Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

PREDICTIONS OF NEW MAGIC REGIONS AND MASSES FOR SUPER HEAVY NUCLEI FROM CALCULATIONS WITH REALISTIC SHELL MODEL SINGLE PARTICLE HAMILTONIANS

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/28g2j00w

Author

Meldner, Heiner.

Publication Date

1966-08-09



DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

Berkeley, California

PREDICTIONS OF NEW MAGIC REGIONS AND MASSES FOR SUPER HEAVY NUCLES FROM CALCULATIONS WITH REALISTIC SHELL MODEL SINGLE PARTICLE HAMILTONIANS

Heiner Meldner

August 9,1966

(Manuscript of a talk at the conference: <u>Why and How Should We Investigate Nucleides Far Off the Stability Line</u>? Lysekil, Sweden, August 21-27, 1966. To be published in Royal Swedish Academy of Science)

PREDICTIONS OF NEW MAGIC REGIONS AND MASSES FOR SUPER HEAVY NUCLEI FROM CALCULATIONS WITH REALISTIC SHELL MODEL SINGLE PARTICLE HAMILTONIANS

Heiner Meldner

Department of Physics and Lawrence Radiation Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

August 9, 1966

ABSTRACT

The single particle part of a realistic shell model Hamiltonian is considered to contain a nonlocal potential similar to the classic Van Vleck nuclear potential. Using an ansatz for the Hamiltonian suggested by rather general treatments of many-fermion systems it was possible to get a consistent fit to 1) nuclear charge density. distributions including isotope effects, 2) ls proton levels as measured in (e,e'p) scattering, 3) total binding energies or nuclear mass defects, while 4) reproducing the shell model level sequences, i.e. spin assignments. Extrapolations to nuclei off the stability valley and to super heavy nuclei appear to be reasonable. In the latter case some evidence was found for a double magic nucleus at proton number 114 and neutron number 184 with a total binding energy around 2200 MeV. There is no indication of a double magic nucleus with Z=126, N=184 close to the line of beta stability. However, some support was found for a relatively long-lived compound nucleus with these nucleon numbers by investigating metastable proton states.

Nuclear single particle Hamiltonians with <u>local</u> potentials (e.g. of the Woods-Saxon or Nilsson type) satisfactorily reproduce the experimental spin sequences and equilibrium deformations but cannot account for the deepest bound proton levels observed in recent (e,e'p) scattering measurements ¹). These levels are around 80 MeV in the region of Calcium. Also it is well known that calculations with such local potentials lead to total nuclear binding energies that are at least one order of magnitude smaller than the observed mass defects ²). A measure of the nonlocality required to reproduce these data is the so-called effective mass of a nucleon subject to the short range forces of a residual nucleus. It was found to be around 0.5 in many investigations ³,²). An effective mass of this magnitude corresponds to a nonlocality range of 0.8 ± 0.2 fm or 1.5 ± 0.2 fm respectively for Yukawa or Gaussian form factors in nonlocal potentials of the classic Van Vleck type 4).

-1-

As is discussed in detail elsewhere ⁵⁾, the simplest reasonable equation for nuclear single particle wave functions $\varphi_{\rm b}$



The sum over k includes all occupied levels; k contains all quantum numbers to specify a bound nucleon except the third component m_t of the i-spin. $\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{p}}(\vec{p} \times \vec{s})$ is the usual spin-orbit operator ⁶), and the parameters σ and χ measure respectively the strengths of the spin-orbit and i-spin portions of the kernel. The factor b corresponds to a radius constant; see (5) below. A properly chosen function u will lead to self-consistent densities ρ which fit those measured in pion- and electronnucleus scattering. For simplicity, only spherically symmetric ρ 's are considered in (1).

-3.

In the results presented here, the output values ρ agreed with the corresponding input data for central density and slope (or rms radius) to within a few percent. Iterative calculations designed to obtain complete self-consistency are in progress. Here the u was taken to be a sum of two Yukawa functions; hence one has for a spherical nucleus the equations



 $U_{n\ell_{j}m_{t}}$ are the usual radial wave functions, and 1 is the orbital, j the total angular momentum and n the radial quantum number for the nucleon in question. ρ' is the derivative of the density with respect to the argument. The integro-differential equation (3) was solved numerically by a finite-difference method; the eigenvalues and -vectors were determined by use of the "Wielandt inverse iteration" ⁷⁾.

-5-

The input density distributions were taken to be normalized Fermi functions. For such distributions simple approximate expressions (which have accuracies of better than 10^{-4} in all cases discussed here) are available for the half and equivalent radii in terms of the central density $\rho(0)$ and the surface thickness a $^{8)}$:

 $B_{m_{t}} = 4\pi \int dr r^{2} \rho(r)$ $b \equiv \left(\frac{4\pi}{3}p(0)\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ Γ Δ 711 at 8b³ <u>5π²</u> ² - ¹/₃ $P_{m_t}(r) = P_{m_t}(0) \left[1 + exp((R_{m_t} - r)/a) \right]^{-1}$ Р В ^м+ h $\frac{\pi^2 a^2}{3b} B^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ $\left(\frac{20\pi}{3}\int_{0}^{\infty}drr^{4}\rho(r)\right)$ р В м-Reg = II Q (9) (2) (\pm)

-6-

In (5) and (6) the index m_t is omitted. $B_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is simply the neutron number N and $B_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ the proton number Z.

-7-

A crude fit was obtained with the input data of table 1. For some nuclei on the line of beta stability one gets the neutron levels shown in figure 1 and the proton levels of figure 2. The experimental points in the latter figure refer to recent (e,e'p) scattering measurements¹⁾. The extrapolations shown in these figures suggest closed shells at N=184 and Z=114. The same result was obtained in simpler calculations with local potentials two years ago⁹⁾. The hypothetical super-heavy nucleus with these nucleon numbers was investigated in more detail by varying within their reasonable limits the parameters of importance for level sequences. The computer program in addition allows an approximate calculation of metastable levels or resonances, and these are shown as dashed lines in figures 1-4.

In this fit the calculated total binding energies agree with nuclear mass defects to within 5 percent. The charge density distributions reproduce recent electron scattering data¹⁰⁾on Ca and Pb with a χ^2 of the same order of magnitude as is obtained in a fit with local potentials¹¹⁾. The Johnson-Teller effect¹²⁾, i.e. larger rms radii for neutron than for proton densities, is exhibited for the heavier nuclei in spite of the decreasing central density of protons.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Professors B.R.Mottelson, W.J.Swiatecki and S.G.Thompson for stimulating discussions and encouragement. Thanks are due to Dr.K.J.van Oostrum for cooperation and help in the use of his ALGOL program with which the electron scattering phase shifts were calculated in Stanford. All other calculations were performed with the CDC 6600 computer of the University of California Lawrence Radiation Laboratory. I would also like to thank Dr.P.Concus for his advice on solving numerically the eigenvalue problems.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission while the author was holding a NATO Postdoctoral Fellowship at the Department of Physics and Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California. Author's permanent address: Institut für Theoretische Physik, Freie Universität Berlin, West-Berlin, Germany.

REFERENCES

- U.Amaldi, Jr., G.Campos Venuti, G.Cortellessa, G.Fronterotta, A.Reale, P.Salvadori, P.Hillman, Phys.Rev.Letters <u>13</u>(1964)341
 U.Amaldi, Jr., G.Campos Venuti, G.Cortellessa, E.De Sanctis, S.Frullani, R.Lombard, P.Salvadori, to be published. And private communication with Professor G.Cortellessa.
- 2) E.g. V.F.Weisskopf, Nucl.Phys. <u>3</u>(1957)423 et loc. cit.
- 3) M.H.Johnson, E.Teller, Phys. Rev. <u>98</u>(1955)783
- 4) J.H.Van Vleck, Phys.Rev. <u>48</u>(1935)367 see also ref. 2, and for a related simple ansatz: W.E.Frahn, R.H.Lemmer, Nuovo Cimento <u>6</u>(1957)1565
- 5) H.Meldner, On a Realistic Nuclear Shell Model Single Particle Hamiltonian, to be published
- 6) E.g. H.Meldner, G.Suessmann, W.Ulrici, Z.Naturf. 20a (1965)1217
- 7) E.g. J.H.Wilkinson, The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1965, p.619 ff.
- 8) L.R.B.Elton, Nucl. Phys. <u>5</u>(1958)173
- 9) See the discussion on super heavy nuclei in W.D.Myers,W.J.Swiatecki, Nucl.Phys. <u>81</u>(1966)1 or UCRL-11980 (1965) based on calculations quoted there under ref.23.
- 10) K.J.van Oostrum, R.Hofstadter, G.K.Noeldecke, M.R.Yearian, B.C.Clark, R.Herman, D.G.Ravenhall, Phys.Rev.Letters <u>16</u>(1966)258 et loc. cit. and private communication with Dr.K.J.van Oostrum on Pb²⁰⁸ data.
- 11) B.F.Gibson, Nucl. Phys. to be published

12) M.H.Johnson, E. Teller, Phys. Rev. <u>93</u>(1954)357

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. Neutron single particle levels for spherical nuclei close to the line of beta stability.
- Fig. 2. Proton single particle levels for spherical nuclei close to the line of beta stability. The two experimental points give the ls levels found in (e,e'p) scattering on S and Ca^{40} .
- Fig. 3. Neutron level shifts due to variations of the spin orbit interaction strength σ and the surface thickness a for the hypothetical nucleus with N = 184, A = 298.
- Fig. 4. Proton level shifts due to variation of the spin orbit interaction strength σ and the surface thickness a for the hypothetical nucleus with Z = 114, A = 298.







e 3



1.1.1

MUB-12360



This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.