Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

Meta-omics survey of [NiFe]-hydrogenase genes fails to capture drastic variations in H₂-oxidation activity measured in three soils exposed to H₂

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2jm3p5fq>

Authors

Khdhiri, M
Piché-Choquette, S
Tremblay, J
et al.

Publication Date

2018-10-01

DOI

10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.07.020

Peer reviewed

1Title: Meta-omics survey of [NiFe]-hydrogenase genes fails to capture drastic
2variations in H₂-oxidation activity measured in soil

3

4Authors: Mondher Khdhiri^a, Sarah Piché-Choquette^a, Julien Tremblay^b, Susannah
5G. Tringe^c and Philippe Constant^{a*}

6

7^aInstitut National de la Recherche Scientifique-Institut Armand-Frappier, 531
8boulevard des Prairies, Laval (Québec), Canada, H7V 1B7.

9^bNational Research Council Canada, 6100, avenue Royalmount, Montréal
10(Québec), Canada, H4P 2R2.

11^cDOE Joint Genome Institute, 2800 Mitchell Drive, Walnut Creek, California
1294598, U.S.A.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21*Corresponding author: Philippe Constant, INRS-Institut Armand-Frappier, 531
22boulevard des Prairies, Laval (Québec), Canada, H7V 1B7, Phone: (450) 687-
235010 ext. 4117, Email address: Philippe.Constant@iaf.inrs.ca

24Abstract

25~~In a debatable framework regarding the use of incomplete portraits of~~
26Microbial community structure and functions obtained from metagenomic
27data are often used to infer biogeochemical processes. ~~H,~~ here we used the
28biological sink of H₂ as a case study to test the hypothesis that [NiFe]-
29hydrogenase gene distribution and expression profiles explain variations in H₂
30oxidation rate measured in soils. Shotgun meta-omics (metagenomic and
31metatranscriptomic) analyses of soil samples exposed to elevated or low H₂
32concentration led to the identification of 45 genes encoding the large subunit
33of [NiFe]-hydrogenases belonging to 8 distinct phyla. Our results indicate that
34~~despite significant sequencing effort,~~ retrieved hydrogenase sequences are not
35~~relevant in themselves adequate~~ surrogates of H₂ oxidation activity in soil.
36~~FurthermoreIn fact,~~ land-use exerted a ~~more importantgreater contribution~~
37~~influence~~ than H₂ exposure on both hydrogenase gene distribution and
38expression, though expression of certain genes responded to H₂. We argue that
39approaches relying on PCR/RT-PCR amplicons sequencing or quantification
40combined with physicochemical parameters are currently the best option to
41infer the activity of H₂-oxidizing bacteria, as well as other specialist functional
42guilds with similar population size in soil.

43

44Keywords: Metagenomic, Biogeochemistry, Microbial Ecology, H₂ oxidation
45activities

47Microbial community profiles are increasingly used to refine biogeochemical
48process models relying on abiotic factors (Todd-Brown et al., 2012; Wieder et
49al., 2013; Pérez-Valera et al., 2015; Powell et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the
50appeal of this approach remains controversial. For instance, modeling diverse C
51and N process rates using PCR amplicon sequencing of functional or 16S rRNA
52genes did not improve every single model previously based on edaphic factors
53(Graham et al., 2014; Graham et al., 2016). Thus, in this study we investigate
54the application of meta-omics (metagenomic and metatranscriptomic)
55approaches in molecular biogeochemistry using molecular hydrogen (H₂) as a
56case study. Aerated upland soils are the most important H₂ sinks. Indeed, high-
57affinity H₂-oxidizing bacteria (HOB) are responsible for 70% of global losses of
58tropospheric H₂ (Pieterse et al., 2013) while low-affinity HOB scavenge H₂
59produced by nodules [on plant roots](#), preventing its diffusion to the atmosphere
60(Schuler and Conrad, 1991). According to their physiological role and cellular
61localization, [NiFe]-hydrogenases are classified into 5 distinct functional
62groups. Briefly, group 1 includes membrane-bound hydrogenases supplying
63electrons to the respiratory chain; group 2 are either H₂ sensors regulating the
64transcription of hydrogenases operons or recyclers of H₂ by-products of
65nitrogen fixation; group 3 are used to regenerate reducing equivalents in the
66cells (e.g. NAD, ferredoxin-420); group 4 hydrogenases are membrane-bound
67H₂-evolving enzymes operating under anaerobic conditions (Vignais and
68Billoud, 2007; Greening et al., 2016) and lastly, group 5 encompasses high-
69affinity hydrogenases used for mixotrophic growth and survival (Constant et al.,
702011).

71 Here, we test the hypothesis that, ~~albeit incomplete,~~ retrieved hydrogenase
72 gene profiles from meta-omics analyses, although incomplete, represent a
73 valuable surrogate of high- and low-affinity HOB activity in soil. We used data
74 from previous experiments in which soil samples collected from a larch
75 plantation, a poplar plantation and a farmland were exposed to low (0.5 ppmv)
76 or elevated (10,000 ppmv) H₂ concentrations for 15 days (Khdhiri et al., 2017).
77 Low- and high-affinity H₂ oxidation rates, soil physicochemical properties and
78 metagenomic profiles measured in the previous report were supplemented with
79 a metatranscriptomic analysis. Quality control-passed metatranscriptomic
80 reads were mapped, using the Burrows-Wheeler aligner BWA v0.7.10 (Li and
81 Durbin, 2010), against contigs from the metagenome assembly after alignment
82 sorting with samtools v1.1 (Li et al., 2009) and gene abundance computation
83 with bedtools v.2.17.0 (Quinlan and Hall, 2010). In this paper, 45 genes
84 encoding the large subunit of [NiFe]-hydrogenases retrieved from the
85 metagenomic analysis based on a trained set of Hidden Markov Models (Khdhiri
86 et al., 2017) and complementary phylogenetic analysis (Figure S1) were used
87 as a template to retrieve corresponding hydrogenase gene transcripts from
88 metatranscriptomic profiles (Table S1A). Comparative analyses were computed
89 using either gene or transcript counts from meta-omics analysis or gene
90 expression ratios (*i.e.* transcript count divided by gene count). This case study
91 was well-suited to challenge our hypothesis, as H₂ exposures caused drastic
92 variations in H₂ oxidation rates measured in the three soils, including a 94-96%
93 loss of high-affinity oxidation activity and a 103-514% gain of low-affinity
94 activity in soil upon elevated H₂ exposure (Khdhiri et al., 2017).

95Hydrogenase genes encompassed 8 distinct phyla, all within the bacterial
96kingdom (Figure S2). Unclassified sequences at the phylum level presented a
97minor proportion, not exceeding 9% of identified genes. In accordance with
98recent metagenomic database mining (Greening et al., 2016), Acidobacteria
99represented only a small proportion of HOBs (less than 2%), while
100Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria were dominant in all land-uses (40% and
10125%, respectively). Hydrogenase gene expression ratios were maintained at an
102even level in Proteobacteria, while an under- and over-expression was
103observed in Acidobacteria and Actinobacteria, respectively (Figure S3). The
104impact of H₂ exposure on hydrogenase transcription was analyzed using
105sequencing reads clustered at the phylum, functional group and gene levels. At
106the taxonomic level, no phylum showed a significant response to H₂ exposure
107(Table S1B). At the functional group level, a specific response was expected
108due to the physiological role of group 2B [NiFe]-hydrogenases in regulating the
109expression of hydrogenase gene operons according to H₂ availability (Lenz and
110Friedrich, 1998). Moreover, a significant decrease of group 5 [NiFe]-
111hydrogenase gene expression was observed in those same land-uses using
112qPCR and qRT-PCR techniques (Piche-Choquette et al., 2017). Nevertheless,
113there was no consistent response to H₂ treatment when examining the five
114groups separately (Table S1C). At the gene level, the expression of 3
115hydrogenase genes showed a significant response to H₂ exposure (Figure 1). In
116fact, land-use type exerted more incidence-influence on hydrogenase gene or
117transcript distribution and gene expression than the H₂ treatment (Table S2).

118Bacterial and fungal species richness along with edaphic factors were
119previously reported to contribute significantly in explaining H₂-oxidation rates

variations in structural equation models (Khdhiri et al., 2017). Here, we added a supplementary composite variable representing hydrogenase gene counts (Mg), transcript counts (Mt) or gene expression profiles (Mt/Mg) to these models to evaluate the contribution of meta-omic datasets in explaining HOB activity. The attempt to reduce hydrogenases' molecular profiles into principal components failed to reach appreciable explanatory power (Figure S4) and therefore, composite variables were computed through the sum of all gene counts, transcript counts and gene expression ratio for each sample. Added composite variables exerted no significant contribution in explaining low-affinity H₂ oxidation activity regardless of the analysis level (Figure 2A, C, E). On the other hand, high-affinity activity was partly explained by the composite variable Mt (Figure 2D). Under-representation of hydrogenases genes in the sequenced metagenomes caused by insufficient sequencing depth is likely a critical factor explaining the lack of a significant contribution of meta-omics datasets to explain measured activity of HOB. Regarding high-affinity HOB, our meta-omics datasets recovered only 7 genes encompassing group 5 [NiFe]-hydrogenases (Figure 1), while PCR-amplicon sequencing of *hhyL* gene (encoding the large subunit of hydrogenase belonging to group 5) led to the identification of 664 OTUs in the same soils (Piche-Choquette et al., 2017).

Gene distribution and expression captured through meta-omics surveys were not representative of the overall activity of HOB populations in soil. H₂ oxidation activity gradients observed in this study are comparable to extreme variations occurring in the environment when comparing different land-uses. For instance, potential high-affinity H₂ oxidation rates varied between 100 and 2000 pmol g_(dw)⁻¹ h⁻¹ in soil samples collected from spruce forest and arid desert,

145respectively (Constant et al., 2011). Similarly, investigation of the impact of
146land-use change on H₂ dry deposition unveiled 32-64% lower H₂ soil uptake
147rate in a grazed pasture when compared to native rainforest in the dry season
148(Pendall et al., 2010). Considering the lack of significant covariation between
149hydrogenase molecular profiles and H₂ oxidation activities under the extreme
150gradient of activities we experienced, we do not expect better resolution of
151HOB activity in natural environments. Thus, we recommend the use of qPCR
152and qRT-PCR techniques in studies aimed at inferring the potential activity of
153HOB in soil. For instance, multiple linear regression parameterized with total
154carbon and the relative abundance of high-affinity HOB explained up to 76%
155variation in high-affinity H₂ oxidation rates measured in 26 soils (Khdhiri et al.,
1562015). Likewise, *hhyL* gene expression ratio analyses explained 45% variation
157of H₂ oxidation activity measured in soil (Piche-Choquette et al., 2017). With
158the increasing size of public (meta)genomic databases and the democratization
159of high-throughput sequencing techniques, observations reported in this study
160are relevant way beyond the scope of the case of the biological sink of H₂.
161Indeed, it is estimated that high-affinity HOB represent approximately 1% of
162soil microbial communities (Khdhiri et al., 2015), which is comparable to the
163abundance of other functional groups in soil including CO- and CH₄-oxidizing
164bacteria (Degelmann et al., 2010; Lalonde and Constant, 2016). In conclusion,
165this work exemplifies that translation of meta-omics profiles into potential
166ecosystem processes or metabolic pathways is hampered by the poor coverage
167of typical sequencing efforts and the uncoordinated response of individual
168members of the same functional guilds to environmental pressures.

170**Acknowledgments**

171This work has been supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research
172Council of Canada Discovery grant to PC and by the Community Science
173Program of the Joint Genome Institute (US Department of Energy) to PC and JT.
174The work conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute,
175a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of
176the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. M.K.
177and S.P.-C are grateful to the Fondation Universitaire Armand-Frappier INRS for
178their Ph.D. scholarship.

179

180**Accession numbers**

181Raw sequence reads of the metatranscriptomic analysis were deposited in the
182Sequence Read Archive of the National Center for Biotechnology Information
183under BioProject **PRJXXX**.

184

185**References**

186

187Constant, P., Chowdhury, S.P., Hesse, L., Pratscher, J., Conrad, R., 2011.
188 Genome data mining and soil survey for the novel group 5 [NiFe]-
189 hydrogenase to explore the diversity and ecological importance of
190 presumptive high-affinity H₂-oxidizing bacteria. Applied and
191 Environmental Microbiology 77, 6027-6035.

192 Degelmann, D.M., Borken, W., Drake, H.L., Kolb, S., 2010. Different
193 atmospheric methane-oxidizing communities in European beech and
194 Norway spruce soils. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 76, 3228-
195 3235.

196 Graham, E.B., Knelman, J.E., Schindlbacher, A., Siciliano, S., Breulmann, M.,
197 Yannarell, A., Beman, J.M., Abell, G., Philippot, L., Prosser, J., Foulquier, A.,
198 Yuste, J.C., Glanville, H.C., Jones, D.L., Angel, R., Salminen, J., Newton, R.J.,
199 Burgmann, H., Ingram, L.J., Hamer, U., Siljanen, H.M., Peltoniemi, K.,
200 Potthast, K., Baneras, L., Hartmann, M., Banerjee, S., Yu, R.Q., Nogaro, G.,
201 Richter, A., Koranda, M., Castle, S.C., Goberna, M., Song, B., Chatterjee, A.,
202 Nunes, O.C., Lopes, A.R., Cao, Y., Kaisermann, A., Hallin, S., Strickland,
203 M.S., Garcia-Pausas, J., Barba, J., Kang, H., Isobe, K., Papaspyrou, S.,
204 Pastorelli, R., Lagomarsino, A., Lindstrom, E.S., Basiliko, N., Nemergut,
205 D.R., 2016. Microbes as Engines of Ecosystem Function: When Does
206 Community Structure Enhance Predictions of Ecosystem Processes?
207 *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7, 214.

208 Graham, E.B., Wieder, W.R., Leff, J.W., Weintraub, S.R., Townsend, A.R.,
209 Cleveland, C.C., Philippot, L., Nemergut, D.R., 2014. Do we need to
210 understand microbial communities to predict ecosystem function? A
211 comparison of statistical models of nitrogen cycling processes. *Soil Biology*
212 *and Biochemistry* 68, 279-282.

213 Greening, C., Biswas, A., Carere, C.R., Jackson, C.J., Taylor, M.C., Stott, M.B.,
214 Cook, G.M., Morales, S.E., 2016. Genomic and metagenomic surveys of
215 hydrogenase distribution indicate H₂ is a widely utilised energy source for
216 microbial growth and survival. *ISME Journal* 10, 761-777.

217Khdhiri, M., Hesse, L., Popa, M.E., Quiza, L., Lalonde, I., Meredith, L.K.,
218 Röckmann, T., Constant, P., 2015. Soil carbon content and relative
219 abundance of high affinity H₂-oxidizing bacteria predict atmospheric H₂
220 soil uptake activity better than soil microbial community composition. *Soil*
221 *Biology and Biochemistry* 85, 1-9.

222Khdhiri, M., Piche-Choquette, S., Tremblay, J., Tringe, S.G., Constant, P., 2017.
223 The Tale of a Neglected Energy Source: Elevated Hydrogen Exposure
224 Affects both Microbial Diversity and Function in Soil. *Applied and*
225 *Environmental Microbiology* 83.

226Lalonde, I., Constant, P., 2016. Identification of unknown carboxydovore
227 bacteria dominant in deciduous forest soil via succession of bacterial
228 communities, *coxL* genotypes, and carbon monoxide oxidation activity in
229 soil microcosms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 82, 1324-1333.

230Lenz, O., Friedrich, B., 1998. A novel multicomponent regulatory system
231 mediates H₂ sensing in *Alcaligenes eutrophus*. *Proceedings of the National*
232 *Academy of Sciences* 95, 12474-12479.

233Li, H., Durbin, R., 2010. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-
234 Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 26, 589-595.

235Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,
236 Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
237 SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078-2079.

238Pendall, E., Schwendenmann, L., Rahn, T., Miller, J.B., Tans, P.P., White, J.,
239 2010. Land use and season affect fluxes of CO₂, CH₄, CO, N₂O, H₂ and
240 isotopic source signatures in Panama: evidence from nocturnal boundary
241 layer profiles. *Global Change Biology* 16, 2721-2736.

242 Pérez-Valera, E., Goberna, M., Verdú, M., 2015. Phylogenetic structure of soil
 243 bacterial communities predicts ecosystem functioning. *FEMS microbiology*
 244 *ecology* 91, fiv031.

245 Piche-Choquette, S., Khdhiri, M., Constant, P., 2017. Survey of High-Affinity H₂-
 246 Oxidizing Bacteria in Soil Reveals Their Vast Diversity Yet
 247 Underrepresentation in Genomic Databases. *Microbial Ecology*.

248 Pieterse, G., Krol, M., Batenburg, A., M Brenninkmeijer, C., Popa, M., O'doherty,
 249 S., Grant, A., Steele, L., Krummel, P., Langenfelds, R., 2013. Reassessing
 250 the variability in atmospheric H₂ using the two-way nested TM5 model.
 251 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* 118, 3764-3780.

252 Powell, J.R., Welsh, A., Hallin, S., 2015. Microbial functional diversity enhances
 253 predictive models linking environmental parameters to ecosystem
 254 properties. *Ecology* 96, 1985-1993.

255 Quinlan, A.R., Hall, I.M., 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for
 256 comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26, 841-842.

257 Schuler, S., Conrad, R., 1991. Hydrogen oxidation in soil following rhizobial H₂
 258 production due to N₂ fixation by a *Vicia faba*-*Rhizobium leguminosarum*
 259 symbiosis. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 11, 190-195.

260 Todd-Brown, K.E.O., Hopkins, F.M., Kivlin, S.N., Talbot, J.M., Allison, S.D., 2012.
 261 A framework for representing microbial decomposition in coupled climate
 262 models. *Biogeochemistry* 109, 19-33.

263 Vignais, P.M., Billoud, B., 2007. Occurrence, classification, and biological
 264 function of hydrogenases: an overview. *Chemical Reviews* 107, 4206-
 265 4272.

266Wieder, W.R., Bonan, G.B., Allison, S.D., 2013. Global soil carbon projections
267 are improved by modelling microbial processes. *Nature Climate Change* 3,
268 909-912.

269

Figure Legend

Figure 1. [NiFe]-hydrogenases gene expression ratio as a function of functional groups. The middle line in the boxes represents the median and the dots reflect outliers. Lower and upper lines delimit the 25% and 75% quantiles, respectively. Each boxplot is colored according to its affiliated phylum. Gene identifier labels are colored according to the land-use type where H₂ exposure led to a significant differential expression ratio (F; Farmland, L; Larch and P; Poplar). The shaded gray line marks the separation between over- and under-expression levels.

Figure 2. Structural equation models (SEM) to test the hypothesis of a significant contribution of microbial communities' molecular data from the three levels of analysis to the low- and high-affinity H₂ oxidation models. Hexagon variables represent statistical composites estimated based on partial least square linear regression analysis of the respective variables. The R² values are presented for both oxidation rates. The simple path (direct arrow) tests the significance effect between the two variables while double directed arrows denote a covariation between variables. All path coefficients were standardized and were significant if $P < 0.05$. The "ns" represent a non-significant relationship. For each level of analysis both the low- and high-affinity H₂ oxidation activities are respectively shown as A and B for the metagenomics level; C and D for the metatranscriptomics level and E and F for the expression ratio level.

294

295

296

297

298**Figure 1.**

300**Figure 2.**

301

302

Table S2. PERMANOVA analysis displaying the proportion of variance in meta-omic profiles explained by land-use type, H₂ treatment or the combination land-use type and H₂ treatment.

[NiFe]-hydrogenase dataset	Factors	R ² (p-value)
Metagenome	H ₂	0.03 (0.597)
	Land-use	0.37 (0.001)
	H ₂ x Land-use	0.08 (0.460)
Metatranscriptome	H ₂	0.04 (0.353)
	Land-use	0.40 (0.001)
	H ₂ x Land-use	0.07 (0.529)
Expression ratio	H ₂	0.05 (0.675)
	Land-use	0.15 (0.066)
	H ₂ x Land-use	0.11 (0.678)

* Significant R² are shown in bold

309**Figure S2.** Distribution of [NiFe]-hydrogenase genes and transcripts at
310the phylum level. The plots represent the sum of relative gene counts for
311each phylum. The barplot shows the average value of genes counts from
312three replicates of the three soils (F; Farmland, L; Larch and P; poplar)
313exposed to elevated (H) or low (L) H₂ concentrations. 18 and 17 samples
314were considered for metagenomic and metatranscriptomic libraries,
315respectively.

Figure S3. Heatmap of hydrogenases expression ratios at the phylum level. Each expression ratio value represents the ratio of the sum calculated from all genes of their respective phylum in the three replicates of each treatment condition. The first letter of soil nomenclature denotes the treatment condition (H and L for high and low H₂ treatment, respectively) and the second letter refers to the soil land-use (F; Farmland, L; Larch, P; Poplar).

324
325

Figure S4. Principal component analysis showing the distribution of different land-use types based on the relative abundance of the 45 putative [NiFe]-hydrogenases on A) the metagenomics level, B) the metatranscriptomics level and C) the expression ratios level. Land-use types are represented by three different symbols (squares; Farmland, circles; Larch, triangles; Poplar). Black symbols refer to the high concentration treatment while white symbols indicate soils exposed to low concentration of H₂.