Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

BASIS SET DEPENDENCE OF AB INITIO GEOMETRY PREDICTIONS FOR AB₄,. MOLECULES

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2fz20459>

Author

Ungemach, Steven R.

Publication Date

1975-11-01

0 0 0 0 4 4 0 7 0 2 1
Submitted to Chemical Physics Letters

LBL-4523
Preprint c. |

BASIS SET DEPENDENCE OF AB INITIO
GEOMETRY PREDICTIONS FOR AB₄ MOLECULES

Steven R. Ungemach and Henry F. Schaefer III

November 1975

Prepared for the U. S. Energy Research and
Development Administration under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

LBL-4523
c. |

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Basis Set Dependence of Ab Initio Geometry Predictions
for AB_4 Molecules

Steven R. Ungemach and Henry F. Schaefer III

Department of Chemistry and Materials and Molecular Research Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory,* University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

Theoretical predictions of AB_4 molecular structures are very sensitive to choice of basis set. This has been previously demonstrated for the SH_4 and SF_4 molecules. Here it is shown that while both minimum and double zeta basis sets predict ClF_4^+ to have a C_{4v} structure, the addition of d functions on Cl results in a C_{2v} geometry, similar to the experimentally known structure of SF_4 .

* Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

-1-

One of the most impressive successes of single-configuration molecular orbital theory has been the reliable prediction of molecular structures.¹⁻⁴ Experience shows that in the large majority of cases, the use of a minimum basis set (MBS) is adequate for qualitatively correct geometry predictions. Further, double zeta (DZ) basis sets¹ generally provide predictions approaching quantitative accuracy. The number of cases in which the addition of polarization functions has been shown to qualitatively alter a double zeta geometry prediction has been virtually nil until recently.

Notable exceptions to the above stated guidelines are AB_4 molecules. In particular it is becoming apparent that the differentiation between square pyramidal (C_{4v}) and "detached octahedral" (C_{2v} , visualized by removing two adjacent B atoms from an octahedral AB_6 species) is treacherous. The first hint of this problem was the report by Guest, Hall, and Hillier⁵ that ClF_4^+ is predicted to be square pyramidal using an MBS. Since the isoelectronic SF_4 molecule is known⁶ to have a detached octahedral structure, this prediction was considered tenuous.⁷ In an attempt to clarify this situation Ungemach and Schaefer⁸ carried out calculations on ClF_4^+ with a larger basis set. However, in addition to verifying Guest's MBS results, they found a similar C_{4v} prediction using a double zeta basis. This greatly strengthened the possibility that ClF_4^+ might have a qualitatively different geometry from that of SF_4 .

To further explore the relationship between these two isoelectronic species, Radom and Schaefer⁹ performed geometry optimizations on SF_4 .

itself. They found an erroneous C_{4v} prediction using an MBS, but a qualitatively correct C_{2v} geometry using the more reliable DZ basis. Their results were particularly encouraging since they demonstrated for at least one AB_4 case that polarization functions (which are quite costly to employ) were unnecessary.

Unfortunately, for another AB_4 molecule, SH_4 , it has very recently been shown that polarization functions do affect the predicted structure. Using a slightly better than double zeta basis set, Schwenzer and Schaefer¹⁰ predicted a C_{2v} equilibrium geometry for SH_4 ; C_{4v} structures were found to lie only slightly higher in energy.¹¹ However, when Gleiter and Veillard¹² optimized the structure of SH_4 using a basis including polarization functions, a C_{4v} prediction emerged. Furthermore, their basis set is sufficiently large that it appears clear that at the Hartree-Fock limit (a complete basis set of one-electron functions) SH_4 is a square pyramidal molecule.

The purpose of the present paper is to report a geometry optimization for ClF_4^+ in which d functions on Cl have been included in the basis set.¹³ These results are summarized in the Table, where it is seen that the d functions result in a rather sizeable lowering of the total energy. Our own feeling is that this finding should be credited more to the number of fluorine ligands (ClF_4^+ is a hypervalent¹⁴ molecule) than any special role of "unfilled 3d orbitals". Nevertheless, it is clear from the Table that the inclusion of d functions changes the geometry prediction from C_{4v} to C_{2v} . The latter result of course is the more satisfying, since it is predicted from Walsh's rules and the known

-3-

structure of SF_4 . Equally, important, the C_{2v} prediction is consistent with the vibrational¹⁶ and ^{19}F NMR¹⁷ spectra of ClF_4^+ .

Several other points are worthy of mention. First, the Cl polarization functions reduce (with respect to the double zeta calculation) the predicted Cl-F bond distance by a full 0.15 Å for the C_{4v} form. Second the predicted C_{2v} bond distances are quite close to those hypothesized by Christe and Sawodny:¹⁶ $r_{\text{ax}} = 1.66$ Å, $r_{\text{eq}} = 1.59$ Å. Third the C_{2v} form is predicted to lie only 2.1 kcal/mole below the C_{4v} form, but this energy difference could increase by as much as 5 kcal/mole if additional polarization functions were added to the basis set.

Our general conclusion is that the ab initio prediction of the structures of AB_4 molecules is a dangerous profession. Specifically, these predictions are much more sensitive to basis set size than are comparable predictions for more conventional molecules. Whenever possible, the use of basis sets of at least double zeta plus polarization quality is strongly recommended.

This research was carried out in conjunction with the Berkeley Harris Corporation Slash Four minicomputer, supported by the National Science Foundation, Grants GP-39317 and GP-41509X.

References

1. H. F. Schaefer, The Electronic Structure of Atoms and Molecules: A Survey of Rigorous Quantum Mechanical Results (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1972).
2. J. A. Pople, in Computational Methods for Large Molecules and Localized States in Solids, editors F. Herman, A. D. McLean, and R. K. Nesbet, page 11 (Plenum, New York, 1973).
3. H. F. Schaefer, in Critical Evaluation of Chemical and Physical Structural Information, editor D. R. Lide, page 591 (National Academy of Sciences, Washington, 1974).
4. J. A. Pople, in Modern Theoretical Chemistry, editor H. F. Schaefer (Plenum, New York, 1976).
5. M. F. Guest, M. B. Hall, and I. H. Hillier, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. II, 1829 (1973).
6. W. M. Tolles and W. D. Gwinn, J. Chem. Phys. 36, 1119 (1962).
7. A. D. Walsh, J. Chem. Soc. 2260 (1953); and the following five papers in the same journal.
8. S. R. Ungemach and H. F. Schaefer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 98, 0000 (1976).
9. L. Radom and H. F. Schaefer, Aust. J. Chem. 28, 2069 (1975).
10. G. M. Schwenzer and H. F. Schaefer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 97, 1393 (1975).
11. For example, the C_{2v} equilibrium total energy was -399.5753 hartrees, while that at the C_{4v} equilibrium geometry of Gleiter and Veillard (following reference) was -399.5731 hartrees.

12. R. Gleiter and A. Veillard, Chem. Phys. Letters, in press.
13. The original double zeta basis set was of the type $Cl(12s\ 9p/6s\ 4p)$, described by T. H. Dunning, Chem. Phys. Letters 7, 423 (1970). A set of six d-like functions (with gaussian orbital exponent $\alpha = 0.6$) was added. Based on previous experience,¹ d functions on the fluorine atom were assumed to be much less important and hence omitted.
14. J. I. Musher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Eng. 8, 54 (1969).
15. D. P. Craig, A. Moccoll, R. S. Nyholm, L. E. Orgel, and L. E. Sutton, J. Chem. Soc. (London) 332 (1954).
16. K. O. Christe and D. Pilipovich, Inorg. Chem. 8, 391 (1969);
K. O. Christe and W. Sawodny, Inorg. Chem. 12, 2879 (1973).
16. K. O. Christe, J. F. Hon, and D. Pilipovich, Inorg. Chem. 12, 84 (1973).

Table. Theoretical results for ClF_4^+ . In all calculations a
(9s 5p/4s 2p) basis set was used for fluorine.

Assumed Structure	Chlorine Basis Set	E (hartrees)	r_{ax}° (Å)	r_{eq}° (Å)	θ_{ax}	θ_{eq}
$\text{C}_{4\text{v}}$	(12s 9p/6s 4p)	-856.2678	1.76	1.76	143.5	143.5
$\text{C}_{2\text{v}}$	(12s 9p/6s 4p)	a	a	a	a	a
$\text{C}_{4\text{v}}$	(12s 9p 1d/6s 4p 1d)	-856.4325	1.61	1.61	144.2	144.2
$\text{C}_{2\text{v}}$	(12s 9p 1d/6s 4p 1d)	-856.4359	1.63	1.57	169.6	109.7

^a There is no minimum here; the $\text{C}_{2\text{v}}$ geometry collapses to the more stable $\text{C}_{4\text{v}}$ equilibrium geometry.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720