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## NONMAJOR CUBIC SYMMETRY AXES OF EASY

MAGNETIZATION IN RARE-EARTH-IRON-LAVES COMPOUNDS
U. Atzmony
Nuclear Research Center - Negev, P. O. Box 9001, Beer-Sheva, Israel and
M. P. Dariel ${ }^{\dagger}$
Materials and Molecular Research Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720
ABSTRACT
Unusual, [uuw] and [uv0]-type axes of easy magnetization have been observed in some cubic rare-earth iron Laves compounds. The presence of these directions of spontaneous magnetization can be accounted for, within the phenomenological treatment of the magnetic anisotropy, by including 8th power direction cosine terms in the power expansion of the magnetic anisotropy energy. It will also be shown that the single-ion model predicts the existence of these directions. The conditions imposed on the bulk magnetic anisotropy constants are derived. Typical values of these constants in rare-earth iron Laves phases are calculated using the single-ion model.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

The direction of the spontaneous magnetization reflects the dependence of the magnetic free energy of the crystallographic directions. According to the phenomenological treatment, the magnetic free energy of a cubic crystal can be expanded into a power series of the direction cosines ( $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ ) of the direction of magnetization $\vec{n}$ with respect to the cubic axes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{K}_{0}+\mathrm{K}_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{K}_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2}=1$. The $K_{i}^{\prime ' s}$ are the temperature dependent bulk magnetic anisotropy constants. It is commonly accepted that only terms. up to the 6th power of the direction cosines should be retained. It can be easily show, by differentiation with respect to the angles $\beta$ and $\gamma$ $\left(\beta=\cos ^{-1} \alpha_{1}, \gamma=\cos ^{-1} \alpha_{2}\right)$ that the only minima for $E(\vec{n}, T)$ occur for $\alpha_{i}$ 's corresponding to the major axes of symmetry of the cubic system, namely the [001], [011] and [111] directions. Which of these becomes an easy axis of magnetization, depends on the relative values of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Accordingly, it is generally believed that the crystal field approach using the single-ion model would yield the same directions of easy magnetization. The Hamiltonian is therefore usually written and solved only for exchange fields, which are assumed to be parallel to the major axes of cubic symmetry.

In recent years Mossbauer effect studies have been successfully used in order to determine the magnetic anisotropy properties of cubic Laves binary $\mathrm{RFe}_{2}{ }^{1}$ and ternary $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}^{(1)} \mathrm{R}_{1-\mathrm{x}}^{(2)} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }_{2}^{2,3}$ rare-earth-iron compounds. In several instances the easy axes of magnetization of these compounds
were found to deviate from major cubic directions of symmetry. Such behavior was observed in two main types of compounds: (1) some binary rare-earth iron Laves compounds $\mathrm{CeFe}_{2}{ }^{4}, \mathrm{SmFe}_{2}{ }^{5}$, and $\mathrm{HoFe}_{2}$, ${ }^{6}$ and (2) ternary mixed-rare-earth $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}^{(1)} \mathrm{R}_{1-\mathrm{x}}^{(2)} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}{ }^{7,8}$ compounds, in the course of spin reorientations, which took place upon change of either the composition or the temperature. In most cases the departure of the axis of magnetization from the major axis of symmetry takes place over a relatively broad temperature interval within which $\vec{n}$ rotates continuously over a wide range of directions. This rotation has also been confirmed by means of neutron diffraction measurements of an oriented powder sample of ${ }^{H o}{ }_{0.4} \mathrm{~Tb}_{0.6} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$. 7 Initially, the unusual directions of magnetization were believed to be due to distortions of the cubic unit cells.

The purpose of the present communication is to show that such cases can be understood within the framework of cubic symmetry. In Section II we will show that the presence of $K_{3}$, the 8 th power cosine term in the phenomenological expression, yields minima of $E(\vec{n}, T)$ for directions other than the major cubic axes. The analysis allows one to establish the conditions imposed on the bulk magnetic anisotropy constants in order that the axis of easy magnetization should deviate from these major axes of symmetry. In Section III we will show that the single-ion model, applied to the rare-earth ions, can lead to directions of easy magnetization other than the major cubic axes of symmetry, for various values of the crystal-field parameters. In Section IV we shall obtain the values of the magnetic anisotropy constants $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $K_{3}$ for several $\mathrm{RFe}_{2}$ compounds and show that $K_{3}$ is of ten of the same order of magnitude as $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Section $V$ includes a short discussion of some experimental results.
II. PHENOMENOLOGICAL APPROACH

Since the retention of the 6 th power cosine term yields only $E(\vec{n}, T)$ minima associated with the major axes of symmetry, the expression for $E(\vec{n}, T)$ (Eq. 1) was expanded to include the 8th power term:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{E}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{K}_{0}+\mathrm{K}_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{3} \alpha_{3}^{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{K}_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}\right)+ \\
 \tag{2}\\
+\mathrm{K}_{3}\left(\alpha_{1}^{4} \alpha_{2}^{4}+\alpha_{2}^{4} \alpha_{3}^{4}+\alpha_{3}^{4} \alpha_{1}^{4}\right)
\end{array}
$$

The conditions for an extremum in $E$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial B}=\frac{\partial E}{\partial \gamma}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extremum is a minimum if, at point $\left(\beta_{1}, \gamma_{i}\right)$ which satisfies conditions (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} E\left(\beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)}{\partial \beta^{2}}>0 \text { and } \frac{\partial^{2} E\left(\beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)}{\partial \gamma^{2}}>0 \tag{Ha}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the discriminant is positive definite, ie.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} E\left(\beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)}{\partial \beta^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} E\left(\beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)}{\partial \gamma^{2}}-\left[\frac{\partial^{2} E\left(\beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right)}{\partial \beta \partial \gamma}\right]^{2}>0 \tag{4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Application of conditions (3) and (4) to the first and second derivatives of (2) gives the restrictions imposed on the $K_{i}-s$ in order to obtain minima for E . The results indicate that such minima can exist for directions of $\vec{n}$ parallel to the major axes of symmetry and also for crystallographic
directions of type [uuw] ( $\beta=\gamma$ ) and of type [uv0]. These additional directions exist only for $K_{3}>0$. For the sake of conciseness, it is helpful to express $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ in units of $K_{3}$, we therefore define $K_{1}^{\prime \prime}=K_{1}^{\prime} / K_{3}$ and $K_{2}^{\prime}=K_{2} / K_{3}$. A straightforward calculation (see Appendix) allows one to determine the conditions imposed on the $K_{i}^{\prime}-s$ which account for the presence of axes of magnetization other than the major axes of symmetry. These conditions for [uuw]-type directions are:

$$
\text { 1. }-2<K_{2}^{\prime}<2 \text { and }-\frac{\left(K_{2}^{\prime}+2\right)^{2}}{24}<K_{2}^{\prime}<0
$$

or
2. $2<\mathrm{K}_{2}^{\prime}<4$ and $-\frac{\left(\mathrm{K}_{2}^{\prime}+2\right)^{2}}{24}<\mathrm{K}_{1}^{\prime}<-\frac{\mathrm{K}_{2}^{\prime}-1}{2}$

The conditions for a [uv0]-type direction are:

$$
\text { 1. } 0>K_{1}^{\prime}>-\frac{1}{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 2. } 2<K_{2}^{\prime} \tag{5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 1 represents, in the $K_{1}^{\prime}, K_{2}^{\prime}$ plane, the regions with the different possible axes of magnetization. Within the approximately triangular region $A B C$, the axis of magnetization is of type [uuw]. Within this region $\theta=\cos ^{-1} \alpha_{3}$, defined as the angle between $\vec{n}$ and the [001] axis, (see insert, Fig. 1.) has values between 0 and $54.4^{\circ}$. Lines of constant $\theta$ have been plotted in the $A B C$ region. The angle $\theta$ changes continuously across the $A B$ boundary but shows a discontinuity, when crossing the $A C$ or $B C$ boundaries. The cross-hatched region in the neighborhood of $A$ corresponds to a region of local minima of $E$. for [uuw] types of magnetization. Such directions will therefore not be stable. Region $C E D$ is similar to $A B C$, in that the direction of easy magnetization is of type [uuw], the angle $\theta$ within this region varies between
54.4 and $90^{\circ}$. Between points $E$ and $G$ there is again a very narrow band corresponding to non-stable (local minima of E) axes of type [uuw]. Region DBML is part of the area in which the direction of magnetization is of type [uv0], i.e., $\theta=90^{\circ}$ and $\Phi=\tan ^{-1}(v / u)$. Lines of constant $\Phi$ have also been plotted in this region, which continues indefinitely towards the right, bounded by the straight lines $K_{1}^{\prime}=0$ and $K_{1}^{\prime}=-1 / 2$.

The variation of the angle $\theta$ as function of temperature, deduced from Mossbauer effect measurements in $\mathrm{CeFe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SmFe}_{2}$, is shown in Fig. 2. In $\mathrm{SmFe}_{2}$ the direction of magnetization rotates continuously from the [110] axis at 140 K towards the [111] axis at 240 K . In $\mathrm{CeFe}_{2}$ the axis of magnetization is parallel to the [001] direction up to 150 K , above this temperature it changes to type-[uuw] with $\theta \simeq 20^{\circ}$. Just below the Curie temperature at 230 K , this angle increases to $30^{\circ}$. In $\mathrm{HoFe}_{2}$, $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{n}}$ is of [uuw] type at $T<20 \mathrm{~K}$ and parallel to [001] at higher temperatures. 6,8 In some ternary compounds, such as $\mathrm{Ho}_{0.5}{ }^{\mathrm{Er}} 0.5 \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ the behavior is more complex. With increasing temperature the direction of magnetization goes through the sequence $[\underline{u u w}] \rightarrow[110] \rightarrow[\underline{u v 0}] \rightarrow[100]$.

The phenomenological treatment developed above accounts for all types of behavior. In the case of $\mathrm{CeFe}_{2}$, the values of $\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ vary with increasing temperature in such a way that their projection in the $K_{1}^{\prime}, K_{2}^{\prime}$ plane follows the general trend of the heavy arrow (a) in Fig. 1. For $\mathrm{SmFe}_{2}$ the same projection has the trend of arrow type (b) which crosses region CED going from region [110] towards region [111]. This occurs during the temperature increase from 140 to 240 K . In $\mathrm{Ho}_{0.5} \mathrm{Er}_{0.5} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ the projection follows a direction antiparallel to the arrow of type (b) at low temperatures and thereafter, the general
direction of the arrow of type (c).
Examination of Fig. 1 also indicates that a spin reorientation involving the [111] direction, namely of type [111] ${ }_{\star}[100]$ or of type [111] $\neq[110]$, will not necessarily take place through a transition region, if $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are sufficiently large, relative to $K_{3}$. On the other hand for a [100] $\ddagger[110]$ spin reorientation, there will always be a transition region, with axes of magnetization of type [uv0], even for very small values of the bulk magnetic anisotropy constant $K_{3}$.
III. SINGLE-ION APPROACH

The magnetocrystalline anisotropies of rare-earth containing alloys are attributed mainly to the anisotropy of the interaction between the well shielded 4 f electrons of the rare-earth with the crystal fields. A detailed discussion of the application of the single-ion model Hamiltonian to the problem of the anisotropy in rare-earth Laves compounds was given in Ref. 3. The same approach is used here. One finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\text {anis }}=\sum\left(\mathcal{H}_{\text {exch }}+\mathcal{H}_{\text {crys }}\right)=N\left(\mathcal{K}_{\text {exch }}+\mathcal{K}_{\text {crys }}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with N the number of rare-earth ions per unit volume:
The exchange Hamiltonian is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\text {exch }}=2\left(g_{J}-1\right) \mu_{B} H_{\text {exch }} \vec{J} \cdot \vec{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to take into account a mixing of excited $J$ states into the ground state, $V_{4}$ and $V_{6}$ were expressed by the Racah operators $U_{n}$ : 9

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\text {crys }}=E_{J}+V_{4}+V_{6} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{J}$ is the energy of the excited state,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{4}=A_{4}\left(1-\sigma_{4}\right)\left\langle r^{4}\right\rangle\left[U_{4}^{0}+\left(\frac{5}{14}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(U_{4}^{4}+U_{4}^{-4}\right)\right] \tag{9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{6}=A_{6}\left\langle r^{6}\right\rangle\left[U_{6}^{0}+\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(U_{6}^{4}+U_{6}^{-4}\right)\right] \tag{9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $\left\langle r^{n}\right\rangle$ the 4 f radii, and of the shielding factor $\sigma_{4}$ have been calculated by Freeman and Watson. $10,11 \quad A_{4}$ and $A_{6}$, the crystal field parameters, are assumed, as in previous studies, ${ }^{3}$ to be independent of the rare-earth ions involved, which are all trivalent. The exchange field, $H_{\text {exch }}$, was kept constant, $\mu_{B} H_{\text {exch }}=-150 \mathrm{~K}$, for all temperatures up to

300 K. Usually $\mathcal{K}_{\text {anis }}$ is calculated and diagonalized for $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{n}}$ parallel to the three major axes of cubic symmetry. In the present work such calculations are made for thirty different directions of $\vec{n}$ which are confined to the (110) and the (001) planes. These directions, expressed in terms of the indices [uvw], include the major cubic axes of symmetry and are listed in Table $I$.

The free energy per ion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F_{R}\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)=-k T \ln Z \vec{n}_{j}, T\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)$ is the partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{-E_{i} / k T} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $E_{i}$ are eigenvalues and $m$ is the number of energy levels,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=2 J+1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a ternary combination $R_{x}^{(1)} R_{1-x}^{(2)}$ the magnetocrystalline free energy is expressed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x, \vec{n}_{j}, T\right)=x F_{R}(1)\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)+(1-x) F_{R}(2)\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The easy direction of magnetization of a given composition at a given temperature is the direction of $\vec{n}_{j}$ for which the free energy has its lowest value. This procedure when repeated for various values of $x$ and $T$ is used to construct spin orientation diagrams (SOD). In the present calculations values of $A_{4}=36 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{a}_{0}^{2}$ and $A_{6} / A_{4}=-0.038 a_{0}^{-2}$ ( $a_{0}$ is the radius of Bohr) were used. These values were found to yield theoretical SOD's in good agreement with the experimental SOD's ${ }^{3}$ Spin orientation diagrams thus calculated for various $R^{(1)}-R^{(2)}$ combinations with $R^{(1)}=$ Ho, Dy and $R^{(2)}=T b$, Er are shown in Figs. 3-6. These
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SOD's clearly exhibit the presence of "unusual" directions of easy magnetization (shaded areas in Figs. 3-6), that is directions not parallel to the major cubic symmetry axes, for all the investigated $R^{(1)}-R^{(2)}$ systems.

It should be noted that in the Ho-Tb and Ho-Er systems, regions which correspond to all three major axes of cubic symmetry are obtained. In the Dy-Tb and Dy-Er systems however, only regions of the [100] and [111] type are present. The experimental SOD of ${ }^{6} \quad \mathrm{Ho}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Er}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ is shown in Fig. 7. For the elemental rare-earths involved, as is seen in Figs. 3-6, $\quad \vec{n}$ is parallel to one single major axis of cubic symmetry. As mentioned above, in $\mathrm{HoFe}_{2}$ the direction of easy magnetization was observed to deviate from the [100] direction below 20 K .6 This behavvior can be accounted for by slightly increasing $A_{6} / A_{4}$ (Fig. 4b). The results obtained for $\mathrm{Ho}^{3+}$ (in $\mathrm{HoFe}_{2}$ ) with $\mu_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{exch}}=-150 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}=36 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ and $A_{6} / A_{4}=-0.045 a_{0}^{-2}$ are shown in Fig. 8. In this figure, the relative values of the magnetic anisotropy free energy $F$ (with respect to its minimum value) are plotted as a function of the direction of magnetization $\vec{n}$ at various temperatures. In the left part of the figure, $\vec{n}$ is confined to the ( $1 \overline{1} 0$ ) plane, in the right part $\vec{n}$ is in the (001) plane. Clearly the minimum of $F$ corresponds to $\vec{n}$ lying in the (001) plane. With increasing temperature $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{n}}$ rotates towards the [100] direction. The temperature dependence of $\phi=\tan ^{-1}(u / v)$ is shown in Fig. 9.

## IV. BULK ANISOTROPY CONSTANTS

The bulk magnetic anisotropy constants can be derived from the single ion magnetic anisotropy free energy $F_{R}\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)$ using the expression:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{K}_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2} \alpha_{1}^{2}\right)+  \tag{14}\\
K_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{2} \alpha_{2}^{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}\right)+K_{3}\left(\alpha_{1}^{4} \alpha_{2}^{4}+\alpha_{2}^{4} \alpha_{3}^{4}+\alpha_{3}^{4} \alpha_{1}^{4}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to illustrate the relative importance of the $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ term, the procedure described as follows was employed. Diagonalization of the Hamiltonian for the different $\vec{n}_{j}(j=1,30)$ directions yielded 30 corresponding independent $F_{R}\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)$ values at a given temperature. These values were used in order to determine by least squares fitting of (14), two sets of $K_{i}^{\prime} s$, one for $i=0,1,2$ and the second for $i-0,1,2,3$. The two sets of $K_{i}^{\prime} s$ can be resubstituted in Eq. 14 to calculate for any $\vec{n}_{j}$, two corresponding values of the anisotropy energy $E\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)$. These values calculated by truncating the power expansion after three and four terms respectively are compared to $F_{R}\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)$. In all instances the agreement between $E\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)$ and $F_{R}\left(\vec{n}_{j}, T\right)$ is improved by an order of magnitude when the $K_{3}$ term is included in the power series expansion. As the temperature increases, the relative importance of the $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ term rapidly decreases. The anisotropy constants $K_{i}(T), i=1,2,3$ in units of $K / i o n$ are plotted as function of temperature for the trivalent Dy , $\mathrm{Ho}, \mathrm{Tb}$ and Er ions in Figs. 10-13. These $K_{i}-$ s were obtained using $\mu_{B} H_{\text {exch }}=-150 \mathrm{~K}, A_{4}=36 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{a}_{0}^{2}$ and $A_{6} / A_{4}=-0.38 a_{0}^{-2}$. For $\mathrm{Ho}^{3+}$ the $K_{i}-s$ for $A_{6} / A_{4}=-0.045 a_{0}^{-2}$ are also plotted, but only $K_{1}$ is affected by the change of $A_{6} / A_{4}$. Several points of interest should be noted. 1.) $K_{3}$ is found to be positive for all investigated $\mathrm{R}^{3+}$ ions. 2.) $K_{3}$ is of the same order of magnitude as $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$
at low temperatures, but decreases faster with increasing temperatures. 3.) $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ in $\mathrm{Ho}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$ change signs as the temperature is increased.

In order to obtain [uuw] or [uv0] type easy axes of magnetization the calculated $K_{i}^{\prime}$ 's ( $i=1,2,3$ ) have to satisfy conditions (5a) and (5b) of Section II. For a ternary Laves compound, $R_{x}^{(1)} R_{1-x}^{(2)} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$, we assume $K_{i}=x K_{i, R}(1)+(1-x) K_{i, R}^{(2)}$. It is found that the calculated $K_{i}$ 's do satisfy conditions (5a) and (5b)at elevated T. At low T slight deviations from these conditions are observed. It should be noted that both conditions (5a) and (5b) and the calculated $K_{i}$ 's have been derived for a free energy which is expanded up to the 8 th order of the cosine terms. Considering also that the relative importance of the $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ term increases by a few orders of magnitude as T decreases to 4.2 K ,it is not implausible that higher cosine terms in the expansion of $E(\vec{n}, T)$ may become significant at low temperatures.

## V. DISCUSSION

In the previous sections we have shown that the existence of nonmajor symmetry axes of easy magnetization in cubic crystals is not in contradiction with the cubic symmetry and that actually such axes can be predicted by the crystal field single-ion model. It should be emphasized at this point that the single-ion Hamiltonian of the rare-earth elements is not the only source of the experimentally observed magnetic anisotropy. This is quite clear in $\mathrm{CeFe}_{2}, \mathrm{LuFe}_{2}{ }^{4}$ and $\mathrm{YFe}_{2}{ }^{1}$ which exhibit magnetic anisotropy, but where it is obviously not due to the single-ion rareearth, but probably to the iron sublattice. A further complication is the minute rhombohedral distortion reported in $\mathrm{TbFe}_{2}{ }^{12}$ which is due to the extremely strong magnetoelastic interactions. No similar distortions have, however, been observed in other $\mathrm{RFe}_{2}$ compounds.

In the phenomenological treatment of the magnetic anisotropy, the presence of non-major symmetry axes of spontaneous magnetization can be accounted for by including higher order direction-cosine terms. A nonnegligible $K_{3}$ term has been observed in the course of careful torque measurements in Ni metal. ${ }^{13}$ It is not implausible that for certain values of $K_{i}^{\prime}$ 's ( $\left.i=0,1,2,3,4\right)$, axes of spontaneous magnetization parallel to general [uvw] directions might be observed. This will give rise to four inequivalent iron sites in $\mathrm{RFe}_{2}$ compounds. The Mossbauer spectra in such cases $3,4,6$ would be a superposition of four six line patterns. This however, will be hardly detectable from the experimental data, even though mathematically the quality of the theoretical fits will improve as the number of superimposed spectra increases from 3 to 4.


#### Abstract

Recently Williams and Koon ${ }^{14}$ reported the results of bulk magnetic anisotropy constants measurements on a single crystal of $\mathrm{Tb} \quad \mathrm{Ho} \mathrm{He}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ using torque magnetometry techniques. In general their findings are in satisfactory agreement with the $S O D$ of the (Ho-Tb) $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ system as determined on the basis of Mossbauer spectroscopy and calculated using the single-ion model. Williams and Koon were unable to observe a triple point which indeed does not appear in the corrected SOD of Fig. 3. Following the usual procedure, Williams and Koon neglected higher than 6th power cosine terms in the analysis of their experimental results. Neglecting 8th power terms is justified at $T>150 \mathrm{~K}$, it is not however, according to our results, at lower temperatures. The discrepancy at higher temperatures between their measured $K_{i}^{\prime \prime} s$ and those calculated in the present work is not too surprising. Torque measurements yield values of the overall bulk anisotropy constants irrespective of their microscopic origin, while our calculations determined only the contribution of the single rare-earth ion anisotropy to those constants.


VI. CONCLUSIONS

1. Inclusion of the 8 th power cosine terms in the phenomenological expansion of the magnetic anisotropy free energy accounts for the presence of [uuv] and [uv0] type spontaneous axes of magnetization. The presence of such axes has been observed in several binary and ternary rare-earth iron cubic compounds.
2. The single-ion model predicts the existence of such non-major cubic symmetry axes of easy magnetization.
3. The value of the bulk magnetic anisotropy constant $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ is of the same order as those of $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ at low temperature, but decreases faster with increasing temperature.
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## APPENDIX

Starting with Eq.(2), substituting $\alpha_{3}^{2}=1-\alpha_{1}^{2}-\alpha_{2}^{2}$, changing the notation to $\alpha_{1}=\cos \beta$ and $\alpha_{2}=\cos \gamma$ and applying conditions (3) for the extremum, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \beta}=2 \sin \beta \cos \beta\left(2 \cos ^{2} \beta-1+\cos ^{2} \gamma\right)\left[K_{1}+K_{2} \cos ^{2} \gamma-\right. \\
\left.2 K_{3}\left(\cos ^{4} \beta-\cos ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{2} \beta \cos ^{2} \gamma+\cos ^{4} \gamma\right)\right]=0 \\
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \gamma}=2 \sin \gamma \cos \gamma\left(2 \cos ^{2} \gamma-1+\cos ^{2} \beta\right)\left[K_{1}+K_{2} \cos ^{2} \beta-\right. \\
\left.2 K_{3}\left(\cos ^{4} \gamma-\cos ^{2} \gamma+\cos ^{2} \gamma \cos ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{4} \beta\right)\right]=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Each derivative is a product of 4 factors. These derivatives will simultaneously satisfy conditions (3) whenever one of the 4 factors (not necessarily the same in the two expressions) will vanish. We distinguish several cases.

1. $\cos \beta=\cos \gamma=0$ or $\cos \beta=\sin \gamma=0$ or $\sin \beta=\cos \gamma=0$.

This case corresponds to the $<100>$ axes of magnetization.
2. $\cos \beta=0$ and $2 \cos ^{2} \gamma-1+\cos ^{2} \beta=0$

$$
\cos \gamma=0 \text { and } 2 \cos ^{2} \beta-1+\cos ^{2} \gamma=0
$$

This corresponds to the <110> axes of magnetization.
3. $2 \cos ^{2} \gamma-1+\cos ^{2} \beta=0$
and

$$
2 \cos ^{2} \beta-1+\cos ^{2} \gamma=0
$$

This corresponds to the <lll> axes of magnetization.
Substituting the values of $\cos \beta$ and $\cos \gamma$ in each case into the quadratic form,
Eq. (4b), yields the limiting values of $K_{1}^{\prime}$ and $K_{2}^{\prime}$ (assuming $K_{3}>0$ ) for
which the above mentioned major axes of symmetry become easy axes of magne-
4. The non-major axes of easy magnetization are obtained by the vanishing of the 2 nd and 4 th factors respectively in the 2 derivatives; i.e.,

$$
\cos \beta=0
$$

and

$$
K_{1}+K_{2} \cos ^{2} \beta-2 K_{3}\left(\cos ^{4} \gamma-\cos ^{2} \gamma+\cos ^{2} \beta \cos ^{2} \gamma+\cos ^{4} \beta\right)=0
$$

This yields the <uv> directions, the angle $\phi$ between the direction of magnetization and the $[100]$ axis being in this case $\sin ^{2} 2 \phi=\sin ^{2} 2 \beta=-\frac{2 K_{1}}{K_{3}}$ (again $K_{3}>0$ ). The magnetic anisotropy free energy $E_{u v 0}$ is equal to $\frac{-3}{4} \frac{K_{1}^{2}}{K_{3}}$.
5. Finally the vanishing of the 3rd factor in one derivative and the 4 th in the second or, the vanishing of both 4 th factors, ie.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \cos ^{2} \beta-1+\cos ^{2} \gamma=0 \\
& K_{1}+K_{2} \cos ^{2} \beta-2 K_{3}\left(\cos ^{4} \gamma-\cos ^{2} \gamma+\cos ^{2} \gamma \cos ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{4} \beta\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \cos ^{2} \gamma-2 \mathrm{~K}_{3}\left(\cos ^{4} \beta-\cos ^{2} \beta+\cos ^{2} \beta \cos ^{2} \gamma+\cos ^{4} \gamma\right)=0
$$

and

$$
K_{1}+K_{1} \cos ^{2} \beta-2 K_{3}\left(\cos ^{4} \gamma-\cos ^{2} \gamma+\cos ^{2} \beta \cos ^{2} \gamma+\cos ^{4} \beta\right)=0
$$

yields the minima for the <uaw> directions. The angle $\theta$ (see Fig. 1) in this case is $\theta=\cos ^{-1}\left(1-2 \cos ^{2} \beta\right)$
and

$$
\cos \beta=\frac{\left(K_{2}+2 K_{3}\right)+\sqrt{\left(K_{2}+2 K_{3}\right)^{2}+24 K_{1} K_{3}}}{12 K_{3}}
$$

Substituting in (3) and taking into account that $1 \geqslant \cos ^{2} \beta \geqslant 0$ we obtain the boundaries of region ABDGECA in Fig. 1. The expression for the
energy in this case is complicated. Numerical computations show that the shaded area near $A$ and in the narrow strip between $G$ and $E$, the minima for a [uuw] direction are local minima only or, in other words, in these regions the magnetic anisotropy free energy has lower values for the magnetization lying along a major symmetry axis.
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## TABLE I

Directions of $\vec{n}$ for which the magnetic anisotropy Hamiltonian was calculated.

| No. | u | v | w | No. | u | v | w | No. | u | v | w |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21. | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2. | 1 | 1 | 10 | 12. | 10 | 10 | 9 | 22. | 10 | 9 | 0 |
| 3. | 2 | 2 | 10 | 13. | 10 | 10 | 8 | 23. | 10 | 8 | 0 |
| 4. | 3 | 3 | 10 | 14. | 10 | 10 | 7 | 24. | 10 | 7 | 0 |
| 5. | 4 | 4 | 10 | 15. | 10 | 10 | 6 | 25. | 10 | 6 | 0 |
| 6. | 5 | 5 | 10 | 16. | 10 | 10 | 5 | 26. | 10 | 5 | 0 |
| 7. | 6 | 6 | 10 | 17. | 10 | 10 | 4 | 27. | 10 | 4 | 0 |
| 8. | 7 | 7 | 10 | 18. | 10 | 10 | 3 | 28. | 10 | 3 | 0 |
| 9. | 8 | 8 | 10 | 19. | 10 | 10 | 2 | 29. | 10 | 2 | 0 |
| 10. | 9 | 9 | 10 | 20. | 10 | 10 | 1 | 30. | 10 | 1 | 0 |

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Boundaries of regions corresponding to different easy axes of magnetization in the $K_{1}^{\prime}=K_{1} / K_{3}$ and $K_{2}^{\prime}=K_{2} / K_{3}$ plane. For details see text.

Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of the angle of inclination $\theta$ of the direction of easy magnetization with respect to the [001] axis in $\mathrm{CeFe}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SmFe}_{2}$.

Fig. 3. Spin-orientation diagram of the $\mathrm{Tb}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathrm{Ho}_{1-\mathbf{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ pseudo-binary system. The shaded region corresponds to non-major symmetry axes of easy magnetization. The boundaries were calculated using the values $\mu_{B} H_{\text {exch }}=-150 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}=36 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6} / \mathrm{A}_{4}=-0.038 \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{-2}$.
Fig. 4. Spin-orientation diagram of the $\mathrm{Ho}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Er}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ pseudo-binary system. a.) Parameters as in Fig. 3; b.) $A_{6} / A_{4}=-0.043 \mathrm{a}_{0}{ }^{-2}$; other parameters as in Fig. 3.

Fig. 5. Spin-orientation diagram of the $\mathrm{Dy}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Tb}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ pseudo-binary system. Parameters as in Fig. 3.

Fig. 6. Spin-orientation diagram of the $\mathrm{Er}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Dy}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ pseudo-binary system. Parameters as in Fig. 3.

Fig. 7. Experimental spin-orientation diagram of the $\mathrm{Ho}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Er}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}$ system, ${ }^{6,8}$ to be compared to the theoretical diagrams of Fig. 4. Filled circles, triangles and squares correspond to Mossbauer spectra characteristic of the [111], [011] and [001] easy directions of magnetization, respectively. Open triangles stand for Mossbauer spectra identified as being due to [uuw] or [uv0] type directions of easy magnetization.

Fig. 8. The magnetic anisotropy free energy $F$ (with respect to its minimal value) of $\mathrm{Ho}^{+3}$ in $\mathrm{HoFe}_{2}$ as a function of the direction of magnetization within the (110) and the (001) plane at several temperatures, $\mu_{B} H_{\text {exch }}=-150 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~A}_{4}=36 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{6} / \mathrm{A}_{4}=-0.045 \mathrm{a}_{0}^{-2}$.
Fig. 9. Temperature dependence of $\phi$ (the angle between $\vec{n}$ and the [100] axis for $\mathrm{HoFe}_{2}$. Values of parameters the same as those of Fig. 8. Fig. 10. Bulk magnetic anisotropy constants of $\mathrm{Tb}^{+3}$ in $\mathrm{TbFe}_{2}$, parameters as in Fig. 3. The $K_{i}$ 's are plotted on a logarithmic scale in units of $\mathrm{k} /$ ion. The shaded region corresponds to values $-10^{-2} \leq \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq 10^{2}$.
Fig. 11. Bulk magnetic anisotropy constants of $\mathrm{Dy}^{+3}$ in $\mathrm{DyFe}_{2}$, parameters as in Fig. 3. Remark as referred to in Fig. 10.
Fig. 12. Bulk magnetic anisotropy constants of $\mathrm{HO}^{+3}$ in $\mathrm{HoFe}_{2}$, parameters as in Fig. 3. Remark as referred to in Fig. 10.

Fig. 13. Bulk magnetic anisotropy constants of $\mathrm{Er}_{2}^{+3}$ in $\mathrm{ErFe}_{2}$, parameters as in Fig. 3. Remark as referred to in Fig. 10.
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