UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Urinary Incontinence and Nocturia in Older Men: Associations with Body Mass, Composition and Strength in the Health ABC Study.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2812n0js

Journal Investigative Urology, 202(5)

ISSN 0021-0005

Authors

Bauer, Scott R Grimes, Barbara Suskind, Anne M <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2019-11-01

DOI

10.1097/ju.00000000000378

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Urol.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Urol. 2019 November; 202(5): 1015–1021. doi:10.1097/JU.00000000000378.

Urinary Incontinence and Nocturia in Older Men: Associations with Body Mass, Composition, and Strength in the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study

Scott R. Bauer, MD, ScM^{1,2,3}, Barbara Grimes, PhD⁵, Anne M. Suskind, MD, MS², Peggy M. Cawthon, PhD, MPH⁴, Steven Cummings, MD^{1,4}, Alison J. Huang, MD, MAS^{1,2,5}, Health ABC Study

¹Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA

²Department of Urology, University of California, San Francisco, CA

³Veterans Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, CA

⁴Research Institute, California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco, CA

⁵Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, CA

Abstract

Purpose—Among younger men, lower body mass is associated with fewer urinary symptoms, including incontinence and nocturia. However, lower body mass may have different implications for older men due to age-associated muscle atrophy and decreased strength.

Materials and Methods—We conducted a prospective analysis of community-dwelling men, aged 70 to 79, in the multicenter Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study who underwent measurement of body mass (physical examination), composition (dual x-ray absorptiometry), and strength (grip and lower leg dynamometry). Associations with prevalent incontinence and nocturia (from structured questionnaires), as well as concurrent changes in urinary symptoms over 3 years was evaluated using multivariate logistic regression.

Results—Of the 1,298 men analyzed, 22% reported incontinence and 52% reported nocturia at baseline. Higher body mass index and fat mass, as well as lower appendicular lean mass, grip and quadriceps strength (corrected for body mass index), were associated with increased prevalence of incontinence (P<0.05 for all). Higher body mass index and fat mass were also associated with increased nocturia prevalence (P<0.05 for all). Concurrent 5% decrease in body or fat mass was not associated with lower odds of new or worsening incontinence or nocturia, whereas 5% decrease in maximum grip strength was associated with higher odds of new or worsening incontinence.

Corresponding Author: Scott R. Bauer, Work address: SFVA Medical Center, Division of General Internal Medicine, 4150 Clement St., Building 2, Room 135, San Francisco, CA 94121, Scott.Bauer@ucsf.edu, Phone: 415-221-4810 x24322.

Conflict of Interest:

Dr. Huang has had research funding from Pfizer, Inc. and Astellas Pharma through grants awarded to the University of California to conduct research unrelated to this report.

Conclusions—Older men with higher body mass index and fat mass are more likely to report prevalent incontinence and nocturia. Whereas, late-life decreases in strength, but not increases in body or fat mass, were associated with concurrent increase in urinary incontinence.

Keywords

Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms; Body Mass Index; Body Composition; Muscle Strength (1–5 MESH)

INTRODUCTION

Lower urinary tract symptoms affect over 45% of men over age 65 and can have a major impact on functioning and health-related quality of life.^{1,2} Higher BMI is associated with increased risk of both male UI³ and nocturia⁴, but prior studies included few men over age 70, in whom changes in body mass are more complicated⁵. Understanding the relationship between body mass, composition, strength, and urinary symptoms in older men could provide a pathway to improved prevention and treatment for this high-risk population.

Prior studies have reported higher rates of resolution of UI among overweight men with diabetes enrolled in weight loss interventions⁶ and among men with severe obesity undergoing bariatric surgery⁷. However, these studies were conducted in a younger population and benefits for UI were not necessarily accompanied by benefits for other urinary symptoms such as nocturia. Among older men, weight loss can accelerate the replacement of lean mass with fat over time⁸, which may have different implications for risk of urinary symptoms.

To address this gap, we evaluated associations of body mass, composition, and strength with both prevalent and worsening UI and nocturia among older men in a large, prospective cohort study. We hypothesized that higher BMI, waist circumference, and fat mass, as well as lower ALM and strength, would be associated with an increased prevalence of UI and nocturia. We further hypothesized that concurrent increases in body and fat mass and decreases in strength would be associated with new or worsening UI and nocturia. Our goal was to provide new insights to guide future clinical recommendations regarding weight loss or physical conditioning as potential strategies for improving urinary function among older men.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study was a prospective, multicenter study of community-dwelling, black and white men and women, aged 70 to 79 years. Participants without initial difficulty with activities of daily living or mobility were recruited from a random sample of Medicare beneficiaries in 1997, with enhanced community recruitment of black individuals.⁹

Of the 1,488 men originally enrolled, this analysis includes 1,298 men (87%) who provided complete data on body mass, composition, strength, and urinary symptoms at baseline, and

had no history of Parkinson's disease, stroke, or genitourinary cancer. Longitudinal data were available for 1,005 men (77% of analytic sample); 99 men died, 51 were lost to followup for other reasons, and 143 were missing repeated exposure or outcome measurements. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of participating institutions, and all participants provided signed informed consent.

Anthropomorphic Measurements

At baseline and after 3 years, weight was measured with balance beams or digital scales and height was measured with stadiometers⁹ for calculation of BMI in kg/m². Waist circumference was measured in cm using a tape measure at the level of largest circumference.

Body Composition Assessment

ALM was measured with whole-body dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA; QDR4500A, software version 8.21, Hologic, Waltham, MA) by calculating the sum of lean mass in the arms and legs, excluding fat and bone tissue. ALM was reported in kg as well as corrected for BMI (ALM/BMI) in accordance with the Foundations of the National Institutes of Health guidelines.¹⁰ Total fat mass was measured in kg using DEXA and was also expressed as a percentage of total body mass.

Strength Assessment

Maximum grip strength was measured in kg using the average of 2 trials with hand-held dynamometers (JAMAR Technologies, Inc., Hatfield, PA) in the stronger hand.¹¹ Concentric knee extensor torque (quadriceps strength), was measured on the right leg at 60 degrees per second (Kin-Con Isokinetic Dynameter, Chattanooga, TN); the left leg was used if limited by pain or history of joint replacement. Both grip and quadriceps strength were reported as both uncorrected and corrected for BMI, consistent with prior research.¹⁰

Urinary Symptom Assessment

UI and nocturia were assessed at baseline and after 3 years using interviewer-administered questionnaires from prior large observational studies¹² and the exact questions are included in the Appendix. For baseline analyses, the primary outcomes were 1) prevalence of at least monthly UI of any type, compared to none or less than monthly UI, and 2) prevalence of nocturia, defined as 2 voids per night, compared to <2 voids per night. For longitudinal outcomes, new or worsening UI was defined as at least monthly UI among men who reported none or less than monthly UI at baseline, or any increase in frequency of UI among men with at least monthly UI at baseline; new or worsening nocturia was defined as 2 voids per night among men who reported <2 voids per night at baseline, or any increase in frequency among men who initially reported 2 voids per night at baseline.

Statistical Analysis

We separately examined the association of each body mass, composition, and strength measure, per 1 standard deviation increment, with: 1) prevalent UI, and 2) prevalent nocturia, using multivariate logistic regression. Exposures of interest included BMI (kg/m²),

waist circumference (cm), ALM (kg) with and without correction for BMI, total fat mass (kg), percent fat mass, maximum grip strength (kg) with and without correction for BMI, and quadriceps strength (Nm/kg) with and without correction for BMI.

To assess longitudinal associations with new or worsening UI and nocturia, we modeled concurrent changes in body mass, composition, and strength measures as categorical variables using thresholds of percent change based on prior body composition literature.¹³ We created 3 categories of concurrent change in each exposure: 5% decrease, <5% increase or decrease, and 5% increase after 3 years of follow-up compared to baseline. Waist circumference was only measured at baseline and therefore was not evaluated in longitudinal analyses. The final adjusted models included age, race, diabetes mellitus, and self-reported general health status. We also assessed but did not include the following variables in final multivariate models because they were not significantly associated with our primary outcomes in bivariate models (P>0.10): education, smoking, alcohol intake, physical activity, and history of coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, and depression.

We conducted sensitivity analyses examining relationships with urgency UI, mixed UI, at least weekly UI, and severe nocturia (4 voids/night); stress UI was too rare to evaluate separately in our study population. We also evaluated associations with BMI as a categorical variable to consider non-linear associations and gait speed as an exploratory exposure that is influenced by body mass, composition, and strength. For longitudinal analyses, we conducted sensitivity analyses with a lower threshold of 2% change in each exposure. We also examined whether race modified associations by including a cross-product term in our multivariate models. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

General characteristics of the 1,298 men in the analytic sample are reported in Table 1. At baseline, 20% of men reported UI at least monthly and 11% reported UI at least weekly. Urgency (63%) and mixed UI (30%) were the most common subtypes, whereas stress UI was rare (7%). Almost half of men reported prevalent nocturia (48%), and fewer men reported severe nocturia (11%). Prevalence of UI and nocturia did not vary by race (Supplemental Table 1).

Associations with UI Prevalence

Figure 1 displays the unadjusted prevalence of UI within quintiles of body mass, composition, and strength. Higher BMI and total fat mass were associated with higher odds of prevalent UI, as was lower ALM/BMI (Table 2). When UI subtypes were examined separately, significant associations were observed for urgency UI, but not mixed UI. Higher percent fat mass and waist circumference were borderline associated with prevalent UI at least monthly, but were more strongly associated with increased odds of prevalent UI at least weekly in sensitivity analyses (Supplemental Table 2).

Greater grip strength/BMI and quadriceps strength/BMI were both associated with lower odds of prevalent UI (Table 2); when separated by UI subtype, associations were limited to urgency UI. However, grip and quadriceps strength uncorrected for BMI were not associated with prevalent UI.

Associations with Nocturia Prevalence

Unadjusted nocturia prevalence varied within categories of grip strength/BMI and quadriceps strength/BMI (P< 0.05 for both; Figure 1). In fully adjusted models, men with higher BMI and fat mass (both total and percent) were more likely to report prevalent nocturia (Table 3). No significant associations were observed between ALM, ALM/BMI, or waist circumference and prevalent nocturia. Sensitivity analyses examining associations with severe nocturia (4 voids/night) demonstrated similar effect estimates but confidence intervals were wider (Supplemental Table 2).

Maximum grip strength and quadriceps strength were not associated with odds of prevalent nocturia (Table 3). In sensitivity analyses, higher maximum grip strength with and without correction for BMI was modestly associated with lower odds of severe nocturia (Supplemental Table 2).

Prospective Associations with UI and Nocturia over 3 years

We observed a different pattern of associations between changes in body mass, composition, or strength measures and concurrent changes in UI or nocturia over 3 years (Table 4). Older men with 5% or greater decrease in maximum grip strength had greater odds of concurrent new or worsening UI. Conversely, men with 5% or greater increase in BMI were less likely to report new or worsening nocturia. These associations were in the same direction, but attenuated and with larger confidence intervals, in sensitivity analyses using a smaller threshold of 2% change in BMI (Supplemental Table 3).

There was no evidence for effect modification of associations reported above by race (*P*>0.05 for all except for quadriceps strength and UI which was P = 0.03). In exploratory analyses, gait speed was not associated with prevalence of or concurrent change in UI or nocturia (Supplemental Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this multicenter, prospective cohort study, we found that older men with lower BMI and fat mass were less likely to report prevalent UI and nocturia. Appendicular lean mass and strength measures were also associated with UI, but only after correction for BMI. We did not detect a consistent pattern of associations between concurrent changes in body mass, composition, or strength with new or worsening UI or nocturia, although we did observe an increased risk of new or worsening UI among men with concurrent decreases in grip strength. This study provides additional evidence that higher body mass and fat are associated with symptomatic urinary tract dysfunction among older men, similar to younger men, and that older men with declining strength, rather than increasing body or fat mass, may have higher risk of UI.

Few prior studies have evaluated the association between BMI and UI prevalence among men over age 70.^{14–17} Evidence from randomized controlled trials suggests that younger overweight and obese men who lose weight via lifestyle or surgical interventions experience reduced UI.^{6,7} In our study, older men with higher BMI and fat mass were more likely to report prevalent UI, but we did not observe similar improvements in UI with concurrent decreases in BMI or fat mass. ALM/BMI was inversely associated with prevalent UI, but neither ALM without BMI correction nor waist circumference were independently associated with prevalent UI. Our findings therefore suggest that the association between BMI and UI in older men might be primarily driven by higher fat mass, rather than lower ALM or isolated central adiposity.

To our knowledge, this is the first examination of associations between strength and urinary symptoms in older men. We observed inverse associations between both grip and quadriceps strength measures and prevalent UI, although these associated were not observed without BMI correction. In longitudinal models, men with 5% or greater decrease in maximum grip strength corrected for BMI were more likely to report concurrent new or worsening UI after 3 years of follow-up. Given the inconsistent associations with and without BMI correction, and since both grip and quadriceps strength are strongly correlated with BMI¹⁸, it remains unknown if these relationships are predominantly driven by BMI. Strength measures were not associated with nocturia. Although confirmation is needed, these findings provide preliminary evidence that community-dwelling older men who maintain higher grip strength may be at lower risk of new or worsening UI.

Our results are consistent with prior cross-sectional studies demonstrating a lower prevalence of nocturia among younger men with lower BMI^{19–22}, as well as a small number of studies conducted among older men⁴. However, our study is also consistent with prior research suggesting that weight loss is not consistently associated with improvements in nocturia.⁶ In fact, we observed that older men with 5% or greater increase in BMI were less likely to report new or worsening nocturia, although this isolated finding needs to be confirmed. We also report a novel association between higher fat mass and prevalent nocturia. Since higher weight is associated with increased fat mass as well as lean body mass in older men⁸, this finding provides preliminary evidence that the association between BMI and nocturia may be driven by higher fat mass rather than BMI alone but that older men with late-life increases in BMI are not more likely to experience new or worsening nocturia.

Several possible mechanisms may explain these associations of body mass, composition, and strength with urinary symptoms among older men. Obesity and increased adiposity may represent a non-specific inflammatory state, in which oxidative stress, bladder ischemia and fibrosis, and pro-inflammatory cytokines lead to detrusor muscle instability and urgency symptoms.²³ Prior studies have also suggested that obesity, particularly central adiposity as measured by waist circumference, leads to urinary symptoms via increased abdominal pressure transmitted to the bladder¹², however our findings do not support this hypothesis. Older men who maintain greater body mass, muscle mass, and strength may have stronger pelvic floor muscles and a functional urethral sphincter, both of which can deteriorate with age and lead to UI.²⁴ However, changes in body mass or composition may have limited

effects on urinary symptoms once men reach older age, since changes to the bladder or prostate may become permanent rather than reversible with weight loss.²⁵

We recognize several limitations to our study. This is an observational study with potential for unmeasured confounding, such as unintentional weight loss due to underlying malignancy or chronic disease progression, although we did adjust for confounding from known comorbidities. This study also had limited power to evaluated small effect sizes for longitudinal associations because a minority of men experienced significant change in body composition or strength measures during the 3 years of follow-up. It is possible that longer duration of follow-up is needed to evaluate these longitudinal relationships. Lastly, we were unable to validate our findings using patient-reported outcomes with other objective measures such as voiding diaries or pad tests.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study suggests that older men with lower body mass and fat mass are less likely to report prevalent UI and nocturia. Although concurrent decreases in body mass and fat mass were not associated with meaningful decreases in UI or nocturia, older men who avoided declines in grip strength were less likely to develop new or worsening nocturia. These findings suggest that variations in fat mass, and perhaps strength, may be as important as BMI in determining susceptibility to male lower urinary tract symptoms.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dr. Bauer was supported by grant 1K12DK111028 from the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Disorders. This research was supported by NIA Contracts N01-AG-6–2101; N01-AG-6–2103; N01-AG-6–2106; NIA Grant R01-AG028050; and National Institute of Nursing Research Grant R01-NR012459. This research was funded in part by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute on Aging. Dr. Huang has had research funding from Pfizer, Inc. and Astellas Pharma through grants awarded to the University of California to conduct research unrelated to this report.

REFERENCES

- Taylor BC, Wilt TJ, Fink HA, et al. Prevalence, severity, and health correlates of lower urinary tract symptoms among older men: the MrOS study. Urology. 2006;68(4):804–809. [PubMed: 17070357]
- Holroyd-Leduc JM, Mehta KM, Covinsky KE. Urinary incontinence and its association with death, nursing home admission, and functional decline. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2004;52(5):712–718. [PubMed: 15086650]
- Tsui A, Kuh D, Cardozo L, Davis D. Vascular risk factors for male and female urgency urinary incontinence at age 68 years from a British birth cohort study. BJU international. 2018;122(1):118– 125. [PubMed: 29512315]
- Hirayama A, Torimoto K, Mastusita C, et al. Evaluation of factors influencing the natural history of nocturia in elderly subjects: results of the Fujiwara-kyo Study. The Journal of urology. 2013;189(3): 980–986. [PubMed: 23036982]
- Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Lennon L. Reasons for intentional weight loss, unintentional weight loss, and mortality in older men. Archives of internal medicine. 2005;165(9):1035–1040. [PubMed: 15883243]

- Breyer BN, Phelan S, Hogan PE, et al. Intensive lifestyle intervention reduces urinary incontinence in overweight/obese men with type 2 diabetes: results from the Look AHEAD trial. The Journal of urology. 2014;192(1):144–149. [PubMed: 24533998]
- Subak LL, King WC, Belle SH, et al. Urinary Incontinence Before and After Bariatric Surgery. JAMA internal medicine. 2015;175(8):1378–1387. [PubMed: 26098620]
- Newman AB, Lee JS, Visser M, et al. Weight change and the conservation of lean mass in old age: the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2005;82(4):872–878; quiz 915–876. [PubMed: 16210719]
- Newman AB, Haggerty CL, Goodpaster B, et al. Strength and muscle quality in a well-functioning cohort of older adults: the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2003;51(3):323–330. [PubMed: 12588575]
- McLean RR, Shardell MD, Alley DE, et al. Criteria for clinically relevant weakness and low lean mass and their longitudinal association with incident mobility impairment and mortality: the foundation for the National Institutes of Health (FNIH) sarcopenia project. The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences. 2014;69(5):576–583.
- 11. Klepin HD, Geiger AM, Tooze JA, et al. Physical performance and subsequent disability and survival in older adults with malignancy: results from the health, aging and body composition study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2010;58(1):76–82. [PubMed: 20122042]
- Suskind AM, Cawthon PM, Nakagawa S, et al. Urinary Incontinence in Older Women: The Role of Body Composition and Muscle Strength: From the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2017;65(1):42–50. [PubMed: 27918084]
- Wing RR, Lang W, Wadden TA, et al. Benefits of modest weight loss in improving cardiovascular risk factors in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes care. 2011;34(7): 1481–1486. [PubMed: 21593294]
- Goode PS, Burgio KL, Redden DT, et al. Population based study of incidence and predictors of urinary incontinence in black and white older adults. The Journal of urology. 2008;179(4):1449– 1453; discussion 1453–1444. [PubMed: 18295279]
- Bortolotti A, Bernardini B, Colli E, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for urinary incontinence in Italy. European urology. 2000;37(1):30–35. [PubMed: 10671782]
- 16. Donnelly DW, Donnelly C, Kearney T, et al. Urinary, bowel and sexual health in older men from Northern Ireland. BJU international. 2018.
- Kim IH, Chun H, Kwon JW. Gender differences in the effect of obesity on chronic diseases among the elderly Koreans. Journal of Korean medical science. 2011;26(2):250–257. [PubMed: 21286017]
- Tomlinson DJ, Erskine RM, Morse CI, Winwood K, Onambele-Pearson G. The impact of obesity on skeletal muscle strength and structure through adolescence to old age. Biogerontology. 2016;17(3):467–483. [PubMed: 26667010]
- Burgio KL, Johnson TM, 2nd, Goode PS, et al. Prevalence and correlates of nocturia in community-dwelling older adults. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2010;58(5):861– 866. [PubMed: 20406317]
- Madhu C, Coyne K, Hashim H, Chapple C, Milsom I, Kopp Z. Nocturia: risk factors and associated comorbidities; findings from the EpiLUTS study. International journal of clinical practice. 2015;69(12):1508–1516. [PubMed: 26351086]
- Furukawa S, Sakai T, Niiya T, et al. Obesity and the prevalence of nocturia in Japanese elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: The Dogo study. Geriatrics & gerontology international. 2017;17(12):2460–2465. [PubMed: 28656733]
- 22. Shiri R, Hakama M, Hakkinen J, et al. The effects of lifestyle factors on the incidence of nocturia. The Journal of urology. 2008;180(5):2059–2062. [PubMed: 18804241]
- Nomiya M, Sagawa K, Yazaki J, et al. Increased bladder activity is associated with elevated oxidative stress markers and proinflammatory cytokines in a rat model of atherosclerosis-induced chronic bladder ischemia. Neurourology and urodynamics. 2012;31(1):185–189. [PubMed: 21953769]

- 24. Chung E, Katz DJ, Love C. Adult male stress and urge urinary incontinence A review of pathophysiology and treatment strategies for voiding dysfunction in men. Australian family physician. 2017;46(9):661–666. [PubMed: 28892597]
- 25. Suskind AM. Frailty and Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms. Current urology reports. 2017;18(9):67. [PubMed: 28718161]



Figure 1.

Baseline Prevalence of Urinary Incontinence and Nocturia among 1,298 men, by quintile of A) Body Mass Index (BMI), B) Waist Circumference, C) Appendicular Lean Mass (ALM), D) ALM/BMI, E) Total Fat Mass, F) % Fat Mass, G) Grip Strength, H) Grip Strength/BMI, I) Quadriceps Strength, and J) Quadriceps Strength/BMI. Blue lines with diamond markers represent Nocturia prevalence. Green lines with square markers indicate Urinary Incontinence prevalence. *P* value calculated using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square test.

Table 1.

General Characteristics of 1,298 Men at Baseline.

Demographic and Health-related Characteristics	
Age, years, mean $\pm SD$	73.7 ± 3
Race, n (%)	
White	830 (64)
Black	468 (36)
Moderate-Vigorous Physical Activity, METs/week, mean $\pm SD$	6.0 ± 17
Alcohol Intake 8 drinks/week, n (%)	70 (5)
Self-Reported Fair-Poor Health, n (%)	214 (17)
Current Smoking, n (%)	139 (11)
Comorbidities, n (%)	
Diabetes Mellitus	217 (17)
Myocardial Infarction	196 (15)
Congestive Heart Failure	45 (4)
Depression	92 (7)
Body Mass, Composition, and Strength Measures (mean	± SD)
Body Mass Index (BMI), kg/m ² , mean \pm SD and n(%)	26.9 ± 4
<18.5	8 (1)
18.5 to <25	406 (31)
25 to <30	622 (48)
35	262 (20)
Waist Circumference, cm	100.6 ± 13
Appendicular Lean Mass, kg	25.3 ± 4
Appendicular Lean Mass/BMI	1.0 ± 0.1
Total Fat Mass, kg	24.0 ± 7
Total Fat Mass, %	29.1 ± 5
Grip Strength, kg	40.9 ± 9
Maximum Grip Strength/BMI	1.5 ± 0.4
Quadriceps Strength, Nm/kg	131.6 ± 34
Quadriceps Strength/BMI	4.9 ± 1.3
Baseline Prevalence of Urinary Symptoms	
Urinary Incontinence, n (%)	
None or less than once per month	1040 (80)
At least monthly	115 (9)
At least weekly	103 (8)
Daily	30 (3)
Subtype of Urinary Incontinence, n (%)	
Urgency	163 (63)
Stress	17 (7)

Mixed	78 (30)
Nocturnal Voids, n (%)	
0	154 (12)
1	516 (40)
2	339 (26)
3	138 (11)
4	58 (4)
5	86 (7)
Missing	7 (<1)

Abbreviations: SD Standard Deviation; n Sample Size; BMI Body Mass Index; kg Kilogram; cm Centimeter; Nm Newton Meter.

	.:
	g
	\geq
,	ē
7	2
`	5
,	5.
	ŗ,
	e
2	\geq
2	\sim
č	5
(Ņ
۲	-
	po
	G
	Ē
	B
	ø
	2
	ē
	Ξ.
	п
	õ
	Ы
۲	7
	S
	a
	ID
۲	Ц.
۲	_
5	E
÷	Ξ
ţ	>
	ŝ
	<u>е</u>
	Ľ.
	ä
	<u>e</u>
	2
	_
7	턆
7	ngth
2	rength
	Strength
	d Strength
č	nd Strength
	and Strength
	n, and Strength
	ion, and Strength
	ition, and Strength
	osition, and Strength
	position, and Strength
	mposition, and Strength
	omposition, and Strength
	Composition, and Strength
	s, Composition, and Strength
	ass, Composition, and Strength
	Mass, Composition, and Strength
	Mass, Composition, and Strength
	ty Mass, Composition, and Strength
	ody Mass, Composition, and Strength
	Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	s of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	ns of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	tons of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	ations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	stations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	ociations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	ssociations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	I Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	al Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	onal Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	tional Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	ectional Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	sectional Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	s-sectional Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	oss-sectional Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength
	ross-sectional Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength

	Any Urinary	Incontinence	Urgency Urinar	y Incontinence	Mixed Urinary]	Incontinence
	No. of Cases/Total	OR (95% CI)*	No. of Cases/Total	OR (95% CI)*	No. of Cases/Total	OR (95% CI)*
Body Mass Index, kg/m ²	258/1298		163/1203		78/1118	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		$1.36(1.16,1.63)^{\ddagger}$		$1.49\ (1.21,1.83)^{\ddagger}$		1.23 (0.93, 1.64)
Fully Adjusted Model		$1.37~(1.15, 1.63)^{\ddagger}$		$1.49\ (1.21,1.83)^{\ddagger}$		1.28 (0.96, 1.69)
Waist Circumference, cm	257/1293		163/1199		77/1113	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		1.11 (0.97, 1.27)		$1.17~(1.00,1.38)^{\acute{T}}$		1.16 (0.94, 1.43)
Fully Adjusted Model		1.10 (0.96, 1.26)		1.15 (0.98, 1.35)		1.18 (0.95, 1.46)
Appendicular Lean Mass, kg	237/1208		149/1120		74/1045	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		$1.10\ (0.95,1.28)$		1.18 (0.99, 1.42)		1.00 (0.78, 1.29)
Fully Adjusted Model		1.11 (0.96, 1.29)		1.19 (0.99, 1.42)		1.04 (0.81, 1.33)
Appendicular Lean Mass/BMI	237/1208		149/1120		74/1045	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		$0.80\ (0.69,\ 0.94)^{\ddagger}$		$0.75~(0.63,0.91)^{\ddag}$		0.88 (0.69, 1.12)
Fully Adjusted Model		$0.81~(0.69,0.95)^{\acute{T}}$		$0.78~(0.64,0.95)^{\acute{T}}$		0.87 (0.68, 1.13)
Total Fat Mass, kg	253/1289		161/1197		76/1112	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		$1.16(1.01,1.33)^{\dagger}$		$1.23(1.05,1.45)^{\acute{T}}$		1.10 (0.87, 1.38)
Fully Adjusted Model		$1.15(1.00,1.32)^{\dagger}$		$1.21~(1.03, 1.43)^{\dagger}$		1.10 (0.87, 1.38)
Total Fat Mass, %	244/1256		153/1165		76/1088	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		1.14 (0.99, 1.32)		$1.15(1.00,1.32)^{\acute{T}}$		1.10 (0.87, 1.39)
Fully Adjusted Model		$1.13\ (0.98,1.30)$		$1.16\ (0.98,1.38)$		1.09 (0.86, 1.38)
Maximum Grip Strength, kg	252/1281		158/1187		77/1106	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		$0.93\ (0.81,\ 1.08)$		0.90 (0.75, 1.07)		1.01 (0.79, 1.28)
Fully Adjusted Model		0.95 (0.82, 1.10)		0.91 (0.76, 1.10)		1.04 (0.82, 1.33)
Maximum Grip Strength/BMI	252/1281		158/1187		77/1106	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		$0.81\ (0.70,0.94)^{\ddagger}$		$0.74~(0.61,0.88)^{}$		0.91 (0.72, 1.16)

	Anv Uringry	Incontinence	Ilroency Ilrinar	v Incontinence	Mixed Ilrinary	Incontinence
	, ,		•		•	
	No. of Cases/Total	OR (95% CI)*	No. of Cases/Total	OR (95% CI)*	No. of Cases/Total	OR (95% CI)*
Fully Adjusted Model		$0.82~(0.70,0.95)^{\dagger}$		$0.75~(0.62,0.91)^{\ddagger}$		0.91 (0.71, 1.16)
Quadriceps Strength, Nm/kg	224/1152		141/1069		68/996	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		0.88 (0.76, 1.02)		0.86 (0.72, 1.04)		0.92 (0.71, 1.18)
Fully Adjusted Model		0.89 (0.76, 1.03)		0.87 (0.72, 1.05)		0.93 (0.72, 1.21)
Quadriceps Strength/BMI	224/1152		141/1069		966/89	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		$0.77~(0.66,0.90)^{\ddagger}$		$0.70~(0.58,0.85)^{\cancel{4}}$		0.88 (0.68, 1.13)
Fully Adjusted Model		$0.77~(0.66,0.90)^{\ddagger}$		$0.71\ (0.58,0.86)^{\ddagger}$		0.87 (0.67, 1.14)

Abbreviations: BMI Body Mass Index; OR Odds Ratio; CI Confidence Interval; kg Kilogram; cm Centimeter; Nm Newton Meter.

* Odds ratios were calculated per 1 standard deviation increment, except BMI which was per 5 kg/m². Fully adjusted logistic models includes age, race, diabetes mellitus, and self-reported general health status.

 $^{\dagger}P_{<\,0.05}$

 $\ddagger P_{<\,0.005}$

Table 3.

Cross-sectional Associations of Body Mass, Composition, and Strength Measures With Nocturia among 1,298 Men.

	Noct	uria
	No. of Cases/Total	OR (95% CI)*
Body Mass Index, kg/m ²	630/1307	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		1.28 (1.11, 1.47)
Fully Adjusted Model		1.26 (1.09, 1.46) [‡]
Waist Circumference, cm	629/1302	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		1.12 (1.00, 1.26) [†]
Fully Adjusted Model		1.10 (0.98, 1.23)
Appendicular Lean Mass, kg	580/1217	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		1.11 (0.99, 1.25)
Fully Adjusted Model		1.12 (0.99, 1.26)
Appendicular Lean Mass/BMI	580/1217	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		0.90 (0.79, 1.01)
Fully Adjusted Model		0.92 (0.81, 1.04)
Total Fat Mass, kg	624/1298	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		$1.20(1.08, 1.35)^{\ddagger}$
Fully Adjusted Model		1.19 (1.06, 1.33)‡
Total Fat Mass, %	605/1265	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		1.16 (1.04, 1.30) [†]
Fully Adjusted Model		1.15 (1.02, 1.29) [†]
Maximum Grip Strength, kg	620/1289	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		0.94 (0.84, 1.06)
Fully Adjusted Model		0.98 (0.88, 1.10)
Maximum Grip Strength/BMI	620/1289	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		0.86 (0.77, 0.96) [†]
Fully Adjusted Model		0.89 (0.79, 1.00)
Quadriceps Strength, Nm/kg	552/1159	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		0.97 (0.86, 1.09)
Fully Adjusted Model		1.01 (0.89, 1.14)
Quadriceps Strength/BMI	552/1159	
Age/Race-Adjusted Model		$0.88~(0.78,~1.00)^{\dagger}$
Fully Adjusted Model		0.92 (0.81, 1.05)

Abbreviations: BMI Body Mass Index; OR Odds Ratio; CI Confidence Interval; kg Kilogram; cm Centimeter; Nm Newton Meter.

* Odds ratios were calculated per 1 standard deviation increment, except BMI which was per 5 kg/m². Fully adjusted logistic models includes age, race, diabetes mellitus, and self-reported general health status.

 $^{\dagger}P < 0.05$

 $^{\ddagger}P < 0.005$

Table 4.

Multivariate Associations of 3-Year Changes in Body Mass, Composition, and Strength Measures With Concurrent Changes in Urinary Incontinence and Nocturia.

	New or Wors	sening UI^{\dagger}	New or Worsening Nocturia [‡]	
	No. of Events/Total	AOR (95% CI)*	No. of Events/Total	AOR (95% CI)*
Body Mass Index, kg/m ²				
5% decrease	118/737	0.72 (0.43, 1.21)	170/744	0.97 (0.65, 1.47)
<5% decrease or increase	20/160	Reference	37/162	Reference
5% increase	17/108	0.98 (0.56, 1.72)	15/111	0.51 (0.30, 0.91) [§]
Appendicular Lean Mass, kg				
5% decrease	91/564	0.89 (0.60, 1.33)	121/570	1.12 (0.80, 1.57)
<5% decrease or increase	43/300	Reference	72/304	Reference
5% increase	5/25	1.27 (0.46, 3.5)	3/26	0.45 (0.13, 1.54)
Appendicular Lean Mass/BMI				
5% decrease	91/580	0.98 (0.66, 1.45)	127/583	0.98 (0.70, 1.38)
<5% decrease or increase	45/290	Reference	65/297	Reference
5% increase	3/19	0.94 (0.26, 3.5)	4/20	0.83 (0.27, 2.6)
Total Fat, kg				
5% decrease	66/400	0.89 (0.57, 1.38)	87/403	1.11 (0.76, 1.63)
<5% decrease or increase	37/239	Reference	58/245	Reference
5% increase	49/347	0.82 (0.55, 1.23)	68/350	0.87 (0.61, 1.25)
Total Fat, %				
5% decrease	76/505	0.79 (0.45, 1.38)	107/510	1.17 (0.75, 1.83)
<5% decrease or increase	18/139	Reference	34/142	Reference
5% increase	50/294	1.14 (0.77, 1.69)	66/298	1.08 (0.76, 1.53)
Maximum Grip Strength, kg				
5% decrease	29/261	1.64 (1.04, 2.60)§	58/266	1.08 (0.76, 1.55)
<5% decrease or increase	88/512	Reference	122/517	Reference
5% increase	35/218	1.46 (0.85, 2.50)	37/219	0.70 (0.44, 1.11)
Maximum Grip Strength/BMI				
5% decrease	33/253	1.36 (0.88, 2.10)	60/260	0.92 (0.64, 1.32)
<5% decrease or increase	83/500	Reference	112/504	Reference
5% increase	35/236	1.16 (0.69, 1.94)	44/236	0.74 (0.48, 1.15)
Quadriceps Strength, Nm/kg				
5% decrease	19/136	1.02 (0.60, 1.76)	32/135	0.93 (0.60, 1.46)
<5% decrease or increase	85/575	Reference	131/582	Reference
5% increase	23/125	1.35 (0.69, 2.60)	19/127	0.57 (0.30, 1.08)
Quadriceps Strength/BMI				
5% decrease	18/143	1.17 (0.67, 2.00)	28/144	1.24 (0.78, 1.96)

	New or Wors	sening UI^{\dagger}	New or Worsening Nocturia [‡]	
	No. of Events/Total	AOR (95% CI)*	No. of Events/Total	AOR (95% CI)*
<5% decrease or increase	83/569	Reference	133/575	Reference
5% increase	26/124	1.84 (0.95, 3.60)	21/125	0.84 (0.45, 1.57)

Abbreviations: UI Urinary Incontinence; BMI Body Mass Index; AOR Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI Confidence Interval; kg Kilogram; cm Centimeter; Nm Newton Meter.

*Adjusted odds ratios were calculated using multivariate logistic regression models age, race, diabetes mellitus, and self-reported general health status.

[†]New or worsening urinary incontinence was defined as at least monthly urinary incontinence among men who reported none at baseline or an increase in frequency among men with any incontinence at baseline.

 $\overset{\downarrow}{}$ New or worsening nocturia was defined as two or more voids per night among men who reported less than two voids per night or an increase in frequency to four or more voids per night among men with nocturia at baseline.

 $^{\$}P < 0.05.$