Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SUPER-KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS AND SUPERSYMMETRIC 2d-FREE FERMIONS

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2dt362m3>

Author

Windey, P.

Publication Date

1985-10-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Physics Division

Submitted for publication

SUPER-KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS AND SUPERSYMMETRIC
2d-FREE FERMIONS

P. Windey

October 1985

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

DEC 13 1985

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.*

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

October 1985

LBL-20423

Super-Kac-Moody Algebras and Supersymmetric 2d-Free Fermions¹

Paul Windey

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
and
Department of Physics
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

Explicit representations of Super-Kac-Moody algebra are constructed in terms of 2-d free fermions which form a non-linear representation of supersymmetry with the fermions grouped with the generators of the algebra into superfields. It is shown how the most general construction of this type corresponds to homogeneous spaces G/H and how supersymmetry alone is responsible for that structure.

It is well known that representations of Kac-Moody algebra [1] can be constructed using two-dimensional free fermions [2]. This construction was crucial in the proof by E.Witten [3] of the equivalence between non-linear sigma models with a Wess-Zumino term [4] and free fermion systems. This equivalence was later developed in a beautiful paper by V.G.Knizhnik and A.M.Zamolodchikov [5] using the techniques of conformal field theory [6]. It was then noticed that the supersymmetric extension of the sigma model [7] also had a rich algebraic structure and that it gave a representation of a supersymmetric extension of the Kac-Moody algebra [8]. In the case of $SO(N)$ for example the content of the model in terms of free fermions is the following: there are two types of decoupled fields, one transforming under the adjoint representation of the group while the other (corresponding to the fermionization of the bosonic field of the original model) is in the fundamental representation. These two fields form a nonlinear representation of supersymmetry [8]. A similar property was also observed in P.Goddard and D.Olive in [9]. The purpose of this note is to show that a large class of representations of super-Kac-Moody algebra can be constructed in terms of free fermions which realize a non-linear representation of the two dimensional super-conformal (Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond [10]) algebra (for another point of view on this latter construction and related considerations about superstrings see [11]). As we will show supersymmetry alone determines the precise structure of the super-Kac-Moody algebra and the required group theoretical content of the theory (in particular the only allowed representations of the fermion fields). More precisely the requirement of supersymmetry will be equivalent to the existence of an invariant connection on an homogeneous space G/H and the two sets of fields can be regarded respectively as vertical and horizontal vector fields on the principal bundle $G(H, G/H)$. This remarkable fact points at a deeper connection between supersymmetry and the geometry of Lie groups.

We will consider free Weyl-Majorana 2d-fermion fields which are functions of the coordinates $z = x + iy$ and $\bar{z} = x - iy$. For later use we also introduce at this point the Grassmanian coordinate θ associated with z . The super-conformal transformations defined by an infinitesimal displacement vector field $V(z, \theta) = v_0(z) + \theta v_1(z)$ which is any analytic function of z and the Grassmanian coordinate θ (note that v_1 is anti-commuting) will be given

¹This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contracts DE-AC03-76SF00098

explicitly by :

$$\delta z = v_0(z) + \frac{1}{2}\theta v_1(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\theta = \frac{1}{2}(v_1(z) + \theta\partial_z v_0(z)) \quad (1)$$

The model is described by the lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\psi^i\partial_{\bar{z}}\psi^i + \frac{1}{2}\chi^a\partial_{\bar{z}}\chi^a \quad (2)$$

where the $\psi^i(z, \bar{z})$ transform under an as yet unspecified real representation r of dimension $d(r)$ of a compact Lie group H and the $\chi^a(z, \bar{z})$ are in the adjoint representation of H whose dimension we denote by D . By the equations of motion ψ^i and χ^a are functions of z only and we have

$$\psi^i(z)\psi^j(w) \sim -\frac{\delta^{ij}}{z-w} \quad (3)$$

and similarly for the χ^a field. One can define two currents :

$$J_\psi^a = -\frac{1}{2}\psi^i T_{ij}^a \psi^j \quad (4)$$

and

$$J_\chi^a = \frac{1}{2}f_{abc}\chi^b\chi^c \quad (5)$$

where f_{abc} are the structure constants of the group H and the generators T_{ij}^a in the representation R satisfy

$$[T^a, T^b]_{ij} = f_{abc}T_{ij}^c \quad (6)$$

$J_\chi^a(z)$ and $J_\psi^a(z)$ will satisfy

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}J_\chi^a = 0 \quad (7)$$

and

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}J_\psi^a = 0 \quad (8)$$

which reflects the fact that the transformations of H which leave the Lagrangian (2) invariant can be any analytic functions of z . We have then the well known Kac-Moody algebra in operator product form:

$$J_\psi^a(z)J_\psi^b(w) \sim \frac{k\delta^{ab}}{2(z-w)^2} + \frac{f_{abc}J_\psi^c(w)}{z-w} \quad (9)$$

where $k = \frac{c(r)d(r)}{D}$, the central extension, is equal to the Dynkin number $k(r)$ and $c(r)\delta_{ij} = (T^a T^a)_{ij}$. As usual we should interpret this equation in

the following way [5][6]. Define the generator J_ω of an H -transformation parametrized by analytic functions $\omega^a(z)$ by :

$$J_\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \omega^a(z) J_\psi^a(z) \quad (10)$$

The variation of the field ψ^i will be

$$\delta_\omega \psi^i(w) = [J_\omega, \psi^i(w)] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \omega^a(z) J_\psi^a(z) \psi^i(w) = -\omega^a(w) T_{ij}^a \psi^j(w) \quad (11)$$

and in particular the transformation of the generators themselves will be

$$\delta_\omega J_\psi^a(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \omega^b(z) J_\psi^b(z) J_\psi^a(w) = f_{abc} \omega^c(w) J_\psi^b(w) + \frac{k(r)}{2} \partial_w \omega^a(w) \quad (12)$$

where the contour circles around w . From these remarks follows

$$[J_m^a, J_n^b] = f_{abc} J_{m+n}^c + m \frac{k(r)}{2} \delta^{a,b} \delta_{m+n,0} \quad (13)$$

where $J_\psi^a(z) = \sum_n z^{-n-1} J_n^a$. We have similar relations for $J_\chi^a(z)$ with $k = -f_{abc}f_{abc} \equiv c_\nu$.

The only non vanishing component of the energy momentum tensor, given by

$$T_B(z) = \frac{1}{2}\psi^i\partial_z\psi^i + \frac{1}{2}\chi^a\partial_z\chi^a \quad (14)$$

is an analytic function of z and the generator of the conformal transformations (1). The Virasoro algebra [12] is given by

$$T_B(z)T_B(w) \sim \frac{c}{2(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T_B(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial_w T_B(w)}{(z-w)} \quad (15)$$

where $c = \frac{d(r)+D}{2}$ is the central charge for free fermions. The operator product expansion (O.P.E.) of T_B with the fermionic field ψ^i is

$$T_B(z)\psi^i(w) \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{\psi^i(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial_w \psi^i(w)}{(z-w)} \quad (16)$$

This equation expresses the fact that the fermionic fields have a conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$ under a conformal transformation. One checks similarly that the conformal weight of the currents is one.

If one wants to have a nontrivial realization of supersymmetry linking these two sets of fields one first has to construct a candidate for the generator

of super-conformal transformations $T_F(z)$ which will be the partner of $T_B(z)$ and satisfy the Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond algebra [10]:

$$T_F(z)T_F(w) \sim \frac{\hat{c}}{4(z-w)^3} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}T_B(w)}{(z-w)} \quad (17)$$

$$T_B(z)T_F(w) \sim \frac{3T_F(w)}{2(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial_w T_F(w)}{(z-w)} \quad (18)$$

The first of these equations is the expression of supersymmetry while the last one simply shows that the conformal weight of T_F is $\frac{3}{2}$. As in (15) all the coefficients are determined unambiguously by the fact that T_B and T_F must be the generators of superconformal transformations (1) except for the central charge which to be consistent with (15) must be $\hat{c} = 2/3c$ (for more details about super conformal field theory see [13]). The most general operator of weight $3/2$ that can be built out of the ψ and χ fields is

$$T_F(z) = \frac{1}{6}i\alpha f_{abc}\chi^a\chi^b\chi^c + \frac{1}{6}i\beta\eta_{ijk}\psi^i\psi^j\psi^k + i\gamma\chi^a J_\psi^a \quad (19)$$

It is understood that the product of several operators at the same point is normal ordered with respect to the modes of these operators. Here α, β, γ are unknown constants. Notice the presence of a term tri-linear in ψ^i . Since T_F must be a scalar under a transformation of H and since the ψ^i anti-commute η_{ijk} must be a totally antisymmetric tensor satisfying

$$T_{ir}^a\eta_{jkr} + T_{kr}^a\eta_{ijr} + T_{jr}^a\eta_{kir} = 0 \quad (20)$$

and

$$T_{ij}^a\eta_{ijk} = 0 \quad (21)$$

We want to show now that it is possible to fix the constants α, β and γ in such a way that T_B and T_F satisfy (15) and (17) and that the central charge is exactly the one corresponding to free fermions. In taking the O.P.E. of T_F with itself one sees that there are two types of dimension two operators which can appear : either the usual current-current terms $J_\psi^a J_\psi^a$ or $J_\chi^a J_\chi^a$ which come in the Sugawara construction of the energy-momentum tensor given any Kac-Moody algebra (this was extensively studied by P.Goddard and D.Olive in [9], see also A.Zamolodchikov and Knizhnik [5]), or terms in four fermions like $\eta_{ijk}\eta_{rst}\psi^i\psi^j\psi^r\psi^s$ and $T_{ij}^a T_{kl}^a\psi^i\psi^j\psi^k\psi^l$. Since these terms are not present in (14) they must appear either in combinations such that they cancel each other or be simply absent. One sees immediately that two

cases are possible depending on whether the tensor η_{ijk} exists or not in the particular representation r we are considering. However we will see that it is not necessary to make an exhaustive study of the representations which do admit such a tensor for different groups since the possible solutions will have a simple geometrical interpretation.

a) In the case where η_{ijk} is absent the above condition imposes the following constraints on the generator T_{ij}^a :

$$T_{ij}^a T_{kl}^a + T_{ki}^a T_{jl}^a + T_{jk}^a T_{il}^a = 0 \quad (22)$$

Then from (17) and (14) one finds

$$\alpha^2 = \gamma^2 = \frac{1}{2(c_\nu + k(r))} \quad (23)$$

Note finally that in this case the energy momentum tensor is

$$T_B(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha}(J_\chi^a J_\chi^a + J_\psi^a J_\psi^a) \quad (24)$$

and that the normalization can be easily checked using the null vector condition of [5] by applying the two sides of this equation on some highest weight vector of the Virasoro and Kac-Moody algebra. Let's stress that this equality is a purely quantum mechanical effect. Also from the equality $\hat{c} = \frac{2}{3}c = \frac{1}{3}(d(r) + D)$ we have the constraint

$$\frac{2c(r)}{c_\nu + k(r)} = 1 \quad (25)$$

which severely restrict the possible representations the ψ^i can be in; but we will return to this later on. The very same constraint (25) comes in the study of P.Goddard and D.Olive [9] through the requirement that the Virasoro algebra associated with the ordinary Kac-Moody algebra (9) be precisely (24).

b) In the second case the constraint (22) is too restrictive. We define:

$$\eta_{ijk}\eta_{ijr} = -\bar{c}(r)\delta_{kr} \quad (26)$$

$$T_{ijkl} = T_{ij}^a T_{kl}^a + T_{ki}^a T_{jl}^a + T_{jk}^a T_{il}^a \quad (27)$$

and

$$N_{ijkl} = \eta_{ijr}\eta_{klr} + \eta_{kjr}\eta_{ilr} + \eta_{jkr}\eta_{ilr} \quad (28)$$

from which follows

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{T} = -c(r) d(r) \bar{c}(r) \quad (29)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} = c(r) d(r) [k(r) + c_\nu - 2c(r)] \quad (30)$$

We will then replace the constraint (22) by

$$\mathbf{N}_{ijkl} = -\lambda \mathbf{T}_{ijkl} \quad (31)$$

and one finds $\lambda = \frac{\bar{c}(r)}{k(r) + c_\nu - 2c(r)}$. Again we determine the constants from (17)

$$\alpha^2 = \lambda \beta^2 = \gamma^2; \quad \alpha^2 = \frac{1}{2(c_\nu + k(r))}; \quad \lambda = \frac{\bar{c}(r)}{c_\nu + k(r) - 2c(r)} \quad (32)$$

So we see that when the constraint (22) or (31) are satisfied the lagrangian (2) is invariant under the superconformal transformation (1) whose generator is given by (19) The transformations of the fermionic fields are

$$\delta_{v_1} \chi^a(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz v_1(z) T_F(z) \chi^a(w) = -i2\alpha [J_\chi^a(w) + J_\psi^a(w)] \quad (33)$$

and

$$\delta_{v_1} \psi^i(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz v_1(z) T_F(z) \psi^i(w) = -i2\alpha [T_{ij}^a \chi^a(w) \psi^j(w) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \eta_{ijk} \psi^j(w) \psi^k(w)] \quad (34)$$

We define the two superfields

$$S^a(z, \theta) = \frac{i}{2\alpha} \chi^a(z) + \theta [J_\psi^a(z) + J_\chi^a(z)] \equiv \frac{i}{2\alpha} \chi^a(z) + \theta J^a(z) \quad (35)$$

$$\Psi^i(z, \theta) = \frac{i}{2\alpha} \psi^i(z) + \theta [T_{ij}^a \chi^a(z) \psi^j(z) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \eta_{ijk} \psi^j(z) \psi^k(z)] \equiv \frac{i}{2\alpha} \psi^i(z) + \theta \phi^i(z) \quad (36)$$

We are now in the position to see the full structure of the super-Kac-Moody algebra by simply taking the operator product of these superfields:

$$S^a(z_1, \theta_1) S^b(z_2, \theta_2) = \frac{k/2}{z_{12}} \delta^{ab} + \frac{\theta_{12}}{z_{12}} f_{abc} S^c(z_2, \theta_2) \quad (37)$$

$$S^a(z_1, \theta_1) \Psi^i(z_2, \theta_2) = \frac{\theta_{12}}{z_{12}} [-T_{ik}^a \Psi^k(z_2, \theta_2)] \quad (38)$$

$$\Psi^i(z_1, \theta_1) \Psi^j(z_2, \theta_2) = \frac{k/2}{z_{12}} \delta^{ij} + \frac{\theta_{12}}{z_{12}} \left[\frac{\eta_{ijk}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \Psi^k(z_2, \theta_2) - T_{ij}^a S^a(z_2, \theta_2) \right] \quad (39)$$

with $k = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} = c_\nu + k(r)$ and where we have used the notation $z_{12} = z_1 - z_2 - \theta_1 \theta_2$ and $\theta_{12} = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. The first of these equations is nothing but the

supersymmetric extension of the Kac-Moody algebra (9), the central charge k being as it should be the sum of the one corresponding to J_ψ^a and J_χ^a since they commute with each other. The second expresses the transformations properties of the Ψ^i field under H . More surprising is the structure of the last equation. Notice that it exhibits the same central charge k and that the Ψ^i play a role very similar to the supercurrents S^a . All this is best understood by looking at the bosonic part of the equations above. If we define $J^a(z) = \sum_n z^{-n-1} J_n^a$ and $\phi^i(z) = \sum_n z^{-n-1} \phi_n^i$ we have for the modes zero denoted by j^a and φ^i respectively :

$$[j^a, j^b] = f_{abc} j^c \quad (40)$$

$$[\varphi^i, \varphi^j] = -T_{ij}^a j^a + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \eta_{ijk} \varphi^k \quad (41)$$

and

$$[j^a, \varphi^i] = -T_{ij}^a \varphi^j \quad (42)$$

Since for all possible combinations of φ^i and j^a the Jacobi identity easily obtains, this is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m}$ of a group $G \supset H$ with $\text{ad}(H)\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}$; j^a and φ^i span $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ respectively and G/H is an homogeneous space. This is well known to correspond to the decomposition of the tangent fields of the principal bundle $G(H, G/H)$ at the identity into its vertical and horizontal components and given the corresponding invariant connection we recognize $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \eta_{ijk}$ as the torsion and $-T_{ij}^a T_{kl}^a$ as the Riemann tensor [14]. The constraint (31) is the Bianchi identity. These identifications provide the geometrical interpretation we were looking for. Specializing to the case where the tensor η_{ijk} is absent is then equivalent to imposing that G/H is a symmetric space [15]. One also recovers the result of [9] that free fermions in the adjoint representation of a compact Lie group are supersymmetric by themselves. As a last remark we would like to stress once more that all this rich structure is the result of imposing supersymmetry.

I would like to thank I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas and C. Kounnas for endless discussions about many of the points discussed in this paper and P. Goddard and D. Olive for discussing their work extensively and making some of their unpublished results available to me as well as for bringing reference [15] to my attention. I am also greatly indebted to S. Shenker and particularly

D.Friedan for many clarifications about conformal field theory. Finally I want to thank T.Banks for a shrewd advice.

This work was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contracts DE-AC03-76SF00098.

References

- [1] V.Kac Math. USSR Izv.2 (1968) 1271
R.Moody Journ. Alg. 10 (1968) 211
V.KAC Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras (Birkhauser,Boston) 1983
- [2] K.Bardakci and M.B.Halpern, Phys.Rev. D3 (1971) 2493
M.B.Halpern,Phys.Rev. D12 (1975) 337
I.B.Frenkel,Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. U.S.A. (1980) 6303
- [3] E.Witten,Comm.Math.Phys 92 (1984) 455
- [4] J.Wess and B.Zumino, Phys.Lett. 37B (1971) 95
- [5] V.G.Knizhnik and A.M.Zamolodchikov, Nucl.Phys. B247 (1984) 83
- [6] D.Friedan ,in Recent Advances in Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics ,Les Houches Session XXXIX,J.B.Zuber and R.Stora eds. (Elsevier) 1984
A.A.Belavin,A.M.Polyakov and A.M.Zamolodchikov, Nucl.Phys. B241 (1984) 1799
- [7] T.Curtright and C.Zachos, Phys.Rev.Lett. 53(1984) 1799
R.Rohm, Princeton Preprint (october 1984)
- [8] P.di Vecchia,V.G.Knizhnik,J.L.Petersen and P.Rossi, Nucl.Phys. b252 (1985) 701
P.Windey, unpublished
- [9] P.Goddard and D.Olive,Nucl.Phys.B
- [10] A.Neveu and J.Schwarz, Nucl.Phys B31 (1971) 86
P.Ramond, Phys.Rev. D3 (1971) 2415
- [11] I.Antoniadis,c.Bachas,C.Kounnas and P.Windey, Slac preprint SLAC-PUB-3789
- [12] M.Virasoro,Phys.Rev. D1 (1970) 2933
- [13] M.A.Bershadsky,V.G.Knizhnik and M.G.teitelman, Phys. Lett 151B (1985) 31
D.Friedan,Z.Qiu and S.Shenker, Phys.Lett. B151 (1985) 37
- [14] see for example S.Kobayashi and K.Nomizu ,Foundations of Differential Geometry (I,II) (Interscience ,New-York) 1969

- [15] This part of our results overlaps with work by P.Goddard,A.Kent and D.Olive (preprint in preparation,private communication) and is a generalization to the supersymmetric case of their observation that the constraint (25) leads to symmetric space see P.Goddard,W.Nahm and D.Olive ,Imperial /TP/84-85/25 (1985).

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

*LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720*