## **UC Davis**

**UC Davis Previously Published Works** 

**Title** Phylogenomics: Improving Functional Predictions for Uncharacterized Genes by Evolutionary Analysis

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2g4367dw

**Journal** Genome Research, 8(3)

**ISSN** 1088-9051

**Author** Eisen, Jonathan A

Publication Date 1998-03-01

**DOI** 10.1101/gr.8.3.163

Peer reviewed

		Highest Hit Method		Phylogenomic Method		
Evolutionary Pattern and Tree of Genes and Functions <sup>1</sup>	Gene With Unknown Function <sup>2</sup>	Predicted Function <sup>3</sup>	Accurate?	Predicted Function <sup>4</sup>	Accurate?	Comments
A. Functional change during evolution.	1•	•	+	•	+	<ul> <li>Phylogenomic method cannot predict functions for all genes, but the predictions that are made are accurate.</li> <li>Highest hit method is misleading because function changed among he but hierarchies of similarity do not correlate with the function (see Boll Raff 1996).</li> </ul>
	2	•	+	•	+	
	3	•	+	• /	±	
	4	•	-	• /	±	
	5	• /	±		+	
	6	• /	±	-	+	
B. Functional change & rate variation.	1•	•	+	•	+	<ul> <li>Similarity based methods perform particularly poorly when evolution vary between taxa.</li> <li>Molecular phylogenetic methods can allow for rate variation and record gene history reasonably accurately.</li> </ul>
	2•	•	+	•	+	
	3•		-	•/	±	
	4	•	-	•/	±	
	5	•	-		+	
	6	-	+	-	+	
C. Gene duplication and rate variation. Species 2 2A 2B Species 3 3A 3B Duplication	1A•	•	+	•	+	<ul> <li>Most-similarity based methods are not ideally set up to deal with case duplication since orthologous genes do not always have significantly rr sequence similarity to each other than to paralogs (Eisen et al. 1995; Za al. 1996; Tatusov et al. 1997).</li> <li>Similarity-based methods perform particularly poorly when rate variar gene duplication are combined. This even applies to the COG method Table1) since it works by classifying levels of similarity and not by infihistory. Nevertheless, the COG method is a significant improvement o similarity based methods in classifying orthologs.</li> <li>Phylogenetic reconstruction is the most reliably way to inferr gene du events and thus determine orthology.</li> </ul>
	2A•	•	+	•	+	
	3A•		-	•	+	
	1B		+		+	
	2B	•	+		+	
	3B	•	-		+	

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The true tree is shown but it is assumed that it is not known. Different colors and symbols represent different functions. Numbers correspond to different species.
 <sup>2</sup> The function of all other genes is assumed to be known.
 <sup>3</sup> The top hit can be determined from the tree by finding the gene is the shortest evolutionary distance away (as determined along the branches of the tree).
 <sup>4</sup> It is assumed that the tree of the genes can be reproduced accurately by molecular phylogenetic methods (see Fig. 1).

