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ABSTRACT

Beryllium isotopes sorbed to sediments have provided useful tools in the field of geochronology and geomorphology over the last few decades. The use of beryllium isotopes relies on the premise that beryllium sorbed to sediments is unaltered over large timescales. Changes in the environmental chemistry, either in-situ or en route from soil to fluvial system, to the ocean, can cause beryllium desorption and may preclude some beryllium isotopic applications.

Four mechanisms were tested to determine the relative desorption potential of beryllium including a reduction in pH, an increase in ionic strength (NaCl) and complexation by soluble organic (malonic acid) and inorganic species (NaF). To assess the relative effect of each mechanism on beryllium desorption from both organic and mineral fractions, we prepared separate solutions of beryllium bound to minerals and organic compounds and measured beryllium concentrations in solution before and after each chemical perturbation. We conclude a reduction in pH resulted in the greatest amount of desorption among the four treatments, removing 97% and 75% of sorbed beryllium from illite and montmorillonite, respectively, and none from the organic ligands tested. The addition of malonic acid and increasing the ionic strength also resulted in desorption from montmorillonite. Although increasing the ionic strength did remove 32% and 8.4% of beryllium from montmorillonite and sulfonate, respectively, the presence of sodium significantly enhanced sorption to illite. The addition of NaF did not result in any beryllium desorption. Our results demonstrate that various chemical processes can promote the exchange of beryllium between solid and dissolved phases, the extent to which depends on the composition of the system.

We also related differences in beryllium desorption behavior to complexation mechanisms driving retention among organic and mineral species. We estimate inner sphere complexation is the predominant sorption mechanism among the organic ligands tested due to the minimal amounts of desorption and the large stability constants previously reported in the literature. Additionally, we found that different complexation processes are involved in beryllium sorption to illite versus montmorillonite. Because beryllium desorbed from montmorillonite due to changes in pH, ionic strength and organic acid complexation, we hypothesize that a portion of beryllium-montmorillonite associations involve outer sphere processes, driven by weaker electrostatic attractions. However, beryllium exhibited a unique relationship with illite in that sorption not only involves inner sphere processes but also physical inclusion within collapsed interlayer spaces.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the past few decades, beryllium isotopes have been used in the field of geomorphology and geochronology to determine the residence time of sediments in landscapes ranging from several weeks to millions of years (Granger et al., 2013; Wallbrink and Murray, 1996; Willenbring and von Blanckenburg, 2010a, 2010b). These methods use concentrations of beryllium isotopes sorbed to sediments and often rely on the assumption that these concentrations are unchanged over such large timescales. However various biotic and abiotic processes could influence beryllium’s behavior in soils and aquatic environments. As sediment is transported, undergoing various cycles of erosion and deposition, beryllium sorbed to these particles is exposed to a variety of different chemical environments and is susceptible to transformations along the path from source to sink. For example, marine core sediments used to measure 10Be/9Be ratios for estimating total denudation in a landscape can experience a salinity gradient during their transport from fresh to saline waters. Such changes in the ionic strength could result in competition for sorption sites and subsequent desorption of beryllium. Additionally, within a single soil profile, in-situ processes like plant and microbial production of chelating organic acids can stimulate beryllium mobilization altering its natural distribution with depth. Beryllium desorption poses a problem for the environmental scientist and the geomorphologist, both of whom rely on the retention of beryllium to sediment to prevent the contamination of ground water, preserve a landscape’s erosional signature and for tracking particle movement. Therefore, although the sorption behavior of beryllium is important to understand, it is equally important to consider processes that cause desorption.
In this study, we investigate four major processes known to stimulate metal desorption including a reduction in pH, an increase in the ionic strength and complexation by soluble organic and inorganic species. A decrease in pH reduces available sorption sites encouraging the exchange of sorbed cations for protons. Increasing the ionic strength also enhances competition between cations for reactive sites on the surfaces of particles, resulting in desorption of ions previously bound to the surface. Additionally, low molecular weight organic acids (LMWOA) that are excreted by biota are capable of chelating cationic species like beryllium, mobilizing them into the soil solution (Qin et al., 2004; Vanhees et al., 1999; Vranova et al., 2013). Examples of such acids include oxalic, malonic, succinic and other dicarboxylic acids. In addition to organic ligands, beryllium is also complexed by inorganic species such as fluoride. Previous studies determined that dissolved, unsorbed beryllium concentrations were dependent on the amount of fluoride in fresh water systems and that the presence of fluoride can increase the mobility of beryllium (Vesely et al., 1989; Vesely et al., 2002; Krám et al., 1998).

Although these chemical perturbations are intended to provide us with a greater understanding of processes driving beryllium desorption, the relative rate and extent of desorption can also provide information relating to the complexation mechanisms driving retention among the organic ligands and mineral tested. Sorption processes can be characterized as specific or non-specific. Non-specific sorption, or outer sphere complexation, involves the electrostatic interactions of hydrated metal ions with sorption site ligands. Considering these interactions are driven simply by differences in charge, there is less specificity with regard to ligand type. Conversely, specific sorption, also referred to as inner sphere complexation, involves an actual chemical reaction between the metal and sorption site. The term ‘specific’ refers to the thermodynamic preference of a metal ion to form a covalent bond with particular ligands. Considering specific, inner sphere complexation involves the formation of chemical bonds, it produces a stronger metal-ligand association compared to outer sphere complexation. These inner sphere complexation mechanisms are therefore more resistant to chemical disturbances that affect electrostatic interactions between metal and ligand like changes in ionic strength or pH. It is important to note that both inner and outer sphere processes occur simultaneously, although one mechanism can be more predominant depending on the nature of the sorbent, sorbate and the chemical environment (Catalano et al., 2008). Typically, X-ray absorbance spectroscopy is used to assess the dominant complexation mechanism driving metal retention among sorbents. However, beryllium’s transparency to X-rays complicates the use of such techniques. Therefore, the use of desorption experiments to assess the ease of beryllium removal from organic and mineral sorbents can be used to estimate sorption types, with outer sphere complexation allowing for greater desorption at faster rates. We can use this knowledge to estimate how beryllium chemically associates with specific organic ligands and minerals.

Understanding how the four chemical treatments affect the equilibrium of beryllium between sorbed and dissolved phases is central in understanding not only the potential for beryllium desorption and mobilization but the complexation mechanisms driving retention among organic and mineral sorbents. The goals of this paper are to 1) establish the relative effect of each chemical perturbation on beryllium desorption, 2) to determine their relative effect on beryllium desorption from organic ligands versus mineral sorbents and 3) to indirectly estimate how sorption mechanisms differ among organic ligands and minerals by observing their responses to various chemical treatments.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sorption of beryllium to sorbent materials

In order to test the ability of different processes to desorb beryllium, we initially needed to sorb beryllium to organic and inorganic sorbents. We selected two clay minerals to represent the mineral fraction and two organic compounds to represent the organic matter fraction of soils. We chose to use separate, model materials in order to identify how different chemical perturbations affect the retention of beryllium by either mineral or organic fractions. By observing their individual behaviors we are able to better approximate the extent each chemical perturbation has on a natural soil depending on its organic or clay mineral content. Using model materials also removes any uncertainty that results from the complex and heterogeneous nature of using an actual soil sample and can allow us to better elucidate differences in the desorption behavior of beryllium between organic and inorganic soil materials.

We selected mineral and organic materials based on their ability to complex beryllium as determined from previous experiments (Boschi and Willenbring, 2016). Of the minerals tested, illite and montmorillonite exhibited the greatest amount of beryllium sorption. We obtained these minerals through Ward science (Rochester, NY, USA) and confirmed their mineralogical purity using X-ray diffraction (XRD). The samples were ground using a disc mill and rinsed with a weakly acidic HCl (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) solution and ultrapure Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA) water to remove impurities. The minerals were then wet sieved and dried in an oven overnight. The < 20 μm fraction was collected for use in this experiment. A Beckman–Coulter Particle Size Analyzer (Miami, FL, USA) was used to determine the proportion of each mineral sample that was smaller than 0.45 μm to ensure the filter removed mineral particles from solution. It was determined that < 0.5% of the sample was smaller than 0.45 μm. As an additional precaution in order to ensure particles were not escaping through the filter, all mineral samples were centrifuged at the appropriate speed and time interval prior to filtration to ensure the > 99.5% of particles were excluded from the filtrate.

Similarly to the mineral samples, we selected phosphonic and sulfonic acid bearing compounds because they formed the most stable complexes with beryllium relative to other organic compounds tested in previous experiments (Boschi and Willenbring, 2016). Octadecylphosphonic acid (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), a large insoluble alkanesulfonic acid bound to a polystyrene resin (30–60 mesh size) (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA) were selected to represent a phosphonate and sulfonate group respectively. These compounds were selected so that they could be easily separated from solution by filtration using a 0.45 μm filter. By selecting organic ligands and minerals with larger stability constants relative to other materials, our results will provide a conservative estimate of beryllium desorption.

We prepared individual, 50 mL organic compound and mineral solutions at a concentration of 250 mg L$^{-1}$. It is important to note that for organic compounds 250 mg L$^{-1}$ represents the concentration of the active functional group, not the entire organic molecule because the ratio of functional group to organic compound mass varies for either compound. For example, 250 mg L$^{-1}$ phosphonate (PO$_4^-$) was prepared rather than 250 mg L$^{-1}$ of octadecylphosphonic acid (C$_{18}$H$_{35}$O$_3$P). However, for the mineral samples, 250 mg L$^{-1}$ represents the concentration of the bulk mineral.

We spiked each sorbent solution with beryllium, which we prepared using a beryllium ICP standard (BDH, Lutterworth, UK). The concentration of beryllium in each sample solution was 1 mg L$^{-1}$, which was intended for sorption onto each sorbent material to mimic its average abundance in soils and sediments (Taylor et al., 2003; US DHHS, 2002). Each beryllium-sorbent solution was prepared in ultrapure Millipore water and initially adjusted to a pH of 6 using NaOH (Fisher Scientific) to ensure maximum sorption of beryllium to each sorbent material. We could not exceed a pH of 6 considering the dependence of beryllium speciation on pH. At a concentration of 1 mg L$^{-1}$, beryllium is present as a divalent cation at low (< 6.5) and high (> 11) pHs and as insoluble Be(OH)$_2$ in-between. For example at a pH of 7, 75% of Be(OH)$_2$ is removed from the solution via filtration with a 0.45 μm filter (Boschi...
The beryllium-sorbent solutions were placed on a roller table to allow constant mixing of the material and beryllium solution for 14 days. In order to determine the amount of beryllium that desorbed from each mineral and organic material over time, we prepared 72 beryllium-sorbent solutions for each sorbent, which included samples for analysis at 6 different time intervals, for each of the four chemical treatments, in triplicate. The six time intervals included 1 h, 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, 1 day and 7 days after the chemical treatment. We prepared an additional 21 beryllium-sorbent solutions for each sorbent material to function as controls. These 21 control solutions (designated for each of the six time intervals in triplicate plus an additional three for time ‘0’ to determine the initial amount of sorbed beryllium) were not perturbed by any of the chemical amendments and would act to monitor the amount of sorbed beryllium over the entire duration of the experiment. Following each chemical treatment, we compared beryllium concentrations in solution to the concentration of beryllium in the control sample at the same time interval to determine the amount of beryllium that had desorbed. Therefore, we made a total of 372 solutions.

2.2. Solution alteration

After 14 days, control samples representing time ‘0’ were measured to determine the initial amount of beryllium sorbed to each of the four materials. At this time, each of the beryllium-sorbent mixtures, excluding the control samples, underwent chemical alteration through the addition of 0.25 mL of a NaF (Acros, New Jersey, USA), NaCl (Fisher Scientific), HCl (Fisher Scientific) and malonic acid (Fisher Scientific) solution. This small volume was used to ensure that the beryllium-sorbent solution was not diluted, thus affecting the system’s equilibrium. Each of the chemical treatments was added to separate solutions so that a total of 18 solutions (for the 6 sampling events in triplicate) were prepared for each chemical treatment, we compared beryllium concentrations in solution to the control samples at each time interval and subtracted that from the amount of beryllium originally added. We then calculated the difference in the concentration of beryllium in the chemically altered solution to that of the control solution at the same time interval to determine the amount of desorbed beryllium. The amount of desorbed beryllium that occurred due to the chemical treatment was divided by the original sorbed amount in the control solution. In some instances, the chemical alteration caused increased sorption to the material. This was reported as a ‘negative’ percent desorption as shown in the following figures.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Increase in solution ionic strength

By changing the ionic strength of the solution so that the final concentration of NaCl was 2.2 g L$^{-1}$, we observe different responses between both mineral and organic compounds (Fig. 1). In order to gauge the change in beryllium concentration, we calculated the overall percent desorption or increased sorption after the 7-day time period for each material (Table 1). The addition of NaCl caused sulfonate and montmorillonite to desorb 8.4% and 32%, respectively, of the original amount of sorbed beryllium after 7 days (Fig. 1, Table 1). Conversely, it caused illite and phosphonate to sorb additional amounts of beryllium by 96% and 17% of what was originally sorbed, respectively. The change in the ionic strength of the solution can affect both the behavior of the beryllium and the behavior of the sorbent. For montmorillonite and, to a lesser extent, sulfonate, the increased concentration of competing ions for sorption sites lead to the displacement of beryllium by sodium cations. The difference in the magnitude of desorption relates to the nature of the beryllium-ligand complex formed by either the hydroxyl groups on the surface of montmorillonite particles or the sulfonate group of the toluene sulfonic acid compound. As shown in Boschi and Willenbring (2016), sulfonate is able to form stronger interactions with beryllium compared to the various organic ligands studied. Previously reported stability constants, a metric for metal-ligand strength, indicate that among organic and inorganic ligands, sulfur oxides are able to form strong interactions with beryllium (Alderighi et al., 2000). Therefore it is less thermodynamically favorable to disrupt a sulfonate-beryllium complex.

The larger amount of beryllium that desorbed from montmorillonite can also be related to complexion mechanisms involved in beryllium retention to montmorillonite. For example, considering the reversibility of a portion of sorbed beryllium from montmorillonite, we would estimate that outer sphere complexation is involved, which includes the indirect sorption of beryllium to a surface by means of water molecules. Water molecules are electrostatically held to the sorbent surface while also hydrating beryllium cations. It is also generally accepted that outer sphere complexion occurs primarily on interlayer surfaces (Poinssot and Geckeis, 2012). Previous studies have shown that outer sphere complexion is involved when the ionic strength of the solution influences the amount of sorption unlike inner sphere complexion which is considered less susceptible to cation exchange (Brown et al.,
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1999; Sparks, 2003). This is reflected in the equilibrium expression for outer sphere complexation which accounts for the concentration of background electrolyte whereas the equation representing inner sphere complexation does not, as shown in Eqs. (1)–(6) (Hayes and Leckie, 1987). Here, SOH represents a surface hydroxyl group, $M^{2+}$ represents a divalent metal cation such as $Be^{2+}$ and SOM$^+$ and SO$^-$ – M$^{2+}$ represents the inner and outer sphere metal-hydroxyl complex, respectively, $K$ represents the thermodynamic equilibrium constant for either inner sphere ($K_{IS}$) or outer sphere processes ($K_{OS}$), $F$ represents Faraday constant in $C$ mol$^{-1}$, $\phi_i$ represents the potential in the ith surface plane in $V$, $R$ represents the gas constant in $J$ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, $\sigma_d$ is the charge of the bulk solution that balances the surface charge also known as the dissociate charge, $C$ is the concentration of 1:1 background electrolyte (e.g. NaCl), $\varepsilon_r$ represents dielectric constant of water and $\varepsilon_o$ represents the permittivity of vacuum.

**Inner sphere complexation**

\[
SOH + M^{2+} \leftrightarrow SOM^+ + H^+ \quad (1)
\]

**Outer sphere complexation**

\[
SOH + M^{2+} \rightarrow SO^- - M^{2+} + H^+ \quad (2)
\]

**Equilibrium expressions**

\[
K_{IS} = \frac{[SOM^+][H^+]}{[SOH][M^{2+}]} \exp[-F\phi_d/RT] \quad (3)
\]

\[
K_{OS} = \frac{[SO^- - M^{2+}][H^+]}{[SOH][M^{2+}]} \exp[-F(\phi_d - 2\phi_i)/RT] \quad (4)
\]

\[
\phi_i = (\frac{\sigma_d}{C}) + \phi_d \quad (5)
\]

\[
\sigma_d = -\sqrt{8RTC_0\varepsilon_r} \frac{C}{2RT} \quad (6)
\]

Outer sphere complexation is affected by the ionic strength of the solution because it affects the electrical potential between the $\beta$ and the diffuse layer. Therefore we can deduce that if metal sorption is affected by the electrolyte concentration, complexation involves outer sphere processes. Considering beryllium is able to desorb from montmorillonite due to changes in ionic strength, it may indicate that a portion of sorbed beryllium is retained through outer sphere complexation under our experimental conditions including a solution pH of 6.

This hypothesis that outer sphere mechanisms play a role in beryllium retention is further supported when we consider the strong association between beryllium and water molecules. Beryllium has a large charge to size ratio and is capable of strongly orienting water molecules around it forming polymeric hydrolysate products in an aqueous media (Walsh and Vidal, 2009). Of all divalent ions, beryllium is the most heavily hydrated and has the highest solvation energy (Martell and Hancock, 1996). The hydrolysis reaction of beryllium is described in Eqs. (7) and (8). It involves the initial hydration of beryllium by four water molecules, covalently bound having tetrahedral geometry (Eq. (7)).

\[
Be^{2+} + 4H_2O \rightarrow [Be(H_2O)_4]^{2+} \quad (7)
\]

Beryllium is capable of strongly polarizing water molecules, orienting the negatively charged oxygen towards itself and causing strong repulsion among hydrogen atoms. This allows water molecules to more easily deprotonate with increasing pH resulting in a network of beryllium atoms bridged by hydroxyl groups (Eq. (8)). The bridging results in the initial formation of a trimer species (Fig. 2).

\[
[Be_3(H_2O)_9]^{2+} + cOH^- \rightarrow [Be_2(H_2O)_{15-c}OH]_c^{2+ - c} + cH_2O \quad (8)
\]

With increasing concentrations, these trimers gradually accumulate and form higher aggregates (Alderighi et al., 2000). These aggregates increase in size to become colloidal, eventually precipitating as insoluble $Be(OH)_2$ depending on the concentration of beryllium in solution (Mattock, 1954). The strong arrangement and preference of beryllium for water ligands may result in an increased preference for outer sphere complexation mechanisms in the absence of more thermodynamically preferred ligands.

Considering beryllium’s behavior in response to changes in ionic strength combined with what we already understand regarding beryllium hydrolysis, we hypothesize that beryllium sorbed to montmorillonite involves outer sphere processes. Typically, we would employ X-ray absorption spectroscopy to characterize and confirm metal complexation type. Unfortunately, these techniques are limited for light weight elements like beryllium. Therefore our future work aims to include additional solution based experiments and surface modeling techniques to confirm dominate complexation mechanisms for beryllium.

Unlike montmorillonite, changes to the ionic strength of the solution caused an increase in the sorption of beryllium by illite which could be...
the result of changes in the structure of illite. Illite is a 2:1 aluminosilicate clay that is characterized as an intermediate between mica and smectite clays (Sparks, 2003). It differs from true micas in that only 1/6 versus 1/4 of the silicon atoms are replaced with aluminum atoms in the tetrahedral layer (Rolf et al., 1960). Illite interlayers are occupied and tightly held together almost entirely by potassium ions. However, previous work has shown that the edge sites of illite are void of potassium ions due to chemical weathering and are susceptible to infiltration and subsequent expansion by other hydrated cations such as sodium, calcium and magnesium (Fuller et al., 2015). The increase in the interlayer spacing of illite due to cation exchange and has been well documented (Smith, 1967). With the addition of sodium in attempts to increase the ionic strength of the solution, the subsequent lattice expansion would allow beryllium atoms to diffuse further into the interlayers thus enhancing its sorption potential relative to the amount initially sorbed. The exchange of cations at edge sites can also cause them to collapse, physically enveloping the metal and preventing it from desorbing back into solution. Increased sorption and resistance to desorption may suggest that beryllium is more physically protected by occupying the collapsed frayed edges of illite upon exchange with other interlayer cations as is observed with other metals like cesium (Fuller et al., 2015). Illites’ planar surfaces are otherwise tightly held by potassium ions and less accessible relative to the hydrated interlayers of montmorillonite, thus frayed edge sites may be more readily populated. This mechanism would help to explain beryllium’s physical protection and thus resistance to exchange with the solution over time.

This physical inclusion of beryllium within the interlayers of illite is further supported by previous sorption studies including those of Boschi and Willenbring (2016) and You et al. (1989). These studies observed enhanced beryllium retention by illite relative to other minerals, including montmorillonite, in solutions with high ionic strength similar to that of marine water. However, as in the current study, we found that less beryllium was retained by illite than montmorillonite in ultrapure water. This demonstrates that the ionic strength of the solution affects beryllium-illite associations, with increased ionic concentrations promoting greater beryllium sorption potentially through enlarged interlayer spacing. Further work involving the use of transmission electron microscopy will be employed to better understand the behavior of illite including the formation of collapsed edges in the presence of beryllium under various environmental conditions.

Similarly to illite, the fluctuation in beryllium sorption by phosphate may be a result of the effect of ionic strength on the behavior of octadecylphosphonic acid itself. Although increasing the ionic strength of the solution initiated beryllium desorption from phosphate, after 7 days equilibrium is established resulting in a net increase of beryllium sorption. Octadecylphosphonic acid contains a hydrophilic, polar functional group with a large, hydrophobic hydrocarbon tail, which allows it to function as a surfactant. Thus, it is strongly affected by changes in ionic strength. Increasing the concentration of ions in solution dampens repulsions between polar, phosphate head groups resulting in the formation of globular aggregates of individual molecules (Palladino and Ragone, 2011). Dissolved organic matter is also amphiphilic in nature and previous work has shown that its macromolecular structure is capable of forming aggregates in response to changes in solution chemistry including pH and ionic concentration (Ghosh and Schnitzer, 1980; Piccolo, 2001). These structural changes may affect the accessibility of the phosphate groups, or other polar functionalities in the case of natural organic matter, therefore explaining the greater amount of time required for beryllium complexation to reach equilibrium.

3.2. Reduction in solution pH

There is a marked difference in the response between the minerals and organic compound with changes in solution pH. The decrease in pH caused little to no desorption among the organic compounds. It actually caused an increase in the amount of beryllium to be sorbed by phosphate after 7 days by 44% (Fig. 3) (Table 1). Beryllium desorbed more easily from the minerals tested, with 75% and 97% of beryllium removal from montmorillonite and illite, respectively.

The reduction in pH did not desorb beryllium from sulfonate as its pKa, ~2.8 for toluene sulfonic acid, is much lower than the pH of the solution. Therefore, it remained deprotonated and able to complex beryllium preventing desorption. We observed that phosphate continued to complex beryllium over time and sorbs 44% more beryllium than what was originally complexed. Similar to changes in the ionic strength, a reduction in pH may affect the protonation of polar functional groups and subsequently the aggregate behavior of octadecylphosphonic acid and thus the rate at which beryllium is complexed. Therefore, longer equilibration times may be required for beryllium complexation by phosphate as previously reported in Boschi and Willenbring (2016).

Conversely, desorption of beryllium from mineral species upon decreasing the pH can be attributed to the expected reduction in surface charge from increased protonation of negatively charged surface sites. The point of zero charge, pzc, or the pH at which the surface charge of a mineral is equal to zero has been previously established as 2.5 and 3.2 for montmorillonite and illite, respectively. Therefore by adjusting the pH to 3, we observed greater amounts of desorption from illite. Montmorillonite has a lower pzc value and it is able to maintain a more negatively charged surface at even lower pHs, which resulted in relatively smaller amounts of desorption compared to illite.

3.3. Addition of organic acid

Similarly to the reduction in pH, malonic acid caused negligible desorption of beryllium from the organic ligands after 7 days (Fig. 4, Table 1). Malonate is able to chelate beryllium and gains additional stability through the formation of the desired 6 member ring (Alderighi et al., 2000). However, stability constants previously determined for phosphate- and sulfonate-beryllium complexes are greater than that of malonate helping to explain the small amount of desorbed beryllium. Additionally, the reduction in pH due to the addition of malonic acid did not exceed the pKa of either ligand leaving them both predominantly deprotonated and available for complexing beryllium.

The potential for beryllium desorption from organic ligands upon addition of a LMWOA will depend on the chemical properties and composition of the sorbed versus dissolved organic matter fractions in a given system. For example, in addition to phosphate and sulfonate, other organic ligands associated with organic matter including aromatic dihydroxyl, hydroxy-carboxylate and bisscarboxylic functionalities similar to what is found in lignin, are also able to form strong interactions with beryllium having stability constants (log Ks values) exceeding 12 (Alderighi et al., 2000). Conversely, soluble, LMWOA most commonly abundant in soils including acetic, citric, formic, oxalic, malonic, malic and succinic acids have stability constants lower than 6 (Table 2)
of malonic acid. Desorption means the material actually sorbed more beryllium as a result of the addition of malonic acid.

Therefore, the type of ligands comprising the dissolved organic matter fraction will influence the potential for beryllium desorption from the sorbed organic fraction. The types of ligands found in either fraction will differ depending on many factors making it difficult to predict the potential for beryllium desorption unless both are sufficiently characterized.

Similar to the other treatments, the mineral fraction was more susceptible to beryllium mobilization. However, there was a difference in the response of beryllium desorption amongst montmorillonite and illite. The addition of malonic acid resulted in desorption of 46% of the original amount of beryllium sorbed by montmorillonite after 7 days. Conversely, it caused an initial increase in beryllium sorption by illite which was then released over time, resulting in a net zero desorption. Although illite has a higher pzc compared to montmorillonite and is therefore more sensitive to a reduction in solution pH, the addition of malonic acid caused a greater amount of desorption from montmorillonite than from illite. Therefore we can again hypothesize that beryllium sorbed onto the surface of montmorillonite and illite is controlled by different mechanisms.

The lack of desorption observed from illite upon addition of an organic complexing agent suggests beryllium sorption occurs at edge sites and thus beryllium retention by illite involves inner sphere complexation. As previously discussed, access to the interlayer spacing of illite is restricted due to the abundance of tightly held potassium ions. This limits beryllium sorption to exterior basal surfaces or edge sites where inner sphere complexation commonly occurs. Although we do observe desorption of beryllium from illite when we reduced the pH to 3, the resultant pH was equivalent to the pzc of illite and thus we would expect to see significant removal of beryllium. Conversely, the addition of malonic acid only reduced the pH to 4. Unlike planar sites which maintain a constant delocalization of negative charge among the basal oxygen atoms due to isomorphic substitution within the tetrahedral layer, protonation of edge hydroxyl groups are dependent on pH (Strawn and Sparks, 1999). Therefore sorption reversibility can occur but is most favorable at pHs similar to the pzc.

Unlike illite, the availability of interlayer spacing relative to the edge sites in montmorillonite combined with the observed reversibility of beryllium sorption, suggests that beryllium retention involves outer sphere complexation on the basal surfaces of montmorillonite. This is supported by other work that reported outer sphere complexation was most common on interlayer sites of montmorillonite at lower pH’s like those experienced from the addition of malonic acid reducing the solution pH to 4 (Gu et al., 2010; Strawn and Sparks, 1999). Therefore although montmorillonite may have greater surface area due to the accessibility of interlayer binding sites, outer sphere complexation processes appear more common in these regions creating weaker associations with beryllium relative to sorption mechanisms driving retention with illite. Again, characterizing the complexation mechanism for either mineral would have to be confirmed through additional sorption experiments and modeling techniques.

### 3.4. Addition of fluoride (NaF)

The addition of sodium fluoride caused increased sorption among illite and slight increase in sorption among montmorillonite but did not have any effect on the beryllium complexed by sulfonate (Fig. 5) (Table 1). Although initially 38% of the total sorbed beryllium was able to desorb from phosphonate, after seven days there was a net zero desorption. The increased sorption for illite may again be explained by its sensitivity to changes in sodium concentrations, albeit small, helping to increase the interlayer spacing via ion substitution. However overall, increased amounts of fluoride do not appear to promote beryllium desorption from either organic ligands or minerals.

### 4. Conclusions

Although previously believed that beryllium sorbed to the surfaces of sediment or organic matter was resistant to desorption, our results show that various processes can promote the exchange of beryllium between solid and dissolved phases. Of all four treatments, a decrease in pH caused the greatest amount of desorption which was only observed for the minerals studied; the organic ligands were unaffected by changes in pH. Montmorillonite was more susceptible to beryllium desorption considering the addition of malonic acid and increasing the ionic strength removed beryllium from montmorillonite but not from illite. As such, it is hypothesized that different complexation processes are involved in beryllium sorption to illite versus montmorillonite. It appears

![Fig. 4. Percent desorbed beryllium from each sorbent material as a function of time after the addition of malonic acid to produce a final concentration of 5 mg L$^{-1}$. Negative desorption means the material actually sorbed more beryllium as a result of the addition of malonic acid.](image1)

![Fig. 5. Percent desorbed beryllium from each sorbent material as a function of time after the addition of NaF to produce a final concentration of 0.2 mg L$^{-1}$. Negative desorption means the material actually sorbed more beryllium as a result of the addition of sodium fluoride.](image2)

#### Table 2

Stability constants associated with low molecular weight organic acids most commonly identified in soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organic Acid</th>
<th>Log $K_{1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malonic</td>
<td>5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic</td>
<td>4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinic</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malic</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fumaric</td>
<td>4.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malic</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tartaric</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that more reversible, outer sphere processes are involved in beryllium complexation by montmorillonite whereas inner sphere complexation and physical inclusion are more common with illite due to differences in their clay structure. Illite exhibited an enhanced sorption capacity in the presence of sodium which is believed to cause increased interlayer spacing at edge sites allowing for greater amounts of beryllium to occupy these areas. Our future work will focus on confirming the dominant complexation type and the physical behavior of beryllium in the presence of these two minerals using additional sorption experiments and surface complexation modeling.

Because of beryllium's resistance to desorption in the presence of organic ligands relative to the mineral fraction, we conclude that certain organic ligands can play an important role relating to beryllium mobility in soil and aquatic environments. The organic ligands tested were less susceptible to the chemical perturbations tested. This emphasizes the importance of organic matter, its chemical composition and response to changes in solution chemistry when making predictions regarding beryllium mobility.
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