UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation by circadian, metabolic, and cellular signals

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2hd7t0dx

Journal Journal of Biological Chemistry, 300(2)

ISSN 0021-9258

Authors

Liu, Xianhui Cai, Yao D Chiu, Joanna C

Publication Date 2024-02-01

DOI

10.1016/j.jbc.2023.105616

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



Regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation by circadian, metabolic, and cellular signals

Received for publication, July 24, 2023, and in revised form, December 12, 2023 Published, Papers in Press, December 29, 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jbc.2023.105616

Xianhui Liu[‡], Yao D. Cai[‡], and Joanna C. Chiu^{*}

From the Department of Entomology and Nematology, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, California, USA

Reviewed by members of the JBC Editorial Board. Edited by Robert Haltiwanger

O-linked β-N-acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAcylation) is a dynamic post-translational modification that regulates thousands of proteins and almost all cellular processes. Aberrant O-GlcNAcylation has been associated with numerous diseases, including cancer, neurodegenerative diseases, cardiovascular diseases, and type 2 diabetes. O-GlcNAcylation is highly nutrient-sensitive since it is dependent on UDP-GlcNAc, the end product of the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway (HBP). We previously observed daily rhythmicity of protein O-GlcNAcylation in a Drosophila model that is sensitive to the timing of food consumption. We showed that the circadian clock is pivotal in regulating daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythms given its control of the feeding-fasting cycle and hence nutrient availability. Interestingly, we reported that the circadian clock also modulates daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm by regulating molecular mechanisms beyond the regulation of food consumption time. A large body of work now indicates that O-GlcNAcylation is likely a generalized cellular status effector as it responds to various cellular signals and conditions, such as ER stress, apoptosis, and infection. In this review, we summarize the metabolic regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation through nutrient availability, HBP enzymes, and O-GlcNAc processing enzymes. We discuss the emerging roles of circadian clocks in regulating daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm. Finally, we provide an overview of other cellular signals or conditions that impact O-GlcNAcylation. Many of these cellular pathways are themselves regulated by the clock and/or metabolism. Our review highlights the importance of maintaining optimal O-GlcNAc rhythm by restricting eating activity to the active period under physiological conditions and provides insights into potential therapeutic targets of O-GlcNAc homeostasis under pathological conditions.

Protein O-linked β -*N*-acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAcylation) is a unique type of glycosylation, where N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) dynamically cycles on serine and threonine residues of proteins. Since its first discovery in the 1980s in lymphocytes (1), O-GlcNAcylation has been widely found in over 16,000 proteins to date in all domains of life (2). These O- GlcNAcylated proteins are involved in a wide range of fundamental biological processes (3-8), including transcription, translation, nutrient sensing, immune response, cell signaling, cell cycle, and circadian clocks. Importantly, aberrant O-GlcNAcylation has become the hallmark of many diseases (5, 9-12), such as cancer, neurodegenerative diseases, cardiovascular diseases, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes.

Given the indispensable role of O-GlcNAcylation under both physiological and pathological conditions, it is critical to understand the mechanisms that regulate the cycling of O-GlcNAcylation. O-GlcNAcylation is conventionally considered nutrient-sensitive because it is dependent on the substrate UDP-GlcNAc, the end product of the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway (HBP). HBP is a critical pathway that integrates glucose, amino acid, lipid, and nucleotide metabolism (5). After UDP-GlcNAc is produced, O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) catalyzes the addition of GlcNAc groups onto proteins, i.e. O-GlcNAcylation (13-15), while O-GlcNAcase (OGA) catalyzes the removal of GlcNAc group (16, 17). Indeed, metabolic input determines substrate availability of O-GlcNAcylation (8, 18-21). Decades of investigations also uncovered the regulation of O-GlcNAcylation by metabolic input via the modulation of HBP enzymes and O-GlcNAc processing enzymes.

Accumulating evidence showed that many other cellular signals and conditions also contribute to the regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation. Notably, the circadian clock has been shown to shape the daily rhythm of protein O-GlcNAcylation (18). The circadian clock is an endogenous biochemical timer that allows organisms to anticipate predictable environmental changes over the 24-h day-night cycles, such as daily light-dark cycles and temperature cycles (22-25). In animals, daily oscillations of physiological, metabolic, and behavioral processes are strongly regulated by circadian clocks (22-25). Therefore, the regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation by circadian clocks could be imposed at multiple levels. At the behavioral level, the circadian clock controls daily feedingfasting behavior to rhythmically provide metabolic input for UDP-GlcNAc production and O-GlcNAcylation (18). Indeed, under physiological conditions, the daily feeding-fasting cycle is shown to correlate with daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm in mouse hearts (26) and Drosophila tissues (18). At the cellular level, circadian clocks can orchestrate daily rhythms in mRNA,

J. Biol. Chem. (2024) 300(2) 105616 1

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

^{*} For correspondence: Joanna C. Chiu, jcchiu@ucdavis.edu.

^{© 2023} THE AUTHORS. Published by Elsevier Inc on behalf of American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

protein abundance, and/or enzymatic activities of HBP enzymes and O-GlcNAc processing enzymes (18, 27–29). Finally, a number of other factors also influence O-GlcNAcylation, such as endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, oxidative stress, infection, apoptosis, development, and aging, to name a few (30–40).

In this review, we will provide a broad overview of the metabolic, circadian, and cellular signals that regulate protein O-GlcNAcylation. We will summarize the effect of nutrient availability on HBP and O-GlcNAcylation, highlight the metabolic regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation through impacting HBP and O-GlcNAc processing enzymes, discuss the evidence on the regulation of daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythms by the circadian clock, and outline other cellular signals that modulate protein O-GlcNAcylation.

The regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation by nutrient availability

Depending on the cell type and metabolic status of the cell, 0.003% to 3% of the glucose in cells feeds into the HBP pathway (41-43). In primary cultured adipocytes, Marshall et al. (41) indirectly estimated that 2% to 3% of glucose feeds into the HBP by measuring the relative glucosamine versus glucose utilization of the cells. Gibb *et al.* (42) concluded that the HBP in cultured neonatal cardiomyocytes utilizes more glucose than the pentose phosphate pathway by measuring the overall levels of isotope-labeled UDP-HexNAc. Their study suggested that previous studies severely underestimated glucose flux into the HBP. Olson et al. (43) directly monitored glucose flux through the HBP using isotope tracking in an isolated working mouse heart and observed that only 0.003% to 0.006% of glucose is metabolized through the HBP. Future investigations are warranted to determine the glucose fluxes into the HBP in different cell types, organs, and species and investigate how glucose fluxes are differentially regulated in different cell types and organs.

The HBP then converts metabolites from amino acid, lipid, and nucleotide metabolism to produce UDP-GlcNAc (Fig. 1A). Since the activity of OGT is highly responsive to UDP-GlcNAc concentration with multiple K_m values (44), the effect of nutrient availability on UDP-GlcNAc level has been of great interest. Generally, UDP-GlcNAc levels in many tissue culture experiments have been observed to change in accordance with the levels of various nutrients, including glucose, glutamine, glucosamine, acetylglucosamine, uridine, palmitate, and stearate (19-21, 45-49) (Fig. 1B). Moreover, the correlation between nutrient availability and UDP-GlcNAc has also been investigated in animals. The UDP-GlcNAc level has been shown to increase in rat skeletal muscles after infusion of lipid emulsion, uridine, or GlcN for 7 h (50). In *Drosophila*, the daily UDP-GlcNAc level correlates with feeding rhythm under ad libitum conditions (18). This correlation has been confirmed by time-restricted feeding paradigms. When the fly feeding activity was restricted to different 6-h windows within a daynight cycle, the timing of the peak of the daily UDP-GlcNAc rhythm shifted according to the timing of food consumption.

Experiments to determine whether protein O-GlcNAcylation level corresponds to nutrient availability have produced mixed results so far. In some cell lines or ex vivo tissues, O-GlcNAcylation levels increase as the level of glucose, glucosamine, and glutamine increases (51-54) and vice versa (19, 55). Similarly, under pathological conditions, excessive glucose availability during hyperglycemia results in higher O-GlcNAcylation levels (11, 56-58). In vivo studies in mouse heart and fly tissues also reported that daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm is correlated with feeding-fasting cycles and nutrient availability (18, 26). Nevertheless, glucose starvation has been shown to increase O-GlcNAcylation in several studies (19, 59, 60). In addition, when flies are fed at unnatural feeding time (i.e. when flies are awake but normally fasting), O-GlcNAcylation rhythm does not shift its peak time to coincide with the shifted time of food consumption, but rather feeding at unnatural time results in dampened O-GlcNAcylation rhythm (18). On one hand, glucose starvation or mistimed eating could modulate OGT, OGA, and glutamine fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase (GFAT), the rate-limiting enzyme of HBP (See sections below) (19, 59, 60), thus creating more complex scenarios rather strict correlation between nutrient availability and O-GlcNAcylation level. Indeed, mistimed eating alters ogt and oga mRNA levels, as well as GFAT enzymatic activity (18). On the other hand, it is very likely that the response of protein O-GlcNAcylation to glucose starvation or mistimed eating could be cell type or tissue-specific, as Pham et al. (55) demonstrated that nutrient deprivation differentially influences protein O-GlcNAcylation in a number of cell lines.

In conclusion, despite that nutrient availability mostly determines UDP-GlcNAc production in cell lines and tissues, cellular O-GlcNAcylation level does not always correspond to nutrient availability. This suggests that additional cellular factors regulate protein O-GlcNAcylation. Future investigations into conservation and differences in the regulation of UDP-GlcNAc production and O-GlcNAcylation by nutrient availability in different organs and species, especially diurnal *versus* nocturnal animals, will certainly provide new insights into the regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation by nutrient availability.

Metabolic regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation through regulation of HBP enzymes

In addition to nutrient availability, the activity of HBP enzymes also plays critical roles in UDP-GlcNAc production. Four key enzymes are involved in HBP: GFAT, glucosaminephosphate N-acetyltransferase (GNPNAT), GlcNAc phosphomutase (PGM3/AGM1), and UDP-N-acetylglucosamine pyrophosphorylase (UAP1/AGX1) (Fig. 1*A*).

As the rate-limiting enzyme of HBP (61), GFAT is the most studied to date. In animals, two GFAT paralogs, GFAT1 and GFAT2, share 75% to 80% amino acid sequence. They are functionally equivalent but distributed in different tissues or cell types (62–64). At the mRNA level, *gfat* is responsive to metabolic signals (Fig. 1*B*). Many macronutrients stimulate *gfat* mRNA expression, including glucose (65–67), glutamine



Figure 1. Metabolic regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation. *A*, nutrient input from feeding activity or cell culture media provides essential metabolites for hexosamine biosynthetic pathway (HBP). The end product of HBP, UDP-GlcNAc, is the substrate for protein O-GlcNAcylation. The key enzymes that are under additional metabolic regulation are highlighted in blue circle. *B*, nutrient level regulates the activities of glutamine:fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase (GFAT) (13, 44, 54, 60–64, 66–73, 76, 77), N-acetylglucosamine kinase (NAGK) (78), O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) (13, 14, 55, 79–91) and O-GlcNAcase (OGA) (13). These enzymes represent hubs for metabolic regulation of O-GlcNAcylation. The expression denotes mRNA and/or protein expression of indicated enzymes. Functional groups of each metabolite are color-coded to highlight the processes that contribute to their metabolism. Other metabolites or nutrient status that contribute to protein O-GlcNAcylation are illustrated in *brown*. O-GlcNAc is depicted as G on protein. AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; CaMKII, calcium–calmodulin (CaM)-dependent protein kinase II; GCN2, general control nonderepressible 2; GFAT, glutamine-fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase; GlcN-6-P, glucosamine-6-phosphate; GlcNAc, N-acetylglucosamine; GlcNAc-1-P, N acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate; GlcNAc-6-P, N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate; GNPNAT, glucosamine-phosphate N-acetyltransferase; GPI, phosphoglucose isomerase; GSK3β, glycogen synthase kinase-3 β; HK, Hexokinase; Hsp90, Heat shock protein 90; IR, insulin receptor; mRNA, microRNA; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; NR, nuclear subfamily four group A member one; OGA, O-GlcNAcase; OGT, O-GlcNAc transferase; PGM3, phosphoacetylglucosamine mutase; PKA, protein kinase A; PTM, post-translational modification; SFK, Src family kinases, UAP1, UDP-N-acetyl glucosamine pyrophosphorylase one; UDP-GlcNAc, uridine diphosphate N-acetylglucosamine; JUPP, uridine triphosphate.

(67), glucosamine (65), palmitate (49, 68), stearate (49), and ceramide (68) (Fig. 1*B*). The effect of glucose and glutamine on *gfat1* expression is mediated by mTOR2-cMYC axis (67), while nuclear receptor subfamily four group A member 1 (NR4A1) transcription factor can respond to palmitate and ceramide levels to increase *gfat2* mRNA (68). Moreover, glucose and glucosamine can upregulate *gfat* mRNA levels by inhibiting microRNA (miR)-27b-3p (65) (Fig. 1*B*). In addition to evidence from cell culture experiments described above, we showed that *gfat2* mRNA in fly tissues, but not *gfat1* mRNA, is strongly responsive to nutrient input *via* feeding activity (18). On the contrary, nutrient deprivation also increases *gfat1* mRNA expression. This could be mediated by mTOR2 (69) and/or

general control nonderepressible 2 (GCN2)-activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) pathways (59) (Fig. 1*B*). Although these observations are counterintuitive considering the nutrient sensitivity of HBP, the increased *gfat1* expression is speculated to have protective roles upon moderate nutrient deprivation (59, 69). In addition to macronutrients, vitamin D can inhibit *gfat* expression in rat hearts through an unknown mechanism (70) (Fig. 1*B*).

At the posttranslational level, GFAT is phosphorylated by nutrient-sensing kinases, including AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), mTOR complex 2 (mTORC2), and protein kinase A (PKA) (Fig. 1*B*). AMPK, an energy sensor, phosphorylates GFAT1 at serine 243 (S243) (71, 72). mTORC2 also phosphorylates S243 in response to glucose and glutamine concentration in cell media (73). Furthermore, nucleoside diphosphate kinase B (NDPKB) counteracts S243 phosphorylation through an unknown mechanism (74). Despite that the kinases involved are characterized, how S243 phosphorylation modulates GFAT activity remains controversial. Moloughney et al. (73) reported that S243 phosphorylation increases GFAT1 activity, while Eguchi et al. (71) showed that S243 phosphorylation downregulates GFAT1 activity. This could be due to different cell lines utilized in these studies (mouse embryonic fibroblasts and HeLa cells in (73), Chinese hamster ovary cells overexpressing insulin receptors in (71)). Finally, under fasting or starvation conditions, GFAT exhibits lower activity (75, 76), which could be mediated by PKA (75) (Fig. 1B). PKA-directed S205 phosphorylation reduces GFAT1 activity (75, 77). The function of PKA-dependent GFAT1(S235) phosphorylation remains unknown (75). On the contrary, PKA is also shown to increase GFAT activity in two other studies (78, 79). Given that PKA also phosphorylates GFAT2(S202) and increases GFAT2 activity by 2.2-fold (80), increased GFAT2 activity may counteract the PKA-dependent reduction of GFAT1 activity (79). Therefore, the effect of PKA on GFAT activity could exhibit cell type specificity depending on relative expression levels of GFAT1 and GFAT2.

Finally, HBP metabolites can feedback to regulate GFAT activity. In the early 2000s, *in vitro* studies showed that glucosamine-6-phosphate (GlcN-6-P), the immediate product of the GFAT-catalyzed reaction, and UDP-GlcNAc both inhibit GFAT activity (78, 81) (Fig. 1*B*). Follow-up structural biology analysis showed that UDP-GlcNAc interacts with an interdomain linker to allosterically downregulate GFAT activity (82).

In summary, significant progress has been made to uncover the metabolic regulation of *gfat* mRNA and GFAT protein expression as well as its enzymatic activity. In comparison, studies that examined the metabolic regulation of other HBP enzymes are limited. One recent study revealed that glutamine deprivation increases UDP-GlcNAc levels *via* N-acetylglucosamine kinase (NAGK)-dependent salvage of GlcNAc, another precursor of UDP-GlcNAc (83). Future investigations of other HBP enzymes will be important to provide a comprehensive understanding of the metabolic regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation.

Metabolic regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation through regulation of O-GlcNAc processing enzymes

OGT utilizes UDP-GlcNAc to modify proteins, while OGA reverses this modification. In this section, we discuss the mechanistic regulation of OGT and OGA by nutrient availability and nutrient sensing pathways. Nutrient availability and nutrient sensing pathways can regulate OGT protein expression (Fig. 1*B*). Feeding activity and hence nutrient availability have been shown to regulate daily OGT expression rhythm in *Drosophila* animals (18). When flies are subjected to time-restricted feeding (TRF) at different time windows, robust daily oscillation of OGT protein is observed. The peak time of

OGT protein rhythm coincides well with the timing of food consumption (18). A similar phenomenon has been observed at the *ogt* mRNA level under TRF conditions, except for two minor differences: (a) the amplitude of *ogt* mRNA rhythm remains the same when the timing of food consumption is altered and (b) the peak time of *ogt* mRNA is delayed by a few hours after feeding time (18).

A number of other studies investigated the effect of glucose on OGT expression, producing somewhat contradictory conclusions. Under high glucose conditions, glucose can promote *ogt* mRNA and subsequently protein levels through inhibiting miR-200a/b (84) (Fig. 1*B*). Interestingly, *ogt* mRNA and OGT protein levels have also been shown to be elevated under glucose deprivation (19, 60), although the mechanism is unknown. More recently, L-carnitine has been shown to regulate *ogt* mRNA expression through the activity of nuclear receptors (85) (Fig. 1*B*). Finally, it has been reported that mTOR nutrient-sensing pathway can promote OGT protein levels *via* the cMYC-Hsp90 axis (86–88) (Fig. 1*B*).

Regulation of mRNA and protein levels is not the only mechanism by which O-GlcNAc processing enzymes are regulated by metabolic signals. OGT subcellular localization is modulated by nutrient-sensing pathways to achieve temporal O-GlcNAcylation of proteins in different cellular compartments. OGT contains a nuclear localization sequence (NLS) (residue 451-453). Upon O-GlcNAcylation of OGT(S389), importin a5 recognizes the NLS and imports OGT into the nucleus (89) (Fig. 1B). Given that O-GlcNAcylation is responsive to metabolic signals as described in previous sections, OGT(S389) O-GlcNAcylation could be one of the mechanisms by which metabolic signals regulate OGT. In addition, in response to the glucose level in cell media, AMPK also modulates the nuclear translocation of OGT by OGT(T444) phosphorylation, probably through importin α 5dependent mechanisms (90) (Fig. 1B). Finally, the insulin signaling pathway has been shown to recruit OGT to the cytoplasm (91, 92). Upon insulin stimulation, PI(3,4,5)P3 binds the PIP-binding domain of OGT and promotes cytoplasmic localization (92) (Fig. 1B).

Nutrient-sensitive OGT phosphorylation can directly control its enzymatic activity. Insulin signaling promotes phosphorylation of yet uncharacterized tyrosine residue(s) on OGT, possibly by insulin receptor and/or Src protein-tyrosine kinase (91) (Fig. 1*B*). Downstream of insulin signaling, glycogen synthase kinase 3 β (GSK3 β) phosphorylates OGT(S3/4) (93) (Fig. 1*B*). Wang *et al.* (94) further showed that inhibiting GSK3 β alters OGT substrate selectivity, adding an additional layer of OGT regulation by insulin signaling. During nutrient deprivation, calcium-calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII) phosphorylates OGT(S20) and increases OGT activity (95, 96) (Fig. 1*B*).

Similar to OGT, OGA protein levels are highly dependent on the timing of food consumption in *Drosophila* animals (18). At the transcript level, *oga* mRNA is rhythmic when flies feed at natural feeding time but not at unnatural feeding time when they are normally fasting (18), indicating *oga*/OGT is regulated by both nutrient input *via* feeding and circadian clocks (see next section). At present, the molecular mechanisms by which *oga* mRNA and OGA protein are regulated by nutrient availability and/or nutrient sensing pathways are largely unknown. One potential mechanism is that nutrient-sensitive O-GlcNAcylation can modify OGA (97). However the functional outcome of OGA O-GlcNAcylation remains a gap in knowledge. In conclusion, OGT can be regulated by metabolic signals in multiple ways, and more studies are warranted to investigate the metabolic regulation of OGA.

Regulation of daily protein O-GlcNAcylation rhythm by the circadian clock

Given the nutrient sensitivity of protein O-GlcNAcylation discussed in the previous sections, it is perhaps not surprising that protein O-GlcNAcylation oscillates over the 24-h daynight cycle due to rhythmic feeding-fasting behaviors in animals. Indeed, both mice and flies display daily protein O-GlcNAcylation rhythms, primarily peaking during their feeding windows (18, 26) (Fig. 2). Interestingly, several lines of evidence suggest circadian clocks regulate protein O-GlcNAcylation through other mechanisms in addition to the regulation of rhythmic feeding-fasting behavior. First, when flies are forced to feed at unnatural feeding time, protein O-GlcNAcylation rhythm dampens significantly. This indicates circadian clocks may provide buffering mechanisms that prevent protein O-GlcNAcylation from peaking at nonoptimal time. Second, in flies with defective circadian clocks, protein O-GlcNAcylation rhythm also dampens significantly and the levels remain constant throughout a 24-h period (18). This is not purely due to disrupted feeding-fasting activities, given that restricting the feeding time of clock mutant flies at natural feeding time does not rescue O-GlcNAcylation rhythms. We devote this section to reviewing the evidence on the regulation of daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm by the circadian clock.

In addition to rhythmic UDP-GlcNAc production driven by clock-controlled feeding activity (18), the activity of HBP enzymes could also be under clock control. Based on publicly available circadian transcriptomic datasets in flies and mice, we found that the transcripts encoding all four HBP enzymes oscillate over a day-night cycle in at least one study (Fig. 2 and Table 1). At the protein level, published proteomic datasets suggest that GFAT, GNPNAT, and UAP1 proteins exhibit daily oscillation (Fig. 2 and Table 1). As for the enzymatic activity of HBP enzymes, our recent study reported daily oscillation of GFAT enzymatic activity in wild type (WT) fly tissues, but this rhythmicity is diminished in arrhythmic clock mutant flies (per^{0} ; period null mutant fly) (18). Interestingly, restricting food consumption of clock mutant flies at natural feeding time of WT flies failed to rescue rhythmic GFAT enzymatic activity. This suggests that a functional circadian clock is essential to maintain GFAT activity rhythm beyond the regulation of feeding-fasting cycles. Given our observation that the peak of daily GFAT activity rhythm is always fixed right after the natural feeding time window in WT flies, even when flies were fed at unnatural feeding time (18), we



Figure 2. The circadian clock and metabolic signals from the feedingfasting cycle shape the daily oscillation of protein O-GlcNAcylation. The circadian clock receives environmental signals, such as light-dark cycles, and regulates the daily feeding-fasting cycle. Feeding-fasting cycle rhythmically provides nutrient input for the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway (HBP) and contributes to the daily rhythmic production of UDP-GlcNAc and protein O-GlcNAcylation rhythms (18). The circadian clock and feedingfasting cycle also regulate protein O-GlcNAcylation rhythm by modulating the activity of HBP enzymes (13, 22-24, 93, 94) and Ó-GlcNÁc processing enzymes (13, 23, 24, 88, 93, 95-105). Enzymes under daily rhythmic regulation are annotated with clock cartoons. The expression denotes mRNA and/or protein expression of indicated enzymes. Solid arrows indicate evidence from targeted studies of individual proteins, while dashed arrows indicate evidence gathered from omics studies. Line color differentiates clock-driven regulation (blue) and feeding-driven regulation (brown). AMPK and REV-ERBa are potential molecular mediators of clock-driven regulation on GFAT (71, 72, 98) and OGT (99), respectively. AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; GFAT, glutamine-fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase; GNPNAT, glucosamine-phosphate N-acetyltransferase; OGA, O-GlcNAcase; OGT, O-GIcNAc transferase; PGM3, phosphoacetylglucosamine mutase; UAP1, UDP-N-acetyl glucosamine pyrophosphorylase one.

concluded that circadian clocks impose a stronger regulation on GFAT activity rhythm as compared to nutrient input (Fig. 2). Clock-controlled GFAT regulation likely happens at posttranscriptional and/or posttranslational levels (18). At present, it remains unknown whether the clock-controlled

		Mouse		H	A	
Enzymes	Transcripts	Protein	Phospho-peptide	Transcripts	Protein	Reference
GFAT/GFPT	Cycling in liver, SCN, BAT kidnev and aorta	Cycling in macrophages	Cycling in liver	Cycling in head and body	Non-cycling in head	(18, 28, 29, 98, 173, 197–202)
GNPNAT PGM3	Cycling in liver. Cycling in liver, urinary bladder,	Cycling in macrophages Cycling in macrophages	N/A N/A	Cycling in head Non-cycling in head	Cycling in head Cycling in head	(27, 29, 197, 202–204) (27–29, 197, 199, 202, 205–209)
UAP1	Lung, and AG Cycling in kidney, lung and WAT	Cycling in liver and macrophages	N/A	Non-cycling in head	Cycling in head	(27–29, 197–199, 202, 206,
OGT	Cycling in liver, SCN and DC	Cycling in macrophages	N/A	Cycling in body	Cycling in body	ZUV, ZU9, ZU0, ZU9, ZU0) (18, 27–29, 93, 173, 197, 199, 2002, 2002, 210, 212)
OGA	Cycling in liver	Cycling in liver and macrophages	N/A	Cycling in head and body	Cycling in head and body	202, 200, 210–213) (18, 27–29, 198, 202, 203, 205, 211, 214–216)

daily feeding-fasting cycle shapes daily GFAT activity rhythm through metabolic regulations (See previous sections). Intriguingly, phosphoproteomics in mouse liver tissue detected rhythmic GFAT1(S259) phosphorylation over a 24-h period and occupancy of this phosphorylation peaks right after mouse feeding phase (98) (Fig. 2). Mouse GFAT1(S259) is equivalent to human GFAT1(S243), the AMPK-directed phosphorylation with still debatable effects on GFAT1 activity as discussed above. Finally, whether rhythmic GFAT1(S259) phosphorylation leads to rhythmic GFAT activity remains to be investigated.

Other than HBP enzymes, OGT and OGA are also under circadian clock regulation. Combing through published circadian transcriptomic and proteomic datasets, we found that both transcript and protein levels of oga and ogt are rhythmic in mouse and fly tissues (Fig. 2 and Table 1). In our TRF experiments, the respective mRNA and protein of OGT and OGA are all rhythmic in fly body tissues (18) (Fig. 2). More importantly, the rhythmicity of OGT and OGA protein expression is diminished in arrhythmic clock mutant flies (per⁰) even under natural feeding time (18), suggesting the requirement of an intact circadian clock to properly regulate the rhythm of OGT and OGA proteins. Finally, in human hepatoma HepG2 cells, REV-ERBa, a core component of the molecular clock, can bind and stabilize OGT (99) (Fig. 2). As REV-ERBa translocates between nucleus and cytoplasm over a day-night cycle, OGT stability in different cellular compartments can also oscillate (99).

In summary, the expression of HBP enzymes and O-GlcNAc processing enzymes are highly regulated by circadian clocks. The phosphorylation and enzymatic activity of GFAT are also rhythmic over a 24-h period. Therefore, under physiological conditions, it is essential to maintain a proper feeding-fasting cycle as established by circadian clocks to maintain an O-GlcNAcylation homeostasis. The regulation of daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm by the circadian clock is likely to be more extensive than discussed here. Currently, it is unknown whether other HBP enzymes and O-GlcNAc processing enzymes are also rhythmically phosphorylated or rhythmically modified by other posttranslational modifications (PTMs) and whether the activities of other HBP enzymes and O-GlcNAc processing enzymes oscillate over 24-h day-night cycles.

A wide range of cellular signals regulate protein O-GlcNAcylation

In addition to metabolic and environmental signals communicated through the circadian clock, protein O-GlcNAcylation is also responsive to various other cellular signals, including ER stress, oxidative stress, infection, apoptosis, and cell division (31–33, 35, 36, 38, 39) (Fig. 3). Significantly, elevated O-GlcNAcylation under many stress conditions facilitates cell survival (31, 32, 36, 39). Cellular signals are known to regulate protein O-GlcNAcylation by modulating the activity of HBP enzymes, specifically GFAT, and O-GlcNAc processing enzymes. In this section, we will

Table



Figure 3. The regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation by cellular signals and conditions. Protein O-GlcNAcylation can respond to a wide range of cellular signals and conditions, mediated by GFAT (61, 106–116, 143), OGT (117–123, 126–136, 139–142, 146, 147) and OGA (117, 124, 125, 137–139, 144). The expression denotes mRNA and/or protein expression of indicated enzymes. Known regulators involved are shown and discussed in the text. BAP1, BRCA1 associated protein-1; CASP3, caspase-3; CEBPa, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein α; ChK1, checkpoint kinase one; circRNA, circular RNA; CUL3, cullin three; E6AP, ubiquitin ligase E6AP; EGF, epidermal growth factor; FAS, fatty acid synthase; FOXA2, forkhead box A2; FOXO1, forkhead box protein O1; HIF1α, hypoxia-inducible Factor; IL-8, interleukin-8; miRNA, microRNA; NAT10, N-acetyltransferase 10; NE, Norepinephrine; NRF2, nuclear factor E2-related factor-2; OGG1, 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase one; PERK, protein kinase R-like ER kinase; RANBP2, RAN-binding protein two; RELA, nuclear factor-kB p65; ROCK2, Rho-associated coiled-coil forming protein kinase two; TG2, transglutaminase two; TGF-β, transforming growth factor β; Xbp1, spliced X-box binding protein one.

discuss the cellular signals and relevant mechanisms that target GFAT, OGT, and OGA to regulate protein O-GlcNAcylation. The examples provided in this section are mostly from mammalian cell culture studies.

Multiple humoral signals, environmental stimuli, and stress conditions influence the expression and PTM of GFAT. Angiotensin II (100), epidermal growth factor (EGF) (66), transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) (101), and interleukin-8 (IL-8) (102) can promote GFAT protein expression via yet uncharacterized mechanisms (Fig. 3). Other cellular signals can modify transcription factors that are responsible for gfat mRNA expression (103-109) (Fig. 3). For example, hypoxia can stimulate gfat1 expression through hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF1 α) (104). Forkhead box A2 (FOXA2) can upregulate gfat1 expression during apoptosis (106). Unfolded protein response can trigger the expression of multiple transcripts that encode HBP enzymes through the activity of spliced X-box binding protein 1 (Xbp1s) (103). Finally, infection increases GFAT enzymatic activity by transglutaminase 2 (TG2)-dependent PTM on GFAT (110) (Fig. 3).

OGT protein and enzymatic activity also respond to various stimuli and stress conditions. Oxidative stress (111), virus

infection (112, 113), and cytokinesis (114) have been shown to regulate OGT protein levels (Fig. 3). The E6 protein from human papillomavirus can interact with E6AP E3 ligase to enhance OGT ubiquitination and promote OGT degradation (113) (Fig. 3). During cytokinesis, checkpoint kinase 1 (CHK1) phosphorylates OGT(S20), which stabilizes OGT by decreasing OGT ubiquitination (114) (Fig. 3). Other OGT phosphorylation events have also been reported to regulate OGT activity in response to cellular signals. EGF signaling stimulates OGT(Y976) phosphorylation and alters its substrate selectivity (115) (Fig. 3). Norepinephrine (NE) can promote OGT phosphorylation by protein kinase R-like ER kinase (PERK) and increase OGT activity on casein kinase 2α (CK2 α) (116) (Fig. 3). Depolarization of neuronal cells can stimulate OGT activity through CaMKIV-dependent phosphorylation (117) (Fig. 3).

Similar to OGT, OGA is also regulated by a range of cellular conditions. OGA expression and activity have been shown to be responsive to apoptosis (118), oxidative stress (111), and maturation of oocytes (119) (Fig. 3). Apoptosis induces the cleavage of OGA protein by caspase-3 (CASP3), yet interestingly no effect on OGA activity was observed (118) (Fig. 3).

Under oxidative stress, OGA activity is diminished by interacting with fatty acid synthase (FAS) (111) (Fig. 3). During *in vitro* maturation of mouse oocytes, N-acetyltransferase 10 (NAT10) increases OGA protein levels by stabilizing *oga* mRNA *via* mRNA N⁴-acetylcytidine (ac4C) (119) (Fig. 3).

In addition to cellular signals and conditions described above, GFAT, OGT and OGA are also altered under pathophysiological conditions (120–141) (Fig. 3). The misregulation of O-GlcNAcylation has been of great interest in the study of cancers. For example, several microRNAs (123–130, 135, 140, 141) and a circular RNA (122) are found to negatively regulate OGT activity, while Rho-associated coiled-coil forming protein kinase 2 (ROCK2) (120) and BRCA1 associated protein-1 (BAP1) (121) inhibit OGT ubiquitination and stabilize OGT (Fig. 3). OGA expression could be downregulated by RANbinding protein 2 (RANBP2), a SUMO E3 ligase, which cause degradation of CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein α (CEBP α), a transcription factor of OGA (131) (Fig. 3).

In summary, O-GlcNAcylation is responsive to a wide range of cellular signals and/or conditions, suggesting the role of O-GlcNAcylation as a more generalized cellular status effector. Interestingly, cellular signals and/or conditions mentioned in this section also respond to metabolic and circadian signals, forming an intertwined network of regulation on O-GlcNAcylation (Table 2). Given the ubiquitous function of O-GlcNAcylation in biological processes and the importance of

Table 2

Some	cellular	signals	that	regulate	e protein	O-GlcNAcylation	are
thems	elves un	der circa	idian	and/or n	netabolic ı	regulation	

Cellular signals	Enzymes that regulate protein O-GlcNAcylation	Under circadian control?	Under metabolic control?
ER stress	Xbp1s	(217)	(218)
TGF-β	•	(184, 185)	(219 - 222)
IL-8		(223)	(224, 225)
Angiotensin II		(180, 181)	(226)
Apoptosis	FOXA2	-	(227)
	CASP3	(228)	(229)
Oxidative stress	FAS	-	(230, 231)
Maturation of oocyte	NAT10	-	-
Cancer or other	RANBP2-CEBPα	(98)	-
metabolic	ROCK2	(232)	(233)
diseases	BAP1	-	-
	CUL3-NRF2	(234)	-
	miRNA	(235)	(236)
	cirRNA	-	-
Cytokinesis	ChK1	(237, 238)	-
Depolarization	CaMKIV	-	-
Norepinephrine	PERK	(239)	(240, 241)
EGF		(182, 183)	-
Infection	RELA-OGG1	(242)	(243, 244)
	FOXO1	-	(245)
	TG2	-	-
	E6AP	-	(246)
Hypoxia	HIF1a	(247–251)	(252)

Abbreviations: BAP1, BRCA1 associated protein-1; CASP3, caspase-3; CEBP α , CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein α ; ChK1, checkpoint kinase one; circRNA, circular RNA; CUL3, cullin three; E6AP, ubiquitin ligase E6AP; EGF, epidermal growth factor; FAS, fatty acid synthase; FOXA2, forkhead box A2; FOXO1, forkhead box protein O1; HIF1 α , hypoxia inducible factor 1 α ; IL-8, interleukin-8; miRNA, microRNA; NAT10, N-acetyltransferase 10; NRF2, nuclear factor E2-related factor-2; OGG1, 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase one; PERK, Protein Kinase RNA-like ER kinase; RANBP2, RAN-binding protein two; RELA, nuclear factor-kB p65; ROCK2, Rho-associated coiled-coil forming protein kinase two; TG2, transglutaminase two; TGF- β , transforming growth factor β ; Xbp1, spliced X-box binding protein one.

maintaining O-GlcNAcylation homeostasis, it is worth noting that OGT and OGA levels generally correlate with each other in many different cell types or conditions (18, 60, 132, 133, 142, 143). Also, general cellular O-GlcNAcylation status can feedback to regulate OGT and/or OGA expression (134, 144, 145). The detailed molecular mechanism that maintains cellular O-GlcNAcylation homeostasis has been summarized in other review articles (5, 6).

Conclusion and future prospects

Over 3 decades of investigations have advanced our understanding of the functions of O-GlcNAcylation in various fundamental cellular processes and pathological development of many diseases (5, 9-12). Many studies have shown that O-GlcNAcylation is regulated by nutrient availability and nutrient sensing pathways (Fig. 1). In addition, there is rapidly accumulating evidence indicating that many other cellular signals and molecular pathways modulate protein O-GlcNAcylation (Figs. 2 and 3), suggesting the role of O-GlcNAcylation as a generalized cellular status effector. In this review, we discuss the metabolic regulation of protein O-GlcNAcylation through HBP and O-GlcNAc processing enzymes, summarize the mechanisms by which circadian clocks shape daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythms, and highlight other cellular signals and associated molecular mechanisms that influence cellular O-GlcNAcylation level. Our review emphasizes the importance of maintaining proper daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm by controlling mealtime. O-GlcNAcylation is tightly regulated by daily nutrient input and circadian clocks and is expected to provide time-of-day specific regulation on cellular processes to mediate clock and metabolic control of daily rhythmic physiology and behavior (8). This review also provides insights into potential targets for the development of therapeutics to alleviate disruption of O-GlcNAc homeostasis in metabolic diseases. As metabolic input is the primary regulatory factor for O-GlcNAcylation, we propose that O-GlcNAcylation could partially mediate the beneficial effects of time-restricted eating in patients with metabolic syndrome, which limits the eating time to under 10 h during the active period (146). Notably, many regulatory factors of O-GlcNAcylation described in this review, such as ROCK2 and BAP1, have been already used as therapeutic targets in patients (147, 148).

In this review, we largely focused on the cellular signals and pathways that regulate overall protein O-GlcNAcylation. Besides the gaps in knowledge we have already highlighted in the previous sections, there remain many questions regarding the regulation of O-GlcNAcylation that warrant future investigations. One interesting question is how OGT and OGA achieve substrate specificity (149, 150), given there are thousands of protein substrates for O-GlcNAcylation. So far, it has been uncovered that mechanisms underlying substrate specificity include phosphorylation (94, 115, 151), subcellular localization (89, 90, 92), dimerization (152–154), structural divergence (111, 155–161), and adaptor proteins (6, 162–166). Another interesting question is how do other PTMs on target proteins, such as phosphorylation (167), acetylation (168–170), and ubiquitination (171–173) interact with O-GlcNAcylation in individual proteins to regulate structure and function. Among these PTMs, phosphorylation is the most well-studied PTM that can facilitate or inhibit protein O-GlcNAcylation depending on the position of amino acid residues (167, 174–176). Future studies examining the interactions between O-GlcNAcylation and other PTMs in response to metabolic and cellular signals are critical to provide a comprehensive understanding of the regulation of biological processes *via* multiple PTMs.

Beyond the cellular level, there have been more and more studies that investigated the impact of environmental, physiological, and systemic changes on protein O-GlcNAcylation in whole animal models. Development (30), aging (37), maternal stress (34), exercise (40, 141, 143, 177), cold exposure (116), fear conditioning (178) and rapid eye movement (REM) sleep deprivation (179) are all shown to influence protein O-GlcNAcylation. However, our knowledge of the underlying mechanisms is rather limited. In mouse skeletal muscle, exercise-induced lactate and hypoxia can signal to HIF1a, which increases OGT expression and O-GlcNAcylation (141). In mouse brown adipose tissue, cold exposure can trigger OGT phosphorylation by PERK and promote OGT activity (116). Perhaps not surprisingly, the O-GlcNAcylation response appears to be tissue-specific. For example, exercise upregulates O-GlcNAcylation in skeletal muscle (141, 177), but downregulates it in the heart (143). Therefore, more studies are warranted to investigate the mechanisms of O-GlcNAcylation regulation by various physiological and environmental conditions in animal models.

Finally, although the circadian clock has been shown to regulate daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm (18, 26), the molecular mediator(s) are just starting to be explored (Fig. 2). Many regulators of O-GlcNAcylation mentioned in this review are under clock control, including angiotensin II (180, 181), EGF (182, 183), and TGF-B (184, 185) (Table 2). Many of them could play important roles in regulating rhythmic O-GlcNAcylation to control daily biological rhythms. It is important to point out that the daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm is likely to be different in diurnal versus nocturnal animals, given their distinct feeding windows. In the same species, O-GlcNAcylation rhythm and its response to nutrient availability may display organ specificity, due to distinct nutrient sensitivity and metabolic properties of individual organs. For example, the blood-brain barrier renders the brain tissue less sensitive to the fluctuation of nutrients in peripheries, while the heart is extremely metabolically active so that it might be highly impacted by daily feeding activity. It is also interesting to investigate the impact of metabolic diseases on daily O-GlcNAcylation rhythm. As metabolic diseases, or even high-fat diet, are known to disrupt daily rhythms in the transcriptome, sleep/wake cycle, and feeding/fasting cycle (186-191), O-GlcNAcylation might mediate the disruptive effect of metabolic diseases on circadian physiology. Finally, to characterize the function of O-GlcNAcylation in circadian physiology, it is critical to identify the proteins that are

rhythmically modified by O-GlcNAcylation using proteomic approaches. Comparative O-GlcNAcome is warranted to reveal tissue-specific and/or pathologically disrupted rhythm of O-GlcNAc proteins. Additionally, since phosphorylation (29, 98, 192, 193), acetylation (194, 195), and ubiquitination (196) has been shown to oscillate over a 24-h period at the proteome level, proteome-wide interaction between rhythmic O-GlcNAcylation and other PTMs will also shed light on the regulation of O-GlcNAcylation rhythm on individual proteins.

Acknowledgments—This work is supported by NIH R01 DK124068 and R56 DK124068 to J. C. C. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Author contributions—X. L., Y. D. C., and J. C. C. conceptualization; X. L. and Y. D. C. writing–original draft; X. L., Y. D. C., and J. C. C. writing–reviewing and editing.

Conflict of interest—The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

Abbreviations-The abbreviations used are: AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; CaMKII, calcium-calmodulin (CaM)-dependent protein kinase II; GCN2, general control nonderepressible 2; GFAT, glutamine–fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase; GlcN-6-P, glucosamine-6-phosphate; GlcNAc, N-acetylglucosamine; GlcNAc-1-P, N acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate; GlcNAc-6-P, N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate; GNPNAT, glucosamine-phosphate N-acetyltransferase; GPI, phosphoglucose isomerase; GSK3β, glycogen synthase kinase-3 β; HBP, hexosamine biosynthetic pathway; HK, Hexokinase; Hsp90, Heat shock protein 90; IR, insulin receptor; miRNA, microRNA; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; NR, nuclear receptor; NR4A1, nuclear subfamily four group A member one; OGA, O-GlcNAcase; O-GlcNAcylation, O-linked β-N-acetylglucosamine; OGT, O-GlcNAc transferase; PGM3, phosphoacetylglucosamine mutase; PKA, protein kinase A; PTM, posttranslational modification; SFK, Src family kinases; UAP1, UDP-Nacetyl glucosamine pyrophosphorylase one; UDP-GlcNAc, uridine diphosphate N-acetylglucosamine; UTP, uridine triphosphate.

References

- Torres, C. R., and Hart, G. W. (1984) Topography and polypeptide distribution of terminal N-acetylglucosamine residues on the surfaces of intact lymphocytes. Evidence for O-linked GlcNAc. J. Biol. Chem. 259, 3308–3317
- Wulff-Fuentes, E., Berendt, R. R., Massman, L., Danner, L., Malard, F., Vora, J., et al. (2021) The human O-GlcNAcome database and metaanalysis. Sci. Data 8, 25
- Hart, G. W. (2019) Nutrient regulation of signaling and transcription. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 2211–2231
- Wang, Y., Fang, X., Wang, S., Wang, B., Chu, F., Tian, Z., et al. (2023) The role of O-GlcNAcylation in innate immunity and inflammation. J. Mol. Cell Biol. 14, mjac065
- Chatham, J. C., Zhang, J., and Wende, A. R. (2021) Role of O -linked N -acetylglucosamine protein modification in cellular (patho)physiology. *Physiol. Rev.* 101, 427–493
- Yang, X., and Qian, K. (2017) Protein O-GlcNAcylation: emerging mechanisms and functions. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 18, 452–465
- 7. Tan, E. P., Duncan, F. E., and Slawson, C. (2017) The sweet side of the cell cycle. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 45, 313–322

- Liu, X., and Chiu, J. C. (2022) Nutrient-sensitive protein O-GlcNAcylation shapes daily biological rhythms. *Open Biol.* 12, 220215
- Wenzel, D. M., and Olivier-Van Stichelen, S. (2022) The O-GlcNAc cycling in neurodevelopment and associated diseases. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 50, 1693–1702
- Ciraku, L., Esquea, E. M., and Reginato, M. J. (2022) O-GlcNAcylation regulation of cellular signaling in cancer. *Cell. Signal.* 90, 110201
- Bolanle, I. O., and Palmer, T. M. (2022) Targeting protein O-GlcNAcylation, a link between type 2 diabetes mellitus and inflammatory disease. *Cells* 11, 705
- 12. Wang, H.-F., Wang, Y.-X., Zhou, Y.-P., Wei, Y.-P., Yan, Y., Zhang, Z.-J., et al. (2023) Protein O-GlcNAcylation in cardiovascular diseases. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 44, 8–18
- Haltiwanger, R. S., Holt, G. D., and Hart, G. W. (1990) Enzymatic addition of O-GlcNAc to nuclear and cytoplasmic proteins. Identification of a uridine diphospho-N-acetylglucosamine:peptide beta-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase. J. Biol. Chem. 265, 2563–2568
- Haltiwanger, R. S., Blomberg, M. A., and Hart, G. W. (1992) Glycosylation of nuclear and cytoplasmic proteins. Purification and characterization of a uridine diphospho-N-acetylglucosamine:polypeptide beta-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 9005–9013
- Kreppel, L. K., Blomberg, M. A., and Hart, G. W. (1997) Dynamic glycosylation of nuclear and cytosolic proteins. Cloning and characterization of a unique O-GlcNAc transferase with multiple tetratricopeptide repeats. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 9308–9315
- Dong, D. L., and Hart, G. W. (1994) Purification and characterization of an O-GlcNAc selective N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase from rat spleen cytosol. J. Biol. Chem. 269, 19321–19330
- Gao, Y., Wells, L., Comer, F. I., Parker, G. J., and Hart, G. W. (2001) Dynamic O-glycosylation of nuclear and cytosolic proteins: cloning and characterization of a neutral, cytosolic beta-N-acetylglucosaminidase from human brain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 9838–9845
- Liu, X., Blaženović, I., Contreras, A. J., Pham, T. M., Tabuloc, C. A., Li, Y. H., *et al.* (2021) Hexosamine biosynthetic pathway and O-GlcNAcprocessing enzymes regulate daily rhythms in protein O-GlcNAcylation. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 4173
- Taylor, R. P., Geisler, T. S., Chambers, J. H., and McClain, D. A. (2009) Up-regulation of O-GlcNAc transferase with glucose deprivation in HepG2 cells is mediated by decreased hexosamine pathway flux. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 3425–3432
- 20. Wellen, K. E., Lu, C., Mancuso, A., Lemons, J. M. S., Ryczko, M., Dennis, J. W., *et al.* (2010) The hexosamine biosynthetic pathway couples growth factor-induced glutamine uptake to glucose metabolism. *Genes Dev.* 24, 2784–2799
- Swamy, M., Pathak, S., Grzes, K. M., Damerow, S., Sinclair, L. V., van Aalten, D. M. F., *et al.* (2016) Glucose and glutamine fuel protein O-GlcNAcylation to control T cell self-renewal and malignancy. *Nat. Immunol.* 17, 712–720
- Longo, V. D., and Panda, S. (2016) Fasting, circadian rhythms, and timerestricted feeding in Healthy Lifespan. *Cell Metab.* 23, 1048–1059
- Finger, A.-M., Dibner, C., and Kramer, A. (2020) Coupled network of the circadian clocks: a driving force of rhythmic physiology. *FEBS Lett.* 594, 2734–2769
- 24. Dubowy, C., and Sehgal, A. (2017) Circadian rhythms and sleep in Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics* 205, 1373–1397
- Patke, A., Young, M. W., and Axelrod, S. (2020) Molecular mechanisms and physiological importance of circadian rhythms. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 21, 67–84
- Durgan, D. J., Pat, B. M., Laczy, B., Bradley, J. A., Tsai, J.-Y., Grenett, M. H., *et al.* (2011) O-GlcNAcylation, novel post-translational modification linking myocardial metabolism and cardiomyocyte circadian clock. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 44606–44619
- 27. Li, X., Shi, L., Zhang, K., Wei, W., Liu, Q., Mao, F., et al. (2018) CirGRDB: a database for the genome-wide deciphering circadian genes and regulators. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D64–D70
- Rodriguez, J., Tang, C.-H. A., Khodor, Y. L., Vodala, S., Menet, J. S., and Rosbash, M. (2013) Nascent-Seq analysis of *Drosophila* cycling gene expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, E275–E284

- Wang, C., Shui, K., Ma, S., Lin, S., Zhang, Y., Wen, B., et al. (2020) Integrated omics in Drosophila uncover a circadian kinome. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 2710
- Lefebvre, T., Baert, F., Bodart, J.-F., Flament, S., Michalski, J.-C., and Vilain, J.-P. (2004) Modulation of O-GlcNAc glycosylation during Xenopus oocyte maturation. *J. Cell. Biochem.* 93, 999–1010
- Zachara, N. E., Molina, H., Wong, K. Y., Pandey, A., and Hart, G. W. (2011) The dynamic stress-induced "O-GlcNAc-ome" highlights functions for O-GlcNAc in regulating DNA damage/repair and other cellular pathways. *Amino Acids* 40, 793–808
- 32. Zachara, N. E., O'Donnell, N., Cheung, W. D., Mercer, J. J., Marth, J. D., and Hart, G. W. (2004) Dynamic O-GlcNAc modification of nucleocytoplasmic proteins in response to stress: a survival response OF mammalian cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 30133–30142
- 33. Drougat, L., Olivier-Van Stichelen, S., Mortuaire, M., Foulquier, F., Lacoste, A.-S., Michalski, J.-C., *et al.* (2012) Characterization of O-GlcNAc cycling and proteomic identification of differentially O-GlcNAcylated proteins during G1/S transition. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1820, 1839–1848
- 34. Howerton, C. L., Morgan, C. P., Fischer, D. B., and Bale, T. L. (2013) O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) as a placental biomarker of maternal stress and reprogramming of CNS gene transcription in development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 5169–5174
- 35. Liu, Y., Yao, R.-Z., Lian, S., Liu, P., Hu, Y.-J., Shi, H.-Z., et al. (2021) O-GlcNAcylation: the "stress and nutrition receptor" in cell stress response. Cell Stress Chaperones 26, 297–309
- 36. Groves, J. A., Lee, A., Yildirir, G., and Zachara, N. E. (2013) Dynamic O-GlcNAcylation and its roles in the cellular stress response and homeostasis. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 18, 535–558
- 37. Fülöp, N., Feng, W., Xing, D., He, K., Nőt, L. G., Brocks, C. A., et al. (2008) Aging leads to increased levels of protein O-linked N-acetylglucosamine in heart, aorta, brain and skeletal muscle in Brown-Norway rats. *Biogerontology* 9, 139–151
- Collins, H. E., and Chatham, J. C. (2020) Regulation of cardiac O-GlcNAcylation: more than just nutrient availability. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* 1866, 165712
- 39. Ngoh, G. A., Watson, L. J., Facundo, H. T., and Jones, S. P. (2011) Augmented O-GlcNAc signaling attenuates oxidative stress and calcium overload in cardiomyocytes. *Amino Acids* 40, 895–911
- 40. Cox, E. J., and Marsh, S. A. (2013) Exercise and diabetes have opposite effects on the assembly and O-GlcNAc modification of the mSin3A/HDAC1/2 complex in the heart. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* 12, 101
- 41. Marshall, S., Bacote, V., and Traxinger, R. R. (1991) Discovery of a metabolic pathway mediating glucose-induced desensitization of the glucose transport system. Role of hexosamine biosynthesis in the induction of insulin resistance. J. Biol. Chem. 266, 4706–4712
- 42. Gibb, A. A., Lorkiewicz, P. K., Zheng, Y.-T., Zhang, X., Bhatnagar, A., Jones, S. P., *et al.* (2017) Integration of flux measurements to resolve changes in anabolic and catabolic metabolism in cardiac myocytes. *Biochem. J.* 474, 2785–2801
- Olson, A. K., Bouchard, B., Zhu, W. Z., Chatham, J. C., and Des Rosiers, C. (2020) First characterization of glucose flux through the hexosamine biosynthesis pathway (HBP) in ex vivo mouse heart. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295, 2018–2033
- Kreppel, L. K., and Hart, G. W. (1999) Regulation of a cytosolic and nuclear O-GlcNAc transferase. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 32015–32022
- 45. Nakajima, K., Kitazume, S., Angata, T., Fujinawa, R., Ohtsubo, K., Miyoshi, E., *et al.* (2010) Simultaneous determination of nucleotide sugars with ion-pair reversed-phase HPLC. *Glycobiology* 20, 865–871
- 46. Abdel Rahman, A. M., Ryczko, M., Pawling, J., and Dennis, J. W. (2013) Probing the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway in human tumor cells by multitargeted tandem mass spectrometry. ACS Chem. Biol. 8, 2053–2062
- Marshall, S., Nadeau, O., and Yamasaki, K. (2004) Dynamic actions of glucose and glucosamine on hexosamine biosynthesis in isolated adipocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 35313–35319



- Grigorian, A., and Lee, S.-U. (2007) Control of T cell-mediated autoimmunity by metabolite flux to N-glycan biosynthesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 20027–20035
- Weigert, C., Klopfer, K., Kausch, C., Brodbeck, K., Stumvoll, M., Häring, H. U., *et al.* (2003) Palmitate-induced activation of the hexosamine pathway in human Myotubes. *Diabetes* 52, 650–656
- Hawkins, M., Barzilai, N., Liu, R., Hu, M., Chen, W., and Rossetti, L. (1997) Role of the glucosamine pathway in fat-induced insulin resistance. J. Clin. Invest. 99, 2173–2182
- 51. Liu, J., Marchase, R. B., and Chatham, J. C. (2007) Glutamine-induced protection of isolated rat heart from ischemia/reperfusion injury is mediated via the hexosamine biosynthesis pathway and increased protein O-GlcNAc levels. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 42, 177–185
- 52. Vasconcelos-dos-Santos, A., Loponte, H. F. B. R., Mantuano, N. R., Oliveira, I. A., de Paula, I. F., Teixeira, L. K., *et al.* (2017) Hyperglycemia exacerbates colon cancer malignancy through hexosamine biosynthetic pathway. *Oncogenesis* 6, e306
- 53. Palorini, R., Cammarata, F. P., Balestrieri, C., Monestiroli, A., Vasso, M., Gelfi, C., *et al.* (2013) Glucose starvation induces cell death in K-rastransformed cells by interfering with the hexosamine biosynthesis pathway and activating the unfolded protein response. *Cell Death Dis.* 4, e732
- 54. Hamiel, C. R., Pinto, S., Hau, A., and Wischmeyer, P. E. (2009) Glutamine enhances heat shock protein 70 expression via increased hexosamine biosynthetic pathway activity. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 297, C1509–C1519
- 55. Pham, L. V., Bryant, J. L., Mendez, R., Chen, J., Tamayo, A. T., Xu-Monette, Z. Y., et al. (2016) Targeting the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway and O-linked N-acetylglucosamine cycling for therapeutic and imaging capabilities in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Oncotarget 7, 80599–80611
- 56. Chomphoo, S., Kunprom, W., Thithuan, K., Sorin, S., Khawkhiaw, K., Kamkaew, A., et al. (2023) Hyperglycemia alters O-GlcNAcylation Patterns of hepatocytes in mice treated with Hepatoxic Carcinogen. In Vivo 37, 685–695
- 57. Oliveri, L. M., Buzaleh, A. M., and Gerez, E. N. (2021) An increase in O-GlcNAcylation of Sp1 down-regulates the gene expression of pi class glutathione S-transferase in diabetic mice. *Biochem. Biophys. Rep.* 27, 101049
- Chen, X., Zhang, L., He, H., Sun, Y., Shen, Q., and Shi, L. (2020) Increased O-GlcNAcylation induces myocardial hypertrophy. *In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol. Anim.* 56, 735–743
- Chaveroux, C., Sarcinelli, C., Barbet, V., Belfeki, S., Barthelaix, A., Ferraro-Peyret, C., *et al.* (2016) Nutrient shortage triggers the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway via the GCN2-ATF4 signalling pathway. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 27278
- 60. Zou, L., Zhu-Mauldin, X., Marchase, R. B., Paterson, A. J., Liu, J., Yang, Q., et al. (2012) Glucose deprivation-induced increase in protein O-GlcNAcylation in cardiomyocytes is calcium-dependent. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 34419–34431
- Paneque, A., Fortus, H., Zheng, J., Werlen, G., and Jacinto, E. (2023) The hexosamine biosynthesis pathway: regulation and function. *Genes* (*Basel*). 14, 933
- 62. Oki, T., Yamazaki, K., Kuromitsu, J., Okada, M., and Tanaka, I. (1999) cDNA cloning and mapping of a novel subtype of glutamine:fructose-6phosphate amidotransferase (GFAT2) in human and mouse. *Genomics* 57, 227–234
- **63.** Yamazaki, K. (2014) Glutamine–Fructose-6-Phosphate Transaminase 1, 2 (GFPT1,2). In: Taniguchi, N., Honke, K., Fukuda, M., Narimatsu, H., Yamaguchi, Y., Angata, T., eds. *Handbook of Glycosyltransferases and Related Genes*, Springer, Japan, Tokyo: 1465–1479
- Nabeebaccus, A. A., Verma, S., Zoccarato, A., Emanuelli, G., Santos, C. X., Streckfuss-Bömeke, K., *et al.* (2021) Cardiomyocyte protein O-GlcNAcylation is regulated by GFAT1 not GFAT2. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 583, 121–127
- 65. Zheng, H., Huang, J., Zhang, M., Zhao, H.-J., Chen, P., and Zeng, Z.-H. (2022) miR-27b-3p Improved high glucose-induced Spermatogenic cell damage via regulating Gfpt1/HBP signaling. *Eur. Surg. Res.* 63, 64–76

- 66. Paterson, A. J., and Kudlow, J. E. (1995) Regulation of glutamine:fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase gene transcription by epidermal growth factor and glucose. *Endocrinology* 136, 2809–2816
- 67. Liu, B., Huang, Z.-B., Chen, X., See, Y.-X., Chen, Z.-K., and Yao, H.-K. (2019) Mammalian target of rapamycin 2 (MTOR2) and C-MYC modulate glucosamine-6-phosphate synthesis in glioblastoma (GBM) cells through glutamine: fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase 1 (GFAT1). *Cell. Mol. Neurobiol.* **39**, 415–434
- Dai, W., Dierschke, S. K., Toro, A. L., and Dennis, M. D. (2018) Consumption of a high fat diet promotes protein O-GlcNAcylation in mouse retina via NR4A1-dependent GFAT2 expression. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* 1864, 3568–3576
- 69. Moloughney, J. G., Kim, P. K., Vega-Cotto, N. M., Wu, C.-C., Zhang, S., Adlam, M., et al. (2016) mTORC2 responds to glutamine catabolite levels to modulate the hexosamine biosynthesis enzyme GFAT1. Mol. Cell 63, 811–826
- Derakhshanian, H., Djazayery, A., Javanbakht, M. H., Eshraghian, M. R., Mirshafiey, A., Jahanabadi, S., *et al.* (2019) Vitamin D downregulates key genes of diabetes complications in cardiomyocyte. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 234, 21352–21358
- 71. Eguchi, S., Oshiro, N., Miyamoto, T., Yoshino, K., Okamoto, S., Ono, T., et al. (2009) AMP-activated protein kinase phosphorylates glutamine: fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase 1 at Ser243 to modulate its enzymatic activity. *Genes Cells* 14, 179–189
- Zibrova, D., Vandermoere, F., Göransson, O., Peggie, M., Mariño, K. V., Knierim, A., *et al.* (2017) GFAT1 phosphorylation by AMPK promotes VEGF-induced angiogenesis. *Biochem. J.* 474, 983–1001
- 73. Moloughney, J. G., Vega-Cotto, N. M., Liu, S., Patel, C., Kim, P. K., Wu, C., et al. (2018) mTORC2 modulates the amplitude and duration of GFAT1 Ser-243 phosphorylation to maintain flux through the hexosamine pathway during starvation. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 16464–16478
- 74. Chatterjee, A., Eshwaran, R., Poschet, G., Lomada, S., Halawa, M., Wilhelm, K., et al. (2020) Involvement of NDPK-B in glucose metabolism-mediated endothelial damage via activation of the hexosamine biosynthesis pathway and suppression of O-GlcNAcase activity. *Cells* 9, 2324
- 75. Chang, Q., Su, K., Baker, J. R., Yang, X., Paterson, A. J., and Kudlow, J. E. (2000) Phosphorylation of human glutamine:fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase by cAMP-dependent protein kinase at serine 205 blocks the enzyme activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 21981–21987
- 76. Nelson, B. A., Robinson, K. A., Koning, J. S., and Buse, M. G. (1997) Effects of exercise and feeding on the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway in rat skeletal muscle. *Am. J. Physiol.* 272, E848–E855
- 77. Ruegenberg, S., Mayr, F. A. M. C., Atanassov, I., Baumann, U., and Denzel, M. S. (2021) Protein kinase a controls the hexosamine pathway by tuning the feedback inhibition of GFAT-1. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 2176
- Graack, H. R., Cinque, U., and Kress, H. (2001) Functional regulation of glutamine:fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase 1 (GFAT1) of Drosophila melanogaster in a UDP-N-acetylglucosamine and cAMPdependent manner. *Biochem. J.* 360, 401–412
- 79. Zhou, J., Huynh, Q. K., Hoffman, R. T., Crook, E. D., Daniels, M. C., Gulve, E. A., *et al.* (1998) Regulation of glutamine:fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase by cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *Diabetes* 47, 1836–1840
- Hu, Y., Riesland, L., Paterson, A. J., and Kudlow, J. E. (2004) Phosphorylation of mouse glutamine-fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase 2 (GFAT2) by cAMP-dependent protein kinase increases the enzyme activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 29988–29993
- Broschat, K. O., Gorka, C., Page, J. D., Martin-Berger, C. L., Davies, M. S., Huang, H., *et al.* (2002) Kinetic characterization of human glutamine-fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase I. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 14764–14770
- 82. Ruegenberg, S., Horn, M., Pichlo, C., Allmeroth, K., Baumann, U., and Denzel, M. S. (2020) Loss of GFAT-1 feedback regulation activates the hexosamine pathway that modulates protein homeostasis. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 687

- Campbell, S., Mesaros, C., Izzo, L., Affronti, H., Noji, M., Schaffer, B. E., et al. (2021) Glutamine deprivation triggers NAGK-dependent hexosamine salvage. *Elife* 10, e62644
- 84. Lo, W.-Y., Yang, W.-K., Peng, C.-T., Pai, W.-Y., and Wang, H.-J. (2018) MicroRNA-200a/200b modulate high glucose-induced endothelial inflammation by targeting O-linked N-acetylglucosamine transferase expression. *Front. Physiol.* 9, 355
- 85. Förster, L., Indra, D., Rosenberger, K., Zver, L., and Hofbauer, R. (2021) L-carnitine exerts a nutrigenomic effect via direct modulation of nuclear receptor signaling in adipocytes, hepatocytes and SKMC, demonstrating its nutritional impact. *Nutr. Res.* 85, 84–98
- 86. Sodi, V. L., Khaku, S., Krutilina, R., Schwab, L. P., Vocadlo, D. J., Seagroves, T. N., *et al.* (2015) mTOR/MYC Axis regulates O-GlcNAc transferase expression and O-GlcNAcylation in breast cancer. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 13, 923–933
- 87. Zhang, F., Snead, C. M., and Catravas, J. D. (2012) Hsp90 regulates O -linked β- N -acetylglucosamine transferase: a novel mechanism of modulation of protein O -linked β- N -acetylglucosamine modification in endothelial cells. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 302, C1786–C1796
- Park, S., Pak, J., Jang, I., and Cho, J. (2014) Inhibition of mTOR affects protein stability of OGT. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 453, 208–212
- Seo, H. G., Kim, H. B., Kang, M. J., Ryum, J. H., Yi, E. C., and Cho, J. W. (2016) Identification of the nuclear localisation signal of O-GlcNAc transferase and its nuclear import regulation. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 34614
- Bullen, J. W., Balsbaugh, J. L., Chanda, D., Shabanowitz, J., Hunt, D. F., Neumann, D., et al. (2014) Cross-talk between two essential nutrientsensitive enzymes. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 10592–10606
- Whelan, S. A., Lane, M. D., and Hart, G. W. (2008) Regulation of the Olinked β-N-acetylglucosamine transferase by insulin signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 21411–21417
- 92. Yang, X., Ongusaha, P. P., Miles, P. D., Havstad, J. C., Zhang, F., So, W. V., *et al.* (2008) Phosphoinositide signalling links O-GlcNAc transferase to insulin resistance. *Nature* 451, 964–969
- 93. Kaasik, K., Kivimäe, S., Allen, J. J., Chalkley, R. J., Huang, Y., Baer, K., et al. (2013) Glucose sensor O-GlcNAcylation coordinates with phosphorylation to regulate circadian clock. Cell Metab. 17, 291–302
- 94. Wang, Z., Pandey, A., and Hart, G. W. (2007) Dynamic interplay between O-linked N-Acetylglucosaminylation and glycogen synthase kinase-3-dependent phosphorylation. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 6, 1365–1379
- 95. Huttlin, E. L., Jedrychowski, M. P., Elias, J. E., Goswami, T., Rad, R., Beausoleil, S. A., *et al.* (2010) A tissue-specific atlas of mouse protein phosphorylation and expression. *Cell* 143, 1174–1189
- 96. Ruan, H.-B., Ma, Y., Torres, S., Zhang, B., Feriod, C., Heck, R. M., et al. (2017) Calcium-dependent O-GlcNAc signaling drives liver autophagy in adaptation to starvation. *Genes Dev.* 31, 1655–1665
- 97. Hornbeck, P. V., Zhang, B., Murray, B., Kornhauser, J. M., Latham, V., and Skrzypek, E. (2015) PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D512–520
- Robles, M. S., Humphrey, S. J., and Mann, M. (2017) Phosphorylation is a central mechanism for circadian control of metabolism and physiology. *Cell Metab.* 25, 118–127
- 99. Berthier, A., Vinod, M., Porez, G., Steenackers, A., Alexandre, J., Yamakawa, N., *et al.* (2018) Combinatorial regulation of hepatic cytoplasmic signaling and nuclear transcriptional events by the OGT/REV-ERBα complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, E11033–E11042
- 100. James, L. R., Ingram, A., Ly, H., Thai, K., Cai, L., and Scholey, J. W. (2001) Angiotensin II activates the GFAT promoter in mesangial cells. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 281, F151–F162
- 101. Lucena, M. C., Carvalho-Cruz, P., Donadio, J. L., Oliveira, I. A., de Queiroz, R. M., Marinho-Carvalho, M. M., *et al.* (2016) Epithelial Mesenchymal transition induces aberrant glycosylation through hexosamine biosynthetic pathway activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 291, 12917–12929
- 102. Shimizu, M., and Tanaka, N. (2019) IL-8-induced O-GlcNAc modification via GLUT3 and GFAT regulates cancer stem cell-like properties in colon and lung cancer cells. *Oncogene* 38, 1520–1533
- 103. Wang, Z. V., Deng, Y., Gao, N., Pedrozo, Z., Li, D. L., Morales, C. R., et al. (2014) Spliced X-box binding protein 1 couples the unfolded

protein response to hexosamine biosynthetic pathway. *Cell* **156**, 1179–1192

- 104. Manzari, B., Kudlow, J. E., Fardin, P., Merello, E., Ottaviano, C., Puppo, M., et al. (2007) Induction of macrophage glutamine: fructose-6phosphate amidotransferase expression by hypoxia and by Picolinic acid. Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol. 20, 47–58
- **105.** Al-Mukh, H., Baudoin, L., Bouaboud, A., Sanchez-Salgado, J.-L., Maraqa, N., Khair, M., *et al.* (2020) Lipopolysaccharide induces GFAT2 expression to promote *O* -linked β *N* -acetylglucosaminylation and attenuate inflammation in macrophages. *J. Immunol.* **205**, 2499–2510
- 106. Huang, H., Wang, Y., Huang, T., Wang, L., Liu, Y., Wu, Q., et al. (2021) FOXA2 inhibits doxorubicin-induced apoptosis via transcriptionally activating HBP rate-limiting enzyme GFPT1 in HCC cells. J. Physiol. Biochem. 77, 625–638
- 107. Yamazaki, K., Mizui, Y., Oki, T., Okada, M., and Tanaka, I. (2000) Cloning and characterization of mouse glutamine:fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase 2 gene promoter. *Gene* 261, 329–336
- 108. Chao, D., Ariake, K., Sato, S., Ohtsuka, H., Takadate, T., Ishida, M., et al. (2021) Stomatin-like protein 2 induces metastasis by regulating the expression of a rate-limiting enzyme of the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway in pancreatic cancer. Oncol. Rep. 45, 90
- 109. Xu, X., Qiao, D., Pan, L., Boldogh, I., Zhao, Y., and Brasier, A. R. (2022) RELA•8-Oxoguanine DNA glycosylase1 is an epigenetic regulatory complex coordinating the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway in RSV infection. *Cells* 11, 2210
- 110. Maffei, B., Laverrière, M., Wu, Y., Triboulet, S., Perrinet, S., Duchateau, M., et al. (2020) Infection-driven activation of transglutaminase 2 boosts glucose uptake and hexosamine biosynthesis in epithelial cells. EMBO J. 39, e102166
- 111. Groves, J. A., Maduka, A. O., O'Meally, R. N., Cole, R. N., and Zachara, N. E. (2017) Fatty acid synthase inhibits the O-GlcNAcase during oxidative stress. *J. Biol. Chem.* 292, 6493–6511
- 112. Zeng, Q., Zhao, R.-X., Chen, J., Li, Y., Li, X.-D., Liu, X.-L., et al. (2016) O-linked GlcNAcylation elevated by HPV E6 mediates viral oncogenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113, 9333–9338
- 113. Peng, K., Liu, R., Jia, C., Wang, Y., Jeong, G. H., Zhou, L., et al. (2021) Regulation of O-linked N-acetyl glucosamine transferase (OGT) through E6 stimulation of the ubiquitin ligase activity of E6AP. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22, 10286
- 114. Li, Z., Li, X., Nai, S., Geng, Q., Liao, J., Xu, X., et al. (2017) Checkpoint kinase 1–induced phosphorylation of O-linked β-N-acetylglucosamine transferase regulates the intermediate filament network during cytokinesis. J. Biol. Chem. 292, 19548–19555
- 115. Wang, Y., Shu, H., Liu, J., Jin, X., Wang, L., Qu, Y., et al. (2022) EGF promotes PKM2 O-GlcNAcylation by stimulating O-GlcNAc transferase phosphorylation at Y976 and their subsequent association. J. Biol. Chem. 298, 102340
- 116. Latorre-Muro, P., O'Malley, K. E., Bennett, C. F., Perry, E. A., Balsa, E., Tavares, C. D. J., *et al.* (2021) A cold-stress-inducible PERK/OGT axis controls TOM70-assisted mitochondrial protein import and cristae formation. *Cell Metab.* 33, 598–614.e7
- 117. Song, M., Kim, H.-S., Park, J.-M., Kim, S.-H., Kim, I.-H., Ryu, S. H., et al. (2008) o-GlcNAc transferase is activated by CaMKIV-dependent phosphorylation under potassium chloride-induced depolarization in NG-108-15 cells. *Cell. Signal.* 20, 94–104
- 118. Butkinaree, C., Cheung, W. D., Park, S., Park, K., Barber, M., and Hart, G. W. (2008) Characterization of β-N-acetylglucosaminidase cleavage by caspase-3 during apoptosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 23557–23566
- 119. Lin, J., Xiang, Y., Huang, J., Zeng, H., Zeng, Y., Liu, J., et al. (2022) NAT10 maintains OGA mRNA stability through ac4C modification in regulating oocyte maturation. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* 13, 907286
- 120. Deng, X., Yi, X., Huang, D., Liu, P., Chen, L., Du, Y., et al. (2020) ROCK2 mediates osteosarcoma progression and TRAIL resistance by modulating O-GlcNAc transferase degradation. Am. J. Cancer Res. 10, 781–798
- 121. Dey, A., Seshasayee, D., Noubade, R., French, D. M., Liu, J., Chaurushiya, M. S., *et al.* (2012) Loss of the tumor suppressor BAP1 causes myeloid transformation. *Science* 337, 1541–1546



- 122. Li, C.-J., Li, D.-G., Liu, E.-J., and Jiang, G.-Z. (2023) Circ8199 encodes a protein that inhibits the activity of OGT by JAK2-STAT3 pathway in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Am. J. Cancer Res.* **13**, 1107–1117
- 123. Sun, M.-X., An, H.-Y., Sun, Y.-B., Sun, Y.-B., and Bai, B. (2022) LncRNA EBLN3P attributes methotrexate resistance in osteosarcoma cells through miR-200a-3p/O-GlcNAc transferase pathway. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* 17, 557
- 124. Wang, L., Feng, Y., Zhang, C., Chen, X., Huang, H., Li, W., et al. (2021) Upregulation of OGT by Caveolin-1 promotes hepatocellular carcinoma cell migration and invasion. *Cell Biol. Int.* 45, 2251–2263
- 125. Huang, W., Chen, L., Zhu, K., and Wang, D. (2021) Oncogenic microRNA-181d binding to OGT contributes to resistance of ovarian cancer cells to cisplatin. *Cell Death Discov.* 7, 379
- 126. Wang, N., Yu, M., Fu, Y., and Ma, Z. (2022) Blocking ATM attenuates SKOV3 cell proliferation and migration by disturbing OGT/OGA expression via hsa-miR-542-5p. *Front. Oncol.* 12, 839508
- 127. Herzog, K., Bandiera, S., Pernot, S., Fauvelle, C., Jühling, F., Weiss, A., *et al.* (2020) Functional microRNA screen uncovers O-linked N-acetylglucosamine transferase as a host factor modulating hepatitis C virus morphogenesis and infectivity. *Gut* **69**, 380–392
- 128. Kalantzakos, T. J., Sullivan, T. B., Sebel, L. E., Canes, D., Burks, E. J., Moinzadeh, A., *et al.* (2021) MicroRNAs MiR-15a and MiR-26a cooperatively regulate O-GlcNAc-transferase to control proliferation in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Biomark.* 30, 343–351
- 129. Kalantzakos, T. J., Sullivan, T. B., Gloria, T., Canes, D., Moinzadeh, A., and Rieger-Christ, K. M. (2021) MiRNA-424-5p suppresses proliferation, migration, and invasion of clear cell renal cell carcinoma and attenuates expression of O-GlcNAc-Transferase. *Cancers (Basel)* 13, 5160
- 130. Ning, D., Chen, J., Du, P., Liu, Q., Cheng, Q., Li, X., *et al.* (2021) The crosstalk network of XIST/miR-424-5p/OGT mediates RAF1 glycosylation and participates in the progression of liver cancer. *Liver Int.* 41, 1933–1944
- 131. Liu, X., Chen, X., Xiao, M., Zhu, Y., Gong, R., Liu, J., et al. (2021) RANBP2 activates O-GlcNAcylation through inducing CEBPα-dependent OGA downregulation to promote hepatocellular carcinoma Malignant Phenotypes. *Cancers (Basel)*. 13, 3475
- 132. Vaidyanathan, K., Niranjan, T., Selvan, N., Teo, C. F., May, M., Patel, S., et al. (2017) Identification and characterization of a missense mutation in the O-linked β-N-acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAc) transferase gene that segregates with X-linked intellectual disability. J. Biol. Chem. 292, 8948–8963
- 133. Qian, K., Wang, S., Fu, M., Zhou, J., Singh, J. P., Li, M.-D., et al. (2018) Transcriptional regulation of O-GlcNAc homeostasis is disrupted in pancreatic cancer. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 13989–14000
- 134. Lin, C.-H., Liao, C.-C., Chen, M.-Y., and Chou, T.-Y. (2021) Feedback regulation of O-GlcNAc transferase through translation control to maintain Intracellular O-GlcNAc homeostasis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 3463
- 135. Jiang, M., Xu, B., Li, X., Shang, Y., Chu, Y., Wang, W., et al. (2019) O-GlcNAcylation promotes colorectal cancer metastasis via the miR-101-O-GlcNAc/EZH2 regulatory feedback circuit. Oncogene 38, 301–316
- 136. Li, X., Zhang, Z., Li, L., Gong, W., Lazenby, A. J., Swanson, B. J., et al. (2017) Myeloid-derived cullin 3 promotes STAT3 phosphorylation by inhibiting OGT expression and protects against intestinal inflammation. *J. Exp. Med.* 214, 1093–1109
- 137. Young, M. E., Yan, J., Razeghi, P., Cooksey, R. C., Guthrie, P. H., Stepkowski, S. M., et al. (2007) Proposed regulation of gene expression by glucose in Rodent heart. *Gene Regul. Syst. Bio.* 1, 251–262
- 138. Muthusamy, S., DeMartino, A. M., Watson, L. J., Brittian, K. R., Zafir, A., Dassanayaka, S., *et al.* (2014) MicroRNA-539 is up-regulated in failing heart, and suppresses O-GlcNAcase expression. *J. Biol. Chem.* 289, 29665–29676
- 139. Zhang, C., Lian, H., Xie, L., Yin, N., and Cui, Y. (2020) LncRNA ELFN1-AS1 promotes esophageal cancer progression by up-regulating GFPT1 via sponging miR-183-3p. *Biol. Chem.* 401, 1053–1061
- 140. Liu, R., Ma, X., Chen, L., Yang, Y., Zeng, Y., Gao, J., et al. (2017) MicroRNA-15b suppresses Th17 differentiation and is associated with pathogenesis of multiple Sclerosis by targeting O -GlcNAc transferase. J. Immunol. 198, 2626–2639

- 141. Yan, W., Cao, M., Ruan, X., Jiang, L., Lee, S., Lemanek, A., et al. (2022) Cancer-cell-secreted miR-122 suppresses O-GlcNAcylation to promote skeletal muscle proteolysis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 24, 793–804
- 142. Muthusamy, S., Hong, K. U., Dassanayaka, S., Hamid, T., and Jones, S. P. (2015) E2F1 transcription factor regulates O-linked N-acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAc) transferase and O-GlcNAcase expression. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 31013–31024
- 143. Belke, D. D. (2011) Swim-exercised mice show a decreased level of protein O -GlcNAcylation and expression of O -GlcNAc transferase in heart. J. Appl. Physiol. 111, 157–162
- 144. Zhang, Z., Tan, E. P., VandenHull, N. J., Peterson, K. R., and Slawson, C. (2014) O-GlcNAcase expression is sensitive to changes in O-GlcNAc homeostasis. *Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* 5, 206
- 145. Park, S.-K., Zhou, X., Pendleton, K. E., Hunter, O. V., Kohler, J. J., O'Donnell, K. A., *et al.* (2017) A conserved splicing silencer dynamically regulates O-GlcNAc transferase intron retention and O-GlcNAc homeostasis. *Cell Rep.* 20, 1088–1099
- 146. Wilkinson, M. J., Manoogian, E. N. C., Zadourian, A., Lo, H., Fakhouri, S., Shoghi, A., *et al.* (2020) Ten-hour time-restricted eating reduces weight, blood pressure, and atherogenic lipids in patients with metabolic syndrome. *Cell Metab.* **31**, 92–104.e5
- 147. Kim, S., Kim, S. A., Han, J., and Kim, I.-S. (2021) Rho-kinase as a target for cancer therapy and its immunotherapeutic potential. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 12916
- 148. Kobrinski, D. A., Yang, H., and Kittaneh, M. (2020) BAP1: role in carcinogenesis and clinical implications. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 9, S60–S66
- 149. Stephen, H. M., Adams, T. M., and Wells, L. (2021) Regulating the regulators: mechanisms of substrate selection of the O-GlcNAc cycling enzymes OGT and OGA. *Glycobiology* 31, 724–733
- 150. King, D. T., Males, A., Davies, G. J., and Vocadlo, D. J. (2019) Molecular mechanisms regulating O-linked N-acetylglucosamine (O-GlcNAc)– processing enzymes. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 53, 131–144
- 151. Cheung, W. D., Sakabe, K., Housley, M. P., Dias, W. B., and Hart, G. W. (2008) O-linked β-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase substrate specificity is regulated by myosin phosphatase targeting and other interacting proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 33935–33941
- 152. Jínek, M., Rehwinkel, J., Lazarus, B. D., Izaurralde, E., Hanover, J. A., and Conti, E. (2004) The superhelical TPR-repeat domain of O-linked GlcNAc transferase exhibits structural similarities to importin alpha. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **11**, 1001–1007
- 153. Kohler, J. J. (2017) Carb cutting works better with a partner. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 24, 433–435
- 154. Elsen, N. L., Patel, S. B., Ford, R. E., Hall, D. L., Hess, F., Kandula, H., et al. (2017) Insights into activity and inhibition from the crystal structure of human O-GlcNAcase. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13, 613–615
- 155. Joiner, C. M., Levine, Z. G., Aonbangkhen, C., Woo, C. M., and Walker, S. (2019) Aspartate residues far from the active site drive O-GlcNAc transferase substrate selection. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 141, 12974–12978
- 156. Vocadlo, D. J. (2012) O-GlcNAc processing enzymes: catalytic mechanisms, substrate specificity, and enzyme regulation. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 16, 488–497
- 157. März, P., Stetefeld, J., Bendfeldt, K., Nitsch, C., Reinstein, J., Shoeman, R. L., *et al.* (2006) Ataxin-10 interacts with O-linked beta-Nacetylglucosamine transferase in the brain. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 20263–20270
- 158. Levine, Z. G., and Walker, S. (2016) The Biochemistry of O -GlcNAc transferase: which functions Make it essential in mammalian cells? *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 85, 631–657
- **159.** Stephen, H. M., Praissman, J. L., and Wells, L. (2021) Generation of an interactome for the tetratricopeptide repeat domain of O-GlcNAc transferase indicates a role for the enzyme in intellectual disability. *J. Proteome Res.* **20**, 1229–1242
- 160. Martin, J. C., Fadda, E., Ito, K., and Woods, R. J. (2014) Defining the structural origin of the substrate sequence independence of O-GlcNAcase using a combination of molecular docking and dynamics simulation. *Glycobiology* 24, 85–96



- 161. Schimpl, M., Schüttelkopf, A. W., Borodkin, V. S., and van Aalten, D. M. F. (2010) Human OGA binds substrates in a conserved peptide recognition groove. *Biochem. J.* 432, 1–7
- 162. Ruan, H.-B., Han, X., Li, M.-D., Singh, J. P., Qian, K., Azarhoush, S., *et al.* (2012) O-GlcNAc transferase/host cell factor C1 complex regulates gluconeogenesis by modulating PGC-1α stability. *Cell Metab.* 16, 226–237
- 163. Cheung, W. D., and Hart, G. W. (2008) AMP-activated protein kinase and p38 MAPK activate O-GlcNAcylation of neuronal proteins during glucose deprivation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 13009–13020
- 164. Whisenhunt, T. R., Yang, X., Bowe, D. B., Paterson, A. J., Van Tine, B. A., and Kudlow, J. E. (2006) Disrupting the enzyme complex regulating O-GlcNAcylation blocks signaling and development. *Glycobiology* 16, 551–563
- 165. Lai, C.-Y., Liu, H., Tin, K. X., Huang, Y., Yeh, K.-H., Peng, H. W., et al. (2019) Identification of UAP1L1 as a critical factor for protein O-GlcNAcylation and cell proliferation in human hepatoma cells. Oncogene 38, 317–331
- 166. Ito, R., Katsura, S., Shimada, H., Tsuchiya, H., Hada, M., Okumura, T., et al. (2014) TET3-OGT interaction increases the stability and the presence of OGT in chromatin. *Genes Cells* 19, 52–65
- 167. Laarse, S. A. M., Leney, A. C., and Heck, A. J. R. (2018) Crosstalk between phosphorylation and O-Glc NA cylation: friend or foe. *FEBS J.* 285, 3152–3167
- 168. Allison, D. F., Wamsley, J. J., Kumar, M., Li, D., Gray, L. G., Hart, G. W., et al. (2012) Modification of RelA by O-linked N-acetylglucosamine links glucose metabolism to NF-κB acetylation and transcription. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 16888–16893
- 169. Ma, Z., Chalkley, R. J., and Vosseller, K. (2017) Hyper-O-GlcNAcylation activates nuclear factor κ-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NFκB) signaling through interplay with phosphorylation and acetylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 292, 9150–9163
- 170. Kronlage, M., Dewenter, M., Grosso, J., Fleming, T., Oehl, U., Lehmann, L. H., *et al.* (2019) O-GlcNAcylation of histone deacetylase 4 protects the diabetic heart from failure. *Circulation* 140, 580–594
- 171. Fujiki, R., Hashiba, W., Sekine, H., Yokoyama, A., Chikanishi, T., Ito, S., et al. (2011) GlcNAcylation of histone H2B facilitates its monoubiquitination. Nature 480, 557–560
- 172. Ruan, H.-B., Nie, Y., and Yang, X. (2013) Regulation of protein degradation by O-GlcNAcylation: crosstalk with ubiquitination. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 12, 3489–3497
- 173. Li, M.-D., Ruan, H.-B., Hughes, M. E., Lee, J.-S., Singh, J. P., Jones, S. P., et al. (2013) O-GlcNAc signaling entrains the circadian clock by inhibiting BMAL1/CLOCK ubiquitination. *Cell Metab.* 17, 303–310
- 174. Yao, H., Li, A., and Wang, M. (2015) Systematic analysis and prediction of *in Situ* Cross talk of O-GlcNAcylation and phosphorylation. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2015, 279823
- 175. Leney, A. C., El Atmioui, D., Wu, W., Ovaa, H., and Heck, A. J. R. (2017) Elucidating crosstalk mechanisms between phosphorylation and O-GlcNAcylation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, E7255–E7261
- 176. Aulak, K. S., Barnes, J. W., Tian, L., Mellor, N. E., Haque, M. M., Willard, B., et al. (2020) Specific O-GlcNAc modification at Ser-615 modulates eNOS function. *Redox Biol.* 36, 101625
- 177. Hortemo, K. H., Lunde, P. K., Anonsen, J. H., Kvaløy, H., Munkvik, M., Rehn, T. A., *et al.* (2016) Exercise training increases protein O-GlcNAcylation in rat skeletal muscle. *Physiol. Rep.* 4, e12896
- 178. Park, J., Jung, S., Kim, S.-M., Park, I. Y., Bui, N. A., Hwang, G.-S., *et al.* (2021) Repeated hypoxia exposure induces cognitive dysfunction, brain inflammation, and amyloidβ/p-Tau accumulation through reduced brain O-GlcNAcylation in zebrafish. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* **41**, 3111–3126
- 179. Kim, S.-M., Zhang, S., Park, J., Sung, H. J., Tran, T.-D. T., Chung, C., et al. (2021) REM sleep deprivation impairs learning and memory by decreasing brain O-GlcNAc cycling in mouse. *Neurotherapeutics* 18, 2504–2517
- 180. Richards, A. M., Nicholls, M. G., Espiner, E. A., Ikram, H., Cullens, M., and Hinton, D. (1986) Diurnal patterns of blood pressure, heart rate and vasoactive hormones in normal man. *Clin. Exp. Hypertens. A* 8, 153–166

- 181. Isobe, S., Ohashi, N., Ishigaki, S., Tsuji, T., Sakao, Y., Kato, A., et al. (2016) Augmented circadian rhythm of the intrarenal renin–angiotensin systems in anti-thymocyte serum nephritis rats. *Hypertens. Res.* 39, 312–320
- 182. Tuomela, T., Viinikka, L., and Perheentupa, J. (1990) Epidermal growth factor in mice: changes during circadian and female reproductive cycles. *Acta Endocrinol. (Copenh)* 123, 643–648
- 183. Haus, E., Haus, E., Dumitriu, L., Nicolau, G. Y., Bologa, S., and Sackett-Lundeen, L. (2001) Circadian rhythms of basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3), cortisol, and melatonin in women with breast cancer. *Chronobiol. Int.* 18, 709–727
- 184. Vaghefi, S. S. E., Mousavi, F., Khaksari, M., Asadikaram, G., and Soltani, Z. (2021) Sex-related changes in circadian rhythm of inflammatory and oxidative stress Markers in CKD. *Iran. J. Kidney Dis.* 15, 13
- 185. Yang, H., Yang, L.-T., Liu, J., Tang, S., Zhao, X., Wang, Q., *et al.* (2018) Circadian protein CLK suppresses transforming growth factor-β expression in peripheral B cells of nurses with day-night shift rotation. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* **10**, 4331–4337
- 186. Zimmet, P., Alberti, K. G. M. M., Stern, N., Bilu, C., El-Osta, A., Einat, H., et al. (2019) The circadian syndrome: is the metabolic syndrome and much more. J. Intern. Med. 286, 181–191
- 187. Kohsaka, A., Laposky, A. D., Ramsey, K. M., Estrada, C., Joshu, C., Kobayashi, Y., et al. (2007) High-fat diet disrupts behavioral and molecular circadian rhythms in mice. *Cell Metab.* 6, 414–421
- 188. Eckel-Mahan, K. L., Patel, V. R., de Mateo, S., Orozco-Solis, R., Ceglia, N. J., Sahar, S., et al. (2013) Reprogramming of the circadian clock by nutritional challenge. Cell 155, 1464–1478
- 189. Zhou, L., Zhang, Z., Nice, E., Huang, C., Zhang, W., and Tang, Y. (2022) Circadian rhythms and cancers: the intrinsic links and therapeutic potentials. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 15, 21
- 190. Rutters, F., and Nefs, G. (2022) Sleep and circadian rhythm disturbances in diabetes: a narrative review. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Obes.* 15, 3627–3637
- 191. Shen, Y., Lv, Q., Xie, W., Gong, S., Zhuang, S., Liu, J., et al. (2023) Circadian disruption and sleep disorders in neurodegeneration. *Transl. Neurodegener.* 12, 8
- 192. Horta, M. A. C., Thieme, N., Gao, Y., Burnum-Johnson, K. E., Nicora, C. D., Gritsenko, M. A., *et al.* (2019) Broad substrate-specific phosphorylation events are associated with the initial stage of plant cell wall recognition in Neurospora crassa. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 2317
- 193. Krahmer, J., Hindle, M., Perby, L. K., Mogensen, H. K., Nielsen, T. H., Halliday, K. J., et al. (2022) The circadian clock gene circuit controls protein and phosphoprotein rhythms in arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 21, 100172
- 194. Mauvoisin, D., Atger, F., Dayon, L., Núñez Galindo, A., Wang, J., Martin, E., *et al.* (2017) Circadian and feeding rhythms orchestrate the diurnal liver acetylome. *Cell Rep.* 20, 1729–1743
- 195. Masri, S., Patel, V. R., Eckel-Mahan, K. L., Peleg, S., Forne, I., Ladurner, A. G., et al. (2013) Circadian acetylome reveals regulation of mitochondrial metabolic pathways. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 3339–3344
- 196. Szabó, Á., Papin, C., Cornu, D., Chélot, E., Lipinszki, Z., Udvardy, A., et al. (2018) Ubiquitylation dynamics of the clock cell proteome and TIMELESS during a circadian cycle. Cell Rep. 23, 2273–2282
- 197. Mauvoisin, D., Wang, J., Jouffe, C., Martin, E., Atger, F., Waridel, P., et al. (2014) Circadian clock-dependent and -independent rhythmic proteomes implement distinct diurnal functions in mouse liver. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111, 167–172
- 198. Hughes, M. E., DiTacchio, L., Hayes, K. R., Vollmers, C., Pulivarthy, S., Baggs, J. E., *et al.* (2009) Harmonics of circadian gene transcription in mammals. *PLoS Genet.* 5, e1000442
- 199. Pizarro, A., Hayer, K., Lahens, N. F., and Hogenesch, J. B. (2013) CircaDB: a database of mammalian circadian gene expression profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D1009–1013
- 200. Pembroke, W. G., Babbs, A., Davies, K. E., Ponting, C. P., and Oliver, P. L. (2015) Temporal transcriptomics suggest that twin-peaking genes reset the clock. *Elife* 4, e10518



- 201. Li, S., Shui, K., Zhang, Y., Lv, Y., Deng, W., Ullah, S., et al. (2017) CGDB: a database of circadian genes in eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D397–D403
- 202. Buel, S. M., Debopadhaya, S., De los Santos, H., Edwards, K. M., David, A. M., Dao, U. H., *et al.* (2022) The PAICE suite reveals circadian posttranscriptional timing of noncoding RNAs and spliceosome components in Mus musculus macrophages. *G3 (Bethesda)* 12, jkac176
- 203. Janich, P., Arpat, A. B., Castelo-Szekely, V., Lopes, M., and Gatfield, D. (2015) Ribosome profiling reveals the rhythmic liver translatome and circadian clock regulation by upstream open reading frames. *Genome Res.* 25, 1848–1859
- 204. Du, N.-H., Arpat, A. B., De Matos, M., and Gatfield, D. (2014) Micro-RNAs shape circadian hepatic gene expression on a transcriptome-wide scale. *Elife* 3, e02510
- 205. Hughes, M. E., Hong, H.-K., Chong, J. L., Indacochea, A. A., Lee, S. S., Han, M., et al. (2012) Brain-specific rescue of Clock reveals systemdriven transcriptional rhythms in peripheral tissue. PLoS Genet. 8, e1002835
- 206. Kuintzle, R. C., Chow, E. S., Westby, T. N., Gvakharia, B. O., Giebultowicz, J. M., and Hendrix, D. A. (2017) Circadian deep sequencing reveals stress-response genes that adopt robust rhythmic expression during aging. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 14529
- 207. Castellana, S., Biagini, T., Petrizzelli, F., Cabibbo, A., Mazzoccoli, G., and Mazza, T. (2022) RhythmicDB: a database of predicted Multi-Frequency rhythmic transcripts. *Front. Genet.* 13, 882044
- 208. Negoro, H., Kanematsu, A., Doi, M., Suadicani, S. O., Matsuo, M., Imamura, M., *et al.* (2012) Involvement of urinary bladder Connexin43 and the circadian clock in coordination of diurnal micturition rhythm. *Nat. Commun.* 3, 809
- 209. Haspel, J. A., Chettimada, S., Shaik, R. S., Chu, J.-H., Raby, B. A., Cernadas, M., et al. (2014) Circadian rhythm reprogramming during lung inflammation. Nat. Commun. 5, 4753
- Jouffe, C., Cretenet, G., Symul, L., Martin, E., Atger, F., Naef, F., *et al.* (2013) The circadian clock coordinates ribosome biogenesis. *PLoS Biol.* 11, e1001455
- 211. Zhang, R., Lahens, N. F., Ballance, H. I., Hughes, M. E., and Hogenesch, J. B. (2014) A circadian gene expression atlas in mammals: implications for biology and medicine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 16219–16224
- 212. Kim, E. Y., Jeong, E. H., Park, S., Jeong, H.-J., Edery, I., and Cho, J. W. (2012) A role for O -GlcNAcylation in setting circadian clock speed. *Genes Dev.* 26, 490–502
- 213. Terajima, H., Yoshitane, H., Ozaki, H., Suzuki, Y., Shimba, S., Kuroda, S., et al. (2017) ADARB1 catalyzes circadian A-to-I editing and regulates RNA rhythm. Nat. Genet. 49, 146–151
- 214. Masri, S., Rigor, P., Cervantes, M., Ceglia, N., Sebastian, C., Xiao, C., et al. (2014) Partitioning circadian transcription by SIRT6 leads to segregated control of cellular metabolism. Cell 158, 659–672
- 215. Yang, G., Chen, L., Grant, G. R., Paschos, G., Song, W.-L., Musiek, E. S., et al. (2016) Timing of expression of the core clock gene Bmall influences its effects on aging and survival. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 8, 324ra16
- 216. Atger, F., Gobet, C., Marquis, J., Martin, E., Wang, J., Weger, B., et al. (2015) Circadian and feeding rhythms differentially affect rhythmic mRNA transcription and translation in mouse liver. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, E6579–E6588
- 217. Cretenet, G., Le Clech, M., and Gachon, F. (2010) Circadian clockcoordinated 12 Hr period rhythmic activation of the IRE1 α pathway controls lipid metabolism in mouse liver. *Cell Metab.* **11**, 47–57
- 218. Shao, M., Shan, B., Liu, Y., Deng, Y., Yan, C., Wu, Y., et al. (2014) Hepatic IRE1α regulates fasting-induced metabolic adaptive programs through the XBP1s–PPARα axis signalling. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3528
- **219.** Hong, S.-H., Kang, M., Lee, K.-S., and Yu, K. (2016) High fat dietinduced TGF-β/Gbb signaling provokes insulin resistance through the tribbles expression. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 30265
- 220. Cao, Y., Gao, X., Zhang, W., Zhang, G., Nguyen, A. K., Liu, X., et al. (2011) Dietary fiber enhances TGF-⁴ signaling and growth inhibition in the gut. Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 301, G156–G164

- 221. Bosch-Queralt, M., Cantuti-Castelvetri, L., Damkou, A., Schifferer, M., Schlepckow, K., Alexopoulos, I., *et al.* (2021) Diet-dependent regulation of TGFβ impairs reparative innate immune responses after demyelination. *Nat. Metab.* 3, 211–227
- 222. Hermetet, F., Buffière, A., Aznague, A., Pais De Barros, J.-P., Bastie, J.-N., Delva, L., *et al.* (2019) High-fat diet disturbs lipid raft/TGF-β signalingmediated maintenance of hematopoietic stem cells in mouse bone marrow. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 523
- 223. Rahman, S. A., Castanon-Cervantes, O., Scheer, F. A. J. L., Shea, S. A., Czeisler, C. A., Davidson, A. J., *et al.* (2015) Endogenous circadian regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in the presence of bacterial lipopolysaccharide in humans. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 47, 4–13
- 224. D'Esposito, V., Di Tolla, M. F., Lecce, M., Cavalli, F., Libutti, M., Misso, S., *et al.* (2022) Lifestyle and dietary habits affect plasma levels of specific cytokines in healthy subjects. *Front. Nutr.* 9, 913176
- 225. Dauletbaev, N., Herscovitch, K., Das, M., Chen, H., Bernier, J., Matouk, E., *et al.* (2015) Down-regulation of IL-8 by high-dose vitamin D is specific to hyperinflammatory macrophages and involves mechanisms beyond up-regulation of *DUSP1*: vitamin D suppresses IL-8 in hyper-inflammatory MDM. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **172**, 4757–4771
- 226. Allen, L. A., Schmidt, J. R., Thompson, C. T., Carlson, B. E., Beard, D. A., and Lombard, J. H. (2019) High salt diet impairs cerebral blood flow regulation via salt-induced angiotensin II suppression. *Microcirculation* 26, e12518
- 227. Wolfrum, C., Asilmaz, E., Luca, E., Friedman, J. M., and Stoffel, M. (2004) Foxa2 regulates lipid metabolism and ketogenesis in the liver during fasting and in diabetes. *Nature* 432, 1027–1032
- 228. Lee, J. H., and Sancar, A. (2011) Regulation of apoptosis by the circadian clock through NF-κB signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 12036–12041
- 229. Thapaliya, S., Wree, A., Povero, D., Inzaugarat, M. E., Berk, M., Dixon, L., et al. (2014) Caspase 3 Inactivation protects against hepatic cell death and ameliorates fibrogenesis in a diet-induced NASH model. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 59, 1197–1206
- 230. Lakshmanan, M. R., Nepokroeff, C. M., and Porter, J. W. (1972) Control of the synthesis of fatty-acid Synthetase in rat liver by insulin, Glucagon, and Adenosine 3':5' cyclic Monophosphate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 69, 3516–3519
- Volpe, J. J., and Vagelos, P. R. (1974) Regulation of mammalian fatty-acid Synthetase. The roles of Carbohydrate and insulin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 71, 889–893
- 232. Saito, T., Hirano, M., Ide, T., Ichiki, T., Koibuchi, N., Sunagawa, K., *et al.* (2013) Pivotal role of Rho-associated kinase 2 in generating the intrinsic circadian rhythm of vascular contractility. *Circulation* 127, 104–114
- 233. Soliman, H., Nyamandi, V., Garcia-Patino, M., Varela, J. N., Bankar, G., Lin, G., et al. (2015) Partial deletion of ROCK2 protects mice from highfat diet-induced cardiac insulin resistance and contractile dysfunction. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 309, H70–H81
- 234. Early, J. O., Menon, D., Wyse, C. A., Cervantes-Silva, M. P., Zaslona, Z., Carroll, R. G., *et al.* (2018) Circadian clock protein BMAL1 regulates IL-1β in macrophages via NRF2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E8460–E8468
- 235. Hansen, K. F., Sakamoto, K., and Obrietan, K. (2011) MicroRNAs: a potential interface between the circadian clock and human health. *Genome Med.* **3**, 10
- 236. Kura, B., Parikh, M., Slezak, J., and Pierce, G. N. (2019) The influence of diet on MicroRNAs that impact cardiovascular disease. *Molecules* 24, 1509
- 237. Kang, T.-H., and Leem, S.-H. (2014) Modulation of ATR-mediated DNA damage checkpoint response by cryptochrome 1. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, 4427–4434
- 238. Ünsal-Kaçmaz, K., Mullen, T. E., Kaufmann, W. K., and Sancar, A. (2005) Coupling of human circadian and cell cycles by the Timeless protein. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25, 3109–3116
- 239. Bu, Y., Yoshida, A., Chitnis, N., Altman, B. J., Tameire, F., Oran, A., et al. (2018) A PERK-miR-211 axis suppresses circadian regulators and



protein synthesis to promote cancer cell survival. Nat. Cell Biol. 20, 104–115

- 240. Deldicque, L., Cani, P. D., Philp, A., Raymackers, J.-M., Meakin, P. J., Ashford, M. L. J., *et al.* (2010) The unfolded protein response is activated in skeletal muscle by high-fat feeding: potential role in the downregulation of protein synthesis. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 299, E695–E705
- 241. Tumanovska, L. V., Swanson, R. J., Serebrovska, Z. O., Portnichenko, G. V., Goncharov, S. V., Kysilov, B. A., *et al.* (2019) Cholesterol enriched diet suppresses ATF6 and PERK and upregulates the IRE1 pathways of the unfolded protein response in spontaneously hypertensive rats: Relevance to pathophysiology of atherosclerosis in the setting of hypertension. *Pathophysiology* 26, 219–226
- 242. Manzella, N., Bracci, M., Strafella, E., Staffolani, S., Ciarapica, V., Copertaro, A., *et al.* (2015) Circadian modulation of 8-oxoguanine DNA damage repair. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13752
- 243. Komakula, S. S. B., Tumova, J., Kumaraswamy, D., Burchat, N., Vartanian, V., Ye, H., *et al.* (2018) The DNA repair protein OGG1 protects against obesity by altering mitochondrial energetics in white adipose tissue. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 14886
- 244. Sampath, H., Vartanian, V., Rollins, M. R., Sakumi, K., Nakabeppu, Y., and Lloyd, R. S. (2012) 8-Oxoguanine DNA glycosylase (OGG1) deficiency increases susceptibility to obesity and metabolic dysfunction. *PLoS One* 7, e51697
- 245. O-Sullivan, I., Zhang, W., Wasserman, D. H., Liew, C. W., Liu, J., Paik, J., et al. (2015) FoxO1 integrates direct and indirect effects of insulin on

hepatic glucose production and glucose utilization. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 7079

- 246. Carrano, A. C., Liu, Z., Dillin, A., and Hunter, T. (2009) A conserved ubiquitination pathway determines longevity in response to diet restriction. *Nature* 460, 396–399
- 247. Pang, L., Dunterman, M., Xuan, W., Gonzalez, A., Lin, Y., Hsu, W.-H., et al. (2023) Circadian regulator CLOCK promotes tumor angiogenesis in glioblastoma. *Cell Rep.* 42, 112127
- 248. Dimova, E. Y., Jakupovic, M., Kubaichuk, K., Mennerich, D., Chi, T. F., Tamanini, F., *et al.* (2019) The circadian clock protein CRY1 is a negative regulator of HIF-1α. *iScience* 13, 284–304
- 249. Suyama, K., Silagi, E. S., Choi, H., Sakabe, K., Mochida, J., Shapiro, I. M., et al. (2016) Circadian factors BMAL1 and RORα control HIF-1α transcriptional activity in nucleus pulposus cells: implications in maintenance of intervertebral disc health. Oncotarget 7, 23056–23071
- 250. Vaughan, M. E., Wallace, M., Handzlik, M. K., Chan, A. B., Metallo, C. M., and Lamia, K. A. (2020) Cryptochromes Suppress HIF1α in muscles. *iScience* 23, 101338
- 251. Peek, C. B., Levine, D. C., Cedernaes, J., Taguchi, A., Kobayashi, Y., Tsai, S. J., et al. (2017) Circadian clock interaction with HIF1α mediates oxygenic metabolism and anaerobic glycolysis in skeletal muscle. *Cell Metab.* 25, 86–92
- 252. Gaspar, J. M., Mendes, N. F., Corrêa-da-Silva, F., Lima-Junior, J. C. D., Gaspar, R. C., Ropelle, E. R., *et al.* (2018) Downregulation of HIF complex in the hypothalamus exacerbates diet-induced obesity. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 73, 550–561