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Abstract

Endplate cartilage integrity is critical to spine health and is presumably impaired by deterioration in biochemical composition. Yet, quantitative relationships between endplate biochemical composition and biomechanical properties are unavailable. Using endplate cartilage harvested from human lumbar spines (six donors, ages 51–67 years) we showed that endplate biochemical composition has a significant influence on its equilibrium tensile properties and that the presence of endplate damage associates with a diminished composition–function relationship. We found that the equilibrium tensile modulus (5.9±5.7 MPa) correlated significantly with collagen content (559±147 μg/mg dry weight, \( r^2 = 0.35 \)) and with the collagen/GAG ratio (6.0±2.1, \( r^2 = 0.58 \)). Accounting for the damage status of the adjacent cartilage improved the latter correlation (\( r^2 = 0.77 \)) and indicated that samples with adjacent damage such as fissures and avulsions had a diminished modulus–collagen/GAG relationship (\( p = 0.02 \)). Quasi-linear viscoelastic relaxation properties (\( C, t_1, \) and \( t_2 \)) did not correlate with biochemical composition. We conclude that reduced matrix quantity decreases the equilibrium tensile modulus of human endplate cartilage and that characteristics of biochemical composition that are independent of matrix quantity, that is, characteristics related to matrix quality, may also be important.
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The structural integrity of the cartilaginous endplate is critical to intervertebral disc health. Proper endplate integrity is important for resisting certain disc herniations\(^1\)–\(^4\) and tears,\(^5\) maintaining a uniform intradiscal stress distribution,\(^6\) and regulating the transport of disc nutrients and metabolites.\(^7\)–\(^9\) Importantly, failure of the endplate to perform these functions is hypothesized to accelerate disc degeneration.\(^6,9\) Endplate integrity is also critical for vertebral bone health; endplate cartilage damage significantly associates with inner-vated bone marrow lesions,\(^10\)–\(^13\) which are suspected to be the pain generator in 30–40% of patients with chronic low back pain (LBP).\(^14,15\) Consequently, elucidating the factors that
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influence endplate cartilage integrity is fundamental to understanding the cause of disc degeneration and chronic LBP and could help establish new targets for diagnosis and treatment.

Several factors may influence endplate integrity, including loading conditions and disc behavior. The biochemical composition of the cartilage matrix is also presumed essential because the composition determines the material properties of the cartilage, which affect its ability to resist load or deformation. Since excessive load or deformation can damage the tissue, any changes in endplate biochemical composition that diminish its material properties could heighten the risk of damage. Although the composition of the endplate cartilage deteriorates dramatically with age, quantitative relationships between composition and material properties are unavailable. We sought to determine this relationship, being the first to report elastic and viscoelastic tensile properties of human endplate cartilage and to relate the presence of endplate cartilage damage to variation in composition and properties.

**METHODS**

**Cadaver Materials**

Six lumbar spines were obtained from human cadavers (donor ages 51–67 years; two females, four males) and sectioned into slabs for biomechanical testing and histology. First, the musculature and posterior elements were removed. Next, the spines were cut into four 5- to 7-mm-thick para-sagittal slabs. The slabs were then cut transversely to produce individual bone-disc-bone units. One of the two medial slabs was randomly chosen from each unit and processed for testing; the remaining medial slab was processed for histology (Fig. 1).

**Endplate Cartilage Sample Preparation**

Endplate cartilage strips cranial and caudal to the disc were removed from the subchondral bone and planed to uniform thickness with a cryostat microtome. Next, dogbone-shaped tensile samples with a 5 mm × 1.5 mm gauge section were punched from the cartilage using a custom die (Fig. 2A), maintaining the gauge section parallel to the AP direction (the primary orientation of the collagen fibrils in the endplate). Final sample thickness was measured with a micrometer designed to sense the tissue's electrical conductivity. All samples were screened with radiography to exclude those with bone fragments in the gauge section (Fig. 2B). Of 25 intact samples, 4 were damaged with the microtome, and 1 was excluded with bone fragments, leaving 20 (Table 1). Samples could not be harvested from 35 levels owing to fluctuations in endplate topology and thickness that precluded the extraction of sufficiently sized samples for testing.

To calculate tissue strains, the central surface of the gauge section was marked with two small steel pins (head diam. 0.75 mm, shaft diam. 0.30 mm) inserted through the thickness (Fig. 2C). This method has the disadvantage of introducing a foreign object, but the fibrous nature of the tissue and its self-sealing properties limit the influence of the pins on tissue behavior. A previous study found that repeated pin insertions were insignificant when compared to other randomizing effects.
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Biomechanical Testing

The samples were tested in uniaxial tension using a custom testing apparatus \(^{22,23}\) consisting of grips to clamp the sample, a stepper motor (ES23B; Parker Hannifin Corp., Rohnert Park, CA) to apply deformation, a precision load cell (SMT1; Interface, Inc., Scottsdale, AZ) to measure force, and a computerized imaging system to calculate strains. The imaging system utilized LabVIEW software (National Instruments, Austin, TX) to capture images, threshold the images to locate the reference points, calculate the strain in the direction of applied deformation, control the motion of the stepper motor, and calculate the stress (force/original cross-sectional area).

At the start of testing, samples were equilibrated in PBS with protease inhibitors (Complete Protease Inhibitor; Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN). After 1 h of equilibration, samples were loaded in the apparatus and preconditioned to establish a repeatable reference configuration. Each sample was stretched to 2% strain over 8 s and held for 10 min before unloading and re-equilibrating at zero force for 10 min. We repeated this preconditioning sequence twice; after the 2nd sequence, the equilibrated state was taken as the reference configuration for the subsequent stress relaxation tests.

Incremental stress relaxation tests \(^{24,25}\) were performed by applying 2% strain over 8 s. The resulting force was recorded every second during a 10-min relaxation period. Strain increments were repeated until 10% strain (Fig. 3A).

Data Analysis

To calculate the equilibrium elastic properties, the equilibrium tensile stress \(\sigma_e\) achieved at each strain increment \(e\) was fit to an exponential constitutive model \(\sigma(e) = A(e^B - 1)\) \(^{24,25}\) (fig. 3B), where \(A\) and \(B\) represent material constants. The equilibrium tensile modulus was calculated for the initial part of the stress-strain curve as:

\[
E = \frac{\partial \sigma_e}{\partial e} = AB \frac{e}{B} ; E_{\varepsilon=0} = AB.
\]

To calculate the relaxation properties, the stress-time data from the first relaxation increment was fit to a quasi-linear viscoelastic (QLV) constitutive model \(^{26}\) (Fig. 3C). The QLV model assumes that the time-dependent relaxation behavior \(\sigma(t)\) can be expressed as

\[
\sigma(t) = G(t) \times \sigma^c(\varepsilon) \quad (1)
\]

In the model, \(\sigma^c(\varepsilon)\) is the maximum stress in response to a step input of strain \(\varepsilon\), and \(G(t)\) is the reduced relaxation function, which represents the time-dependent stress response normalized by the stress at the time of the step in strain. We chose the reduced relaxation function \(^{27}\):

\[
G(t) = \frac{1 + C \left[ E_1 \left( \frac{t}{\tau_2} \right) - E_1 \left( \frac{t}{\tau_1} \right) \right]}{1 + C \ln \left( \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \right)} \quad (2)
\]

where \(E_1(y)\) is the exponential integral, and \(C, \tau_1,\) and \(\tau_2\) are material constants. \(C\) is related to energy dissipation in the tissue and \(\tau_1,\) and \(\tau_2\) are the short and long relaxation constants.

To describe the maximum stress response, we chose an exponential model: \(\sigma^c(\varepsilon) = A(e^{Be} - \ldots\)
Substituting $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ and $G(t)$ into the QLVδ model in Equation (1) and integrating over the ramp time yielded an expression for stress relaxation:

$$
\sigma(t; t \geq t_0) = \frac{AB\gamma}{1+C \ln[(2/\tau_1)^{2}]} \int_{t_0}^{t} \left[ 1+C \left( E_1 \left( \frac{(t-\tau)}{\tau_2} \right) - E_1 \left( \frac{(t-\tau)}{\tau_1} \right) \right) \right] e^{-\frac{t-\tau}{\gamma}} dt
$$

where $t_0$ is the beginning of the relaxation period following a loading ramp with strain rate $\gamma$. To determine the material constants for a set of stress relaxation data, the sum of the squared differences between the experimentally measured stress-time data and the QLV model in Equation (3) was minimized using nonlinear optimization. The optimization scheme was a hybrid approach that consisted of a custom genetic algorithm to identify regions of local minima followed by a Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm to determine the global minimum. The optimization was implemented using MATLAB software (MathWorks, Natick, MA).

**Biochemistry**

Tissue adjacent to the gauge section was assayed for its water, glycosaminoglycan (GAG), and hydroxyproline contents. First, the tissue was lyophilized to obtain the dry weight. Next, the tissue was digested in papain solution, and GAG content was calculated using the dimethylmethylene blue binding assay. Aliquots were also hydrolyzed in HCl, and hydroxyproline content was calculated. Hydroxyproline content was assumed to be 14% of the total collagen content per dry weight.

**Histology**

The matching mid-sagittal slabs for each motion segment were processed for histology as described previously. Briefly, slabs were fixed in formalin, radiographed, and decalcified in a mild ion-exchange decalcifying agent (IED; Biocare Medical, Concord, CA). The slabs were then dehydrated in ethanol baths of ascending concentration, cleared in Clearite, and infiltrated with paraffin. Finally, 7-μm-thick sections were microtomed from the paraffin blocks, mounted on slides, and stained with Heidenhain connective tissue stain that contains aniline blue, orange G, and acid fuchsin. Sections were assessed for the presence of endplate damage, with damage classified as:

- Endplate cartilage erosions and avulsions with exposed subchondral bone at the junction of the inner annulus and nucleus pulposus;
- Fissuring of the endplate cartilage;
- Focal, node-like indentations of the endplate with subchondral bone disruption.

Damage was distinguished from sectioning artifacts by noting the cellularity of the adjacent bone marrow and the structure of the adjacent subchondral bone.

**Statistical Analysis**

The independent role of biochemical composition in the mechanical outcomes was quantified with the Pearson correlation coefficient. We also compared the composition–function relationships between samples with and without histologic evidence of damage using a regression model with three explanatory variables: endplate composition, a categorical variable indicating damage status (corresponds to a change in intercept), and the cross-product between composition and damage status (corresponds to a change in slope). The lower $p$-value among those for slope and intercept differences is reported. Additionally,
mean values of the biochemical and mechanical outcomes were compared between samples with and without damage using unpaired t-tests. Statistical analyses were performed using JMP (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All data are given as mean±SD, unless otherwise noted.

RESULTS

All samples exhibited a nonlinear equilibrium stress–strain relationship that was well described by the 2-parameter exponential model (goodness-of-fit: 0.98± 0.03). The equilibrium tensile modulus (5.9±5.7 MPa) varied substantially across samples, ranging from <1 to >20 MPa (Table 2). The visco-elastic behavior was characterized by a stress relaxation response with an immediate, rapid drop in stress ($t_1=5.7E−5±7.8E−5$ s) followed by gradual equilibration after ~6 min ($t_2=362±204$ s). Collagen content (559±147 μg/mg dry weight) and GAG content (100±32 mg/mg dry weight) were typical of endplate cartilage from 50- to 70-year olds.18,19

Collagen content and the ratio of collagen/GAG content correlated best with biomechanical properties (Table 3). The collagen/GAG ratio showed the highest association with the equilibrium tensile modulus ($r^2=0.58$) and with A ($r^2=0.31$), whereas collagen content alone showed the highest association with the modulus ($r^2=0.35$) and with B ($r^2=0.24$). Neither water nor GAG content was independently associated with any of the biomechanical properties.

The influence of biochemical composition on bio-mechanical properties was significantly different for samples with damage adjacent to the endplate ($n=8$ endplates) compared to samples without damage ($p=0.02$). For samples with adjacent damage, the linear relationship between the equilibrium tensile modulus and collagen/GAG ratio had a smaller slope and greater intercept; thus, the stiffest samples with adjacent damage had lower moduli than would be predicted from their collagen/GAG ratios (Fig. 4). Equilibrium properties were lower for samples with damage, consistent with their lower water, GAG, and collagen contents, although differences in individual outcomes were not significant (Table 4).

Endplates with adjacent damage showed cartilage avulsions and fissuring at the junction between the inner annulus and the nucleus pulposus (Fig. 5). In all but one case, the fibrovascular or fatty bone marrow lesions co-located with the endplate damage.

DISCUSSION

The biochemical composition of the endplate had a significant influence on its equilibrium tensile properties. About 58% of the overall variation in equilibrium tensile modulus was explained by the collagen/GAG ratio. Moreover, accounting for endplate damage improved this correlation to explain 77% of the modulus variation, and indicated that samples with adjacent damage had a lower modulus than predicted by their collagen/GAG ratio. Despite the relevance of endplate damage to disc degeneration6,9 and chronic LBP,10,12,13 the pathogenesis of endplate damage is not well understood. Any reductions in cartilage material properties are relevant because they could limit the ability of the matrix to resist load or deformation and therefore increase the risk of damage. Our results are important because they establish that reduced tensile modulus correlates with lower collagen content.
and collagen/GAG ratios. Collagen content decreases dramatically with age,\textsuperscript{18,19} so future work should be aimed at understanding how diminished composition and biomechanical properties factor into the etiology of endplate damage and chronic LBP.

The equilibrium tensile modulus correlated better with the collagen/GAG ratio than with collagen content alone. Although the precise reasons for this are unknown, tensile modulus likely depends not only on the amount of collagen fibrils present to resist deformation, but also on contributions from electrostatic interactions between the positive charges on the collagen and negative charges on the GAGs.\textsuperscript{21,32} A second explanation is that GAGs immobilized within the collagen network enhance matrix stiffness.\textsuperscript{33}

The finding that samples with adjacent damage had a diminished modulus–collagen/GAG relationship (Fig. 4) suggests that matrix quality may be an important aspect of endplate composition that influences its biomechanical behavior. The collagen/GAG ratio characterizes matrix quantity since it directly relates to the quantities of the individual matrix constituents. Conversely, matrix quality represents characteristics of endplate composition that affect biomechanical behavior but that are not accounted for by matrix quantity, for example, collagen fibril organization and cross-linking. Therefore, any appreciable modification to matrix quality should change the cartilage modulus relative to its collagen/proteoglycan ratio. Although these concepts are frequently applied to bone fragility,\textsuperscript{34} and modulus–collagen/GAG relationships were explored in femoral articular cartilage,\textsuperscript{21} we are unaware of reports applying the concept of matrix quality to endplate biomechanical behavior. Clearly, additional research is required to elucidate endplate matrix quality, but our findings indicate that such research may clarify the alterations in biomechanical behavior that associate with endplate damage.

The relaxation properties ($C$, $t_1$, and $t_2$) did not correlate with the measured biochemical constituents. Tensile loading might minimize any viscoelastic effects, as a previous study of bovine articular cartilage found that energy dissipation was less significant in tension than in compression.\textsuperscript{35} However, Setton et al.\textsuperscript{36} determined the viscoelastic compressive properties of endplate cartilage from young baboons and also observed no correlations between material and compositional parameters. An alternative explanation for the lack of a significant relationship is the relatively low water content of the endplate cartilage (~40%). Water content is much higher in articular cartilage (~80%), wherein viscoelastic effects play a greater role.\textsuperscript{37} Additional research is necessary to determine which other biochemical constituents are responsible for endplate viscoelastic behavior.

To promote disc health, the biochemical composition of the endplate must meet biomechanical and nutritional demands. Biomechanically, the large degree of structural integration between the cartilaginous and bony endplates\textsuperscript{38} and between the cartilaginous end-plate and annulus fibrosus\textsuperscript{15,19} suggests that the cartilage must be stiff in tension to resist the tensile loads that develop when the spine is compressed.\textsuperscript{5,16,17,39} However, nutritionally the cartilage matrix must contain sufficient pore space to allow nutrient and metabolite transport to and from the avascular disc.\textsuperscript{9} The amount of pore space depends on osmotic swelling pressure, and hence, on the relative concentration of GAG and collagen. Roberts et al.\textsuperscript{40} measured the transport properties of endplate cartilage from humans aged 5
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to 67 years and found that even the endplates with the lowest GAG and collagen concentrations could permit solute transport; in fact, solute transport increased as GAG and collagen concentration decreased because more pore space was available to the solutes. Our results suggest that the equilibrium tensile modulus would decrease because less solid matrix is available to carry load. Together, these findings suggest that endplate tensile properties are inversely related to transport properties. One might speculate that an optimal range of biochemical compositions exists to balance the endplate’s bio-mechanical and nutritional demands; identifying this range could clarify the etiology of disc degeneration and have implications for disc regeneration.

Prior work analyzing the histopathology of chronic LBP cases reported that innervated bone marrow lesions bordered endplate cartilage damage\textsuperscript{10,12,13} and that damage was not well visualized with conventional MR sequences owing to the tissue’s short T2 values\textsuperscript{11,41}. Recent studies indicated that new sequences, for example, ultrashort echo time and fast low-angle shot can enhance visualization of the endplate’s anatomic details\textsuperscript{42–44}. If these sequences can also demonstrate sensitivity to variation in collagen content, then our findings suggest that such sequences could enable noninvasive assessment of endplate biomechanical properties, which might prove useful for prognostic purposes.

We focused on tensile loading since spinal compression appears to induce high tensile stresses in the endplates\textsuperscript{5,16,17,39}. The resistance to tensile deformation in cartilage is generated principally by the intrinsic stiffness of the collagen fibrils and entangled GAGs\textsuperscript{45}. The equilibrium tensile modulus is a measure of this intrinsic resistance. Another measure relevant to understanding the pathogenesis of endplate damage is tensile strength. Our observation that cartilage fissures and avulsions often occurred near the inner annulus junction is consistent with the anatomy of failure in certain disc herniations\textsuperscript{1–3} and tears,\textsuperscript{5} and suggests that the tensile strength at the inner annulus junction could also be important. Likewise, compressive loading is physiologically relevant to the end-plates, and thus, the biphasic compressive properties may have additional clinical importance\textsuperscript{36}.

We are the first to our knowledge to measure the biomechanical properties of human endplate cartilage, so additional studies are required to confirm these results and extend them to younger individuals with greater collagen and GAG concentrations. For the samples without adjacent damage, the average tensile modulus (6.6±6.9 MPa) is similar to that reported for femoral articular cartilage in adults (6.0±4.5 MPa),\textsuperscript{21} and comparison of the composition–function relationships between endplate and femoral cartilage indicated a similar effect of the collagen/GAG ratio on tensile modulus (p=0.19 for differences in slope). Thus, despite the absence of endplate cartilage biomechanical studies for comparison, the consistency of our findings with those from femoral cartilage supports their validity.

This study had several limitations. All samples were obtained from mid-sagittal sections and were tested in the AP direction. We did not evaluate intraendplate heterogeneity or anisotropy. The cross-sectional study design prevented us from concluding whether endplate damage was a cause or effect of the diminished composition–function relationship. For example, other factors such as differences in loading\textsuperscript{5} or the mechanical properties of the...
osteochondral interface could influence endplate cartilage stress and therefore contribute independently to damage risk. Finally, the small sample size was derived from adults with a narrow age range; expansion to larger and younger cohorts is required to confirm some of the findings. In particular, none of the relaxation properties correlated significantly with biochemical composition. A larger sample size with younger individuals may have greater variations in composition and properties, which could increase its role.

In conclusion, we provide quantitative data regarding the influence of biochemical composition on material properties in human endplate cartilage. Using biomechanical testing, biochemical analysis, and histology, we found that endplate collagen content and collagen/GAG ratios significantly influence equilibrium tensile properties and that the presence of endplate damage associates with a diminished composition–function relationship. These quantitative relationships help bridge a knowledge gap between deterioration in endplate composition and loss in endplate bio-mechanical function. This motivates future work to understand how diminished endplate composition and function factors into the etiology of endplate damage and chronic LBP.
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Figure 1.
Bone-disc-bone motion segment with mid-sagittal slab extracted for endplate cartilage dissection and biomechanical testing. A matching mid-sagittal slab from each motion segment was processed for histology to assess for the presence of any endplate damage.
Figure 2.
(A) Cartilage sample punched from the endplate using a dogbone-shaped die. Gauge section dimensions: 5 mm × 1.5 mm. (B) Radiographs were used to screen samples for the presence of calcified cartilage and bone in the gauge section. Note the bits of bone (opaque areas) in the grip section of the sample. (C) Sample with black reference points for strain calculations.
Figure 3.
(A) Example of stress-time behavior in response to 2% strain increments applied after 10-min relaxation periods. (B) Equilibrium stress versus applied strain for the incremental loading in panel A. (C) Stress relaxation behavior after the first strain increment in panel A. Stress-time data were fit to a five-parameter QLV model using nonlinear optimization (see the Methods Section for model details).
Figure 4.
The relationship between equilibrium tensile modulus and collagen/GAG ratio was significantly different for samples from levels with histologic evidence of endplate damage compared to samples from levels without endplate damage ($p=0.02$).
Figure 5.
(A) Mid-sagittal histology section from a spinal level with an intact cartilage endplate. (B) Endplates with damage were typified by cartilage avulsions and fissures at the interface between the inner annulus and nucleus pulposus. Note the fibrovascular and fatty marrow reactions adjacent to the location of the endplate damage (arrows). (C) Normal hematopoietic endplate marrow with blood vessel (arrow) and vascular sinusoids. (D) Richly vascularized endplate marrow with dense fibrous tissue corresponding to the arrows in panel B. (E) Fatty marrow with low cellularity corresponding to the leftmost arrow in panel B. In all panels, left side is anterior. In panels C–E, scale bars are 50 μm. Heidenhain connective tissue stain.
Table 1
Donor Age, Sex, and Spinal Level for n = 20 Endplate Cartilage Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor No.</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Levels^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>L2s, L3s, L4s, L5s, S1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>L4s, L5s, L5i, S1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>L3i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>L5s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>L1i, L2s, L3i, L4i, L5i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>L3i, L4s, L5i, S1s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Levels denote cartilage samples taken from the superior (s) and inferior (i) endplate of a given vertebra.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equilibrium properties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$ (MPa)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>0.02–0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>10.9–67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile modulus, $E_{00}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>0.5–21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viscoelastic properties</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy dissipation, $C$ (MPa)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>0.015–0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short relaxation constant, $\tau_1$ (s)</td>
<td>5.7E–5</td>
<td>7.8E–5</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>8.7E–8–2.7E–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long relaxation constant, $\tau_2$ (s)</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>129–766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biochemical composition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content (%)</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>22.1–62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAG content (µg/mg dry wt)</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>43.7–184.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen content (µg/mg dry wt)</td>
<td>558.5</td>
<td>146.9</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>329.0–886.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3

Independent Role (Pearson’s Correlation Coefficient, \( r \)) of Endplate Biochemical Composition on Biomechanical Properties (\( n = 20 \) Endplates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Collagen/GAG Ratio</th>
<th>Collagen Content</th>
<th>GAG Content</th>
<th>Water Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A (MPa)</strong></td>
<td>0.56(^b)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.49(^a)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile modulus, ( E_{0%} )</td>
<td>0.76(^c)</td>
<td>0.59(^b)</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy dissipation, ( C )</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short relaxation constant, ( \tau_1 )</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long relaxation constant, ( \tau_2 )</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) \( p < 0.05 \)

\(^b\) \( p < 0.01 \)

\(^c\) \( p < 0.001 \)
Table 4
Comparison of Mean Biomechanical Properties and Biochemical Composition Between Endplate Cartilage Samples With and Without Histologic Evidence of Damage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Damage (n = 12 Endplates)</th>
<th>Damage (n = 8 Endplates)</th>
<th>% Difference$^a$</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (MPa)</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.12</td>
<td>−15.4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>30.5 ± 10.2</td>
<td>21.2 ± 5.8</td>
<td>−30.2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile modulus, $E_{0%}$ (MPa)</td>
<td>6.6 ± 4.4</td>
<td>4.8 ± 3.5</td>
<td>−27.7</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy dissipation, C (MPa)</td>
<td>0.031 ± 0.010</td>
<td>0.041 ± 0.013</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short relaxation constant, $\tau_1$ (s)</td>
<td>4.7E−5 ± 5.3E−5</td>
<td>7.3E−5 ± 6.7E−5</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long relaxation constant, $\tau_2$ (s)</td>
<td>382 ± 132</td>
<td>336 ± 187</td>
<td>−12.1</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content (%)</td>
<td>42.3 ± 7.3</td>
<td>35.8 ± 8.1</td>
<td>−15.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAG content (μg/mg dry wt)</td>
<td>108.3 ± 21.3</td>
<td>87.1 ± 21.3</td>
<td>−19.6</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen content (μg/mg dry wt)</td>
<td>593.4 ± 107.8</td>
<td>506.1 ± 75.2</td>
<td>−14.7</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data given as mean ± 95% CI.

$^a$Percent difference calculated with respect to the “No damage” mean values.