UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Risk of developing pre-diabetes or diabetes over time in a cohort of Mexican health workers

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2d56m56z

Journal

PLOS ONE, 15(3)

ISSN

1932-6203

Authors

Flores, Yvonne N Toth, Samantha Crespi, Catherine M <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2020

DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0229403

Peer reviewed



G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Flores YN, Toth S, Crespi CM, Ramírez-Palacios P, McCarthy WJ, Briseño-Pérez A, et al. (2020) Risk of developing pre-diabetes or diabetes over time in a cohort of Mexican health workers. PLoS ONE 15(3): e0229403. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0229403

Editor: Fernando Guerrero-Romero, Mexican Social Security Institute, MEXICO

Received: September 3, 2019

Accepted: February 5, 2020

Published: March 25, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Flores et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The data set for reproducing this analysis is available at: <u>https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.11690667.v1</u>.

Funding: This research was funded by the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social (IMSS), and the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT) grants #26267M and SALUD-2011-01-161930. https://www.conacyt.gob.mx/ CMC was supported by NIH UL1TR000124 and YNF was supported by NIH/NCI K07CA197179. The funding agency did not play any role in the study design, **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Risk of developing pre-diabetes or diabetes over time in a cohort of Mexican health workers

Yvonne N. Flores^{1,2,3}*, Samantha Toth⁴, Catherine M. Crespi^{3,4}, Paula Ramírez-Palacios¹, William J. McCarthy^{2,3}, Arely Briseño-Pérez¹, Víctor Granados-García⁵, Jorge Salmerón⁶

1 Unidad de Investigación Epidemiológica y en Servicios de Salud, Delegación Morelos, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Cuernavaca, Morelos, México, 2 UCLA Department of Health Policy and Management and Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Equity, Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 3 UCLA Cancer Prevention and Control Research Center, Fielding School of Public Health and Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 4 UCLA Department of Biostatistics, Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, California, United States of America, 5 Unidad de Investigación Epidemiológica y en Servicios de Salud-Área Envejecimiento, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Ciudad de México, México, 6 Centro de Investigación en Políticas, Población y Salud, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México

* ynflores@ucla.edu

Abstract

Aim

To determine the association between known risk factors (e.g., obesity, metabolic syndrome and its components) and the development of pre-diabetes or diabetes over time in a cohort of Mexican health workers.

Methods

Participants in the Mexican Health Worker Cohort Study with complete information at two waves of data collection, 2004–2006 (W1) and 2011–2013 (W2), were included in the analysis (n = 1,174). Multivariable binary and multinomial logistic regression were used to examine the cross-sectional associations between specific risk factors and diabetes status (diabetes, pre-diabetes, or neither) at W1 and the longitudinal associations between changes in risk factors and progression of diabetes status from W1 to W2, respectively.

Results

Mean time between waves was 7.0 years (SD 1.1). Prevalence of pre-diabetes and diabetes was 16% and 10% at W1 and increased to 30% and 16% at W2, respectively. The crosssectional prevalence of pre-diabetes and diabetes was significantly higher among men, participants over the age of 45 years, and individuals who were overweight or obese or had metabolic syndrome (MS), three or more components of the MS, elevated alanine amino-transferase (ALT) levels, or elevated uric acid. In longitudinal analyses, remaining obese or gaining weight between waves was associated with an increased risk of developing pre-diabetes. A greater risk of developing pre-diabetes or diabetes was also observed among data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of this manuscript.

Competing interests: No authors have competing interests.

individuals who either maintained or acquired MS, elevated ALT, or elevated uric acid (only for diabetes) from W1 to W2.

Conclusions

Weight gain and acquiring or maintaining MS, elevated ALT levels, or elevated uric acid were associated with a significant risk of developing pre-diabetes or diabetes. Our findings, especially in the context of the obesity epidemic in Mexico, point towards an urgent need for initiatives to help reduce excess weight in order to avert future cases of pre-diabetes and diabetes.

Introduction

In Mexico, diabetes is the second most common cause of death, and the leading cause of disability [1,2]. From 1990 to 2015, the percentage of deaths attributed to this disease more than doubled from 6.1% to 15% [3,4]. In 2017, an estimated 106,000 people died from diabetes in Mexico [1], and many of these lives were shortened by up to 10 years [4]. Nearly 15 million Mexican adults were estimated to have the disease in 2017, and that number is projected to increase to 19 million by 2045 [5,6].

Family history and hereditary factors [7] are associated with an increased likelihood of developing diabetes, but additional risk factors, such as environmental and lifestyle choices, can also play a strong role [8–13]. Some of the known risk factors include: high blood pressure, age \geq 45, physical inactivity, metabolic syndrome, and obesity; with obesity recognized as the strongest modifiable risk factor [14–20]. Strong associations have also been observed between diabetes and low high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), high triglycerides, and abnormal levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or serum uric acid [21–26].

The prevalence of overweight and obesity in Mexico is among the highest in the world [27]. In 2016, the National Survey of Health and Nutrition (ENSANUT) determined that an estimated 72.5% of Mexican adults are overweight or obese [28], and that growth in the prevalence of obesity had plateaued in urban areas but continues to rise in rural areas [28]. The surge in weight gain is attributed to changes in lifestyle commonly observed in low-and middle-income countries that have experienced recent advances in per capita socio-economic resources. [29,30] This increased income has resulted in a higher consumption of calorie-dense, nutrient-poor, low-fiber foods and a corresponding decrease in the consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables, and minimally processed grains and legumes [31]. Globalization, urbanization and increased marketing by multinational food companies have provided low-income populations in Mexico with increased exposure to ultra-processed foods that are high in calories, saturated fat and sugar, and low in dietary fiber and nutritional value [32]. This increase in pro-inflammatory calories combined with decreased physical activity and a more sedentary lifestyle have increased the prevalence of excess body fat and metabolic diseases in the last 50 years [3,33–35].

Diabetes is characterized by pancreatic failure to produce enough insulin to keep blood glucose levels in the healthy range. If maintained over time, high blood glucose can result in adverse health effects such as diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy, heart disease, stroke, chronic kidney disease (CKD), sexual impotence and depression [36]. Diabetes is a progressive disease that is preceded by a state of pre-diabetes, which is generally defined as blood glucose levels above the normal range but not high enough to be diabetic (i.e., 100–125 mg/dl for fasting glucose) [37]. In general, the transition from pre-diabetes to diabetes is preceded by increasing

insulin resistance and pancreatic beta cell dysfunction [38-40]. Pre-diabetes is linked to a greater risk of developing diabetes and is also associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular disease, CKD, and ocular abnormalities [41-45].

There are limited data regarding the prevalence of pre-diabetes in Mexico, with smaller studies providing estimates ranging from 14% to 47% [46–48], and another study with a high-risk population reporting a prevalence as elevated as 74% [49]. In the United States (U.S.), an estimated 84 million American adults, more than 1 in 3, have pre-diabetes, yet only about 10% are aware they have the condition [50]. Worldwide, between 5–10% of individuals with pre-diabetes go on to develop diabetes each year, and nearly 70% will eventually get the disease [51].

The pathophysiology of diabetes is complex and varied, with disease rates differing by race and ethnicity. Latinos have some of the highest rates of diabetes and obesity, which has been attributed to cultural, social and lifestyle differences, as well as genetic factors [52,53]. The prevalence of diabetes in the U.S. is highest among American Indian/Alaska Natives (15.1%), non-Hispanic Blacks (12.7%), and Latinos (12.1%), as compared to non-Hispanic Whites (7.4%); and it is noteworthy that among Latinos the disease rate is highest among Mexicans (13.8%) [54]. Despite the elevated rates of diabetes among Latinos, there are few studies that have investigated the longitudinal association of certain risk factors on the development of pre-diabetes or diabetes in this population [55–57]. The aim of this study was to determine the association between known risk factors and the development of pre-diabetes or diabetes in a cohort of Mexican health workers. We examined clinical and questionnaire data obtained as part of a longitudinal study, to determine whether participants who acquired or maintained specific risk factors would have a higher risk of pre-diabetes or diabetes, compared to those who did not.

Materials and methods

Study design and population

Approvals for both the Mexican Health Worker Cohort Study (MHWCS) and this specific investigation were obtained from the Internal Review Boards of the Mexican Social Security Institute (IMSS) (2005-785-012) and the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) (IRB#17-001028). Data were collected from participants in the MHWCS, a longitudinal study of health workers and their immediate family members from two large institutes in Cuernavaca, Mexico, the Mexican Institute of Social Security (IMSS) and the National Institute of Public Health. The purpose of the MHWCS is to prospectively evaluate the incidence of specific risk factors for chronic diseases in an urban area of Central Mexico. During the period from 2004 to 2006 (Wave 1; W1) a total of 5,869 participants from Cuernavaca enrolled in the MHWCS, and 1,571 of these participants were followed up during 2011 to 2013 (Wave 2; W2). The mean follow-up time for the participants was 7.0 years, with a standard deviation (SD) of 1.1 years. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to initiating any data collection activities, which included completing self-reported questionnaires, physical examinations, and routine laboratory tests. Body weight was determined using a calibrated electronic scale (model BC-533; Tanita) with participants wearing minimal clothing and no shoes. Height was measured using a conventional stadiometer (Seca), with barefoot participants standing with their shoulders in a normal position. Measurements were taken with the tape in a horizontal plane perpendicular to the vertical scale, touching the top of the head at the moment of inspiration. Waist circumference was measured at the highest point of the iliac crest to the nearest 0.1 cm. Additional details regarding the methods and design of the MHWCS are available elsewhere [58-60].

Of the 1,571 W1 participants who were followed up during W2, a total of 1,418 were over the age of 20, and 184 individuals were excluded due to incomplete laboratory test information in W1. Other participants were excluded for being pregnant (n = 15), or having incomplete information on body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, HDL cholesterol, physical activity, alcohol consumption, or average daily calories consumed (n = 45). Our final sample consisted of 1,174 participants over the age of 20 years with complete questionnaire and laboratory data from W1 and W2. Although only 20% of the 5,863 participants from W1 were followed up in W2, there were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of sex (p-value = 0.71), BMI (p = 0.96), blood pressure (p = 0.22), waist circumference (p = 0.49), prevalence of metabolic syndrome (p = 0.99), elevated HDL cholesterol (p = 0.99), triglycerides (p = 0.58), or uric acid (p = 0.89). There were statistical differences between the two groups by age (p < 0.001), education status (p = 0.02), and elevated ALT levels (p < 0.001).

Independent variables

Body Mass Index (BMI). The weight classification of study participants was determined based on the following recommendations from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute: normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m2), overweight (25.0-29.9 kg/m2) and obese ($\geq 30 \text{ kg/m2}$) [61].

Metabolic syndrome (MS). We used a modified version of the 2009 Criteria for Clinical Diagnosis of the Metabolic Syndrome reported by Alberti et al., as having three or more of the following factors: (1) waist circumference \geq 80 cm for females and \geq 90 cm for males; (2) tri-glycerides \geq 150 mg/dL; (3) HDL-C <50 mg/dL for females and <40 mg/dL for males; and (4) systolic blood pressure \geq 130mmHg, diastolic blood pressure \geq 85mmHg, blood pressure (BP), or currently taking blood pressure medications [62]. Since level of fasting plasma blood glucose was used to ascertain diabetes status, the dependent variable in the study, we did not include this component in our definition of MS, which would otherwise additionally include a fasting plasma glucose \geq 100 mg/dL.

Alanine aminotransferase (ALT). Elevated ALT was defined as >40 U/L for males and females [63,64]. To determine ALT level, the catalytic concentration from the rate of decrease in nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide was measured at 340 nm using a coupled reaction of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) [59].

Alcohol consumption. Study participants were identified as either non-/moderate drinkers or heavy/binge drinkers based on the following definitions from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Moderate drinking is ≤ 1 drink per day for females and ≤ 2 drinks per day for males. Heavy drinking for females is 2–4 drinks per day and 3–4 drinks per day for males. Binge drinking is ≥ 5 drinks on at least one occasion for both females and males [65].

Leisure physical activity. Physical activity level was assessed using a self-administered questionnaire that was previously validated in Spanish. Participants reported number of minutes engaged in different recreational physical activities during a typical week in the last year [66]. Participants were categorized as either achieving 30 minutes of recreational physical activity per day or not. The average number of hours/week spent in each of the following activities was assessed: walking, running, cycling, aerobics, dancing, and swimming as well as playing football, volleyball, basketball, tennis, fronton, baseball, softball, and squash, among others [66].

Uric acid. An elevated level of serum uric acid (hyperuricemia) was defined as \geq 5.7 mg/ dL in females and \geq 7.0 mg/dL in males [67,68]. Serum uric acid levels were determined with the enzymatic colorimetric method using the SYNCHRON CX system [69].

Calorie consumption. A validated semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire was used to collect diet data based on the consumption patterns of 116 food items during the

previous year [58]. Energy and nutrient intake were calculated by multiplying the consumption frequency of each reported food type by the nutrient content obtained from a comprehensive food database [70,71]. Average daily calories consumed were recorded in units of kilocalories and reported as a continuous variable. Extreme values of caloric intake (<600 and >7000 Kcal/day) were excluded from the analysis as being unrealistic outliers.

Dependent variable

Level of fasting plasma blood glucose (FPG) was used to ascertain diabetes status, the dependent variable in the study. Participants were categorized according to their FPG as normal, pre-diabetic, or diabetic, based on guidelines from the American Diabetes Association [72]. Normal was defined as a FPG <100 mg/dL, pre-diabetes was defined as \geq 100 mg/dL and <126 mg/dL, and diabetes was defined as \geq 126 mg/dL. Additionally, participants were categorized as having diabetes if they (1) had a medical history of diabetes (not including gestational diabetes) or (2) were currently taking diabetes medication. FPG levels were determined from blood samples that were obtained from participants after fasting for 12 hours. Blood samples were centrifuged and frozen at -20 °C. and then processed at IMSS. All biomedical assays were processed using a Selectra XL instrument (Randox), according to the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine guidelines [73].

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 14 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA). The unadjusted cross-sectional associations of each risk factor at W1 with normal, prediabetic, and diabetic FPG levels were examined using χ 2 tests. Adjusted odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for cross-sectional associations at W1 were calculated using multivariable binary logistic regression models, with separate models for pre-diabetes versus normal and diabetes versus normal. Models were adjusted for sex, age, and education, then additionally for BMI, alcohol consumption, ALT, physical activity, and daily calorie intake.

To evaluate the longitudinal association between risk factors and progression to pre-diabetes or diabetes at W2, the sample was restricted to individuals with normal blood glucose levels at W1 and multinomial logistic regression models were used to calculate adjusted relative risk ratios (RRR) and 95% CI for diabetes-related outcomes (normal, pre-diabetes, diabetes) at W2. BMI status over time was categorized into five groups: (1) remained normal: normal in W1 to normal in W2; (2) weight loss: obese in W1 to overweight in W2, obese in W1 to normal in W2, or overweight in W1 to normal in W2; (3) remained overweight: overweight in W1 to overweight in W2; (4) remained obese: obese in W1 to obese in W2; (5) weight gain that resulted in a BMI category change: normal in W1 to overweight in W2, normal in W1 to obese in W2, or overweight in W1 to obese in W2. MS status over time was categorized into four groups: (1) never had MS: MS absent in W1 to MS absent in W2; (2) no longer have MS: MS present in W1 to MS absent in W2; (3) developed MS: MS absent in W1 to MS present in W2; and (4) remained with MS: MS present in W1 to MS present in W2. Status over time for waist circumference, HDL-C, triglycerides, blood pressure, ALT and uric acid were also classified into four groups: (1) never abnormal/elevated: normal in W1 to normal in W2; (2) no longer abnormal/elevated: abnormal/elevated in W1 to normal in W2; (3) became abnormal/elevated: normal in W1 to abnormal/elevated in W2; and (4) remained abnormal/elevated: abnormal/ elevated in W1 to abnormal/elevated in W2. Models were fit: (1) adjusted for sex, age, and education at W1, and (2) additionally adjusted for BMI, alcohol consumption, ALT, physical activity, and daily calorie intake at W1. When specific variables were included as predictors they were not adjusted for in the analyses. For participants who were missing education status,

alcohol consumption, leisure physical activity, and daily calorie consumption at W1 (n = 127), the W2 measure was used. For the 19 remaining participants with missing education status, education was imputed using an ordinal logistic regression model (education regressed on sex, age and marital status) estimated using data from individuals with complete data. There were no missing data for the other independent variables.

The average predicted probabilities of being classified as having normal blood glucose, prediabetes or diabetes given specific characteristics (sex and number of metabolic syndrome components for W1 cross-sectional analysis; each risk factor of interest for longitudinal analyses) were obtained using the Stata margins command; 95% CIs were obtained using the delta method. These results were adjusted by the full set of control variables. For all analyses, a twosided P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 presents the socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants and compares the prevalence of each risk factor for normal, pre-diabetic and diabetic FPG, unadjusted for any other factors. The proportion of males with pre-diabetes was greater than that of females (25% vs. 14%, respectively). Pre-diabetes and diabetes increased with age, with the highest prevalence observed among those aged 45–59 years and over the age of 60. Having a high school education or less was associated with a higher prevalence of pre-diabetes and diabetes. The prevalence of pre-diabetes and diabetes also increased with higher BMI. Among the obese participants, 25% had pre-diabetes and 19% had diabetes. Participants with metabolic syndrome (MS) had a slightly lower, but still high prevalence of pre-diabetes (22%) and diabetes (15%). Additionally, the prevalence of pre-diabetes and diabetes increased as the number of MS components increased. Having elevated ALT levels or an abnormal waist circumference was also associated with a higher prevalence of pre-diabetes and diabetes. A significant difference was observed in the prevalence of all the aforementioned risk factors, between participants with normal glucose levels and those with pre-diabetes or diabetes.

A cross-sectional analysis of Wave 1 data comparing the risk factors among those with prediabetes and diabetes to those with normal blood glucose adjusting for other factors is shown in Table 2. These results are adjusted by sex, age, education, BMI, alcohol consumption, elevated ALT, physical activity, and calorie consumption. Males have a greater than two-fold odds of pre-diabetes (OR 2.3, 95%, CI 1.6-3.5), compared to females. Participants between the ages of 45-59 years have approximately three-fold higher odds of pre-diabetes and diabetes (OR 2.7, 95% CI 1.8–4.0 and OR 3.6, 95% CI 1.9–6.6, respectively), compared to persons \leq 44 years of age. Participants aged 60 years or older have a two-fold greater odds of pre-diabetes (OR 2.0, 95% CI 1.2–3.5), and 5.6-fold higher odds of diabetes (95% CI 2.8–11.5), than persons \leq 44 years of age. Participants with an education beyond high school have 50% lower odds (95% CI 0.3-0.9) of diabetes than those with a high school education or less. Overweight and obese participants have 1.7 (95% CI 1.1-2.6) and 2.9 (95% CI 1.8-4.7) higher odds, respectively, of pre-diabetes, while obese participants have 3.6-fold higher odds (95% CI 2.0-6.5) of diabetes, compared to normal weight individuals. The presence of metabolic syndrome (without considering glucose) is associated with a 1.8 (95% CI 1.3–2.6) times greater odds of prediabetes and two-fold greater odds of diabetes (OR 2.0, 95% CI 1.2-3.2). Having elevated triglycerides is also significantly associated with pre-diabetes (OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.2-2.4) and diabetes (OR 2.0, 95% CI 1.3–3.1). Participants with elevated blood pressure have a nearly two-fold higher odds of diabetes (OR 1.9, 95% CI 1.2-2.9) than those with normal blood pressure. Participants with three MS components have an almost two times greater odds (OR 1.9, 95% CI

		v					
	Total N = 1,174	Normal ^a n = 867 (74)	Pre-diabetic ^b n = 191 (16)	Diabetic ^c n = 116 (10)		P-values ^d	
Sex					A	В	С
Female	895 (76)	683 (76)	122 (14)	90 (10)	< 0.001	0.769	0.012
Male	279 (24)	184 (66)	69 (25)	26 (9)			
Age							
\leq 44 years	455 (39)	392 (86)	47 (10)	16 (4)	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001
45–59 years	484 (41)	323 (67)	107 (21)	54 (12)			
\geq 60 years	235 (20)	152 (64)	37 (16)	46 (20)			
Education status							
\leq High school	428 (36)	278 (65)	80 (19)	70 (16)	0.009	< 0.001	0.002
> High school	746 (64)	589 (79)	111 (15)	46 (6)			
BMI ^e							
Normal	436 (37)	372 (85)	41 (10)	23 (5)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.412
Overweight	499 (43)	361 (72)	90 (18)	48 (10)			
Obese	239 (20)	134 (56)	60 (25)	45 (19)			
Metabolic syndrome (MS) ^f	·						
No	607 (52)	511 (84)	65 (11)	31 (5)	< 0.001	<0.001	0.181
Yes	567 (48)	356 (63)	126 (22)	85 (15)			
Number of MS components							
None or one	219 (19)	193 (88)	18 (8)	8 (4)	< 0.001	<0.001	0.086
Two	388 (33)	318 (82)	47 (12)	23 (6)			
Three	403 (34)	268 (67)	88 (22)	47 (12)			
Four	164 (14)	88 (54)	38 (23)	38 (23)			
Elevated ALT ^g							
No	958 (82)	739 (77)	135 (14)	84 (9)	<0.001	<0.001	0.745
Yes	216 (18)	128 (59)	56 (26)	32 (15)			
Alcohol ^h							
Non-drinker/ Moderate	993 (85)	741 (75)	152 (15)	100 (10)	0.042	0.831	0.142
Heavy/ Binge	181 (15)	126 (70)	39 (21)	16 (9)			
Leisure physical activity level							
≤30 minutes/day	827 (70)	603 (73)	140 (17)	84 (10)	0.305	0.528	0.866
>30 minutes/day	347 (30)	264 (76)	51 (15)	32 (9)			
Waist Circumference ⁱ							
Normal	227 (19)	203 (89)	16 (7)	8 (4)	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.639
Elevated	947 (81)	664 (70)	175 (19)	108 (11)			
Uric Acid ^j							
Normal	922 (79)	700 (76)	133 (14)	89 (10)	0.001	0.308	0.178
Elevated	252 (21)	167 (66)	58 (23)	27 (11)			
Average daily calories							
Mean (SD)	2,103 (867)	2,115 (882)	2,085 (857)	2,035 (762)	0.666	0.359	0.614

Table 1. Characteristics of total sample and participants with normal^a, pre-diabetic^b, and diabetic^c blood glucose levels at Wave 1. (N = 1,174): n (%).

^a Normal blood glucose was defined as a fasting plasma glucose less than 100 mg/dL.

^b Pre-diabetes was defined as a fasting plasma glucose level between 100 and 125 mg/ dL.

^c Diabetes was defined as a self-reported medical history of diabetes, current use of diabetes medications, and/or a fasting plasma glucose level \geq 126 mg/ dL.

^d P-value was computed using the Chi-square test. (A) p-value compares normal and pre-diabetic individuals, (B) p-value compares normal and diabetic individuals, (C) p-value compares pre-diabetic and diabetic individuals.

 $^{\rm e}$ Body mass index (BMI) was defined as normal <25 kg/m², overweight between 25- <30 kg/m², or obese \ge 30 kg/m².

^f Metabolic Syndrome was defined based on the 2009 Criteria for Clinical Diagnosis of the Metabolic Syndrome reported by Alberti et al [62], but we excluded glucose as one of the components.

 $^{\rm g}$ Elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT) was defined as ALT > 40 IU/L for males and females.

^h Alcohol intake was defined as follows: nondrinkers- no history of drinking, moderate- ≤1 drink a day for females and ≤ 2 drinks per day for males, heavy- 2–4 drinks per day for females and 3–4 for males, binge- ≥5 drinks per day for females and males.

ⁱ Elevated waist circumference is defined as \geq 80 cm for females and \geq 90 cm for males [62].

^j Uric acid levels \geq 7.0 mg/dL for males and \geq 5.7 mg/dL for females are considered normal.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229403.t001

1.0–3.4) of pre-diabetes, compared to participants with none or only one MS component. Participants with four MS components have two-fold higher odds (95% CI 1.0–4.0) of pre-diabetes and 2.7-fold higher odds (95% CI 1.1–6.6) of diabetes, compared to participants with none or only one MS component. Elevated ALT is associated with approximately twice the odds of pre-diabetes (OR 1.7, 95% CI 1.1–2.5), and diabetes (OR 2.1, 95% CI 1.3–3.4), compared to those with non-elevated ALT.

To aid interpretation, the fully adjusted Model 2 results were converted to average predicted probabilities of having normal blood sugar, pre-diabetes, or diabetes in Wave 1, in relation to the number of MS components, for males and females (Fig 1). The average predicted probability of having diabetes was comparable for males and females, regardless of the number of MS components. However, the average predicted probability of having pre-diabetes was substantially higher among males across all MS component counts. Accordingly, males also have a substantially lower average predicted probability of having normal blood sugar levels than females in the four categories. Among females, the average predicted probability of having normal blood glucose was 0.84 (95% CI 0.77–0.92) for the lowest and 0.67 (95% CI 0.59–0.75) for the highest number of MS components; among males, these values were 0.68 (95% 0.55–0.81) and 0.59 (95% CI 0.46–0.72), respectively.

Fig 2 describes the subsample of study participants who had a normal glucose levels at W1, and either remained normal, or developed pre-diabetes or diabetes at W2. The same two analysis models that generated the results presented in Table 2 were used to determine the findings presented in Table 3, but here we focus on the results of Model 2, which are similar to those of Model 1.

Table 3 reports the longitudinal analysis of the association between changes in risk factors from W1 to W2 and the relative risk of developing pre-diabetes or diabetes in a subsample of participants with normal blood glucose levels in W1. As observed in the cross-sectional analysis, males have a two-fold higher relative risk of pre-diabetes (RRR 2.0, 95% CI 1.3-3.0) than females. Participants who acquired or continued to have certain risk factors over time are significantly more likely to have transitioned from normal blood glucose levels in W1 to pre-diabetes or diabetes in W2, than those who did not acquire or maintain these risk factors. Individuals who remained overweight have an almost two-fold higher relative risk of developing pre-diabetes (RRR 1.9, 95% CI 1.3-2.9) and an over four-fold greater relative risk of diabetes (RRR 4.2, 95% CI 1.3-13.1). Participants who maintained an obese BMI have an over three-fold higher relative risk of developing pre-diabetes (RRR 3.4, 95% CI 2.0-5.8) and an over seven-fold greater relative risk of diabetes (RRR 7.6, 95% CI 2.2-26.3). Maintaining the following factors also results in an increased risk of pre-diabetes: metabolic syndrome (RRR 2.2, 95% CI 1.5-3.4); elevated waist circumference (RRR 2.8, 95% CI 1.2-6.5); low HDL cholesterol (RRR 2.5, 95% CI 1.3-4.6); elevated triglycerides (RRR 2.0, 95% CI 1.4-3.0); as well as elevated ALT (RRR 2.5, 95% CI 1.3-4.5). A similar trend is observed for individuals who maintained the following factors over time, and are also significantly more likely to have transitioned from normal blood glucose levels in W1 to diabetes in W2: elevated triglycerides (RRR 3.2, 95% CI 1.2-8.1); elevated ALT (RRR 3.4, 95% CI 1.1–10.6); and elevated uric acid (RRR 5.1 95% CI 2.0–13.2). Participants who acquired specific risk factors over time are significantly more likely to have transitioned from normal blood glucose levels in W1 to pre-diabetes in W2. This included the following risk factors: weight gain (RRR 2.4, 95% CI 1.5–3.7); metabolic syndrome (RRR 1.9, 95% CI 1.2–2.9); elevated waist circumference (RRR 3.4, 95% CI 1.4-7.9); elevated triglycerides (RRR 1.6, 95% CI 1.1-2.5); elevated blood pressure (RRR 1.6, 95% CI 1.1-2.5); and elevated ALT (RRR 2.2, 95% CI 1.2-4.2). The same trend also occurred among individuals who developed certain factors over time and are also significantly more likely to have transitioned from normal blood glucose levels in W1 to diabetes in W2. This was observed for the following risk factors: metabolic syndrome (RRR 3.0,

	n	Risk factors fo	or pre-diabetes ^a	Risk factors for diabetes ^b		
		Model 1 ^d	Model 2 ^e	Model 1 ^d	Model 2 ^e	
		OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	
Sex						
Female	895	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Male	279	2.5 (1.8-3.6)**	2.3 (1.6-3.5)**	1.4 (0.9–2.3)	1.6 (0.9–2.7)	
Age						
\leq 44	455	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
45-59	484	2.9 (2.0-4.3)**	2.7 (1.8-4.0)**	3.6 (2.0-6.5)**	3.6 (1.9-6.6)**	
\geq 60	235	1.9 (1.2–3.3)*	2.0 (1.2-3.5)*	5.0(2.6-9.7)**	5.6 (2.8–11.5)**	
Education status						
\leq High school	428	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
> High school	746	0.7 (0.5–1.0)	0.8 (0.5-1.1)	0.5 (0.3 0.8)**	0.5 (0.3–0.9)*	
BMI ^f						
Normal	436	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Overweight	499	1.9 (1.2–2.8)**	1.7 (1.1–2.6)*	1.7 (0.9–2.9)	1.6 (0.9–2.8)	
Obese	239	3.3 (2.1–5.3)**	2.9 (1.8-4.7)**	4.2 (2.4-7.4)**	3.6 (2.0-6.5)**	
Metabolic syndrome (MS) ^g						
No	607	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Yes	567	2.4 (1.7-3.4)**	1.8 (1.3–2.6)**	2.9 (1.8-4.5)**	2.0 (1.2-3.2)**	
Waist circumference ^h						
Normal	227	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Elevated	947	3.1 (1.8–5.5)**	1.8 (0.99–3.3)	2.9 (1.3-6.1)**	1.3 (0.6–3.0)	
HDL cholesterol ⁱ						
Normal	184	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Low	990	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	0.7 (0.4–1.1)	1.0 (0.6–1.8)	0.8 (0.5–1.5)	
Triglycerides ^j						
Normal	654	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Elevated	520	2.0 (1.4-2.7)**	1.7 (1.2–2.4)**	2.4 (1.6-3.6)**	2.0 (1.3-3.1)**	
Blood pressure ^k						
Normal	807	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Elevated	367	1.8 (1.3–2.5)**	1.4 (0.96-2.0)	2.5 (1.6-3.7)**	1.9 (1.2–2.9)**	
Number of MS components						
None or one	219	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Two	388	1.5 (0.8–2.7)	1.1 (0.6–2.0)	1.4 (0.6–3.2)	0.8 (0.4–2.0)	
Three	403	3.0 (1.7-2.7)**	1.9 (1.0-3.4)*	2.8 (1.3-6.2)*	1.4 (0.6–3.2)	
Four	164	3.5 (1.8-6.6)**	2.0 (1.0-4.0)*	5.7 (2.5–13.1)**	2.7 (1.1-6.6)*	
Elevated ALT ¹						
No	958	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Yes	216	2.2 (1.5-3.3)**	1.7 (1.1–2.5)*	2.5 (1.6-4.1)**	2.1 (1.3-3.4)**	
Uric Acid ^m						
Normal	922	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	

Table 2. Cross-sectional analysis comparing pre-diabetics^a (n = 191) and diabetics^b (n = 116) to those with normal^c blood glucose levels (n = 867) in Wave 1 (W1). (N = 1,174).

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

	n	Risk factors for pre-diabetes ^a		Risk factors for diabetes ^b		
		Model 1 ^d	Model 2 ^e	Model 1 ^d	Model 2 ^e	
		OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	
Elevated	252	1.5 (1.0-2.2)*	1.1 (0.8–1.7)	1.0 (0.6–1.6)	0.7 (0.4–1.2)	

 $^{\rm a}$ Pre-diabetes was defined as a fasting plasma glucose level between 100 and 125 mg/ dL.

^b Diabetes was defined as a self-reported medical history of diabetes, current use of diabetes medications, and/or a fasting plasma glucose level \geq 126 mg/ dL.

^c Normal blood glucose was defined as a fasting plasma glucose <100 mg/dL.

^d Model 1: Adjusted for sex, age, education.

^e Model 2: Adjusted for sex, age, education, BMI, alcohol consumption, ALT, physical activity, daily calories.

 $^{\rm f}$ Body mass index (BMI) was defined as normal <25 kg/m2, overweight between 25- <30 kg/m2, or obese \ge 30 kg/m2.

^g Metabolic Syndrome was defined based on the 2009 Criteria for Clinical Diagnosis of the Metabolic Syndrome reported by Alberti et al [62], but we excluded glucose as one of the components.

^h Abnormal waist circumference is defined as \geq 80 cm for females and \geq 90 cm for males [62].

 $^{\rm i}$ Low HDL-Cholesterol is defined as ${<}50$ mg/dL for females and ${<}40$ mg/dL for males.

 $^{\rm j}$ Elevated triglycerides is defined as ${\geq}150$ mg/dL for females and males.

 $^{\rm k}$ Elevated blood pressure is defined as $\geq\!\!130/85$ mmHg, or currently taking blood pressure medications.

¹ Elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT) was defined as ALT > 40 IU/L for males and females.

^m Uric acid levels \geq 7.0 mg/dL for males and \geq 5.7 mg/dL for females are considered normal.

** P < 0.01 for test of null hypothesis that the odds ratio is equal to the odds ratio in the reference category.

* P < 0.05 for test of null hypothesis that the odds ratio is equal to the odds ratio in the reference category.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229403.t002

95% CI 1.0–9.0); elevated blood pressure (RRR 3.3, 95% CI 1.3–8.2); elevated ALT (RRR 6.1, 95% CI 2.1–17.8); and elevated uric acid (RRR 4.7, 95% CI 1.7–12.6).

To facilitate interpretation, the average predicted probabilities of maintaining normal blood sugar levels, developing pre-diabetes, or developing diabetes in Wave 2, among participants who had normal blood glucose in Wave 1, are shown in Fig 3. These results correspond to the fully adjusted Model 2 presented in Table 3. The lowest probabilities of maintaining a normal blood glucose level, and thus the highest probabilities of progressing to pre-diabetes or



Fig 1. Average predicted probabilities of having normal blood sugar, pre-diabetes, or diabetes in Wave 1, by number of metabolic syndrome (MS) components and sex. (n = 1,174). Results correspond to Model 2 in Table 2.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229403.g001



Fig 2. Study sample analyzed to determine the relative risk of developing pre-diabetes or diabetes at follow-up among those with normal blood glucose levels at baseline.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229403.g002

diabetes, were found among individuals who remained obese (0.52, 95% CI 0.42–0.61), remained with MS (0.57, 95% CI 0.51–0.63), remained with elevated triglycerides (0.59, 95% CI 0.53–0.65), acquired high blood pressure (0.59, 95% CI 0.52–0.66), had ALT that remained elevated (0.44, 95% CI 0.31–0.57) or became elevated (0.47, 95% 0.33–0.61), or maintained elevated uric acid levels (0.58, 95% CI 0.49–0.67).

Discussion

This study examined the changes over time in risk factors for diabetes among a cohort of Mexican health workers who initially had either normal fasting plasma glucose (FPG) or pre-diabetes. As anticipated, after controlling for demographic variables, BMI, lifestyle habits (alcohol, diet, physical activity), and ALT, our results indicate that several factors were associated with a greater risk of developing pre-diabetes and diabetes in our sample. These factors include having an elevated BMI, triglycerides, or blood pressure, and the presence of metabolic syndrome (MS). With the exception of elevated blood pressure and elevated BMI, these trends were maintained over time among participants who were first observed as having normal FPG. These findings are consistent with other studies that examined the association between hypertension and diabetes in Latino populations [14]. Another study found that increased BMI and blood pressure were present after the onset of diabetes in a group of adults in Southern California who were followed for 8 years [15]. Other researchers report that individuals with MS have a five times greater risk of developing diabetes [74]. Metabolic syndrome has consistently been shown to be a strong predictor for the onset of diabetes, making it an important target for further research on this topic. Neither waist circumference nor overweight BMI were associated with diabetes status in the more adjusted model of the cross-sectional analysis, which could be due to some patients losing weight after receiving their diagnosis. In the longitudinal analysis, however, we observed a strong association with remaining overweight or obese over time and the development of diabetes. A similar trend was seen for triglycerides and uric acid, suggesting a prolonged exposure is needed to affect development of diabetes.

Additionally, we found that elevated uric acid is associated with greater risk of developing diabetes, although this association was not observed among those who progressed to pre-diabetes. Further comparisons reveal that participants who either remained with or developed elevated levels of serum uric acid have a 4.4 (95% CI 1.7–11.7) and 3.1 (95% CI 1.1–8.6) increased risk, respectively, of developing diabetes, compared to pre-diabetes, during the study period. Increased uric acid has been identified as an independent risk factor for the development of diabetes and hypertension, and treatments to lower uric acid can reduce the burden of diabetes and lower blood pressure [75]. Moreover, a meta-analysis that examined middle-aged and

	n	Relative risk ratios (RRR pre-diabo) for those who developed etes in W2	Relative risk ratios (RRR) for those who developed diabetes in W2		
		Model 1 ^d Model 2 ^e		Model 1 ^d	Model 2 ^e	
		RRR (95% CI)	RRR (95% CI)	RRR (95% CI)	RRR (95% CI)	
Sex						
Female	683	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Male	184	1.8 (1.3–2.6)**	2.0 (1.3-3.0)**	1.7 (0.7-4.4)	1.7 (0.6–4.9)	
Age						
\leq 44	224	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
45-59	369	1.7 (1.1–2.7)*	1.5 (0.9–2.3)	0.8 (0.1-4.4)	1.6 (0.4–6.2)	
\geq 60	274	1.6 (0.8–3.2)	1.4 (0.7–2.8)	0.6 (0.1-4.3)	0.9 (0.1-6.2)	
Education status						
\leq High school	278	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
> High school	589	1.1 (0.5–2.4)	0.9 (0.4-2.2)	0.5 (0.1–2.6)	0.4 (0.1–2.7)	
BMI						
Remained normal	270	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Weight loss	57	0.9 (0.4–1.9)	0.8 (0.3-1.8)	4.8 (1.1-20.3)*	4.2 (0.97-17.9)	
Remained Overweight	268	2.0 (1.3-3.0)**	1.9 (1.3-2.9)**	4.3 (1.4–13.3)*	4.2 (1.3-13.1)*	
Remained Obese	114	3.8(2.3-6.3)**	3.4 (2.0-5.8)**	7.1 (2.1–24.4)**	7.6 (2.2–26.3)**	
Weight gain	158	2.4 (1.5-3.7)**	2.4 (1.5-3.7)**	1.7 (0.4–7.7)	1.6 (0.4–7.4)	
Metabolic syndrome (MS)						
Never had MS	342	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
No longer have MS	93	2.0 (1.2-3.4)*	1.5 (0.9–2.7)	2.1 (0.5-8.7)	1.3 (0.3–5.7)	
Developed MS	169	2.1 (1.4-3.2)**	1.9 (1.2-2.9)**	3.7 (1.3-10.8)*	3.0 (1.0-9.0)*	
Remained with MS	263	3.0 (2.0-4.4)**	2.2 (1.5-3.4)**	4.9 (1.8-13.1)**	2.7 (0.9–7.5)	
Waist Circumference						
Never elevated	75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
No longer elevated	33	2.1 (0.7-6.7)	1.9 (0.6-6.2)	n/a ^f	n/a ^f	
Became elevated	128	3.7 (1.6-8.6)**	3.4 (1.4–7.9)**	n/a ^f	n/a ^f	
Remained elevated	631	4.6 (2.1-9.9)**	2.8 (1.2-6.5)*	n/a ^f	n/a ^f	
HDL cholesterol						
Never low	93	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
No longer low	258	1.9 (0.99–3.5)	1.8 (0.9–3.4)	0.5 (0.1–1.8)	0.5 (0.1–1.9)	
Became low	37	2.2 (0.9–5.4)	1.8 (0.7-4.5)	0.7 (0.1-6.1)	0.6 (0.1-6.1)	
Remained low	479	2.9 (1.6-5.2)**	2.5 (1.3-4.6)**	1.3 (0.5–3.6)	1.0 (0.4–3.1)	
Triglycerides						
Never elevated	343	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
No longer elevated	71	1.9 (1.0-3.3)*	1.6 (0.9–3.0)	3.2 (0.9–11.6)	2.5 (0.6-9.5)	
Became elevated	191	1.7 (1.1–2.6)*	1.6 (1.1–2.5)*	2.6 (0.9–7.3)	2.3 (0.8-6.7)	
Remained elevated	262	2.4 (1.7–3.6)**	2.0 (1.4-3.0)**	3.6 (1.4–9.1)**	3.2 (1.2-8.1)*	
Blood pressure						
Never elevated	461	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
No longer elevated	42	1.3 (0.6–2.6)	1.1 (0.5–2.4)	1.1 (0.1-8.7)	0.7 (0.1–5.8)	
Became elevated	185	1.9 (1.3–2.9)**	1.6 (1.1–2.5)*	3.9 (1.6–9.6)**	3.3 (1.3-8.2)*	
Remained elevated	179	1.8 (1.2–2.8)**	1.3 (0.8–2.1)	3.6 (1.4–9.4)**	1.8 (0.6–5.2)	
ALT						
Never elevated	687	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
No longer elevated	71	1.3 (0.7–2.2)	1.1 (0.6–1.9)	1.9 (0.6–6.0)	1.4 (0.4–4.6)	

Table 3. Change in risk factors from Wave 1 (W1) to Wave 2 (W2) and relative risk of developing pre-diabetes^a (n = 243) or diabetes^b (n = 35) in W2 among those with normal^c blood glucose levels (N = 867) in Wave 1.

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

	n	Relative risk ratios (RRI pre-diab	elative risk ratios (RRR) for those who developed pre-diabetes in W2		Relative risk ratios (RRR) for those who developed diabetes in W2	
		Model 1 ^d	Model 2 ^e	Model 1 ^d	Model 2 ^e	
		RRR (95% CI)	RRR (95% CI)	RRR (95% CI)	RRR (95% CI)	
Became elevated	52	2.6 (1.4-4.8)**	2.2 (1.2-4.2)*	7.6 (2.6–21.8)**	6.1 (2.1–17.8)**	
Remained elevated	57	3.1 (1.7–5.5)**	2.5 (1.3-4.5)**	4.7 (1.5-14.0)**	3.4 (1.1–10.6)*	
Uric Acid						
Never elevated	580	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
No longer elevated	57	0.8 (0.4–1.5)	0.6 (0.3–1.2)	4.8 (1.5–15.2)*	3.0 (0.9–9.9)	
Became elevated	120	1.4 (0.9–2.2)	1.3 (0.8–2.0)	4.5 (1.7-12.0)**	4.7 (1.7-12.6)*	
Remained elevated	110	1.5 (0.96-2.4)	1.1 (0.6–1.7)	8.0 (3.2-20.2)**	5.1 (2.0-13.2)**	

^a Pre-diabetes was defined as a fasting plasma glucose level between 100 and 125 mg/dL.

 $^{\rm b}$ Diabetes was defined as a self-reported medical history of diabetes, current use of diabetes medications, and/or a fasting plasma glucose level \geq 126 mg/ dL.

^c Normal blood glucose was defined as a fasting plasma glucose <100 mg/dL.

^d Model 1: Adjusted for sex, age, education.

^e Model 2: Adjusted for sex, age, education, BMI, alcohol consumption, ALT, physical activity, calories.

** P < 0.01 for test of null hypothesis that the odds ratio is equal to the odds ratio in the reference category.

* P < 0.05 for test of null hypothesis that the odds ratio is equal to the odds ratio in the reference category.

^f Too few participants were categorized as having referenced outcomes

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229403.t003

older adults, found that after adjusting for metabolic syndrome, the association between uric acid level and diabetes mellitus increased substantially. Additionally, this association was shown to be stronger in Western societies [76]. However, there is still some debate regarding the role of elevated serum uric acid in the development of diabetes. There is evidence to support that it is a predictive risk factor preceding diabetes, as well as a protective response of glucose metabolism, with greater evidence mounting for the former [77, 78]. Our findings do not support one theory over another, but they do suggest that more research is needed to examine





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229403.g003

elevated serum uric acid as a possible marker for individuals at high-risk of developing diabetes.

Further comparisons were made for each risk factor, but no additional differences were found between those who progressed from normal FPG to either pre-diabetes or to diabetes. Our cross-sectional findings indicate no significant association between pre-diabetes and diabetes due to low HDL cholesterol, which does not support most research indicating low HDL cholesterol as a possible independent risk factor for diabetes [11,20, 23]. However, in the longitudinal analysis, risk of pre-diabetes was greater among those who remained with abnormally low HDL cholesterol levels over time (RRR 2.4 95% CI 1.3–4.4). A recent study of participants from the Copenhagen City Heart Study (CCHS) and the Copenhagen General Population Study, reported that abnormally low levels of HDL are not associated with an increased risk of developing diabetes in the general population [79]. Thus, our findings contribute to the conflicting results that have been reported concerning the association between HDL cholesterol and diabetes, and support the need for further research in this area.

Among the total sample of 1,174 participants, 16% had pre-diabetes and 10% had diabetes at W1 (2004–2006). By W2 (2011–2013), pre-diabetes had nearly doubled (30%), and the prevalence of diabetes increased to 16%. Among participants with pre-diabetes in W1, 53% remained with pre-diabetes and 28% progressed to diabetes. The increased prevalence of pre-diabetes corresponds to prior estimates for Mexico, while the increased prevalence of diabetes was lower in the study sample than in the general population [5]. Our results support the urgent need for primordial prevention of elevated glucose and failing prevention, the need for earlier screening and treatment of elevated blood glucose prior to the onset of pre-diabetes and diabetes. After considering factors such as sex, age, education status, and weight, patients who have MS, elevated triglycerides levels, and elevated ALT may benefit from routine monitoring of their blood glucose. More research on elevated serum uric acid as a marker for higher risk individuals is also warranted [78].

Research demonstrates that diabetes onset may be delayed by several years through diet and lifestyle modification [80,81]. However, pre-diabetes also poses health risks, such as longterm microvascular and macrovascular complications. These outcomes may not be fully preventable with medications and lifestyle interventions alone; regression to normal FPG is found to also be necessary [82,83]. Nonetheless, studies have found that lifestyle interventions in adults with pre-diabetes show a relative risk reduction of 40–70% for developing diabetes [84]. Risk of cardiovascular disease is increased with pre-diabetes and diabetes, but has been reduced by up to 56% over a 10- year period by regaining normal FPG, compared to remaining with pre-diabetes [42]. Furthermore, elevated FPG in the high normal range has been associated with poorer cognitive performance and decreased brain volume, further underscoring the importance of attaining normal blood glucose levels [85].

There are some limitations to this study. The Mexican health workers who participated in this cohort study were mostly women with a higher level of education and better health than the general population. They are considered middle-income, which corresponds to approximately 34% of the population. Because the sample is not representative of the entire Mexican population, our results should be considered exploratory. A second limitation is the large loss to follow-up between W1 and W2 (80%). Fortunately, there were no differences in several independent variables (i.e. sex, BMI, blood pressure, waist circumference, metabolic syndrome, elevated HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and uric acid) between those who returned for W2 and those who did not, so we do not expect the low follow-up rate to affect our results. Additionally, we restricted our analyses to only participants with complete W1 and W2 data so our internal validity would not be compromised. A third limitation is the small sample size due to incomplete blood-related laboratory tests for participants at W2, which could affect

power. Another limitation is the lack of uniform diagnostic criteria for pre-diabetes among the general population worldwide, which is a necessary prerequisite to conduct studies that can yield consensus treatment and prevention strategies [84].

The structure of the population in Latin America has been changing. Most of the people living in rural areas have migrated to urban cities, seeking better job opportunities. In Mexico, this demographic transition has been occurring for several decades, with the result that only about 20% of the population now lives in rural areas [86]. The lack of skills and knowledge among the populations moving to urban areas puts them at a disadvantage for employment opportunities and a good quality of life [87]. A nutrient-poor diet and lack of physical activity contribute to increasing rates of pre-diabetes and diabetes in the population. Within the next decade, the prevalence of diabetes is expected to increase by 38% in Latin America [88]. Furthermore, people with diabetes generally live shorter lives compared to those without diabetes, which can additionally exacerbate the social and economic consequences of diabetes [89].

The economic burden of diabetes in Mexico represents an enormous challenge for its health system. Diabetes involves direct (e.g. medication) and indirect costs (e.g. disability, shorter life expectancy, etc.). Arredondo et al. reported that in 2010, the total direct cost of the disease was \$343 million USD and indirect costs were estimated at \$177 million USD. However, these estimates do not include the costs due to the medical complications associated with diabetes, which lead to an additional economic burden [90]. Therefore, future studies should also focus on how diabetes prevention and early detection can help minimize the economic burden and improve the quality of life of these individuals. Mexico has implemented national diabetes action programs, since the prevention of non-communicable diseases has been a top priority in recent National Health plans, with a special emphasis on diabetes. Some of the recommended actions to confront this disease include, self-care diabetes campaigns, regulation of the food available in elementary schools, communication campaigns to raise awareness about diabetes, support groups, as well as standardized criteria and guidelines to improve the diagnosis and control of diabetes nationwide [90].

Conclusions

Our results indicate that risk of developing diabetes among a group of Mexican health workers who were followed for approximately 7 years was associated with elevated BMI, triglycerides, blood pressure and uric acid, as well as the presence of metabolic syndrome. Most of these trends were observed among participants who had normal levels of FPG at the beginning of the study. These longitudinal findings confirm that serum uric acid is an independent risk factor for diabetes. The prevalence of diabetes among our study participants increased by more than 50% from the period of 2004–2006 (W1) until 2011–2013 (W2). Thus, we believe it imperative to design and implement interventions to prevent individuals from reaching the pre-diabetes stage, and failing that, to detect individuals at the pre-diabetes stage as soon as possible. This will allow them to make changes in their lifestyles that can perhaps delay or ideally prevent the onset of diabetes.

Supporting information

S1 File. Mexican Health Worker Cohort Study questionnaire, 2010–2011. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the Mexican Health Worker Cohort Study participants and their families for their contributions to this research, as well as the nurses and staff at the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social (IMSS).

Author Contributions

- **Conceptualization:** Yvonne N. Flores, Samantha Toth, Catherine M. Crespi, Paula Ramírez-Palacios, Jorge Salmerón.
- Data curation: Catherine M. Crespi, Paula Ramírez-Palacios.
- Formal analysis: Yvonne N. Flores, Samantha Toth, Catherine M. Crespi, Paula Ramírez-Palacios.

Funding acquisition: Yvonne N. Flores, Jorge Salmerón.

Investigation: Yvonne N. Flores, Samantha Toth, Catherine M. Crespi, Paula Ramírez-Palacios, Jorge Salmerón.

Methodology: Yvonne N. Flores, Samantha Toth, Catherine M. Crespi, Paula Ramírez-Palacios, William J. McCarthy, Jorge Salmerón.

Project administration: Yvonne N. Flores, Paula Ramírez-Palacios, Jorge Salmerón.

Resources: Yvonne N. Flores, Jorge Salmerón.

Software: Paula Ramírez-Palacios.

Supervision: Yvonne N. Flores, Samantha Toth, Catherine M. Crespi, Jorge Salmerón.

Validation: Paula Ramírez-Palacios.

Visualization: Samantha Toth, Catherine M. Crespi, Paula Ramírez-Palacios.

Writing - original draft: Yvonne N. Flores.

Writing – review & editing: Yvonne N. Flores, Samantha Toth, Catherine M. Crespi, Paula Ramírez-Palacios, William J. McCarthy, Arely Briseño-Pérez, Víctor Granados-García, Jorge Salmerón.

References

- Instituto Nacional Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI). Características de las defunciones registradas en México durante 2017. October 2018. Available from URL: http://www.beta.inegi. org.mx/contenidos/saladeprensa/boletines/2018/EstSociodemo/DEFUNCIONES2017.pdf
- 2. World Health Organization. Quality of care is key to tackling Mexico's diabetes emergency. *Bull World Health Organ* 2017; 95: 393–394. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.17.020617 PMID: 28603304
- Soto-Estrada G, Moreno AL, García-García JJ, Ochoa MI, Silberman M. Trends in frequency of type 2 diabetes in Mexico and its relationship to dietary patterns and contextual factors. *Gac Sanit* 2018; 32: 283–290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2017.08.001 PMID: 29102307.
- Andrade FC. Measuring the impact of diabetes on life expectancy and disability-free life expectancy among older adults in Mexico. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2010; 65B: 381–9. https://doi.org/10. 1093/geronb/gbp119 PMID: 20028950.
- Meza R, Barrientos-Gutierrez T, Rojas-Martinez R, Reynoso-Noverón N, Palacio-Mejia LS, Lazcano-Ponce E, et al. Burden of type 2 diabetes in Mexico: past, current and future prevalence and incidence rates. *Prev Med* 2015; 81: 445–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.10.015 PMID: 26546108.
- International Diabetes Federation. The IDF Diabetes Atlas. 8th ed. 2017. June 2018. Available from URL: http://reports.instantatlas.com/report/view/846e76122b5f476fa6ef09471965aedd/MEX.

- Speakman JR, Loos RJF, O'Rahilly S, Hirschhorn JN, Allison DB. GWAS for BMI: a treasure trove of fundamental insights into the genetic basis of obesity. *Int J Obes* 2018; 42: 1524–1531. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41366-018-0147-5</u> PMID: 29980761.
- Canella DS, Levy RB, Martins AP, Claro RM, Moubarac JC, Baraldi LG, et al. Ultra-Processed Food Products and Obesity in Brazilian Households (2008–2009). *PLoS One* 2014; 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0092752</u> PMID: 24667658.
- Velasco Mondragon HE, Charlton RW, Peart T, Burguete-Garcia AI, Hernandez-Avila M, Hsueh WC. Diabetes risk assessment in Mexicans and Mexican Americans: effects of parental history of diabetes are modified by adiposity level. *Diabetes Care* 2010; 33: 2260–5. <u>https://doi.org/10.2337/dc10-0992</u> PMID: 20628089.
- Wilson PWF, Meigs JB, Sullivan L, Fox CS, Nathan DM, D'Agostino RB. Prediction of incident diabetes mellitus in middle-aged adults of the Framingham offspring study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2007; 167: 1068– 1074. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.167.10.1068 PMID: 17533210.
- Scott R, Langenberg C, Sharp S, Franks P, Rolandsson O, Drogan D, et al. The link between family history and risk of type 2 diabetes is not explained by anthropometric, lifestyle or genetic risk factors: the EPIC-InterAct study. *Diabetologia* 2013; 56: 60–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-012-2715-x PMID: 23052052.
- Langenberg C, Sharp SJ, Franks PW, Scott RA, Deloukas P, Forouhi NG, et al. Gene-lifestyle interaction and type 2 diabetes: the EPIC InterAct case-cohort study. *PLoS Med* 2014; 11: e1001647. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001647 PMID: 24845081.
- Van't Riet E, Dekker JM, Sun Q, Nijpels G, Hu F, van Dam RM. Role of adiposity and lifestyle in the relationship between family history of diabetes and 20-year incidence of type 2 diabetes in U.S. women. Diabetes Care 2010; 33: 763–7. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc09-1586 PMID: 20067970.
- Avilés-Santa ML, Colón-Ramos U, Lindberg NM, Mattei J, Pasquel FJ, Pérez CM. From Sea to Shining Sea and the Great Plains to Patagonia: A Review on Current Knowledge of Diabetes Mellitus in Hispanics/Latinos in the US and Latin America. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2017; 8: 298. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fendo.2017.00298 PMID: 29176960.
- Stahl CH, Novak M, Lappas G, et al. High-normal blood pressure and long-term risk of type 2 diabetes: 35-year prospective population based cohort study of men. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2012; 12: 89. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2261-12-89 PMID: 23067205.
- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Strategies to identify adults at high risk for type 2 diabetes: The Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28: 138–144. <u>https://doi.org/10.2337/</u>diacare.28.1.138 PMID: 15616247.
- Hu FB, Li TY, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Manson JE. Television Watching and Other Sedentary Behaviors in Relation to Risk of Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Women. JAMA 2003; 289: 1785–1791. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.289.14.1785 PMID: 12684356.
- Grøntved A, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Andersen LB, Hu FB. A Prospective Study of weight training and risk of type 2 diabetes in men. *Arch Intern Med* 2012; 172: 1306–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/</u> archinternmed.2012.3138 PMID: 22868691.
- Lorenzo C, Okoloise M, Williams K, Stern MP, Haffner MS. The metabolic syndrome as predictor of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2003; 26: 3153–3159. https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.26.11.3153 PMID: 14578254.
- Sullivan PW, Morrato EH, Ghushchyan V, Wyatt HR, Hill JO. Obesity, inactivity, and the prevalence of diabetes and diabetes-related cardiovascular comorbidities in the U.S., 2000–2002. *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28: 1599–1603. https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.28.7.1599 PMID: 15983307.
- Wilson PWF, Meigs JB, Sullivan L, Fox CS, Nathan DM, D'Agostino RB. Prediction of incident diabetes mellitus in middle-aged adults the Framingham offspring study. *Arch Intern Med* 2007; 167: 1068– 1074. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.167.10.1068 PMID: 17533210.
- Abbasi A, Corpeleijn E, Gansevoort RT, Gans RO, Hillege HL, Stolk RP, et al. Role of HDL cholesterol and estimates of HDL particle composition in future development of type 2 diabetes in the general population: the PREVEND study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013; 98: E1352–E1359. https://doi.org/10.1210/ jc.2013-1680 PMID: 23690306.
- Ko SH, Baeg MK, Han KD, Ko SH, Ahn YB. Increased liver markers are associated higher risk of type 2 diabetes. World J Gastroenterol 2015; 21: 7478–7487. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i24.7478 PMID: 26139993
- Viazzi F, Leoncini G, Vercelli M, Deferrari G, Pontremoli R. Serum uric acid levels predict new-onset type 2 diabetes in hospitalized patients with primary hypertension: the MAGIC study. *Diabetes Care* 2011; 34, 126–128. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc10-0918 PMID: 20921214.

- Xu YL, Xu KF, Bai JL, Liu Y, Yu RB, Liu CL, et al. Elevation of serum uric acid and incidence of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chronic Dis Transl Med* 2016; 2: 81–91. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cdtm.2016.09.003 PMID: 29063028.
- DiBonaventura MD, Meincke H, Le Lay A, Fournier J, Bakker E, Ehrenreich A. Obesity in Mexico: prevalence, comorbidities, associations with patient outcomes, and treatment experiences. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2018; 11: 1–10. https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S129247 PMID: 29317841.
- Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutrición de Medio Camino. Informe final de Resultados. Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública. Cuernavaca, México. 2016. Available from URL: http://transparencia.insp.mx/2017/auditorias-insp/12701_Resultados_Encuesta_ENSANUT_MC2016.pdf
- Fernald L. Socio-economic status and body mass index in low-income Mexican adults. Soc Sci Med 1982; 64, 2030–2042. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.02.002 PMID: 17368895.
- **30.** Levasseur P. Causal effects of socioeconomic status on central adiposity risks: Evidence using panel data from urban Mexico. *Soc Sci Med.* 2015; 136–137:165–74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.</u> 2015.05.018 PMID: 26004210.
- **31.** Astudillo O. Country in focus: Mexico's growing obesity problem. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2014; 2: 15–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(13)70160-8 PMID: 24622663.
- Marron-Ponce JA, Tolentino-Mayo L, Hernandez FM, Batis C. Trends in Ultra-Processed Food Purchases from 1984 to 2016 in Mexican Households. *Nutrients* 2018; 11: e45. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/</u> nu11010045 PMID: 30587779.
- Medina C, Tolentino-Mayo L, López-Ridaura R, Barquera S. Evidence of increasing sedentarism in Mexico City during the last decade: Sitting time prevalence, trends, and associations with obesity and diabetes. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e0188518. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188518 PMID: 29194458.
- Monteiro CA, Cannon G, Moubarac JC, Levy RB, Louzada MLC, Jaime PC. The UN Decade of Nutrition, the NOVA food classification and the trouble with ultra-processing. *Public Health Nut.* 2018; 21: 5– 17. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017000234 PMID: 28322183.
- Monteiro CA, Moubarac J-C, Levy RB, Canella DS, da Costa Louzada ML, Cannon G. Household availability of ultra-processed foods and obesity in nineteen European countries. *Public Health Nutrition* 2018; 2: 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017001379 PMID: 28714422.
- Gregg EW, Li Y, Wang J, Burrows NR, Ali MK, Rolka D, et al. Changes in diabetes-related complications in the United States, 1990–2010. N Engl J Med 2014; 370: 1514–1523. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/</u> NEJMoa1310799 PMID: 24738668.
- **37.** American Diabetes Association (ADA). Diagnosing diabetes and learning about prediabetes. July 2018. Available from URL: http://www.diabetes.org/are-you-at-risk/prediabetes/
- Edwards CM, Cusi K. Prediabetes: A Worldwide Epidemic. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2016; 45: 751–764. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecl.2016.06.007 PMID: 27823603.
- Do OH, Gunton JE, Gaisano HY, Thorn P. Changes in beta cell function occur in prediabetes and early disease in the Lepr^{db} mouse model of diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2016; 59: 1222–30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-3942-3</u> PMID: 27048248.
- Abdul-Ghani MA, Tripathy D, DeFronzo RA. Contributions of β-Cell dysfunction and insulin resistance to the pathogenesis of impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glucose. *Diabetes Care* 2006; 29: 1130–1139. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc05-2179 PMID: 16644654.
- Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ, Kivimäki M. Prediabetes: A high-risk state for developing diabetes. *Lancet* 2012; 379: 2279–2290. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60283-9</u> PMID: 22683128.
- Perreault L, Temprosa M, Mather KJ, Horton E, Kitabchi A, Larkin M, et al. Regression from prediabetes to normal glucose regulation is associated with reduction in cardiovascular risk: results from the diabetes prevention program outcomes study. *Diabetes Care* 2014; 37, 2622–2631. <u>https://doi.org/10.2337/</u> dc14-0656 PMID: 24969574.
- **43.** Huang Y, Cai X, Mai W, Li M, Hu Y. Association between prediabetes and risk of cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2016; 355: i5953. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i5953</u> PMID: 27881363.
- Markus MRP, Ittermann T, Baumeister SE, Huth C, Thorand B, Herder C, et al. Prediabetes is associated with microalbuminuria, reduced kidney function and chronic kidney disease in the general population: The KORA (Cooperative Health Research in the Augsburg Region) F4-Study. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2018; 28: 234–242. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2017.12.005</u> PMID: 29337019.
- **45.** Yazgan S, Arpaci D, Celik HU, Dogan M, Isık I. Macular choroidal thickness may be the earliest determiner to detect the onset of diabetic retinopathy in patients with prediabetes: A prospective and

comparative study. *Curr Eye Res* 2017; 42: 1039–1047. https://doi.org/10.1080/02713683.2016. 1264606 PMID: 28121176.

- Kumar A, Wong R., Ottenbacher K. J, Al Snih S. Prediabetes, undiagnosed diabetes, and diabetes among Mexican adults: findings from the Mexican health and aging study. *Ann Epidemiol* 2016; 26: 163–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2015.12.006 PMID: 26872919.
- Robles-Ordaz M, Gallegos-Aguilar A, Urquidez-Romero R, Diaz-Zavala R, Lavandera-Torres M, Esparza-Romero J. Prevalence of prediabetes and modifiable factors in an ethnic group of Mexico: The Comcáac Project. *Public Health Nutr* 2018; 21: 333–338. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980017002658 PMID: 29037269.
- Ureña-Bogarín EL, Martínez-Ramírez HR, Torres-Sánchez JR, Hernández-Herrera A, Cortés-Sanabria L, Cueto-Manzano AM. Prevalence of pre-diabetes in young Mexican adults in primary health care. *Fam Pract* 2015; 32: 159–164. https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmu047 PMID: 25199520.
- 49. Villanueva-Sosa LG, Cordero-Franco HF, Salinas-Martínez AM. Prevalence of prediabetes based on fasting plasma glucose and glycosylated hemoglobin in an at-risk Mexican population. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord* 2015; 13: 352–355. https://doi.org/10.1089/met.2015.0040 PMID: 26302026.
- CDC. Prediabetes. October 2017. Available from URL: https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/basics/ prediabetes.html
- Tabak AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ, Kivimaki M. Prediabetes: a high-risk state for diabetes development. *Lancet* 2012; 379: 2279–2290. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60283-9 PMID: 22683128.
- Lopez-Olmedo N, Popkin BM, Taillie LS. The Socioeconomic Disparities in Intakes and Purchases of Less-Healthy Foods and Beverages Have Changed over Time in Urban Mexico. *J Nutr* 2018; 148: 109–116. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxx007 PMID: 29378043.
- Florez JC, Price AL, Campbell D, Riba L, Parra MV, Yu F, et al. Strong association of socioeconomic status with genetic ancestry in Latinos: implications for admixture studies of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2009; 52: 1528–1536. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-009-1412-x PMID: 19526211.
- 54. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Statistics Report, 2017. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Deptartment of Health and Human Services; 2017. https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2017/p0718-diabetes-report.html
- 55. Avilés-Santa ML, Colón-Ramos U, Lindberg NM, Mattei J, Pasquel FJ, Pérez CM. From sea to shining sea and the great plains to Patagonia: A review on current knowledge of diabetes mellitus in Hispanics/ Latinos in the US and Latin America. *Front Endocrinol* 2017; 8: 298. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.</u> 2017.00298 PMID: 29176960.
- 56. Esparza-Romero J, Valencia ME, Urquidez-Romero R, Chaudhari LS, Hanson RL, Knowler WC, et al. Environmentally driven increases in type 2 diabetes and obesity in Pima Indians and Non-Pimas in Mexico over a 15-year period: The Maycoba Project. *Diabetes Care* 2015; 38: 2075–2082. https://doi. org/10.2337/dc15-0089 PMID: 26246457.
- Pinto G, Beltrán-Sánchez H. Prospective study of the link between overweight/obesity and diabetes incidence among Mexican older adults: 2001–2012. *Salud Publica Mex* 2015; 57 Suppl 1:15–21. https://doi.org/10.21149/spm.v57s1.7585 PMID: 26172229.
- Denova-Gutiérrez E, Flores YN, Gallegos-Carrillo K, Ramírez-Palacios P, Rivera-Paredez B, Muñoz-Aguirre P, et al. Health workers cohort study: Methods and study design. *Salud Publica Mex* 2016; 58: 708–716 PMID: 28225947.
- 59. Flores YN, Auslander A, Crespi CM, Rodriguez M, Zhang ZF, Durazo F, et al. Longitudinal association of obesity, metabolic syndrome and diabetes with risk of elevated aminotransferase levels in a cohort of Mexican health workers. *J Dig Dis* 2016; 17: 304–12. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-2980.12341 PMID: 26991251.
- Morales LS, Flores YN, Leng M, Sportiche N, Gallegos K, Salmerón J. Risk Factors for cardiovascular disease among Mexican-American adults in the United States and Mexico: A comparative study. *Salud Publica Mex* 2014; 56: 197–205. https://doi.org/10.21149/spm.v56i2.7335 PMID: 25014426.
- National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI). Clinical Guidelines on the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: The Evidence Report 1998. January 2010. http:// www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/obesity/ob_gdlns.htm
- 62. Alberti KG, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ, Cleeman JI, Donato KA, et al. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation. 2009; 120(16):1640–5. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.192644 PMID: 19805654

- Flores YN, Yee HF Jr, Leng M, Escarce JJ, Bastani R, Salmerón J, et al. Risk factors for chronic liver disease in Blacks, Mexican Americans, and Whites in the United States: results from NHANES IV, 1999–2004. Am J Gastroenterol 2008; 103: 2231–2238 PMID: 18671818.
- Ioannou G, Boyko E, Lee S. The prevalence and predictors of elevated serum aminotransferase activity in the United States in 1999–2002. Am J Gastroenterol 2006; 101: 76–82 PMID: 16405537.
- Jiles R, Hughes E, Murphy W, Flowers N, McCracken M, Roberts H, et al. Surveillance for certain health behaviors among states and selected local areas. Behavioral risk factor surveillance system. U.S. 2003. MMWR Surveill Summ 2005; 54: 1–9. PMID: 16319816.
- Gallegos-Carrillo K, Flores YN, Denova-Gutiérrez E, Méndez-Hernández P, Dosamantes-Carrasco LD, Henao-Morán S, et al. Physical activity and reduced risk of depression: results of a longitudinal study of Mexican adults. *Health Psychol* 2013; 32: 609–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029276</u> PMID: 22946463.
- 67. Meneses-Leon J, Denova-Gutiérrez E, Castañón-Robles S, Granados-García V, Talavera JO, Rivera-Paredez B, et al. Sweetened beverage consumption and the risk of hyperuricemia in Mexican adults: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* 2014; 14: 445. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-445 PMID: 24884821.
- Zhu Y, Pandya BJ, Choi HK. Prevalence of gout and hyperuricemia in the US general population: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007–2008. Arthritis Rheum 2011; 63(10):3136–41. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.30520 PMID: 21800283.
- Tietz NW. Specimen collection and processing; sources of biological variation. Textbook of Clinical Chemistry. Second Edition. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders; 1994.
- 70. Hernández-Avila M, Resoles M, Parra S, Romieu, I. Sistema de Evaluación de Hábitos Nutricionales y Consumo de Nutrimentos (SNUT). 2003. Cuernavaca: INSP.
- Rivera-Paredez B, Muñoz-Aguirre P, Torres-Ibarra L, Ramírez-Palacios P, Hernández-López R, Barrios E, et al. Patterns of beverage consumption and risk of CHD among Mexican adults. Br J Nutr. 2018 Jul; 120(2):210–219. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114518001411 PMID: 29947324.
- 72. American Diabetes Association (ADA). Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: Standards of medical care in diabetes 2018; 41: S13–27. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc18-S002.
- 73. Tate JR, Berg K, Couderc R, Dati F, Kostner GM, Marcovina SM, et al. International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC) Standardization Project for the Measurement of Lipoprotein(a). Phase 2: selection and properties of a proposed secondary reference material for lipoprotein(a). *Clin Chem Lab Med* 1999; 37: 949–58. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/CCLM.1999.140</u> PMID: 10616748.
- O'Neil S, O'Driscoll L. Metabolic syndrome, a closer look at the growing epidemic and its associated pathologies. *Obes Rev* 2015; 16: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12229 PMID: 25407540.
- Mortada I. Hyperuricemia, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and hypertension: an emerging association. Curr Hypertens Rep 2017; 19: 69. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-017-0770-x PMID: 28770533
- 76. Lv Q, Meng XF, H FF, Chen S, Su H, Xiong J, et al. High serum uric acid and increased risk of type 2 diabetes: a systemic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *PLos One* 2013; 8: e56864. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056864 PMID: 23437258.
- 77. Juraschek SP, McAdams Demarco MA, Miller ER, Gelber A, Maynard JW, Pankow JS, et al. Temporal relationship between uric acid concentration and risk of diabetes in a community-based study population. *Am J Epidemiol* 2014; 179: 684–691. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwt320 PMID: 24418684.
- Bhole V, Choi JWJ, Kim SW, de Vera M, Choi H. Serum Uric Acid Levels and the Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: A Prospective Study. Am J of Med 2010; 123, 957–961. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2010.03. 027 PMID: 20920699.
- 79. Haase CL, Tybjærg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG, Frikke-Schmidt R. HDL cholesterol and risk of type 2 diabetes: a Mendelan randomization study. *Diabetes* 2015; 64: 3328–33. https://doi.org/10.2337/db14-1603 PMID: 25972569.
- Perreault L, Pan Q, Mather KJ, Watson KE, Hamman RF, Kahn SE. Effect of regression from prediabetes to normal glucose regulation on long-term reduction in diabetes risk: results from the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet* 2012; 379: 2243–2251. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736</u> (12)60525-X PMID: 22683134.
- Beavers KM, Case LD, Blackwell CS, Katula JA, Goff DC, Vitolins MZ. Effects of weight regain following intentional weight loss on glucoregulatory function in overweight and obese adults with pre-diabetes. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2015; 9:266–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orcp.2014.09.003 PMID: 25293586.
- Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes with Lifestyle Intervention or Metformin. N Engl J Med 2002; 346: 393–403. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa012512 PMID: 11832527.

- Huang D, Refaat M, Mohammedi K, Jayyousi A, Al Suwaidi J, Abi Khalil C. Macrovascular Complications in Patients with Diabetes and Prediabetes. *BioMed Res Int* 2017; 7839101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7839101</u> PMID: 29238721.
- Bansal N. Prediabetes diagnosis and treatment: A review. World J Diabetes 2015; 6: 296–303 https:// doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v6.i2.296 PMID: 25789110.
- Mortby ME, Janke AL, Anstey KJ, Sachdev PS, Cherbuin N. High "normal" blood glucose is associated with decreased brain volume and cognitive performance in the 60s: The PATH through Life Study. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e73697. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073697 PMID: 24023897.
- Angotti T. Urban Latin America: Violence, Enclaves, and Struggles for Land. Latin American Perspectives 2013; 40, 5–20. https://doi.org/10.1177/0094582X12466832
- Dufor DL, Piperata BA. Rural-to-urban migration in Latin America: an update and thoughts on the model. Am J Hum Biol 2004; 16: 395–404. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.20043 PMID: 15214058.
- Aschner P. Diabetes trends in Latin America. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2002; 18: S27–S31 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.280</u> PMID: 12324982.
- Andrade F. Estimating diabetes and diabetes-free life expectancy in Mexico and seven major cities in Latin America and the Caribbean. Rev *Panam Salud Publica* 2009; 26: 9–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/s1020-49892009000700002</u> PMID: <u>19814876</u>.
- 90. Barquera S, Campos-Nonato I, Aguilar-Salinas C, Lopez-Ridaura R, Arredondo A, Rivera-Dommarco J. Diabetes in Mexico: cost and management of diabetes and its complications and challenges for health policy. *Global Health* 2013; 9: 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-8603-9-3 PMID: 23374611.