Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

IMG 4 version of the integrated microbial genomes comparative analysis system

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/279230df

Authors

Markowitz, Victor M. Chen, I-Min A. Palaniappan, Krishna et al.

Publication Date

2014-04-01

IMG 4 version of the integrated microbial genomes comparative analysis system

Victor M. Markowitz^{1*}, I-Min A. Chen¹, Krishna Palaniappan¹, Ken Chu¹, Ernest Szeto¹, Manoj Pillay¹, Anna Ratner¹, Jinghua Huang¹, Tanja Woyke², Marcel Huntemann², Iain Anderson², Konstantinos Billis², Neha Varghese², Konstantinos Mavromatis², Amrita Pati², Natalia N. Ivanova² and Nikos C. Kyrpides²:

¹Biological Data Management and Technology Center, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, USA

²Microbial Genome and Metagenome Program, Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, 2800 Mitchell Drive, Walnut Creek, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Tel: Phone: +510 486 7073; Fax: +1 510 486 5812; Email: VMMarkowitz@lbl.gov

Correspondence may also be addressed to: Nikos C. Kyrpides. Tel: Phone: +925 296 5718; Fax: +925 296 5666; Email: nckyrpides@lbl.gov

October 2, 2013

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The work presented in this paper was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Life Sciences Division, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

DISCLAIMER:

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States

IMG 4 version of the integrated microbial genomes comparative analysis system

Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California.

IMG 4 version of the integrated microbial genomes comparative analysis system

Victor M. Markowitz¹, I-Min A. Chen¹, Krishna Palaniappan¹, Ken Chu¹, Ernest Szeto¹, Manoj Pillay¹, Anna Ratner¹, Jinghua Huang¹, Tanja Woyke², Marcel Huntemann², Iain Anderson², Konstantinos Billis², Neha Varghese², Konstantinos Mavromatis², Amrita Pati², Natalia N. Ivanova² and Nikos C. Kyrpides²

¹Biological Data Management and Technology Center, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, USA, ²Microbial Genome and Metagenome Program, Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, 2800 Mitchell Drive, Walnut Creek, USA

ABSTRACT

The Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG) data warehouse integrates genomes from all three domains of life, as well as plasmids, viruses, and genome fragments. IMG provides tools for analyzing and reviewing the structural and functional annotations of genomes in a comparative context. IMG's data content and analytical capabilities have increased continuously since its first version released in 2005. Since the last report published in the 2012 NAR Database Issue, IMG's annotation and data integration pipelines have evolved while new tools have been added for recording and analyzing single cell genomes, RNA Seq and biosynthetic cluster data. Different IMG data marts provide support for the analysis of publicly available genomes (IMG/W: http://img.igi.doe.gov/w), expert review of genome annotations (IMG/ER: http://img.igi.doe.gov/edu).

DATA SOURCES AND PROCESSING

The Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG) system integrates genomes from all three domains of life, as well as viruses, plasmids and genome fragments (partial sequences of genomic regions of interest, such as biosynthetic clusters). Until 2012 IMG employed NCBI's RefSeq resource (1) as its main source of public genome sequence data and annotations consisting of predicted genes and protein products, with a RefSeq specific pipeline employed for retrieving new genomes from RefSeq's ftp site. For non-public (i.e. "private") datasets, the IMG ER Submission system allowed scientists to select their sequencing projects in GOLD (2) and then submit their genome sequence data for annotation and integration into the "Expert Review" version IMG. of (http://img.jgi.doe.gov/er). Public and private genomes were processed using different annotation and data integration pipelines, and recorded in different databases.

In an effort to improve the efficiency of data processing and tracking, IMG's genome submission, annotation and integration pipelines were consolidated in Nov 2012. The IMG ER Submission system (http://img.igi.doe.gov/submit) and associated (submission, gene prediction, functional annotation, and data integration) data processing pipelines were extended in order to handle both public and private genomes in a uniform manner. The pipelines employ a common mechanism for tracking the processing status of genome datasets, GOLD provides the information needed for retrieving new public genomes from RefSeq or GenBank (3), and both public and private genomes are recorded in a common IMG data warehouse.

For every genome, the IMG data warehouse records primary genome sequence information including its organization into chromosomal replicons (for finished genomes) and scaffolds and/or contigs (for draft genomes), together with predicted protein-coding sequences (CDSs), some RNA-coding genes, and protein product names that are provided by the genome sequence centres or generated by IMG's functional annotation pipeline.

Public and private genomes submitted for annotation and integration by IMG's pipelines are first associated with sequencing projects in GOLD. Custom tools and metadata about the topology of contigs and scaffolds are used to identify the origin of replication of circular replicons and permute the

corresponding scaffold or contig if necessary. In order to ensure accurate identification of partial genes bordering the gaps, gene models and other features are initially predicted on individual contigs and combined thereafter to generate scaffold-level structural annotation. CRISPR elements are detected using CRT (4) and PILERCR (5). Predictions from both methods are concatenated and in case of overlapping elements, the shorter one is removed. Identification of tRNAs is performed using tRNAScan-SE-1.23 (6). Ribosomal RNA genes (5S, 16S, 23S) are predicted using hmmsearch against the custom models generated for each type of rRNA in bacteria and archaea (16). With the exception of tRNA and rRNA, all models from Rfam [8] are used to search the genome sequence. Sequences are first compared to a database containing all the ncRNA genes in the Rfam database using BLAST, then sequences that have hits to genes belonging to an Rfam model are searched using the program INFERNAL (9). Signal peptides are computed using SignalP (10), while transmembrane helices are computed using TMHMM (11). Protein-coding genes are predicted using Prodigal (12); models overlapping with CRISPRs and certain types of RNAs (e. g. rRNAs) are removed

After a new genome is processed, protein-coding genes are compared to protein families and the proteome of selected publicly available "core" genomes, with product names assigned based on the results of these comparisons. First, protein sequences are compared to COG (13) using RPS-BLAST, Pfam-A (14) using HMMER 3.0b2 executed inside Sanger's pfam scan.pl wrapper script and TIGRfam (15) databases using HMMER 3.0 (16), and associated with KEGG Ortholog (KO) terms (17) using USEARCH (18). Genomes in IMG are associated with KEGG pathways using the assignment of KEGG Orthology (KO) terms to protein coding genes, while their association with MetaCyc pathways (19) is based on correlating enzyme EC numbers in MetaCyc reactions with EC numbers associated with protein coding genes via KO terms. Genes are further characterized using an IMG native collection of generic (protein cluster-independent) functional roles called IMG terms that are defined by their association with generic (organism-independent) functional hierarchies, called IMG pathways (20). IMG terms and pathways are specified by domain experts at DOE-JGI as part of the process of annotating specific genomes of interest, and are subsequently propagated to all the genomes in IMG using a rule based methodology. Transporter genes are linked to the Transport Classification Database (21) based on their assignment to COG, Pfam, or TIGRfam domains or IMG Terms that correspond to transporter families.

The integration of new genomes into IMG involves computing protein sequence similarities between their genes and genes of all other (new or existing) genomes in the system, assigning IMG terms and protein product names to the genes of the new genomes, identifying fusions, and computing conserved gene cassettes (putative operons). For each gene, IMG provides lists of related (e.g., homolog, paralog, ortholog) genes that are based on sequence similarities computed using USEARCH for protein coding and RNA genes. A fused gene (fusion) is defined as a gene that is formed from the composition (fusion) of two or more previously separate genes (22). Fusions are identified based on computing USEARCH similarities between genes. Only genes from finished genomes are considered as putative components in order to avoid false predictions from fragmented genes in draft genomes. Furthermore, genes that are frequently appear as fragmented in finished genomes, such as transposases and integrases, as well as pseudogenes are excluded from fusion calculations. Putative horizontally transferred genes are identified from the sequence similarity data. The phylogenetic distribution of best hits against a set of reference isolate genomes also provides additional information on possible horizontal gene transfers for isolates. A chromosomal cassette is defined as a stretch of genes with intergenic distance smaller or equal to 300 base pairs, whereby the genes can be on the same or different strands of the chromosome. Chromosomal cassettes with a minimum size of two genes common in at least two separate genomes are defined as conserved chromosomal cassettes. The identification of common genes across organisms is based on two gene clustering methods, namely participation in COG and Pfam clusters (23).

Note that for public and private genomes that are already associated with genes and/or protein product names, the native gene and/or product names are preserved in IMG unless their replacement is explicitly requested at the time they are submitted for annotation and integration into IMG.

DATA CONTENT

Genomics Data

The content of IMG has grown steadily since the first version released in March 2005, with the current version of IMG (as of September 10, 2013) containing a total of **11,568** bacterial, archaeal, and eukaryotic genomes, an increase of over 300% since August 2011 (24). IMG also includes **2,848** viral genomes, **1,198** plasmids that did not come from a specific microbial genome sequencing project, and **581** genome fragments, bringing its total content to **16,195** genome datasets with over **42 million** protein coding genes.

The number of **single cell genomes** included into IMG has increased substantially: there are **1,341** single cell genomes in the current version of IMG compared to only 21 in August 2011. About **240** single cell genomes are part of the Microbial Dark Matter (MDM) project that aims to expand the Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea (GEBA) by targeting 100 single cell representatives of uncultured candidate phyla (25).

IMG has **13,342** genome datasets that are publicly available to all users without restrictions via the IMG/W datamart (http://img.jgi.doe.gov/w). Genomes that have not been yet published (also known as "private") are password protected and available only to the scientists who study ("own") them through the IMG/ER ("Expert Review") datamart (http://img.jgi.doe.gov/er). Private genomes are usually publicly released six months after the dataset becomes available in IMG.

IMG/ER allows individual scientists or groups of scientists to review and curate the functional annotation of microbial genomes in the context of IMG's public genomes (26). Since August 2011, hundreds of private genomes have been reviewed and curated using IMG/ER, a relatively small fraction of the 9,000 genomes that were processed by IMG's data annotation and integration pipelines, since genome curation is a time consuming process. Genome curation is usually carried out for identifying missing genes or for correcting functional annotations, for example as part of the process of curating IMG native terms and pathways.

Omics Data

Proteomics datasets have been gradually included into IMG starting in 2009. Since August 2011, 64 new protein expression datasets (samples) that are part of two studies were included into IMG, bringing the total to 90 samples across five studies. The organization and analysis of proteomic data in IMG is discussed in (24).

The first RNAseq (transcriptomic) datasets included into IMG in 2011 are part of the Synechococcus *PCC* study consisting of about 40 samples (27). As of August 2013, IMG contains 99 samples across ten RNASeq studies. A typical RNASeq study involves the sequencing of cDNA from a genome under different experimental conditions, with the effect of each experimental condition being captured by a sample. As part of RNASeq sequencing analysis, reads are mapped to the reference genome involved in the study, and the expressed genes in each sample are recorded with their observed reads count, mean, median, and strand. RNA reads are mapped to reference genomes using Bowtie2 (28). The scope of mapping is determined by the type of cDNA sample (sscDNA/dscDNA) and the directionality of the libraries, whereby reads may map to a single strand or both strands of the reference sequence. Expression levels are normalized by computing RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase Per Million), Quantile or Affine transformations and may need to be interpreted based on the type of cDNA in the sample. For genomes involved in RNASeq studies, the experiments/samples are recorded in IMG together with experimental conditions and the read counts are organized per expressed gene, as illustrated in Figure 1.

Biosynthetic Clusters

IMG contains biosynthetic clusters of genes associated with pathways involved in the generation of secondary metabolites in isolate prokaryotic genomes. Experimentally validated biosynthetic clusters were identified by searching NCBI's nucleotide database for genome fragments (partially sequenced genomes) containing gene clusters associated with secondary metabolites /natural products (29). Additional biosynthetic clusters were predicted using ClusterFinder (30). Biosynthetic clusters in IMG are associated with IMG, Metacyc, and KEGG pathways as well as information available in GOLD on their natural products.

Genomes associated with biosynthetic clusters can be examined as illustrated in Figure 3, where these genomes are listed in descending order of the number of biosynthetic clusters present in them. Alternatively, IMG can be used to find genomes associated with natural products associated with genome fragments but not with biosynthetic clusters, as illustrated in Figure 4(v). Natural products are small metabolites found in nature and while the biosynthetic clusters associated with the generation of natural products have been identified, there are still natural products whose production mechanisms in prokaryotes remain unknown.

ANALYSIS TOOLS

Browsers and search tools allow finding and selecting genomes, genes and functions of interest, which can then be examined individually or analyzed in a comparative context. Gene content based comparison of genomes is provided by the "Phylogenetic Profiler" and the "Phylogenetic Profiler for Gene Cassettes" tools that allow identifying genes in a query genome in terms of presence or absence of homologs in other genomes, or participation in conserved gene cassettes across other genomes (31). Function based comparison of genomes is provided by the "Abundance Profile Overview" and "Function Profile" tools that allow comparing the relative abundance of protein families (COGs, Pfams, TIGRfams) and functional families (enzymes) across genomes. The composition of analysis operations is facilitated by genome, scaffold, gene and function "carts" that handle lists of genomes, scaffolds, genes and functions, respectively. IMG analysis tools have been discussed in (24). Tools for identifying and correcting annotation anomalies, such as dubious protein product names, and for filling annotation gaps, such as genes that may have been missed by gene prediction tools or genes without predicted functions are discussed in (26). IMG analysis tool extensions have addressed performance (32), data quality control, such as single cell data decontamination (33), and new data types, such as RNA-Seq and biosynthetic cluster data.

RNA-Seq studies can be accessed from the "Experiments Statistics" section of the "IMG Statistics" page or the "Organism Details" pages of their associated genomes. For example, the "Expression Studies" link in the "Organism Details" page, such as that shown in Figure 3(i), leads to the list of associated RNA-Seq samples, as the list shown in Figure 3(ii).

RNA-Seq studies associated with a genome can be compared using pairwise or multiple sample analysis tools as illustrated in Figure 4. After samples are selected for comparison (Figure 4(i)), pairs of samples can be compared in terms of up- or down-regulation of genes, as illustrated in Figure 4(ii), with a threshold specified for the difference in gene expression. The difference in expression is computed using the logR=log2(query/reference) or the RelDiff=2(query-reference)/(query+reference) metric. The comparison can be first previewed using a histogram, as illustrated in Figure 4(iii), which can help set the thresholds for the search of over-expressed or under-expressed genes between a pair of samples. The result of the comparison can be examined at the level of individual up- and down-regulated genes which can be selected for inclusion in the "Gene Cart" for further analysis. Alternatively, the result of the comparison can be examined in terms of functions, as illustrated in Figure 4(iv), with genes associated with KEGG pathways or COG functions grouped together. Genes associated with a specific KEGG pathway can be examined in the context of the pathway, similar to the example shown in Figure 3(vi) above. The strength of the association between pairs of samples can be examined using "Spearman's Rank Correlation", as illustrated in Figure 4(v), while "Linear Regression" analysis, illustrated in Figure 4(vi), helps determine whether two samples are technical replicates.

Multiple RNA-Seq sample analysis usually involves clustering based on the abundance of expressed genes, where the proximity of grouping indicates the relative degree of similarity of samples to each other. There is a choice of clustering methods, such as pairwise complete linkage and pairwise single linkage, and distance measure, such as Pearson correlation, Spearman's rank correlation, and Euclidean distance, as illustrated in Figure 4(vii). The result of clustering is displayed as a hierarchical tree of samples and a normalized heat map of coverage values for each gene for each sample. Clusters of multiple samples can be also examined in the context of pathways, as illustrated in Figure 4(viii), whereby enzymes are displayed with colours representing the cluster.

FUTURE PLANS

IMG's genome sequence data content is maintained through regular updates managed by the IMG submission system and involving new genomes sequenced at JGI, genomes sequenced at other organizations and submitted for inclusion into IMG by scientists worldwide, and genomes from Genbank. For genomes with multiple submissions, only the latest version is kept in IMG. IMG genome data are distributed through genome data portals available at: http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/. IMG's data annotation and integration pipelines have been automated thus improving their ability to keep pace with the rapidly increasing number of sequenced genomes.

IMG's integrated data framework allows assessing and improving the quality of genome annotations. Thus, the quality of gene models for genomes available in public resources is known to vary greatly depending on the quality of sequence and the software used for annotation. An analysis conducted at JGI of the protein coding genes of microbial genes in Genbank indicates that about 10% (over 1 million) of predicted protein-coding are erroneous: they are false positive genes, unidentified pseudogene fragments or genes with translational exceptions, or have incorrectly predicted start sites. In order to improve the consistency of annotation and the quality of predicted genes, all public microbial genomes in IMG will be re-annotated using IMG's annotation pipeline.

A rapidly increasing number of **single cell genomes** are included into IMG. Typically the first version of a single cell genome is analyzed for identifying contigs that may come from contaminant (e.g., *Pseudomonas*, *Ralstonia*) organisms. The sequence of analysis steps needed to identify and remove contaminated contigs is described in (33).

The importance of functional genomics in validating gene function in an integrated comparative genomics context is also being underscored, pushing experimental data from methylomics and transposon mutagenesis experiments into IMG. Systematic paradigms for associating computationally predicted gene structural and functional information with experimental functional genomics are being constructed. Tools are being developed for mining and visualizing different types of Omics datasets in an integrated genomic context.

IMG's users are faced with the increasing burden of analyzing a rapidly growing number of genomic datasets. This analytical challenge can be alleviated by synthesizing genomic data using the pangenome conceptual abstraction (34). A pangenome consists of the core part of a species (i.e. the genes present in all of the sequenced strains or of all samples of a microbial community) and the variable part (the genes present in some but not all of the strains or samples). An experimental version of IMG has been extended with five pangenomes, as well as analysis tools and viewers that allow users to explore individual pangenomes and compare pangenomes and genomes. A public version of IMG containing pangenome data and analysis tools is expected to be released in the near future.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work presented in this paper was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, Life Sciences Division, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

REFERENCES

- Pruitt, K.D., Tatusova, T., Garth, R.B., Maglott, D.R. (2012) NCBI Reference Sequences (RefSeq): current status, new features and genome annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40:D130-D135
- Pagani, I., Liolios, K., Jansson, J., Chen, I.M., Smirnova, T., Nosrat, B., Markowitz, V.M., Kyrpides, N.C. The Genomes On Line Database (GOLD) v.4: status of genomic and metagenomic projects and their associated metadata. (2012) Nucleic Acids Res. 40: D571-D579.
- 3. Benson, D.A., Cavanaugh, M., Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrahi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J., Sayers, E.W. GenBank (2013) *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**: D36-D42.

- 4. Bland, C., Ramsey, T.L., Sabree, F., Lowe, M., Brown, K., Kyrpides, N.C., Hugenholtz, P. (2007) CRISPR Recognition Tool (CRT): a tool for automatic detection of clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeats. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **8**: 209.
- Edgar, R.C. (2007) PILER-CR: fast and accurate identification of CRISPR repeats. BMC Bioinformatics 8:18.
- 6. Lowe, T.M., Eddy S.R. (1997) tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**: 955-964.
- 7. Lagesen, K., Hallin, P., Rodland, E.A., Staerfeldt, H.H., Rognes, T., Ussery, D.W. (2007) RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**: 3100-3108.
- 8. Burge, S.W., Daub, J., Eberhardt, R., Tate, J., Barquist, L., Nawrocki, E.P., Eddy, S.R., Gardner, P.P., Bateman, A. (2012) Rfam 11.0: 10 years of RNA families. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**: D226-D232.
- 9. Nawrocki, E.P., Kolbe, D.L., Eddy S.R. (2009) Infernal 1.0: inference of RNA alignments. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1335-1337.
- 10. Emanuelsson, O., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G., Nielsen, H. (2007) Locating proteins in the cell using TargetP, SignalP, and related tools. *Nature Protocols* **2**, 953-971.
- 11. Moller, S., Croning, M.D.R., Apweiler, R. Evaluation of methods for the prediction of membrane spanning regions. (2001) *Bioinformatics*, **17**(7), 646-653.
- 12. Hyatt, D., Chen, G.L., Locascio, P.F., Land, M.L., Larimer, FW, Hauser, L.J. (2010) Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **11**(1):119.
- 13. Tatusov, R.L., Fedorova, N.D., Jackson, J.D., Jacobs, A.R. Kiryutin, B., Koonin, E.V., Krylov, D.M., Mazumder, R., Mekhedov, S.L., Nikolskaya, A.N., et al. (2003) The COG database: an updated version includes eukaryotes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **4**:41.
- 14. Punta, M., Coggill, P.C., Eberhardt, R.Y., Mistry, J., Tate, J., Boursnell, C., Pang, N., Forslund, K., Ceric, G., Celments, J., et al., (2012) The Pfam Protein Families Database. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**: D290-D301.
- 15. Selengut, J.D., Haft, D.H., Davidsen, T., Ganapathy, A., Gwinn-Giglio, M., Nelson, W.C., Richter, A.R., White O. (2007) TIGRFAMs and Genome Properties: tools for the assignment of molecular function and biological process in prokaryotic genomes *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**, D260-D264.
- 16. Eddy, S.R. (2009) A new generation of homology search tools based on probabilistic inference. *Genome Informatics* **23**: 205-211.
- 17. Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Sato, Y., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M. (2012) KEGG for integration and interpretation of large scale molecular data sets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, D109-D114.
- 18. Edgar, R.C. (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST, *Bioinformatics* **26**(19): 2460-2461.
- Caspi, R., Altman, T., Dreher, K., Fulcher, A.C., Subhraveti, P., Keseler, I.M., Kothari, A., Krummenacker, M. Latendresse, M., Mueller, L.A., et al. (2012) The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the BioCyc collection of Pathway/Genome Databases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40: D742-D753
- 20. Ivanova, N.N., Anderson I., Lykidis A., Mavrommatis K., Mikhailova, N., Chen, I.A., Szeto, E., Palaniappan, K., Markowitz, V.M., Kyrpides N.C. (2007) Metabolic Reconstruction of Microbial Genomes and Microbial Community Metagenomes. Technical Report 62292, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. See also: http://img.igi.doe.gov/w/doc/imgterms.html.
- 21. Saier, M. H. Jr., Yen, M. R., Noto, K., Tamang, D. G., and Elkan, C. (2009) The Transporter Classification Database: recent advances. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37**:D274-D278.
- 22. Enright, A.J., Iliopoulos, I., Kyrpides, N.C., Ouzounis, C.A. (1999) Protein interaction maps for complete genomes based on gene fusion events. **Nature 402**(6757): 86-90.
- 23. Mavromatis, K., Chu, K., Ivanova, N., Hooper, S.D., Markowitz, V.M., and Kyrpides, N.C. (2009) Gene context analysis in the Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG) data management system, accepted for publication, *PLoS ONE*.
- 24. Markowitz, V.M., Chen, I.A., Palaniappan, K., Chu, K., Szeto, E., Grechkin, Y., Ratner, A., Biju, J., Huang, J., Williams, P. et al. (2012) IMG: the integrated microbial genomes database and comparative analysis system, *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, D115-D122.

- 25. Rinke, C., Schwientek, P., Sczyrba, A., Ivanova, N.N., Anderson, I.J., Cheng, J.F., Darling, A., Malfatti, S., Swan, B.K., Gies, E.A. et al. (2013) Insights into the phylogeny and coding potential of microbial dark matter, *Nature* **499**: 431-437.
- 26. Markowitz, V.M., Mavromatis, K., Ivanova, N.N., Chen, I.A., Chu, K., Kyrpides, N.C. (2009) IMG ER: a system for microbial annotation expert review and curation. *Bioinformatics* **25**(17): 2271-2278.
- 27. Billis, K., Billini, M., Kyrpides, N.C., and Mavromatis, K. (2013) Comparative transcriptomics between *Synechococcus PCC 7942* and *Synechocystis PCC 6803* reveal different mechanisms of adaptation to environment stress. Submitted for publication.
- 28. Langmead, B. And Salzberg, S.L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods*, **9**:357-359.
- 29. Walsh, C.T. and Fischbach, M.A. (2010) Natural products version 2.0: connecting genes to molecules. *J Am Chem Soc* **132**(8): 2469-24693.
- 30. Fischbach, M.A. (2013) Insights into secondary metabolism from a global analysis of biosynthetic gene clusters. Submitted, Nature.
- 31. Mavromatis, K., Chu, K., Ivanova, N., Hooper, S.D., Markowitz, V.M., and Kyrpides, N.C. (2009) Gene context analysis in the Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG) data management system, *PLoS ONE* **4**(11): e7979.
- 32. Romosan, A., Shoshani, A., Wu, K., Markowitz, V.M., and Mavromatis, K. (2013) Accelerating gene context analysis using bitmaps. *Proc. of the 25th Int. Conference on Scientific and Statistical Database Management* (SSDBM 2013).
- 33. Clingenpeel, S. (2012) JGI microbial single cell program: single cell data decontamination. http://img.jgi.doe.gov/w/doc/SingleCellDataDecontamination.pdf.
- 34. Tettelin H, Masignani V, Cieslewicz MJ, Donati C, Medini D, Ward NL, Angiuoli SV, Crabtree J, Jones AL, Durkin AS et al. (2005) Genome analysis of multiple pathogenic isolates of Streptococcus agalactiae: implications for the microbial "pan-genome". *PNAS* **102**(39): 13950-13955.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. RNA-Seq Data Organization. (i) "Omics" datasets generated can be accessed from "IMG Statistics" on IMG's front page, following the Experiments link available on the "IMG Statistics" page. (ii) An RNA-Seq study is associated with samples and the number of genes expressed across all samples. (iii) Each sample is associated with the number of expressed genes, the total number of reads and the average number of reads per gene. (iv) An expressed gene is associated with a reads count (total number of reads divided by the size of the gene) and normalized coverage (coverage for a gene in the experiment divided by the total number of reads in that experiment).

Figure 2. Biosynthetic Clusters (i) Genomes associated with biosynthetic clusters can be retrieved and examined using the "**Genome Browser**". (ii) The number of biosynthetic clusters is provided in the "**Genome Statistics**" section of the "**Organism Detail**" page of a genome, together with a hyperlink to (iii) the list of biosynthetic clusters, whereby for each cluster the number of associated genes, the evidence type and the corresponding natural product are provided. (iv) A biosynthetic cluster can be examined using the "Biosynthetic Cluster Detail" page which includes information about the cluster. (v) "**Natural Product List**" provides the list of the IMG genomes associated with natural products.

Figure 3. RNA-Seq Data Exploration. (i) The list of RNA-Seq studies associated with a genome can be accessed from its "**Organism Details**", with each study associated with (ii) a list of RNA-Seq experiments (samples). Individual samples can be selected for further analysis, such as (iii) examining its expressed genes as a list or using the (iv) chromosome viewer. A sample can be also examined in the context of (v) pathways that have at least one enzyme associated with an

expressed gene in the sample, whereby for each pathway (vi) enzymes are displayed with colours representing the level of expression for the associated genes; mousing over an enzyme shows the number of expressed genes associated with the enzyme.

Figure 4. RNA-Seq Data Comparison. (i) RNA-Seq sample comparison starts with the selection of samples of interest. (ii) "Pairwise Sample Analysis" supports comparing samples in terms of up/down regulated genes, with (iii) a histogram preview helping setting the thresholds for comparison. (iv) The result of the comparison can be examined in terms of functions, whereby genes associated with KEGG pathways or COG functions are grouped together. (v) The strength of the association of gene expression between pairs of samples can be examined using "Spearman's Rank Correlation". (vi) "Linear Regression" analysis helps estimate whether two samples are technical replicates. (vii) "Multiple Sample Analysis" consists of clustering samples based on the abundance of expressed genes, using a variety of clustering methods. (viii) Clusters of samples can be examined in the context of pathways, whereby enzymes are displayed with colours representing the cluster