UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Epidemiology and potential preventative measures for viral infections in children with malignancy and those undergoing hematopoietic cell transplantation

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2g16927c

Journal

Pediatric Blood & Cancer, 59(1)

ISSN

1545-5009

Authors

Fisher, Brian T Alexander, Sarah Dvorak, Christopher C et al.

Publication Date

2012-07-15

DOI

10.1002/pbc.23417

Peer reviewed

REVIEW

Epidemiology and Potential Preventative Measures for Viral Infections in Children With Malignancy and Those Undergoing Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation

Brian T. Fisher, DO, MSCE, 1* Sarah Alexander, MD, 2 Christopher C. Dvorak, MD, 3 Theoklis E. Zaoutis, MD, MSCE, 1 Danielle M. Zerr, MD, MPH, 4 and Lillian Sung, MD, PhD 2

In pediatric patients with malignancy and those receiving hematopoietic stem cell transplants, bacterial and fungal infections have been the focus of fever and neutropenia episodes for decades. However, improved diagnostic capabilities have revealed viral pathogens as a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. Because of limited effective antiviral therapies, prevention of viral infections is paramount. Pre-exposure and post-exposure prophylaxis and

antiviral suppressive therapeutic approaches are reviewed. Additionally, infection control practices specific to this patient population are discussed. A comprehensive approach utilizing each of these can be effective at reducing the negative impact of viral infections. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2012;59:11–15.

© 2011 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: pediatrics; viral infections; malignancy; stem cell transplantation

INTRODUCTION

Almost 30 years ago, Pizzo et al. [1] published their landmark study which prospectively evaluated 1,001 pediatric and young adult cancer patients presenting with fever. Bacterial and fungal organisms accounted for over 96% of microbiologically documented infection during febrile neutropenia. Since then, research efforts have largely focused on strategies to reduce or prevent the morbidity and mortality related to infections caused by bacterial and fungal pathogens. However, the advent and clinical availability of an array of sensitive and specific diagnostic tools have afforded clinicians and researchers the opportunity to identify previously undetected viral pathogens. More recent literature has linked as many as 34% of fever and neutropenia episodes to a viral pathogen [2]. Significant morbidity and mortality has been attributed to viruses that cause a variety of presentations either as primary or reactivation infections.

For the majority of clinically important viral pathogens in oncology patients, treatment of active infection is limited by a lack of effective antiviral therapies and the host's compromised immune system. Therefore, preventative and suppressive therapeutic measures are of paramount importance. The epidemiology and relevance of some of the more common viral pathogens in children with malignancy and those undergoing hematopoietic cell transplant (HCT) are reviewed below. Some of the commonly employed preventative and suppressive measures to combat these viral pathogens are discussed and necessary areas for future development in viral prevention are highlighted.

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF VIRAL INFECTIONS

The list of viral pathogens that have led to significant infections in pediatric patients with malignancy or those undergoing HCT is lengthy [3]. The growth of this list is multi-factorial including improved diagnostic modalities to identify previously existing but unrecognized viral pathogens (e.g., human metapneumovirus (HMPV)) as well as previously identified viruses that were thought to be inconsequential but are now considered as important contributors to poor outcomes (e.g., human herpes virus (HHV-6). The epidemiology of common respiratory, herpes, and gastrointestinal viruses are briefly discussed below.

RESPIRATORY VIRUSES

Three relatively large prospective observational studies performed comprehensive respiratory viral testing on children presenting with malignancy and fever [4-6]. The incidence of identified respiratory viral pathogens per febrile episode ranged from 7% to 59%. The variation in frequency of identified pathogens is related to variation in the diagnostic tests utilized, the specimen type collected, and the implications for testing (screening vs. symptom guided testing). Across the three studies, the more commonly identified viral organisms included rhinovirus, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), parainfluenza, influenza, and adenovirus. Less frequently HMPV, human bocavirus, and coronavirus were also identified. Although less data exist in HCT recipients, one recent prospective study showed that with surveillance PCR testing, 50% of patients receiving an allogeneic HCT have a positive test for a primary respiratory pathogen [7]. The distribution of viral isolates was similar to that of children with malignancy. Among the respiratory pathogens, RSV is of particular concern for resultant mortality in high-risk patients. In immunocompetent patients, RSV is often a self-limiting upper respiratory infection. However, in patients with AML and HCT recipients RSV can progress to a lower respiratory tract process. In this setting RSV is associated with a 14% case fatality rate in patients with AML and a 50% case fatality rate in pediatric recipients of HCT [8,9].

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; ²Division of Hematology/ Oncology, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ³Division of Pediatric Blood & Marrow Transplant, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California; ⁴Division of Infectious Diseases, Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, Washington

Grant sponsor: Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

Conflict of interest: Nothing to declare

*Correspondence to: Brian T. Fisher, DO, MSCE, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, 34th Street and Civic Center Boulevard, CHOP North Room 1515, Philadelphia, PA 19104.

E-mail: fisherbria@email.chop.edu

Received 11 October 2011; Accepted 11 October 2011

ADENOVIRUS

As noted above adenovirus is a commonly identified respiratory pathogen. However, adenovirus can also reactivate from latent status and cause significant morbidity and mortality in pediatric HCT recipients [10]. Adenovirus infection rates have ranged from 4.9% to 41% with invasive disease rates ranging from 1% to 17%. A number of these studies suggest that the rates of adenovirus infection in pediatric HCT recipients are higher than those in the adult population [11–16]. Case fatality rates of adenovirus infection in which the death was directly attributable to adenovirus range from 8% to 17% [11,13,14,17].

HERPES VIRUSES

Although primary herpes virus infections are possible, it is their ability to reactivate from latency that results in the majority of the herpes virus morbidity and mortality in children with malignancy or those receiving HCT. Prospective surveillance testing has detected CMV reactivation in 12.9-29% of pediatric allogeneic HCT recipients [16,18,19]. Despite pre-emptive therapy as many as one-third of patients with CMV reactivation go on to have CMV disease and between 33% and 75% of these patients succumb to their CMV disease [18,19]. Without acyclovir suppressive therapy, HSV reactivation is frequent in HSV seropositive adult HCT recipients (70-80%) and in adult leukemia patients (50-60%) [20-23]. HSV reactivation seems to be less frequent in children but can complicate episodes of fever and neutropenia and prolong mucositis [24]. Similarly, herpes zoster reactivation is common in pediatric HCT recipients (30-33% with 11% of these going on to dissemination) and in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) (9-28%) [25-28]. Prospective surveillance of pediatric allogeneic HCT recipients suggest that greater than 60% will have evidence of EBV reactivation [29]. Of those with reactivation 1.0-2.8% will develop post-HCT-PTLD which is associated with a mortality rate greater than 80% [29,30].

HHV-6 has gained significant attention as a concerning reactivation virus in HCT recipients. When prospectively monitored by serial plasma PCR testing, up to 67% of pediatric allogeneic HCT recipients will have evidence of HHV-6 viremia [31]. Although the extent to which HHV-6 impacts the post-transplant period is not clear, HHV-6 has been implicated in various clinical complications: neurocognitive decline, encephalitis, delay in engraftment, and secondary graft failure [32–34]. Complicating the interpretation of positive PCR testing for HHV-6 is the potential for inherited HHV-6 secondary to chromosomal integration. Inherited HHV-6 is estimated to exist in 1% of the world's population. When present, inherited HHV-6 can be misinterpreted as actual HHV-6 reactivation resulting in unnecessary medical interventions [35].

GASTROINTESTINAL VIRUSES

Traditionally, testing for primary stool viral pathogens has been limited to antigen tests for rotavirus, and enteric adenovirus serotypes 40 and 41. Specific incidence data for each of these viruses in children with malignancy or those receiving HCT are not available in the medical literature. Nonetheless the impact of such infections relative to dehydration, prolonged hospital stays, and persistent symptoms with the need for parenteral nutrition has been well documented [36]. PCR testing has now made

identification of other gastrointestinal pathogens such as human caliciviruses (norovirus and sapovirus) and astrovirus possible. As with other gastrointestinal pathogens, symptoms are often more pronounced and viral shedding prolonged in patients receiving chemotherapy or those undergoing an HCT [37,38].

PREVENTATIVE MEASURES

Infection Control Measures

Infection control measures serve as a cornerstone of infection prevention. These efforts are particularly important with regards to primary respiratory and gastrointestinal viruses as many of these pathogens have been linked to a nosocomial outbreak in an oncology or HCT ward [39–44]. Arguably the most important infection control component is maintaining healthcare worker (HCW) compliance with hand hygiene. The World Health Organization has proposed recommendations for appropriate hand hygiene technique [45]. Unfortunately, physicians working on oncology and pediatric intensive care units have been found to have less than 60% compliance with appropriate hand hygiene practices [46].

Attempts should also be made to reduce the potential for HCWs to carry viral pathogens into the hospital. HCW compliance with yearly influenza vaccine has been very poor [47]. Although heavily debated [48,49], mandatory influenza vaccination for HCWs has been successfully enacted and reasonably well received at a large children's hospital [47]. It is also necessary that medical institutions maintain policies that prevent HCWs from coming to work when symptomatic from a communicable disease. In one survey of HCWs, 86% of those reporting a recent respiratory infection stated that they provided patient care after their symptoms had started [50]. Guidelines on work restriction in this setting have been published and should be enforced [51]. Finally, patient visitors, both children and adults, can serve as a reservoir for viral transmission to hospitalized children. Although literature documenting the effectiveness of such screening practices is limited, it is reasonable to employ a policy by which visitors are screened for symptoms consistent with an infectious process and if present, are restricted from visitation.

Combining each of these infection control practices can ultimately result in the reduction of nosocomial viral infections. Therefore, collaboration with an infection control team can prove be invaluable. For example, a comprehensive infection control initiative has proven to be successful in reducing nosocomial RSV infection in an HCT unit [52].

Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis

Vaccination is the most effective approach for pre-exposure prophylaxis. Although a number of vaccines are live virus vaccines and thus contraindicated in immunocompromised children, it is still important to encourage families to maintain compliance with vaccine recommendations in all close family contacts. This practice is referred to as "cocooning" which can help to provide protection around the more vulnerable immunocompromised patient [53]. Oral poliovirus vaccine (no longer administered in the US) is the only live virus vaccine with a definitive contraindication for administration to household contacts of immunocompromised patients. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices offers the suggestion that close contacts of patients

with "severely weakened immune systems being cared for in a protective environment" should not receive the live attenuated nasal spray influenza vaccine (LAIV) [54], however this suggestion is not supported by published data or the biology of the vaccine. In actuality the LAIV may be superior to the trivalent inactivated vaccine in preventing the transmission of wild-type influenza virus from close contacts to immunocompromised patients. In LAIV recipients local production of mucosal IgA antibodies neutralize wild type virus at its portal of entry thus limiting the potential for shedding of wild type virus. Additionally, LAIV provides some protection against circulating influenza strains not included in the vaccine. Furthermore, fear of transmission and subsequent infection from the attenuated and coldadapted vaccine virus is unfounded, as the LAIV virus cannot replicate at core body temperatures [55]. Given this, it is not at all surprising that there have been no cases of documented infection from the attenuated virus in close contacts of LAIV recipients.

Vaccines available against the aforementioned viral pathogens include influenza, varicella, and rotavirus. Of these, only the former is routinely recommended for children with malignancy or those undergoing HCT. Clearly, the immune status of the patient at the time of vaccination will have a significant impact on that patient's response to the influenza vaccine but seroconversion in children receiving chemotherapy is possible [56,57]. Additionally, influenza vaccination of children that recently completed chemotherapy has been shown to reduce in the rate of respiratory tract infections, hospitalization, and antibiotics [58,59]. Despite the universal recommendation for influenza vaccination, only two-thirds of pediatric oncologists recommend the vaccine to their patients [60]. It is never unsafe to administer the inactivated influenza vaccine and thus it should be administered to all children prior to the start of the influenza season. Even in children with previously noted egg allergy, influenza vaccination can be safely performed [61].

Although the varicella vaccine is a live attenuated vaccine, there are multiple publications documenting the safety and effectiveness of the vaccine after administration to children in remission for ALL with or without suspension of maintenance chemotherapy [62,63]. However, the recommendation to hold chemotherapy around the time of vaccination and the report of a fatal event in a child with ALL after varicella vaccination has raised concern about administering the vaccine routinely to patients with ALL [64]. As for HCT recipients, it is safe to administer live attenuated varicella vaccination when various parameters are met (off all immunosuppressive agents, documented response to inactive vaccines, and demonstration of an adequate absolute lymphocyte count and lymphocyte function) [65]. Two small clinical trials have evaluated the efficacy of heat inactivated varicella vaccine in three or four dose schedules during the peri-transplant period among adult autologous and allogeneic HCT recipients [66,67]. In a meta-analysis of the two studies, there was a trend toward reduced herpes zoster infection [58,68]. Unfortunately, heat inactivated varicella vaccine is not currently available.

Two rotavirus vaccines (live, oral human-bovine pentavalent vaccine, and the oral live attenuated monovalent vaccine) are currently licensed in the United States. To date no data on the safety or efficacy of either vaccine exist in children with malignancy or those receiving HCT [69]. Therefore, neither can be recommended for this patient population.

Post-Exposure Prophylaxis

Post-exposure prophylaxis is primarily limited to influenza and varicella exposures. The efficacy of influenza chemoprophylaxis in healthy household contacts of index influenza cases has been well established in randomized trials [70,71]. Although randomized trials specific to immunocompromised patients have not been performed, CDC recommendations support post-exposure chemoprophylaxis after close (face-to-face) exposure in an unvaccinated patient [72]. Various regimens have been suggested, but typically such prophylaxis should begin within 48 h and be continued for 10 days. The choice of the antiviral agent used should be guided by the current year's influenza sensitivity profile as reported by the CDC. Influenza vaccine should also be administered to the exposed individual.

Recommendations for varicella post-exposure prophylaxis are provided in the 2009 Redbook: Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases [73]. Patients without a history of varicella or unknown or negative varicella serologies and receiving chemotherapy for malignancy or recipients of HCT are considered at risk for varicella infection after a true exposure. The definition of "true" exposure can be challenging but close face-to-face contact with someone who has chicken pox or intimate contact with someone who has herpes zoster are often considered real exposures. Passive immunoprophylaxis within 96 h should be established by administering Varicella Zoster Immunoglobulin (VariZIG). VariZIG is available for routine use in Canada but in the United States it must be administered via an investigational new drug protocol from FFF Enterprises (800-843-7477; www. fffenterprises.com/Products/VariZIG.aspx). If VariZIG is not available conventional intravenous immunoglobulin can be substituted. If 96 h from the time of exposure has elapsed then immunoprophylaxis will be less effective. In this setting chemoprophylaxis with acyclovir started 7–10 days after the exposure and continued for 7 days can be administered. Fisher et al. [74] recently reviewed the available data for the effectiveness of both of these post-exposure approaches in immunocompetent and immunocompromised children. There are reasonably strong data to support the effectiveness of immunoglobulin in reducing the risk of severe disease in immunocompromised children. The data for the effectiveness of acyclovir chemoprophylaxis in immunocompromised children are limited to case reports and small case series. However, this approach has been effective in exposed immunocompetent children and thus it is considered a reasonable secondary option in immunocompromised hosts [74].

Passive immunoprophylaxis (both pre-exposure and post-exposure) against RSV for immunocompromised patients with the monoclonal antibody palivizumab (Synagis), has been explored but the data are limited. A study of rats immunosuppressed with 3 weeks of cyclophosphamide and exposed to RSV suggested that respiratory viral replication can be reduced when palivizumab is administered on the day prior to RSV inoculation [75]. More recently, a decision tree mathematical model utilizing estimated rates of RSV exposures, hospitalizations, and deaths in pediatric HCT recipients concluded that monthly palivizumab administration to HCT recipients would result in a 10% decrease in mortality [76]. Although these data are compelling, the number of assumptions that were made to execute the decision tree analysis makes it difficult to recommend this intervention for all pediatric patients undergoing an HCT. Clearly, additional research

14 Fisher et al.

is necessary to better define the benefits of palivizumab for passive prophylaxis in HCT recipients.

Suppressive Therapy

Data from clinical trials have supported the efficacy of prophylaxis with ganciclovir compared to placebo in CMV seropositive HCT recipients [77]. However, neutropenia was a noted risk in those receiving ganciclovir. In comparing ganciclovir to a pre-emptive therapeutic approach, there was no difference in the rate of CMV disease or death [78]. Additionally, foscarnet has also been suggested in the peri-transplant period for high-risk patients due to a decreased incidence of bone marrow suppression. No clear consensus exists and published adult recommendations have supported either the prophylactic or pre-emptive approach in higher-risk HCT recipients (e.g., seropositive recipient or donor, T-cell depleted transplant, or mismatched donor) [78-80]. Although CMV disease has been reported in children receiving chemotherapy for various malignancies, CMV prophylaxis in this patient population is typically not recommended [81].

In controlled trials, acyclovir prophylaxis has proven effective in various adult HCT and malignancy patient populations [22,23,82]. Given the high rate of HSV reactivation without suppressive therapy, most adult guidelines support the administration of acyclovir prophylaxis to HSV seropositive allogeneic HCT recipients until engraftment and resolution of mucositis [80,83,84]. A similar approach is suggested for HSV seropositive pediatric HCT recipients. It has also been deemed reasonable to administer acyclovir prophylaxis to adult and pediatric patients with leukemia and receiving chemotherapy [81,84]. However, monitoring for and treating clinically apparent breakthrough HSV infections seems more prudent in non-HCT pediatric patients as the frequency of HSV reactivation is likely much less in children. In patients with frequent HSV recurrences prolonged acyclovir prophylaxis should be discussed with the family.

Combined pediatric and adult trials have demonstrated the benefit of acyclovir prophylaxis at reducing herpes zoster episodes in VZV seropositive HCT recipient [85,86]. Based on these data, more recently published guidelines support the administration of acyclovir to VZV serology positive allogeneic HCT recipients for up to 1 year [84]. Although herpes zoster infections are common in children with ALL, currently there are no recommendations for prophylaxis in these patients as the time at risk is quite prolonged. Instead clinical monitoring and treatment of herpes zoster episodes is suggested. Acyclovir prophylaxis in patients with ALL with two or more herpes zoster episodes should be considered at least for the duration of chemotherapy exposure.

Data on the prophylactic use of antivirals directed at suppressing the reactivation of adenovirus are limited. In 2006, an abstract presentation at the European Study Group for Blood and Bone Marrow Transplantations suggested that ribavirin prophylaxis may be beneficial at reducing adenovirus infection and mortality in pediatric HCT recipients versus historical controls [87]. These data have yet to be published and currently most experts support using cidofovir in a pre-emptive therapeutic approach, especially among high-risk HCT recipients [88].

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

We have entered an era where advanced and commonly available diagnostic modalities have made it possible to identify the Pediatr Blood Cancer DOI 10.1002/pbc

presence of many viral pathogens. This technology has helped to establish the significant impact that viral infections are having on the morbidity and mortality of children with malignancy and those receiving HCT. Despite this knowledge, there continues to be a paucity of effective interventions available to prevent or suppress these infections. Research efforts should focus on discovering novel therapies that are both effective at preventing and treating infection and that also have an attractive safety profile. However, even when effective antiviral agents or vaccines are available there is often a lack of data and consensus for best practice recommendations. Therefore, at this time, establishment of rigorous and consistent guidelines for both infection control measures and prophylactic therapies may be the most effective way to reduce the impact of viral pathogens. Such guidelines should be formally proposed, evaluated and appropriately adjusted by committees composed of experts representing the disciplines of oncology, infectious diseases, and infection control and prevention.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Portions of this review were presented at the American Society of Pediatric Hematology Oncology (ASPHO) Annual Meeting in Baltimore, MD on April 15th, 2011. B.F., S.A., C.C.D., T.E.Z., and D.M.Z. have nothing to disclose. L.S. is supported by a New Investigator Award from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pizzo PA, Robichaud KJ, Wesley R, et al. Fever in the pediatric and young adult patient with cancer. A prospective study of 1001 episodes. Medicine (Baltimore) 1982;61:153-165.
- 2. Hakim H, Flynn PM, Knapp KM, et al. Etiology and clinical course of febrile neutropenia in children with cancer, J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2009;31:623-629
- 3. Simon A, Schildgen O, Schuster F. Viral infections in paediatric patients receiving conventional cancer chemotherapy. Arch Dis Child 2008;93:880-889.
- 4. Arola M, Ruuskanen O, Ziegler T, et al. Respiratory virus infections during anticancer treatment in children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1995;14:690-694.
- 5. Christensen MS, Nielsen LP, Hasle H. Few but severe viral infections in children with cancer A prospective RT-PCR and PCR-based 12-month study. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2005;45:945-951.
- 6. Koskenvuo M, Mottonen M, Rahiala J, et al. Respiratory viral infections in children with leukemia Pediatr Infect Dis J 2008:27:974-980.
- 7. Versluys AB, Rossen JW, van Ewijk B, et al. Strong association between respiratory viral infection early after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and the development of life-threatening acute and chronic alloimmune lung syndromes. Biol Blood Marrow Transpl 2010;16:782-791.
- 8. El Saleeby, CM, Somes GW, DeVincenzo JP, et al. Risk factors for severe respiratory syncytial virus disease in children with cancer: The importance of lymphopenia and young age. Pediatrics 2008:121:235-243
- 9. Sung L, Lange BJ, Gerbing RB, et al. Microbiologically documented infections and infection-related mortality in children with acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2007;110:3532-3539
- 10. Veltrop-Duits LA, van Vreeswijk T, Heemskerk B, et al. High titers of pre-existing adenovirus serotype-specific neutralizing antibodies in the host predict viral reactivation after allogeneic stem cell transplantation in children. Clin Infect Dis 1405;52:1405-1413.
- 11. Baldwin A, Kingman H, Darville M, et al. Outcome and clinical course of 100 patients with adenovirus infection following bone marrow transplantation. Bone Marrow Transpl 2000;26:1333-1338
- 12. Flomenberg P, Babbitt J, Drobyski WR, et al. Increasing incidence of adenovirus disease in bone marrow transplant recipients. J Infect Dis 1994;169:775-781
- 13. Hale GA, Heslop HE, Krance RA, et al. Adenovirus infection after pediatric bone marrow transplantation. Bone Marrow Transpl 1999;23:277-282. 14. Howard DS, Phillips IG, Reece DE, et al. Adenovirus infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant
- 15. Kampmann B, Cubitt D, Walls T, et al. Improved outcome for children with disseminated adenoviral
- infection following allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Br J Haematol 2005;130:595-603. Morfin F, Boucher A, Najioullah F, et al. Cytomegalovirus and adenovirus infections and diseases
- among 75 paediatric unrelated allogeneic bone marrow transplant recipients. J Med Virol 2004;72: 17. Hoffman JA, Shah AJ, Ross LA, et al. Adenoviral infections and a prospective trial of cidofovir in
- pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Biology of blood and marrow transplantation. J Am Soc Blood Marrow Transpl 2001;7:388–394. 18. Behrendt CE, Rosenthal J, Bolotin E, et al. Donor and recipient CMV serostatus and outcome of pediatric allogeneic HSCT for acute leukemia in the era of CMV-preemptive therapy. Biol Blood
- Marrow Transpl 2009;15:54-60. Matthes-Martin S, Lion T, Aberle SW, et al. Pre-emptive treatment of CMV DNAemia in paediatric stem cell transplantation: The impact of recipient and donor CMV serostatus on the incidence of CMV
- disease and CMV-related mortality. Bone Marrow Transpl 2003;31:803-808. 20. Greenberg MS, Friedman H, Cohen SG, et al. A comparative study of herpes simplex infections in
- renal transplant and leukemic patients. J Infect Dis 1987;156:280-287.

- 21. Meyers JD, Flournoy N, Thomas ED. Infection with herpes simplex virus and cell-mediated immunity
- after marrow transplant. J Infect Dis 1980;142:338–346.
 Saral R, Ambinder RF, Burns WH, et al. Acyclovir prophylaxis against herpes simplex virus infection in patients with leukemia. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Ann Intern Med 1983:99:773-776.
- Saral R, Burns WH, Laskin OL, et al. Acyclovir prophylaxis of herpes-simplex-virus infections. N Engl J Med 1981;305:63-67.
- 24. Ramphal R, Grant RM, Dzolganovski B, et al. Herpes simplex virus in febrile neutropenic children undergoing chemotherapy for cancer: A prospective cohort study. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2007;26:700-
- Kawasaki H, Takayama J, Ohira M. Herpes zoster infection after bone marrow transplantation in 25. children. J Pediatr 1996;128:353-356.
- 26. Leung TF, Chik KW, Li CK, et al. Incidence, risk factors and outcome of varicella-zoster virus infection in children after haematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transpl 2000;25:167-172
- Poulsen A, Schmiegelow K, Yssing M. Varicella zoster infections in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Hematol Oncol 1996;13:231-238.
- Sorensen GV, Rosthoj S, Wurtz M, et al. The epidemiology of herpes zoster in 226 children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2011;57:993-997.
- Cesaro S, Pegoraro A, Tridello G, et al. A prospective study on modulation of immunosuppression for Epstein-Barr virus reactivation in pediatric patients who underwent unrelated hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation. Transplantation 1533;89:1533–1540.
- Ocheni S, Kroeger N, Zabelina T, et al. EBV reactivation and post transplant lymphoproliferative
- disorders following allogeneic SCT. Bone Marrow Transpl 2008;42:181–186.

 31. de Pagter PJ, Schuurman R, Visscher H, et al. Human herpes virus 6 plasma DNA positivity after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children: An important risk factor for clinical outcome. Biol Blood Marrow Transpl 2008;14:831-839.
- Chevallier P, Hebia-Fellah I, Planche L, et al. Human herpes virus 6 infection is a hallmark of cord blood transplant in adults and may participate to delayed engraftment: A comparison with matched
- unrelated donors as stem cell source. Bone Marrow Transpl 1204;45:1204–1211.

 33. Lagadinou ED, Marangos M, Liga M, et al. Human herpesvirus 6-related pure red cell aplasia, secondary graft failure, and clinical severe immune suppression after allogeneic hematopoietic cell
- transplantation successfully treated with foscarnet. Transpl Infect Dis 2010;12:437–440.

 34. Zerr DM, Fann JR, Breiger D, et al. HHV-6 reactivation and its effect on delirium and cognitive functioning in hematopoietic cell transplantation recipients. Blood 5243;117:5243-5249.
- Morissette G. Flamand L. Herpesviruses and chromosomal integration. J Virol 1210:84:12100–12109.
- Rayani A, Bode U, Habas E, et al. Rotavirus infections in paediatric oncology patients: A matchedpairs analysis. Scand J Gastroenterol 2007;42:81-87.
- 37. Ludwig A, Adams O, Laws HJ, et al. Quantitative detection of norovirus excretion in pediatric patients with cancer and prolonged gastroenteritis and shedding of norovirus. J Med Virol 2008;80:1461-1467.
- Sebire NJ, Malone M, Shah N, et al. Pathology of astrovirus associated diarrhoea in a paediatric bone marrow transplant recipient. J Clin Pathol 2004;57:1001-1003.
- Chironna M, Tafuri S, Santoro N, et al. A nosocomial outbreak of 2009 pandemic influenza A(H1N1) in a paediatric oncology ward in Italy. Euro Surveill 2009;15:pii:19454
- Gunawan S, Linardi P, Tawaluyan K, et al. Varicella outbreak in a pediatric oncology ward: The
- Manado experience. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2010;11:289-292. Kassis C. Champlin RE. Hachem RY, et al. Detection and control of a nosocomial respiratory syncytial virus outbreak in a stem cell transplantation unit: The role of palivizumab. Biol Blood Marrow Transpl
- 1265:16:1265-1271. 42. Kim S, Sung H, Im HJ, et al. Molecular epidemiological investigation of a nosocomial outbreak of human metapneumovirus infection in a pediatric hemato-oncology patient population. J Clin Microbiol
- 2009-47-1221-1224 Rogers M, Weinstock DM, Eagan J, et al. Rotavirus outbreak on a pediatric oncology floor: Possible
- association with toys. Am J Infect Control 2000;28:378-380. 44. Simon A, Schildgen O, Maria Eis-Hubinger, A, et al. Norovirus outbreak in a pediatric oncology unit.
- Scand J Gastroenterol 2006;41:693-699. Organization WH. WHO guidelines on hand hygiene in health care, 2009: Geneva (World Health
- Organization). Siegel JH, Korniewicz DM. Keeping patients safe: An interventional hand hygiene study at an
- oncology center. Clin J Oncol Nurs 2007;11:643-646. 47. Feemster KA, Prasad P, Smith MJ, et al. Employee designation and health care worker support of an
- influenza vaccine mandate at a large pediatric tertiary care hospital. Vaccine 1762;29:1762-1769. 48 Helms CM, Polgreen PM. Should influenza immunisation be mandatory for healthcare workers? Yes.
- BMJ 2008;337:a2142.
- Isaacs D, Leask J. Should influenza immunisation be mandatory for healthcare workers? No. BMJ 2008:337:a2140
- LaVela S, Goldstein B, Smith B, et al. Working with symptoms of a respiratory infection: Staff who care for high-risk individuals. Am J Infect Control 2007;35:448-4454.
- 51. Bolyard EA, Tablan OC, Williams WW, et al. Guideline for infection control in healthcare personnel, 1998. Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1998;19:407-463.
- Lavergne V, Ghannoum M, Weiss K, et al. Successful prevention of respiratory syncytial virus nosocomial transmission following an enhanced seasonal infection control program. Bone Marrow
- Transpl 2011;46:137–142.
 Crawford NW, Bines JE, Royle J, et al. Optimizing immunization in pediatric special risk groups. Expert Rev Vaccines 2011;10:175-186.
- Practices ACoI. 2011; htm#pass-viruses. Accessed. Tosh PK, Boyce TG, Poland GA. Flu myths: Dispelling the myths associated with live attenuated influenza vaccine. Mayo Clinic Proc Mayo Clinic 2008;83:77-84.
- 56. Porter CC, Edwards KM, Zhu Y, et al. Immune responses to influenza immunization in children receiving maintenance chemotherapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2004;42:36-40.

- 57. Reilly A, Kersun LS, McDonald K, et al. The efficacy of influenza vaccination in a pediatric oncology opulation, J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 32:e177-e181.
- Cheuk DK, Chiang AK, Lee TL, et al. Vaccines for prophylaxis of viral infections in patients with hematological malignancies. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011;16:CD006505.
- Esposito S, Cecinati V, Scicchitano B, et al. Impact of influenza-like illness and effectiveness of influenza vaccination in oncohematological children who have completed cancer therapy. Vaccine 2010;28:1558-1565.
- Porter CC, Poehling KA, Hamilton R, et al. Influenza immunization practices among pediatric oncologists. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol 2003;25:134-138.
- 61. Howe LE, Conlon AS, Greenhawt MJ, et al. Safe administration of seasonal influenza vaccine to children with egg allergy of all severities. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol 2011;106:446-447
- Ha K, Baba K, Ikeda T, et al. Application of live varicella vaccine to children with acute leukemia or other malignancies without suspension of anticancer therapy. Pediatrics 1980;65:346-350.
- Hardy I, Gershon AA, Steinberg SP, et al. The incidence of zoster after immunization with live attenuated varicella vaccine. A study in children with leukemia. Varicella Vaccine Collaborative Study Group. N Engl J Med 1991;325:1545-1550.
- Caniza MA, Hunger SP, Schrauder A, et al. The controversy of varicella vaccination in children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2010.
- 65. Kussmaul SC, Horn BN, Dvorak CC, et al. Safety of the live, attenuated varicella vaccine in pediatric recipients of hematopoietic SCTs. Bone Marrow Transpl 1602;45:1602-1606.
- Hata A, Asanuma H, Rinki M, et al. Use of an inactivated varicella vaccine in recipients of hemato-poietic-cell transplants. N Engl J Med 2002;347:26–34.
- Redman RL, Nader S, Zerboni L, et al. Early reconstitution of immunity and decreased severity of herpes zoster in bone marrow transplant recipients immunized with inactivated varicella vaccine. J Infect Dis 1997;176:578-585.
- Yahav D, Gafter-Gvili A, Muchtar E, et al. Antiviral prophylaxis in haematological patients: System-
- atic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Cancer 2009;45:3131-3148.

 Cortese MM, Parashar UD. Prevention of rotavirus gastroenteritis among infants and children: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm Rep 2009:58:1-25
- Hayden FG, Gubareva LV, Monto AS, et al. Inhaled zanamivir for the prevention of influenza in families. Zanamivir Family Study Group. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1282-1289.
- Welliver R. Monto AS, Carewicz O, et al. Effectiveness of oseltamivir in preventing influenza in household contacts: A randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2001;285:748-754.
- Fiore AE, Fry A, Shay D, et al. Antiviral agents for the treatment and chemoprophylaxis of influenza-Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR Recomm
- Pediatrics AAo. Varicella-zoster infections. In: LK P, CJ B, DW K, et al. editors. Red book: 2009 report of the committee on infectious diseases, 28th edition, Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics; 2009, 719-721.
- Fisher JP, Bate J, Hambleton S. Preventing varicella in children with malignancies: What is the evidence? Curr Opin Infect Dis 2011;24:203-211.
- 75. Ottolini MG, Curtis SR, Mathews A, et al. Palivizumab is highly effective in suppressing respiratory
- syncytial virus in an immunosuppressed animal model. Bone Marrow Transpl 2002;29:117–120. Thomas NJ, Hollenbeak CS, Ceneviva GD, et al. Palivizumab prophylaxis to prevent respiratory syncytial virus mortality after pediatric bone marrow transplantation: A decision analysis model. J Pediatric Hematol/Oncol: Off J Am Soc Pediatric Hematol/Oncol 2007;29:227-232.
- Winston DJ, Ho WG, Bartoni K, et al. Ganciclovir prophylaxis of cytomegalovirus infection and disease in allogeneic bone marrow transplant recipients. Results of a placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. Ann Intern Med 1993;118:179–184.
- Boeckh M, Gooley TA, Myerson D, et al. Cytomegalovirus pp65 antigenemia-guided early treatment with ganciclovir versus ganciclovir at engraftment after allogeneic marrow transplantation: A randomized double-blind study. Blood 1996;88:4063–4071.
- Ljungman P, Reusser P, de la Camara R, et al. Management of CMV infections: Recommendations from the infectious diseases working party of the EBMT. Bone Marrow Transpl 2004;33:1075-
- Sullivan KM, Dykewicz CA, Longworth DL, et al. Preventing opportunistic infections after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Infectious Diseases Society of America, and American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation Practice Guidelines and beyond. Hematol Am Soc Hematol Educ Program 2001;392-421.
- Licciardello M, Pegoraro A, Cesaro S. Prophylaxis and therapy of viral infections in pediatric patients treated for malignancy. Pediatr Rep 2011;3:e5.
- Anderson H, Scarffe JH, Sutton RN, et al. Oral acyclovir prophylaxis against herpes simplex virus in non-Hodgkin lymphoma and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia patients receiving remission induction chemotherapy. A randomised double blind, placebo controlled trial. Br J Cancer 1984;50:45-49
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Infectious Disease Society of America; American Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation, Guidelines for preventing opportunistic infections among hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. MMWR Recomm Rep 2000;49:1-125, CE121-127.
- Styczynski J, Reusser P, Einsele H, et al. Management of HSV, VZV and EBV infections in patients with hematological malignancies and after SCT: Guidelines from the Second European Conference on Infections in Leukemia. Bone Marrow Transpl 2009;43:757-770.
- Boeckh M, Kim HW, Flowers ME, et al. Long-term acyclovir for prevention of varicella zoster virus disease after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation-A randomized double-blind placebo controlled study. Blood 2006;107:1800-1805.
- Erard V, Guthrie KA, Varley C, et al. One-year acyclovir prophylaxis for preventing varicella-zoster virus disease after hematopoietic cell transplantation: No evidence of rebound varicella-zoster virus
- disease after drug discontinuation. Blood 2007;110:3071-3077.

 Griel J, Klein A, Bader P, et al. Ribavirin prophylaxis and pre-emptive cidofovir treatment protect pediatric stem cell transplant recipients from life-threatening adenovirus infections. Bone Mar Transpl 2006;37:S1666.
- 88. Lindemans CA, Leen AM, Boelens JJ. How I treat adenovirus in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Blood 2010;116:5476-5485