UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Exercise capacity and biological age.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2cw6n469>

Journal

Heart (British Cardiac Society), 102(6)

ISSN

1355-6037

Author

Budoff, Matthew J

Publication Date

2016-03-01

DOI

10.1136/heartjnl-2015-309008

Peer reviewed

EXERCISE CAPACITY AND BIOLOGICAL AGE

Matthew Budoff, MD

Endowed Chair of Preventive Cardiology

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Center

Professor of Medicine

David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA

Address for correspondence:

Matthew Budoff, MD

Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA

1124 W Carson St, Torrance, CA 90502

Phone: 310-222-24107

Fax: 310-787-0448

E-mail: mbudoff@labiomed.org

Disclosures:

Matthew Budoff, MD has received research/grant support from General Electric

The concept of vascular or biologic age in risk prediction should be credited to Scott Grundy, who originally wrote up this concept in 2001¹ and incorporated this into practice guidelines via the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) 2004 update.² The original concept, was that coronary artery calcium (CAC) scores, by demonstrating the vascular age of the patient, should replace chronologic age as a better surrogate of risk and atherosclerosis. Conceptually, this made a lot of sense, as age is used as an atherosclerosis surrogate for cardiovascular risk in the risk stratification tools. That CAC is better than age is intuitive, as CAC is a better measure of coronary plaque burden, and thus a direct risk marker for CVD events. Grundy concluded that “the degree of plaque burden could be used to replace age as a risk factor in Framingham scoring for risk prediction”. This concept has been born out with multiple studies using CAC demonstrating superiority of CAC to predict CV risk.^{3, 4, 5} Incorporating CAC into the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) clearly improves risk stratification and discrimination over scores based on chronologic age.^{6, 7} Grundy’s simple conclusion “Use of coronary calcium scores will thus make it possible to improve selection of persons for intensive intervention with risk-reducing medical therapies. Their use will improve the efficacy of intervention and enhance cost-effectiveness of medical preventive therapies”¹, resonates strongly today within the cardiovascular preventive community and is incorporated into the 2013 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines for treatment of cholesterol⁸.

Various studies have proposed distinct ways to define and measure vascular age, with the concept that this better reflects an individual’s exposure to cardiovascular risk factors.⁹ Studies have looked into the effects of vascular age as a tool to improve cardiovascular risk prediction but most have been limited by size or scope. Large studies will be required before its clinical use can be justified. The paper by Blaha goes a long way to validate the ‘biological age’, using a

large cohort and long follow up to demonstrate the importance of age-defined exercise capacity.¹⁰ Blaha et al derived fitness-associated biologic age as a tool to improve risk prediction in those symptomatic persons undergoing stress testing. They studied a retrospective cohort including 57,085 patients who underwent clinically-referred treadmill stress testing from 1991-2009. Fitness-associated biologic age was derived as the chronologic age with equivalent mortality or MI risk. Approximately 10% of the population died and 3% suffered a myocardial infarction over the follow up period. Univariate models demonstrated improved predictive accuracy compared to chronologic age for both mortality (C-statistic: 0.81 vs. 0.77, $P<.001$) and MI (C-statistic: 0.72 vs. 0.68, $P<.001$).

While the study is very well done, the study applicability suffers from use of symptomatic population. While that is the only appropriate population to perform exercise treadmill patients on, use of symptomatic cohorts have intrinsic limitations. Some of the patients had underlying coronary artery disease, and may have halted exercise not due to true exercise capacity issues, but partially related to development of chest pain, ongoing shortness of breath, or a myriad of causes associated with patients with suspected CAD. The prior studies of biologic age, demonstrating significant improvement substituting vascular age of CAC instead of chronologic age, were largely or solely done in asymptomatic populations. Use of exercise treadmill testing in asymptomatic persons is largely considered inappropriate, with the exception of exercise prescription purposes.¹¹ So, for the symptomatic population, this is a clever, readily available test to help patients understand their cardiovascular risk and relative age, and hopefully promote better behaviors to improve exercise capacity and thus morbidity and mortality.

REFERENCES:

- 1 Grundy SM. Coronary Plaque as a Replacement for Age as a Risk Factor in Global Risk Assessment. *Am J Cardiol* 2001;88(suppl):8E–11E
- 2 Grundy SM, Cleeman JJ, Bairey Merz N, et al. Implications of Recent Clinical Trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2004;110:227-239
- 3 Budoff MJ, Shaw LJ, Liu ST, et al. Long-term prognosis associated with coronary calcification. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007;49:1860-70.
- 4 Yeboah J, McClelland RL, Polonsky TS, Burke GL, Sibley CT, O’Leary D, Carr JJ, Goff Jr DC, Greenland P, Herrington DM. Comparison of Novel Risk Markers for Improvement in Cardiovascular Risk Assessment in Intermediate Risk Individuals. The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *JAMA* 2012; 308: 788-795.
- 5 Polonsky TS, McClelland RL, Jorgensen NW, Bild DE, Burke GL, Guerci AD, Greenland P. Coronary Artery Calcium Score and Risk Classification for Coronary Heart Disease Prediction. *JAMA*. 2010;303:1610-1616.
- 6 McClelland RL, Nasir K, Budoff M, Blumenthal RS, Kronmal RA. Arterial age as a function of coronary artery calcium (from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis [MESA]). *Am J Cardiol*. 2009;103:59-63. doi:S0002-9149(08)01444-6 [pii]r10.1016/j.amjcard.2008.08.031.
- 7 McClelland RL, Jorgensen NW, Budoff M, Blaha MJ, Post WS, Kronmal RA, Bild DE, Shea S, Liu K, Watson KE, Folsom AR, Khera A, Ayers C, Mahabadi AA, Lehmann N, Jöckel KH, Moebus S, Carr JJ, Erbel R, Burke GL. 10-Year Coronary Heart Disease Risk Prediction Using Coronary Artery Calcium and Traditional Risk Factors: Derivation in the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) With Validation in the HNR (Heinz Nixdorf Recall) Study and the DHS (Dallas Heart Study). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;66:1643-53.
- 8 Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, et al. 2013;ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;63:2889-934.
- 9 Groenewegen KA, den Ruijter HM, Pasterkamp G, Polak JF, Bots ML, Peters S. Vascular age to determine cardiovascular disease risk: A systematic review of its concepts, definitions, and clinical applications. *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology* 2015: doi: 10.1177/2047487314566999
- 10 Blaha MJ, Hung RK, Dardari Z, et al. Age-dependent Prognostic Value of Exercise Capacity and Derivation of Fitness-Associated Biologic Age. *Heart* 2016: in press.
- 11 Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, Douglas PS, Hendel RC, Kramer CM, Min JK, Patel MR, Rosenbaum L, Shaw LJ, Stainback RF, Allen JM. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate

use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63: 21(1):192-220.