

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Title

Low energy spread 100 MeV-1 GeV electron bunches from laser wakefield acceleration at LOASIS

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2qt7z5q0>

Authors

Geddes, C.G.R.

Esarey, E.

Michel, P.

et al.

Publication Date

2008-06-03

LOW ENERGY SPREAD 100 MeV-1 GeV ELECTRON BUNCHES FROM LASER WAKEFIELD ACCELERATION AT LOASIS*

C.G.R. Geddes[#], E. Esarey, P. Michel, B. Nagler, K. Nakamura[‡], G.R. Plateau[^], C.B. Schroeder, B.A. Shadwick, Cs. Toth, J Van Tilborg[†], W.P. Leemans⁺, LBNL, Berkeley, California

S.M. Hooker, A.J. Gonsalves, Dept. of Physics, University of Oxford

E. Michel, UNR/LBNL

J. R. Cary, CIPS and Tech-X, Boulder, Colorado

D. Bruhwiler, Tech-X, Boulder, Colorado

Abstract

Experiments at the LOASIS laboratory of LBNL recently demonstrated production of 100 MeV electron beams with low energy spread and low divergence from laser wakefield acceleration. The radiation pressure of a 10 TW laser pulse guided over 10 diffraction ranges by a plasma density channel was used to drive an intense plasma wave (wakefield), producing acceleration gradients on the order of 100 GV/m in a mm-scale channel. Beam energy has now been increased from 100 to 1000 MeV by using a cm-scale guiding channel at lower density, driven by a 40 TW laser, demonstrating the anticipated scaling to higher beam energies. Particle simulations indicate that the low energy spread beams were produced from self trapped electrons through the interplay of trapping, loading, and dephasing. Other experiments and simulations are also underway to control injection of particles into the wake, and hence improve beam quality and stability further.

INTRODUCTION

Laser wakefield accelerators (LWFA), have demonstrated accelerating gradients thousands of times those obtained in conventional accelerators using the electric field of a plasma wave (the wakefield) driven by an intense laser [1-3], indicating the potential for more compact accelerators. Early experiments demonstrated high gradients, but electron beam energy and quality were until recently limited by the difficulty of retaining high laser intensity over a long distance of propagation, resulting in electron bunches with 100% energy spread and an exponentially small fraction of electrons at high energy [1-3]. These experiments relied on the self-guiding of the laser pulse which occurs for powers greater than a critical power P_{crit} . The quiver motion of the electrons increases their mass, changing the refractive index, but

the laser pulse is unstable [4, 5], limiting propagation length to little more than a diffraction range Z_R [6].

Recently, experiments demonstrated guiding of relativistically intense laser pulses over many diffraction ranges by plasma density channels, producing high quality electron beams. Experiments and simulations [8] showed that the important physics of the new operating regime is that trapping of an initial bunch of electrons loads the wake, suppressing further injection and forming a bunch of electrons isolated in phase space. At the dephasing point, as the bunch begins to outrun the wake, the particles are then concentrated near a single energy, and hence a high quality bunch is obtained by guiding the laser to this length. We describe recent experiments and simulations at the 100 MeV level [8], and note the upcoming publication of results at 1 GeV[7], which demonstrate scaling to higher energies needed for many applications. Channel guided experiments retain the high acceleration gradients of previous laser accelerator experiments over longer distances, and beam quality is comparable to state of the art RF accelerators.

100 MeV EXPERIMENTS

Laser guiding at high intensities to produce a channel guided accelerator required compensating both diffraction and plasma effects. Previous experiments demonstrated guiding for input pulse intensities at up to 2×10^{17} W/cm² [8-11], where a parabolic transverse plasma density profile can be matched to guide the low intensity pulse [12]. For channel guided wakefield acceleration, channels must balance diffraction, self guiding, and instabilities for high intensity propagation over long distances.

The LOASIS Ti:Sapphire laser [13, 14], operating at 800 nm with chirped pulse amplification, was used to

* Supported by the U.S. Dept. of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, the Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing and INCITE programs, and using resources at NERSC.

[#]crgeddes@lbl.gov

[‡]also at University of Tokyo

[^]also at École Nationale Supérieure de Physique de Strasbourg




Figure 1. (A) Experimental setup showing the gas jet with laser beams and diagnostics. (B) The density profile of the channel obtained by Abel inversion of the side interferogram. (C-F) Mode images of laser propagation at 4 TW. The output image with the guide on (D) is indistinguishable from the input (C) indicating un-aberrated propagation at twice P_{crit} . Unguided images show diffraction in vacuum (E) and ionization enhanced diffraction with the gas jet on (F).

form the guiding channel with a variation of the ignitor-heater method [9] and to drive the wake (Figure 1A). A plasma was ionized by an ignitor pulse (15 mJ, 60 fs) from a 2.5 mm long supersonic H_2 gas jet with an atomic density of $3-4 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, then heated to 10's of eV by a heater pulse (using ~ 50 mJ from a 150 mJ, 250 ps beam). Plasma expansion drove a shock in surrounding gas, forming a channel with a nearly parabolic transverse density distribution [8]. This channel guided the relativistically intense drive pulse focused at its edge. To drive an intense wake and trap electrons, the drive pulse (500 mJ, 55 fs) was focused to a spot of $7-8.5 \mu\text{m}$ FWHM. This gave $Z_R \sim 200 \mu\text{m}$ so that the channel was $> 10Z_R$ long. Laser propagation was monitored with an interferometer, mode imager CCD, and transmitted light spectrometer. Accelerated electrons were analyzed using a current transformer, phosphor screen, and magnetic spectrometer.

LASER GUIDING

Channel plasma profile was adjusted to guide the drive pulse without aberration at powers up to $2 P_{crit}$ by changing ignitor and heater energy and timing to compensate for the presence of self guiding [15]. With the channel tuned to match the low power guiding condition [12], aberration-free guiding of low power pulses ($0.5 \text{ TW} < P_{crit}$) was obtained.

Re-tuning the channel allowed compensation for the presence of self-guiding, and powers up to 4 TW ($7 \times 10^{18} \text{ W/cm}^2$) were guided without aberration. The profile of this channel (Figure 1B) is nearly parabolic, with a rise in density over the spot diameter $\sim 40\%$ less than the low power matching condition. Figure 1C-F show mode

profiles of the laser spot at 4 TW ($7 \mu\text{m}$ input spot, $7 \times 10^{18} \text{ W/cm}^2$). With the channel on, the output spot (D) matches the input (C). De-convolution of instrument response [15] indicated that the output is within $1 \mu\text{m}$ of the $7 \mu\text{m}$ input spot size, giving output intensity $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ W/cm}^2$ (lower limit 1×10^{18} set by the raw observation).

Transmission at 4 TW was 35%, a reduction of one third from the low power case, indicating substantial power was deposited in plasma waves. This is consistent with particle in cell simulations (below), which indicate that a plasma wave averaging 2-300 GV/m in the last 0.5 mm of guide length. No electrons are trapped at 4 TW, indicating a structure for controlled injection experiments [16, 17], and colliding pulse injection [17] experiments are now under way which may increase beam stability

CHANNELED WAKEFIELD ACCELERATION

At guided drive pulse powers above 4 TW, electrons were trapped and accelerated, verifying that an intense plasma wake was driven in the channel. At 9 TW, the channel guided accelerator produced high charge electron beams at high energy with low energy spread and low divergence [18]. Figure 2 shows a bunch of 2×10^9 electrons within an energy spread of $\pm 2\%$ centered at 86 MeV. Optimal performance was found in a channel with an axial density of $1.9 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and with a parabolic profile with 40% less rise in density over a spot diameter than the low power matched case. The normalized geometric emittance obtained from assuming the bunch comes from a source \sim the laser spot size is 1-2 π -mm-rad, competitive with state of the art RF facilities.




FIGURE 2. Electron bunch. The electron energy spectrum of the channeled accelerator shows the appearance of monoenergetic features, here with 2×10^9 electrons in a bunch with energy spread of 4% FWHM at 86 MeV. Divergence was near 3 mrad FWHM.

The accelerator was operated in the same gas jet without the guiding channel. Optimum unchanneled performance was at $n_e = 4 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, producing an exponential energy distribution with a 2.6 MeV temperature below 10 MeV and an 8 MeV temperature above 10 MeV, and no detectable electrons above 40 MeV. No difference was observed between operation in a neutral gas jet and a pre-ionized (but not channeled) plasma, confirming that channeling greatly enhanced accelerator performance.

SIMULATIONS AND DEPHASING

Two-dimensional particle in cell simulations performed with parameters close to the experiment (VORPAL, developed at U. of Colorado/Tech X [19]) indicated that the high quality electron bunches are formed by wake loading and dephasing [18, 20]. If laser pulse strength was just above that required to self trap electrons, loading of the wake [21] by the initial electron bunch trapped suppressed further injection. This led to a bunch of electrons isolated in phase space (Figure 3). If this bunch was accelerated until it dephased from the wake, the leading edge of the bunch was decelerated while the tail was still accelerating, concentrating the particles in energy and forming a low energy spread bunch at the dephasing length (Figure 3B). Matching accelerator length and dephasing length for the jet length and Z_R used required a guiding channel. A similar effect has also been seen in [22] for different plasma shapes, and quasi-monoenergetic structures was previously observed in [23] at much higher laser amplitudes.




FIGURE 3. Particle in cell simulations show plasma density (A), phase space (B) and laser profile (B, lineout) for the formation of high quality bunches by dephasing.

Dephasing physics was experimentally demonstrated by using gas jets of different lengths without channeling [20], showing that the highest energies for a given density and the most monoenergetic features were obtained near the dephasing length. Consistent with this, monoenergetic beams were also observed in other experiments using a large laser spot size to increase Z_R and hence the propagation distance of the laser [24, 25]. Like unchanneled experiments here, this produced lower charge and energy for a given laser power because the large spot size reduced laser intensity.

1 GeV EXPERIMENTS

Scaling laser accelerators to higher energies requires guiding over longer distances in lower density plasmas, where the dephasing length is longer. Experiments have now demonstrated production of narrow energy spread beams at energies up to 1 GeV [7], by using a hydrogen discharge capillary waveguide [26] to produce longer (3 cm) lower density (few $10^{18}/\text{cm}^3$) channels, which were driven by 10^7 's of TW of laser power. This demonstrates the anticipated scaling of channel-guided accelerators to GeV energies needed for many applications. Details of these experiments will be published in the October issue of Nature Physics [7].

CONCLUSIONS

Experiments demonstrated guiding of relativistically intense laser pulses over many Z_R in plasmas, and tailoring of the plasma profile to provide guiding without detectable aberration up to twice the relativistic self guiding threshold [15]. Increasing density and intensity produced self trapped electron beams of percent energy spread with several 10^9 electrons and with emittance comparable to state of the art radio frequency accelerators [18]. Energies up to 1 GeV were demonstrated. This offers the possibility of new classes of experiments on laser driven accelerators and indicates that development of high energy high quality beams is feasible using this method. Experiments and simulations indicate these beams are formed by beam loading and dephasing [20]. Input intensities near 10^{19} W/cm² have been guided without self injection of electrons, and controlled injection using the colliding pulse method are under way [17], which may further stabilize and improve the bunch quality. Radiation sources from the THz to the X-ray band are being developed using the unique ultrafast, high current properties of the beams [27].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Modena, et al., Nature 377 (1995) 606.
- [2] V. Malka, et al., Science 298 (2002) 1596.
- [3] W. P. Leemans, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 174802.
- [4] E. Esarey, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 2887.
- [5] E. Esarey, et al., IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 33 (1997) 1879.
- [6] Z. Najmudin, et al., Phys. Plasmas 10 (2003) 2071.
- [7] W. P. Leemans, et al., Nature Physics 2 (2006) accepted for publication.
- [8] C. G. Durfee, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 2409.
- [9] P. Volfbeyn, et al., Phys. Plasmas 6 (1999) 2269.
- [10] K. Y. Kim, et al., in AIP Conf. Proc., 647, 646 (2002).
- [11] E. W. Gaul, et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 77 (2000) 4112.
- [12] P. Sprangle, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 2200.
- [13] C. Toth, et al., SPIE Proceedings 5448 (2004) 491
- [14] W. P. Leemans, et al., Phys. Plasmas 5 (1998) 1615.
- [15] C. G. R. Geddes, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 145002.
- [16] D. Umstadter, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 2073.
- [17] E. Esarey, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 2682.
- [18] C. G. R. Geddes, et al., Nature 431 (2004) 538.
- [19] C. Nieter, et al., J. Comp. Phys. 196 (2004) 448.
- [20] C. G. R. Geddes, et al., Phys. Plasmas 12 (2005) 056709.
- [21] T. Katsouleas, et al., Particle Accel. 22 (1987) 81.
- [22] F. S. Tsung, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 1.
- [23] A. Pukhov, et al., Appl. Phys. B (2002) 4.
- [24] J. Faure, et al., Nature 431 (2004) 541.
- [25] S. P. D. Mangles, et al., Nature 431 (2004) 535.
- [26] A. Butler, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 185003.
- [27] W. P. Leemans, et al., IEEE Trans. Plasma. Sci. 33 (2005) 8.