UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

FAZ assembly in bloodstream form Trypanosoma brucei requires kinesin KIN-E.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/26s275xg

Journal Molecular Biology of the Cell, 34(10)

Authors

Albisetti, Anna Douglas, Robert Welch, Matthew

Publication Date 2023-09-01

DOI

10.1091/mbc.E23-01-0022

Peer reviewed

FAZ assembly in bloodstream form *Trypanosoma* brucei requires kinesin KIN-E

Anna C. Albisetti®[†], Robert L. Douglas, and Matthew D. Welch®* Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

ABSTRACT *Trypanosoma brucei*, the causative agent of African sleeping sickness, uses its flagellum for movement, cell division, and signaling. The flagellum is anchored to the cell body membrane *via* the flagellum attachment zone (FAZ), a complex of proteins, filaments, and microtubules that spans two membranes with elements on both flagellum and cell body sides. How FAZ components are carried into place to form this complex is poorly understood. Here, we show that the trypanosome-specific kinesin KIN-E is required for building the FAZ in bloodstream-form parasites. KIN-E is localized along the flagellum with a concentration at its distal tip. Depletion of KIN-E by RNAi rapidly inhibits flagellum attachment and leads to cell death. A detailed analysis reveals that KIN-E depletion phenotypes include failure in cytokinesis completion, kinetoplast DNA missegregation, and transport vesicle accumulation. Together with previously published results in procyclic form parasites, these data suggest KIN-E plays a critical role in FAZ assembly in *T. brucei*.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

- The flagellum of *Trypanosoma brucei* is anchored to the cell body *via* a multiprotein structure termed the flagellum attachment zone (FAZ). How FAZ components are carried into place is poorly understood.
- The trypanosome-specific kinesin KIN-E is required for FAZ assembly, flagellar attachment, and cell viability in bloodstream-form parasites.
- The essential function of KIN-E in bloodstream form *T. brucei* raises the possibility that KIN-E-targeting drugs could be developed to treat human and animal African trypanosomiasis.

This article was published online ahead of print in MBoC in Press (http://www .molbiolcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1091/mbc.E23-01-0022) on August 2, 2023.

[†]Present address: Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, 4123 Alschwil, Switzerland; University of Basel, 4001 Basel, Switzerland.

Author contributions: A.A., R.L.D., and M.D.W. designed the research; A.A. and R.L.D. performed research; A.A. analyzed data and wrote the original draft; A.A., R.L.D., and M.D.W. reviewed and edited the manuscript; R.L.D. and M.D.W. acquired funding.

*Address correspondence to: Matthew D. Welch (welch@berkeley.edu).

Abbreviations used: BB, basal body; BSF, bloodstream form; FAZ, flagellum attachment zone; FP, flagellar pocket; FPC, flagellar pocket collar; GST, glutathione S-transferase; IFT, intraflagellar transport; kDNA, kinetoplast DNA; MtQ, microtubule quartet; PCF, procyclic trypomastigote or procyclic form; PFR, paraflagellar rod; TAC, tripartite attachment complex; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; VSG, variable surface glycoprotein

© 2023 Albisetti et al. This article is distributed by The American Society for Cell Biology under license from the author(s). It is available to the public under an Attribution 4.0 International Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

"ASCB®," "The American Society for Cell Biology®," and "Molecular Biology of the Cell®" are registered trademarks of The American Society for Cell Biology.

INTRODUCTION

Trypanosoma brucei ssp. are unicellular flagellated parasites endemic to Sub-Saharan Africa that cause human African trypanosomiasis (also known as sleeping sickness; Franco *et al.*, 2020) and *nagana* disease in cattle (Giordani *et al.*, 2016). These parasites are transmitted by the bite of infected tsetse flies, proliferate extracellularly in human blood, and eventually reach the central nervous system (Brun *et al.*, 2010). *T. brucei* escapes the host adaptive immune system by rapidly replacing its entire surface coat of variable surface glycoprotein (VSG) and by removing bound antibodies (Overath *et al.*, 1997; Engstler *et al.*, 2004, 2007).

To adapt to the different environments encountered during its complex life cycle, *T. brucei* undergoes major cytoskeletal rearrangements as it transitions from procyclic trypomastigote (procyclic form or PCF) in the tsetse fly gut, to epimastigote in the salivary glands, and back to the bloodstream trypomastigote (bloodstream

Monitoring Editor Xueliang Zhu Chinese Academy of Sciences

Received: Jan 25, 2023 Revised: Jul 18, 2023

Accepted: Jul 26, 2023

form or BSF) within the bloodstream of the mammalian host (Matthews, 2005). The parasite relies primarily on its microtubule cytoskeleton, which is composed of sub-pellicular microtubules, the microtubule quartet (MtQ), and the flagellar axoneme consisting of nine microtubule doublets surrounding a central pair of microtubules. In particular, the flagellum functions in movement, coordination of cytokinesis, and as a sensory organelle (Langousis and Hill, 2014). The T. brucei axoneme, together with the associated paraflagellar rod (PFR; Vaughan, 2010), are contained within the flagellar membrane. The flagellum originates inside the cell body from the basal body (BB), which physically connects it to the mitochondrial DNA (kinetoplast DNA or kDNA) via the tripartite attachment complex (TAC; Robinson and Gull, 1991; Ogbadoyi et al., 2003). The flagellum then exits the cell from a membrane invagination called flagellar pocket (FP), which is depleted of sub-pellicular microtubules, enabling endocytosis and exocytosis take place (Allen et al., 2003; Field and Carrington, 2009). At the flagellum exit point, a cytoskeletal structure called the flagellar pocket collar (FPC) tightens the FP around the flagellar membrane (Bonhivers et al., 2008; Perdomo et al., 2016). During the cell division cycle, a new flagellum is assembled from the BB and, as it grows, its distal tip is tethered to the old flagellum. In PCF cells, the tethering structure is called the flagellar connector (Moreira-Leite et al., 2001; Briggs et al., 2004; Davidge et al., 2006), whereas in BSF cells it is called the flagellar groove (Hughes et al., 2013; Smithson et al., 2022). Cytokinesis proceeds by dividing cells between the new and old flagella, and thus each daughter cells inherits one flagellum.

Unlike for many ciliated cells, the flagella of T. brucei connect to the cell body along much of its length via the flagellum attachment zone (FAZ), a multiprotein complex of microtubules, filaments, and other proteins that spans and anchors the flagellar and cell body membranes (Gull, 1999). Several FAZ components play essential roles in regulating cell length, organelle positioning, and cell division (Zhou et al., 2014; Sunter and Gull, 2016). On the cell body side, the FAZ includes the FAZ filament (Zhou et al., 2015) and the MtQ. Transmembrane proteins including FLA1 and FLA1BP connect the cell body and flagellar membranes (Nozaki et al., 1996; Sun et al., 2013). On the flagellar side, FAZ connectors such as FLAM3 link the axoneme to the adhesion region (Ralston et al., 2009). Because of the functions of the flagellum and FAZ, downregulating components can have dramatic effects on cell physiology. For example, in PCF cells, downregulation of FLAM3 drastically reduces FAZ length and leads to a transition into the epimastigote form (Rotureau et al., 2014; Sunter et al., 2015). In BSF, FLAM3 is localized in flagella with a concentration at the distal tip of the new flagellum in the vicinity of the flagellar groove (Smithson et al., 2022), and its depletion leads to flagellar detachment and a severe cytokinesis defect, highlighting differences in functions depending on the life cycle stage (Sunter et al., 2015).

In all eukaryotes, the assembly of the flagellar axoneme and other functions of the microtubule cytoskeleton require the activity of kinesin motor proteins, which transport cargoes such as protein complexes, organelles, and chromosomes along microtubules, and regulate microtubule dynamics (Hirokawa and Noda, 2008). The genome of *T. brucei* encodes ~ 50 kinesin-like proteins, many of which are divergent at the level of primary amino acid sequence (Berriman et al., 2005). Some of these kinesins are classified in families that are unique to kinetoplastids, and some so-called orphan-kinesins cannot be classified within any known kinesin subfamily from other species (Wickstead and Gull, 2006; Wickstead et al., 2010). The orphan and kinetoplastid-specific kinesin KIN-E (Tb927.5.2410; Aslett et al., 2010) was shown in PCF parasites to play an essential role in main-

taining trypomastigote morphology and targeting the FAZ component FLAM3 to the flagellum for FAZ assembly (An and Li, 2018). Although the importance of stage-specific studies of flagellar protein function has recently been emphasized (Bachmaier *et al.*, 2022), the role of KIN-E in the medically relevant BSF cells has remained undetermined.

In this work, we characterize the localization and function of KIN-E in BSF parasites. We show an essential role for KIN-E in cell survival and FAZ assembly. We also describe morphological phenotypes induced by KIN-E depletion, including impaired cytokinesis and kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) segregation. Moreover, we find KIN-E is important for FLAM3 localization, suggesting FLAM3 is a cargo of KIN-E in BSF parasites.

RESULTS

KIN-E localizes along the flagellum with a concentration at the flagellar tip

To examine the function of KIN-E in BSF parasites, we first sought to localize the KIN-E protein. We raised a polyclonal antibody in rabbits that recognizes the C-terminus of the protein (aa 1105–1339; Supplemental Figure S1A). By Western blotting, the antibody detected the recombinant protein expressed in bacteria (Supplemental Figure S1, B–D; tagged with glutathione-S-transferase [GST]) and recognized a single band of ~ 150 kDa in *T. brucei* cell extract, corresponding to the annotated molecular mass of 149,644 Da (Supplemental Figure S1E).

By immunofluorescence microscopy in BSF cells, the anti-KIN-E antibody stained along the old and new flagella with a signal enrichment at the tip of the new flagellum (Figure 1). Occasional signal enrichment could also be seen on the tip of the old flagellum, and nonspecific cytoplasmic staining was also sometimes observed. KIN-E signal was seen at the distal tips of both short (Figure 1B) and long (Figure 1C) new flagella, suggesting it selectively tracks the growing flagellum tip in the flagellar groove (Hughes *et al.*, 2013; Smithson *et al.*, 2022).

To gain more detailed insight into KIN-E localization at the proximal end of the flagellum and along its length, we compared its distribution with that of known flagellar markers. In cells stained for KIN-E and a marker of the PFR (L8C4; Kohl et al., 1999), KIN-E extended more towards the proximal end of the flagellum (nearer to the BB) than the PFR (Figure 1A). On the other hand, in cells stained for KIN-E and the axonemal marker mAb25 (Dacheux et al., 2012), the mAb25 signal extended more towards the proximal end of the flagellum than the KIN-E signal (Figure 1B). We also stained for KIN-E and a marker of the cell-body side of the FAZ (L3B2; Kohl et al., 1999), and found that KIN-E and the FAZ followed parallel paths, but did not overlap (Figure 1D), indicating KIN-E is found on the flagellum side of the FAZ. Together, these results indicate that, in addition to the flagellar tip localization, KIN-E staining initiates at the proximal end of the flagella distal to the start of the axoneme but proximal to the start of the PFR, likely corresponding to a region near the FPC.

KIN-E is essential for bloodstream-form T. brucei survival

To study the function of KIN-E in BSF parasites, we generated a stable and tetracycline-inducible RNAi cell line (KIN-E^{RNAi}). We monitored cell growth of the parental cell line (90-13), and of uninduced and induced KIN-E^{RNAi} cells. Upon KIN-E RNAi induction, we observed growth arrest starting at 24-h postinduction (hpi), followed by cell death (as measured by a decrease in cell number) within 72 hpi (Figure 2A). The efficiency of KIN-E knockdown was confirmed by Western blotting. At 24 hpi, KIN-E expression dropped



FIGURE 1: KIN-E localizes along the flagellum with a concentration at the flagellar tip. (A–D) *T. brucei* BSF 90-13 cells visualized by differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy, or immunofluorescence microscopy using anti-KIN-E (green) and also stained for DNA (blue, DAPI) and the following markers (red): (A) L8C4, a PFR marker; (B–C) mAb25, an axonemal marker; and (D) L3B2, a FAZ marker. White dashed boxes correspond to insets on right that show magnified views of: (A and B) the proximal end of the flagellum, with (A) # indicating a comparison of the proximal end of the KIN-E signal with the L8C4; and (B) > indicating a comparison of the proximal end of the KIN-E staining at the new flagellum tip (*) compared with mAb25; (D) Brightness enhanced image of KIN-E on a new flagellum adjacent to the FAZ signal. KIN-E staining can also be seen at the distal flagellar tip in (A) and on the distal tip of very short (B) or already longer new flagella (C and D). (k) indicates the kDNA. Scale bars 5 μ m (left) and 1.5 μ m (right).

below 20% of that in uninduced cells, and at 48 hpi KIN-E was not detected (Figure 2B). KIN-E signal was also monitored by immunofluorescence microscopy at 16 hpi (Figure 2Ci) and 24 hpi (Figure 2Cii) in cells counterstained for the flagellar axoneme (mAb25). KIN-E signal was diminished along the flagellum after 16 and 24 h (although some residual signal remained at flagellar tip; compare with Figure 1). These data indicate that KIN-E expression is efficiently downregulated by RNAi, resulting in premature cell death. Thus, KIN-E is essential for BSF parasite survival.

KIN-E is required for the attachment of the newly synthetized flagellum, but not for flagellum biogenesis or beating

In observing the morphology of KIN-ERNAi cells during the first 24 hpi, we noted that many had partially detached new flagella, although cells otherwise looked relatively normal (Figures 2C and 3A). We quantified the percentage of cells with a detached flagellum and found that it increased from ~20% at 16 hpi to ~50% at 24 hpi (Figure 3A). We hypothesized that failure in flagellum attachment was caused by improper FAZ assembly. To assess FAZ integrity, we stained KIN-E^{RNAi} cells for a FAZ marker (L3B2) at 16 hpi and 24 hpi. We observed that FAZ staining was interrupted at the location where the new flagellum detached from the cell body, although the FAZ staining associated with the old flagellum was unaffected (Figure 3, Bi and Bii). This suggests that new flagella become detached, whereas old flagella remain attached. Detached new flagella and attached old flagella were also visible by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) at 16 hpi (Figure 3C). These findings suggest that KIN-E plays a role in FAZ assembly, and that downregulating KIN-E function causes defects in this process that result in detachment of the new flagellum.

We also investigated whether motility of the detached new flagellum was affected by KIN-E depletion. We recorded movies of 90-13 parental cells as well as KIN-E^{RNAi} cells with detached flagella at 24 hpi (Figure 3D; Supplemental Videos S1–S4). We observed active beating of detached as well as attached flagella (Supplemental Video S4 features an unusual abnormal dividing cell with two attached and two detached beating flagella). Altogether, this suggests that KIN-E is essential for flagellar attachment, but not for flagellar biogenesis or beating.

KIN-E depletion disrupts cell division. Previous studies reported that defects in FAZ assembly often lead to secondary defects in cytokinesis (Robinson et al., 1995; Kohl et al., 2003). To determine the effect of KIN-E depletion on cell cycle progression and cytokinesis in the BSF, we performed a comprehensive phenotypic analysis, focusing on quantifying nuclear DNA (N) and kinetoplast DNA (kDNA or K) content (Figure 4A). We divided the cell population in three categories: cells with wild-type DNA content (1K1N, 2K1N, and 2K2N) and attached flagella; cells with wild-type DNA content and detached flagella; and cells with abnormal DNA content, with or without detached flagella. The latter category included: multinucleated cells, indicating failed cytokinesis (>2K2N; Figure 4, A and Bi); cells with one kDNA but two nuclei, indicating failed kDNA replication/division (1K2N; Figure 4Bii); and other phenotypes (1K0N, 0K1N, and indeterminate DNA content [?K?N]). As expected, almost all 90-13 parental cells had wild-type DNA content and attached flagella (Figure 4A). In contrast, KIN-E^{RNAi} cells at 16 hpi and 24 hpi showed an increasing percentage of cells with abnormal DNA content, including 20% multinucleated cells at 24 hpi (Figure 4A). Multinucleated cells were also observed by TEM (Figure 4C). Thus, KIN-E depletion leads to



FIGURE 2: KIN-E is essential for bloodstream-form *T. brucei* survival. (A) Growth curve of the parental cell line (90-13) compared with uninduced (-) and induced (+) KIN-E^{RNAi} cells. Error bars show SE, n = 3 independent experiments. (B) KIN-E protein expression during RNAi induction analyzed by Western blotting with anti-KIN-E antibody and anti- β -tubulin antibody as a loading control. Equal cell numbers (5 × 10⁶ cells) were loaded per lane. (C) KIN-E^{RNAi} cells visualized by DIC or immunofluorescence microscopy at (i) 16 hpi or (ii) 24 hpi using anti-KIN-E (green) and mAb25 (red) as an axonemal marker. Residual new flagellar tip signal in (ii) is marked with an asterisk (*). Scale bars 5 µm (left) and 1.25 µm (right).

defects in cytokinesis, likely as a consequence of disturbed FAZ assembly.

KIN-E depletion impacts kDNA segregation. As mentioned above, we observed that 1K2N cells represented up to 7% of KIN-E^{RNAi} cells at 16 and 24 hpi (Figures 4A and 5Ai). We sought to further test whether these were defective in kDNA duplication or kDNA segregation. Because the kDNA is physically attached to the flagellum (Robinson and Gull, 1991), we first imaged flagella (by staining the axoneme with mAb25) and FPC structures (by staining for BILBO1; Esson *et al.*, 2012) in 1K2N cells (Figure 5Aii). We found that each kDNA was attached to two separated FPCs and two flagella, suggesting that the kDNA had duplicated but not segregated.

To further test for kDNA duplication/segregation, we separately measured the area of each single DAPI-stained kDNA in parental 90-13 and induced KIN-E^{RNAi} 2K2N cells, as well as each kDNA in induced KIN-E^{RNAi} 1K2N cells. The mean area of kDNA in KIN-E^{RNAi} 1K2N cells was twice as large as each single kDNA in 2K2N cells (Figure 5B). Additionally, the area of kDNA in 1K2N KIN-E^{RNAi} was comparable to the dividing bilobed-kDNA (Gluenz et al., 2011) in the parental cell line (Figure 5B). We also measured kDNA signal intensities and calculated the intensity:area ratio. On average, the kDNAs in 1K2N KIN-E^{RNAi} and dividing bilobedkDNA parental cells were both twice as intense and twice as large as the single kDNAs in 2K2N cells, resulting in a comparable intensity:area ratio (Figure 5C). Finally, by TEM we observed in seven of 72 sections that the kDNA was in an atypical configuration, appearing as two compacted or one elongated disk, or as a disorganized structure (Figure 5D). From these data, we conclude

KIN-E depletion does not impact mitochondrial DNA duplication but does impact mitochondrial DNA segregation.

KIN-E is important for vesicular trafficking near the FP. In the vicinity of the FP in TEM sections, we also observed an increased number of vesicles in the KIN-E^{RNAi}-induced cells compared with parental cells (Figure 6A). At 16 hpi, KIN-E^{RNAi} cells had an average of 6 \pm 0.4 vesicles, and at 24 hpi, KIN-E^{RNAi} cells had an average of 7 ± 0.5 vesicles, whereas parental 90-13 cells had 3 ± 0.5 vesicles per FP (Figure 6B). The diameter of vesicles was indistinguishable in parental versus induced KIN-E^{RNAi} cells, and averaged 115 \pm 2 nm (Figure 6, C and D). In BSF T. brucei, endocytosis occurs at the FP via large clathrin-coated vesicles (135 nm in diameter) containing VSG (Grünfelder et al., 2003; Engstler et al., 2005). We observed clathrincoated vesicles in the process of being internalized (Figure 6C). The shape and size of the observed vesicles in the parental cell line, uninduced KIN-E^{RNAi} cells, and induced KIN-E^{RNAi} population were similar to endocytic vesicles after shedding their clathrin coat. The luminal side of the vesicles showed an electron-dense material of the same thickness as the coat on the cell surface and flagellar membrane (Figure 6C), suggesting this material is VSG. For these reasons, we speculate that these vesicles near the FP are endocytic vesicles.

KIN-E is required for FLAM3 localization to the new flagellar tip. Our observation that KIN-E depletion disrupts FAZ formation suggests that it functions to transport cargoes that are known components of the FAZ (LaCount *et al.*, 2002; Kohl *et al.*, 2003; Vaughan *et al.*, 2008; Rotureau *et al.*, 2014; Sunter *et al.*, 2015; Moreira *et al.*, 2017; An *et al.*, 2020). One potential KIN-E cargo is FLAM3, which



FIGURE 3: KIN-E is required for the attachment of the newly synthetized flagellum. (A) Graph of the percentage of KIN-E^{RNAi}-uninduced or -induced cells with detached flagella or other abnormal phenotypes at 16 and 24 hpi compared with parental cell line 90-13 >200 cells per condition, n = 3 independent experiments. (B) KIN-E^{RNAi} cells visualized by DIC or immunofluorescence microscopy at (i) 16 hpi or (ii) 24 hpi, using anti-KIN-E (green) and L3B2 (red) as a FAZ marker. Residual KIN-E signal is visible at the point of detachment of a new flagellum (#) and at the tip of old flagellum (*). (C) TEM image of KIN-E^{RNAi} cells at 16 hpi. Arrowheads (<) indicate the detached new flagellum. The attached old flagellum on the same cell is marked with an asterisk (*). Upper left scale bar 1 μ m; lower left scale bar 0.2 μ m. (D) Video frames of KIN-E^{RNAi} cells at 24 hpi, acquired every 20 ms. Flagellar wave in a detached flagellum is indicated with an arrowhead. Scale bar 10 μ m. Also see Supplemental Video S3.

was previously identified as a FAZ component that is located on the flagellar side, accumulates at the new flagellar tip, and depending on the cell cycle stage, shows weak or no localization to the old flagellar tip (Rotureau et al., 2014; Sunter et al., 2015; Smithson et al., 2022). Therefore, we tested whether KIN-E is important for FLAM3 localization in BSF cells. We endogenously tagged FLAM3 at its Cterminus with a 10x myc tag (FLAM3_{mvc}) within the KIN-E^{RNAi} cell line background. The growth of uninduced cells was unaffected by the expression of FLAM3_{myc} (Figure 7A). We localized FLAM3_{myc} within the old and the new flagellum by immunofluorescence microscopy and observed signal enrichment at the new flagellar tip (Figure 7B), but no signal at the old flagellar tip (Figure 7B). We next tested whether KIN-E depletion had an effect on FLAM3 localization by examining the distribution of FLAM3 in induced KIN-E^{RNAi} cells at 24 and 48 hpi (Figure 7B). As described above for KIN-E^{RNAi} cells (Figure 2A), induced KIN-E^{RNAi} FLAM3_{mvc} cells died within 72 hpi and showed detached new flagella. FLAM3 was at the tip of new

flagella at 0 hpi. However, at 24 and 48 hpi, FLAM3 was confined to the proximal end of new flagella (Figure 7B), between the kDNA and the origin of the PFR. The old flagella still showed weak FLAM3 staining (labeled with mAb25) at 24 hpi, whereas flagellar FLAM3 signal was nearly absent at 48 hpi. As control, we used KIN-E antibody to confirm that KIN-E was properly depleted at 48 hpi (Figure 7B), while FLAM3 was present at the proximal end of the flagellum and diffuse within the cytosol (Figure 7B). The altered FLAM3 localization suggests that in BSF parasites, KIN-E is required for FLAM3 transport into the flagellum.

DISCUSSION

We investigated the localization and function of the kinetoplastid-specific kinesin KIN-E in BSF *T. brucei.* We found that KIN-E is localized within the flagellum, with an enrichment at the distal tip of growing new flagella in the vicinity of the flagellar groove. We further observed that KIN-E is essential for cell survival of *T. brucei* BSF parasites. KIN-E is necessary for attachment of the newly synthesized flagellum and biogenesis of the FAZ. In the absence of KIN-E expression, cytokinesis fails, as does kDNA segregation in a subset of cells. Our work establishes an essential role for KIN-E in FAZ assembly and cell viability in BSF trypanosomes.

Our phenotypic analysis in BSF confirms and extends the characterization of KIN-E function in PCF trypanosomes (An and Li, 2018). In PCF cells, KIN-E is also localized to the flagellum and enriched at the flagellar tip (Dean *et al.*, 2017; An and Li, 2018). However, in BSF cells, we observe significant KIN-E signal enhancement at the tips of new flagella, whereas in PCF cells it is seen at the tips of both old and new flagella (Dean *et al.*, 2017; An and Li, 2018). Furthermore, in PCF cells, KIN-E is important for normal growth rate (An and Li, 2018), al-

though we find it is essential for viability in BSF cells. KIN-E depletion in PCF cells also induces the repositioning of the kDNA and the production of epimastigote-like cells (An and Li, 2018), outcomes we do not observe in BSF cells.

A key function of KIN-E in both PCF and BSF cells is in flagellar attachment. KIN-E depletion in PCF cells causes a failure in attachment of newly synthetized flagella (An and Li, 2018), similar to what we observe in BSF cells. In both PCF and BSF parasites, cells with detached new flagella contain a full-length old FAZ filament but a short new FAZ filament, suggesting premature termination of FAZ synthesis. The old FAZ filament is not affected by KIN-E depletion, suggesting that once this component of the FAZ on the cell body side is synthesized, KIN-E is no longer required for its maintenance. However, on the flagellar side, FAZ maintenance could be affected by KIN-E depletion. Furthermore, KIN-E is not required for flagellar length, which *in T. brucei* is controlled by the intraflagellar transport (IFT) machinery (Kohl *et al.*, 2003; Absalon *et al.*, 2008), as it is in



FIGURE 4: KIN-E depletion causes a failure in cytokinesis. (A) Graphical and tabular representations of phenotypic counts with a focus on DNA content in KIN- E^{RNAi} cells at 16 and 24 hpi compared with the parental cell line 90-13. The categories were defined as follows: normal kinetoplast (K) and nucleus (N) phenotypes (1K1N, 2K1N, and 2K2N); normal K/N phenotypes with detached flagella (+df); abnormal K/N phenotypes with or without detached flagella (+/-df), including cells with a single kDNA but two nuclei (1K2N), multinucleated cells (>2K2N), and other phenotypes (anucleated cells (1K0N, zoids); cells without a kinetoplast (0K1N); cells with indeterminate DNA content (?K?N); and cells without detectable DNA (no DNA)). *n* > 200 cells per cell line. (B) Examples of (i) a multinucleated cell (>2K2N) and (ii) a 1K2N cell. Nuclei and kinetoplasts were stained with DAPI (blue); cell bodies and flagella were visualized with DIC. Scale bars 5 µm. (C) Thin section TEM micrograph of KIN-E^{RNAi} population induced for 24 hpi, showing a cell with multiple nuclei (N). Scale bar 1 µm.

other organisms (Taschner and Lorentzen, 2016). In further support of the notion that KIN-E is not required for flagellar function, we found that detached flagella continue to beat in KIN-E-depleted BSF cells.

One cargo of KIN-E in PCF cells is FLAM3 (An and Li, 2018), a component of the FAZ on the flagellar side (Rotureau *et al.*, 2014). Upon KIN-E depletion in PCF cells, FLAM3 becomes diffusely localized within the cytosol (An and Li, 2018). Our work suggests that FLAM3 is also a cargo of KIN-E in BSF cells. Interestingly, upon KIN-E depletion in BSF, we find that FLAM3 accumulates at the proximal end of the flagellum in an area that may overlap with the transition zone, which has been described as the "gate" that controls transport of components into the flagellum (Reiter *et al.*, 2012; Dean *et al.*, 2016). There may also be other KIN-E cargoes, for example, the proteins ClpGM6 and FAZ27, which colocalize and interact with FLAM3 on the flagellar side of the FAZ (Sunter *et al.*, 2015; An *et al.*,

2020). Future research will establish their interactions and the mechanism of FAZ assembly.

We observed a second major phenotype upon KIN-E depletion in BSF cells, which is the accumulation of multinucleated and multiflagellated cells, as well as a small population of zoids, indicative of failure in cell division or aberrant cell division. Normal flagellum structure and function is important for cell division in *T. brucei*, and in BSF *T. brucei*, flagellar defects cause a failure in cell division and cell inviability (Broadhead *et al.*, 2006; Ralston *et al.*, 2006; Hammarton *et al.*, 2007; Li and Wang, 2008; Ikeda and de Graffenried, 2012). RNAi knockdown of IFT proteins also produces defects in flagellum construction and causes impaired cytokinesis (Kohl *et al.*, 2003; Bertiaux *et al.*, 2018; Douglas *et al.*, 2020). *T. brucei* cytokinesis also requires flagellar attachment, and loss of FAZ proteins like FAZ1 (Vaughan *et al.*, 2008), FLA1 (LaCount *et al.*, 2002), FAZ10 (Moreira *et al.*, 2017), or FLAM3 (Rotureau *et al.*, 2014;



FIGURE 5: KIN-E influences kDNA segregation. (A) KIN-E^{RNAi} cells at 24 hpi, showing (i) a 1K2N cell with a single enlarged kDNA and two nuclei, and (ii) a 2K2N cell with four flagella stained with mAb25 antibody (red), and four FPCs stained with anti-BILBO1 antibody (green). Scale bars 5 µm. (B) kDNA area and (C) ratio of kDNA signal intensity/area comparing single kDNAs in KIN-E^{RNAi} induced 2K2N cells versus parental 90-13 2K2N cells, as well as the single kDNA (1K2N) in KIN-E^{RNAi}-induced cells versus the bilobed-shaped kDNA in parental 90-13 cells. Quantification of 100 cells per condition, n = 3 independent experiments. Statistical comparisons between strains were performed using a *t* test, ns = nonsignificant. (D) Thin section TEM micrographs of parental cells and KIN-E^{RNAi} cells at 16, 16, and 24 hpi, showing different abnormal kDNA (k) configurations. Scale bars 0.5 µm for the parental cell line, and 0.2 µm for KIN-E^{RNAi}.

Sunter *et al.*, 2015) leads to defects in cytokinesis. Thus, cytokinesis failure and cell inviability in KIN-E-depleted BSF cells is likely a secondary consequence of defects in FAZ synthesis and flagellar attachment.

We found that depletion of KIN-E also causes other phenotypes. For example, a subset of KIN-E-depleted cells (lt 10%) fail in segregating their kDNA. In T. brucei, kDNA is physically linked to the flagellar BBs via the TAC complex (Robinson and Gull, 1991; Ogbadoyi et al., 2003), and its segregation is orchestrated by the movements of the BBs (Lacomble et al., 2010). However, the forces involved in this process remain mostly unknown. It has been suggested that the MtQ could drive BB movement and consequently kDNA segregation (Lacomble et al., 2010). Moreover, a properly formed FAZ is indispensable for BB segregation and cell division (Kohl et al., 2003). Failure in kDNA segregation may therefore be a secondary consequence of impaired FAZ formation. A separate phenotype observed upon KIN-E depletion is the accumulation of vesicles around the FP that contain VSG in their lumen. Vesicle accumulation is not accompanied by an expansion of the FP, as occurs following depletion of proteins important for protein entry into or endocytosis within the FP (Allen et al., 2003; García-Salcedo et al., 2004; Morriswood and Schmidt, 2015), suggesting that there is no global inhibition of endocytosis. The accumulated vesicles may be endocytic vesicles that have shed their clathrin coat, or exocytic vesicles that contain cell-body side FAZ components that could not be assembled due to KIN-E depletion. Further investigations could elucidate how KIN-E impacts kinetoplast segregation and vesicular trafficking in the vicinity of BBs and FP.

The further study of KIN-E and its cargos could help elucidate how the FAZ is assembled and maintained in T. brucei. Moreover. KIN-E is a kinetoplastid-specific kinesin with orthologs in the related organisms Trypanosoma cruzi and Leishmania spp. (Aslett et al., 2010). The fact that KIN-E is essential for parasite survival makes it a potential drug target. Kinesin inhibitors have been identified with promising drug-like properties and have been tested as anti-cancer drugs (Mayer et al., 1999; Khathi et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2018). This raises the possibility that KIN-E-targeting drugs could be developed to treat human and animal African trypanosomiasis, as well as Chagas disease and Leishmaniasis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Request a protocol through Bio-protocol.

Cell lines, growth conditions, and transfections

T. brucei strain 427 90-13 BSF cells (Wirtz *et al.*, 1999) were cultured at 37°C in HMI-9 medium (Hirumi and Hirumi, 1989) supplemented with 10% FBS (Atlanta Biologicals), 2.5-µg/ml G418 (InvivoGen), and 5-µg/ml hygromycin (InvivoGen).

Plasmid transfections into 90-13 cells were performed using the Amaxa Nucleo-

fector system (Lonza) with program X-001 as described previously (Burkard *et al.*, 2007), and with Tb-BSF buffer (90-mM Na₂HPO₄, 5-mM KCl, 0.15-mM CaCl₂, 50-mM HEPES, pH 7.3; Schumann Burkard *et al.*, 2011). Stable cell lines were selected by culturing cells in medium containing 2.5-µg/ml phleomycin (InvivoGen) and/ or 10-µg/ml blasticidin (InvivoGen). Expression of double-stranded RNA was induced by adding 1-µg/ml tetracycline (Sigma-Aldrich) to the culture medium.

Plasmid construction

For RNAi silencing of KIN-E expression in *T. brucei*, we PCR-amplified a DNA segment (bp 1798–2333) of the KIN-E gene (Tb927.5.2410) from genomic DNA isolated from *T. brucei* 90-13 cells using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit. Suitability of this segment for RNAi was confirmed using the online tool RNAit (Redmond *et al.*, 2003). The purified PCR product was inserted by standard ligation into Xhol and HindIII sites within the pZJM vector (Wang *et al.*, 2000) containing the phleomycin resistance (*ble*) gene, between two opposing T7 promoters. A total of 10 µg of the plasmid was linearized with NotI for transfection into bloodstream form *T. brucei* 90-13 cells (carried out as described above).



FIGURE 6: KIN-E downregulation leads to vesicle accumulation near the FP. (A) Thin section TEM micrographs comparing the FP region of the parental cell line 90-13 with that of a KIN-E^{RNAi} at 24 hpi. Scale bar 0.2 µm. (B) Number of vesicles per FP for the parental cell line 90-13 and KIN-E^{RNAi} cells at 16 and 24 hpi. n > 200 vesicles per condition. Bars show group mean as well as top and bottom quartiles. Pairwise statistical comparisons were performed using a t test, **** p < 0.0001. (C) Thin section TEM micrographs show vesicles near the FP in KIN-E^{RNAi} cells. White dashed boxes show magnified views of individual vesicles. The upper panel shows a longitudinal cross section of the flagellum showing the basal plate (*). The lower panel shows a transverse cut around the basal plate of the flagellum. Arrowheads mark a clathrin-coated vesicle budding from the FP. Scale bar 0.1 µm. (D) Vesicle diameter was measured from TEM micrographs using ImageJ. n = 165 total vesicles measured. Pairwise statistical comparisons were performed using a t test, ns = nonsignificant.

To generate a *T. brucei* strain expressing endogenous C-terminally myc-tagged FLAM3 (FLAM3_{myc}), we used the long primer PCR transfection method described previously (Dean *et al.*, 2015). We used the pPOTv7 plasmid DNA as template for PCR amplification, which contained coding sequences for the 10× myc tag and blasticidin resistance cassette. Transfection was carried out as described above.

For protein expression and purification of GST fused to the Cterminal domain of KIN-E in *E. coli* (amino acids 1105–1339; GST-KIN-E^{C-ter}), bp 3313–4017 of the corresponding gene were amplified by PCR from genomic DNA and cloned into BamHI and NotI restriction sites within the pGEX-4T-1 plasmid (GE Healthcare) such that the gene was in frame with an N-terminal GST tag (pGEX-4T-1-GST-KIN-E^{C-ter}). All plasmid sequences were confirmed by DNA sequencing at the UC Berkeley DNA Sequencing Facility.

Protein expression and purification for antibody production

The plasmid pGEX-4T-1-GST-KIN-E^{C-ter} was transformed into *E. coli* strain BL21. Bacteria grown in 1-I lysogeny broth (LB) with 100-µg/ml ampicillin were induced with 1-mM isopropyl- β -D-thio-galactoside (IPTG) for 2.5–4 h at 37°C. Bacteria were centrifuged (4000 × g for 20 min at 4°C) and resuspended in cold lysis buffer (50-mM Tris, pH 8, 50-mM NaCl, 5-mM EDTA, 0.2% Triton-X 100, 1-mM β -mercaptoethanol, 150-µM PMSF, and 1-µg/ml final volume each of leupeptin, pepstatin, and chymostatin (LPC) protease inhibitor mix). Cells were lysed on ice using a Branson Digital Sonifier 450 (at level

6 for 1 min [10-s on, 10-s off, \times 3] + 30 min rest, \times 3–4 cycles). Cell lysate supernatant was loaded on a Glutathione Sepharose 4B column (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with TBS (20-mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150-mM NaCl) with 5-mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton-X 100, 1-mM β-mercaptoethanol, 150-µM PMSF, and LPC. Washed bound protein was then eluted with elution buffer, 50-mM Tris pH 8.0, plus 10-mM reduced glutathione, into 10 fractions. The column fraction with the greatest protein concentration were then subjected to gel-filtration chromatography on a Superdex 75 column equilibrated with 50-mM Tris, pH 8.0, plus 150-mM NaCl. Fractions containing GST-KIN-E were collected and stored at -80°C.

Antibody production and purification

Two rabbits were immunized (Covance) with 1.5-2 mg of purified GST-KIN-E^{C-ter} protein, according to Covance's 118 d protocol. KIN- E^{C-ter}-specific antibodies were affinity purified as follows. Purified GST-KIN-E^{C-ter} fusion protein from pooled gel-filtration fractions was cross-linked to Affi-gel 15 beads (BioRad) in MOPS, pH 7.0, with 1-M KCl, and the beads were guenched with ethanolamine HCl, pH 8.0, at 4°C. Serum from immunized rabbits was loaded onto the column, and the column was then washed with 20-mM Tris, pH 7.6, 0.5-M NaCl and 0.2% Triton X-100. Purified bound antibody was eluted with 200-mM glycine pH 2.5, 150-mM NaCl, and 10 × 300-µl fractions were collected into fraction tubes con-

taining 50-µl 1-M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. To test for antibody specificity by western blotting, bacteria were cultivated at 37°C, induced for 2 h 30 min with 1-mM IPTG, harvested, boiled in sample buffer, and subjected to SDS-PAGE.

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed using standard methods as described previously (Albisetti *et al.*, 2017), with the exception that proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Genesee Scientific, Prometheus #84-875). Primary antibodies were used at the following dilutions: rabbit anti-KIN-E 1:2000; rabbit anti-GST 1:1000 (Welch lab); mouse anti- β -tubulin E7 1:10,000 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa). Secondary antibodies used were goat anti-rabbit AF790 (ThermoFisher Scientific A11367) and goat anti-mouse AF680 (ThermoFisher Scientific A21058), both diluted at 1:10,000. Images were taken using the Odyssey imaging system (Li-Cor Biosciences).

Fluorescence microscopy

For immunofluorescence microscopy, parental and TbKIN- E^{RNAi} uninduced and -induced cells in exponential growth phase were harvested for 5 min at 1000 × g at room temperature and washed once in Voorheis' modified PBS (vPBS; 8-mg/ml NaCl, 0.22-mg/ml KCl, 2.27-mg/ml Na₂HPO₄, 0.41-mg/ml KH₂PO₄, 15.7-mg/ml sucrose, 1.8-mg/ml glucose). The cells were resuspended in 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in vPBS and incubated for 2 min on ice.



FIGURE 7: FLAM3 is a KIN-E cargo. (A) Growth curve of the parental cell line 90-13 compared with FLAM3_{myc} in KIN-E^{RNAi} uninduced (-) and -induced (+) cells. (B) FLAM3_{myc} KIN-E^{RNAi} cells visualized by DIC or immunofluorescence microscopy. Immunofluorescence analysis of endogenously expressed FLAM3-10_{myc} in KIN-E^{RNAi} uninduced (0 hpi) and induced cells at 24 and 48 hpi using anti-myc to detect FLAM3 (green), and mAb25 or PFR (red) as a flagellar marker. Asterisk (*) marks the bright FLAM3 signal at the new flagellar tip, arrowheads (<) show the point where FLAM3 signal stops near the distal end of the flagellum, hash tag (#) indicates FLAM3 signal accumulation at the proximal end of the flagellum. The two bright dots near the top of the bottom row 48 hpi image are likely flagellar debris from dead cells. Scale bar 5 μ m.

Cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 2000 × g, resuspended in vPBS, and settled on slides for 10 min. Slides were incubated for 30 min in -20° C methanol and rehydrated for 10 min in PBS (8-mg/ml NaCl, 0.2-mg/ml KCl, 1.44-mg/ml Na₂HPO₄, 0.24-mg/ml KH₂PO₄). Cells were incubated for 1 h with primary antibodies diluted in PBS (rabbit anti-KIN-E 1:1,000; mouse mAb25 1:10 (Dacheux *et al.*, 2012); mouse L8C4 (PFR) 1:10 (Kohl *et al.*, 1999); mouse L3B2 (FAZ) 1:10 (Kohl *et al.*, 1999); and rabbit anti-BILBO1 1:4,000 [Esson *et al.*, 2012]). Cells were washed three times in PBS and incubated in a dark moist chamber for 1 h with secondary antibodies, all at a 1:200 dilution in PBS (anti-rabbit AF488 [ThermoFisher Scientific A11008], anti-rabbit AF564 [ThermoFisher Scientific A11036], anti-mouse AF488 [ThermoFisher Scientific A11004], anti-rat AF488 [ThermoFisher Scientific A1104], anti-rat AF488 [ThermoFisher Scientific A11004], anti-rat AF488 [ThermoFisher Scientific A1104], anti-rat AF488 [ThermoFi

A21208]). Kinetoplasts and nuclei were stained with DAPI (10 μ g/ml) for 4 min. Slides were mounted with ProLong Gold antifade reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific P36930). Images were acquired with a Zeiss Axiolmager microscope, equipped with a Hamamatsu Orca 03 camera, using iVision software version 4.5.6r4 (BioVision Technologies), and analyzed with Fiji ImageJ version 1.51 or ImageJ2 version 1.54 (Schindelin et al., 2012).

For DAPI staining of nuclei and kinetoplasts, parental and TbKIN-E^{RNAi}-uninduced and -induced cells (at 0, 16, and 24 h after induction with 1-µg/ml tetracycline) in midlog phase were harvested and washed once in vPBS. The cells were fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde in vPBS for 2 min on ice. Cells were centrifuged 5 min at $2000 \times g$, resuspended in vPBS, and settled onto glass slides. Cells were permeabilized 30 min in -20°C methanol, rehydrated with PBS, and stained with DAPI (1 µg/ml) for 3 min. Slides were mounted with ProLong Gold antifade. Images were acquired using a Zeiss Axiolmager microscope and Hamamatsu Orca 03 camera with the same exposure time between samples, using iVision software version 4.5.6r4, and analyzed and quantified with Fiji ImageJ version 1.51 or ImageJ2 version 1.54.

Live cell imaging

90-13 cells and TbKIN-E^{RNAi} were deposited onto glass bottom dishes 24-h following induction with 1-µg/ml tetracycline. Images were acquired at 20 frames/s with an Olympus IX71 microscope, equipped with an optiMOSTM sCMOS camera, using Micro-manager software (Edelstein *et al.*, 2014) and analyzed with Fiji ImageJ version 1.51 or ImageJ2 version 1.54.

Electron microscopy

For TEM, 90-13 and TbKIN- E^{RNAi} cells induced for 16 or 24 h with 1-µg/ml tetracycline were collected in midlog phase and fixed for 30 min in HMI-9 with 2% glutaral-

dehyde at room temperature, and incubated overnight at 4°C. Cells were pelleted for 10 min at 1000 × g, resuspended in 2% very low gelling-point agarose (Sigma A5030) in water, pelleted again, and incubated for 15 min on ice. Agarose was cut into small pieces, rinsed twice in 0.1-M sodium cacodylate buffer (NaO₂As(CH₃)₂), and incubated for 1 h in 1% osmium tetroxide (OsO₄) in 0.1-M sodium cacodylate buffer (NaO₂As(CH₃)₂), and incubated for 1 h in 1% osmium tetroxide (OsO₄) in 0.1-M sodium cacodylate buffer with 1.6% potassium ferricyanide (pH 7.2). After three washes with 0.1-M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2), cells were dehydrated in successively higher concentrations of acetone (35%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 95%, 100%, and 100%) for 10-min incubations each. Cells were then incubated in acetone:resin (Eponate 12P) 2:1 for 30 min, 1:1 for 30 min and 1:2 for 1 h, followed by incubation in pure resin for 72 h. Cells were centrifuged for 10 min in a benchtop microfuge and moved into a new tube containing pure

resin with accelerant benzyl dimethylamine (BDMA) for 30 min, and then for 4 h. Resin was left to polymerize overnight at 60°C in silicon molds or flat bottom capsules. Ultrathin sections were cut with an Ultracut E microtome (Reichert Jung) to ~ 70-nm thick. Sections were loaded on formvar-coated mesh or slot grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences, G100-Cu, S2010-NOTCH) and stained with 2% uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Samples were visualized on Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope with a UltraScan®1000XP CCD Camera and with the Gatan Digital micrograph software and processed with Fiji ImageJ version 1.51 or ImageJ2 version 1.54.

Bioinformatic analysis

Distinct prediction software was used to identify domains within the KIN-E protein. The motor domain (aa 14–336) was identified using Pfam (Mistry *et al.*, 2021). The ARM domains (aa 480–653) were identified using SMART (Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool; Letunic and Bork, 2018). The CalpainIII-like domains (aa 713–997) were identified as in (An and Li, 2018) by alignment of the m-calpain domain III-like domains (mCL#1 and mCL#2) of KIN-E with the domain III of the human m-calpain protein (PBD code: 1KFU). The coiled-coil domain (aa 1171–1213) was identified using SMART.

Statistical analysis

The statistical parameters and significance are reported in the figure legends. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad PRISM v.8.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge an important contribution from Haiming Wu, Ph.D., who purified the KIN-E protein as well as anti-KIN-E antibodies. We wished to include Haiming Wu as an author, but have been unable to contact him for several years. Due to the policy that all authors must consent to submission, we regret we are unable to include him in the author list. We also thank the following individuals: Mélanie Bonhivers and Derrick Robinson for anti-BILBO1 and mAb25 antibodies; Keith Gull for L3B2 (FAZ) and L8C4 (PFR) antibodies; Samuel Dean for the pPOTv7 plasmid; Denise Schichnes and Steven Ruzin of the UC Berkeley RCNR Biological Imaging Facility for assistance with light microscopy; and Reena Zalpuri and Danielle Jorgens of the UC Berkeley Electron Microscope Lab for TEM training. Finally, we thank Neil Fischer for proofreading the manuscript. Funding was provided by R.L.D. through a family trust.

REFERENCES

- Absalon S, Blisnick T, Kohl L, Toutirais G, Doré G, Julkowska D, Tavenet A, Bastin P (2008). Intraflagellar transport and functional analysis of genes required for flagellum formation in trypanosomes. Mol Biol Cell 19, 929–944.
- Albisetti A, Florimond C, Landrein N, Vidilaseris K, Eggenspieler M, Lesigang J, Dong G, Robinson DR, Bonhivers M (2017). Interaction between the flagellar pocket collar and the hook complex via a novel microtubule-binding protein in Trypanosoma brucei. PLoS Pathog 13, e1006710.
- Allen CL, Goulding D, Field MC (2003). Clathrin-mediated endocytosis is essential in Trypanosoma brucei. EMBO J 22, 4991–5002.
- An T, Li Z (2018). An orphan kinesin controls trypanosome morphology transitions by targeting FLAM3 to the flagellum. PLoS Pathog 14, e1007101.
- An T, Zhou Q, Hu H, Cormaty H, Li Z (2020). FAZ27 cooperates with FLAM3 and ClpGM6 to maintain cell morphology in Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 133, jcs245258.
- Aslett M, Aurrecoechea C, Berriman M, Brestelli J, Brunk BP, Carrington M, Depledge DP, Fischer S, Gajria B, Gao X, et al. (2010). TriTrypDB: a functional genomic resource for the Trypanosomatidae. Nucleic Acids Res 38, D457–D462.

- Bachmaier S, Giacomelli G, Calvo-Alvarez E, Vieira LR, Abbeele JVD, Aristodemou A, Lorentzen E, Gould MK, Brennand A, Dupuy J-W, et al. (2022). A multi-adenylate cyclase regulator at the flagellar tip controls African trypanosome transmission. Nat Commun 13, 5445.
- Berriman M, Ghedin E, Hertz-Fowler C, Blandin G, Renauld H, Bartholomeu DC, Lennard NJ, Caler E, Hamlin NE, Haas B, et al. (2005). The genome of the African trypanosome Trypanosoma brucei. Science 309, 416–422.
- Bertiaux E, Morga B, Blisnick T, Rotureau B, Bastin P (2018). A grow-andlock model for the control of flagellum length in trypanosomes. Curr Biol 28, 3802–3814.e3.
- Bonhivers M, Nowacki S, Landrein N, Robinson DR (2008). Biogenesis of the trypanosome endo-exocytotic organelle is cytoskeleton mediated. PLoS Biol 6, e105.
- Briggs LJ, McKean PG, Baines A, Moreira-Leite F, Davidge J, Vaughan S, Gull K (2004). The flagella connector of Trypanosoma brucei: an unusual mobile transmembrane junction. J Cell Sci 117, 1641–1651.
- Broadhead R, Dawe HR, Farr H, Griffiths S, Hart SR, Portman N, Shaw MK, Ginger ML, Gaskell SJ, McKean PG, Gull K (2006). Flagellar motility is required for the viability of the bloodstream trypanosome. Nature 440, 224–227.
- Brun R, Blum J, Chappuis F, Burri C (2010). Human African trypanosomiasis. Lancet 375, 148–159.
- Burkard G, Fragoso CM, Roditi I (2007). Highly efficient stable transformation of bloodstream forms of Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 153, 220–223.
- Dacheux D, Landrein N, Thonnus M, Gilbert G, Sahin A, Wodrich H, Robinson DR, Bonhivers M (2012). A MAP6-related protein is present in protozoa and is involved in flagellum motility. PLoS One 7, e31344.
- Davidge JA, Chambers E, Dickinson HA, Towers K, Ginger ML, McKean PG, Gull K (2006). Trypanosome IFT mutants provide insight into the motor location for mobility of the flagella connector and flagellar membrane formation. J Cell Sci 119, 3935–3943.
- Dean S, Moreira-Leite F, Varga V, Gull K (2016). Cilium transition zone proteome reveals compartmentalization and differential dynamics of ciliopathy complexes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113, E5135–5143.
- Dean S, Sunter J, Wheeler RJ, Hodkinson I, Gluenz E, Gull K (2015). A toolkit enabling efficient, scalable and reproducible gene tagging in trypanosomatids. Open Biol 5, 140197.
- Dean S, Sunter JD, Wheeler RJ (2017). TrypTag.org: A Trypanosome Genome-wide Protein Localisation Resource. Trends Parasitol 33, 80–82.
- Douglas RL, Haltiwanger BM, Albisetti A, Wu H, Jeng RL, Mancuso J, Cande WZ, Welch MD (2020). Trypanosomes have divergent kinesin-2 proteins that function differentially in flagellum biosynthesis and cell viability. J Cell Sci 133, jcs129213.
- Edelstein ÁD, Tsuchida MÁ, Amodaj N, Pinkard H, Vale RD, Stuurman N (2014). Advanced methods of microscope control using μManager software. J Biol Methods 1, e10.
- Engstler M, Pfohl T, Herminghaus S, Boshart M, Wiegertjes G, Heddergott N, Overath P (2007). Hydrodynamic flow-mediated protein sorting on the cell surface of trypanosomes. Cell 131, 505–515.
- Engstler M, Thilo L, Weise F, Grünfelder CG, Schwarz H, Boshart M, Overath P (2004). Kinetics of endocytosis and recycling of the GPI-anchored variant surface glycoprotein in Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 117, 1105–1115.
- Engstler M, Weise F, Bopp K, Grünfelder CG, Günzel M, Heddergott N, Overath P (2005). The membrane-bound histidine acid phosphatase TbMBAP1 is essential for endocytosis and membrane recycling in Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 118, 2105–2118.
- Esson HJ, Morriswood B, Yavuz S, Vidilaseris K, Dong G, Warren G (2012). Morphology of the trypanosome bilobe, a novel cytoskeletal structure. Eukaryot Cell 11, 761–772.
- Field MC, Carrington M (2009). The trypanosome flagellar pocket. Nat Rev Microbiol 7, 775–786.
- Franco JR, Cecchi G, Priotto G, Paone M, Diarra A, Grout L, Simarro PP, Zhao W, Argaw D (2020). Monitoring the elimination of human African trypanosomiasis at continental and country level: Update to 2018. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 14, e0008261.
- García-Salcedo JA, Pérez-Morga D, Gijón P, Dilbeck V, Pays E, Nolan DP (2004). A differential role for actin during the life cycle of Trypanosoma brucei. EMBO J 23, 780–789.
- Giordani F, Morrison LJ, Rowan TG, DE Koning HP, Barrett MP (2016). The animal trypanosomiases and their chemotherapy: a review. Parasitology 143, 1862–1889.
- Gluenz E, Povelones ML, Englund PT, Gull K (2011). The kinetoplast duplication cycle in Trypanosoma brucei is orchestrated by cytoskeleton-mediated cell morphogenesis. Mol Cell Biol 31, 1012–1021.

- Grünfelder CG, Engstler M, Weise F, Schwarz H, Stierhof Y-D, Morgan GW, Field MC, Overath P (2003). Endocytosis of a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein via clathrin-coated vesicles, sorting by default in endosomes, and exocytosis via RAB11-positive carriers. Mol Biol Cell 14, 2029–2040.
- Gull K (1999). The cytoskeleton of trypanosomatid parasites. Annu Rev Microbiol 53, 629–655.
- Hammarton TC, Kramer S, Tetley L, Boshart M, Mottram JC (2007). Trypanosoma brucei Polo-like kinase is essential for basal body duplication, kDNA segregation and cytokinesis. Mol Microbiol 65, 1229–1248. Hirokawa N, Noda Y (2008). Intracellular transport and kinesin superfam-
- ily proteins, KIFs: structure, function, and dynamics. Physiol Rev 88, 1089–1118.
- Hirumi H, Hirumi K (1989). Continuous cultivation of Trypanosoma brucei blood stream forms in a medium containing a low concentration of serum protein without feeder cell layers. J Parasitol 75, 985–989.
- Hughes L, Towers K, Starborg T, Gull K, Vaughan S (2013). A cell-body groove housing the new flagellum tip suggests an adaptation of cellular morphogenesis for parasitism in the bloodstream form of Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 126, 5748–5757.
- Ikeda KN, de Graffenried CL (2012). Polo-like kinase is necessary for flagellum inheritance in Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 125, 3173–3184.
- Khathi SP, Chandrasekaran B, Karunanidhi S, Tham CL, Kozielski F, Sayyad N, Karpoormath R (2018). Design and synthesis of novel thiadiazole-thiazolone hybrids as potential inhibitors of the human mitotic kinesin Eg5. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 28, 2930–2938.
- Kohl L, Robinson D, Bastin P (2003). Novel roles for the flagellum in cell morphogenesis and cytokinesis of trypanosomes. EMBO J 22, 5336–5346.
- Kohl L, Sherwin T, Gull K (1999). Assembly of the paraflagellar rod and the flagellum attachment zone complex during the Trypanosoma brucei cell cycle. J Eukaryot Microbiol 46, 105–109.
- Lacomble S, Vaughan S, Gadelha C, Morphew MK, Shaw MK, McIntosh JR, Gull K (2010). Basal body movements orchestrate membrane organelle division and cell morphogenesis in Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 123, 2884–2891.
- LaCount DJ, Barrett B, Donelson JE (2002). Trypanosoma brucei FLA1 is required for flagellum attachment and cytokinesis. J Biol Chem 277, 17580–17588.
- Langousis G, Hill KL (2014). Motility and more: the flagellum of Trypanosoma brucei. Nat Rev Microbiol 12, 505–518.
- Letunic I, Bork P (2018). 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource. Nucleic Acids Res 46, D493–D496.
- Li Z, Wang CC (2008). KMP-11, a basal body and flagellar protein, is required for cell division in Trypanosoma brucei. Eukaryot Cell 7, 1941–1950.
- Matthews KR (2005). The developmental cell biology of Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 118, 283–290.
- Mayer TU, Kapoor TM, Haggarty SJ, King RW, Schreiber SL, Mitchison TJ (1999). Small molecule inhibitor of mitotic spindle bipolarity identified in a phenotype-based screen. Science 286, 971–974.
- Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, Qureshi M, Salazar GA, Sonnhammer ELL, Tosatto SCE, Paladin L, Raj S, Richardson LJ, et al. (2021). Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res 49, D412–D419.
- Moreira BP, Fonseca CK, Hammarton TC, Baqui MMA (2017). Giant FAZ10 is required for flagellum attachment zone stabilization and furrow positioning in Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 130, 1179–1193.
- Moreira-Leite FF, Sherwin T, Kohl L, Gull K (2001). A trypanosome structure involved in transmitting cytoplasmic information during cell division. Science 294, 610–612.
- Morriswood B, Schmidt K (2015). A MORN Repeat Protein Facilitates Protein Entry into the Flagellar Pocket of Trypanosoma brucei. Eukaryot Cell 14, 1081–1093.
- Nozaki T, Haynes PA, Cross GA (1996). Characterization of the Trypanosoma brucei homologue of a Trypanosoma cruzi flagellum-adhesion glycoprotein. Mol Biochem Parasitol 82, 245–255.
- Ogbadoyi EO, Robinson DR, Gull K (2003). A high-order trans-membrane structural linkage is responsible for mitochondrial genome positioning and segregation by flagellar basal bodies in trypanosomes. Mol Biol Cell 14, 1769–1779.
- Overath P, Stierhof YD, Wiese M (1997). Endocytosis and secretion in trypanosomatid parasites Tumultuous traffic in a pocket. Trends Cell Biol 7, 27–33.

- Perdomo D, Bonhivers M, Robinson DR (2016). The Trypanosome Flagellar Pocket Collar and Its Ring Forming Protein-TbBILBO1. Cells 5, E9.
- Ralston KS, Kabututu ZP, Melehani JH, Oberholzer M, Hill KL (2009). The Trypanosoma brucei flagellum: moving parasites in new directions. Annu Rev Microbiol 63, 335–362.
- Ralston KS, Lerner AG, Diener DR, Hill KL (2006). Flagellar motility contributes to cytokinesis in Trypanosoma brucei and is modulated by an evolutionarily conserved dynein regulatory system. Eukaryotic Cell 5, 696–711.
- Redmond S, Vadivelu J, Field MC (2003). RNAit: an automated web-based tool for the selection of RNAi targets in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 128, 115–118.
- Reiter JF, Blacque OE, Leroux MR (2012). The base of the cilium: roles for transition fibres and the transition zone in ciliary formation, maintenance and compartmentalization. EMBO Rep 13, 608–618.
- Robinson DR, Gull K (1991). Basal body movements as a mechanism for mitochondrial genome segregation in the trypanosome cell cycle. Nature 352, 731–733.
- Robinson DR, Sherwin T, Ploubidou A, Byard EH, Gull K (1995). Microtubule polarity and dynamics in the control of organelle positioning, segregation, and cytokinesis in the trypanosome cell cycle. J Cell Biol 128, 1163–1172.
- Rotureau B, Blisnick T, Subota I, Julkowska D, Cayet N, Perrot S, Bastin P (2014). Flagellar adhesion in Trypanosoma brucei relies on interactions between different skeletal structures in the flagellum and cell body. J Cell Sci 127, 204–215.
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, et al. (2012). Fiji: an opensource platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9, 676–682.
- Schumann Burkard G, Jutzi P, Roditi I (2011). Genome-wide RNAi screens in bloodstream form trypanosomes identify drug transporters. Mol Biochem Parasitol 175, 91–94.
- Smithson L, Ihuoma Akazue P, Findlater L, Gwira TM, Vaughan S, Sunter JD (2022). Diversity in new flagellum tip attachment in bloodstream form African trypanosomes. Mol Microbiol 118, 510–525.
- Sun SY, Wang C, Yuan YA, He CY (2013). An intracellular membrane junction consisting of flagellum adhesion glycoproteins links flagellum biogenesis to cell morphogenesis in Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 126, 520–531.
- Sunter JD, Benz C, Andre J, Whipple S, McKean PG, Gull K, Ginger ML, Lukeš J (2015). Modulation of flagellum attachment zone protein FLAM3 and regulation of the cell shape in Trypanosoma brucei life cycle transitions. J Cell Sci 128, 3117–3130.
- Sunter JD, Gull K (2016). The Flagellum Attachment Zone: "The Cellular Ruler" of Trypanosome Morphology. Trends Parasitol 32, 309–324.
- Taschner M, Lorentzen E (2016). The Intraflagellar Transport Machinery. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 8, a028092.
- Vaughan S (2010). Assembly of the flagellum and its role in cell morphogenesis in Trypanosoma brucei. Curr Opin Microbiol 13, 453–458.
- Vaughan S, Kohl L, Ngai I, Wheeler RJ, Gull K (2008). A repetitive protein essential for the flagellum attachment zone filament structure and function in Trypanosoma brucei. Protist 159, 127–136.
- Wang Z, Morris JC, Drew ME, Englund PT (2000). Inhibition of Trypanosoma brucei gene expression by RNA interference using an integratable vector with opposing T7 promoters. J Biol Chem 275, 40174–40179.
- Wickstead B, Gull K (2006). A "holistic" kinesin phylogeny reveals new kinesin families and predicts protein functions. Mol Biol Cell 17, 1734–1743.
- Wickstead B, Gull K, Richards TA (2010). Patterns of kinesin evolution reveal a complex ancestral eukaryote with a multifunctional cytoskeleton. BMC Evol Biol 10, 110.
- Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross GA (1999). A tightly regulated inducible expression system for conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Biochem Parasitol 99, 89–101.
- Wu W, Jingbo S, Xu W, Liu J, Huang Y, Sheng Q, Lv Z (2018). S-trityl-Lcysteine, a novel Eg5 inhibitor, is a potent chemotherapeutic strategy in neuroblastoma. Oncol Lett 16, 1023–1030.
- Zhou Q, Hu H, He CY, Li Z (2015). Assembly and maintenance of the flagellum attachment zone filament in Trypanosoma brucei. J Cell Sci 128, 2361–2372.
- Zhou Q, Hu H, Li Z (2014). New insights into the molecular mechanisms of mitosis and cytokinesis in trypanosomes. Int Rev Cell Mol Biol 308, 127–166.