UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Relationship between steady-state current in symmetric cells and transference number of electrolytes comprising univalent and multivalent ions

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2769v2fj>

Journal

Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 162(14)

ISSN

0013-4651

Authors

Balsara, NP
Newman, J

Publication Date

2015

DOI

10.1149/2.0651514jes

Peer reviewed

Relationship between Steady-State Current in Symmetric Cells and Transference Number of Electrolytes Comprising Univalent and Multivalent Ions

Nitash P. Balsara^{a,b,c,d,*} and John Newman^{a,c,**}

^aEnergy Storage and Distributed Resources Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

^bMaterials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

^cDepartment of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

^dJoint Center for Energy Storage Research (JCESR), Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

We derive a general relationship between the applied potential across a binary electrolyte in a symmetric cell and steady-state current density, i_{ss} . The relationship is applicable to salts comprising ions that are either univalent or multivalent. In concentrated solutions, i_{ss} depends on the electrolyte conductivity which governs i_0 , the initially recorded current density at time zero when the potential is applied, and a dimensionless parameter derived here in terms of multicomponent transport coefficients. In the dilute limit, the ratio i_{ss}/i_0 is the cation transference number, irrespective of the charge on the cation or the anion.

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by ECS. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY, <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse of the work in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. [DOI: 10.1149/2.0651514jes] All rights reserved.

Manuscript submitted September 8, 2015; revised manuscript received October 5, 2015. Published October 16, 2015.

The relationship between the applied potential between electrodes and current density is of fundamental importance; the efficacy of electrolytes used in electrochemical devices depends crucially on this relationship. The fact that this relationship is governed by three transport properties and the concentration dependence of the salt activity coefficient is well-established.¹ The commonly measured transport properties are ionic conductivity, κ , concentration-based salt diffusion coefficient, D , and the cation transference number with respect to the solvent, t_+^0 . The purpose of this paper is to derive a general relationship between applied potential across symmetric electrodes and current density obtained at steady-state. The expressions developed here are applicable to salts comprising two univalent or multivalent ions, and solvents that are either small molecules or polymers.

Bruce and Vincent² showed that the steady-state dc current density obtained in a symmetric lithium-electrolyte-lithium cell, i_{ss} , in response to an applied potential, $\Delta\phi$, could be used to estimate t_+^0 . They showed that for salts comprising univalent ions, t_+^0 is given by

$$\frac{i_{ss}}{i_0} = t_+^0, \quad [1]$$

where i_0 is the initially recorded current density at time zero when the potential is applied. This relationship was derived assuming that the ionic solution is ideal, that ion diffusion follows Fick's law, and that the effective potential across the electrolyte includes any effect of induced concentration overpotential.

Bruce and Vincent used Equation 1 to estimate t_+^0 of a polymer electrolyte containing a lithium salt. Unlike flammable organic solvents that are currently used as electrolytes in lithium batteries, polymer electrolytes are nonflammable. They thus have the potential to improve the safety of lithium batteries. High-modulus solid polymer electrolytes have the potential to improve the energy density of lithium batteries by enabling the use of a lithium metal anode. There is thus renewed interest in the development of polymer electrolytes.

In a more recent publication,³ Archer and coworkers attempted to rederive Equation 1 under the same assumptions but arrived at a different equation:

$$\frac{i_{ss}}{i_0} = 2t_+^0. \quad [2]$$

They applied this equation to interpret data obtained from a newly developed polymer electrolyte in Ref. 3.

The main purpose of this paper is to present a straightforward derivation of i_{ss}/i_0 based on concentrated-solution theory.¹ Dilute solution theory uses the electrostatic potential, a potential that is well-defined only in the limit of infinite dilution. In Ref. 2, the effective applied potential was reduced by intuitively introducing a contribution due to concentration polarization. (Concentration overpotential was overlooked in Ref. 3, resulting in the factor of 2 on the right side of Equation 2.) In contrast, the potentials measured in electrochemical cells are based on an appropriate reference electrode. Concentrated solution theory naturally incorporates potentials measured with respect to a reference electrode. In addition, equations relating current density and applied potential within concentrated-solution theory can be applied without adding or subtracting terms based on intuition.¹ Doyle and Newman⁴ used concentrated solution theory to derive an expression for i_{ss}/i_0 . The present work goes beyond that study, providing new expressions for i_{ss}/i_0 that are useful for interpreting experiments on a much wider variety of salt solutions than covered in previous studies.²⁻⁴

Theory

Following concentrated solution theory as developed by Newman (Chapter 12 of Ref. 1), we assume that a potential $\Delta\phi$ is applied at $t = 0$ across a symmetric cell containing a salt $(M^{z+})_{v+}(X^{z-})_{v-}$ in the x direction. The applied external potential creates gradients in both the potential in the solution ϕ and salt concentration c . We assume ϕ is measured relative to a reference electrode using the reaction

where M^{z+} is the cation of interest (typically Li^+ , but our treatment applies to any cation such as Mg^{2+} or Al^{3+}), and M is a neutral solid in the probing electrode that is insoluble in the electrolyte. Following the convention in Ref. 1, we rewrite this equation as

The relationship between electric current density, i , and ϕ in cases where the solvent does not participate in the probing electrode reaction [e.g., reaction 4] is given by

$$i = -\kappa \frac{d\phi}{dx} - \frac{\kappa}{F} \left(\frac{s_+}{nv_+} + \frac{t_+^0}{z_+v_+} \right) \frac{d\mu_e}{dx}, \quad [5]$$

*Electrochemical Society Active Member.

**Electrochemical Society Fellow.

^zE-mail: nbalsara@berkeley.edu

where κ is the electrolyte conductivity, F is Faraday's constant (96485 C/mol), s_+ is the stoichiometric coefficient of the positive ion in the probing electrode reaction (taken to be -1 in Equation 4), n is the number of electrons in the probing electrode reaction, v_+ is the number of cations into which a molecule of the salt dissociates, and μ_e is the chemical potential of the electrolyte. We note in passing that the electrolyte is electrically neutral and thus μ_e is independent of ϕ . Charge balance implies that $z_+ = n$. We apply Equation 5 to both the steady state wherein both terms on the right contribute, and the initial state wherein the second term on the right is zero because the solution initially is uniform in concentration. Thus,

$$i_0 = -\kappa \frac{d\phi}{dx}, \quad [6]$$

and potential difference across the electrolyte, $\Delta\phi$, is obtained by integrating Equation 6,

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{i_0 L}{\kappa}, \quad [7]$$

where L is the distance between the electrodes and κ is the electrolyte conductivity at the initial concentration.

The anion flux through the electrolyte, N_- , is related to the current density as given below (Equation 12.9 in Ref. 1).

$$N_- = -\frac{\mathcal{D}c_T c_{v-}}{RTc_0 v} \frac{d\mu_e}{dx} + \frac{it_-^0}{z_- F} \quad [8]$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the diffusion coefficient of the electrolyte based on a thermodynamic driving force, v is the total number of moles of ions produced by dissociation of the salt ($v = v_+ + v_-$), c_0 is the concentration of monomers making up the polymer chain, c is salt concentration, and c_T is the total solution concentration ($c_T = c_0 + vc$). At steady state, the anion flux is zero at all x . Setting N_- to zero gives

$$\frac{d\mu_e}{dx} = i_{ss} \frac{t_-^0}{z_- F} \frac{RTc_0 v}{\mathcal{D}c_T c_{v-}} \quad [9]$$

Substituting Equation 9 into Equation 5 at steady-state, and noting that $z_- v_- = -z_+ v_+$, we obtain

$$i_{ss} = -\frac{\kappa}{1 + Ne} \frac{d\phi}{dx} \quad [10]$$

where

$$Ne = a \frac{\kappa RT (t_-^0)^2}{F^2 \mathcal{D} c_T} \frac{c_0}{c_T}. \quad [11]$$

The parameter a in Equation 11 is related to the stoichiometry of the salt,

$$a = \frac{v}{(v_+ z_+)^2}. \quad [12]$$

Our approach shows that i_{ss} is independent of the electrochemical potential of the electrolyte, μ_e (see Equation 10). The previous derivations of i_{ss} ,²⁻⁴ all begin with expressions for μ_e .

We assume that the applied potential is small, which, in turn, results in small concentration gradients. We thus ignore the concentration-dependence of κ , and Equation 10 can be rewritten as

$$i_{ss} = \frac{\kappa}{1 + Ne} \frac{\Delta\phi}{L} \quad [13]$$

Combining Equations 7 and 13, we obtain,

$$\frac{i_{ss}}{i_0} = \frac{1}{1 + Ne} \quad [14]$$

It can be shown that Ne can be rewritten as

$$Ne = \frac{t_-^0}{\varepsilon + t_+^0} \quad [15]$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \frac{c}{c_0} \frac{v_- \mathcal{D}_{0+}}{\mathcal{D}_{+-}} \quad [16]$$

This expression can be used to show that Equation 14 can be rewritten as

$$\frac{i_{ss}}{i_0} = \frac{t_+^0 + \varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} \quad [17]$$

The multicomponent diffusion coefficients, $\mathcal{D}_{+0}$, $\mathcal{D}_{-0}$, and $\mathcal{D}_{+-}$, describe diffusion of the cation relative to the solvent, the anion relative to the solvent, and the cation relative to the anion based on thermodynamic driving forces. Standard relationships between multicomponent transport coefficients¹ are used to obtain Equations 15–17:

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{0+}\mathcal{D}_{0-}(z_+ - z_-)}{z_+\mathcal{D}_{0+} - z_-\mathcal{D}_{0-}} \quad [18]$$

$$t_+^0 = 1 - t_-^0 = \frac{z_+\mathcal{D}_{0+}}{z_+\mathcal{D}_{0+} - z_-\mathcal{D}_{0-}} \quad [19]$$

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} = \frac{-RT}{F^2 c_T z_+ z_-} \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}_{+-}} + \frac{c_0 t_-^0}{c_+ \mathcal{D}_{0-}} \right) \quad [20]$$

Equation 16 is particularly useful for determining the ratio i_{ss}/i_0 in the dilute limit ($c \rightarrow 0$) where the ε term in the equation can be set to zero. In the dilute limit, we obtain,

$$\frac{i_{ss}}{i_0} = t_+^0 \quad [21]$$

It is worth noting that the expression originally proposed by Bruce and Vincent² for dilute electrolytes comprising univalent salts is applicable to all binary salts, irrespective of the charge on the cation or the anion.

Finally, since $\mathcal{D}_{ij}$ cannot be measured directly, we recast Equation 11 in terms of D , the salt diffusion coefficient that is measured in a restricted diffusion experiment,⁵

$$Ne = a \frac{\kappa RT (t_-^0)^2}{F^2 D c} \left(1 + \frac{d\ln\gamma_{\pm}}{d\ln m} \right) \quad [22]$$

where $\gamma_{\pm}$ is the mean molal activity coefficient of the electrolyte, m is the molality, and we have used the relationship.⁶

$$D = \mathcal{D} \frac{c_T}{c_0} \left(1 + \frac{d\ln\gamma_{\pm}}{d\ln m} \right) \quad [23]$$

Equation 22 is nearly identical to Equation 16 of Doyle and Newman,⁴ differing in the last term on the right which should be expressed in terms of mean molal activity coefficients, as given here.

While it is widely recognized that complete characterization of electrolytes requires measurement of three transport properties, this is seldom done in practice. A large fraction of the literature on characterization of ion transport in polymer electrolytes is restricted to determination of the ionic conductivity. The ability of an electrolyte to function in a battery is, however, closely related to the steady-state current generated in a symmetric cell. Our analysis shows that this depends fundamentally on two parameters: κ , which determines i_0 , and Ne . We suggest that augmenting the vast experimental literature on the conductivity of electrolytes by values of the dimensionless parameter Ne will provide better insight into how electrolytes will function in full cells. For electrolytes with identical conductivities, the one with the lower value of Ne is preferred. The ideal value of Ne is zero; this is the case for a single-ion-conductor. Of course, quantitative prediction of full cell performance will require measurement of all three independent transport properties (as well as the activity coefficient). One might do this by measuring D by restricted diffusion and Ne from i_{ss}/i_0 if the dependence of $\gamma_{\pm}$ on m is known. A limitation of this approach is that it will only enable determination of the magnitude of t_-^0 ; note that the square of this parameter is related to Ne (Equation 21), as recognized by Doyle and Newman.⁴

Conclusions

Concentrated solution theory is used to derive a general relationship between the applied potential across a binary electrolyte and steady-state current density that is applicable to salts comprising both univalent and multivalent ions. In concentrated solutions, i_{ss} depends on a dimensionless parameter that we refer to as Ne . Explicit expressions for this parameter in terms of multicomponent transport coefficients are provided. In the dilute limit, the ratio i_{ss}/i_0 gives the cation transference number, irrespective of the charge on the cation or the anion. In future work, we will apply the framework developed here to interpret steady-state current measurements on polymer electrolytes.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Joint Center for Energy Storage Research, an Energy Innovation Hub funded by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences (BES).

List of Symbols

a	dimensionless parameter that depends on salt stoichiometry defined by Equation 12.
c	salt concentration, mol/cm ³
c_0	solvent concentration, mol/cm ³ (moles of monomers per unit volume for polymeric solvents)
c_T	total solution concentration, mol/cm ³
D	salt diffusion coefficient based on concentration, cm ² /s
$\mathfrak{D}$	diffusion coefficient of the salt based on a thermodynamic driving force, cm ² /s
$\mathfrak{D}_{ij}$	diffusion coefficient for interaction of species i and j , cm ² /s
F	Faraday's constant, 96485 C/mol
i	current density, A/cm ²
i_0	initial current density when potential is applied, A/cm ²

i_{ss}	steady-state dc current density, A/cm ²
m	molality of electrolyte, mol/kg
n	number of electrons in the probing electrode reaction
N_-	anion flux, mol/(cm ² s)
Ne	dimensionless number defined by Equation 11
R	Universal gas constant, 8.3143 J/(mol K)
s_+	stoichiometric coefficient of the positive ion in the probing electrode reaction
t_+^0, t_-^0	cation and anion transference number
T	absolute temperature, K
z_+, z_-	charge number of cation and anion

Greek

$\Delta\phi$	applied potential, V
ε	dimensionless parameter proportional to salt concentration defined by Equation 16
$\gamma_{\pm}$	mean molal activity coefficient of the electrolyte
κ	ionic conductivity of electrolyte, S/cm
μ_e	electrochemical potential of the electrolyte, J/mol
ν_+ and ν_-	the number of cations and anions into which the salt dissociates
ν	total number of cations and anions into which the salt dissociates

References

1. J. Newman and K. Thomas-Alyea, *Electrochemical Systems III edition*, John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ (2004).
2. P. G. Bruce and C. A. Vincent, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, **255**, 1 (1987).
3. Y. Lu, M. Tikekar, R. Mohanty, K. Hendrickson, L. Ma, and L. A. Archer, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, **5**, 140207 (2015).
4. M. Doyle and J. Newman, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **142**, 3465 (1995).
5. J. Newman and T. W. Chapman, *AIChE Journal*, **19**, 343 (1973).
6. J. Newman, D. Bennion, and C.W. Tobias, *Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft für physikalische Chemie*, **69**, 608 (1965).