicago

Jennifer Lauby

Research and Evaluation, Public Health Management Corporation, Philadelphia

Heather Joseph

U.S. Public Health Service, Division of Global Migration and Quarantine, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta

Heather Guentzel Frank

Community Health Sciences, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health

Ricky N. Bluthenthal

Department of Preventive Medicine, Institute for Prevention Research, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California

Little attention has focused on generational or age-related differences in human immunodeficiency virus/sexually transmitted infection (HIV/STI) risk behaviors among Black men who have sex with men and women (BMSMW). We examined sexual risk behaviors between BMSMW ages 40 and under compared to over age 40. Analysis was conducted using Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)-sponsored intervention data among BMSMW in Los Angeles, Chicago, and Philadelphia ($n = 546$). Pearson's chi-square tests were conducted to evaluate associations between age groups and behavioral outcomes. Logistic regression was used to evaluate the odds of behavioral outcomes by age group, adjusting for sexual orientation and study location, within strata of HIV status. HIV-positive BMSMW over age 40 had 62% reduced odds of having a nonmain female partner of HIV-negative or unknown status compared to those ages 40 and under (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 0.38, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.15, 0.95). Among HIV-negative BMSMW, the older cohort was associated with greater odds of having condomless insertive anal intercourse (IAI) with most recent main male partner (AOR 2.44, 95% CI = 1.12, 5.32) and having a concurrent partnership while with their recent main female partner (AOR = 2.6, 95% CI = 1.10, 4.67). For both groups, odds of engaging in certain risk behaviors increased with increasing age. Prevention efforts should consider generational differences and age in HIV risks among BMSMW.

A growing number of studies have highlighted important behavioral differences between men who have sex with men only (MSMO) and men who have sex with men and women (MSMW), showing that Black MSMW (BMSMW) are at high risk for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection compared to other risk groups (Ford, Whetten, Hall, Kaufman, & Thrasher, 2007; Maulsby, Sifakis, German, Flynn, & Holtgrave, 2013; Operario, Smith, Arnold, & Kegeles, 2009). Compared to MSMO, MSMW report higher prevalence of substance use, exchanging sex for money or drugs, and higher numbers of sexual partners (Dyer et al., 2013; Maulsby et al., 2013). BMSMW in particular are less likely to test for HIV, more likely to have been arrested at least twice in their lifetime, to make less than \$5,000 a year, to score higher on internalized homophobia and depressive measures, and to score lower on social support measures compared to Black MSMO (Dyer et al., 2013; Wheeler, Lauby, Liu, Slutman, & Murrill, 2008). These sociodemographic and behavioral differences create different sexual health risk profiles for HIV acquisition for BMSMW compared to other groups of men who have sex with men (MSM).

Advances in HIV treatment and prevention options have changed messages about risk for MSM groups. Extant literature has described lower concern about HIV transmission and increased sexual risk taking due to the availability of highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART) for HIV-positive individuals and preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for HIV-negative individuals (Brooks et al., 2011; Hoff et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2013; Ostrow et al., 2002; Venkatesh et al., 2010). The widespread availability of HAART in 1996 led to dramatic declines in deaths related to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and HIV by reducing the viral load of HIV-infected individuals, which, coupled with behavioral interventions, decreased HIV incidence during that time (Detels et al., 1998; Hammer et al., 1997; Wolitski, Valdiserri, Denning, & Levine, 2001). Similarly, PrEP use among HIV-negative MSM has implications for sexual behavior; up to 36% of Black MSM (BMSM, including homosexual and bisexual men) reported that they would be likely to decrease their condom use while on PrEP (Brooks et al., 2011; Golub, Kowalczyk, Weinberger, & Parsons, 2010; Tripathi, Whiteside, & Duffus, 2013). In another study of HIV-negative MSM, those with reduced HIV concern were significantly more likely to report engaging in condomless receptive anal intercourse (RAI); HIV-positive men with greatest reduced concern due to HAART or safer-sex fatigue were more likely to report condomless insertive anal intercourse (IAI) (Ostrow et al., 2002; Stolte, Dukers, Geskus, Coutinho, & De Wit, 2004). Less is known about the relationship between generational differences in HIV treatment and prevention options and behavioral risks among BMSMW specifically.

Behavioral risks for acquiring or transmitting HIV and sexually transmitted infections (STIs) via condomless sex vary by sexual positioning practices with male and female partners. Specifically, men who practice condomless RAI with male partners are more likely to acquire HIV and rectal STIs compared to men who participate only in IAI with male partners (Jin et al., 2010; Kent et al., 2005; Patel et al., 2014). RAI with male partners creates high risk for HIV acquisition because the lining of the rectum is thin and may allow HIV greater access to the bloodstream during sex; IAI confers lower risk because the primary access for HIV is through the much smaller opening of the penis (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2014a, 2014b; Edwards & Carne, 1998). Men who practice both insertive and receptive roles during anal sex may be at high risk for HIV and STI infection via RAI and could also potentiate subsequent risk for HIV infection to both male and female partners through IAI and vaginal intercourse (Beyrer et al., 2012; Lyons et al., 2011; Wolitski & Branson, 2002). There is inconsistent evidence about sexual risk taking with male and female partners for BMSMW. Qualitative data show that some BMSMW are less likely to use condoms with female than male partners because they perceive females to be safer sexual partners compared to males (Dodge, Jeffries, & Sandfort, 2008; Harawa et al., 2008). It is important, then, to add to the scant literature on the patterns of HIV-positive and HIV-negative BMSMW's sexual risk behaviors with both male and female partners.

Little attention has focused on the relationship between age, cohort, and HIV/STI risk among BMSMW. Life course theory (LCT) and cultural-historical activity theory (CHAT) provide useful frameworks for exploring sexual health/behaviors as the result of dynamic processes involving historical context, environmental context, and the individual (Bronfenbrenner, 1979; Elder, 1996; Friedman & Rossi, 2015; Pouget et al., 2016). This lens directs us to consider the relationship between generational differences, historical events, developmental trajectories, individual behaviors, and health outcomes. Studies have shown behavioral differences between younger and older cohorts of BMSM as a whole, particularly men ages 30 and under compared to men over 30 (Koblin et al., 2013; Scott et al., 2014; Vagenas et al., 2016). Younger age has been associated with HIV incidence and sexual risk taking (Koblin et al., 2013; Mansergh & Marks, 1998; Osmond, Pollack, Paul, & Catania, 2007), and personal development accompanying age also influences sexual risk taking among BMSM (Dangerfield II, Smith, Anderson, et al., 2017). Research has yet to examine whether similar patterns emerge when focused on BMSMW.

Men born prior to the 1980s came of age during the first generation of the HIV/AIDS epidemic. They witnessed its fatal consequences, when most people came

to medical attention only in the later stages of disease and when effective treatments were unavailable. Those born during and after that time witnessed a very different epidemic, in which the disease became more and more treatable. Pouget et al. (2016) theorized that “big events,” macro social and structural events such as disasters and large-scale changes in policy, change risk contexts and can subsequently affect HIV transmission. Men ages 40 and older at present would have reached their mid-20s and early 30s before the introduction of HAART, when deaths related to HIV and AIDS were at their highest. Comparatively, men aged 40 and under spent most of their adult lives in a context where HAART was readily available and biomedical interventions were increasingly coupled with targeted HIV-prevention information. In addition, AIDS-related deaths and community viral loads have declined dramatically, creating a different sexual risk environment. Coupled with the evidence that age is associated with sexual risk taking among BMSM (Dangerfield II, Smith, Anderson, et al., 2017; Koblin et al., 2013; Mustanski, Newcomb, & Clerkin, 2011), this study stratified analyses among HIV-negative and HIV-positive BMSMW in two age cohorts (40 and older; under 40 years old) and explored the odds of behavioral risk with increased age.

Method

Data from this study come from a CDC-sponsored multisite intervention study focused on BMSMW in Los Angeles, California; Chicago, Illinois; and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Analysis was conducted on baseline data from the overall study and includes participants assigned to both the intervention and control conditions. Participants in the intervention had to identify as Black or African American, as well as report condomless sex with a man or woman in the past three months. A total of 584 men were recruited using a modified chain referral approach, word of mouth, and outreach efforts. Participants completed an audio computer-assisted self-interview (ACASI) using the Questionnaire Development System (NOVA Research, Bethesda, MD). To describe the profiles of bisexually active BMSMW, the analysis presented here was limited to men who reported having oral or anal sex with at least one man and oral, vaginal, or anal sex with at least one woman in the past three months, which resulted in 546 BMSMW included in this analysis. Study procedures were approved by the institutional review board (IRB) at the University of Southern California Health Sciences Campus.

Measures and Outcome Variables

HIV status. Participants reported the results of their last HIV test as *Negative—I do not have HIV*; *Positive—I*

do have HIV; or *Inconclusive—Neither positive nor negative*. Participants who reported that they had never been tested for HIV or that their results were inconclusive were included in the *HIV—Negative or status unknown* category.

Age. Age was dichotomized into 40 years and under versus over 40 years as a proxy for two age cohorts of men prior to and following the era of HAART. Age was also utilized as a continuous variable to explore potential changes in odds of behavioral outcomes with increasing years of age.

Sexual orientation. Participants were asked to identify their sexual orientation from categories including *Heterosexual or straight*, *Homosexual*, *gay*, or *same gender loving*, *Bisexual*, *Unsure/questioning*, *Other*, or *None*. Responses of *Unsure/questioning*, *Other*, and *None* were combined into a single category, and sexual orientation was used as a covariate in logistic regression models to adjust for behavioral differences in sexual orientation (Dyer et al., 2013; Harawa et al., 2008).

Number of sexual partners. Participants were asked to provide information about male and female partners within the past three months, including number and HIV status of partners. Participants provided the number of main male and female intercourse partners within the past three months. Participants identified the number of HIV-positive and HIV-negative casual male and female partners within the past three months. These items for male and female partners were dichotomized into *Zero* versus *Any*.

Condomless sexual intercourse. Participants were asked to provide the number of condomless RAI and IAI encounters with main and casual male partners, in addition to condomless vaginal and anal sex encounters with main and casual female partners. These responses were dichotomized into *Zero* versus *Any*.

Concurrent sexual partnerships. For main male and female partners, participants were asked to report if they had sex with anyone during the time they were in a relationship with their main partner. Response options were *Yes* or *No*. Some participants self-reported having more than one main partner.

Statistical Analysis

Pearson’s chi-square and Fisher’s exact tests were conducted to evaluate the association between age groups and selected behavioral outcomes. Binary logistic regression was used to evaluate the odds of selected behavioral outcomes, including condomless IAI and RAI with main and casual male partners and condomless vaginal and anal intercourse with main and casual

female partners. Multinomial logistic regression models were adjusted for differences in sexual orientation and study location across age groups given the variability in sexual risk taking by sexual orientation (Dodge et al., 2008; Goldbaum et al., 1998; Maulsby et al., 2013; Saleh & Operario, 2009). These models were also stratified by HIV status to observe these patterns among HIV-negative/status-unknown men and HIV-positive men separately; previous research suggests that people may reduce their HIV risk behaviors after testing HIV positive (Colfax et al., 2002; Gorbach, Drumright, Daar, & Little, 2006; Steward et al., 2009). Multinomial logistic regression models were also conducted using age as a continuous variable to explore the odds of sexual risk behaviors with one year of increased age. All analyses were conducted using Statistical Analytic Software (SAS) 9.0.

Results

Of the 546 BMSMW in this analysis, 29.0% were age 40 and under and 70.1% were over the age of 40 (Table 1). The mean age was 43.3 years (SD 9.7, range 18 to 70). In this sample of BMSMW, 75.6% identified as bisexual; 9.9% identified as homosexual, gay, or same gender loving; 9% identified as heterosexual or straight. Half (49.8%) of the sample reported that they were unemployed, and 40.4% reported that they were HIV positive.

Bivariate associations between age and sexual behaviors with main and nonmain male partners stratified by HIV status are summarized in Table 2. Among HIV-negative/status-unknown BMSMW, older age was associated with having any condomless IAI with most recent main male partners in the past three months (89.1% compared to 75.0%; $\chi^2 = 7.31$, $p < 0.01$). Among the same group, younger age cohort was associated with having any nonmain male partners who were HIV negative or whose status was unknown (87.7% compared to 74.3%; $\chi^2 = 5.15$, $p = 0.02$). Among HIV-positive men, the older age cohort was associated with having a concurrent sexual partnership while in a relationship with most recent main male partner (88.2% compared to 75.6%; $\chi^2 = 3.92$, $p = 0.047$).

Table 3 reports bivariate associations between these age cohorts and sexual behaviors with main and nonmain female partners. Among HIV-negative/status-unknown participants, the older age cohort was associated with having multiple main female partners (39% compared to 34.0%; $\chi^2 = 7.62$, $p = .021$). The older age cohort was also associated with having a concurrent sexual relationship while in a relationship with their most recent main female partner (87.5% compared to 74.7%; $\chi^2 = 6.33$, $p = .011$) and having multiple nonmain female partners in the past three months (52.5% compared to 35.3%; $\chi^2 = 8.13$, $p = .017$). Among HIV-

Table 1. *Baseline Characteristics of Behaviorally Bisexual^a Black Men Who Have Sex with Men and Women (BMSMW) in Behavioral Prevention Studies in Los Angeles, Chicago, and Philadelphia, 2011 to 2012 (n = 546)*

Characteristics	n (%)
Age	
Range	18–70
M (SD)	43.3 (9.7)
40 and under	163 (29.8)
Over 40	383 (70.1)
Self-reported sexual orientation	
Heterosexual or straight	38 (6.9)
Homosexual, gay, or same gender loving	54 (9.9)
Bisexual	413 (75.6)
Other	41 (7.5)
Location	
Philadelphia	152 (27.8)
Chicago	199 (36.5)
Los Angeles	195 (35.7)
Employment status	
Full time	38 (7.0)
Part time/occasional	67 (12.3)
Unemployed	272 (49.8)
Retired	11 (2.0)
Disabled (unable to work)	158 (28.9)
Income in the past 12 months ^b	
Less than \$5,000	259 (48.7)
\$5,000–\$9,999	141 (26.5)
\$10,000–\$19,999	85 (15.9)
\$20,000–\$29,999	29 (5.5)
\$30,000 and over	18 (3.4)
Marital status	
Married to a woman	42 (7.7)
Married to a man	8 (1.5)
Not married	496 (90.8)
Highest level of education completed	
Less than high school	120 (21.9)
High school diploma/general equivalency diploma	215 (39.4)
Tech school/some college	157 (28.8)
College graduate or higher	54 (9.9)
Ever spent one night without a place to stay in the past 12 months	
No	277 (50.7)
Yes	269 (49.3)
Self-reported HIV status ^b	
Negative	300 (57.9)
Inconclusive	9 (1.7)
Positive	209 (40.4)

^aDefined as reporting at least one male and at least one female partner in the past three months.

^bDue to missing data for some variables, some totals are less than 550.

positive men with any nonmain female partners, younger age was associated with having any nonmain female partners of HIV-negative or unknown status (52.6% versus 47.4%; $\chi^2 = 5.44$, $p = 0.019$). Older age was associated with having any HIV-positive nonmain female partners ($p < 0.01$).

Table 4 shows the logistic regression analysis of the odds of sexual risk behaviors of BMSMW over age 40

Table 2. *Bivariate Analyses of Behavioral Outcomes With Male Partners Among Black Men Who Have Sex with Men and Women (BMSMW) in Los Angeles, Chicago, and Philadelphia, Stratified by Self-Reported HIV Status and Age Cohort, 2010 to 2012*

	HIV Negative/Status Unknown (<i>n</i> = 309)				HIV Positive (<i>n</i> = 209)			
	40 and Under <i>n</i> (%)	Over 40 <i>n</i> (%)	<i>X</i> ²	<i>p</i>	40 and Under <i>n</i> (%)	Over 40 <i>n</i> (%)	<i>X</i> ²	<i>p</i>
Number of main male partners ^a								
Zero	34 (33.0)	48 (23.5)	3.18	0.203	6 (12.8)	26 (16.2)	5.53	0.063
One	47 (45.6)	104 (51.0)			20 (42.5)	92 (57.1)		
Multiple	22 (21.4)	52 (25.5)			21 (44.7)	43 (26.7)		
Concurrent partnership while with most recent main male partner ^a								
No	16 (23.2)	24 (15.4)	1.99	0.158	10 (24.4)	16 (11.8)	3.92	.047
Yes	53 (76.8)	132 (84.6)			31 (75.6)	119 (88.2)		
Condomless IAI ^b with most recent main male partner ^a								
Zero	17 (25.0)	17 (10.9)			8 (20.0)	38 (28.4)		
Any	51 (75.0)	139 (89.1)	7.31	< 0.01	32 (80.0)	96 (71.6)	1.10	0.292
Condomless RAI ^c with most recent main male partner								
Zero	36 (52.9)	94 (61.0)	1.27	0.258	15 (37.5)	57 (42.5)	0.32	0.570
Any	32 (47.1)	60 (39.0)			25 (62.5)	77 (57.5)		
Number of nonmain male partners ^a								
Zero	27 (26.7)	62 (30.5)	0.59	0.744	8 (17.4)	43 (26.7)	2.36	0.306
One	24 (23.8)	49 (24.1)			9 (19.6)	36 (22.4)		
Multiple	50 (49.5)	92 (45.3)			29 (63.0)	82 (50.9)		
HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain male partners ^a								
Zero	9 (12.3)	36 (25.7)			16 (43.2)	68 (57.6)		
Any	64 (87.7)	104 (74.3)	5.15	0.023	21 (56.8)	50 (42.4)	2.34	0.125
Condomless IAI w/HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain male partners ^a								
Zero	17 (26.6)	18 (17.3)	2.05	0.151	10 (47.6)	21 (42.9)	0.14	0.713
Any	47 (73.4)	86 (82.7)			11 (52.3)	28 (57.1)		
Condomless RAI w/HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain male partners ^a								
Zero	39 (60.9)	69 (67.6)	0.78	0.377	7 (33.3)	21 (42.9)	0.56	0.456
Any	25 (39.1)	33 (32.4)			14 (66.7)	28 (57.1)		
HIV-positive nonmain male partners ^a								
Zero	54 (74.0)	91 (65.9)	1.43	0.231	9 (25.0)	21 (18.1)	0.83	0.364
Any	19 (26.0)	47 (34.1)			27 (75.0)	95 (81.9)		
Condomless IAI w/HIV-positive nonmain male partners ^a								
Zero	5 (27.8)	16 (35.6)	0.35	0.554	9 (33.3)	26 (27.4)	0.36	0.545
Any	13 (72.2)	29 (64.4)			18 (66.7)	69 (72.6)		
Condomless RAI w/nonmain male partners ^a								
Zero	9 (50.0)	30 (66.7)	1.51	0.218	9 (34.6)	43 (45.7)	1.02	0.311
Any	9 (50.0)	15 (33.3)			17 (65.4)	51 (54.3)		

^aDue to missing data for some variables, some totals are less than *n*.^bInsertive anal intercourse.^cReceptive anal intercourse.

compared to BMSMW age 40 and under, adjusted for self-identified sexual orientation and study location and stratified by HIV status. Among HIV-negative BMSMW who reported having a main male partner in the past three months, the older group had 2.44 greater odds than the younger group of having condomless IAI (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] 2.44, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.12, 5.32). The older group also had 2.26 greater odds of having a concurrent partnership while with their most recent main female partner (AOR 2.26, 95% CI = 1.10, 4.67), and a 63% lower odds of having any HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain male partners among those

who reported having nonmain male partners. Among HIV-positive BMSMW who reported having at least one nonmain female partner in the past three months, men over age 40 had nearly 70% lower odds of having HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain female partners compared to those age 40 and under (AOR 0.38, 95% CI = 0.15, 0.95). They also had 3.03 times the odds of having a nonmain female partner who was HIV positive (AOR 3.03, 95% CI = 1.24, 7.37).

Table 5 displays the logistic regression models using age as a continuous variable to explore odds of sexual risks by increasing age in years rather than age group. Among

Table 3. *Bivariate Analyses of Behavioral Outcomes With Female Partners Among Black Men Who Have Sex With Men and Women (BMSMW) in Los Angeles, Chicago, and Philadelphia, Stratified by Self-Reported HIV Status and Age Cohort, 2010 to 2012*

	HIV Negative/Status Unknown (n = 309)				HIV Positive (n = 209)			
	40 and Under n (%)	Over 40 n (%)	X ²	p	40 and Under n (%)	Over 40 n (%)	X ²	p
Number of main female partners ^a								
Zero	28 (27.2)	29 (14.1)	7.62	0.021	15 (31.9)	48 (29.8)	1.51	0.469
One	40 (38.8)	94 (46.2)			23 (48.9)	68 (42.2)		
Multiple	35 (34.0)	82 (39.7)			9 (19.2)	45 (28.0)		
Concurrent partnership while with most recent main female partner ^a								
No	19 (25.3)	22 (12.5)	6.33	0.011	5 (15.6)	14 (12.4)	FET ^b	0.766
Yes	56 (74.7)	154 (87.5)			27 (84.4)	99 (87.6)		
Condomless vaginal sex with most recent main female partner ^a								
Zero	7 (9.3)	12 (6.9)	0.44	0.541	9 (28.1)	25 (22.5)	0.43	0.512
Any	68 (90.7)	162 (93.1)			23 (71.9)	86 (77.5)		
Condomless anal sex with most recent main female partner								
Zero	35 (46.7)	78 (44.8)	0.07	0.789	21 (67.7)	62 (55.9)	1.41	0.235
Any	40 (53.3)	98 (55.2)			10 (32.3)	49 (44.1)		
Nonmain female partners ^a								
Zero	39 (38.2)	59 (29.2)	8.13	0.017	15 (32.6)	43 (27.4)	.85	0.654
One	27 (26.5)	37 (18.3)			15 (32.6)	48 (30.6)		
Multiple	36 (35.3)	106 (52.5)			16 (34.8)	66 (42.0)		
Number of HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain female partners ^a								
Zero	12 (19.0)	36 (24.8)	0.73	0.392	9 (29.3)	60 (52.6)	5.44	0.019
Any	51 (81.0)	109 (75.1)			22 (52.6)	54 (47.4)		
Condomless vaginal sex with HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain female partners ^a								
Zero	10 (19.6)	17 (16.0)	0.31	0.578	11 (50.0)	18 (34.6)	1.53	0.215
Any	41 (80.4)	89 (83.9)			11 (50.0)	34 (65.4)		
Condomless anal sex with HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain female partners								
Zero	28 (56.0)	44 (42.3)	2.54	0.110	13 (59.1)	33 (62.3)	0.07	0.797
Any	22 (44.0)	60 (57.7)			9 (40.9)	20 (37.7)		
Number of HIV-positive nonmain female partners ^a								
Zero	45 (71.4)	97 (70.3)	0.03	0.865	19 (63.3)	37 (32.7)	9.31	< 0.01
Any	18 (28.6)	41 (29.7)			11 (36.7)	76 (67.3)		
Condomless vaginal sex with HIV-positive nonmain female partners								
Zero	9 (50.0)	22 (55.0)	0.12	0.724	4 (36.4)	17 (22.7)	FET	0.451
Any	9 (50.0)	18 (45.0)			7 (63.6)	58 (77.3)		
Condomless anal sex with HIV-positive nonmain female partners								
Zero	10(55.6)	26(66.7)	0.65	0.418	6 (54.5)	30 (40.0)	FET	0.514
Any	8(44.4)	13(33.3)			5 (45.5)	45 (60.0)		

^aDue to missing data for some variables, some totals are less than n.^bFET = Fisher's exact test.

HIV-negative BMSMW who reported having a main partner in the past three months, the odds of condomless IAI with main male partners increased by 7% for every year of increase in age (AOR 1.07, 95% CI = 1.03, 1.11). Odds of having a concurrent relationship with the most recent female partner increased by 4% for every year of increase in age. Among HIV-positive BMSMW, the odds of having any HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain female partners decreased by 4% for every year of increase in age (AOR 0.96, 95% CI = 0.92, 1.00). The odds of having any HIV-positive nonmain female partners increased by 5% with each year of increased age (AOR 1.05, 95% CI = 1.00, 1.10).

Discussion

This study highlighted differences in sexual risk behaviors for BMSMW by age cohort and HIV status. In the multivariate models, there were statistically significant differences regarding age and some behaviors by HIV status, including having condomless IAI with the most recent main male partner, having HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain partners, having a concurrent sexual partnership while with the most recent main female partner, and having HIV-positive nonmain female partners.

This study found that, among HIV-negative BMSMW who reported having a main male partner in the past

Table 4. *Logistic Regression Analysis of Behavioral Characteristics Comparing Black Men Who Have Sex With Men and Women (BMSMW) Over Age 40 to Those Age 40 and Under, Stratified by Self-Reported HIV Status, Adjusted for Sexual Orientation and Location, 2010 to 2012*

	HIV Negative/Status Unknown		HIV Positive	
	UOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	UOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Concurrent partner while with most recent main male partner	1.66 (0.81, 3.37)	0.75 (0.75, 3.41)	2.39 (0.99, 5.80)	2.13 (0.82, 5.53)
Condomless insertive anal intercourse with most recent main male partner	2.73 (1.29, 5.74)	2.44 (1.12, 5.32)	0.63 (0.26, 1.49)	0.65 (0.26, 1.62)
Any HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain male partners	0.41 (0.18, 0.89)	0.37 (0.16, 0.85)	0.56 (0.26, 1.18)	0.76 (0.33, 1.71)
Concurrent partnership while with most recent main female partner	2.37 (1.19, 4.72)	2.26 (1.10, 4.67)	1.31 (0.43, 3.95)	1.04 (0.31, 3.52)
Any HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain female partners	0.73 (0.34, 1.52)	0.66 (0.31, 1.41)	0.37 (0.16, 0.86)	0.38 (0.15, 0.95)
Any HIV-positive nonmain female partners	1.05 (0.54, 2.03)	1.15 (0.58, 2.27)	3.54 (1.53, 8.22)	3.03 (1.24, 7.37)

Table 5. *Logistic Regression Analysis of Behavioral Characteristics of Black Men Who Have Sex With Men and Women (BMSMW) With Age as a Continuous Variable, Adjusted for Sexual Orientation and Location, 2010 to 2012*

	HIV Negative/Status Unknown		HIV Positive	
	UOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	UOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Concurrent partner while with most recent main male partner	1.02 (0.98, 1.05)	1.02 (0.97, 1.05)	1.05 (1.00, 1.09)	1.04 (0.99, 1.09)
Condomless insertive anal intercourse with most recent main male partner	1.07 (1.03, 1.11)	1.07 (1.03, 1.11)	0.99 (0.95, 1.04)	0.99 (0.95, 1.04)
Any HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain male partners	0.99 (0.95, 1.02)	0.99 (0.96, 1.02)	0.97 (0.93, 1.02)	0.98 (0.94, 1.03)
Concurrent partnership while with most recent main female partner	1.04 (1.01, 1.07)	1.04 (1.01, 1.08)	1.03 (0.97, 1.09)	1.03 (0.97, 1.09)
Any HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain female partners	1.00 (0.96, 1.03)	0.99 (0.97, 1.03)	0.96 (0.91, 0.99)	0.96 (0.92, 1.00)
Any HIV-positive status-unknown nonmain female partners	0.99 (0.97, 1.02)	1.00 (0.97, 1.03)	1.06 (1.01, 1.11)	1.05 (1.00, 1.10)

three months, those over age 40 were significantly more likely to report condomless IAI with their most recent main male partner. Previous research has found that younger non-gay-identified BMSM were more likely to report condomless sex with male partners than older non-gay-identified BMSM (Hampton et al., 2012). Research has also found that younger BMSM (including bisexual Black men) were more likely to report condomless RAI with HIV-positive partners or partners with unknown HIV status compared to older BMSM (Koblin et al., 2013). Our analyses did not find statistically significant differences in RAI practice among the age cohorts of either HIV status. However, older BMSMW could be more solidified in their sexual/health practices and might be less likely to use condoms for IAI with main male partners compared to younger BMSMW who have been exposed to increased HIV-prevention messaging (Dangerfield II, Smith, Anderson, et al., 2017).

We also found that the older cohort of HIV-negative/status-unknown BMSMW were more likely to report having a concurrent sexual partnership while in a relationship with most recent main female sexual partners. It is unclear whether concurrent partnerships were with males or females; however, data show evidence of higher proportions of concurrent partnership among MSMW than MSMO, particularly concurrent condomless sex with male and female partners (Maulsby et al., 2013; Operario et al., 2009). This may be particularly important for Black women in partnerships with BMSMW, who experience the highest incidence of HIV infection after MSM groups in the United States (CDC, 2016; Kaiser Family Foundation, 2014).

Among HIV-positive BMSMW who reported nonmain female partners, we found that older BMSMW were less likely to have HIV-negative or status-unknown nonmain female partners and more likely than younger BMSMW to have an HIV-positive nonmain female partner. This suggests

that HIV-positive BMSMW could be serosorting. Other research has found that older non-gay-identified BMSM were more likely to engage in condomless sex with women compared to younger non-gay-identified BMSM (Hampton et al., 2012), which could have implications for STIs for BMSMW and their partners, since STI transmission risk increases in the presence of HIV infection (Fleming & Wasserheit, 1999; Wasserheit, 1992).

We also observed that, among both HIV-negative and HIV-positive groups, the odds of sexual risk behaviors (i.e., condomless IAI, concurrent partnership while with most recent main female partner, and having HIV-positive female partners) increase with increasing age. This offers important insights about the sexual health trajectories among BMSMW. These data raise the question of whether increased risk with age among BMSMW is attributable to a personal developmental trajectory along the life course or if older BMSMW also experience condom use fatigue with age. Other studies have found fatigue with HIV-prevention messaging and behaviors among other populations of MSM (Adam, Husbands, Murray, & Maxwell, 2005; Rowniak, 2009). This calls for a need to explore the relationship between trajectories of sexual behaviors and HIV-prevention behaviors for BMSM.

Other research on HIV and STI risk among Black gay and bisexual men shows that sexual preferences change over time in part due to personal growth and changing circumstances over the life course (Dangerfield II, Smith, Anderson, et al., 2017). Sexual decisions and risk for each encounter are also nested within developmental stages of adulthood, which could change with age, as suggested by our findings of increased odds of risk with increasing age. Behavioral risk of HIV and STIs within a sexual encounter (i.e., sexual positioning, serosorting, condom use) among BMSM may also be relative and contextual based on age, HIV status, partner type, and partner gender (Dangerfield II, Smith, Williams, Unger, & Bluthenthal, 2017). Still, all of these developmental and situational/sexual contexts are nested within larger historical contexts that provide varying risk environments for HIV infection (Dangerfield II, Smith, Anderson, et al., 2017; Dangerfield II, Smith, Williams, et al., 2017; Elder, 1996; Rhodes, 2002). Specifically, the changing nature of the AIDS epidemic due to increasing prevention options may create varying perceptions of risk for different cohorts of BMSM (Brooks et al., 2011; Dangerfield, Smith, Anderson, et al., 2017; Hoff et al., 2015; Venkatesh et al., 2010). Future research should consider both generational and developmental changes in risk taking among various age cohorts of BMSMW.

Overall, our findings highlight important behavioral differences by age cohort and HIV status. We observe that age is related to risk among BMSMW in some ways, safer in other ways, and that there could be a trend in increased risk as age increases. Still, this study's findings also give rise to an important framework of looking at the effects of both historical and developmental forces on

sexual behaviors, health, and risk among BMSMW. These generational differences and developmental trajectories in risk offer meaningful insights into the profiles of BMSMW that need to be addressed in interventions. Future interventions on BMSMW might consider the role that generational differences in exposure to HIV prevalence, disease outcomes, and prevention messages have on sexual risk taking.

There are limitations associated with the study. This sample of BMSMW was not representative of BMSMW; many were recently incarcerated, and most had low socioeconomic status, in addition to endorsing several sexual risk behaviors as required for the randomized control trial. Men self-reported HIV status, and the cross-sectional nature of the analysis makes it difficult to isolate age and cohort effects. Many variables potentially associated with sexual risk behaviors (e.g., substance use, mental health, and partner violence) were not included in the multivariate models, which could potentiate residual confounding. The lack of socioeconomic diversity in this group may limit generalization of these findings. In addition, these data lack power for examining differences within smaller age cohorts and prevent us from quantifying the relationship between HAART as a "big event" and sexual behaviors among this sample. While other research compares individuals ages 30 and younger to men over age 30, the low sample size of men under the age of 30 limited our ability to detail a dichotomy between that age group. Still, this work provides a framework for highlighting differences in sexual risk behaviors among BMSMW by age group, which is an understudied subpopulation among the larger and general group of MSM.

Future research should explore this issue among a wider range of age cohorts. Efforts should examine the varying roles of PrEP in the sexual lives of both younger and older BMSMW populations. In addition, it is important to explore these sexual risk profiles by age cohort in a more heterogeneous and representative sample of BMSMW and to uncover motivations for condomless IAI, concurrent sexual partnerships, choosing HIV-negative/status-unknown or HIV-positive partners among older and younger HIV-positive and HIV-negative groups.

Funding and Acknowledgments

This work is the result of a collaborative effort funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (cooperative agreement 1UR6PS0001099). This work was also supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. The authors would like to thank the staff at each of the funded sites, as well as members of participating community advisory boards and community-based organizations. Specifically, the authors thank Lyle McCormick, Ilya Teplinskiy, Ann O'Leary, Steve Flores, and Lisa Bond.

References

- Adam, B. D., Husbands, W., Murray, J., & Maxwell, J. (2005). AIDS optimism, condom fatigue, or self-esteem? Explaining unsafe sex among gay and bisexual men. *Journal of Sex Research*, 42, 238–248. doi: 10.1080/00224490509552278
- Beyrer, C., Baral, S. D., Van Griensven, F., Goodreau, S. M., Chariyalertsak, S., Wirtz, A. L., & Brookmeyer, R. (2012). Global epidemiology of HIV infection in men who have sex with men. *The Lancet*, 380, 367–377. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60821-6
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). Contexts of child rearing: Problems and prospects. *American Psychologist*, 34, 844–850. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.844
- Brooks, R. A., Landovitz, R. J., Kaplan, R. L., Lieber, E., Lee, S.-J., & Barkley, T. W. (2011). Sexual risk behaviors and acceptability of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis among HIV-negative gay and bisexual men in serodiscordant relationships: A mixed methods study. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, 26, 87–94. doi: 10.1089/apc.2011.0283
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2014a, June 20). Gay and bisexual men's health: HIV/AIDS: What is HIV? Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/msmhealth/HIV.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2014b, September 23). How do people get HIV? Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/basics/transmission.html>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2016). HIV/AIDS: HIV among women. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/group/gender/women/index.html>
- Colfax, G. N., Buchbinder, S. P., Cornelisse, P. G., Vittinghoff, E., Mayer, K. D., & Celum, C. (2002). Sexual risk behaviors and implications for secondary HIV transmission during and after HIV seroconversion. *AIDS (London, England)*, 16, 1529–1535.
- Dangerfield II, D. T., Smith, L. R., Anderson, J. N., Bruce, O. J., Farley, J., & Bluthenthal, R. (2017). Sexual positioning practices and sexual risk among Black gay and bisexual men: A life course perspective. *AIDS and Behavior*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1007/s10461-017-1948-6
- Dangerfield II, D. T., Smith, L. R., Williams, J., Unger, J., & Bluthenthal, R. (2017). Sexual positioning among men who have sex with men: A narrative review. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 46, 869–884. doi: 10.1007/s10508-016-0738-y
- Detels, R., Muñoz, A., McFarlane, G., Kingsley, L. A., Margolick, J. B., Giorgi, J., ... Phair, J. P. (1998). Effectiveness of potent antiretroviral therapy on time to AIDS and death in men with known HIV infection duration. *JAMA*, 280, 1497–1503. doi: 10.1001/jama.280.17.1497
- Dodge, B., Jeffries, W. IV, & Sandfort, T. G. M. (2008). Beyond the down low: Sexual risk, protection, and disclosure among at-risk Black men who have sex with both men and women (MSMW). *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 37, 683–696. doi: 10.1007/s10508-008-9356-7
- Dyer, T. P., Regan, R., Wilton, L., Harawa, N. T., Ou, S. S., Wang, L., & Shoptaw, S. (2013). Differences in substance use, psychosocial characteristics, and HIV-related sexual risk behavior between Black men who have sex with men only (BMSMO) and Black men who have sex with men and women (BMSMW) in six U.S. cities. *Journal of Urban Health*, 90, 1181–1193. doi: 10.1007/s11524-013-9811-1
- Edwards, S., & Carne, C. (1998). Oral sex and the transmission of viral STIs. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, 74, 6–10. doi: 10.1136/sti.74.1.6
- Elder, G. H. Jr. (1996). Human lives in changing societies: Life course and developmental insights. In R. B. Cairns, G. H. Elder Jr., & E. J. Costello (Eds.), *Developmental science* (pp. 31–62). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Fleming, D. T., & Wasserheit, J. N. (1999). From epidemiological synergy to public health policy and practice: The contribution of other sexually transmitted diseases to sexual transmission of HIV infection. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, 75, 3–17.
- Ford, C. L., Whetten, K. D., Hall, S. A., Kaufman, J. S., & Thrasher, A. D. (2007). Black sexuality, social construction, and research targeting “the down low” (“the DL”). *Annals of Epidemiology*, 17, 209–216. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2006.09.006
- Friedman, S. R., & Rossi, D. (2015). Some musings about big events and the past and future of drug use and of HIV and other epidemics. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 50, 899–902. doi: 10.3109/10826084.2015.1018752
- Goldbaum, G., Perdue, T., Wolitski, R., Rietmeijer, C., Hedrich, A., Wood, R., ... Tross, S. (1998). Differences in risk behavior and sources of AIDS information among gay, bisexual, and straight-identified men who have sex with men. *AIDS and Behavior*, 2, 13–21. doi: 10.1023/A:1022399021926
- Golub, S. A., Kowalczyk, W., Weinberger, C. L., & Parsons, J. T. (2010). Pre-exposure prophylaxis and predicted condom use among high-risk men who have sex with men. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes (1999)*, 54, 548–555. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181e19a54
- Gorbach, P. M., Drumright, L. N., Daar, E. S., & Little, S. J. (2006). Transmission behaviors of recently HIV-infected men who have sex with men. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes (1999)*, 42, 80–85. doi: 10.1097/01.qai.0000196665.78497.fl
- Hammer, S. M., Squires, K. E., Hughes, M. D., Grimes, J. M., Demeter, L. M., Currier, J. S., ... Cook, J. C. (1997). A controlled trial of two nucleoside analogues plus Indinavir in persons with human immunodeficiency virus infection and CD4 cell counts of 200 per cubic millimeter or less. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 337, 725–733. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199709113371101
- Hampton, M. C., Halkitis, P. N., Storholm, E. D., Kupprat, S. A., Siconolfi, D. E., Jones, D., ... McCree, D. H. (2012). Sexual risk taking in relation to sexual identification, age, and education in a diverse sample of African American men who have sex with men (MSM) in New York City. *AIDS and Behavior*, 17, 931–938. doi: 10.1007/s10461-012-0139-8
- Harawa, N. T., Williams, J. K., Ramamurthi, H. C., Manago, C., Avina, S., & Jones, M. (2008). Sexual behavior, sexual identity, and substance abuse among low-income bisexual and non-gay-identifying African American men who have sex with men. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 37, 748–762. doi: 10.1007/s10508-008-9361-x
- Hoff, C. C., Chakravarty, D., Bircher, A. E., Campbell, C. K., Grisham, K., Neilands, T. B., ... Dworkin, S. (2015). Attitudes towards PrEP and anticipated condom use among concordant HIV-negative and HIV-discordant male couples. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, 29, 408–417. doi: 10.1089/apc.2014.0315
- Jin, F., Jansson, J., Law, M., Prestage, G. P., Zablotzka, I., Imrie, J. C. G., ... Wilson, D. P. (2010). Per-contact probability of HIV transmission in homosexual men in Sydney in the era of HAART. *AIDS (London, England)*, 24, 907–913. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e318328372d90
- Kaiser Family Foundation (2014, March 6). Women and HIV/AIDS in the United States. Retrieved from <http://kff.org/hiv/aids/fact-sheet/women-and-hiv/aids-in-the-united-states/>
- Kent, C. K., Chaw, J. K., Wong, W., Liska, S., Gibson, S., Hubbard, G., & Klausner, J. D. (2005). Prevalence of rectal, urethral, and pharyngeal chlamydia and gonorrhea detected in two clinical settings among men who have sex with men: San Francisco, California, 2003. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 41, 67–74. doi: 10.1086/430704
- Koblin, B. A., Mayer, K. H., Eshleman, S. H., Wang, L., Mannheimer, S., Del Rio, C., ... Wheeler, D. (2013). Correlates of HIV acquisition in a cohort of Black men who have sex with men in the United States: HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 061. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e70413. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0070413
- Liu, A. Y., Vittinghoff, E., Chillag, K., Mayer, K., Thompson, M., Grohskopf, L., ... Buchbinder, S. P. (2013). Sexual risk behavior among HIV-uninfected men who have sex with men (MSM) participating in a tenofovir pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) randomized trial in the United States. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes (1999)*, 64, 87–94. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e31828f097a
- Lyons, A., Pitts, M., Smith, G., Grierson, J., Smith, A., McNally, S., & Couch, M. (2011). Versatility and HIV vulnerability: Investigating the proportion of Australian gay men having both insertive and receptive

- anal intercourse. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 8, 2164–2171. doi: [10.1111/j.1743-6109.2010.02197.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2010.02197.x)
- Mansergh, G., & Marks, G. (1998). Age and risk of HIV infection in men who have sex with men. *AIDS (London, England)*, 12, 1119–1128.
- Maulsby, C., Sifakis, F., German, D., Flynn, C. P., & Holtgrave, D. (2013). HIV risk among men who have sex with men only (MSMO) and men who have sex with men and women (MSMW) in Baltimore. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 60, 51–68. doi: [10.1080/00918369.2013.735938](https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2013.735938)
- Mustanski, B., Newcomb, M. E., & Clerkin, E. M. (2011). Relationship characteristics and sexual risk-taking in young men who have sex with men. *Health Psychology. American Psychological Association*, 30, 597–605. doi: [10.1037/a0023858](https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023858)
- Operario, D., Smith, C. D., Arnold, E., & Kegeles, S. (2009). Sexual risk and substance use behaviors among African American men who have sex with men and women. *AIDS and Behavior*, 15, 576–583. doi: [10.1007/s10461-009-9588-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-009-9588-0)
- Osmond, D. H., Pollack, L. M., Paul, J. P., & Catania, J. A. (2007). Changes in prevalence of HIV infection and sexual risk behavior in men who have sex with men in San Francisco: 1997–2002. *American Journal of Public Health*, 97, 1677–1683. doi: [10.2105/AJPH.2005.062851](https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2005.062851)
- Ostrow, D. E., Fox, K. J., Chmiel, J. S., Silvestre, A., Visscher, B. R., Vanable, P. A., ... Strathdee, S. A. (2002). Attitudes towards highly active antiretroviral therapy are associated with sexual risk taking among HIV-infected and uninfected homosexual men. *AIDS (London, England)*, 16, 775–780. doi: [10.1097/00002030-200203290-00013](https://doi.org/10.1097/00002030-200203290-00013)
- Patel, P., Borkowf, C. B., Brooks, J. T., Lasry, A., Lansky, A., & Mermin, J. (2014). Estimating per-act HIV transmission risk: A systematic review. *AIDS (London, England)*, 28, 1509–1519. doi: [10.1097/QAD.0000000000000298](https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0000000000000298)
- Pouget, E. R., Sandoval, M., Nikolopoulos, G. K., Mateu-Gelabert, P., Rossi, D., Smyrnov, P., ... Friedman, S. R. (2016). Developing measures of pathways that may link macro social/structural changes with HIV epidemiology. *AIDS and Behavior*, 20, 1808–1820. doi: [10.1007/s10461-016-1291-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-016-1291-3)
- Rhodes, T. (2002). The “risk environment”: A framework for understanding and reducing drug-related harm. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 13, 85–94. doi: [10.1016/S0955-3959\(02\)00007-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-3959(02)00007-5)
- Rowniak, S. (2009). Safe sex fatigue, treatment optimism, and serosorting: New challenges to HIV prevention among men who have sex with men. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care*, 20, 31–38. doi: [10.1016/j.jana.2008.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jana.2008.09.006)
- Saleh, L. D., & Operario, D. (2009). Moving beyond “the down low”: A critical analysis of terminology guiding HIV prevention efforts for African American men who have secretive sex with men. *Social Science and Medicine* (1982), 68, 390–395. doi: [10.1007/s10461-009-9588-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-009-9588-0)
- Scott, H. M., Vittinghoff, E., Irvin, R., Sachdev, D., Liu, A., Gurwith, M., & Buchbinder, S. P. (2014). Age, race/ethnicity, and behavioral risk factors associated with per-contact risk of HIV infection among men who have sex with men in the United States. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* (1999), 115–121. doi: [10.1097/QAI.0b013e3182a98bae](https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0b013e3182a98bae)
- Steward, W. T., Remien, R. H., Higgins, J. A., Dubrow, R., Pinkerton, S. D., Sikkema, K. J., ... Morin, S. F. (2009). Behavior change following diagnosis with acute/early HIV infection: A move to serosorting with other HIV-infected individuals: The NIMH Multisite Acute HIV Infection Study III. *AIDS and Behavior*, 13, 1054–1060. doi: [10.1007/s10461-009-9582-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-009-9582-6)
- Stolte, I. G., Dukers, N. H. T. M., Geskus, R. B., Coutinho, R. A., & De Wit, J. B. F. (2004). Homosexual men change to risky sex when perceiving less threat of HIV/AIDS since availability of highly active antiretroviral therapy: A longitudinal study. *AIDS (London, England)*, 18, 303–309.
- Tripathi, A., Whiteside, Y. O., & Duffus, W. A. (2013). Perceptions and attitudes about pre-exposure prophylaxis among seronegative partners and the potential of sexual disinhibition. *Southern Medical Journal*, 106, 558–564. doi: [10.1097/SMJ.0000000000000010](https://doi.org/10.1097/SMJ.0000000000000010)
- Vagenas, P., Zelenev, A., Altice, F. L., Paola, A. D., Jordan, A. O., Teixeira, P. A., ... Springer, S. A. (2016). HIV-infected men who have sex with men, before and after release from jail: The impact of age and race: Results from a multi-site study. *AIDS Care*, 28, 22–31. doi: [10.1080/09540121.2015.1062464](https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2015.1062464)
- Venkatesh, K. K., De Bruyn, G., Lurie, M. N., Mohapi, L., Pronyk, P., Moshabela, M., ... Martinson, N. A. (2010). Decreased sexual risk behavior in the era of HAART among HIV-infected urban and rural South Africans attending primary care clinics. *AIDS (London, England)*, 24, 2687–2696. doi: [10.1097/QAD.0b013e32833e78d4](https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e32833e78d4)
- Wasserheit, J. (1992). Epidemiological synergy: Interrelationships between human immunodeficiency virus infection and other sexually transmitted diseases. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, 19(2), 61–77.
- Wheeler, D. P., Lauby, J. L., Liu, K., Slutman, L. G. V., & Murrill, C. (2008). A comparative analysis of sexual risk characteristics of Black men who have sex with men or with men and women. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 37, 697–707. doi: [10.1007/s10508-008-9372-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-008-9372-7)
- Wolitski, R. J., & Branson, B. M. (2002). “Gray area behaviors” and partner selection strategies. In A. O’Leary (Ed.), *Beyond condoms* (pp. 173–198). New York, NY: Kluwer Academic/Plenum.
- Wolitski, R. J., Valdiserri, R. O., Denning, P. H., & Levine, W. C. (2001). Are we headed for a resurgence of the HIV epidemic among men who have sex with men? *American Journal of Public Health*, 91, 883–888.