UC Irvine
UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Adiposity and risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate: meta-analysis of individual participant data in a global consortium

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2f1805vc

Authors

Chang, Alex R
Grams, Morgan E
Ballew, Shoshana H
et al.

Publication Date

2019

DOI

10.1136/bmj.k5301

Adiposity and risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate: meta-analysis of individual participant data in a global consortium

Alex R Chang,[1] Morgan E Grams,[2] Shoshana H Ballew,[2] Henk Bilo,[3] Adolfo Correa,[4] Marie Evans,[5] Orlando M Gutierrez,[6,7] Farhad Hosseinpanah,[8] Kunitoshi Iseki,[9,10] Timothy Kenealy,[11] Barbara Klein,[12] Florian Kronenberg,[13] Brian J Lee,[14] Yuanying Li,[15] Katsuyuki Miura,[16] Sankar D Navaneethan,[17] Paul J Roderick,[18] Jose M Valdivielso,[19] Frank L J Visseren,[20] Luxia Zhang,[21] Ron T Gansevoort,[22] Stein I Hallan,[23,24] Andrew S Levey,[25] Kunihiro Matsushita,[2] Varda Shalev,[26] Mark Woodward,[2,27,28] On behalf of the CKD Prognosis Consortium (CKD-PC)

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to: M E Grams, Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium Data Coordinating Center, 2024 E Monument Street, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA ckdpc@jhmi.edu (ORCID 0000-0002-4430-6023)

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE
To evaluate the associations between adiposity measures (body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-to-height ratio) with decline in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and with all cause mortality.

DESIGN
Individual participant data meta-analysis.

SETTING
Cohorts from 40 countries with data collected between 1970 and 2017.

PARTICIPANTS
Adults in 39 general population cohorts (n=5 459 014), of which 21 (n=594 496) had data on waist circumference; six cohorts with high cardiovascular risk (n=84 417); and 18 cohorts with chronic kidney disease (n=91 607).

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES
GFR decline (estimated GFR decline ≥40%, initiation of kidney replacement therapy or estimated GFR <10 mL/min/1.73 m^2) and all cause mortality.

RESULTS
Over a mean follow-up of eight years, 246 607 (5.6%) individuals in the general population cohorts had GFR decline (18 118 (0.4%) end stage kidney disease events) and 782 329 (14.7%) died. Adjusting for age, sex, race, and current smoking, the hazard ratios for GFR decline comparing body mass indices 30, 35, and 40 with body mass index 25 were 1.18 (95% confidence interval 1.09 to 1.27), 1.69 (1.51 to 1.89), and 2.02 (1.80 to 2.27), respectively. Results were similar in all subgroups of estimated GFR. Associations weakened after adjustment for additional comorbidities, with respective hazard ratios of 1.03 (0.95 to 1.11), 1.28 (1.14 to 1.44), and 1.46 (1.28 to 1.67). The association between body mass index and death was J shaped, with the lowest risk at body mass index of 25. In the cohorts with high cardiovascular risk and chronic kidney disease (mean follow-up of six and four years, respectively), risk associations between higher body mass index and GFR decline were weaker than in the general population, and the association between body mass index and death was also J shaped, with the lowest risk between body mass index 25 and 30. In all cohort types, associations between higher waist circumference and higher waist-to-height ratio with GFR decline were similar to that of body mass index; however, increased risk of death was not associated with lower waist circumference or waist-to-height ratio, as was seen with body mass index.

CONCLUSIONS
Elevated body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-to-height ratio are independent risk factors for GFR decline and death in individuals who have normal or reduced levels of estimated GFR.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

Several studies have reported an association between obesity and end stage kidney disease (ESKD), although the magnitude of the association has varied considerably between studies

Some cohort studies of chronic kidney disease (CKD) have suggested that obesity is associated with no increased risk, or paradoxically lower risk of death

Meta-analyses examining the relation between body mass index and CKD have been limited by lack of individual participant data and have not included measures of central adiposity such as waist circumference

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

Obesity is associated with increased risk of GFR decline and mortality in individuals with and without CKD

These findings suggest that worldwide increases in obesity prevalence could lead to future increases in CKD and ESKD prevalence

Introduction

The prevalence of obesity has risen over the past half century, accompanied by increases in the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes.[1][2] Many posit that obesity also heightens the risk for chronic kidney disease (CKD) through adverse effects on blood pressure, insulin resistance, inflammation, and dyslipidaemia, or even directly by altering systemic and glomerular haemodynamics.[3] CKD is a costly condition, both from the treatment of kidney related processes and from the high associated risks of cardiovascular disease and mortality.[4] Thus, understanding the relation and mediators between obesity and kidney disease is critical to inform public health efforts.

Several population based studies have found obesity to be a risk factor for CKD and end stage kidney disease (ESKD), although risk estimates have

varied considerably between studies.[5-10] The disparate findings could relate to differences in population demographics, study era, length of follow-up, statistical adjustment for comorbid conditions, or the definition of ESKD. In addition, the relation between obesity and risk of death remains uncertain in patients with CKD,[11-15] who face increased risk of malnutrition and muscle wasting, potentially limiting the use of body mass index to estimate risk. Other anthropometric measures, such as waist circumference or waist-to-height ratio, could be better prognostic measures in select populations.[11-13 16]

We conducted a global, collaborative meta-analysis of more than five million individuals in 63 cohorts to determine the relation between measures of adiposity and the risk of GFR decline and death, and to explore consistency in associations across cohorts.

Methods

Study design and data sources

The Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium (CKD-PC) was established initially in 2009 (after the conference on Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes Controversies) to provide data to support the definition and staging of CKD. It now includes more than 70 cohorts spanning over 40 countries with data on estimated GFR (eGFR) and clinical outcomes.[17] Periodically, collaborators are invited to vote on topics for research in successive phases; the current work is part of the fourth such phase. We invited cohorts with follow-up data for ESKD, eGFR decline, and mortality to participate in this study. We categorised cohorts as general population, high cardiovascular risk (that is, participants with at least one cardiovascular risk factor), or CKD. Because selection into these three cohorts differed, we conducted separate meta-analyses for each.

A total of 39 general population, six high cardiovascular risk, and 18 CKD cohorts, collecting data between 1970 and 2017, agreed to participate and provided data for this study. We restricted analyses to participants aged 18 years and older, with data on eGFR, and body mass index. We excluded individuals with history of ESKD and those who were underweight (body mass index <18.5), because our objective was to study the association between overweight or obesity and GFR decline. For the GFR decline outcome, we excluded individuals without repeated measurements of serum creatinine. In the general population cohorts, waist circumference and waist-to-height ratio were available in 21 cohorts (n=594 496). Waist circumference and waist-to-height ratio were available in three high cardiovascular risk and six CKD cohorts. The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health institutional review board approved the study.

Exposures and other clinical variables

We measured body mass index by dividing weight by height squared (kg/m^2), and waist-to-height ratio by dividing waist circumference by height (cm/cm). We used serum creatinine values to estimate GFR with the

Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation.[18] Albuminuria was assessed as the urine albumin:creatinine ratio (ACR), but if not available, we substituted other measures (eAppendix 1). Age, sex, and race or ethnicity were self reported. Diabetes was defined by a fasting glucose concentration of 7.0 mmol/L or more, non-fasting glucose concentration of 11.10 mmol/L or more, haemoglobin A1c level of 6.5% or more, self reported diabetes, or use of glucose-lowering drugs. We defined hypertension as systolic blood pressure of 140 mm Hg or more, diastolic blood pressure of 90 mm Hg or more, or use of antihypertensive drugs; or we based the definition on administrative data codes.[19] History of cardiovascular disease was defined by history of myocardial infarction, coronary revascularisation, stroke, or heart failure.

Outcomes

The prespecified primary outcome was GFR decline, which included 40% eGFR decline, eGFR <10 mL/min/1.73 m^2, or ESKD (initiation of kidney replacement therapy), whichever occurred first. Prespecified secondary outcomes included ESKD alone and all cause mortality.

Statistical analysis

We used a two stage analytical approach, whereby each study was analysed separately, allowing for an examination of outliers and bias, and then meta-analysed by random effects models. Most participating cohorts in the CKD-PC transferred individual level participant data to the data coordinating centre at Johns Hopkins University. Cohorts that could not transfer data owing to legal or other logistical reasons were sent standardised code. Summary statistics were then returned to the data coordinating center for examination and meta-analysis.

As an exposure, body mass index was modelled continuously by linear splines with knots at body mass indices of 20, 25, 30, and 35. Waist circumference and waist-to-height ratio were also modelled continuously by linear splines with knots corresponding to those for body mass index (eFigure 1). Because the thresholds of waist circumference used to assess health risks are different by sex,[20] we used sex specific references.

Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals were obtained from Cox regression models adjusted for age, sex, current smoking, and black versus other races. We also prespecified tests for effect modification with body mass index in general population cohorts, including interaction terms for age (< or ≥65 years), sex, black race, baseline hypertension, diabetes, eGFR (<30, 30-59, 60-89, and ≥90 mL/min/1.73 m^2), and albuminuria (ACR <30, 30-299, and ≥300 mg/g). We quantified heterogeneity in meta-analysis using the I^2 statistic, and conducted meta-regressions to examine whether length of follow-up time or calendar year of study explained heterogeneity. Because some studies have found that cardiometabolic risks associated with body mass index occur at lower values for Asians,[21] we

Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of participating study cohorts. Data are mean (standard deviation) or number (%) of individuals

Study	Region	No	Age (years)	No (%) Female	Black	Asian	Current smoking	eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)	Body mass index	WC (cm)	WHtR
General population cohorts											
Aichi	Japan	4802	49 (7)	958 (20)	0	4802 (100)	1386 (29)	100 (13)	23 (3)	—	—
ARIC	US	15 488	55 (6)	8496 (55)	4082 (26)	32 (0)	3993 (26)	102 (16)	28 (5)	97 (14)	0.58 (0.08)
AusDiab	Australia	10 957	52 (14)	5988 (55)	0	0	1689 (16)	86 (17)	27 (5)	91 (14)	0.54 (0.08)
Beaver Dam CKD	US	4787	62 (11)	2667 (56)	1 (0)	12 (0)	939 (20)	79 (18)	28 (5)	—	—
Beijing	China	1505	60 (10)	757 (50)	0	1505 (100)	351 (23)	83 (14)	25 (3)	87 (9)	0.53 (0.06)
ChinaNS	China	44 514	48 (15)	25 329 (57)	0	44 514 (100)	10 613 (24)	101 (18)	24 (3)	81 (10)	0.51 (0.06)
CHS	US	4574	75 (5)	2637 (58)	791 (17)	3 (0)	430 (10)	71 (17)	27 (5)	98 (13)	0.60 (0.08)
CIRCS	Japan	11 425	54 (9)	6952 (61)	0	11 425 (100)	2958 (26)	89 (15)	24 (3)	—	—
COBRA	Pakistan	1163	53 (11)	722 (62)	0	1163 (100)	381 (33)	97 (20)	27 (5)	93 (11)	0.59 (0.07)
ESTHER	Germany	9746	62 (7)	5353 (55)	0	0	1518 (16)	87 (20)	28 (4)	—	—
Framingham	US	2947	59 (10)	1566 (53)	0	0	443 (15)	88 (19)	28 (5)	98 (14)	0.58 (0.08)
Geisinger	US	390 614	48 (18)	220 759 (57)	10 128 (3)	2449 (1)	86 206 (22)	94 (22)	31 (8)	—	—
Gubbio	Italy	1676	54 (6)	926 (55)	0	0	521 (31)	84 (12)	28 (4)	88 (11)	0.55 (0.06)
HUNT	Norway	63 852	50 (17)	33 751 (53)	0	0	18 486 (29)	98 (19)	26 (4)	87 (12)	0.51 (0.07)
IPHS	Japan	93 397	59 (10)	61 592 (66)	0	93 397 (100)	18 040 (19)	86 (14)	24 (3)	—	—
JHS	US	3463	50 (12)	2129 (61)	3463 (100)	0	488 (14)	98 (21)	32 (7)	101 (17)	0.59 (0.10)
JMS	Japan	4905	54 (11)	3119 (64)	0	4905 (100)	1073 (22)	98 (15)	23 (3)	—	—
KHS	South Korea	350 556	46 (10)	130 437 (37)	0	350 556 (100)	86 600 (31)	86 (14)	24 (3)	81 (9)	0.49 (0.05)
Maccabi	Israel	656 640	49 (16)	371 670 (57)	0	0	13 601 (2)	92 (22)	28 (5)	—	—
MESA	US	6710	62 (10)	3538 (53)	1861 (28)	771 (11)	1002 (15)	83 (17)	28 (5)	98 (14)	0.59 (0.09)
MRC	UK	11 965	81 (5)	7215 (60)	0	0	1333 (11)	57 (15)	26 (4)	91 (12)	0.57 (0.07)
Mt Sinai BioMe	US	23 112	51 (15)	13 887 (60)	6096 (26)	557 (2)	3301 (15)	84 (26)	29 (7)	—	—
NHANES	US	58 477	46 (20)	30 184 (52)	13 192 (23)	0	9775 (18)	98 (26)	28 (6)	—	—
NIPPON DATA80	Japan	8847	50 (13)	4942 (56)	0	8847 (100)	2842 (32)	83 (17)	23 (3)	—	—
NIPPON DATA90	Japan	7219	53 (14)	4194 (58)	0	7219 (100)	2040 (28)	94 (17)	23 (3)	—	—
Ohasama	Japan	1595	64 (9)	953 (60)	0	1595 (100)	249 (16)	95 (12)	24 (3)	84 (9)	0.54 (0.06)
Okinawa 83	Japan	8927	51 (15)	5329 (60)	0	8927 (100)	0 (0)	75 (16)	24 (3)	—	—
Okinawa 93	Japan	89 368	55 (15)	51 048 (57)	0	89 368 (100)		77 (17)	24 (3)	—	—
PREVEND	Netherlands	7865	50 (13)	3936 (50)	76 (1)	161 (2)	2646 (34)	96 (16)	26 (4)	89 (13)	0.51 (0.07)
Rancho Bernardo	US	1735	71 (11)	1052 (61)	1 (0)	8 (0)	121 (7)	65 (15)	26 (4)	86 (14)	0.52 (0.07)
RCAV	US	3 018 133	60 (14)	185 581 (6)	516 450 (17)	0		84 (16)	29 (6)	—	—
REGARDS	US	28 469	65 (9)	15 531 (55)	11 657 (41)	0	4044 (14)	85 (20)	29 (6)	96 (15)	0.57 (0.09)
RSIII	Netherlands	3384	57 (7)	1911 (56)	50 (1)	0	907 (27)	86 (14)	28 (5)	94 (20)	0.55 (0.12)
SEED	Singapore	6424	58 (10)	3108 (48)	0	6424 (100)	1805 (28)	86 (19)	25 (4)	—	—
Taiwan MJ	Taiwan	473 863	42 (14)	238 300 (50)	0	473 863 (100)	90 306 (24)	88 (18)	24 (3)	76 (17)	0.46 (0.20)
Takahata	Japan	2272	64 (10)	1268 (56)	0	2272 (100)	389 (17)	98 (12)	24 (3)	—	—
TLGS	Iran	10 212	42 (15)	5718 (56)	0	0	1517 (15)	76 (15)	27 (5)	88 (12)	0.55 (0.08)
Tromso	Norway	7762	60 (10)	4435 (57)	0	0	2527 (33)	93 (13)	26 (4)	90 (11)	0.54 (0.06)
ULSAM	Sweden	1210	50 (1)	0 (0)	0	0	535 (44)	98 (10)	25 (3)	—	—
Subtotal	—	5 459 014	55 (14)	1 470 855 (27)	567 848 (10)	1 112 805 (20)	375 055 (7)	86 (17)	28 (5)	80 (14)	0.49 (0.14)
High cardiovascular risk cohorts											
ADVANCE	Multiple*	11 038	66 (6)	4687 (42)	37 (0)	4189 (38)	1660 (15)	78 (17)	28 (5)	99 (13)	0.60 (0.07)
KP Hawaii	US	29 480	60 (14)	15 043 (51)	0	0		77 (24)	30 (7)	—	—
NZDCS	New Zealand	27 725	61 (14)	13 601 (49)	70 (0)	1755 (6)	4064 (15)	76 (23)	31 (7)	—	—
Pima	US	4015	33 (14)	2356 (59)	0	0	753 (28)	120 (19)	33 (8)	106 (17)	0.64 (0.11)
SMART	Netherlands	10 485	57 (12)	3468 (33)	0	0	3040 (29)	78 (19)	27 (4)	95 (13)	0.54 (0.07)
ZODIAC	Netherlands	1674	67 (12)	931 (56)	0	0	317 (19)	68 (17)	29 (5)	—	—
Subtotal	—	84 417	60 (13)	40 086 (47)	107 (0)	5944 (7)	9834 (12)	79 (22)	30 (7)	98 (14)	0.58 (0.08)
CKD cohorts											
AASK	US	1087	55 (11)	422 (39)	1087 (100)	0 (0)	318 (29)	46 (15)	31 (7)	—	—
BC CKD	Canada	7646	68 (13)	3409 (45)	45 (1)	1676 (22)	420 (12)	34 (16)	29 (6)	—	—
CanPREDDICT	Canada	1643	68 (13)	597 (36)	27 (2)	34 (2)	—	26 (10)	30 (7)	—	—
CARE FOR HOMe	Germany	462	65 (12)	188 (41)	2 (0)	0	47 (10)	48 (18)	30 (5)	104 (14)	0.62 (0.09)
CCF	US	36 018	72 (12)	19 436 (54)	4291 (12)	150 (0)	2723 (8)	48 (12)	29 (6)	—	—
CKD-JAC	Japan	2478	61 (11)	865 (35)	0	2478 (100)	357 (17)	37 (18)	24 (3)	85 (10)	0.53 (0.06)
CRIB	UK	369	61 (14)	128 (35)	22 (6)	24 (7)	46 (12)	22 (11)	27 (5)	96 (14)	0.57 (0.08)
GCKD	Germany	5050	61 (12)	2003 (40)	0	0	803 (16)	49 (18)	30 (6)	104 (16)	0.61 (0.09)
Gonryo	Japan	3352	62 (15)	1574 (47)	0	3352 (100)	—	75 (32)	24 (3)		
MASTERPLAN	Netherlands	671	61 (12)	204 (30)	0	0	139 (21)	36 (15)	27 (4)	99 (13)	0.57 (0.08)
MDRD	US	1771	51 (13)	693 (39)	224 (13)	0	210 (12)	41 (21)	27 (5)	—	—
MMKD	Multiple†	198	47 (12)	67 (34)	0	0	42 (21)	47 (30)	25 (4)	—	—
Nefrona	Spain	1751	60 (12)	655 (37)	4 (0)	3 (0)	344 (20)	32 (14)	29 (5)	99 (12)	0.61 (0.08)
NephroTest	France	1891	59 (15)	610 (32)	244 (13)	0	262 (14)	44 (22)	27 (5)	—	—
PSP-CKD	UK	20 429	74 (11)	12 217 (60)	207 (1)	228 (1)	1969 (15)	51 (13)	29 (6)	—	—

Continued

Table 1 | Continued

Study	Region	No	Age (years)	No (%) Female	Black	Asian	Current smoking	eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)	Body mass index	WC (cm)	WHtR
RENAAL	Multiple‡	1468	60 (7)	541 (37)	224 (15)	237 (16)	263 (18)	39 (12)	30 (6)	—	—
SRR-CKD	Sweden	2463	68 (15)	800 (32)	0	0	—	24 (10)	28 (5)	—	—
Sunnybrook	Canada	2860	63 (17)	1228 (43)	0	0	254 (9)	52 (30)	28 (6)	—	—
Subtotal	—	91607	69 (12)	45637 (50)	6377 (7)	8182 (9)	8197 (9)	46 (16)	29 (6)	98 (14)	0.59 (0.08)
Total	—	5635038	55 (14)	1556578 (28)	574332 (10)	1126931 (20)	393086 (7)	86 (18)	28 (5)	81 (14)	0.49 (0.14)

CKD=chronic kidney disease; eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate; WC=waist circumference; WHtR=waist-to-height ratio. Study acronyms/abbreviations are listed in eAppendix 2 in the supplementary materials.
* Participants are from Australia, Canada, China, Czech Republic, Estonia, France, Germany, Hungary, India, Ireland, Italy, Lithuania, Malaysia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Philippines, Poland, Russia, Slovakia, and United Kingdom.
† Participants are from Austria, Germany, and Italy.
‡ Participants are from Argentina, Austria, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Costa Rica, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Peru, Portugal, Russia, Singapore, Slovakia, Spain, UK, United States, and Venezuela.

also meta-analysed cohorts from Asian and non-Asian countries separately.

We conducted several sensitivity analyses. To address the possibility of bias from reverse causation, or the possibility that non-obese participants had lost weight owing to a condition that also affected risk of eGFR decline, we conducted analyses excluding the first three years of follow-up.[9][22] To assess the independent effect of body mass index over other known risk factors, we also adjusted for systolic blood pressure, baseline eGFR, total cholesterol, diabetes, and history of cardiovascular disease, which could be regarded as mediators in the causal pathway. Lastly, we accounted for competing risk of death using Fine and Gray models.[23] We considered P<0.05 to be statistically significant for all analyses using Stata SE 14.2.

Patient and public involvement

No patients were involved in determining the research question, outcome measures, or study design. There are no plans to involve patients in the dissemination of research findings.

Fig 1 | Association between body mass index and risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate in general population cohorts, as shown by meta-analysed hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals related to body mass index. Association is modelled by linear splines with knots at body mass indices 20, 25, 30, and 35. Circles indicate points with significant differences in risk from the reference point at body mass index 25

Results
Study characteristics

The study included 5459014 participants in 39 general population cohorts, 84417 participants in six high cardiovascular risk cohorts, and 91607 participants in 18 CKD cohorts (table 1; eTable 1). Overall, participants in higher categories of body mass index were more often of black race, more likely to have hypertension, diabetes, and albuminuria, and less likely to be current smokers (eTables 2-5). Cardiometabolic risk factors were more similar across body mass index categories in the high cardiovascular risk and CKD cohorts than general population cohorts. For instance, the difference in mean systolic blood pressure between individuals with body mass indices 35 and 18-24.9 was at least 12 mm Hg in most general population cohorts, whereas the corresponding difference in most high cardiovascular risk and CKD cohorts was less than 6 mm Hg.

Number of events

In the 39 general population cohorts, mean follow-up was eight years (range 6-35), with 246607 (5.6%) GFR decline events, 18118 ESKD events (0.4%), and 782329 (14.7%) deaths (eTable 1). In the six high cardiovascular risk cohorts, mean follow-up was six (2-12) years, with 3344 (6.0%) GFR decline events, 1684 ESKD (2.0%) events, and 14646 (17.3%) deaths. In the 18 CKD cohorts, mean follow-up was four (2-16) years, with 10680 (13.6%) GFR decline events, 8942 ESKD (9.8%) events, and 17322 (18.9%) deaths.

Body mass index and risk of GFR decline in general population cohorts

Higher body mass index (>25) was associated with increased risk of GFR decline in general population cohorts (fig 1). Compared with a body mass index of 25, hazard ratios for body mass indices 30, 35, and 40 were 1.18 (95% confidence interval 1.09 to 1.27), 1.69 (1.51 to 1.89), and 2.02 (1.80 to 2.27), respectively, after adjusting for age, sex, race, and smoking. Lower body mass index (<25) had no association with the risk of GFR decline; the hazard ratio for body mass index 20 versus 25 was 0.92 (0.79 to 1.07). Figure 2 shows hazard ratios for GFR decline at body mass index value of 35 versus 25 for each general population cohort,

Fig 2 | Association between body mass index and risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate in general population cohorts, as shown by hazard ratios in individual studies at body mass index 35 versus 25, sorted by average follow-up time (shortest to longest). Study acronyms/abbreviations are listed in eAppendix 2 in the supplementary materials

showing considerable heterogeneity, which was not explained by cohort follow-up time (P=0.43 from meta-regression) or median cohort baseline year (P=0.72 from meta-regression; eFigure 2).

Results were similar in sensitivity analyses excluding the first three years of follow-up, using ESKD as the sole outcome, and accounting for the competing risk of death (eFigures 3A-C). Additional adjustment for systolic blood pressure, eGFR, diabetes, total cholesterol, and history of cardiovascular disease attenuated the GFR decline risk associated with higher body mass index, compared with the main model (eFigure 3D). Compared with a body mass index of 25, adjusted hazard ratios for body mass indices 30, 35, and 40 were 1.03 (95% confidence interval 0.95 to 1.11), 1.28 (1.14 to 1.44), and 1.46 (1.28 to 1.67), respectively.

Subgroup analyses in general population cohorts

A higher body mass index was associated with an increased risk of GFR decline among all subgroups of eGFR, although risk tended to increase at body mass index of about 30 for eGFR lower than 30 mL/min/1.73 m^2 (fig 3). In models stratified by baseline eGFR, hazard ratios for body mass index 35 versus 25 for eGFR categories of 90 or more, 60-89, 30-59, and less than 30 mL/min/1.73 m^2 were 1.71 (95% confidence interval 1.45 to 2.02), 1.88 (1.69 to 2.08), 1.78 (1.36 to 2.34), and 1.88 (1.61 to 2.18), respectively (all P≥0.1 for interaction). Body mass index less than 25 was associated with increased risk of GFR decline in the eGFR subgroups with less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m^2, but not in those with higher eGFR levels.

The risk associations between body mass index and GFR decline were generally similar in subgroups, with some exceptions. Body mass index lower than 25 was associated with increased risk of GFR decline only for men, individuals with diabetes, and individuals with an ACR of at least 300 mg/g. The risk gradient of GFR decline associated with higher body mass index was steeper in Asian cohorts than in non-Asian cohorts, and more shallow in individuals with hypertension, diabetes, or ACR of at least 300 mg/g than in individuals without those conditions (fig 3, eFigure 4).

Body mass index and risk of GFR decline in high cardiovascular risk cohorts

In cohorts with high cardiovascular risk cohorts, body mass index was not significantly associated with the risk of GFR decline (fig 4), although there was significant heterogeneity (fig 5). After excluding the first three years of follow-up, higher body mass index was associated with increased risk of GFR decline in the remaining 21 212 participants, with a hazard ratio for body mass index 35 versus 25 of 1.46 (95% confidence interval 1.06 to 2.02; eFigure 5A). Results using ESKD as the outcome, accounting for the competing risk of death and adjusting for potential mediators, were similar to those of the primary analysis (eFigures 5B-D).

Body mass index and risk of GFR decline in CKD cohorts

Cohorts with CKD had a J shaped association between body mass index and risk of GFR decline (fig 6), but there was significant heterogeneity in risk estimates (fig 7). Compared with body mass index at 25, the hazard ratio of GFR decline risk for body mass indices 35 and 20 were 1.17 (95% confidence interval 1.04 to 1.31) and 1.25 (1.07 to 1.46), respectively. After excluding the first three years of follow-up, the association between higher body mass index and risk of GFR decline was magnified among the 19 477 remaining participants (body mass index 35 v 25, hazard ratio 1.75, 95% confidence interval 1.30 to 2.37), whereas the association between low body mass index and risk of GFR decline was no longer significant (body mass index 20 v 25, 0.88, 0.53 to 1.46; eFigure 6A).

Fig 3 | Body mass index interactions with risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate in general population cohorts, by estimated GFR (eGFR) category, sex, diabetes status, and Asian ethnicity. Meta-analysed hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals are related to body mass index, modelled by linear splines with knots at body mass indices of 20, 25, 30, and 35 (reference is body mass index 25 in each category)

In sensitivity analyses using ESKD as the outcome, findings were similar to the primary analysis (eFigure 6B). Associations between higher body mass index and risk of GFR decline were attenuated after accounting for competing risk of death, or after adjusting for potential mediators (body mass index 35 v 25, 1.04, 0.93 to 1.18; eFigures 6C-D).

Central adiposity measures and risk of GFR decline

In the general population cohorts, relations between waist circumference and waist-to-height ratio with GFR decline were close to being linear (fig 8). Compared with waist circumference 92 cm in men and 78 cm in women, hazard ratios of GFR decline risk were 1.50 (95% confidence interval 1.27 to 1.76) and 0.86 (0.71 to 1.03) for waist circumferences 112 cm/98 cm and 82 cm/68 cm, respectively. Compared with waist-to-height ratio 0.5, hazard ratios were 1.49 (1.25 to 1.78) and 0.44 was 0.97 (0.82 to 1.16) for waist-to-height ratios 0.62 and 0.44, respectively (fig 8).

In high cardiovascular risk cohorts, neither waist circumference or waist-to-height ratio was associated with GFR decline risk, similar to the associations observed with body mass index (eFigure 7A-B). In CKD cohorts, higher waist circumference and waist-to-height ratio were weakly associated with increased risk of GFR decline (eFigure 7C-D). Compared with a waist circumference of 92 cm in men and 78 cm in women, the hazard ratio was 1.32 (95% confidence interval 0.96 to 1.83) for higher circumferences of 112 cm and 98 cm, and 0.75 (0.49 to 1.15) for lower circumferences of 82 cm and 68 cm. Compared with a waist-to-height ratio of 0.5, the hazard ratio was 1.42

Fig 4 | Association of body mass index with risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate in high cardiovascular risk cohorts, as shown by meta-analysed hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals related to body mass index, modelled by linear splines with knots at body mass indices 20, 25, 30, and 35. Circles indicate points with significant differences in risk from the reference point at body mass index 25

Fig 5 | Association of body mass index with risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate in high cardiovascular risk cohorts, as shown by hazard ratios in individual studies at body mass index 35 versus 25, sorted by average follow-up time (shortest to longest). Study acronyms/abbreviations are listed in eAppendix 2 in the supplementary materials

(1.10 to 1.83) for a waist-to-height ratio of 0.62, and 1.30 (0.84 to 2.0) for a waist-to-height ratio of 0.44.

Adiposity measures and risk of death by level of GFR

In general population cohorts, higher body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-to-height ratio were all associated with risk of death (eFigure 8). By contrast, only lower body mass index was associated with higher mortality. Associations between body mass index and death were qualitatively similar across eGFR groups in general population cohorts (eFigure 9). In models stratified by baseline eGFR, hazard ratios for body mass index 35 versus 25 were 1.45 (95% confidence interval 1.21 to 1.74), 1.36 (1.23 to 1.51), 1.31 (1.17 to 1.46), and 1.33 (1.21 to 1.46) for eGFR subgroups of 90 or more, 60-89, 30-

Fig 6 | Association of body mass index with risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate in cohorts with chronic kidney disease, as shown by meta-analysed hazard ratios and 95% confidence interval related to body mass index, modelled by linear splines with knots at body mass indices 20, 25, 30, and 35. Circles indicate points with significant differences in risk from the reference point at body mass index 25

59, and less than 30 mL/min/1.73 m^2, respectively (all P values for interaction ≥0.05). The association between higher body mass index and risk of death was similar in sensitivity analyses excluding the first three years of follow-up, and when adjusted for potential mediators (body mass index 35 v 25; 1.21, 1.10 to 1.34; eFigure 10).

In high cardiovascular risk cohorts, higher body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-to-height ratio were all associated with increased risk of death, although not significantly so for waist-to-height ratio (eFigure 11). Hazard ratios for body mass indices of 35 and 20 versus 25 were 1.20 (95% confidence interval 1.02 to 1.41) and 1.47 (1.23 to 1.78), respectively. Results were similar after excluding the first three years of follow-up, and slightly attenuated when adjusted for potential mediators (body mass index 35 v 25; 1.15, 1.00 to 1.34; eFigure 12).

In CKD cohorts, higher body mass index and higher waist circumference were associated with mortality risk; waist-to-height ratio was not significantly associated with mortality risk, although only three of the six CKD cohorts were included in this analysis (eFigure 13). For body mass index, the risks of death associated with body mass indices of 35 and 20 versus 25 were hazard ratios 1.17 (95% confidence interval 1.01 to 1.37) and 1.49 (1.25 to 1.77), respectively. After excluding the first three years of follow-up, the association between higher body mass index and risk of death in CKD cohorts was stronger (body mass index 35 v 25; hazard ratio 1.48, 1.31 to 1.68; eFigure 14A). The association between higher body mass index and risk of death in CKD cohorts was attenuated when adjusted for potential mediators (body mass index 35 v 25; hazard ratio 1.01, 0.90 to 1.14; eFigure 14B).

Discussion

Principal findings

In this global meta-analysis of over five million adults, we found that body mass index over 25 was associated with increased risk of GFR decline during a mean follow-up of eight years. In the general population, body mass indices of 30, 35, and 40 were associated with 18%, 69%, and 102% increased risk of GFR decline, respectively, compared with body mass index 25. The association between elevated body mass index and GFR decline risk was qualitatively similar in subgroups by age, sex, race, level of GFR, hypertension, and diabetes status, although the magnitude of risk was lower in individuals with diabetes and higher in Asian cohorts. Adjustment for potential mediators attenuated the association between body mass index and GFR decline, although body mass indices of 35 and 40 remained associated with 28% and 46% increased risk of GFR decline, compared with body mass index 25. Associations between body mass index and GFR decline were notably weaker in the high cardiovascular risk and CKD cohorts. Findings were largely consistent in analyses using waist circumference or waist-to-height ratio.

Fig 7 | Association of body mass index with risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate in cohorts with chronic kidney disease, as shown by hazard ratios in individual studies at body mass index 35 v 25, sorted by average follow-up time (shortest to longest). Study acronyms/abbreviations are listed in eAppendix 2 in the supplementary materials

Strengths and limitations of study

Although our analysis is the largest study to our knowledge to examine the association between adiposity and kidney outcomes, some caution should be advised in interpreting our findings. We used only one baseline measurement of body mass index, and changes in weight due to disease that occurred before cohort entry could introduce bias, potentially weakening associations between higher body mass index and adverse outcomes (that is, reverse causality). This concept could explain the weaker association in high risk cohorts, or the difference may be due in part to collider bias. For example, if obesity increases the risk for cardiovascular disease, then other risk factors, some of which are unmeasured, can be expected to be greater in individuals with cardiovascular disease who are not obese.[24] Alternatively, the weaker association in high risk cohorts could signify the lack of an independent effect of body mass index on kidney outcomes in high risk individuals. We were unable to fully adjust for risk factors such as glycated haemoglobin, diabetes duration, or drug treatments, which could contribute to the association between obesity and GFR decline. Other filtration markers such as cystatin C were not available to estimate GFR[25];

however, our results were consistent in analyses using ESKD alone as the outcome. We lacked uniform data on trends in blood pressure control, and thus could not examine whether heterogeneity was explained by differences in treatment intensity. Lastly, we were unable to investigate whether the obesity associated decline in GFR was due to obesity itself or its determinants (eg, diet, physical activity).

Comparison with other studies

The observed risk associations in our meta-analysis between elevated body mass index and GFR decline were weaker than what had been observed in two large cohorts with longer follow-up intervals.[5 6] For example, in a study of 320 252 adults in an integrated health system with body mass index measured between 1964-1985 and 15-35 years of follow-up, risk of ESKD was 2.6, 5.1, and 6.1-fold higher for body mass index ranges 30-34.9, 35-39.9, and 40 or more, respectively, compared with range 18.5-24.9.[5] However, these two older studies could not be included in this meta-analysis.[5 6] Our findings accord with contemporary general population studies with shorter follow-up intervals.[7-10] Neither follow-up time nor calendar year explained heterogeneity in the association between higher body mass index and GFR decline in our study, but we had relatively few cohorts with data from before the 1980s.

We speculate that differences in metabolic health profiles and management of obesity related conditions could explain heterogeneity in study findings.[26] For example, while prevalence of obesity and diabetes increased in the United Kingdom from 2003 to 2009-10 and in Norway from 1995-97 to 2006-08, prevalence of CKD in these countries decreased (UK) or remained stable (Norway).[27 28] These discordant trends could be partly explained by simultaneous improvements in blood pressure control in both countries.

Our study confirms a possible advantage of using waist circumference over body mass index in assessing mortality risk in cohorts with CKD.[12 29 30] Both elevated body mass index and waist circumference were associated with increased mortality risk, but no increased risk of death was observed at low waist circumference, unlike body mass index. Thus, assessment of central obesity should be considered in situations where mortality risk prognostication affects management decisions (eg, kidney transplant candidacy).[31] Reverse causation might also partly explain null or paradoxical relations between elevated body mass index and risk of death reported in previous studies of CKD cohorts,[11 14] because after excluding the first three years of follow-up in the meta-analysis of our CKD cohorts, higher body mass index was more strongly associated with death. Alternatively, obesity could confer short term protective effects in individuals at risk for malnutrition, such as those with advanced CKD or ESKD.[30] While post hoc analyses of Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) suggest a beneficial effect on preventing or slowing progression of CKD in individuals with diabetes, further research

Fig 8 | Association of waist circumference and waist-to-height ratio with risk of decline in glomerular filtration rate in general population cohorts, as shown by meta-analysed hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Circles indicate points with significant differences in risk from the reference point (sex specific reference point for waist circumference (92 cm for men (M), 78 cm for women (F)); common reference point 0.5 cm/m for waist-to-height ratio)

is needed to determine the effects of weight loss in patients with CKD.[32]

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

[1]Kidney Health Research Institute, and Department of Epidemiology and Health Services Research, Geisinger Health System, Danville, PA, USA

[2]Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

[3]Diabetes Centre, Isala, and Department of Internal Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

[4]Department of Medicine, University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS, USA

[5]Division of Renal Medicine, CLINTEC, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden and Swedish Renal Registry, Jönköping, Sweden

[6]Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

[7]Department of Epidemiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

[8]Obesity Research Center, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

[9]Dialysis Unit, University of the Ryukyus Hospital, Nishihara, Japan

[10]Yuuaikai Tomishiro Central Hospital, Tomigusuku, Okinawa, Japan

[11]Departments of Medicine and General Practice & Primary Health Care, School of Population Health, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

[12]Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

[13]Division of Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Medical Genetics, Molecular and Clinical Pharmacology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

[14]Kaiser Permanente, Hawaii Region, Moanalua Medical Center, Honolulu, HI, USA

[15]Department of Public Health, Fujita Health University School of Medicine, Aichi, Japan

[16]Department of Public Health, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Japan

[17]Section of Nephrology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

[18]Primary Care and Population Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

[19]Vascular and Renal Translational Research Group, REDinREN del ISCIII, IRBLleida, Lleida, Spain

[20]Department of Vascular Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands

[21]Peking University Institute of Nephrology, Division of Nephrology, Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China

[22]Department of Nephrology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

[23]Department of Cancer Research and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Norwegian University of Science Technology, Trondheim, Norway

[24]Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, St Olav University Hospital, Trondheim, Norway

[25]Division of Nephrology at Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

[26]Medical Division, Maccabi Healthcare Services, and Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

[27]George Institute for Global Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

[28]George Institute for Global Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

Hiroyasu Iso, Kazumasa Yamagishi, Mitsumasa Umesawa, Isao Muraki; CKD-JAC: Masafumi Fukagawa, Shoichi Maruyama, Takayuki Hamano, Takeshi Hasegawa, Naohiko Fujii; COBRA: Tazeen Jafar, Juanita Hatcher, Neil Poulter, Nish Chaturvedi; CRIB: David Wheeler, John Emberson, John Townend, Martin Landray; ESTHER: Hermann Brenner, Ben Schöttker, Kai-Uwe Saum, Dietrich Rothenbacher; Framingham: Caroline Fox, Shih-Jen Hwang; GCKD: Anna Köttgen, Florian Kronenberg, Markus P Schneider; Kai-Uwe Eckardt; Geisinger: Jamie Green, H Lester Kirchner, Alex R Chang; Gonryo: Sadayoshi Ito, Mariko Miyazaki, Masaaki Nakayama, Gen Yamada; Gubbio: Massimo Cirillo; HUNT: Stein Hallan, Solfrid Romundstad, Marius Øvrehus, Knut Asbjørn Langlo; IPHS: Fujiko Irie, Toshimi Sairenchi; JHS: Adolfo Correa, Casey M Rebholz, Bessie Young, L Ebony Boulware; JMS: Shizukiyo Ishikawa, Yuichiro Yano, Kazuhiko Kotani, Takeshi Nakamura; KHS: Sun Ha Jee, Heejin Kimm, Yejin Mok; KP Hawaii: Brian J Lee; Maccabi: Gabriel Chodick, Varda Shalev; MASTERPLAN: Jack F M Wetzels, Peter J Blankestijn, Arjan D van Zuilen, M Bots; MDRD: Mark Sarnak, Lesley Inker; MESA: Michael Shlipak, Mark Sarnak, Ronit Katz, Carmen Peralta; MMKD: Florian Kronenberg, Barbara Kollerits, Eberhard Ritz; MRC: Dorothea Nitsch, Paul Roderick, Astrid Fletcher; Mt Sinai BioMe: Erwin Bottinger, Girish N Nadkarni, Stephen B Ellis, Rajiv Nadukuru; Nefrona: Jose M Valdivielso, Elvira Fernandez, Angels Betriu, Marcelino Bermudez-Lopez; NephroTest: Benedicte Stengel, Marie Metzger, Martin Flamant, Pascal Houillier, Jean-Philippe Haymann, Marc Froissart; NHANES: Yingying Sang; NIPPON DATA80: Hirotsugu Ueshima, Akira Okayama, Katsuyuki Miura, Sachiko Tanaka; NIPPON DATA90: Hirotsugu Ueshima, Tomonori Okamura, Katsuyuki Miura, Sachiko Tanaka; NZDCS: Timothy Kenealy, C Raina Elley, John F Collins, Paul L Drury; Ohasama: Takayoshi Ohkubo, Kei Asayama, Hirohito Metoki, Masahiro Kikuya, Masaaki Nakayama; Okinawa 83/93: Kunitoshi Iseki, Chiho Iseki; Pima: Robert G Nelson, William C Knowler; PREVEND: Ron T Gansevoort, Stephan J L Bakker, Hiddo J L Heerspink; PSP-CKD: Nigel Brunskill, Rupert Major, David Shepherd, James Medcalf; Rancho Bernardo: Simerjot K Jassal, Jaclyn Bergstrom, Joachim H Ix, Elizabeth Barrett-Connor; RCAV: Csaba Kovesdy, Kamyar Kalantar-Zadeh; Keiichi Sumida; REGARDS: Paul Muntner, David Warnock, Suzanne Judd, Bhupesh Panwar; RENAAL: Hiddo J L Heerspink, Dick de Zeeuw, Barry Brenner; RSIII: Sanaz Sedaghat, M Arfan Ikram, Ewout J Hoorn, Abbas Dehghan; SEED: Tien Yin Wong, Charumathi Sabanayagam, Ching-Yu Cheng, Riswana Banu Binte Mohamed Abdul Sokor; SMART: Frank L J Visseren; SRR-CKD: Marie Evans, Mårten Segelmark, Maria Stendahl, Staffan Schön; Sunnybrook: Navdeep Tangri, Maneesh Sud, David Naimark; Taiwan MJ: Chi-Pang Wen, Chwen-Keng Tsao, Min-Kugng Tsai, Chien-Hua Chen; Takahata: Tsuneo Konta, Atsushi Hirayama, Kazunobu Ichikawa; TLGS: Farhad Hosseinpanah, Farzad Hadaegh, Mohammadhassan Mirbolouk, Fereidoun Azizi; Tromso: Marit Dahl Solbu, Trond Geir Jenssen, Bjørn Odvar Eriksen, Anne Elise Eggen; ULSAM: Lars Lannfelt, Anders Larsson, Johan Ärnlöv; ZODIAC: Henk J G Bilo, Gijs W D Landman, Kornelis J J van Hateren, Nanne Kleefstra.

CKD-PC steering committee: Josef Coresh (chair), Ron T Gansevoort, Morgan E Grams, Stein Hallan, Csaba P Kovesdy, Andrew S Levey, Kunihiro Matsushita, Varda Shalev, Mark Woodward.

CKD-PC data coordinating centre: Shoshana H Ballew (assistant project director), Jingsha Chen (programmer), Josef Coresh (principal investigator), Morgan E Grams (director of nephrology initiatives), Lucia Kwak (programmer), Kunihiro Matsushita (director), Yingying Sang (lead programmer), Aditya Surapaneni (programmer), Mark Woodward (senior statistician).

Some of the data reported here were supplied by the United States Renal Data System (USRDS). The interpretation and reporting of these data are the responsibility of the authors and in no way should be seen as an official policy or interpretation of the US government. The views expressed in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; National Institutes of Health; or US Department of Health and Human Services.

1   Ng M, Fleming T, Robinson M, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2014;384:766-81. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60460-8.

2   Forouzanfar MH, Liu P, Roth GA, et al. Global Burden of Hypertension and Systolic Blood Pressure of at Least 110 to 115 mm Hg, 1990-2015. *JAMA* 2017;317:165-82. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.19043.

3   Whaley-Connell A, Sowers JR. Obesity and kidney disease: from population to basic science and the search for new therapeutic targets. *Kidney Int* 2017;92:313-23. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2016.12.034.

4   United States Renal Data System. *2017 USRDS annual data report: Epidemiology of kidney disease in the United States.* National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, 2017.

5   Hsu CY, McCulloch CE, Iribarren C, Darbinian J, Go AS. Body mass index and risk for end-stage renal disease. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;144:21-8.

6   Vivante A, Golan E, Tzur D, et al. Body mass index in 1.2 million adolescents and risk for end-stage renal disease. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:1644-50. doi:10.1001/2013.jamainternmed.85.

7   Stengel B, Tarver-Carr ME, Powe NR, Eberhardt MS, Brancati FL. Lifestyle factors, obesity and the risk of chronic kidney disease. *Epidemiology* 2003;14:479-87. doi:10.1097/01.EDE.0000071413.55296.c4.

8   Iseki K, Ikemiya Y, Kinjo K, Inoue T, Iseki C, Takishita S. Body mass index and the risk of development of end-stage renal disease in a screened cohort[doi]. *Kidney Int* 2004;65:1870-6. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1755.2004.00582.x.

9   Herrington WG, Smith M, Bankhead C, et al. Body-mass index and risk of advanced chronic kidney disease: Prospective analyses from a primary care cohort of 1.4 million adults in England. *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0173515. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173515.

10 Garofalo C, Borrelli S, Minutolo R, Chiodini P, De Nicola L, Conte G. A systematic review and meta-analysis suggests obesity predicts onset of chronic kidney disease in the general population. *Kidney Int* 2017;91:1224-35. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2016.12.013.

11 Kovesdy CP, Anderson JE, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Paradoxical association between body mass index and mortality in men with CKD not yet on dialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2007;49:581-91.

12 Kramer H, Shoham D, McClure LA, et al. Association of waist circumference and body mass index with all-cause mortality in CKD: The REGARDS (Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke) Study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2011;58:177-85.

13 Lu JL, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Ma JZ, Quarles LD, Kovesdy CP. Association of body mass index with outcomes in patients with CKD. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2014;25:2088-96. doi:10.1681/ASN.2013070754.

14 Ladhani M, Craig JC, Irving M, Clayton PA, Wong G. Obesity and the risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2017;32:439-49.

15 Ahmadi SF, Zahmatkesh G, Ahmadi E, et al. Association of body mass index with clinical outcomes in non-dialysis-dependent chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiorenal Med* 2015;6:37-49. doi:10.1159/000437277.

16 Evans PD, McIntyre NJ, Fluck RJ, McIntyre CW, Taal MW. Anthropomorphic measurements that include central fat distribution are more closely related with key risk factors than BMI in CKD stage 3. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e34699. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034699.

17 Matsushita K, Ballew SH, Astor BC, et al, Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium. Cohort profile: the chronic kidney disease prognosis consortium. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;42:1660-8. doi:10.1093/ije/dys173.

18 Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al, CKD-EPI (Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration). A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;150:604-12. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-150-9-200905050-00006

19 Quan H, Khan N, Hemmelgarn BR, et al, Hypertension Outcome and Surveillance Team of the Canadian Hypertension Education Programs. Validation of a case definition to define hypertension using administrative data. *Hypertension* 2009;54:1423-8. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.109.139279

20 World Health Organization (WHO). Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation. WHO Technical Report Series 894. WHO Technical Report Series 894. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2000.

21 Expert Consultation WHO, WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet* 2004;363:157-63. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15268-3.

22 Di Angelantonio E, Bhupathiraju ShN, Wormser D, et al, Global BMI Mortality Collaboration. Body-mass index and all-cause mortality: individual-participant-data meta-analysis of 239 prospective studies in four continents. *Lancet* 2016;388:776-86. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30175-1.

23 Fine JP, Gray RJ. A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk. *J Am Stat Assoc* 1999;94:496-509. doi:10.1080/01621459.1999.10474144.

24 Lajous M, Banack HR, Kaufman JS, Hernán MA. Should patients with chronic disease be told to gain weight? The obesity paradox and selection bias. *Am J Med* 2015;128:334-6. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.10.043.

25 Malkina A, Katz R, Shlipak MG, et al. Association of obesity and kidney function decline among non-diabetic adults with eGFR › 60 ml/min/1.73m²: results from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Open J Endocr Metab Dis* 2013;3:103-12. doi:10.4236/ojemd.2013.32016

26 Chang AR, Surapaneni A, Kirchner HL, et al. Metabolically healthy obesity and risk of kidney function decline. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2018;26:762-8. doi:10.1002/oby.22134.

27 Aitken GR, Roderick PJ, Fraser S, et al. Change in prevalence of chronic kidney disease in England over time: comparison of nationally representative cross-sectional surveys from 2003 to 2010. *BMJ Open* 2014;4:e005480. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005480.

28 Hallan SI, Øvrehus MA, Romundstad S, et al. Long-term trends in the prevalence of chronic kidney disease and the influence of cardiovascular risk factors in Norway. *Kidney Int* 2016;90:665-73. doi:10.1016/j.kint.2016.04.012.

29 Postorino M, Marino C, Tripepi G, Zoccali C, CREDIT (Calabria Registry of Dialysis and Transplantation) Working Group. Abdominal obesity and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in end-stage renal disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;53:1265-72.

30 Kalantar-Zadeh K, Rhee CM, Chou J, et al. The obesity paradox in kidney disease: how to reconcile it with obesity management. *Kidney Int Rep* 2017;2:271-81. doi:10.1016/j.ekir.2017.01.009.

31 Kovesdy CP, Czira ME, Rudas A, et al. Body mass index, waist circumference and mortality in kidney transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2010;10:2644-51. doi:10.1111/j.1600-6143.2010.03330.x.

32 Look AHEAD Research Group. Effect of a long-term behavioural weight loss intervention on nephropathy in overweight or obese adults with type 2 diabetes: a secondary analysis of the Look AHEAD randomised clinical trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2014;2:801-9. doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(14)70156-1.

Web appendix: Supplementary materials