UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Nucleic acid targeting: towards personalized therapy for head and neck cancer

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2779x9g7

Journal

Oncogene, 35(25)

ISSN

0950-9232

Authors

Parsel, SM Grandis, JR Thomas, SM

Publication Date

2016-06-23

DOI

10.1038/onc.2015.424

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Oncogene*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 May 25.

Nucleic Acid Targeting: Towards Personalized Therapy for Head and Neck Cancer

Sean M Parsel¹, Jennifer R Grandis², and Sufi M Thomas^{1,3,4}

¹Department of Otolaryngology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

²Department of Otolaryngology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA.

³Department of Cancer Biology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

⁴Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS

Abstract

In light of a detailed characterization of genetic aberrations in cancer, nucleic acid targeting represents an attractive therapeutic approach with significant translational potential. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is a leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide with stagnant 5-year survival rates. Advances in conventional treatment have done little to improve survival and combined chemoradiation is associated with significant adverse effects. Recent reports have characterized the genetic alterations in HNSCC and demonstrated that mutations confer resistance to conventional and molecular targeted therapies. The ability to use specific nucleic acid sequences to inhibit cancer-associated genes including non-druggable targets facilitates personalized medicine approaches with less adverse effects. Additionally, advances in drug delivery mechanisms have increased the transfection efficiency aiding in greater therapeutic responses. Given these advances, the stage has been set to translate the information garnered from genomic studies into personalized treatment strategies. Genes involved in the tumor protein 53 (TP53) and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) pathways have been extensively investigated and many promising preclinical studies have shown tumor inhibition through genetic modulation. We, and others, have demonstrated that targeting oncogene expression with gene therapy approaches is feasible in patients. Other methods such as RNA interference have proven to be effective and are potential candidates for clinical studies. This review summarizes the major advances in sequence-specific gene modulation in the preclinical setting and in clinical trials in head and neck cancer patients.

Keywords

Nucleic acid targeting; gene therapy; oligonucleotides; RNA interference; HNSCC

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

Corresponding Author: Sufi Mary Thomas, PhD, University of Kansas Medical Center, 3901 Rainbow Boulevard, Kansas City KS 66160, United States of America. Tel: +1-913-588-6664, Fax: +1-913-588-4676, ; Email: sthomas7@kumc.edu **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Introduction

Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide and is primarily associated with tobacco and alcohol use.¹ Due to late clinical presentation and advanced stage, HNSCC leads to over 300 000 deaths annually. Most patients require extensive, multidisciplinary treatment. However, even with aggressive treatment, the five-year survival rate in the United States is only around 40% primarily due to the lack of effective therapeutic options for advanced-staged cancers.²

In patients with locally advanced disease, relapse is common despite early therapeutic intervention. In these patients, prognosis is poor and conventional treatment yields only 30% to 40% response rates with a median survival between 6 and 9 months.³ Traditional chemotherapy has low tumor specificity leading to significant adverse effects in patients. Further, a wide array of gene aberrations in HNSCC are implicated in therapeutic failure to conventional therapy.⁴ While some mutations are etiologic, others confer sensitivity or resistance to chemotherapy.⁵.⁷

Expression of the variant III form of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR vIII) confers resistance to EGFR inhibitors and may explain the modest clinical response with these agents.^{8,10} Conversely, nearly one third of HNSCC tumors harbor mutations in *PI3KCA* gene.¹¹ The oncogenic *PIK3CA* (E542K) mutation confers exquisite sensitivity to PI3K pathway inhibitor BEZ-325.¹² Given the heterogeneity in mutations, it would be difficult to develop small molecules or antibodies that specifically target each oncogenic mutation.^{11, 13, 14} In this scenario, the use of targeted nucleic acid therapy to mitigate expression of mutated genes with high sequence specificity would facilitate personalized cancer therapy and combat treatment failures. In this manuscript, we discuss advances in gene delivery systems, and preclinical and clinical studies using nucleic acid targeted therapy for HNSCC.

Gene Delivery Systems

Direct intratumoral administration of therapeutic nucleic acids for proof-of-concept studies is feasible in easily accessible sites such as the oral cavity. However, difficulty in accessing deep-seated tumors, low transfection efficiency, and susceptibility to nuclease degradation are major limitations with injection of naked DNA or RNA.¹⁵ In order to facilitate systemic delivery and improve transfection efficiency, significant advances have occurred in vector development including liposomes, nanoparticles, and viral vectors (Figure 1). Liposome complexes protect nucleic acids from degradation and improve uptake in cells. A spherical lipid bilayer encapsulating the nucleic acid cargo fuses with the plasma membrane of cells, releasing the contents into the cytoplasm. *In vitro* studies show a transfection efficacy of up to 70%, however the presence of serum components can decrease this by 35% to 45%.¹⁶ Despite the increase inefficacy, hematologic toxicity, hepatotoxicity, and innate immune responses have been associated with systemic therapy.^{17,19} In order to reduce toxicity and facilitate tumor specificity, next-generation liposomes carry tumor-targeted peptides in the lipid bilayer. For instance, liposomes conjugated to the transferrin ligand have a higher affinity for HNSCC cells with upregulated transferrin receptors than non-malignant cells. *In*

vitro results suggests an increase in transfection efficiency from 10% to 50% when using transferrin ligand attachments.²⁰ Alternatively, the use of anti-transferrin receptor single-chain antibody on the surface of cationic liposomes can increase specific uptake in tumor cells on systemic administration.²¹ The major challenge that persists with liposomes is hepatotoxicity upon clearance from circulation.

In an effort to decrease toxicity and increase transfection efficiency, other methods of gene delivery have been developed. Synthetic polymer-nucleotide complexes can diffuse across the plasma membrane or enter cells through endocytosis. Nucleotides dissociate from the polymer and exert their action within the cytoplasm or the nucleus. Common polymers used in HNSCC include polyethylenimine (PEI) and glucosylated PEIs.^{22,24} A challenge to the widespread use of these agents is the formation of complexes with blood components due to the high cationic charge.²⁵ Conjugation with hydrophilic polymers reduces this positive charge, increasing bioavailability. In vitro gene transfection efficiency can reach up to 47% while retaining *in vivo* antitumor efficacy.²⁶ Another novel mechanism for delivery involves systemically administered, ultrasound-guided microbubbles targeting HNSCC tumors. Vigorous mixing of aqueous solutions of lipid and nucleic acid generates microbubbles with a lipid shell and perfluorobutane gas interior. The average diameter of the microbubbles is 1 to 8 µm. Intravenously administered microbubbles are visualized using ultrasound imaging. As they perfuse the tumor, destruction of microbubbles with high frequency ultrasound waves releases the nucleic acid cargo near tumor cells. Several preclinical studies demonstrated successful microbubble-mediated transfer of nucleic acid to the tumor site.^{27,29} Cellular uptake of nucleic acids is facilitated by lipids in the shell, and by the acoustic frequency and force of cavitation of microbubbles.³⁰ In comparison to direct inoculation of tumors with antineoplastic agents or nucleic acids, this technique shows greater delivery efficiency and cellular uptake in *in vivo* models with HNSCC tumors.^{31, 32} However, the use of microbubbles is limited to tumors that can be easily visualized using ultrasound imaging.

Significant advances in molecular engineering of viruses enable highly efficient transfer of transgenes into tumors. Adenoviruses and retroviruses are the two main classes of viral vectors tested in HNSCC. Adenoviruses are DNA viruses that infect both dividing and nondividing cells. Exogenous DNA remains episomal without integrating into the genome. In contrast, retroviruses primarily infect actively dividing cells and stably integrate transgenes into the host genomic DNA with high efficiency. In addition to high efficiency of gene transfer, cell lysis during viral replication potentiates the therapeutic benefit of viruses. Wild-type adenoviruses have a low transfection efficiency, ³³ however modifications to the viral genome or surface structure have been employed to increase effectiveness. ³⁴ In order to increase tumor specificity, oncolytic viruses are biochemically modified to target and replicate only within tumor cells or in cells expressing specific genes. In the following sections, we describe the use of gene delivery vectors in preclinical and clinical studies using nucleic acid-based therapy approaches in HNSCC.

DNA-Based Approaches

There are over 1 000 cancer clinical trials testing gene therapy approaches in various cancers. In the following sections, we describe preclinical and clinical studies utilizing gene therapy for treatment of HNSCC.

Plasmid DNA

Preclinical studies—Plasmid expression vectors that knockdown oncogenes or restore tumor suppressor genes demonstrate antitumor efficacy in HNSCC preclinical models. Liposome-encapsulated antisense plasmid DNA reduced EGFR mRNA levels and inhibited HNSCC tumor growth³⁵ and angiogenesis when used in combination with antiangiogenic agent, endostatin.³⁶ The formulation was safe in animal models despite long-term persistence of exogenous plasmid DNA in plasma and organs distant from the injection site.^{37, 38} Plasmid expression vector-mediated restoration of tumor suppressor p53 levels in HNSCC cell lines activated pro-apoptotic proteins, promoting tumor death and increased radiosensitivity.^{20, 21} Immune-mediated antitumor effects are observed when human IL-2- and IL-27-encoding plasmid were transfected into HNSCC tumors.^{39, 40} In another approach, toxin-conjugated IL-13 demonstrated specific tumor cell kill in cells transfected with exogenous interleukin-13 receptor $\alpha 2$.⁴¹ Although, plasmid expression vectors demonstrate feasibility, systemic delivery continues to be a major challenge in the widespread use of this approach.

Human trials—The majority of HNSCC clinical trials report use of plasmid expression vectors injected intratumorally using cationic liposomes (Table 1). A phase I clinical trial assessing the intratumoral injection of naked plasmid DNA with an antisense sequence targeting EGFR, demonstrated a clinical response in 29% of patients, well above the response rate seen with other EGFR inhibiting agents.⁴² The highest dose administered (1 920 μg DNA) was well tolerated with no dose-limiting toxicities. Similar efficacy and safety profiles have been demonstrated with intratumoral injection of naked heat shock protein 65 (Hsp65) DNA.⁴³ Hsp65 DNA produced a partial response in four of 14 HNSCC patients with minimal adverse effects. Although there is evidence of efficacy in both these clinical trials, tumors inaccessible for direct injection continued to grow.⁴² In order to protect DNA from serum nucleases and improve cellular uptake, several trials have tested cationic liposomes as carriers for gene delivery.

Intratumoral injection of cationic liposomes carrying plasmid DNA encoding *E1A*, an adenoviral gene with antineoplastic activity, in HNSCC tumors was well tolerated, demonstrated transgene expression and moderate tumor response with one of 24 patients experiencing complete remission.⁴⁴ Allovectin-7, a DNA-lipid complex containing human leukocyte antigen B7 (HLA-B7)-encoding plasmids, has shown modest clinical response and minimal toxicity with intratumoral injection.^{45, 46} HLA-B7 is a major histocompatibility complex class I antigen that elicits a broad antitumor immune response. Although results from phase II trials were encouraging, failure to meet key endpoints in a subsequent trial resulted in the discontinuation of Allovectin-7. Thus, although the proof-of-concept

Oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotides are short, single-stranded, 15 to 20-base sequences that bind complementary mRNA inhibiting translation primarily through RNase H-mediated degradation. Ideally, the complementary sequence needs to be unique to facilitate specific target modulation. Frequently oncogenic mutations differ from wild-type sequences by a few bases. Development of oligonucleotide chemistries such that mismatch of even a single base pair would abrogate binding of antisense oligonucleotide to its target would be ideal for cancer therapeutics and personalized medicine.

major limitation of susceptibility to serum nucleases remains.

Preclinical studies—Antisense DNA oligonucleotides (ASO) targeting EGFR demonstrate antitumor efficacy in HNSCC on intratumoral administration.⁴⁷ Further, the observed effects were sequence specific with lack of antitumor effects in control (sense) oligonucleotide treated tumors. Substitution of nuclease-resistant phosphorothioate (PTO) groups to alternate bases on the DNA backbone, increases the serum half-life from approximately 5 min to up to 60 min.⁴⁸ PTO-modified EGFR ASO potentiated the effects of docetaxel in HNSCC xenografts on systemic administration.^{49, 50} However, the antitumor effects were modest given the relatively short serum half-life.

In order to increase the serum stability of ASOs, peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) were developed. ⁵¹ These are nucleotide analogues containing *N*-(2-aminoethyl) glycine units in place of the sugar phosphodiester backbone. Despite longer serum half-lives, there is low cellular uptake due to the neutral charge of the backbone. In order to improve intracellular uptake, positively charged guanidinium residues were covalently linked to the PNA backbone (Figure 3). Uptake of guanidinium peptide nucleic acid (GPNA) oligomers was detected in tumors up to 4 h after systemic administration. ⁴⁹ GPNA designed to target EGFR has demonstrated sequence specific target reduction and cytotoxicity in HNSCC cells. Further, the antitumor effects observed are comparable to that of clinically relevant EGFR inhibitors. Changes in the position of the guanidinium group on the PNA backbone facilitated the development of GPNA that are conformationally preorganized into right handed helices with higher target binding affinity and sequence specificity than earlier versions. ⁵² This increased oligonucleotide serum stability makes systemic delivery of specific nucleic acid sequences for cancer therapy a possibility.

Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) is a transcription factor that is upregulated in HNSCC and facilitates tumor progression by binding to specific promoter sequences of several tumorigenic genes. Leong and colleagues developed a double-stranded DNA oligonucleotide based on the STAT3-high serum inducible element (HSIE) promoter sequence.⁵³ The STAT3 decoy sequestered activated STAT3, preventing binding to the promoter of target genes.⁵⁴ When tested as monotherapy or in combination with erlotinib, STAT3 decoy has anti-angiogenic and antitumor effects in xenograft tumors.^{55, 56} In another study, guanine-rich oligonucleotides with the HSIE element, formed G-quartet DNA structures with potent affinity for activated STAT3.⁵⁷ Further, intraperitoneal injections of

PEI copolymers complexed to G-quartet oligomers, induced antitumor effects in HNSCC xenografts. More recently, a third-generation ASO targeting Hsp27 was designed using locked nucleic acid (LNA) technology modified with a ribose oxymethylene bridge to prevent serum degradation.⁵⁸ Intraperitoneal injection of Hsp27 LNA led to increased radiosensitivity in HNSCC xenografts through dysregulation of DNA double-stranded break repair mechanisms.

Human trials—Clinical trials testing oligonucleotides in HNSCC treatment are in their infancy. The eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4e (eIF-4E) binds the 5' cap structure of cellular mRNA and is associated with tumor progression.⁵⁹ Intravenous administration of PTO ASOs with flanking methoxyethyl groups targeting eIF-4E-(LY2275796) was tested for toxicity in a phase I trial for multiple cancers including HNSCC.⁶⁰ One patient experienced grade 2 fatigue at the maximum dose tier of 1 200 mg. The study defined the maximum tolerated dose as 1 000 mg administered intravenously as a loading dose over 3 days followed by a weekly maintenance dose. Although a lower level of eIF-4E in the tumor indicated a biological response, there were no clinical responses achieved. Pharmacokinetic evaluation revealed the majority of ASOs distributed in tissues within 24 h of administration. The modifications to the nucleic acid backbone increased the terminal elimination phase half-life to 15 days (4% overall plasma exposure). To improve clinical responses, selection of patients with high tumor levels of eIF-4E or combined treatment with chemotherapy may be necessary.

The biological response of STAT3 decoy was tested in a phase 0, intraoperative trial.⁶¹ Pharmacodynamic studies on intratumoral injection of STAT3 decoy oligomers at three doses, revealed modulation of STAT3 target genes within 4 h of administration. There were no adverse effects reported. Although the results in human studies are limited, these early trials support proof-of-concept that oligonucleotides can be safe and are effective in modulating target gene levels in HNSCC.

RNA-based Approaches

The regulation of translation by small RNA is an evolutionary conserved process. Molecular machinery process double stranded non-coding RNA into single stranded fragments that bind to specific target sequences inhibiting mRNA translation. Initial studies primarily focused on use of RNA interference (RNAi) for knockdown of gene expression in order to determine the role of specific genes or pathways in tumorigenesis. However, the specificity and efficiency of target inhibition, has made this an attractive therapeutic modality for cancer.

The most wildly used classes of RNAi for translational repression of single genes in HNSCC, are small interfering RNA (siRNA) and short hairpin RNA (shRNA). The main difference between siRNA and shRNA is that siRNA regulate gene expression transiently (knockdown-duration less than 2 weeks) while shRNA vectors are expressed after genomic integration.⁶² Within the cell, molecular machinery process shRNA and siRNA to generate single stranded RNA fragments that bind complementary sequences on mRNA, leading to their degradation. Multiple cancer-associated genes can be simultaneously downregulated by

microRNA (miRNA). The extent of homology of miRNA to its target dictates the mechanism of translation repression with highly homologous binding triggering target mRNA cleavage. Partial complementarity would inhibit translation of non-target mRNA through several mechanisms including inhibition of translation initiation (interfering with ribosome recruitment) and deacetylation of mRNA.⁶³ Similar to miRNA, several reports demonstrate non-specific modulation of cellular mRNA by siRNA with partial sequence homology to the target indicating that siRNA may have off-target effects.^{64,66} Nevertheless, a deeper understanding of the mechanisms of translation inhibition could result in improved specificity of RNAi approaches. Here we discuss use of these approaches in HNSCC preclinical models.

Small Interfering RNA

Several reports describe siRNA modulation of target genes reduced HNSCC invasion, proliferation, metastatic dissemination and enhanced response to therapy (Table 2). Invasion and motility of HNSCC cell lines was significantly decreased using protein kinase C ϵ (PKC ϵ) siRNA.⁶⁷ Further, the use of hypoxia inducible factor-1 α (HIF-1 α) and aurora A kinase (AURKA) siRNA induced apoptosis and reduced cell proliferation.⁶⁸, ⁶⁹ Other factors have also been the target of treatment, notably the NF- κ B family of proteins, which control gene expression in response to several stimuli including cytokines, growth factors, and infection. NF- κ B is an important target in HNSCC due to its implication in tumor growth and survival.⁷⁰ It is constitutively expressed in HNSCC and contributes to cisplatin resistance by preventing chromatin condensation through histone acetylation.⁷¹ When used in combination with histone deacetylase inhibitors, systemic administration of siRNA targeting NF- κ B p65 (RelA), increased antitumor efficacy of cisplatin.⁷² Further, in combination with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib, NF- κ B siRNA reduced tumor growth.⁷³

EGFR-targeted siRNA showed a 90% reduction in EGFR expression in vitro and enhanced sensitivity to cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil, and docetaxel.⁷⁴ To facilitate systemic administration, siRNAs conjugated to nanoparticles were tested in preclinical models of metastatic HNSCC. Ribonucleotide reductase subunit M2 (RRM2)-targeted siRNA conjugated to nanoparticles produced apoptosis in metastatic models on systemic delivery.⁷⁵ In a recent report, calcium phosphate-based nanoparticles with a hollow lipid-coated core and outer shell, conjugated to anisamide ligands successfully delivered HIF1a siRNA to sigma receptor expressing HNSCC cells on intravenous administration.⁷⁶ In combination with a photosensitizer photosan, HIF1a siRNA potentiated the effects of photodynamic therapy in xenograft tumors. This study represents a significant advance in siRNA-mediated gene regulation as a therapeutic approach. However, addressing challenges associated with siRNA therapy including off-target effects, inflammation, and non-specific cytotoxicity would make this approach more feasible for therapeutic use.⁷⁷ While siRNA has not been used in human trials, repression of target protein expression using siRNA has been achieved in vitro, and in vivo studies have demonstrated biologic activity. These results suggest feasibility for their use with reasonable transfection efficiency and significant biological response.

Short Hairpin RNA

Transfection or viral transduction of shRNA expression vectors results in integration into genomic DNA and RNA polymerase III-mediated transcription.⁷⁸ The double stranded RNA fragments are transcribed in the nucleus with a short hairpin sequence at one end and transported into the cytoplasm where they follow the same molecular processing as siRNA.⁶² Several reports on HNSCC cells expressing target-specific shRNA describe the molecular mechanisms regulating tumor progression and response to therapy.

Reports on shRNA-mediated inhibition of several proteins including CD74 and the SET (suppressor of variegation, enhancer of zeste, and trithorax) oncogene demonstrate antiproliferative effects in HNSCC.^{79_81} Further, local invasion can be controlled by targeting extracellular matrix modulators including metalloproteinase-9 and -11.^{79, 82} While monotherapy has been efficacious in preclinical models, combination therapy may have synergistic effects. The use of three shRNAs targeting telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT), proangiogenic vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and antiapoptotic Bcl-X_L genes decreased HNSCC xenograft growth, demonstrating the feasibility of a multitarget-approach.⁸³

Several reports demonstrate the role of oncogenes and oncogenic-mutations in resistance to therapy. Cisplatin therapy is a vital component of current chemotherapy for HNSCC. However, patients frequently develop resistance to cisplatin. Recent studies have shown that shRNA-mediated downregulation of glucose transporter GLUT1 and cell cycle regulator cyclin-D1 increase sensitivity to cisplatin. ^{84,86} Additionally, targeting sphingosine kinase 1 (SPHK1) increased tumor sensitivity to doxorubicin with a significant reduction in SPHK1 expression after transfection. ⁸⁴ Similarly, the use of shRNA targeting mutant-tumor suppressor p53 conferred sensitivity to radiation in radio-resistant HNSCC. ⁵ Although these studies are in preclinical development, developments in vectors including viral vectors would facilitate translation to the clinic. Together these studies provide insight into future applications for therapeutic gene targeting in HNSCC.

Viral Methods

Viruses are effective transgene delivery agents in treatment of many disease processes ranging from metabolic disturbances to cancer. Of the several classes of viruses used to deliver transgenes, several reports use adenoviruses and herpesviruses in HNSCC preclinical models. While viruses are effective vectors for delivery of genetic material, their lytic lifecycles confer the added advantage of tumor cell destruction. In this section, we discuss the use of viruses for gene delivery in HNSCC and advances in oncolytic viruses for targeted destruction of cancer cells.

Viral Vectors

Viruses are frequently used to transduce human cells due to their high efficiency of gene transfer. Depending on the type of virus, the exogenous nucleic acid either integrates into the host genome or remains episomal. Viruses used for gene transfer are generally replication-incompetent though there are exceptions.

Preclinical studies—Adenoviral vectors are the most widely used viral vectors for gene transfer in in preclinical studies and clinical trials. Wild-type p53 delivered using recombinant adenoviruses, lead to apoptosis in HNSCC tumor cells with up to 60% response in 48 h.^{87, 88} This allows for reestablishment of the G1 block, increasing sensitivity to radiation and chemotherapy.^{89,91} Further, adenoviruses and adeno-associated viruses were used to deliver herpes thymidine kinase to tumor explants.^{92,94} Treatment with prodrug ganciclovir not only killed the transduced cells but also the adjacent bystander cells. In a recent study, recombinant vaccinia virus encoding the oncogene erbB2 was tested in a vaccination approach in a mouse model of salivary gland carcinoma.⁹⁵ Activation of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity on intratumoral injection of the recombinant virus resulted in antitumor efficacy.

Human trials—Clinical trials have primarily focused on the use of adenoviruses for treatment of HNSCC (Table 3). Gene therapy using adenoviruses encoding wild-type p53 (Ad-p53) was tested in phase I human trials. In patients with incurable recurrent HNSCC, no dose limiting toxicities or significant adverse events were observed using a single preoperative intratumoral injection of 10¹¹ plaque forming units (pfu). Additionally there was a modest response in a number of patients with non-resectable tumors and one patient with resectable cancer showed complete response. Furthermore, there was an increase in survival rate by approximately 60% of individuals treated with combination Ad-p53 and chemotherapy.⁹¹ A small-scale phase II trial assessing the efficacy of Ad-p53 in patients with resectable cancer demonstrated increased disease-free survival rates one year after resection.⁹⁶ The study enrolled thirteen patients who received direct injection of 10^{12} viral particles (vp) into the surgical margins intraoperatively and postoperatively via catheter instillation. There were minimal adverse events and 92% of patients were disease free after one year. Increased survival and improved response rates were reported in phase III clinical trials as well. The intra-arterial administration of combination Ad-p53 and chemotherapy improved survivability in patients with stage 3 HNSCC.⁹⁷ Response rates significantly increased in comparison to treatment with methotrexate for patients with either wild-type p53 or low-level expression of mutated p53.⁹⁸ Ad-p53 vectors are currently being tested in phase IV clinical trials.

Oncolytic Viruses

Oncolytic viruses preferentially replicate in and lyse tumor cells that express or lack specific targetable genes. In theory, these agents lead to tumor destruction with minimal bystander damage. Newer generation oncolytic viruses carry exogenous genes that elicit antitumor effects or sensitize tumors to therapy.

Preclinical studies—Modified herpes simplex viral (HSV) and adenoviral vectors can carry up to 50 Kb of DNA transgene, and can preferentially replicate in and lyse tumor cells. Several HSV-based viral vectors were tested in preclinical models of HNSCC. HF10, HSV1716 and G207 vectors shows anti-tumor efficacy alone or with concomitant cisplatin in HNSCC preclinical models.^{99_101} Both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies showed biologic activity and destruction of all tumor cell lines. Further, mice with HNSCC xenografts had a statistically significant increase in survivability at 100 d.¹⁰⁰ The ONYX class of viruses are

E1B-gene-deleted adenoviruses that selectively replicate within cells lacking wild-type tumor suppressor p53 and have demonstrated anti-tumor efficacy *in vitro* and *in vivo*.¹⁰² Intravenous injection of ONYX-015 leads to tumor localization and produces antitumor effects in nude mice bearing xenograft tumors with a viral replication reported in 100% of tumors. Furthermore, there was a reduction in tumor growth up to 50% though no appreciable effect on the growth of distant established tumors was observed.¹⁰³ The main obstacle to HSV and adenoviral vectors lie in the endemic nature of the viruses with more than 70% of the population having a pre-existing immune response that specifically inactivates viral particles and cells that express viral proteins.¹⁰⁴ Preclinical studies with other classes of oncolytic viruses are underway.

In order to facilitate antitumor immune-mediated tumor kill, a fusogenic vesicular stomatitis virus encoding murine IL-12 was developed that lysed HNSCC more effectively than virus lacking the transgene leading to significantly increased survival of mice.¹⁰⁵ Another study with measles virus engineered to replace the viral attachment protein with a single-chain antibody targeting EGFR demonstrated increased tumor specificity.¹⁰⁶ In addition, the virus carried the cytosine deaminase/uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (CD/UPRT) gene. CD/UPRT converts prodrug 5-fluorocytosine to 5-fluorouracil, which can diffuse from dying cells, significantly increasing antitumor effects in non-infected bystander replicating tumor cells. HNSCC xenograft tumors responded well to intratumoral injections of the recombinant viral particles followed by prodrug treatment. These studies demonstrate efficacy of transgene expression by oncolytic viruses for added therapeutic benefit.

Human trials—Multiple human trials using oncolytic viruses have shown biologic or clinical response (Table 3). Intratumoral injection into subcutaneous nodules of a conditionally replication-competent HSV vector called HF10 in 2 patients with metastatic HNSCC demonstrated antitumor response with minimal adverse effects.¹⁰¹ Although preoperative intratumoral injection of 10⁵ pfu of another HSV vector, HSV1761, was well tolerated with no serious adverse effects, there was no biological effect of therapy.¹⁰⁷ ONYX-015 has demonstrated safety with injection of 10¹¹ pfu.¹⁰⁸ Stable disease and modest antitumor activity was achieved with intratumoral administration of ONYX-015. In a small-scale trial of nine patients, 10¹⁰ pfu of ONYX-015 was administered intratumorally with systemic cisplatin and 5-FU leading to complete or partial regression in all subjects.¹⁰⁹ The commercial version of ONYX-015 (ONYX-H101) has been tested in humans and is approved for human use in China.¹¹¹

Significant advances in the development of oncolytic viruses have enabled the expression of transgenes that trigger antitumor responses in addition to cell lysis. The granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) recruits and activates leukocytes including neutrophils and monocytes to elicit potent antitumor effects. GM-CSF was the first cytokine incorporated into an oncolytic virus in order to harness the both immune and virus-mediated tumor cell kill. OncoVEX^{GM-CSF} is a modified HSV-1 encoding GM-CSF tested in several clinical trials.¹¹²¹¹⁴ In a phase I/II trial, intratumoral injections of OncoVEX^{GM-CSF} in combination with chemoradiotherapy demonstrated a pathologic complete response rate of 93% (N=17) in late stage HNSCC.¹¹⁵ Pharmacodynamic analyses demonstrated virus

replication in injected and uninjected tumors. This study is the first to demonstrate the efficacy of using transgene-expressing oncolytic viruses in combination and standard curative therapy.

In another study, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) an immune system stimulator, chemotherapy and radiation sensitizer expressed by an oncolytic virus was tested for antitumor effects in recurrent previously treated HNSCC patients. AdGV.EGR.TNF.11D (TNFeradeTM Biologic) is a replication-deficient adenovirus encoding TNF- α under the control of radiation-inducible Egr-1 promoter.¹¹⁶ Dose limiting toxicity in 3 of 14 patients experiencing thrombotic events on intratumoral injections of TNFeradeTM Biologic at a maximum dose of 4×10^{11} pfu combined with chemoradiotherapy helped establish the maximum tolerated dose.¹¹⁷ Although phase I trials are not designed to assess efficacy, the response rate of 83%, with one patient surviving for 3 years underscores the therapeutic potential of this approach. Further developments facilitating systemic administration and tumor-specific targeting would facilitate the use of oncolytic viruses in the treatment of inaccessible metastatic disease.

Conclusions

HNSCC remains a major cause of mortality despite advances in treatment. As more therapeutic targets are identified through cancer genome sequencing studies, nucleic acid targeted therapy has the potential to decrease mortality, increase survival rates, and improve quality of life in patients with HNSCC. The field of nucleic acid targeting is rapidly advancing with new avenues of treatment unfolding. A variety of delivery techniques have been employed for multiple therapy modalities with positive results in both preclinical studies and human trials. Most methods of nucleic acid delivery have been safe in human trials with reduction in target gene expression and evidence of antitumor efficacy.

While there have been clinical trials assessing safety and efficacy of viral vectors, less clinical data is available for the use of antisense oligonucleotides and RNAi methods. The development of oligonucleotides that target specific gene mutations within a given tumor could provide a patient-centered approach to therapy. At the very least, nucleic acid targeting has a crucial role in adjunct treatment for HNSCC.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Hinrich Staecker, Department of Otolaryngology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS and Dr. Stefan Rothenberg, K-State University, Manhattan, KS for critical review of the manuscript. The authors thank Mr. Phil Shafer for the artwork. We apologize to authors whose work was not cited due to space constraints.

Financial Support: Department of Otolaryngology, University of Kansas Medical Center and University of Kansas Cancer Center's CCSG (1-P30-CA168524-02), and the Kansas Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Center (NICHD HD00258) were the funding sources.

References

 Döbróssy L. Epidemiology of head and neck cancer: magnitude of the problem. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2005; 24:9–17. [PubMed: 15785869]

- 2. Boyle, P.; Levin, B., editors. World Cancer Report 2008. International Agency for Research on Cancer; Lyon: 2008. Head and neck cancers.; p. 330-337.
- 3. Cohen EEW, Lingen MW, Vokes EE. The expanding role of systemic therapy in head and neck cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2004; 22:1743–1752. [PubMed: 15117998]
- 4. The Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015; 517:576–582. [PubMed: 25631445]
- Skinner HD, Sandulache VC, Ow TJ, Meyn RE, Yordy JS, Beadle BM, et al. TP53 disruptive mutations lead to head and neck cancer treatment failure through inhibition of radiation-induced senescence. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research. 2012; 18:290–300. [PubMed: 22090360]
- van den Broek GB, Wreesmann VB, van den Brekel MWM, Rasch CRN, Balm AJM, Rao PH. Genetic abnormalities associated with chemoradiation resistance of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2007; 13:4386–4391. [PubMed: 17671120]
- Lui VW, Hedberg ML, Li H, Vangara BS, Pendleton K, Zeng Y, et al. Frequent mutation of the PI3K pathway in head and neck cancer defines predictive biomarkers. Cancer Discov. 2013; 3:761– 769. [PubMed: 23619167]
- Vermorken JB, Trigo J, Hitt R, Koralewski P, Diaz-Rubio E, Rolland F, et al. Open-label, uncontrolled, multicenter phase II study to evaluate the efficacy and toxicity of cetuximab as a single agent in patients with recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck who failed to respond to platinum-based therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2007; 25:2171–2177. [PubMed: 17538161]
- Saba NF, Haigentz M Jr, Vermorken JB, Strojan P, Bossi P, Rinaldo A, et al. Prevention of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: Removing the "chemo" from "chemoprevention". Oral Oncol. 2015; 51:112–118. [PubMed: 25434586]
- Sok JC, Coppelli FM, Thomas SM, Lango MN, Xi S, Hunt JL, et al. Mutant epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFRvIII) contributes to head and neck cancer growth and resistance to EGFR targeting. Clin Cancer Res. 2006; 12:5064–5073. [PubMed: 16951222]
- Cancer Genome Atlas N. Comprehensive genomic characterization of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Nature. 2015; 517:576–582. [PubMed: 25631445]
- Lui VWY, Hedberg ML, Li H, Vangara BS, Pendleton K, Zeng Y, et al. Frequent mutation of the PI3K pathway in head and neck cancer defines predictive biomarkers. Cancer Discov. 2013; 3:761–769. [PubMed: 23619167]
- Stransky N, Egloff AM, Tward AD, Kostic AD, Cibulskis K, Sivachenko A, et al. The mutational landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Science. 2011; 333:1157–1160. [PubMed: 21798893]
- Agrawal N, Frederick MJ, Pickering CR, Bettegowda C, Chang K, Li RJ, et al. Exome sequencing of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma reveals inactivating mutations in NOTCH1. Science. 2011; 333:1154–1157. [PubMed: 21798897]
- Lechardeur D, Sohn KJ, Haardt M, Joshi PB, Monck M, Graham RW, et al. Metabolic instability of plasmid DNA in the cytosol: a potential barrier to gene transfer. Gene Ther. 1999; 6:482–497. [PubMed: 10476208]
- 16. Konopka K, Overlid N, Nagaraj A, Düzgüne N. Serum decreases the size of Metafectene-and Genejammer-DNA complexes but does not affect significantly their transfection activity in SCCVII murine squamous cell carcinoma cells. Cell Mol Biol Lett. 2006; 11:171–190. [PubMed: 16847564]
- Grandis JR, Drenning SD, Zeng Q, Watkins SC, Melhem MF, Endo S, et al. Constitutive activation of Stat3 signaling abrogates apoptosis in squamous cell carcinogenesis in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97:4227–4232. [PubMed: 10760290]
- Kedmi R, Ben-Arie N, Peer D. The systemic toxicity of positively charged lipid nanoparticles and the role of Toll-like receptor 4 in immune activation. Biomaterials. 2010; 31:6867–6875. [PubMed: 20541799]
- Tousignant JD, Gates AL, Ingram LA, Johnson CL, Nietupski JB, Cheng SH, et al. Comprehensive analysis of the acute toxicities induced by systemic administration of cationic lipid: plasmid DNA complexes in mice. Hum Gene Ther. 2000; 11:2493–2513. [PubMed: 11119421]

- Xu L, Pirollo KF, Tang W-H, Rait A, Chang EH. Transferrin-liposome-mediated systemic p53 gene therapy in combination with radiation results in regression of human head and neck cancer xenografts. Hum Gene Ther. 1999; 10:2941–2952. [PubMed: 10609655]
- Xu L, Huang C-C, Huang W, Tang W-H, Rait A, Yin YZ, et al. Systemic Tumor-targeted Gene Delivery by Anti-Transferrin Receptor scFv-Immunoliposomes. Mol Cancer Ther. 2002; 1:337– 346. [PubMed: 12489850]
- 22. Boussif O, Lezoualc'h F, Zanta MA, Mergny MD, Scherman D, Demeneix B, et al. A versatile vector for gene and oligonucleotide transfer into cells in culture and in vivo: polyethylenimine. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995; 92:7297–7301. [PubMed: 7638184]
- 23. Dolivet G, Merlin J-L, Barberi-Heyob M, Ramacci C, Erbacher P, Parache RM, et al. In vivo growth inhibitory effect of iterative wild-type p53 gene transfer in human head and neck carcinoma xenografts using glucosylated polyethylenimine nonviral vector. Cancer Gene Ther. 2002; 9:708–714. [PubMed: 12136433]
- Ndoye A. Sustained gene transfer and enhanced cell death following glucosylated-PEI-mediated p53 gene transfer with photochemical internalisation in p53-mutated head and neck carcinoma cells. Int J Oncol. 2004; 25:1575–1581. [PubMed: 15547693]
- 25. Park I-K, Cook S-E, Kim Y-K, Kim H-W, Cho M-H, Jeong H-J, et al. Glucosylated polyethylenimine as a tumor-targeting gene carrier. Arch Pharm Res. 2005; 28:1302–1310. [PubMed: 16350859]
- 26. Ren H, Zhou L, Liu M, Lu W, Gao C. Peptide GE11–polyethylene glycol–polyethylenimine for targeted gene delivery in laryngeal cancer. Med Oncol. 2015; 32:1–8.
- 27. Sugano M, Negishi Y, Endo-Takahashi Y, Suzuki R, Maruyama K, Yamamoto M. Gene delivery system involving Bubble liposomes and ultrasound for the efficient in vivo delivery of genes into mouse tongue tissue. Int J Pharm. 2012; 422:332–337. [PubMed: 22100513]
- Carson AR, McTiernan CF, Lavery L, Grata M, Leng X, Wang J, et al. Ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction to deliver siRNA cancer therapy. Cancer Res. 2012; 72:6191–6199. [PubMed: 23010078]
- 29. Suzuki R, Takizawa T, Negishi Y, Hagisawa K, Tanaka K, Sawamura K, et al. Gene delivery by combination of novel liposomal bubbles with perfluoropropane and ultrasound. J Control Release. 2007; 117:130–136. [PubMed: 17113176]
- Smith NB, Lee S, Maione E, Roy RB, McElligott S, Shung KK. Ultrasound-mediated transdermal transport of insulin in vitro through human skin using novel transducer designs. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2003; 29:311–317. [PubMed: 12659919]
- Sorace AG, Korb M, Warram JM, Umphrey H, Zinn KR, Rosenthal E, et al. Ultrasound-stimulated drug delivery for treatment of residual disease following incomplete resection of head and neck cancer. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2014; 40:755–764. [PubMed: 24412168]
- 32. Okunaga S, Takasu A, Meshii N, Imai T, Hamada M, Iwai S, et al. Ultrasound as a method to enhance antitumor ability of oncolytic herpes simplex virus for head and neck cancer. Cancer Gene Ther. 2015; 22:163–168. [PubMed: 25656776]
- Blackwell JL, Miller C, Douglas JT, et al. Retargeting to EGFR enhances adenovirus infection efficiency of squamous cell carcinoma. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1999; 125:856–863. [PubMed: 10448731]
- Lalonde ES, Beyer G, Friedlander PL, Kolls JK. Efficacy of transfection rates on head and neck squamous cell cancer by a novel adenovirus: An in vivo and in vitro study. Head Neck. 2002; 24:1038–1046. [PubMed: 12454941]
- He Y, Q Z, SD D, MF M, DJ T, L H. EGFR antisense RNA transcribed from the U6 promotor inhibits human squamous cell carcinoma growth in vivo. National Cancer Institute. 1998; 90:1080–1087.
- 36. Li M, Ye C, Feng C, Riedel F, Liu X, Zeng Q, et al. Enhanced antiangiogenic therapy of squamous cell carcinoma by combined endostatin and epidermal growth factor receptor-antisense therapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2002; 8:3570–3578. [PubMed: 12429648]
- 37. Zeng Q, Kanter PM, Dhir R, Gooding WE, Huang L, Grandis JR. Lack of toxicity of EGFR antisense gene therapy. J Exp Ther Oncol. 2002; 2:174–186. [PubMed: 12415634]

- Thomas SM, Zeng Q, Dyer KF, Suscovich TJ, Kanter PM, Whalen JD, et al. Tissue distribution of liposome-mediated epidermal growth factor receptor antisense gene therapy. Cancer Gene Ther. 2003; 10:518–528. [PubMed: 12833132]
- 39. Li D, Jiang W, Bishop JS, Ralston R, O'Malley BW. Combination surgery and nonviral interleukin 2 gene therapy for head and neck cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 1999; 5:1551–1556. [PubMed: 10389944]
- 40. Matsui M, Kishida T, Nakano H, Yoshimoto K, Shin-Ya M, Shimada T, et al. Interleukin-27 activates natural killer cells and suppresses NK-resistant head and neck squamous cell carcinoma through inducing antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity. Cancer Res. 2009; 69:2523–2530. [PubMed: 19244121]
- Kawakami K, Kawakami M, Joshi BH, Puri RK. Interleukin-13 receptor-targeted cancer therapy in an immunodeficient animal model of human head and neck cancer. Cancer Res. 2001; 61:6194– 6200. [PubMed: 11507072]
- 42. Lai SY, Koppikar P, Thomas SM, Childs EE, Egloff AM, Seethala RR, et al. Intratumoral epidermal growth factor receptor antisense DNA therapy in head and neck cancer: first human application and potential antitumor mechanisms. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:1235–1242. [PubMed: 19204206]
- Michaluart P, Abdallah KA, Lima FD, Smith R, Moyses RA, Coelho V, et al. Phase I trial of DNAhsp65 immunotherapy for advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer Gene Ther. 2008; 15:676–684. [PubMed: 18535616]
- 44. Villaret D, Glisson B, Kenady D, Hanna E, Carey M, Gleich L, et al. A multicenter phase II study of tgDCC-E1A for the intratumoral treatment of patients with recurrent head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Head Neck. 2002; 24:661–669. [PubMed: 12112540]
- 45. Gleich LL, Gluckman JL, Armstrong S, Biddinger PW, Miller MA, Balakrishnan K. Alloantigen gene therapy for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: Results of a phase 1 trial. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1998; 124:1097–1104. [PubMed: 9776187]
- 46. Gleich LL, Gluckman JL, Nemunaitis J, Suen JY, Hanna E, Wolf GT, et al. Clinical experience with HLA-B7 plasmid DNA/lipid complex in advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2001; 127:775–779. [PubMed: 11448348]
- 47. Grandis JR, Chakraborty A, Melhem MF, Zeng Q, Tweardy DJ. Inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor gene expression and function decreases proliferation of head and neck squamous carcinoma but not normal mucosal epithelial cells. Oncogene. 1997; 15:409–416. [PubMed: 9242377]
- Yuen AR, Halsey J, Fisher GA, Holmlund JT, Geary RS, Kwoh TJ, et al. Phase I study of an antisense oligonucleotide to protein kinase C-α (ISIS 3521/CGP 64128A) in patients with cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 1999; 5:3357–3363. [PubMed: 10589745]
- Thomas SM, Sahu B, Rapireddy S, Bahal R, Wheeler SE, Procopio EM, et al. Antitumor effects of EGFR antisense guanidine-based peptide nucleic acids in cancer models. ACS Chem Biol. 2012; 8:345–352. [PubMed: 23113581]
- Thomas SM, Ogagan MJ, Freilino ML, Strychor S, Walsh DR, Gooding WE, et al. Antitumor mechanisms of systemically administered epidermal growth factor receptor antisense oligonucleotides in combination with docetaxel in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Mol Pharmacol. 2008; 73:627–638. [PubMed: 18025070]
- Demidov VV, Potaman VN, Frank-Kamenetskil MD, Egholm M, Buchard O, Sönnichsen SH, et al. Stability of peptide nucleic acids in human serum and cellular extracts. Biochem Pharmacol. 1994; 48:1310–1313. [PubMed: 7945427]
- Sahu B, Chenna V, Lathrop KL, Thomas SM, Zon G, Livak KJ, et al. Synthesis of conformationally preorganized and cell-permeable guanidine-based gamma-peptide nucleic acids (gammaGPNAs). The Journal of organic chemistry. 2009; 74:1509–1516. [PubMed: 19161276]
- 53. Leong PL, Andrews GA, Johnson DE, Dyer KF, Xi S, Mai JC, et al. Targeted inhibition of Stat3 with a decoy oligonucleotide abrogates head and neck cancer cell growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:4138–4143. [PubMed: 12640143]

- 54. Leong PL, Andrews GA, Johnson DE, Dyer KF, Xi S, Mai JC, et al. Targeted inhibition of STAT3 with a decoy oligonucleotide abrogates head and neck cancer cell growth. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:4138–4143. [PubMed: 12640143]
- 55. Boehm AL, Sen M, Seethala R, Gooding WE, Freilino M, Wong SMY, et al. Combined targeting of epidermal growth factor receptor, signal transducer and activator of transcription-3, and Bcl-XL enhances antitumor effects in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Mol Pharmacol. 2008; 73:1632–1642. [PubMed: 18326051]
- 56. Klein JD, Sano D, Sen M, Myers JN, Grandis JR, Kim S. STAT3 oligonucleotide inhibits tumor angiogenesis in preclinical models of squamous cell carcinoma. PloS one. 2014; 9:e81819. [PubMed: 24404126]
- Jing N, Zhu Q, Yuan P, Li Y, Mao L, Tweardy DJ. Targeting signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 with G-quartet oligonucleotides: a potential novel therapy for head and neck cancer. Mol Cancer Ther. 2006; 5:279–286. [PubMed: 16505101]
- Guttmann DM, Hart L, Du K, Seletsky A, Koumenis C. Inhibition of Hsp27 radiosensitizes headand-neck cancer by modulating deoxyribonucleic acid repair. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2013; 87:168–175. [PubMed: 23849696]
- Graff JR, Konicek BW, Vincent TM, Lynch RL, Monteith D, Weir SN, et al. Therapeutic suppression of translation initiation factor eIF4E expression reduces tumor growth without toxicity. J Clin Invest. 2007; 117:2638–2648. [PubMed: 17786246]
- 60. Hong DS, Kurzrock R, Oh Y, Wheler J, Naing A, Brail L, et al. A phase 1 dose escalation, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic evaluation of eIF-4E antisense oligonucleotide LY2275796 in patients with advanced cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2011; 17:6582–6591. [PubMed: 21831956]
- 61. Sen M, Thomas SM, Kim S, Yeh JI, Ferris RL, Johnson JT, et al. First-in-human trial of a STAT3 decoy oligonucleotide in head and neck tumors: implications for cancer therapy. Cancer Discov. 2012; 2:694–705. [PubMed: 22719020]
- 62. Mansoori B, Shotorbani SS, Baradaran B. RNA interference and its role in cancer therapy. 2014
- 63. Fabian MR, Sonenberg N. The mechanics of miRNA-mediated gene silencing: a look under the hood of miRISC. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2012; 19:586–593. [PubMed: 22664986]
- Jackson AL, Burchard J, Schelter J, Chau BN, Cleary M, Lim L, et al. Widespread siRNA "offtarget" transcript silencing mediated by seed region sequence complementarity. RNA. 2006; 12:1179–1187. [PubMed: 16682560]
- Persengiev SP, Zhu X, Green MR. Nonspecific, concentration-dependent stimulation and repression of mammalian gene expression by small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). RNA. 2004; 10:12–18. [PubMed: 14681580]
- Doench JG, Petersen CP, Sharp PA. siRNAs can function as miRNAs. Genes Dev. 2003; 17:438– 442. [PubMed: 12600936]
- 67. Pan Q, Bao LW, Teknos TN, Merajver SD. Targeted disruption of protein kinase Cε reduces cell invasion and motility through inactivation of RhoA and RhoC GTPases in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2006; 66:9379–9384. [PubMed: 17018591]
- 68. Zhang Q, Zhang ZF, Rao JY, Sato JD, Brown J, Messadi DV, et al. Treatment with siRNA and antisense oligonucleotides targeted to HIF-1α induced apoptosis in human tongue squamous cell carcinomas. Int J Cancer. 2004; 111:849–857. [PubMed: 15300796]
- Mazumdar A, Henderson YC, El-Naggar AK, Sen S, Clayman GL. Aurora kinase A inhibition and paclitaxel as targeted combination therapy for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Head Neck. 2009; 31:625–634. [PubMed: 19107951]
- 70. Ferris RL, Grandis JR. NF-κB gene signatures and p53 mutations in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2007; 13:5663–5664. [PubMed: 17908953]
- 71. Loercher A, Lee TL, Ricker JL, Howard A, Geoghegen J, Chen Z, et al. Nuclear Factor-κB is an Important Modulator of the Altered Gene Expression Profile and Malignant Phenotype in Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2004; 64:6511–6523. [PubMed: 15374962]
- 72. Duan J, Friedman J, Nottingham L, Chen Z, Ara G, Van Waes C. Nuclear factor-κB p65 small interfering RNA or proteasome inhibitor bortezomib sensitizes head and neck squamous cell

Author Manuscript

carcinomas to classic histone deacetylase inhibitors and novel histone deacetylase inhibitor PXD101. Mol Cancer Ther. 2007; 6:37–50. [PubMed: 17237265]

- 73. Lun M, Zhang PL, Pellitteri PK, Law A, Kennedy TL, Brown RE. Nuclear factor-κB pathway as a therapeutic target in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: Pharmaceutical and molecular validation in human cell lines using Velcade and siRNA/NF-κB. Ann Clin Lab Sci. 2005; 35:251– 258. [PubMed: 16081580]
- 74. Nozawa H, Tadakuma T, Ono T, Sato M, Hiroi S, Masumoto K, et al. Small interfering RNA targeting epidermal growth factor receptor enhances chemosensitivity to cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil and docetaxel in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Sci. 2006; 97:1115–1124. [PubMed: 16984384]
- Rahman MA, Amin ARM, Wang X, Zuckerman JE, Choi CHJ, Zhou B, et al. Systemic delivery of siRNA nanoparticles targeting RRM2 suppresses head and neck tumor growth. J Control Release. 2012; 159:384–392. [PubMed: 22342644]
- 76. Chen WH, Lecaros RL, Tseng YC, Huang L, Hsu YC. Nanoparticle delivery of HIF1alpha siRNA combined with photodynamic therapy as a potential treatment strategy for head-and-neck cancer. Cancer Lett. 2015; 359:65–74. [PubMed: 25596376]
- 77. Rao DD, Vorhies JS, Senzer N, Nemunaitis J. siRNA vs. shRNA: similarities and differences. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2009; 61:746–759. [PubMed: 19389436]
- Deng Y, Wang CC, Choy KW, Du Q, Chen J, Wang Q, et al. Therapeutic potentials of gene silencing by RNA interference: Principles, challenges, and new strategies. Gene. 2014; 538:217– 227. [PubMed: 24406620]
- Kindt N, Lechien J, Nonclercq D, Laurent G, Saussez S. Involvement of CD74 in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2014; 140:937–947. [PubMed: 24663824]
- 80. Sobral LM, Sousa LO, Coletta RD, Cabral H, Greene LJ, Tajara EH, et al. Stable SET knockdown in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma promotes cell invasion and the mesenchymal-like phenotype in vitro, as well as necrosis, cisplatin sensitivity and lymph node metastasis in xenograft tumor models. Mol Cancer. 2014; 13:32–32. [PubMed: 24555657]
- Liu D, Tao Z-Z, Xiao B-K, Chen S, Chi H. Inhibitory effect of silencing hTERT gene by short hairpin RNA on growth of human laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma xenograft in nude mice. Ai Zheng. 2006; 25:11–16. [PubMed: 16405742]
- 82. Hsin C-H, Chen M-K, Tang C-H, Lin H-P, Chou M-Y, Lin C-W, et al. High level of plasma matrix metalloproteinase-11 is associated with clinicopathological characteristics in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9:e113129. [PubMed: 25423087]
- 83. Chen S-M, Wang Y, Xiao B-K, Tao Z-Z. Effect of blocking VEGF, hTERT and Bcl-xl by multiple shRNA expression vectors on the human laryngeal squamous carcinoma xenograft in nude mice. Cancer Biol Ther. 2008; 7:734–739. [PubMed: 18285708]
- 84. Hazar-Rethinam M, Merida de Long L, Gannon O, Topkas E, Boros S, Vargas AC, et al. A novel E2F/Sphingosine kinase 1 axis regulates anthracycline response in squamous cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2014
- Wang Y-D, Li S-J, Liao J-X. Inhibition of glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) chemosensitized head and neck cancer cells to cisplatin. Technol Cancer Res Treat. 2013; 12:525–535. [PubMed: 23617290]
- Kothari V, Mulherkar R. Inhibition of cyclin D1 by shRNA is associated with enhanced sensitivity to conventional therapies for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Anticancer Res. 2012; 32:121–128. [PubMed: 22213296]
- Tassone P, Old M, Teknos TN, Pan Q. p53-based therapeutics for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncol. 2013; 49:733–737. [PubMed: 23623836]
- Liu T-J, El-Naggar AK, McDonnell TJ, Steck KD, Wang M, Taylor DL, et al. Apoptosis induction mediated by wild-type p53 adenoviral gene transfer in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer Res. 1995; 55:3117–3122. [PubMed: 7606733]
- Xu L, Tang W-H, Huang C-C, Alexander W, Xiang L-M, Pirollo KF, et al. Systemic p53 gene therapy of cancer with immunolipoplexes targeted by anti-transferrin receptor scFv. Mol Med. 2001; 7:723. [PubMed: 11713371]

- 90. Chang EH, Jang Y-J, Hao Z, Murphy G, Rait A, Fee WE Jr, et al. Restoration of the G1 checkpoint and the apoptotic pathway mediated by wild-type p53 sensitizes squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck to radiotherapy. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1997; 123:507. [PubMed: 9158398]
- Clayman GL, Frank DK, Bruso PA, Goepfert H. Adenovirus-mediated wild-type p53 gene transfer as a surgical adjuvant in advanced head and neck cancers. Clin Cancer Res. 1999; 5:1715–1722. [PubMed: 10430074]
- O'Malley BW, Chen S-H, Schwartz MR, Woo SLC. Adenovirus-mediated gene therapy for human head and neck squamous cell cancer in a nude mouse model. Cancer Res. 1995; 55:1080–1085. [PubMed: 7866992]
- 93. Morris JC, Wildner O. Therapy of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma with an oncolytic adenovirus expressing HSV-tk. Mol Ther. 2000; 1:56–62. [PubMed: 10933912]
- 94. Kanazawa T, Mizukami H, Okada T, Hanazono Y, Kume A, Nishino H, et al. Suicide gene therapy using AAV-HSVtk/ganciclovir in combination with irradiation results in regression of human head and neck cancer xenografts in nude mice. Gene Ther. 2003; 10:51–58. [PubMed: 12525837]
- 95. Masuelli L, Fantini M, Benvenuto M, Sacchetti P, Giganti MG, Tresoldi I, et al. Intratumoral delivery of recombinant vaccinia virus encoding for ErbB2/Neu inhibits the growth of salivary gland carcinoma cells. J Transl Med. 2014; 12:122. [PubMed: 24886178]
- 96. Yoo GH, Moon J, LeBlanc M, Lonardo F, Urba S, Kim H, et al. A phase 2 trial of surgery with perioperative INGN 201 (Ad5CMV-p53) gene therapy followed by chemoradiotherapy for advanced, resectable squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, and larynx: report of the Southwest Oncology Group. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009; 135:869–874. [PubMed: 19770418]
- 97. Li Y, Li L-J, Wang L-J, Zhang Z, Gao N, Liang C-Y, et al. Selective intra-arterial infusion of rAdp53 with chemotherapy for advanced oral cancer: a randomized clinical trial. BMC Med. 2014; 12:16–16. [PubMed: 24479409]
- 98. Nemunaitis J, Clayman G, Agarwala SS, Hrushesky W, Wells JR, Moore C, et al. Biomarkers predict p53 gene therapy efficacy in recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Clin Cancer Res. 2009; 15:7719–7725. [PubMed: 19996201]
- Mace ATM, Harrow SJ, Ganly I, Brown SM. Cytotoxic effects of the oncolytic herpes simplex virus HSV1716 alone and in combination with cisplatin in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh). 2007; 127:880–887. [PubMed: 17763002]
- 100. Chahlavi A, Todo T, Martuza RL, Rabkin SD. Replication-competent herpes simplex virus vector G207 and cisplatin combination therapy for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Neoplasia. 1999; 1:162–169. [PubMed: 10933051]
- 101. Fujimoto Y, Mizuno T, Sugiura S, Goshima F, Kohno S-I, Nakashima T, et al. Intratumoral injection of herpes simplex virus HF10 in recurrent head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh). 2006; 126:1115–1117. [PubMed: 16923721]
- 102. Bischoff JR, Kirn DH, Williams A, Heise C, Horn S, Muna M, et al. An adenovirus mutant that replicates selectively in p53-deficient human tumor cells. Science. 1996; 274:373–376. [PubMed: 8832876]
- Heise CC, Williams AM, Xue S, Propst M, Kirn DH. Intravenous administration of ONYX-015, a selectively replicating adenovirus, induces antitumoral efficacy. Cancer Res. 1999; 59:2623– 2628. [PubMed: 10363984]
- 104. Vannucci L, Lai M, Chiuppesi F, Ceccherini-Nelli L, Pistello M. Viral vectors: a look back and ahead on gene transfer technology. New Microbiol. 2013; 36:1–22. [PubMed: 23435812]
- 105. Shin EJ, Wanna GB, Choi B, Aguila D, Ebert O, Genden EM, et al. Interleukin-12 expression enhances vesicular stomatitis virus oncolytic therapy in murine squamous cell carcinoma. Laryngoscope. 2007; 117:210–214. [PubMed: 17204993]
- 106. Zaoui K, Bossow S, Grossardt C, Leber MF, Springfeld C, Plinkert PK, et al. Chemovirotherapy for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma with EGFR-targeted and CD/UPRT-armed oncolytic measles virus. Cancer Gene Ther. 2011; 19:181–191. [PubMed: 22076043]

- 107. Mace A, Ganly I, Soutar DS, Brown SM. Potential for efficacy of the oncolytic Herpes simplex virus 1716 in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma. Head Neck. 2008; 30:1045–1051. [PubMed: 18615711]
- 108. Ganly I, Kirn D, Eckhardt SG, Rodriguez GI, Soutar DS, Otto R, et al. A phase I study of Onyx-015, an E1B attenuated adenovirus, administered intratumorally to patients with recurrent head and neck cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2000; 6:798–806. [PubMed: 10741699]
- 109. Nemunaitis J, Khuri F, Ganly I, Arseneau J, Posner M, Vokes E, et al. Phase II trial of intratumoral administration of ONYX-015, a replication-selective adenovirus, in patients with refractory head and neck cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2001; 19:289–298. [PubMed: 11208818]
- 110. Lamont JP, Nemunaitis J, Kuhn JA, Landers SA, McCarty TM. A prospective phase II trial of ONYX-015 adenovirus and chemotherapy in recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (the Baylor experience). Ann Surg Oncol. 2000; 7:588–592. [PubMed: 11005557]
- Garber K. China approves world's first oncolytic virus therapy for cancer treatment. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2006; 98:298–300. [PubMed: 16507823]
- 112. Hu JC, Coffin RS, Davis CJ, Graham NJ, Groves N, Guest PJ, et al. A phase I study of OncoVEXGM-CSF, a second-generation oncolytic herpes simplex virus expressing granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Clin Cancer Res. 2006; 12:6737–6747. [PubMed: 17121894]
- 113. Senzer NN, Kaufman HL, Amatruda T, Nemunaitis M, Reid T, Daniels G, et al. Phase II clinical trial of a granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-encoding, second-generation oncolytic herpesvirus in patients with unresectable metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:5763–5771. [PubMed: 19884534]
- 114. Kaufman HL, Kim DW, DeRaffele G, Mitcham J, Coffin RS, Kim-Schulze S. Local and distant immunity induced by intralesional vaccination with an oncolytic herpes virus encoding GM-CSF in patients with stage IIIc and IV melanoma. Ann Surg Oncol. 2010; 17:718–730. [PubMed: 19915919]
- 115. Hu JCC, Coffin RS, Davis CJ, Graham NJ, Groves N, Guest PJ, et al. A phase I study of OncoVEXGM-CSF, a second-generation oncolytic herpes simplex virus expressing granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Clin Cancer Res. 2006; 12:6737–6747. [PubMed: 17121894]
- 116. Hallahan DE, Mauceri HJ, Seung LP, Dunphy EJ, Wayne JD, Hanna NN, et al. Spatial and temporal control of gene therapy using ionizing radiation. Nat Med. 1995; 1:786–791. [PubMed: 7585181]
- 117. Seiwert TY, Darga T, Haraf D, Blair EA, Stenson K, Cohen EEW, et al. A phase I dose escalation study of Ad GV.EGR.TNF.11D (TNFerade[™] Biologic) with concurrent chemoradiotherapy in patients with recurrent head and neck cancer undergoing reirradiation. Ann Oncol. 2013; 24:769– 776. [PubMed: 23104721]
- 118. Shimizu S, Tsukada J, Sugimoto T, Kikkawa N, Sasaki K, Chazono H, et al. Identification of a novel therapeutic target for head and neck squamous cell carcinomas: A role for the neurotensinneurotensin receptor 1 oncogenic signaling pathway. Int J Cancer. 2008; 123:1816–1823. [PubMed: 18661521]
- 119. Wang Y, Tao Z-Z, Chen S-M, Xiao B-K, Zhou X-H, Liu J-P. Application of combination of short hairpin RNA segments for silencing VEGF, TERT, and Bcl-xl expression in laryngeal squamous carcinoma. Cancer Biol Ther. 2008; 7:896–901. [PubMed: 18367874]
- 120. Clayman GL, El-Naggar AK, Lippman SM, Henderson YC, Frederick M, Merritt JA, et al. Adenovirus-mediated p53 gene transfer in patients with advanced recurrent head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 1998; 16:2221–2232. [PubMed: 9626224]
- 121. Zhang SW, Xiao SW, Liu CQ, Sun Y, Su X, Li DM, et al. [Treatment of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma by recombinant adenovirus-p53 combined with radiotherapy: A phase II clinical trial of 42 cases]. Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi. 2003; 83:2023–2028. [PubMed: 14703408]



Figure 1. Summary of the delivery vectors primarily tested for nucleic acid therapy in HNSCC Naked DNA in the form of plasmids or oligonucleotides can freely diffuse across the plasma membrane and may enter the nucleus for expression. Microbubbles carrying nucleic acids ruptured at the tumor site by ultrasound, release nucleic acids cargo that diffuses into tumor cells. Liposomes with or without targeted peptides fuse with the plasma membrane and deliver cargo into the cell. Polymer vehicles diffuse across the plasma membrane and release the payload into the nucleus or cytoplasm. Viral vectors can efficiently deliver exogenous nucleic acids into tumor cells. Oncolytic viruses specifically replicate and lyse target tumor cells.



Figure 2. Summary of the methods used for targeting gene expression in the treatment of HNSCC

Exogenous plasmid or viral DNA can stably integrate into the genomic DNA or exist as an episome within the nucleus. RNA polymerases (RNA pol) transcribe the foreign DNA into RNA fragments. Antisense RNA binds to target mRNA in the cytoplasm inhibiting transcription. RNAi-mediated translation inhibition is achieved after processing of double stranded shRNA, siRNA, or miRNA precursor molecules by molecular machinery. Precursor miRNA, are processed in the nucleus and subsequently exported into the cytoplasm. Dicer cleaves shRNA, siRNA, and miRNA precursors into 21-25 base oligomers that are loaded onto the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) loading complex, which consist of Dicer, argonaute (Ago), and a dsRNA-binding protein. The guide strand (blue) binds complementary mRNA while the passenger strand is discarded. Perfect homology with the guide strand triggers target mRNA cleavage. Mismatch in a few bases results in translational repression through inhibition of ribosomal function.



Figure 3. Chemical modifications of oligonucleotide backbones

Phosphorothioate-modified oligonucleotides (PTO) are formed by creating phosphorothioate bonds through the substitution of a sulfur atom for a non-bridging oxygen in the phosphate backbone. Peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) are DNA analogues with backbones consisting of N-(2-aminoethyl)-glycine units linked by peptide bonds. The addition of a positively charged guanidinium group to the PNA backbone (GPNA) facilitates oligomer uptake across cell membranes.

Table 1

Published clinical data on nucleic acid targeting in the treatment of HNSCC.

Phase	Technique	Target Gene	Action	Vector	Biological Response	Clinical Response	Ref
0	Oligonucleotide	STAT3	Inhibition	-	Reduced levels of STAT3 target genes	-	61
Ι	Plasmid	Hsp65	Expression	-	Increased monocyte proliferation	PR in 4 of 14; SD in 1 of 14	43
Ι	Plasmid	HLA-B7	Expression	Liposome	Expression of HLA-B7 with increased apoptosis	PR in 4 of 9	45
Ι	Plasmid	EGFR	Inhibition	Plasmid	Decreased EGFR and STAT3 in vitro	29% with 2 CR, 3 PR, and 2 SD	42
Ι	ASO	eIF-4E	Inhibition	-	Reduction in cytoplasmic eIF-4E in 9 of 12 and 3 of 6 had reduced nuclear eIF-4E protein	22 patients assessed; 15 had PD, 7 had SD	60
Π	Plasmid	E1A	Expression	Liposome	<i>E1A</i> expression in 14 of 15 specimens via RT-PCR	CR in 4.2%, PR in 8.3%, SD in 29.2%	44
Π	Plasmid	HLA-B7	Expression	Liposome	-	SD or PR in 33%	46

Abbreviations: ASO, antisense oligonucleotide; CR, complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease.

Table 2

Putative RNAi targets associated with sequence-specific nucleic acid targeting in HNSCC.

Putative Target	Effects in HNSCC	Agent	Ref
AURKA	Increased apoptosis, paclitaxel sensitivity		69
CD74	Decreased VEGF and MMP-9 expression, decreased cell proliferation		79
Cyclin-D1	Decreased cell cycle progression, increased cisplatin sensitivity	shRNA	86
EGFR	Decreased cell proliferation, increased 5-FU and cisplatin sensitivity	siRNA	74
GLUT1	Decreased cell proliferation, increased apoptosis, increased cisplatin sensitivity	shRNA	85
HIF-1a	Increased apoptosis, decreased cell proliferation	siRNA	68
NF-к B	Decreased cell proliferation	siRNA	⁷⁰ , 73
NTSR1	Decreased invasion and migration	siRNA	118
ΡΚCε	Decreased invasion and motility	siRNA	67
RelA	Cell cycle arrest, increased sensitivity to HDI	siRNA	72
RRM2	Decreased tumor proliferation, increased apoptosis	siRNA	75
SET	Decreased E-cadherin and pan-cytokeratin, increased MMP-9 and -2 expression, decreased proliferation and increased cisplatin sensitivity	shRNA	80
SPHK1	Increased doxorubicin sensitivity, decreased AKT activation	shRNA	84
TERT/VEGF/BCI-XL	Increased apoptosis	shRNA	81, 83, 119

Table 3

Published clinical data on viral vectors in the treatment of HNSCC.

Phase	Technique	Target Gene	Virus	Dose	Biological Response	Clinical Response	Ref
Ι	Adenovirus	p53	Ad-p53	1×10 ¹¹ pfu	P53 expression detected	PR in 2, SD in 6, PD in 9	120
п	Adenovirus + XRT	p53	Ad-p53	$1 \times 10^{12} \text{ vp}$	-	Tumor reduction	121
п	Adenovirus + CRTX	p53	INGN 201 (Ad-p53)	1×10 ¹² vp	-	92% 1-year progression free survival	96
Ш	Adenovirus + CTX vs CTX	p53	Ad-p53	1×10 ¹² vp	Increased p53, Bax and decreased Bcl-2	82% RR with 48% CR	97
Ι	OV	TNF-a	TNFerad e™ Biologic	4×10 ¹¹ pfu	TNF-a expression in all biopsy specimens	83.3% RR: CR in 5, PR in 5, SD in 2, PD in 3	117
Ι	OV	-	ONYX-105	1×10 ¹¹ pfu	-	No objective clinical response	108
Ι	OV	-	HSV1716	1×10^5 or 5×10^5 pfu	HSV DNA in tissue biopsy of 2 patients	-	107
Ш	ov	-	ONYX-015	2×10 ¹¹ vp	PCR detected virus in 41% of patients 24 h after injection and 9% after 10 d in first cycle	Regimen 1 -SD in 41%, PD in 45% PR/CR in 14% PR/CR Regimen 2 - CR in 10%, SD in 62%, PD in 29%	109
П	OV + CTX	-	ONYX-015	1×10 ¹⁰ pfu	-	CR in 33%, PR in 33%, mR in 11%, SD in 22%	110
Ι	OV	GM-CSF	OncoVE XGM-CSF	1×10 ⁶ pfu	GM-CSF detected 48 h after injection;	SD in 3 of 17	115
П	OV	GM-CSF	OncoVE XGM-CSF	1×10^{6} pfu/mL (up to 6 mL)	Seroconversion of all patients after injection	26% RR; CR in 8 of 50, PR in 5 of 50	113

Abbreviations: OV, oncolytic virus; XRT, radiotherapy; CTX, chemotherapy; CRTX, chemoradiotherapy; pfu, plaque forming units; vp, viral particles; RR, response rate; CR, complete response; PR, partial response; mR, minor response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease;