

UC Berkeley

IGS Poll

Title

Tabulations from a late-September 2022 Poll of California Registered Voters about their Views of the New CARE Court Law and the Failed Proposal to Make Kindergarten Mandatory

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2mc177xr>

Author

DiCamillo, Mark

Publication Date

2022-10-06



University of California, Berkeley
Institute of Governmental Studies
109 Moses Hall, #2370
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370
Tel: 510-642-1473
Fax: 510-642-3020
Email: igs@berkeley.edu

**Tabulations from a late-September 2022 Poll of
California Registered Voters about their Views of the New
CARE Court Law and the Failed Proposal to Make
Kindergarten Mandatory**

by the
Berkeley IGS Poll
Institute of Governmental Studies
University of California, Berkeley

September 22-27, 2022

Introduction

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed online in English and Spanish September 22-27, 2022 among 8,725 California registered voters. The survey was conducted by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley. Funding for the poll was provided in part by the *Los Angeles Times*.

The survey distributed email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. The latest poll also included an oversampling of registered voters in Los Angeles County, administered using the same methods, to enable the poll to examine specific issues of interest to voters in Los Angeles County for the *Times*. After the completion of data collection, the results were weighted to realign the Los Angeles County oversample to its actual share of the statewide registered voter population.

Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the University and provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt out link was provided for voters not wishing to participate and not wanting to receive further email invitations.

Samples of registered voters with email addresses were provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists in California. The email addresses of voters were derived from information contained on the state's official voter registration rolls. Prior to the distribution of the emails, the overall sample was stratified by age and gender in an attempt to obtain a proper balance of survey respondents across all major segments of the registered voter population.

To protect the anonymity of survey respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information derived from the original voter listing were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. Post-stratification weights were applied to align the overall sample of the registered voters to population characteristics of the state's registered voters.

The sampling error associated with the results from the survey are difficult to calculate precisely due to the effects of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that the results from the overall sample of registered voters are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/- 2 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

Regional definitions referenced in this report

The results from the state's geographic regions referenced in this volume are comprised of the following counties:

Los Angeles County (Los Angeles County)

Orange County (Orange County)

San Diego County (San Diego County)

Inland Empire (Riverside, San Bernardino and Imperial counties)

Central Coast (Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito counties)

SF Bay Area (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, San Mateo, Santa Clara).

North Coast/Sierras (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Lassen, Mendocino, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Lake, Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Mono, Mariposa, Inyo counties.)

Sacramento Valley (Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Colusa, Yuba, Placer, Sutter, Yolo, El Dorado, and Sacramento counties)

San Joaquin Valley (San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern counties)

Central Valley (net) (includes all counties in the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley referenced above)

About the Institute of Governmental Studies

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor G. Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* go to <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

Table 5: Q26 The legislature also approved a plan to create a CARE Court to provide court-ordered treatment for Californians struggling with severe mental illness including those who are homeless. The CARE Court would have the authority to order mental health treatment for people with severe mental illnesses even if they did not seek it. Do you favor or oppose this action? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Party Registration					Likely Voter	
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Other	NPP	Likely voter	Not likely voter
unweighted n	8725.00	4293.00	586.00	447.00	578.00	370.00	877.00	485.00	392.00	1449.00	125.00	5077.00	1399.00	2249.00	473.00	1776.00	6939.00	1786.00
weighted n	8725.00	2262.00	772.00	713.00	1008.00	532.00	1525.00	703.00	821.00	1723.00	190.00	4075.00	2096.00	2554.00	589.00	1965.00	5918.00	2807.00
Favor (net)	0.76	0.75	0.78	0.74	0.71	0.78	0.76	0.75	0.77	0.80	0.66	0.80	0.69	0.74	0.75	0.74	0.80	0.68
Favor strongly	0.41	0.44	0.40	0.33	0.38	0.37	0.41	0.36	0.45	0.44	0.31	0.45	0.36	0.38	0.47	0.35	0.45	0.33
Favor somewhat	0.35	0.31	0.38	0.41	0.33	0.41	0.35	0.39	0.32	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.36	0.28	0.39	0.35	0.35
Oppose (net)	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.20	0.11	0.25	0.12	0.20	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.20
Oppose somewhat	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.13
Oppose strongly	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.15	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.07
No opinion	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.03	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.12

Table 6: Q26 The legislature also approved a plan to create a CARE Court to provide court-ordered treatment for Californians struggling with severe mental illness including those who are homeless. The CARE Court would have the authority to order mental health treatment for people with severe mental illnesses even if they did not seek it. Do you favor or oppose this action? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Race								Nativity		Union affiliated HH		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish i-dominant (Latino)	English i-dominant (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	Amer Indian/Native Amer	Tribal affiliation	No tribal affiliation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	8725.00	4198.00	4526.00	1470.00	1468.00	1406.00	2240.00	2141.00	1385.00	756.00	4677.00	2121.00	491.00	1615.00	725.00	1017.00	187.00	72.00	110.00	7090.00	1617.00	1956.00	6741.00
weighted n	8725.00	4197.00	4528.00	1627.00	1567.00	1332.00	2090.00	2108.00	1379.00	729.00	4560.00	2359.00	695.00	1650.00	515.00	1197.00	163.00	93.00	70.00	6810.00	1902.00	1722.00	6986.00
Favor (net)	0.76	0.72	0.79	0.64	0.72	0.75	0.81	0.84	0.83	0.86	0.79	0.75	0.84	0.71	0.78	0.71	0.65	0.70	0.66	0.75	0.78	0.75	0.76
Favor strongly	0.41	0.37	0.44	0.29	0.38	0.42	0.45	0.47	0.46	0.49	0.39	0.46	0.58	0.41	0.48	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.39	0.49	0.42	0.40
Favor somewhat	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.34	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.39	0.29	0.25	0.31	0.30	0.35	0.30	0.35	0.33	0.37	0.29	0.33	0.36
Oppose (net)	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.21	0.19	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.16	0.06	0.20	0.16	0.15	0.28	0.20	0.30	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.15
Oppose somewhat	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.14	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.03	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.17	0.09	0.22	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.09
Oppose strongly	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.06
No opinion	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.14	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.09

Table 7: Q26 The legislature also approved a plan to create a CARE Court to provide court-ordered treatment for Californians struggling with severe mental illness including those who are homeless. The CARE Court would have the authority to order mental health treatment for people with severe mental illnesses even if they did not seek it. Do you favor or oppose this action? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education					Income					Tenure				
		Strongly con- serv- ative	Somewhat con- serv- ative	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school grad- uate	High school grad- uate	Some col- lege/trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- cation	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$149,999	\$150,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent	Other
unweighted n	8725.00	585.00	1162.00	2633.00	2020.00	2292.00	143.00	655.00	2221.00	2939.00	2743.00	670.00	868.00	1045.00	1691.00	1592.00	951.00	1658.00	4679.00	3334.00	691.00
weighted n	8725.00	950.00	1610.00	2964.00	1731.00	1452.00	267.00	1224.00	3494.00	2351.00	1368.00	888.00	1184.00	1292.00	1761.00	1412.00	759.00	1218.00	4387.00	3449.00	872.00
Favor (net)	0.76	0.69	0.75	0.75	0.84	0.75	0.84	0.72	0.74	0.78	0.80	0.69	0.74	0.75	0.77	0.77	0.81	0.80	0.78	0.75	0.67
Favor strongly	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.61	0.37	0.42	0.38	0.42	0.38	0.42	0.42	0.39	0.42	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.28
Favor somewhat	0.35	0.28	0.33	0.35	0.42	0.35	0.23	0.34	0.32	0.40	0.39	0.30	0.32	0.33	0.38	0.34	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.34	0.39
Oppose (net)	0.15	0.23	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.17	0.08	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.21
Oppose somewhat	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.14
Oppose strongly	0.06	0.13	0.07	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07
No opinion	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.12

Table 8: Q26 The legislature also approved a plan to create a CARE Court to provide court-ordered treatment for Californians struggling with severe mental illness including those who are homeless. The CARE Court would have the authority to order mental health treatment for people with severe mental illnesses even if they did not seek it. Do you favor or oppose this action? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status					Party identification									
		Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separate- ly/ divorced/ wid- owed	Single, never mar- ried	Democra- tic (net)	Strong Democ- rat	Not strong Democ- rat	Republi- can (net)	Strong Re- pub- lican	Not strong Re- pub- lican	Independ- ent/ other (net)	Democ- rat	Lean Re- pub- lican	Pure In- de- pen- dent/other	
unweighted n	8725.00	4273.00	779.00	1316.00	2308.00	5931.00	3273.00	2654.00	1871.00	743.00	1124.00	2838.00	1248.00	686.00	903.00	
weighted n	8725.00	3943.00	914.00	1600.00	2234.00	4813.00	2254.00	2558.00	2826.00	1238.00	1585.00	3030.00	1034.00	919.00	1077.00	
Favor (net)	0.76	0.78	0.74	0.83	0.69	0.82	0.85	0.79	0.70	0.71	0.69	0.72	0.80	0.68	0.67	
Favor strongly	0.41	0.42	0.39	0.50	0.33	0.45	0.51	0.40	0.36	0.41	0.33	0.36	0.41	0.33	0.33	
Favor somewhat	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.36	0.37	0.34	0.39	0.33	0.30	0.36	0.36	0.39	0.35	0.34	
Oppose (net)	0.15	0.13	0.18	0.11	0.19	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.20	0.18	0.21	0.18	0.13	0.23	0.19	
Oppose somewhat	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.06	0.12	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.08	
Oppose strongly	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.10	
No opinion	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.14	

Late September 2022 Berkeley IGS Poll — Statewide Registered Voters

Table 9: Q27 The legislature also passed a bill requiring that all 5-year-olds in the state attend kindergarten rather than leaving it up to the parent to decide. Do you favor or oppose this action? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Party Registration					Likely Voter	
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	North Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Other	NPP	Likely voter	Not likely voter
unweighted n	8725.00	4293.00	586.00	447.00	578.00	370.00	877.00	485.00	392.00	1449.00	125.00	5077.00	1399.00	2249.00	473.00	1776.00	6939.00	1786.00
weighted n	8725.00	2262.00	772.00	713.00	1008.00	532.00	1525.00	703.00	821.00	1723.00	190.00	4075.00	2096.00	2554.00	589.00	1965.00	5918.00	2807.00
Favor (net)	0.57	0.59	0.58	0.50	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.51	0.57	0.61	0.52	0.70	0.32	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.57	0.57
Favor strongly	0.35	0.36	0.37	0.27	0.37	0.31	0.34	0.28	0.39	0.36	0.34	0.45	0.19	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.36	0.33
Favor somewhat	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.23	0.19	0.24	0.20	0.23	0.18	0.25	0.18	0.26	0.14	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.21	0.24
Oppose (net)	0.33	0.30	0.31	0.40	0.38	0.35	0.37	0.39	0.35	0.27	0.29	0.20	0.58	0.33	0.36	0.33	0.35	0.30
Oppose somewhat	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.07	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.12
Oppose strongly	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.22	0.25	0.19	0.14	0.21	0.09	0.43	0.20	0.25	0.18	0.22	0.17
No opinion	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.19	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.14

Table 10: Q27 The legislature also passed a bill requiring that all 5-year-olds in the state attend kindergarten rather than leaving it up to the parent to decide. Do you favor or oppose this action? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Race								Nativity		Union affiliated HH		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dom-i-nant (Latino)	English dom-i-nant/bilingual (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	Amer In-dian/Native Amer	Tribal af-fil-ation	No tribal af-fil-ation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	8725.00	4198.00	4526.00	1470.00	1468.00	1406.00	2240.00	2141.00	1385.00	756.00	4677.00	2121.00	491.00	1615.00	725.00	1017.00	187.00	72.00	110.00	7090.00	1617.00	1956.00	6741.00
weighted n	8725.00	4197.00	4528.00	1627.00	1567.00	1332.00	2090.00	2108.00	1379.00	729.00	4560.00	2359.00	695.00	1650.00	515.00	1197.00	163.00	93.00	70.00	6810.00	1902.00	1722.00	6986.00
Favor (net)	0.57	0.52	0.61	0.63	0.55	0.57	0.52	0.59	0.58	0.60	0.54	0.64	0.68	0.62	0.64	0.57	0.41	0.36	0.46	0.56	0.61	0.58	0.57
Favor strongly	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.40	0.37	0.32	0.32	0.35	0.34	0.36	0.32	0.42	0.45	0.41	0.44	0.33	0.19	0.10	0.30	0.34	0.38	0.36	0.34
Favor somewhat	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.18	0.24	0.20	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.26	0.15	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.22
Oppose (net)	0.33	0.37	0.29	0.23	0.36	0.32	0.39	0.33	0.32	0.34	0.37	0.27	0.22	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.53	0.58	0.50	0.34	0.28	0.32	0.33
Oppose somewhat	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.13	0.12	0.06	0.23	0.14	0.10	0.11	0.13
Oppose strongly	0.20	0.23	0.18	0.11	0.22	0.20	0.26	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.16	0.13	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.41	0.52	0.27	0.21	0.18	0.21	0.20
No opinion	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.14	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.14	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10

Table 11: Q27 The legislature also passed a bill requiring that all 5-year-olds in the state attend kindergarten rather than leaving it up to the parent to decide. Do you favor or oppose this action? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education					Income					Tenure				
		Strongly con- serva- tive	Somewhat con- serva- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school graduate	High school graduate	Some col- lege/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate edu- cation	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$149,999	\$150,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent	Other
unweighted n	8725.00	585.00	1162.00	2633.00	2020.00	2292.00	143.00	655.00	2221.00	2939.00	2743.00	670.00	868.00	1045.00	1691.00	1592.00	951.00	1658.00	4679.00	3334.00	691.00
weighted n	8725.00	950.00	1610.00	2964.00	1731.00	1452.00	267.00	1224.00	3494.00	2351.00	1368.00	888.00	1184.00	1292.00	1761.00	1412.00	759.00	1218.00	4387.00	3449.00	872.00
Favor (net)	0.57	0.30	0.45	0.56	0.72	0.64	0.57	0.57	0.53	0.57	0.65	0.61	0.58	0.57	0.52	0.58	0.58	0.59	0.56	0.57	0.62
Favor strongly	0.35	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.43	0.46	0.39	0.34	0.35	0.33	0.39	0.41	0.36	0.35	0.32	0.35	0.33	0.37	0.34	0.36	0.36
Favor somewhat	0.22	0.11	0.16	0.23	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.24	0.18	0.24	0.26	0.19	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.27
Oppose (net)	0.33	0.63	0.48	0.32	0.19	0.16	0.24	0.32	0.37	0.31	0.26	0.28	0.29	0.32	0.35	0.34	0.37	0.33	0.36	0.30	0.27
Oppose somewhat	0.13	0.08	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.13
Oppose strongly	0.20	0.56	0.30	0.18	0.08	0.06	0.18	0.19	0.24	0.19	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.23	0.18	0.15
No opinion	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.11

Table 12: Q27 The legislature also passed a bill requiring that all 5-year-olds in the state attend kindergarten rather than leaving it up to the parent to decide. Do you favor or oppose this action? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status					Party identification									
		Married	Not married/ live to- gether	Separated/ wid- owed	Single/ never married	1	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- lican	Not strong Re- pub- lican	Independent/ other (net)	Lean Demo- crat	Lean Re- pub- lican	Pure In- de- pen- dent/other
unweighted n	8725.00	4273.00	779.00	1316.00	2308.00	5931.00	3273.00	2654.00	1871.00	743.00	1124.00	2838.00	1248.00	686.00	903.00	
weighted n	8725.00	3943.00	914.00	1600.00	2234.00	4813.00	2254.00	2558.00	2826.00	1238.00	1585.00	3030.00	1034.00	919.00	1077.00	
Favor (net)	0.57	0.57	0.56	0.53	0.61	0.72	0.79	0.66	0.34	0.31	0.37	0.50	0.67	0.31	0.51	
Favor strongly	0.35	0.35	0.36	0.31	0.37	0.44	0.50	0.39	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.29	0.40	0.15	0.29	
Favor somewhat	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.28	0.27	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.22	0.27	0.16	0.22	
Oppose (net)	0.33	0.35	0.32	0.38	0.25	0.19	0.14	0.22	0.57	0.60	0.54	0.38	0.21	0.62	0.35	
Oppose somewhat	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.16	
Oppose strongly	0.20	0.23	0.18	0.24	0.13	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.42	0.46	0.39	0.23	0.07	0.44	0.19	
No opinion	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.07	0.15	