

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Do humans recalibrate the confidence of advisers?

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/29t9r2gq>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 43(43)

ISSN

1069-7977

Authors

Stanciu, Oana

Fiser, Jozsef

Publication Date

2021

Peer reviewed

Do humans recalibrate the confidence of advisers?

Oana Stanciu

Central European University, Budapest, Hungary

Jozsef Fiser

Central European University, Vienna, Austria

Abstract

In collaborative tasks, humans can make better joint decisions by aggregating individual information in proportion to their communicated confidence (Bahrami et al., 2010). However, if people blindly rely on their partner's confidence expressions, they could easily reach suboptimal solutions when their collaborator's confidence judgments are not calibrated to their performance, but for instance exhibit an overconfidence bias. Given that calibrated advisers are rated as more credible (Sah et al., 2013), we propose that prior experience with a collaborator will lead to a recalibration of their confidence judgements before incorporating their advice. In an online experiment, participants first viewed two other fictitious participants, one calibrated and one biased, perform a categorization task. Following this, participants completed a similar task by taking advice from just one of the two previously observed advisers on a given trial. We tested whether participants chose the adviser who had the trial-by-trial highest expressed or recalibrated confidence.