# **Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**

**Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**

## **Title**

KINETIC EQUATIONS FOR LONGITUDINAL STOCHASTIC COOLING

### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27n369v1>

### **Author**

Bisognano, J.

## **Publication Date**

1980-07-01

Peer reviewed



1.37.-10253

 $CONF - 800740 - -26$ 

**MASTER** 

## Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

## **Accelerator & Fusion Research Division**



KINETIC EQUATIONS FOR LONGITUDINAL STOCHASTIC COOLING

J. Bisognano

**July 1980** 



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

**LBL 10753** 

**'LM** 

#### KINETIC EQUATIONS FOR LONGITUDINAL STOCHASTIC COOLING

**J. Bisognano Accelerator and Fusion Research Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720** 

**July 1980** 



é

**This work was supported by The Office of Inertial Fusion of the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.** 

#### CINETIC FOURTIONS FUR STOCHASTIC CON ING.")

#### J J. Bisognamo

Lawrence Burkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

#### **ABSTRACT**

A kinetic equation approach to stochastic cooling is presented. Equations for one and two particle distribution functions are derived from the principle of conservation of the nurver of ensemble systems. The violation of Liouville's theorem is expressed by certain self-interaction terms. The two-carticle distribution describes Schottky noise and feedback effects and is analyzed by techniques of the Lenard-Balescu equation for plasmas. The resulting expression for the one particle distribution is of the form of a Fokker-Planck equation. The suppression of Schottky signals for arbitrary machine impecance is discussed in terms of particle correlations.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The notion of mixing in stuchastic cooling describes both the effects of the narrowing of Schottky signals with diminishing frequency spread and the feedback introduced by previous corrections<sup>1</sup>) to the emittance. These issues are both manifestations of correlations in the cooled variable developing between the beam particles. In a plasma physics context, the details of two-particle correlations are described by the Lenard-Balescu equation.<sup>2)</sup> Since it is derived from tiouville's thereom, these results are not directly applicable to stochastic cooling where the feedback system introduces dissipation. However, starting from first principles, equations can be obtained which are amenable to the techniques developed in the Lenard-Balescu analysis.

#### 2. KINETIL EQUATIONS FOR A NON-LIUDVILLIAN SYSTEM

Consider the 2N-dimensional ensemble distribution D(q<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>1</sub>, ..., q<sub>n</sub>, p<sub>n</sub>) describing a one dimensional system of % particles, normalized to unity integral. Conservation of the number of ensemble systems is expressed by

$$
\frac{30}{31} + \frac{4}{11} + \frac{4}{11} = 0
$$

where  $\vec{u} = (\vec{q}_1, \vec{p}_1, \ldots, \vec{q}_n, \vec{p}_n)^3$ . If the system dynamics are described by Hamilton's equations, then (1) reduces to the condition of incompressible fluid flow (Liouville's theorem), with  $\nabla$  acting on D alone. For a stochastic cooling system. (ignoring amplifier noise), the dynamics are not Hamiltonian, but are of the form

$$
\frac{dp_j}{dt} = \sum_{j} G(q_j, q_j, p_j) \tag{2}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial q_i}{\partial t} = Q(\mathbf{p}_i)
$$
 (3)

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7405-ENG-48.

As in the Liouvillian case, equation (1) may still be integrated over 2(N-1), 2(N-2). etc . **variables to yield equations for cne, two, etc . -particle distribution functions. There is an infinit e hierarchy of equations; in particular, tne lao-particle equal ion**  contains the three-particle distribution. For plasmas with long range forces, the assumption is made that n-particle correlation effects vanish as the (n-1) power of the ratio of interaction energy to thermal energy. For stochastic cooling systems the corresponding ratio is of the sirength of the correction to frequency **spread.** Decompose the two-particle distribution into

$$
f_2 = f (a_1 \ p_1, t) f (a_2, p_2, t) + g (a_1, p_1, a_2, p_2, t)
$$

**where f is tie one-particle distribution and g describes all two-particle correlations-Then, on integrating** *{])* **over ?(N-1J and ?(N-?) variables and dropping all terms of** *tm*  **order of three-part tt le correlations, we have '** 

$$
\frac{2\left(1+\alpha\frac{3\pi}{2Q}+1,\frac{3\pi}{2D}\int dq'dp'G\ (q,q',p')\ f\ (q',p',t)\right)}{1-\left[\frac{3}{2D}\int dq'dp'G\ (q,q',p')\ g\ (q,p,q',p',t)\right]} -\left[\frac{3}{2D}\left((a(q,q,p)\ f\ (q,p,t))\right)\right]
$$
\n(5)

**and** 

$$
\frac{3q}{3t} (q_1, p_1, q_2, p_2, t) \cdot \dot{q}_1 \frac{3q}{3q_1} \cdot \dot{q}_2 \frac{2q}{3q_2} \cdot \dot{n} \frac{3q}{2p_1} \int dq^{3}dp^{1}G(q_1, q', p') f(q', p', t)
$$
\n
$$
+ N \frac{3q}{3p_2} \int dq^{3}dp^{1}G(q_2, q', p') f(q', p', t) - N \frac{q}{3p_1} \int dq^{3}dp^{1}G(q_1, q', p') g(q_2, p_2, q', p', t)
$$
\n
$$
- N \frac{3f}{3p_2} \int dq^{3}dp^{1}G(q_1, q', p') g(q', p', q_1, p_1, t)
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{3f}{3p_1} \left[ G(q_1, q_2, p_2) f(q_1, p_1, t) f(q_2, p_2, t) \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{3}{3p_1} \left[ G(q_1, q_2, p_2) f(q_1, p_1, t) f(q_2, p_2, t) \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{3}{3p_2} \left[ G(q_2, q_1, p_1) f(q_1, p_1, t) f(q_2, p_2, t) \right]
$$
\n(6)

**Tre cooling of phase-space appears in the bracketed term of equation [S)- To tne order of the approximation no equivalent self-interaction term is found in equation i6j,**  and it is formally identical to the Lenard-Balescu equation. The last term on the left hand side of (5) is a Vlasov-like expression which vanishes for stocnast<sub>1</sub>c cooling **systems. The first term on the right hand side of (5) describes Schottky signal and feedoack effects. For equation (6), the last two terms on tne right hand side are tne direct effect of other beam oarticles; i.e., the Schottky signals. Tne first two teres on the right hand side describe the suppression of both the coherent cooling rate and Schottky noise through feedback. The q terms on the left hand side effect mixing througn**  frequency spread. Similar results follow for two dimensional systems and can be applied to transverse cooling. Amplifier noise may be added with the appearance of the usual noise term in (5) and an additional term in (6) describing feedback of the noise signal through the beam.

#### 2. MOMENTUM COOLING

we take as our variables the azimuthal angle  $\theta$  and  $x = (E - E_n)$ , and we model the equations of motion by

$$
\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \sum_{i} \sum_{n} G_n(x_i) e^{i n (\Theta_i - \Theta_j)}
$$
 (2)

where G is the system transfer function and  $6<sub>n</sub> = 0$ . We also assume that f is independent of  $\theta_1$  and  $g(\theta_1, x_1, \theta_2, x_3, t)$  is a function of  $\theta_1 = \theta_2, x_1,$ and x<sub>2</sub>; that is,

$$
g(a_1, a_2, x_1, x_2, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_k(x_1, x_2, t) e^{i\ell(a_1 - a_2)}
$$
 (8)

The function q. describes signal suffression in the .th Sc ottky band. Inserting (8) into (6) vields

$$
\frac{39}{31} \t i \t i \t (u_1 - u_2) g_i (x_1, x_2, t) =
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{3}{3x_1} \left[ G_{\epsilon}(x_2) f(x_1, t) f(x_2, t) \right] - \frac{3}{3x_2} \left[ G_{\epsilon}(x_1) f(x_1, t) f(x_2, t) \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{3}{3x_1} \int_{0}^{2x} G_{\epsilon}(x) g_{\epsilon}(x_2, x', t)
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{3f}{3x_2} \int_{0}^{2x} g(x) g_{\epsilon}(x') g_{\epsilon}(x_1, x', t) \tag{9}
$$

Equation (9) may be solved by standard Laplace transform techniques developed in the Lenari-Balescu analysis<sup>4)</sup> under under the assumption that the relaxation time for q is fast on the scale of variations of f (Schottky signal suppression is fast compared to cooling times). That is, we solve (9) with f assumed constant. Inserting the solution of (9) into (5) yields

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\sum_{i} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{G_{i}(x)f(x_{x}t)}{c_{x}^{2}(x)} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{Rx}{|t|} \right] \frac{2x}{|t|} \right\} \left[ \frac{G_{i}(x)}{c_{x}^{2}(x)} \right]^{2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] \left[ 10 \right]
$$

where

$$
\epsilon_{\pm |i|} = 1 + \frac{N}{i_1!} \int_{-0}^{0} dx \cdot \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{G}{G_{\pm}} \cdot f(x^*)}{n \pm i(\omega - \omega^*)}
$$
 (11)

and  $\omega(x)$  is the angular revolution frequency corresponding to  $x, \frac{5}{7}$ 

This result is of the **form of a Fokker-Planck equation with one derivative acting on**  f alone<sup>6, 7)</sup>. The first term on the right hand side is the coherent cooling of a particle's energy error; the  $e$ <sup>*E*</sup> factor describes the feedback of the coherent signal through the beam. The second term contains the effects of the beam signal, including again feedback. The form of interaction *{7 •* is **directly applicable to the Palmer netnod of momentum cooling, where the weighting** *i^ncx* **ion G £«,' derives froo position measurements in** *a* **transverse picnup ana tie electronic gaii is essentially constant over a Schottky band. For the filter method, i- -«'Cf energy information is obtained through variation of the electronic gain witn frequency, tne c factors** *Are* **Modified. «rtlh the**  corresponding G<sub>n</sub>(x) outside the integration in (11). If amplifier noise P is included **there will be an additional term on the ngnt nand side:** 

$$
\sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \mathbf{v} \left( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{\rho}{\left| c_i \left( \omega \right) \right|^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right] \tag{12}
$$

#### ?. SCHOTTKy SIGNAL SUPPRt'jSlutl

The *i* Schottky signal d l frequency **. <sup>M</sup> ( K J is proportional to** 

$$
f(x) + Re \int dx' g_{c}(x, x')
$$
 (13)   
resolution

where the integral is over the resolution **of the analyzing device. Since the correction**  function is highly peaked *near \** = x\*, tnis **integral is weI approximated by** 

$$
\text{Re} \int dx' \ g_{\ell}(x, x') \approx \left(\frac{1}{\left|e_{\ell}\right|^{2}} - 1\right) f(x)
$$
\n(14)

**With well-centered noccnes ana cooling,** *k* **1 > 1 and a negative correlation exists, corresponding to the average energy error being corrected toward ze-o. The resulting Schottky signal is modified to** 

$$
\frac{f(z)}{\left| \epsilon_c \right|^2} \tag{15}
$$

The factor  $\int_{c}$   $\int_{1}^{-2}$  describes Schottky signal suppression and is a direct consequence **of equation (6). This equation remains valid for space charge and wall effects (since it is independent of the cooling self-interaction term) with tne appropriate inpedance substituted for G. In fact, where dissipative effects of the impedance** *are* **negligible, Liouville's theorem holds, and the original derivation of Lenard and Balescu is applicable. The solution of (6), or equivalently (9) will determine associated c and g, which describe correlations due to the impedance. For spac charge forces, |E I > 1 below transition and Schottky signals** *are* **suppressed. This effect is most pronounced in situations of small frequency spread, as has been observed in electron**  cooling experiments.<sup>8)</sup>

#### REFERENCES

- 1) F. Sacherer, Stochastic Cooling Theory, CERN-ISR-TH/78-11 (1978).
- 2) S. Ichimaru, Basic Principles of Plasma Physics, W. A. Benjamin (1973).
- 3) R. Lib.ff, Introduction to the Theory of Kinetic Equations, John wiley and Sons. (1969),  $\frac{52}{p}$ .
- 4) Yu. Klimontovich, Statistical Theory of Non-equilibrium Processes in a Plasma, MIT Press (1967), p. 122.
- 5) J. Bisognano, Kinetic Equations for Longitudinal Stochastic Cooling, LBID-149.
- 6) G. Carron, et al., Experiments on Stochastic Cooling in ICE, IEEE Transactions in Nucl. Sci., NS-26 3, 3456 (1979).
- 7) G. Lamberlson, et al., Stochastic Cooling of 200 MeV Protons, this conference.
- 8) F. Krienen and G. Pettrucci (Private Communication).