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Abstract

The goal of this paper is to explore the ability of the human female urogenital sinus immediately below the bladder (proximal urethra) to undergo prostatic development in response to dihydrotestosterone (DHT). To establish this idea, xenografts of human fetal female proximal urethra were grown in castrated nude mouse hosts receiving a subcutaneous DHT pellet. To verify the prostatic nature of the resultant glands, DHT-treated human fetal female urethral xenografts were compared with human fetal prostatic xenografts (derived from male specimens) grown in untreated and DHT-treated castrated mouse hosts and human fetal female proximal urethra xenografts grown in untreated castrated hosts. The resultant glands observed in DHT-treated human fetal female proximal urethra expressed 3 prostate-specific markers, NKX3.1, prostate specific antigen and prostatic acid phosphatase as well as the androgen receptor. Glands induced by DHT exhibited a protein expression profile of additional immunohistochemical markers (seven keratins, RUNX1, ESR2, TP63 and FOXA1) consistent with the unique spatial pattern of these proteins in prostatic ducts. Xenografts of human fetal female proximal urethra grown in DHT-treated hosts expressed some of the salient features of prostatic development, namely androgen responsiveness. The experimental induction of prostatic differentiation from human fetal female proximal urethra makes possible future in-depth analysis of the molecular pathways directly involved in initiation of human prostatic development and subsequent epithelial differentiation, and more important whether the molecular pathways involved in human prostatic development are similar/identical versus different from that in murine prostatic development.

1. Introduction

The prostate of all species develops from the urogenital sinus (UGS), a derivative of the ventral division of the cloaca (Liaw et al., 2018; Yamada et al., 2003). Solid prostatic buds emerge from human urogenital sinus epithelium (UGE) immediately below the developing bladder at about 10 weeks of gestation (Cunha et al., 2018). Prostatic development occurs in five stages: pre-bud, bud initiation, bud elongation, ductal branching and ductal canalization followed by cytodifferentiation of luminal and basal epithelial cells (Cunha et al., 2018). In the pre-bud stage the UGE is organized as an epithelial tube surrounded by urogenital sinus mesenchyme (UGM) which induces and specifies prostatic development (Cunha et al., 1987).

Prostatic development is dependent upon androgens which act via androgen receptors in the surrounding UGM (Cunha et al., 1987; Marker et al., 2003). With few species exceptions, females do not form prostate presumably due to insufficient androgen levels. The most compelling observation emphasizing the critical role of androgen action in prostatic development is the absence or minimal development of prostate in animals and humans secondary to absence or genetic defects in the gene encoding the androgen receptor (Ohno, 1979; Wilson, 1987; Wilson et al., 1983) and/or defects in androgen metabolism secondary to 5-alpha reductase type 2 deficiency (Wilson et al., 1993).

The embryonic UGS is a sexually indifferent structure that in males forms the bladder, urethra, prostate, and bulbourethral glands. In the course of development, the human fetal prostate can be recognized as a...
distinct bulge below the bladder in wholemount images (Fig. 1). The female UGS forms the bladder, urethra and contributes to the vagina (Robboy et al., 2017). Wholemount imaging of the developing female urogenital tract reveals an absence of the distinctive prostatic bulge (Fig. 1). We propose that the segment of the human female fetal urethra immediately below the bladder is anatomically homologous to the prostatic urethra. We designate this region of female developmental anatomy as “proximal urethra”. Given the likely homology between male and female urogenital sinuses immediately below the bladder, in this paper we expand on a previous preliminary observation (Cunha et al., 2018) to confirm that the human fetal female proximal urethra can form prostate when exposed to the androgen, dihydrotestosterone (DHT), and express prostate-specific markers.

2. Materials and methods

Human fetal prostates (9–21 weeks of gestation) and human female proximal urethras (11–14 weeks of gestation) were collected from specimens devoid of patient identifiers after elective termination of pregnancy (Committee on Human Research at UCSF, IRB# 12–08813). Gestational age of specimens was estimated using heel-toe length as described previously (Drey et al., 2005; Robboy et al., 2017). Specimens were fixed in formalin and processed for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or immunohistochemical staining. This study is based upon the analysis of 12 human fetal bladder/prostate wholemounts (also used for histologic analysis (Fig. 1)), 12 human fetal female proximal urethra wholemounts (Fig. 1), 15 xenografts of human fetal prostate (8 DHT-treated and 7 controls aged 12.5–14 weeks of gestation), and 14 xenografts of human female proximal urethra (8 DHT-treated and 6 controls) aged 10–14.5 weeks of gestation.

Human fetal specimens fixed in 10% buffered formalin were embedded in paraffin and serially sectioned at 7 μm. Every 20th section was stained with H&E to assess histology. Intervening paraffin sections were immunostained with antibodies to a variety of proteins (Table 1) as described previously (Rodriguez et al., 2012). Immunostaining was detected using horseradish peroxidase-based Vectastain kits (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). For negative controls the primary antibodies were omitted.

For xenograft studies, 15 human fetal prostates at 12.5–14 weeks of gestation were surgically isolated from the bladder and the pelvic urethra and then transplanted in the midline to yield right and left halves which were transplanted under the renal capsules of castrated male athymic nude mice (CD-1 NU/NU, Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) as previously described (Cunha and Baskin, 2016). The IACUC committee at UCSF approved all grafting procedures. The castrated mouse hosts received a 20 mg subcutaneous pellet of dihydrotestosterone (DHT) (A8380, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) or were untreated (control), and thus were androgen deficient. Our selection of DHT, instead of testosterone, eliminates the possibility of aromatase-mediated conversion of testosterone to estradiol. Grafts were grown for 1–2 months, at which time the hosts were euthanized, and the grafts harvested and processed as described above for histology and immunohistochemistry.

Segments of 10–14.5 week human fetal female urethra immediately below the bladder, which is considered to be the anatomic homologue of the prostatic urethra, were also grafted into castrated male hosts that were either untreated (N = 6) or DHT-treated (N = 8) to determine whether DHT could induce prostatic development in the female proximal urethra. After 1 or 2 months of in vivo growth, the grafts were harvested and processed as above for histology and immunohistochemistry. This study confirms and extends an earlier preliminary study (Cunha et al., 2018).

3. Results

To determine whether human fetal female urethra can be induced by...
DHT to undergo prostatic development, the histologic and immunohistochemical profile of the donor human fetal female urethra was compared with that of the human fetal prostate. Moreover, the immunohistochemical profile of DHT-treated xenografts of human fetal prostate and human fetal female proximal urethra was assessed to determine whether the differentiation process in the xenografts conformed to that of normal prostatic development. To this end, we first defined the gross anatomy of male and female urogenital tracts, and then assessed the response of male and female target tissues to DHT.

3.1. Gross anatomy

The ontogeny of human fetal prostate is depicted in gross anatomical wholemounts in Fig. 1 from 10 to 21 weeks of gestation. The human fetal prostate is recognized as a distinct bulge immediately below the urinary bladder (Fig. 1 top row). In contrast, such a bulge is absent in female specimens (Fig. 1, bottom row). Human fetal female bladder and urethra are shown at higher magnification in Fig. 2. Note the absence of the prostatic bulge (Fig. 2A). The region between the white lines in Fig. 2A is designated as “female proximal urethra”. The histology of this region is depicted in Fig. 2B and shows the epithelium defining the urethral lumen and associated epithelial outpouchings that may represent urethral glands (glands of Littre) or may subsequently canalize to expand the urethral lumen.

3.2. Histology and immunohistochemistry of developing human prostate

To determine whether human female proximal urethra can be prostate is recognized as a distinct bulge immediately below the urinary bladder (Fig. 1 top row). In contrast, such a bulge is absent in female specimens (Fig. 1, bottom row). Human fetal female bladder and urethra are shown at higher magnification in Fig. 2. Note the absence of the prostatic bulge (Fig. 2A). The region between the white lines in Fig. 2A is designated as “female proximal urethra”. The histology of this region is depicted in Fig. 2B and shows the epithelium defining the urethral lumen and associated epithelial outpouchings that may represent urethral glands (glands of Littre) or may subsequently canalize to expand the urethral lumen.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-bud</th>
<th>Solid buds</th>
<th>Bud elongation &amp; branching</th>
<th>Epithelial cells of canalicul ducts</th>
<th>Prostatic urethra</th>
<th>Female proximal urethra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>Canalized</td>
<td></td>
<td>Basal cells</td>
<td>Luminal cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Pre-bud</th>
<th>Solid</th>
<th>Canalized</th>
<th>Epithelial cells of canalicul ducts</th>
<th>Prostatic urethra</th>
<th>Female proximal urethra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 6</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 7</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 19</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNX1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP63</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXA1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NXX3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uroplakin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-/+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Pre-bud=UGE tube.
*21 week specimen only.

Abbreviations: PSA = Prostate specific antigen, PAP = Prostate acid phosphatase, AR = androgen receptor.

*PAP was only observed in a 21-week human fetal prostatic specimen.
induced by DHT to undergo prostatic development, normal prostatic differentiation was assessed via a constellation of immunohistochemical stains to define a set of proteins that are associated with prostatic development. Given the period of exposure of xenografts of human female proximal urethra to DHT (see below), it was appropriate to assess the process of prostatic development from the pre-bud stage (9 weeks) to advanced ductal elongation, branching, and canalization resulting in differentiation of definitive luminal and basal epithelial cells at 21 weeks of gestation. Morphological and immunohistochemical features of normal (non-grafted) human prostatic differentiation from pre-bud to differentiation of canalized ducts lined with luminal and basal cells are summarized in Table 2. Given that the prostatic urethra with associated prostatic ducts as well as the human female proximal urethra are both derived from similar (if not identical) portions of the UGS, we found that the immunohistochemical profile of the developing prostatic urethra was virtually identical to that of the developing female urethra (Table 2). However, the spatial ontogeny of marker expression in developing prostatic ducts is unique and highlights a critical distinction between the immunohistochemical profile of developing human prostatic ducts versus human fetal prostatic urethra and human female fetal urethra. For this reason, the unique ontogeny of immunohistochemical profile of developing prostatic ducts is emphasized.

Figs. 3–5 focus on several of the immunohistochemical features of human fetal prostate. Perhaps the most interesting area within the developing human prostate is the zone of ductal canalization in which solid prostatic ducts develop a lumen lined with luminal epithelial cells underlain by a continuous layer of basal epithelial cells. Such zones can be seen in specimens 11–21 weeks of gestation, indicating that the ductal canalization process occurs over a wide developmental period. From 11 to 21 weeks of gestation, elongation of solid ducts and their branching continues to occur distally (near the capsule), followed by a wave of ductal canalization which begins proximally (near the urethra) and extends distally into the branched ductal network (Cunha et al., 2018). The canalization process culminates in the differentiation of definitive luminal and basal epithelial cells (as well as neuroendocrine cells). Frequently, the various stages in the canalization process can be seen in a single section containing solid ducts, canalizing ducts and mature fully canalized ducts with definitive luminal and basal cells. Across the full range of epithelial proteins, a common pattern has emerged.

The starting point for prostatic development is the pre-bud urogenital sinus, which is essentially prostatic urethra prior to prostatic bud initiation. At this stage (8–9 weeks), the male UGE is weakly ESR2–positive with ESR2 staining seen in the mid-dorsum of the UGE (Fig. 3A) and in mid-dorsal UGM between the UGE and the epithelia of the Wolffian ducts (WD) and fused Mullerian ducts (FMD, prostatic utricle). Androgen receptor (AR) and uroplakin were confined to the luminal epithelial layer
Fig. 4. (A) Immunostaining for androgen receptor (AR) and (B) RUNX1 of a 14-week human fetal prostate. Images in both (A) and (B) are taken from a region within the prostate of transition between solid and canalizing ducts. The pattern of expression across this zone of canalization is similar for both proteins. Solid ducts (a-c in A) are negative for AR and RUNX1 (B), while canalized ducts are lined by AR- and RUNX1-positive epithelial cells (red arrowheads). Green arrowheads denote solid or canalized ducts lacking AR (A1 & A2) or RUNX1 (B) expression. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
Fig. 5. Immunohistochemistry of human fetal prostate. Note luminal versus basal cell expression in canalized ducts (A–K). For solid ducts (E, black arrowhead; F, back arrowheads; J, black arrowhead), proteins may be either undetectable (F, black arrowheads & I, asterisk), may be broadly and homogeneously expressed throughout solid prostatic ducts (E, J), or may contain a mixture of epithelial cells positive and negative for a particular protein (E, F, H, I, J, K, green arrowheads). A–C & E = 15 weeks, D = 19 weeks, F = 12 weeks, J = 14 weeks G-I & K = 21 weeks. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
prostatic ducts (Fig. 4A2a). Androgen receptor immunostaining was also not detected in solid prostatic ducts (Fig. 4A2a). A similar pattern of expression is seen for RUNX1; luminal epithelial cells in canalized ducts are RUNX1-positive (Fig. 4B, red arrowheads), while epithelial cells of solid prostatic ducts are RUNX1-negative (Fig. 4B, green arrowheads).

A similar pattern of expression in solid versus canalized prostatic ducts was seen for several keratins and TP63. For relatively mature canalized ducts, keratin 7 (Fig. 5B and I), 8 (Fig. 5C) and 19 (Fig. 5H) were expressed in luminal cells. Likewise in canalized ducts (but in an obverse), keratin 6 (Fig. 5A), keratin 15 (Fig. 5G) and TP63 (Fig. 5D) were expressed in basal epithelial cells but were broadly expressed throughout solid prostatic ducts (Fig. 5E, black arrowhead, J-K, asterisks). For keratin 7, solid ducts (Fig. 5F, black arrowheads) were either negative or contained keratin-7-positive cells in the central core of solid ducts (Fig. 5F, green arrowhead), suggesting variability in the differentiation state, a pattern shared with keratins 8 and 19 (not illustrated). The pattern that emerges from observations of several proteins is a protracted differentiation process that begins with solid prostatic buds that are initially either negative or positive depending on the protein during the periods examined (9–21 weeks). Subsequently, in solid prostatic ducts protein expression becomes localized to central core epithelial cells for proteins destined to become luminal epithelial cells (Fig. 5F, H, I, keratin 7, keratin 19, keratin 8). For proteins destined to become basal cells, central core epithelial cells are devoid of immunohistochemical expression, while protein expression is prominent in basally located epithelial cells (Fig. 5D,E,G,J,K, TP63, keratin 6, keratin 15). The canalization/differentiation process culminates in restricted expression of proteins unique to luminal and basal cells. An exception to this pattern is seen for FOXA1, an endodermal marker and keratin 19, both of which are expressed in all cells of the prostatic urethra and in solid and canalized prostatic ducts (Table 2, not illustrated). While the developing prostatic urethra and the developing female proximal urethra express the same spectrum of proteins (Table 2), it is important to recognize the unique ontogenic spatial pattern of marker proteins in developing prostatic ducts.

Keratins 10 and 14, NKX3.1, and ERE were undetectable in developing prostate from 9 to 21 weeks of gestation (Table 2, not illustrated).

![Fig. 6. Section of human fetal prostate at 21 weeks of gestation immunostained for prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP) and prostatic specific antigen (PSA).](image-url)
Histology and immunohistochemistry of the developing human female proximal urethra.

Prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP) was detected luminal prostatic cells at 21 weeks, while PSA was not expressed at this stage (Fig. 6). Keratin 14 is a normal feature of adult prostatic basal epithelial cells (Hudson et al., 2001). The unexpected absence of keratin 14 immunostaining (Table 2) in developing human prostatic ducts may be a function of differentiation state of the epithelium.

3.3. Histology and immunohistochemistry of the developing human female proximal urethra

The immunohistochemical profile of the developing human female proximal urethra is virtually identical to that of the developing human prostatic urethra and to a large extent prostatic ducts as well. Many of the keratins, as well as TP63, RUNX1, FOXA1, ESR2 and uroplakin are expressed in epithelium of both the developing human prostatic urethra and female proximal urethra (Tables 2 and 3). The most distinguishing feature between human fetal female proximal urethra and developing human fetal prostate is the formation of extensively branched ductal networks in the developing prostate and their absence in the developing human female proximal urethra. Epithelial outpouchings of the developing human female proximal urethra are present from at least 12 weeks of gestation (and most probably earlier) (Fig. 2B) and may represent rudiments of urethral glands (glands of Littre), although definitive differentiated urethral glands were not observed in the specimens examined. Urethral glands are diminutive in size and vastly simpler in morphology relative to the ductal-acinar networks of human prostate (Warick and Williams, 1973). The branched network of solid and canalized ducts of the developing prostate has a unique ontogenic pattern of expression of protein markers as described above not seen in the female proximal urethra.

The androgen receptor is a feature common to both the prostatic urethra and the developing human female proximal urethra (Figs. 3B, 4A and 7). The epithelium of the prostatic urethra exhibits AR in luminal cells (Figs. 3B, 4A and 7B) as does the epithelium lining the female proximal urethra (Fig. 7A). However, the ontogeny of AR in prostatic ducts is a complicated and unique process. As solid prostatic buds emerge from the UGE (prostatic urethra), AR-positive epithelial cells are observed in the central core of the newly emergent prostatic buds at 11–12 weeks (Fig. 7B, asterisk). As newly emergent prostatic buds canalize, AR-positive luminal cells are apparent (Fig. 7B, arrowheads). Branched solid prostatic buds that have extended peripherally toward the capsule, are AR-negative (Figs. 4A2a and 7C, asterisk), but as ductal canalization occurs in a wave from the urethra outward, central core epithelial cells become AR-positive, and then the luminal epithelial cells express AR (Figs. 4A1, A2 and 7C). This complicated process of AR ontogeny occurs over an extended period from at least 11–21 weeks (Figs. 4A and 7B) and probably later, and does not occur in the female proximal urethra, even though solid outpouchings of the female proximal urethra express a core of scattered AR-positive cells (Fig. 7A, arrowheads).

4. Xenograft studies

4.1. Xenografts of human fetal prostate grown in untreated and DHT-treated castrated hosts

4.1.1. General observations

The rationale for including human fetal prostatic xenografts grown under androgenic and androgen-deprived conditions is that one unique feature of human fetal prostate is its responsiveness to androgens. Accordingly, if human fetal female proximal urethra can be induced to form prostate in response to DHT, the induced glands should exhibit responsiveness to androgenic conditions and manifest an ontogenic pattern of protein expression consistent with androgen-dependent prostatic differentiation. To assess androgen-dependent prostatic development, human fetal prostatic xenografts were grown in (a) untreated castrated athymic male mouse hosts (N = 7) (androgen deficient) or in (b) DHT-treated castrated hosts (N = 8). Many of the human fetal prostatic xenografts were represented as right-left pairs that were grown in untreated and DHT-treated hosts. These prostatic xenograft pairs were aged 11–14 weeks of gestation at the time of grafting, and thus prostatic buds were present at the time of grafting, and had an immunohistochemical profile consistent with this age range (see text and Tables) as described previously (Cunha et al., 2018). For each...
Fig. 8. Xenografts of a 14-week human fetal prostate grown for 8 weeks in DHT (A, C, D-E) and untreated (control) castrated athymic male mice (B, F-G). Note the substantial increase in prostatic ducts, many of which are canalized, in DHT-treated (A & D) versus the control (B & F) xenografts. (C) NKX3.1 (brown stain) is apparent in a subset of epithelial cells in canalized, but not in solid ducts (C, *) in prostatic xenografts treated with DHT. (D-G) Androgen receptor immunostained sections of a 14-week human fetal prostate grown for 4 weeks in a DHT-treated castrated mouse host (D-E) and an untreated (control) castrated mouse host (F-G). Note abundant AR-positive stromal cells and AR-positive luminal epithelial cells in the DHT-treated specimen (D-E) (but not in solid ducts (E, *), versus the absence of AR in the epithelium and reduction in AR-positive stromal cells in the control fetal prostatic graft (F-G) (* in G denotes a solid duct). AR-positive stromal cells are seen in the lower left quadrant of (G) far removed from the epithelium. Adapted in part from Cunha et al., (2018) with permission.
untreated/DHT-treated pair, the number of ducts observed in the DHT-treated xenografts greatly exceeded that of the androgen-deficient controls (Fig. 8A,B,D, and F). Moreover, many of the ducts in the DHT-treated xenografts were canalized and contained differentiated luminal and basal epithelial cells (Figs. 8A,C,E, and 9A & C), while these features were under-represented/absent in the control prostatic xenografts (Figs. 8B, F–G and 9B & D).

4.1.2. Immunohistochemical observations

The profile of the epithelial differentiation markers clearly segregated into two categories: androgen-independent and androgen-dependent. The first 12 epithelial markers (keratin 6 through ESR2) in Table 4 were androgen-independent as the expression profile was identical in prostatic grafts grown in both untreated and DHT-treated hosts. The last 4 epithelial markers in Table 4 (AR, NKX3.1, PSA and PAP) were expressed only in DHT-treated hosts and thus were androgen-dependent. Expression of epithelial AR and PAP in DHT-treated prostatic grafts greatly exceeded that in prostatic grafts grown in untreated hosts. NKX3.1 was only expressed in epithelium of prostatic grafts grown in DHT-treated hosts, albeit in 4/8 prostatic xenografts grown in DHT-treated castrated hosts, specifically in a subset of mostly luminal epithelial cells in canalized ducts, but never in solid ducts (asterisks, Fig. 8C, Table 4). Androgen receptor was broadly expressed in luminal epithelial cells of canalized prostatic ducts and in the surrounding stromal cells of DHT-treated xenografts, but was not expressed in solid prostatic ducts in the same section (Fig. 8D–E). Androgen receptor was minimally expressed in prostatic xenografts grown in untreated castrated hosts (androgen deficient) (Fig. 8F–G). Examination of non-grafted human prostatic xenografts grown in DHT-treated (A & C) and androgen-deficient (B & D) hosts immunostained for PAP and PSA. Ages of the specimens at the time of grafting is given and ranges from 11 to 13 weeks. (A & C) Human fetal prostatic xenografts at the ages specified grown in DHT-treated hosts stained for PAP (A) and PSA (C). (B & D) Human fetal prostatic xenografts at the ages specified grown in untreated hosts stained for PAP (B) and PSA (D). Note canalized ducts in prostatic xenografts grown in DHT-treated hosts (A & C), and the absence of canalized ducts and PAP and PSA staining in prostatic xenografts grown in untreated hosts (B & D).
human fetal prostates of a comparable age of the xenografts indicated that epithelial and mesenchymal AR was present at (and before) the time of grafting, yet AR was absent/greatly diminished in prostatic xenografts grown in androgen-deficient hosts, suggesting that continued AR expression is dependent upon the presence of androgens. PAP was detected in canalized (but not solid) ducts of DHT-treated human fetal prostatic xenografts and never in prostatic xenografts grown in untreated hosts (Fig. 9A and B). PSA was weakly expressed in DHT-treated human fetal proximate xenografts and never in prostatic xenografts grown in untreated hosts (Fig. 9C–D). Non-grafted prostatic specimens strongly exhibited keratins in a prostatic pattern as described above. Notably this specimen was younger (pre-bud) than we estimated at the time of grafting.

4.2. Xenografts of human fetal female proximal urethra grown in untreated and DHT-treated castrated hosts

4.2.1. General observations

Following 4 or 8 weeks of in vivo growth, human female proximal urethral xenografts grown in androgen-deficient (untreated castrated) hosts maintained a histologic (Fig. 10A) and immunohistochemical profile consistent with a female urethral phenotype (Table 5). Xenografts of human female proximal urethras grown in untreated castrated hosts contained a lumen lined by stratified uroplakin-positive epithelium and associated solid epithelial outgrowths (Fig. 10A, Table 5) and an immunohistochemical profile identical to that of non-grafted human female proximal urethra grown in untreated castrated hosts (not illustrated, see Tables 2–4). In contrast, xenografts of human female proximal urethra grown in DHT-treated hosts exhibited a histologic profile consistent with developing and differentiating prostate and thus contained an abundance of solid and canalized ducts containing luminal and basal epithelial cells (Fig. 10B).

4.2.2. Immunohistochemical observations

As described above the 12 androgen-independent markers (keratin 6 to ESR2 in Table 5) were present in both control and DHT-treated human female proximal urethral xenografts, but a distinctive prostatic expression pattern was evident for these proteins in solid and canalized ducts of DHT-treated specimens (8/8) (Figs. 11–13). Canalized and solid ducts exhibited keratins in a prostatic pattern as described above. Notably this included keratins 7 (Fig. 11A), keratin 8 (not illustrated), keratin 6 (Fig. 11B), keratin 15 (Fig. 11D), keratin 18 (Fig. 11E), keratin 19 (Fig. 11G). TP63 was expressed in basal cells of urethral epithelium, in basal cells of canalized ducts and throughout solid epithelial “ducts” of xenografts of DHT-treated human fetal female proximal urethra.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Non-grafted prostates</th>
<th>DHT-treated prostatic xenografts</th>
<th>Untreated prostatic xenografts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 15</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 19</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNX1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP63</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXA1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKX3.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAP</td>
<td>–/+*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PSA = Prostate specific antigen, PAP = Prostate acid phosphatase, AR = androgen receptor.

* PAP was detected in only the oldest (21-week) specimen.

Fig. 10. Xenografts of human female proximal urethra grown in untreated castrated hosts (control) (A) and in DHT-treated castrated hosts (B) both stained for FOXA1, an endodermal marker expressed in all epithelial cells. (A) The control grafts contained a urethra lined with a stratified epithelium and solid epithelial outgrowths. (B) Grafts grown in DHT-treated hosts contained prostate-like canalized and solid ducts.
Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markers</th>
<th>Prostatic xenografts (DHT)</th>
<th>Female urethral xenografts (DHT)</th>
<th>Prostatic xenografts (control)</th>
<th>Female urethral xenografts (control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td>Canalized ducts</td>
<td>Canalized ducts</td>
<td>Solid</td>
<td>Stratified epithelium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 6</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 7</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 8</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 10</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 14</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 15</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratin 19</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNX1</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP63</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
<td>Basal cells only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOXA1</td>
<td>All cells</td>
<td>All cells</td>
<td>All cells</td>
<td>All cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR1</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR2</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKX3.1</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
<td>Luminal cells only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAP</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PSA = prostate specific antigen, PAP = prostate acid phosphatase, AR = androgen receptor, epi. = epithelium.

The idea of prostatic development in xenografts of human fetal female proximal urethra can be inferred from studies in mice in which prostate can be induced when female urogenital sinuses are grafted into male hosts (Cunha, 1975) and in earlier animal studies in which female urogenital sinus formed prostatic buds when cultured in the presence of testosterone (Price and Ortiz, 1965; Takeda et al., 1986). Further support for prostatic development from human fetal urogenital sinus comes from radiographic evidence of prostate in a genetic XX female patient secondary to physiologic elevation in androgens due to congenital adrenal hyperplasia (Fang et al., 2013). Prostatic carcinogenesis has also been reported in genetic XX female patients also with congenital adrenal hyperplasia (Wessels et al., 2017; Winters et al., 1996). The clinical implication is that patients with disorders of sex development with a XX genotype who are exposed in utero to high levels of androgens are at risk for formation of prostatic tissue as well as well documented genital virilization (Speiser et al., 2010). The implications for post-natal androgen exposure of XX genetic individuals such as in the transgender population remains well defined (see discussion of “window of sensitivity” below) but should be monitored.

The significance of the present study is the reproducible experimental induction of prostatic morphogenesis and differentiation from human fetal female proximal urethra that includes expression of an epithelial immunohistochemical profile clearly indicative of prostatic ducts. This conclusion is supported by the expression of human prostate specific antigen (PSA), human prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP) and NKX3.1, known markers of human prostatic epithelial differentiation (Delem and Tudosio, 1998; Irer et al., 2009; Lam et al., 1989). The experimental induction of prostatic differentiation from human fetal female proximal urethra makes possible future in-depth analysis of the molecular pathways directly involved in initiation of human prostatic development and subsequent epithelial differentiation, and more important whether the molecular pathways involved in human prostatic development are similar/identical versus different from that in murine prostatic development. The molecular biology of mouse prostatic development has advanced considerably in recent years (Abler et al., 2011; Georgas et al., 2015; Mehta et al., 2011; Toivanen and Shen, 2017) with the tacit, but unproven assumption that developmental pathways in mice are shared in human prostatic development. While many features of prostatic development, including certain molecular pathways, are clearly shared by these two species, as finer details of the molecular pathways are revealed in mice, it will now be possible to directly interrogate mouse versus human molecular pathways to determine whether species differences exist and to assess their biologic/therapeutic significance.

The human prostate develops from a restricted portion of the endodermal UGE, namely from the area immediately below the bladder. Accordingly, the same area in human females, which we designate the prostatic urethra, is clearly homologous to the prostatic rudiment in males, and is thus capable of “female” prostatic development if exposed to androgens during the appropriate period of development. The ability of the human female UGS to form prostate in response to androgens is likely to be restricted temporally to a developmental window of sensitivity, after which the ability of the female UGS to form prostate is lost (Cunha, 1975). In this regard, the oldest female proximal urethra in the present study to undergo prostatic differentiation and express NKX3.1, PAP and PSA was derived from a 14.5 week fetus, 4–5 weeks later than the emergence of prostatic buds in male fetuses at 10 weeks of gestation (Cunha et al., 2018). Further studies will be required to determine when female urethra loses its ability to undergo prostatic development. The current study describes a simple experimental approach to reproducibly induce de novo prostatic development from female proximal urethra and documents the ontogeny of a variety of proteins associated with and/or unique to prostatic differentiation.

Two critical questions deserving of utmost consideration are as follows: (a) Are the ductal structures induced by DHT in grafts of female proximal urethra actually prostatic; (b) Are the morphogenetic processes and the expression of immunohistochemical markers androgen-dependent.

The prostatic identity of ductal structures in grafts of female proximal urethra is supported by several observations. The overall morphogenetic process encompassing the formation of solid ducts, their canalization and
differentiation of luminal and a continuous layer of basal cells compares favorably with human prostatic development (Cunha et al., 2018). The unique global spatial pattern of expression of 16 immunohistochemical markers in DHT-treated grafts of female proximal urethra is consistent with that of developing human prostate. Three prostate-specific markers (NKX3.1, prostate specific antigen [PSA] and prostatic acid phosphatase [PAP]) were expressed in DHT-treated grafts of female proximal urethra. NKX3.1 is a known specific marker of prostatic epithelium (Gelmann et al., 2003). Thus, the first question regarding prostatic identity of ductal structures induced by DHT in grafts of female proximal urethra is solidly supported by our data.

The second question, regarding the androgen-dependency of morphogenesis and expression of immunohistochemical markers is also solidly supported by many lines of evidence. The overall morphogenetic process (formation of solid ducts, subsequent canalization and differentiation of luminal and basal cells) is known to be androgen-dependent in the developing human prostate (Cunha et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 1981), and was precisely mimicked in DHT-treated (but not in control [non-androgenic]) grafts of female proximal urethra. Xenografts of human fetal prostate (13 and 14 weeks of gestation at the time of grafting) contained solid prostatic buds prior to transplantation. Prostatic ductal morphogenesis, epithelial differentiation and marker expression was enhanced (up-regulated) by DHT in these prostatic xenografts and was impaired as a result of androgen deficiency (untreated castrated hosts), thus confirming the prostatic morphogenesis is androgen-dependent. Several proteins expressed during prostatic development in grafts of female proximal urethra are known to be androgen-dependent (and prostate specific), namely, NKX3.1, PSA and PAP. NKX3.1 is an androgen-regulated homeobox gene (Korkmaz et al., 2004; Prescott et al., 1998) known to be expressed in benign and malignant human prostate (Gelmann et al., 2003) as well as mouse prostate (Bhatia-Gaur et al., 1999). The ontogeny of NKX3.1 in human fetal prostate has not been previously reported. NKX3.1 was not detected in non-grafted human fetal prostates from 9 to 21 weeks in solid and canaliculated ductal structures containing well differentiated luminal and basal cells. Whether NKX3.1 is expressed latter in the third trimester or
androgen-dependence. NKX3.1 appeared to be expressed in luminal and
basal cells in xenografts of human fetal female proximal urethra. Whether NKX3.1 was expressed
in luminal versus basal cells appears to be a function of the overall differ-
entiation state of ducts transitioning from solid to fully canalized.

Prostate specific antigen (PSA) and prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP)
are also known to be up-regulated by androgen in prostatic epithelial cells
(Kim and Coetzee, 2004; Lin et al., 1993; Povari et al., 1995). PSA and
NKX3.1 were not observed in 21-week non-grafted prostates, while PAP
was detected at 21-week human fetal prostates. Perhaps the androgenic
threshold for expression of PAP is lower than that PSA and NKX3.1. The
DHT pellets used in our xenograft studies may be releasing a
supra-physiologic dose of androgen which is compatible with induction
of these proteins. It is notable that PAP is expressed temporally before
PSA in subcutaneous of human prostatic organoids grafted into male
nude mice (Hayward, 1992). In any case the expression of PSA, PAP and
NKX3.1 in DHT-treated xenografts of human fetal prostate and human
fetal female proximal urethra is consistent with androgenic regulation of
these proteins as these proteins were not detected under non-androgenic
conditions. The expression of NKX3.1, PSA and PAP correlates with the
presence of androgen receptors in the developing human prostate and human
fetal female proximal urethra.

The androgen receptor (AR) is another known androgen-regulated
protein. The androgen receptor is also known to be up-regulated in
androgen target organs by testosterone and other androgens in vivo
(Amet et al., 1986; Block et al., 1991; De Pergola et al., 1990). Thus, the
broad presence of AR immunostaining in human fetal female proximal
urethra grown in DHT-treated hosts (Fig. 12C) and the almost complete
absence of AR in human fetal female proximal urethra grown in un-
treated castrated hosts is another manifestation of an
androgen-dependent prostate-associated marker induced by DHT in
human fetal female proximal urethra.

As an aside, our observations of NKX3.1 in the developing human
prostate differ significantly from that reported for the developing mouse
prostate (Bhatia-Gaur et al., 1999; Sciavolino et al., 1997). In mice
NKX3.1 is expressed in the pre-bud male UGE at 14 days of gestation, in
solid prostatic buds and subsequently in canalized prostatic ducts, while
in human prostatic development NKX3.1 was only observed in canalized
ducts, that is, in an advanced stage of prostatic development. Fourteen
days of gestation in mice is a period when the fetal testes are producing
testosterone (Bloch et al., 1971), and thus regulation of this
androgen-dependent protein in mice may be exquisitely sensitive to
androgen.

From a broader perspective, our statement above regarding so-called
androgen-independent immunohistochemical markers in Tables 3–5
(keratin 6 to ESR2) requires qualification. The 12 immunohistochemical
markers in question were said to be androgen-independent based upon
the fact that they were equivalently expressed under androgenic and non-
androgenic conditions in human prostatic and human fetal female
proximal urethral grafts. However, the development of prostatic buds/
ducts is known to be globally dependent upon androgens and virtually all
immunohistochemical markers are expressed ontogenetically and
spatially in developing prostatic ducts in a unique (androgen-dependent)
pattern. Thus, from the more-narrow perspective of the developing
prostatic ducts in DHT-treated female proximal urethra, nearly the entire
spectrum of immunohistochemical markers can be considered to be
androgen-dependent, a salient feature of prostatic development. Finally,
grafts of human female urethra provide the opportunity of examining
androgen-induced initiation of human fetal prostatic budding (with in-
duction of NKX3.1, PSA and PAP) under controlled experimental
conditions.

We have shown previously that rat and mouse UGM can induce
prostatic development in human fetal and adult human urinary bladder
epithelium (BLE), an event associated with the expression of PSA in the
induced glands (Aboseif et al., 1999; Cunha et al., 1983). It is likely that
such UGM + BLE tissue recombinants also express NKX3.1 and PAP, but
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**Fig. 12.** Xenografts of human fetal female proximal urethra grown for 8 weeks
in DHT-treated castrated mouse hosts immunostained as indicated.

whether its expression is delayed until puberty remains to be determined.
NKX3.1 was also not detected in non-grafted human fetal female prox-
imal urethra before or after growth in androgen-deficient hosts, but was
detected in xenografts of human fetal female proximal urethra (and
human fetal prostate) treated with DHT, thus confirming its
androgen-dependence. NKX3.1 appeared to be expressed in luminal and
perhaps in basal epithelial cells in xenografts of human fetal female
this remains to be determined. These findings, coupled with the literature on human fetal prostatic xenografts and the findings reported herein, raise the possibility of using genetically altered mouse UGM in tissue recombinants composed of mutant mouse UGM plus human endodermal epithelium (from urinary bladder, UGE or female urethra) to define the role of specific mesenchymal genes in prostatic development.
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Fig. 13. Xenografts of human fetal female proximal urethra grown for 8 weeks in DHT-treated castrated mouse hosts and untreated castrated (control) mouse hosts immunostained for PAP (A & C) and PSA (B & D).
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