UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Pangenome Analytics Reveal Two-Component Systems as Conserved Targets in ESKAPEE Pathogens

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2hm1z7x7

Journal mSystems, 6(1)

ISSN 2379-5077

Authors

Rajput, Akanksha Seif, Yara Choudhary, Kumari Sonal <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2021-02-23

DOI

10.1128/msystems.00981-20

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed





Pangenome Analytics Reveal Two-Component Systems as Conserved Targets in ESKAPEE Pathogens

^(b) Akanksha Rajput,^a ^(b) Yara Seif,^a ^(b) Kumari Sonal Choudhary,^a Christopher Dalldorf,^a ^(b) Saugat Poudel,^a ^(b) Jonathan M. Monk,^a ^(b) Bernhard O. Palsson^{a,b,c,d}

^aSystems Biology Research Group, Department of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, California, USA
^bBioinformatics and Systems Biology Program, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, California, USA
^cDepartment of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, California, USA
^dNovo Nordisk Foundation Center for Biosustainability, Technical University of Denmark, Kemitorvet, Kongens, Lyngby, Denmark

ABSTRACT The two-component system (TCS) helps bacteria sense and respond to environmental stimuli through histidine kinases and response regulators. TCSs are the largest family of multistep signal transduction processes, and they are involved in many important cellular processes such as antibiotic resistance, pathogenicity, quorum sensing, osmotic stress, and biofilms. Here, we perform the first comprehensive study to highlight the role of TCSs as potential drug targets against ESKAPEE (Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterobacter spp., and Escherichia coli) pathogens through annotation, mapping, pangenomic status, gene orientation, and sequence variation analysis. The distribution of the TCSs is group specific with regard to Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, except for KdpDE. The TCSs among ESKAPEE pathogens form closed pangenomes, except for Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Furthermore, their conserved nature due to closed pangenomes might make them good drug targets. Fitness score analysis suggests that any mutation in some TCSs such as BaeSR, ArcBA, EvgSA, and AtoSC, etc., might be lethal to the cell. Taken together, the results of this pangenomic assessment of TCSs reveal a range of strategies deployed by the ESKAPEE pathogens to manifest pathogenicity and antibiotic resistance. This study further suggests that the conserved features of TCSs might make them an attractive group of potential targets with which to address antibiotic resistance.

IMPORTANCE The ESKAPEE pathogens are the leading cause of health care-associated infections worldwide. Two-component systems (TCSs) can be used as effective targets against pathogenic bacteria since they are ubiquitous and manage various vital functions such as antibiotic resistance, virulence, biofilms, quorum sensing, and pH balance, among others. This study provides a comprehensive overview of the pangenomic status of the TCSs among ESKAPEE pathogens. The annotation and pangenomic analysis of TCSs show that they are significantly distributed and conserved among the pathogens, as most of them form closed pangenomes. Furthermore, our analysis also reveals that the removal of the TCSs significantly affects the fitness of the cell. Hence, they may be used as promising drug targets against bacteria.

KEYWORDS ESKAPEE pathogens, pangenomic analysis, two-component systems, antibiotic resistance, genomic architecture

Two-component systems (TCSs) are ubiquitous among bacterial species (1, 2). They participate in numerous cellular processes, including signaling and pathogenicity (3), and also play a major role in the pathogenicity of the highly infectious ESKAPEE group of pathogens, which is an acronym for *Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter*

Citation Rajput A, Seif Y, Choudhary KS, Dalldorf C, Poudel S, Monk JM, Palsson BO. 2021. Pangenome analytics reveal twocomponent systems as conserved targets in ESKAPEE pathogens. mSystems 6:e00981-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00981-20.

Editor Thomas Rattei, University of Vienna Copyright © 2021 Rajput et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

International license.

Address correspondence to Bernhard O. Palsson, palsson@ucsd.edu.

Comprehensive Pangenome analysis of Two-component systems among ESKAPEE pathogens

Received 30 September 2020 Accepted 30 December 2020 Published 26 January 2021





FIG 1 Pangenome analysis of two-component systems. (A) Schematic diagram depicting the mechanism of a two-component system. (B) Flow chart showing the methodology used in the study.

spp., and *Escherichia coli* (4, 5). The ESKAPEE pathogens, consisting of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, are the leading cause of nosocomial life-threatening infections and are in the WHO's "priority pathogen" list (6). The problem of trying to tackle nosocomial infection worsens due to the increase in antibiotic resistance and virulence.

The histidine kinase (HK) and response regulator (RR) are two important components of TCSs (7). HKs are typically transmembrane proteins that sense external signals; however, in a few instances, they are cytoplasmic (8–10). In general, stimulus detection led to conformational changes that further affected the autokinase activity of the C-terminal kinase core; the phosphoryl group was transferred onto the aspartate residue of the cognate RR. Furthermore, the phosphorylated RR mediates the activity of the associated effector domain of the response regulator protein, which further modulates appropriate responses (11–13). Besides the autokinase activity, many HKs exhibit a phosphatase activity toward the cognate phosphorylated RRs, e.g., CheA/Z and KdpD, etc. (14, 15) (Fig. 1A). However, the RRs do not always modulate the downstream responses by transcription, as a significant number of them do not affect transcription (16). Thus, TCSs help bacteria acclimatize to a wide range of external factors.

TCSs are involved in antibiotic resistance, virulence, quorum sensing, biofilm formation, metal sensing, motility, survival, and many other functions (8, 17). The antibiotic resistance TCSs help bacteria address the presence of various antibiotics (18). The TCSs involved in virulence help sustain bacteria in the host or at the site of pathogenicity



(19). The quorum sensing-, motility-, and biofilm-related TCSs allow bacteria to communicate, move, and form colonies to acclimatize to unfavorable environments (19, 20). Furthermore, bacteria also have TCSs to tackle various conditions such as high pH, metals, anaerobic conditions, and nutrient sensing, etc. (8, 21). Therefore, the many roles played by TCSs make them a valuable potential target for antimicrobials. Several studies have confirmed this potential (22, 23).

Among all the functions of TCSs, antibiotic resistance is important among the nosocomial-infection-causing ESKAPEE group of pathogens (6, 18). Bacteria adapt different TCS mechanisms to express antibiotic resistance phenotypes (24). The mechanisms include overexpression of efflux pumps, cell surface modifications, upregulation of antibiotic resistance genes, and increased biofilm formation (18, 25). Various strategies need to be developed to overcome these specialized modifications against antibiotics in bacteria.

TCSs are a fundamental determinant of bacterial physiological states. Despite being ubiquitous and vital for bacterial survival, TCSs have not yet been the subject of a detailed pangenomic analysis. A pangenomic study would be helpful to understand the conservation status of all the TCSs involved in antibiotic resistance, virulence, biofilm, and motility and others involved in the basic survival mechanisms in bacteria. The literature shows that TCSs could be a promising target to fight the pathogenicity of bacteria, especially antibiotic resistance (26). This pangenome study, driven by the availability of a large number of strain-specific genome sequences, is focused on exploring all TCSs and determining them as potential targets against the ESKAPEE pathogens.

RESULTS

Annotation of two-component systems. Different numbers of TCSs were annotated among ESKAPEE pathogens using the hidden Markov model (HMM) approach (Fig. 2B). We categorized the TCSs into four different groups, namely, antibiotic resistance, virulence, others (general), and predicted family. We put the TCSs associated with pH, motility, quorum sensing, and biofilms, etc., in the "others" category because for this article, we are interested in those functions that have a higher priority in antibiotic research, such as antibiotic resistance and virulence. Additionally, the "predicted family" includes the TCSs whose family has been annotated rather than the exact TCS. A detailed list of TCSs and their functions among ESKAPEE pathogens is provided in Data Set S1, sheet 1, in the supplemental material.

The highest number of TCSs, i.e., 39, were mapped in *P. aeruginosa*, with 6 functioning in antibiotic resistance and 1 functioning in virulence, with the remaining 32 falling into the other (general) category. Among ESKAPEE pathogens, *E. faecium* has 14 TCSs, which is the lowest in number, with 5 functioning in antibiotic resistance. Other ESKAPEE pathogens such as *K. pneumoniae*, *E. coli, Enterobacter cloacae*, *A. baumannii*, and *S. aureus* mapped with 30, 29, 21, 18, and 17 TCSs, respectively (Fig. 2A). The highest number of TCSs involved in antibiotic resistance is present in *P. aeruginosa*, while the highest number of TCSs for virulence is found in *E. cloacae*. The TCSs with other (general) functions are most abundant in *P. aeruginosa*.

Pangenome analysis of two-component systems. The pangenome analysis of the TCSs among the ESKAPEE pathogens showed that most of the TCSs are part of the "accessory" and "core" pangenomes; i.e., they are shared across the genome (Fig. 2B and Fig. S4). The percentages of core, accessory, and unique pangenomes are 45.24%, 50.60%, and 4.17%, respectively. The conservation status of the TCSs is also depicted as a pangenome curve showing core and pangenome TCSs (Fig. 2C and Fig. S5).

Our first goal was to characterize the level of conservation of the two-component systems across species. We constructed core and pangenome curves focused on the TCSs for each species (see Materials and Methods). Briefly, the core genome curve corresponds to the number of conserved TCSs, and the pangenome curve reflects the total number of TCSs as more strains are taken into account. This is the first attempt to



⁻ Pathogens	Genomes	Total TCSs	Antibiotic	Virulence	Others
Enterococcus faecium	381	14	05	03	06
Staphylococcus aureus	1166	17	04	04	09
Klebsiella pneumoniae	1141	30	05	01	24
Acinetobacter baumannii	556	18	03	02	13
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	929	39	06	01	32
Enterobacter cloacae	330	21	04	16	01
Escherichia coli	1226	29	04	00	25

Escherichia coli В. Staphylococcus aureus Virulence ISSR(C) CreCB(C) PEVGSALA CitAB(C GIrKR(A) WalKR(C) SrrBA(C) Antibiotics resistance GraRS(C KdpDE(C) Antibiotics resistance SaeSR(C) IsaSR(A NarXL(C) trBC(A) grCA(C SR(C mpR(C) YhcSR(A SR(/ ODP(C) KdpDE(C) ArlSR(C oRB(A rpdA vtSR(PhoRP(C) CB(C) DesKR(A) LiaSR(A) HssSR(C) Others ZraSR RcsCB(A) CB(A) RstBA(C) TorSB(A)BA(C) NreBC(C) YehUT(C YedVW(C) Others C. 40 Core TCSs Core TCSs 40 **No. of TCSs** 30 Pan TCSs Pan TCSs No. of TCSs 30 20 10 10 0 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 No. of strains No. of strains

FIG 2 Annotated two-component systems (TCSs) among the ESKAPEE pathogens. The TCSs were predicted and annotated using an hmmsearch of the respective Pfam profiles of the histidine kinases and response regulators. (A) Table showing the total number of genomes after quality control (QC) and TCSs annotated and categorized as antibiotic resistance, virulence, and others. The draft/complete genomes were downloaded from the PATRIC database. QC involves steps such as multilocus sequence typing (MLST), <100 contigs, coding sequences (CDSs) between the [average \pm 2(standard deviation)], and <1,000 N's in genomes. The Pfam profiles of each TCS were extracted using the hmmsearch approach. Furthermore, the TCSs were categorized into different categories according to their function reported in the literature, e.g., antibiotics resistance, virulence, and others (quorum sensing, biofilm, motility, and sporulation, etc.). (B) Multilevel pie chart depicting the distribution of TCSs in different functional categories among *S. aureus* and *E. coli* strains. The multilevel pie chart is divided into two concentric circles: the outer circle represents the function of TCSs, such as antibiotic resistance (red), virulence (blue), and others (green), while the inner circle represents the TCSs falling into specific categories along with their distribution frequencies, i.e., core (C), accessory (A), and unique (U). The core pangenomic status includes the TCSs found in >98% of strains, and accessory includes the TCSs found in mony 1 strain. (C) Pangenome curves for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. The curves show the conservation statuses of core and pan-TCSs. The plot is constructed between the number of TCSs and the number of strains. In the case of *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, the graph shows that both the core and pan-TCSs remain constant with the increase in the number of strains. In the

categorize TCSs into the core genome and the pangenome. Our initial categorization is focused on the following five criteria:

1. The number of TCSs found in core genomes of ESKAPEE pathogens. We find that the number of TCSs that are part of the core genome (i.e., present in more



than 98% of genomes of a species (see Materials and Methods) varies across species. In total, *P. aeruginosa* strains have the largest number of core TCSs (n = 21), followed by *E. coli* (n = 17), *K. pneumoniae* (n = 16), *S. aureus* (n = 12), *A. baumannii* (n = 5), and *E. faecium* (n = 0). Surprisingly, none of the TCSs are part of the *E. cloacae* core genome (Fig. 3A).

- 2. Common TCSs among ESKAPEE pathogens. The TCSs were mapped and depicted in the form of heat maps to summarize their shared and unshared statuses along with pangenomic statuses among ESKAPEE pathogens. A summary of TCSs involved in antibiotic resistance and virulence is provided in Fig. 4A, with predicted family and others (general) in Fig. S6. Most of the TCSs are shared among the pathogens. For example, the antibiotic resistance TCS PmrBA is shared among *K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, E. cloacae,* and *E. coli.* A TCS involved in virulence, AlgZR, is found in *A. baumannii* and *P. aeruginosa.* The KdpDE TCS, which is involved in other (general) functions, is distributed among *S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, P. aeruginosa, E. cloacae,* and *E. coli* (Fig. 4A). However, the functions of certain core TCSs are similar across species.
- 3. Percentage of TCSs found in the core genomes of given ESKAPEE pathogens. While *P. aeruginosa* has the largest number of core TCSs, the proportion of core TCSs versus pan-TCSs is highest in *S. aureus* (70%). In fact, the percentage of strains sharing any one of the TCSs varies greatly within and across species, with generally high percentages of conservation in *S. aureus* (78%), *K. pneumoniae* (72%), and *E. coli* (75%) (Fig. 3B). In contrast, a TCS is shared in only 48%, 58%, and 50% of strains, on average, in *E. cloacae, E. faecium*, and *A. baumannii*, respectively. The distribution of percent conservation of TCSs is bimodal in *P. aeruginosa*.
- 4. Pangenomic status of TCSs for a given ESKAPEE pathogen. We investigated whether the set of TCSs was finite across a species and whether we would continue to discover new TCSs as new strains are sequenced. For this purpose, we fitted Heaps' law to a curve plotting the number of new genes discovered as more strains are taken into account (Fig. 3C; see also Materials and Methods). Two parameters, α and k, are estimated when fitting Heaps' law. When α is <1, we consider the pangenome to be "open"; i.e., we would expect to find new TCSs as more strains are sequenced indefinitely. This condition applied only to the new gene discovery curve of *P. aeruginosa*, revealing that the set of TCSs is finite in all of the other species.
- 5. TCSs shared between two strains of the same species. We plotted the average number of new TCSs discovered when a second strain is examined and the number of unshared genes between any two strains (Fig. 3D). Despite having the largest α , *P. aeruginosa* strains had the lowest average number of unshared TCS genes (n = 1) and the lowest new TCS discovery rate (0.7), while *E. cloacae* had the highest values for both the number of unshared TCSs (n = 7) and novel TCS discovery rate (3.7).

Gene essentiality and fitness score. We checked the essential genes and fitness scores of the TCSs, confirming their potential role as promising drug targets. The 9 essential genes from various TCSs, e.g., *vraS*, *walK*, *cheY*, *algR*, *kdpE*, *evgS*, *rstB*, *dcuR*, and *torR*, are shown in Data Set S1, sheet 2. To get more accurate details of the gene contribution to cell fitness, we calculated the fitness scores of the genes of TCSs (shown in Fig. 4C and Data Set S1, sheet 3). Among *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *A. baumannii*, we found 31 out of the 48 genes with negative fitness Z-scores. The negative Z-scores suggest that any mutation (e.g., insertion or deletion, etc.) in the gene is more detrimental than the average mutation during infection and results in a negative effect on the pathogen.

Furthermore, the fitness scores of the genes in various TCSs could be used as promising targets to tackle the pathogenic bacteria.





FIG 3 Pan status of two-component systems (TCSs) among ESKAPEE pathogens. Core TCSs are defined if they are found in >98% of strains. The open and closed statuses of the TCSs are estimated by Heaps' law. (A) Core TCSs across species. A core TCS is defined as a two-component system gene present in more than 98% of the strains. The percentage of TCSs that are part of the core is displayed at the top of each bar. (B) TCSs are variably conserved across strains. The percentage of strains in which a TCS is present is calculated for each TCS, and the distribution of percentages is plotted for each species. (C) TCS discovery curves. The number of new TCSs discovered as more strains are taken into consideration decreases across species. Heaps' law was fitted to each curve, and the decay rate was estimated. A decay rate that is >1 indicates a closed pangenome. P. aeruginosa is the only species with a decay rate of <1, suggesting that the number of TCSs are unbounded and that new genes will constantly be discovered as new P. aeruginosa genomes are sequenced. In contrast, the set of TCSs in all six other species is bounded and ceases to increase as more strains are sequenced. (D) Median unshared TCSs and novel gene discovery rates at step 1 of the gene discovery curves in panel C. The novel TCS discovery rate represents the average number of new TCSs discovered when two strains are drawn randomly, and the gene content of the second strain is compared to that of the first strain. The median unshared TCSs represent the number of twocomponent systems that differ between two strains (i.e., the difference between the intersection and the union of the two sets).





FIG 4 Analysis of the two-component systems (TCSs) among the ESKAPEE pathogens and variation among the histidine kinases (HKs) and response regulators (RRs). (A) Heat maps depicting the TCSs involved in antibiotic resistance and virulence. The colors of the boxes are in accordance with the (Continued on next page)



Genomic architecture of two-component systems. We scanned the genomic architecture of the most frequently shared TCSs among ESKAPEE pathogens in the antibiotic resistance, virulence, and other (general) categories and found that it varies (Fig. 5 and Fig. S8). The main reason to plot the genomic architecture is to highlight the genomic arrangement of the TCSs among different organisms. As the same TCSs perform the same functions in different bacteria, we want to highlight the similarities/ differences between the same TCSs among different bacteria. However, we also found some variation in gene arrangement within the same bacterial strains, e.g., the PmrBA, WalKR, and KdpDE TCSs, as shown in Fig. 5. Upon comparing the variations in gene arrangement in the TCS operons within each category, we found that more variation exists among TCSs in the other (general) category than in those involved in virulence and antibiotic resistance.

For example, the PmrBA two-component system has three genes in the operon: PmrB, PmrA, and PmrC. PmrBA is found in five Gram-negative ESKAPEE pathogens: E. coli, E. cloacae, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae, and A. baumannii. The PmrBA operon shows different intergenic distances in these five pathogens despite them performing the same antibiotic resistance function. Likewise, the intergenic distances and gene arrangements vary among the bacteria with the WalKR and KdpDE two-component systems. For example, the WalKR operon is found in E. faecium and S. aureus, while KdpDE is found in S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, E. cloacae, and E. coli. Furthermore, we checked the correlation between the intergenic distances of the TCSs and the host range. We plotted the phylogenetic tree from the concatenated sequences of the TCS (WalKR) operon and compared it with the respective multilocus sequence typing (MLST) values (Fig. S9). The TCSs of S. aureus possess 2 different types of genomic architecture from 258 different MLST values, while the TCSs of E. faecium have 3 types of genomic rearrangement from 130 MLST profiles. From the correlation analysis, we found that the genomic architecture is not correlated with the MLST profiles.

Sequence variation among the two-component systems. The sequence and structural variations were checked in histidine kinase and response regulator components of the TCSs. The sequences of both the HKs and RRs were checked to discover the percent variation among them (Fig. 4C and Fig. S7A). For VraSR, VraS (HK) and VraR (RR) have variant scores of 0.27 and 0.18, respectively. In WalKR, the variant scores of WalK (HK) and WalR (RR) are 0.12 and 0.05, respectively. In general, the HK domain shows more variation than the RR. Among the HK domains, the N terminus shows more variability than the C terminus. This is further statistically validated by the skewness values of WalK and VraS of 0.27 and 0.27, respectively.

Additionally, the sequence variation of the RRs and HKs among ESKAPEE pathogens was checked and depicted in the form of three-dimensional (3D) principal-component analysis (PCA) plots. For example, the 3D PCA plots of *S. aureus* and *A. baumannii* are depicted in Fig. S7B. The RR sequences of the respective TCSs seem to be tightly clustered compared to the HK sequences. Taken together, the sequence variation analysis reflects that HK has more sequence variation than the RR in the ESKAPEE pathogens.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we carried out a pangenome analysis of TCSs in ESKAPEE pathogens. The study was made possible due to the recent growth in the number of strain-specific sequences available for these pathogens. With respect to the phylogenetic distribution of TCSs, we find that the number of TCSs varies among ESKAPEE pathogens, and they

FIG 4 Legend (Continued)

distribution of TCSs in the strains of the respective ESKAPEE pathogens. The distributions of the TCSs are group specific, i.e., between Gram-positive (*Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecium*) and Gram-negative (*Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterobacter cloacae*, and *Escherichia coli*) bacteria. (B) Sequence variant bar graphs of VraS and VraR TCSs. The graph is plotted as the percent variation versus the number of residues. The HK (VraS) shows more variation than the RR (VraR). Among the VraS TCSs, the N terminus shows more variability than the C terminus. (C) Fitness score plot of the TCS genes in *Escherichia coli*, plotted as the TCSs versus the fitness Z-scores. A negative fitness Z-score indicates that any mutation in the gene is more detrimental than the average mutation during infection and results in a negative effect on the pathogen.





A. PmrBA (Antibiotic Resistance)Two-component system

B. WalKR (Virulence) Two-component system



C. KdpDE (Potassium sensing) Two-component system



FIG 5 Gene orientation among the two-component systems (TCSs). The genomic architectures of the TCSs show that they fall into discrete numbers of classes. The genomic architecture is represented by the arrow diagram. The arrow (Continued on next page)



are group specific, i.e., among Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens, except in the case of KdpDE. Most TCSs are conserved among the pathogens (found in the closed pangenome), except in the case of *P. aeruginosa*. With respect to sequence and structural variation, we find that TCS operons are stratified in discrete classes, which is more pronounced for TCSs involved in general functions. The histidine kinases that sense environmental signals show more variability than response regulators, which maintain cellular expression.

The ESKAPEE pathogens possess different categories of TCSs (see Data Set S1, sheet 1, in the supplemental material). The numbers and types of TCSs reflect the characteristics of the particular bacterium. For example, most of the TCSs in *P. aeruginosa* are related to biofilm formation, while in *A. baumannii*, they deal with metal sensing. We found that the majority of TCSs are shared among members of the two major bacterial groups (Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria), while fewer of them are exclusive to an individual ESKAPEE pathogen (19, 27). Pangenomic analysis of TCSs allows us to decipher their phylogenetic distribution and conservation.

The TCS pangenomes of most ESKAPEE pathogens are found to be closed, which adds to their value as potential conserved targets for a species (28). Furthermore, any mutation in some TCS genes leads to deleterious effects on cell survival due to the negative fitness Z-score. The pangenome analysis further shows that various TCSs are common to more than one ESKAPEE pathogen, including VraSR (antibiotic resistance); AlgZR (virulence); and CitAB, PhoRP, and UhpBA (others [general]). Thus, these TCSs could serve as candidates for broad-spectrum inhibitors (26). However, some TCSs were also part of the variant, or accessory, pangenome, which is present in a particular subset of strains.

The closed ESKAPEE TCS pangenomes reflect their conservation status and should make them good targets with regard to pathogenicity and antibiotic resistance. P. aeruginosa has the highest number of TCSs in the core component of the pangenome. Surprisingly, P. aeruginosa strain CLJ1 seems to be an outlier because it carries a total of 33 TCSs, 5 of which are unique to this strain (including BfmSR, CarSR, CprSR, MifSR, and RoxSR) and 8 of which are shared across <10% of P. aeruginosa strains (including BfiSR, CpxAR, CzcSR, PirSR, PmrBA, PprAB, RcsCB, and RocS2A2). CLJ1 was isolated in 2010 from the lungs of a patient with fatal hemorrhagic pneumonia in France and contains an elevated number of ISL3 family insertions affecting major virulence-associated phenotypes and increased antibiotic resistance (29). Previously, TCSs have been proven to be important drug candidates, which are more promising than other conventional drugs due to the fact that the TCSs are ubiquitous, and the HK and RR are well conserved and surrounded by active sites. The TCSs are integral components of adaptive regulatory processes and utilized by the pathogenic bacteria to sense their environments. The high degree of structural homology between the catalytic domains of the HK and the RR in bacteria suggests that multiple TCSs can be inhibited by a single compound (30–32). Therefore, these TCSs could be used to develop antibacterial drugs as they are absent in humans and inhibit the virulence of bacteria without the development of resistance (31). However, a few TCSs inhibitors, like walkmycin A, a few thiazolidinone derivatives, and autoinducing peptides, etc., have been described to affect the pathogenic bacteria but do not show promising effects due to their poor selectivity (32). In this regard, we analyzed gene essentiality via fitness score, distribution, conser-

FIG 5 Legend (Continued)

depicts the genes, whereas the intergenic distances are represented by curly brackets. The direction of arrows in the TCS operon genes is a representation of those present in the positive strand. A similar arrangement is present in the negative strand. The length of the arrows is a representation of genes and not to scale. The TCSs in the other (general) category are shown to possess a higher number of discrete classes than the antibiotic resistance and virulence classes. (A) Genomic architecture of the PmrBA TCS involved in antibiotic resistance among the Gramnegative ESKAPEE pathogens *K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, E. cloacae*, and *E. coli.* (B) Genomic architecture of the WalKR TCS involved in virulence. The WalKR system is found in the Gram-positive ESKAPEE pathogens *L. faecuum* and *S. aureus.* (C) Genomic architecture of the KdpDE potassium (K⁺)-sensing TCS in *S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, E. cloacae*, and *E. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, E. cloacae*, and *S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, E. cloacae*, and *S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, A. baumannii, P. aeruginosa, E. cloacae*, and *E. soli*.



vation, and functionality, etc., to confirm the possibility of some TCSs as promising drug candidates.

While the shared TCSs among different bacterial species exhibit the same function, the genomic architecture differs. The intergenic distances within the genes in an operon are thought to be evolutionarily conserved among a broad range of prokaryotes (33). However, we found that the genomic arrangements of the TCS operons fall into discrete classes. In a previous study, the *agr* operon in *S. aureus* was shown to fall into discrete classes that correlated with the host range of a given strain (34). In this study, we show that the genomic architectures of TCS operons generally fall into discrete classes, which are more pronounced in the TCSs performing other (general) functions (Fig. 3). As mentioned above, the intergenic distances were considered a marker of phylogenetic relatedness. In our analysis, we did not find any correlation between the TCS architectures and the MLST values. Thus, this shows that the genomic arrangement of the TCSs is not determined solely by the evolutionary forces that determine the phylogroup, but some other selective pressures might be responsible for the differences in architecture (on top of neutral background substitution bias) rather than performing the same function.

Histidine kinases and response regulators comprise a TCS. The HK is membrane bound, while the RR is its cytoplasmic counterpart (9). HK genes are found to be more sequence variable than RR genes. The variation in the HK sequence is especially pronounced in its N-terminal domain, likely due to its function as a sensor for a broad range of environmental signals. Our results are in agreement with those of previous studies that showed that the N termini in HKs are responsible for signal sensing, while the cytoplasmic C termini help with phosphate transfer (35).

Conclusion. As antibiotic resistance represents a major health concern worldwide, there is a growing need to identify new and promising targets in pathogenic bacteria. This first comprehensive pangenomic study of TCSs confirms their conservation and universality among ESKAPEE pathogens. The TCSs with negative fitness Z-values as well as essential functions could be used as promising drug targets, e.g., BaeSR, KdpDE, EvgSA, RstBA, DcuSR, and TorSR, etc. Among these six TCSs, KdpDE and BaeSR have been used to develop drugs; however, the remaining four TCSs, i.e., EvgSA, RstBA, DcuSR, and TorSR, etc. develop drugs to date. Given that TCSs are integral mechanisms that enable antibiotic resistance, virulence, and basic metabolic functions, they could be targeted to tackle pathogenicity and reduce antibiotic resistance among nosocomial infections caused by ESKAPEE pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The overall methodology is provided in Fig. 1B and is described in detail below.

Collection and quality control of ESKAPEE genomes. The ESKAPEE genomes were downloaded from the Pathosystems Resource Integration Center (PATRIC) v3.5.43 database (36). The downloaded genome has "complete" and "draft" genome statuses, "human, *Homo sapiens*" host, and "good" genome quality. Furthermore, the five levels of quality control (QC) were done to get a more refined set of genomes for downstream analysis. First, the genomes annotated as "plasmid" were removed. Second, the genomes that did not have multilocus sequence typing (MLST) data were removed. MLST filtration is important to have only the genomes with the presence of housekeeping genes to provide a good resolution of genome characterization. Third, only those genomes with <100 contigs were retained, to confer a good-quality assembly. Fourth, genomes with the coding region of genes, i.e., coding DNA sequences (CDSs), between the [average \pm 2(standard deviation)], were kept, to remove the misannotated genomes. Fifth, the genomes with >1,000 N's were filtered out. Tables depicting the resulting ESKAPEE pathogen genomes at each quality control step are provided in Fig. S1 to S3 in the supplemental material.

Annotation of two-component systems among the ESKAPEE pathogens. The hidden Markov model (HMM) (37) and BLAST (38) were used to annotate the TCSs among all the ESKAPEE pathogens. The HMM profile information for the HKs and RRs were collected from MIST3.0 (39), P2CS (40), and the literature. The Pfam profiles of the RRs and HKs in all ESKAPEE pathogens were downloaded using Pfam32.0 (41). The Pfam profiles are the summarized outputs of protein sequences of the family and built through seed and automatically generated full alignments (42). Later on, hmmsearch was used to annotate the TCS proteins among ESKAPEE pathogens. This method is highly robust as we have used a threshold E value of 0.01 and a score of \geq 0.25 to filter the hits from hmmsearch. A table showing the Pfam profiles used is depicted in Data Set S1, sheet 4.



Summarizing the two-component systems among the ESKAPEE pathogens. The annotated TCS proteins of ESKAPEE pathogens were curated and summarized. The summarization of TCSs was done broadly using four categories, i.e., antibiotic resistance, virulence, others/general, and predicted/ unknown function. In the current study, we are focused on antibiotic research on the ESKAPEE pathogens, such as antibiotic resistance and virulence. Therefore, we put the remaining TCSs, such as biofilm, quorum sensing, pH, and motility, in the other (general) category. All the TCSs were scanned for their frequency of occurrence among the individual pathogens. Afterward, four heat maps were constructed for the above-mentioned categories with the information on the frequency of occurrence of the TCSs (HK and RR) among them.

Pangenomic analysis of two-component systems among the ESKAPEE pathogens. We performed a pangenomic analysis of all the TCS proteins by checking their distribution among strains. Furthermore, the frequency distributions of the TCSs in all or at least 98% strains (considered core), some strains (accessory), or only one strain (unique) were determined (43). The distribution was calculated as (strain with the presence of TCSs/overall strains) \times 100.

For each species, we plotted proxy pangenome and core genome curves as described previously (44), but we limited our input to TCSs. Briefly, we generated 1,000 random permutations of the input genomes, and for each permutation, we randomly sampled strains one at a time without replacement. At the first draw, we counted the number of TCSs detected. At the next draw, we counted the number of TCSs but subdivided them into three counts: (i) the core count, i.e., the number of unique TCSs found in both draws; (ii) the pangenome count, i.e., the total number of unique TCSs when pooling the two draws; and (iii) the new TCS count, i.e., the number of TCSs found in the second draw that we could not find in the first draw. This process was repeated until all strains were drawn. We generated a vector of recorded set sizes for each of the 1,000 permutations and calculated the average and standard deviation for each step. We then fit Heaps' law (an empirical power law) to the vector of new gene sets and calculated the means and standard deviations of the fitted parameters α and k. Heaps' law was originally developed to describe the count of unique words in a text as a function of the length of the text. Here, it can be expressed as $n = k \times N^{-\alpha}$, where n is the total count of new TCSs discovered at each draw, N is the total number of genomes, k is a multiplicative constant, and α is the gene discovery decay rate (45). The pangenome can be described as either "closed" ($\alpha > 1$) or "open" ($\alpha < 1$). A pangenome is open when the pan count increases indefinitely as new genomes are considered and closed when the rate of increase of the pan count slows down as more strains are analyzed and the pan count eventually reaches a plateau (at which point no new genes are discovered).

Gene essentiality and fitness score. The essential genes are indispensable for cell survival. The gene essentiality of the TCSs among the ESKAPEE pathogens is determined using the DEG (46) and OGEE (47) databases. Furthermore, the fitness score of the cell is determined by the BacFITBase database (48). A negative value of the fitness score of a gene shows that the removal of the gene impairs the cell function of the pathogen, while a positive fitness score means that the removal of the gene is not lethal but results in decreased fitness of the pathogen. A fitness Z-score of <0 indicates that a given mutation is more detrimental than the average mutation during infection and results in a negative effect on the pathogen. Among the ESKAPEE pathogens, the BacFITBase database contains the fitness scores of *E. coli*, *A. baumannii*, and *K. pneumoniae*.

Sequence variation among two-component systems of the ESKAPEE pathogens. The sequences of the RRs and HKs of the TCSs were used for analysis. Furthermore, BLASTp (38) was run between the sequences and the respective reference sequences. Any insertions, deletions, or single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between the RR or HK sequences and the reference sequence were counted as a variant residue at the residue position of the reference sequence. These were calculated by taking the total number of variants found in each protein by BLASTp (differences between the protein and the reference sequence for the protein) and dividing that number by the total number of variants per amino acid of the original sequence.

We calculated the variants according to the formula number of amino acid variants/number of amino acids/total number of sequences. For example, say gene A is 200 amino acids (aa) long. We compare 100 sequences to it and find 50 total variant positions (50 aa that are different from the reference). The end variant score would be (50/100)/200 = 0.0025.

We also performed a statistical comparison, where we checked the skewness, i.e., how far the data are skewed from the uniform distribution. If the skewness value is >0, there is more weight in the left tail of the distribution, and if the skewness value is <0, there is more weight in the right tail of the distribution.

The sequence variations among the RR and HK sequences were also determined using principal-component analysis (PCA) plots. As we want to explore the peptide sequences, the use of the best descriptive features is important. For this, the best and simplest descriptive features are amino acid composition, dipeptide composition, and tripeptide composition, as used previously (49–51). Furthermore, important peptide features like amino acid composition, dipeptide composition, and tripeptide composition were calculated (49). Furthermore, these features were used to make PCA plots for RRs and HKs in all ESKAPEE pathogens.

Genomic architectures of two-component systems among the ESKAPEE pathogens. The genomic architecture provides an important idea about the spatial arrangement of the genes in an operon (34). Here, we constructed the genomic architectures of the most shared and important TCSs among categories such as antibiotic resistance, virulence, and others/general, for example, PmrAB, VraSR, and BaeSR (antibiotic resistance); AgrCA, WalKR, and AlgZR (virulence); and CusSR and KdpDE (others [general])



TCSs. The genome architecture was constructed using gene sequences of the TCSs and calculating the intergenic distances and orientations among them. All this information was collated and depicted in the form of arrow diagrams. Furthermore, we plotted phylogenetic trees of the TCSs and compared them with their respective MLST values. The MLST values represent a set of housekeeping genes in the bacteria and thus categorize a strain according to its unique allelic profile. The phylogenetic tree was plotted using concatenated TCS operon protein sequences in a maximum likelihood tree with 1,000 pseudoreplicates.

Data availability. The code used for the analysis of the study is available at https://github.com/ akanksha-r/TCS_Pangenome.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only. FIG S1, PDF file, 0.3 MB. FIG S2, PDF file, 0.5 MB. FIG S3, PDF file, 0.4 MB. FIG S4, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S6, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S6, PDF file, 0.1 MB. FIG S7, PDF file, 0.6 MB. FIG S8, PDF file, 0.5 MB. FIG S9, PDF file, 1.1 MB. DATA SET S1, XLSX file, 0.02 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Marc Abrams for reviewing the manuscript and providing constructive suggestions.

This work was supported by NIH grant U01 AI124316 and Novo Nordisk Foundation grant NNF10CC1016517.

A.R. and B.O.P. designed research. A.R., Y.S., and K.S.C. performed research. A.R., Y.S., K.S.C., C.D., S.P., and J.M. performed analyses. A.R. and Y.S. wrote the manuscript. All the authors have read and approved the manuscript.

We declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- Mitrophanov AY, Groisman EA. 2008. Signal integration in bacterial twocomponent regulatory systems. Genes Dev 22:2601–2611. https://doi .org/10.1101/gad.1700308.
- Gross R, Aricò B, Rappuoli R. 1989. Families of bacterial signal-transducing proteins. Mol Microbiol 3:1661–1667. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958 .1989.tb00152.x.
- Zschiedrich CP, Keidel V, Szurmant H. 2016. Molecular mechanisms of two-component signal transduction. J Mol Biol 428:3752–3775. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2016.08.003.
- Boucher HW, Talbot GH, Bradley JS, Edwards JE, Gilbert D, Rice LB, Scheld M, Spellberg B, Bartlett J. 2009. Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 48:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1086/595011.
- Pendleton JN, Gorman SP, Gilmore BF. 2013. Clinical relevance of the ESKAPE pathogens. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 11:297–308. https://doi .org/10.1586/eri.13.12.
- Santajit S, Indrawattana N. 2016. Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in ESKAPE pathogens. Biomed Res Int 2016:2475067. https://doi.org/10 .1155/2016/2475067.
- Ibrahim IM, Puthiyaveetil S, Allen JF. 2016. A two-component regulatory system in transcriptional control of photosystem stoichiometry: redox-dependent and sodium ion-dependent phosphoryl transfer from cyanobacterial histidine kinase Hik2 to response regulators Rre1 and RppA. Front Plant Sci 7:137. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00137.
- Bhagirath AY, Li Y, Patidar R, Yerex K, Ma X, Kumar A, Duan K. 2019. Two component regulatory systems and antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative pathogens. Int J Mol Sci 20:1781. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20071781.
- West AH, Stock AM. 2001. Histidine kinases and response regulator proteins in two-component signaling systems. Trends Biochem Sci 26:369–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0968-0004(01)01852-7.

- Mascher T, Helmann JD, Unden G. 2006. Stimulus perception in bacterial signal-transducing histidine kinases. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 70:910–938. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00020-06.
- Schaller GE, Kieber JJ, Shiu S-H. 2008. Two-component signaling elements and histidyl-aspartyl phosphorelays. Arabidopsis Book 6:e0112. https:// doi.org/10.1199/tab.0112.
- Gao R, Bouillet S, Stock AM. 2019. Structural basis of response regulator function. Annu Rev Microbiol 73:175–197. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro -020518-115931.
- Wojnowska M, Yan J, Sivalingam GN, Cryar A, Gor J, Thalassinos K, Djordjevic S. 2013. Autophosphorylation activity of a soluble hexameric histidine kinase correlates with the shift in protein conformational equilibrium. Chem Biol 20:1411–1420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2013.09.008.
- Gao R, Stock AM. 2009. Biological insights from structures of two-component proteins. Annu Rev Microbiol 63:133–154. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.micro.091208.073214.
- Galperin MY. 2005. A census of membrane-bound and intracellular signal transduction proteins in bacteria: bacterial IQ, extroverts and introverts. BMC Microbiol 5:35. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-5-35.
- Galperin MY. 2010. Diversity of structure and function of response regulator output domains. Curr Opin Microbiol 13:150–159. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.mib.2010.01.005.
- Rajput A, Kaur K, Kumar M. 2016. SigMol: repertoire of quorum sensing signaling molecules in prokaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res 44:D634–D639. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1076.
- Tierney AR, Rather PN. 2019. Roles of two-component regulatory systems in antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol 14:533–552. https://doi.org/10 .2217/fmb-2019-0002.
- 19. Barrett JF, Hoch JA. 1998. Two-component signal transduction as a target



for microbial anti-infective therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 42:1529–1536. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.42.7.1529.

- Prüß BM. 2017. Involvement of two-component signaling on bacterial motility and biofilm development. J Bacteriol 199:e00259-17. https://doi .org/10.1128/JB.00259-17.
- Golby P, Davies S, Kelly DJ, Guest JR, Andrews SC. 1999. Identification and characterization of a two-component sensor-kinase and response-regulator system (DcuS-DcuR) controlling gene expression in response to C4dicarboxylates in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 181:1238–1248. https://doi .org/10.1128/JB.181.4.1238-1248.1999.
- Reading NC, Rasko DA, Torres AG, Sperandio V. 2009. The two-component system QseEF and the membrane protein QseG link adrenergic and stress sensing to bacterial pathogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106:5889–5894. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0811409106.
- 23. Kato A, Groisman EA. 2004. Connecting two-component regulatory systems by a protein that protects a response regulator from dephosphorylation by its cognate sensor. Genes Dev 18:2302–2313. https://doi.org/10 .1101/gad.1230804.
- Muller C, Plésiat P, Jeannot K. 2011. A two-component regulatory system interconnects resistance to polymyxins, aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones, and β-lactams in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:1211–1221. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01252-10.
- Cerqueira GM, Kostoulias X, Khoo C, Aibinu I, Qu Y, Traven A, Peleg AY. 2014. A global virulence regulator in Acinetobacter baumannii and its control of the phenylacetic acid catabolic pathway. J Infect Dis 210:46–55. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiu024.
- Worthington RJ, Blackledge MS, Melander C. 2013. Small-molecule inhibition of bacterial two-component systems to combat antibiotic resistance and virulence. Future Med Chem 5:1265–1284. https://doi.org/10.4155/ fmc.13.58.
- Bourret RB, Silversmith RE. 2010. Two-component signal transduction. Curr Opin Microbiol 13:113–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2010.02.003.
- Barrett JF, Goldschmidt RM, Lawrence LE, Foleno B, Chen R, Demers JP, Johnson S, Kanojia R, Fernandez J, Bernstein J, Licata L, Donetz A, Huang S, Hlasta DJ, Macielag MJ, Ohemeng K, Frechette R, Frosco MB, Klaubert DH, Whiteley JM, Wang L, Hoch JA. 1998. Antibacterial agents that inhibit two-component signal transduction systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:5317–5322. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.9.5317.
- Sentausa E, Basso P, Berry A, Adrait A, Bellement G, Couté Y, Lory S, Elsen S, Attrée I. 2020. Insertion sequences drive the emergence of a highly adapted human pathogen. Microb Genom 6:mgen000265. https://doi .org/10.1099/mgen.0.000265.
- 30. Cai X-H, Zhang Q, Shi S-Y, Ding D-F. 2005. Searching for potential drug targets in two-component and phosphorelay signal-transduction systems using three-dimensional cluster analysis. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai) 37:293–302. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-7270.2005.00046.x.
- Gotoh Y, Eguchi Y, Watanabe T, Okamoto S, Doi A, Utsumi R. 2010. Twocomponent signal transduction as potential drug targets in pathogenic bacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol 13:232–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib .2010.01.008.
- Tiwari S, Jamal SB, Hassan SS, Carvalho PVSD, Almeida S, Barh D, Ghosh P, Silva A, Castro TLP, Azevedo V. 2017. Two-component signal transduction systems of pathogenic bacteria as targets for antimicrobial therapy: an overview. Front Microbiol 8:1878. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017 .01878.
- 33. Okuda S, Kawashima S, Kobayashi K, Ogasawara N, Kanehisa M, Goto S. 2007. Characterization of relationships between transcriptional units and operon structures in Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli. BMC Genomics 8:48. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-8-48.
- Choudhary KS, Mih N, Monk J, Kavvas E, Yurkovich JT, Sakoulas G, Palsson BO. 2018. The two-component system AgrAC displays four distinct genomic arrangements that delineate genomic virulence factor signatures. Front Microbiol 9:1082. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01082.

- Capra EJ, Laub MT. 2012. Evolution of two-component signal transduction systems. Annu Rev Microbiol 66:325–347. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-micro-092611-150039.
- 36. Wattam AR, Davis JJ, Assaf R, Boisvert S, Brettin T, Bun C, Conrad N, Dietrich EM, Disz T, Gabbard JL, Gerdes S, Henry CS, Kenyon RW, Machi D, Mao C, Nordberg EK, Olsen GJ, Murphy-Olson DE, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Warren A, Xia F, Yoo H, Stevens RL. 2017. Improvements to PATRIC, the all-bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource center. Nucleic Acids Res 45: D535–D542. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1017.
- 37. Eddy SR. 1996. Hidden Markov models. Curr Opin Struct Biol 6:361–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0959-440x(96)80056-x.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389.
- Gumerov VM, Ortega DR, Adebali O, Ulrich LE, Zhulin IB. 2020. MiST 3.0: an updated microbial signal transduction database with an emphasis on chemosensory systems. Nucleic Acids Res 48:D459–D464. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkz988.
- 40. Ortet P, Whitworth DE, Santaella C, Achouak W, Barakat M. 2015. P2CS: updates of the prokaryotic two-component systems database. Nucleic Acids Res 43:D536–D541. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku968.
- El-Gebali S, Mistry J, Bateman A, Eddy SR, Luciani A, Potter SC, Qureshi M, Richardson LJ, Salazar GA, Smart A, Sonnhammer ELL, Hirsh L, Paladin L, Piovesan D, Tosatto SCE, Finn RD. 2019. The Pfam protein families database in 2019. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D427–D432. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gky995.
- Finn RD, Tate J, Mistry J, Coggill PC, Sammut SJ, Hotz H-R, Ceric G, Forslund K, Eddy SR, Sonnhammer ELL, Bateman A. 2008. The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 36:D281–D288. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkm960.
- Monk JM, Charusanti P, Aziz RK, Lerman JA, Premyodhin N, Orth JD, Feist AM, Palsson BØ. 2013. Genome-scale metabolic reconstructions of multiple Escherichia coli strains highlight strain-specific adaptations to nutritional environments. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:20338–20343. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1307797110.
- Seif Y, Kavvas E, Lachance J-C, Yurkovich JT, Nuccio S-P, Fang X, Catoiu E, Raffatellu M, Palsson BO, Monk JM. 2018. Genome-scale metabolic reconstructions of multiple Salmonella strains reveal serovar-specific metabolic traits. Nat Commun 9:3771. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06112-5.
- Tettelin H, Riley D, Cattuto C, Medini D. 2008. Comparative genomics: the bacterial pan-genome. Curr Opin Microbiol 11:472–477. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.mib.2008.09.006.
- Zhang R, Ou H-Y, Zhang C-T. 2004. DEG: a database of essential genes. Nucleic Acids Res 32:D271–D272. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh024.
- 47. Chen W-H, Lu G, Chen X, Zhao X-M, Bork P. 2017. OGEE v2: an update of the online gene essentiality database with special focus on differentially essential genes in human cancer cell lines. Nucleic Acids Res 45: D940–D944. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1013.
- Rendón JM, Lang B, Tartaglia GG, Burgas MT. 2020. BacFITBase: a database to assess the relevance of bacterial genes during host infection. Nucleic Acids Res 48:D511–D516. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz931.
- Rajput A, Gupta AK, Kumar M. 2015. Prediction and analysis of quorum sensing peptides based on sequence features. PLoS One 10:e0120066. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120066.
- Bhasin M, Raghava GPS. 2004. Classification of nuclear receptors based on amino acid composition and dipeptide composition. J Biol Chem 279:23262–23266. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M401932200.
- Gautam A, Chaudhary K, Kumar R, Sharma A, Kapoor P, Tyagi A, Open Source Drug Discovery Consortium, Raghava GPS. 2013. In silico approaches for designing highly effective cell penetrating peptides. J Transl Med 11:74. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-11-74.