UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Sex and Pubertal Status Influence Dendritic Spine Density on Frontal Corticostriatal Projection Neurons in Mice

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2nk7g3r0

Journal Cerebral Cortex, 30(6)

ISSN 1047-3211

Authors

Delevich, Kristen Okada, Nana J Rahane, Ameet <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2020-05-18

DOI

10.1093/cercor/bhz325

Peer reviewed

doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhz325 Advance Access Publication Date: 9 February 2020 Original Article

OXFORD

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Sex and Pubertal Status Influence Dendritic Spine Density on Frontal Corticostriatal Projection Neurons in Mice

Kristen Delevich^{1,2}, Nana J. Okada^{1,2}, Ameet Rahane^{1,2}, Zicheng Zhang^{1,2}, Christopher D. Hall^{1,2} and Linda Wilbrecht^{1,2}

¹Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA and ²Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Address correspondence to Linda Wilbrecht, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley 16 Barker Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-1650, USA. Email: wilbrecht@berkeley.edu

Authors Kristen Delevich and Nana J. Okada contributed equally

Abstract

In humans, nonhuman primates, and rodents, the frontal cortices exhibit grey matter thinning and dendritic spine pruning that extends into adolescence. This maturation is believed to support higher cognition but may also confer psychiatric vulnerability during adolescence. Currently, little is known about how specific cell types in the frontal cortex mature or whether puberty plays a role in the maturation of some cell types but not others. Here, we used mice to characterize the spatial topography and adolescent development of cross-corticostriatal (cSTR) neurons that project through the corpus collosum to the dorsomedial striatum. We found that apical spine density on cSTR neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex decreased significantly between late juvenile (P29) and young adult time points (P60), with females exhibiting higher spine density than males at both ages. Adult males castrated prior to puberty onset had higher spine density measures on cSTR cells compared to controls, but their mean spine density did not significantly differ from sham controls. Our findings reveal that these cSTR neurons, a subtype of the broader class of intratelencephalic-type neurons, exhibit significant sex differences and suggest that spine pruning on cSTR neurons is regulated by puberty in male mice.

Key words: adolescence, corticostriatal, puberty, spine pruning

Introduction

Adolescence is the developmental transition between the juvenile period and adulthood that is accompanied by significant changes in brain structure and function (Spear 2000). While puberty typically marks the onset of the adolescent period, it is still unclear whether adolescence-associated brain changes are driven by pubertal development. Across species, adolescence is characterized by newfound independence and changing social roles, and while the richness of human social and cultural experience cannot be captured in rodent models (Sawyer et al. 2018), the functional consequences of puberty can be more easily translated across species. Structural imaging studies in humans have shown that frontal cortical gray matter undergoes significant thinning during adolescence (Sowell et al. 1999), and postmortem studies provide evidence that the reduction in gray matter volume may be attributed, in part, to synapse elimination that occurs during this time (Huttenlocher 1979; Rakic et al. 1986; Bourgeois et al. 1994; Anderson et al. 1995; Glantz et al. 2007; Petanjek et al. 2011). Changes in frontal gray matter overlaps with pubertal milestones (Giedd et al. 1999), raising the question of whether the pubertal increase in steroid sex hormones drives synaptic pruning during adolescence. Recently, human neuroimaging studies have begun to parse the effects of age and pubertal

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

status on brain development (Neufang et al. 2009; Peper et al. 2009; Paus et al. 2010; Bramen et al. 2011). Studies have found that testosterone is associated with decreases in cortical thickness in postpubertal males (Nguyen et al. 2013) and gray matter volume in the frontal lobes (Koolschijn et al. 2014). Another found that pubertal tempo (the rate of change in Tanner staging) predicted the rate of change in cortical thickness in some regions (Herting et al. 2015). Dendritic spines in the frontal cortex have also been shown to prune across adolescence in rats (Koss et al. 2014) and mice (Holtmaat et al. 2005; Gourley et al. 2012; Johnson et al. 2016; Boivin et al. 2018). Similarly, rats show a decrease in overall synapse number in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) across adolescence, and in both males and females, synapse number was lower in postpubertal rats compared to age-matched prepubertal siblings (Drzewiecki et al. 2016).

While these data strongly suggest that puberty influences frontal cortex synapse elimination, less is known about the specific cell types and circuits involved. In the cortex, two major excitatory pyramidal neuron classes include intratelencephalic (IT-type) neurons in layer (L) 2/3 and 5, which mediate corticocortical communication, and L5 pyramidal tract (PT-type) neurons that project outside the telencephalon to the brainstem and spinal cord (Shepherd 2013; Harris and Shepherd 2015). IT-and PT-type neurons are intermingled within layer L5, but exhibit distinct morphological and electrophysiological properties and target different downstream brain areas (Cowan and Wilson 1994; Reiner et al. 2003; Gerfen et al. 2013; Naka and Adesnik 2016; Baker et al. 2018). Notably, while both IT- and PT-type neurons in the frontal cortex project to the striatum, only IT-type neurons cross the corpus callosum to innervate contralateral striatum, which we refer to as cross-corticostriatal (cSTR) neurons (Shepherd 2013; Harris and Shepherd 2015). Recent work in rodent models suggests that prefrontal IT-type neurons may exhibit a more protracted maturation than PT-type neurons. Data from mouse frontal cortex suggests that synaptic inhibition onto L2/3 and 5 IT-type neurons is dynamic during the adolescent period between postnatal day (P)25 and 45, whereas inhibition onto L5 PT-type neurons is stable (Vandenberg et al. 2015; Piekarski et al. 2017a). Furthermore, ovarian hormones at puberty appear to play a causal role in the maturation of inhibitory synaptic transmission onto IT-type neurons in female mice (Piekarski et al. 2017a). In L5 PT-type neurons labeled by the YFP-H transgenic mouse line (Porrero et al. 2010), data suggest that ovarian hormones at puberty do not influence spine pruning in female mice (Boivin et al. 2018). We therefore hypothesize that IT-type neurons may play an important role in adolescent reorganization of the brain, particularly aspects that are regulated by puberty onset (Delevich et al. 2019b).

Given that many aspects of goal-directed behavior mature across adolescence (Huizinga et al. 2006; Johnson and Wilbrecht 2011; Blakemore and Robbins 2012; Naneix et al. 2012; DePasque and Galvan 2017), and that cSTR projections to the dorsomedial striatum (DMS) have been implicated in goal-directed learning (Hart et al. 2018a; Hart et al. 2018b), we were motivated to compare apical spine density on DMS-projecting cSTR neurons between juvenile and adult time points. Significant remodeling of excitatory inputs on this population of cSTR neurons across the adolescent transition could potentially support the adolescent maturation of goal-directed behavior. Our goals for the current study were to: 1) characterize frontal cSTR neurons projections and their intrinsic properties across adolescence, 2) determine if prefrontal cSTR IT-type neurons exhibit spine pruning during adolescence in male and female mice, and 3) determine whether adolescent spine pruning on cSTR IT-type neurons is sensitive to prepubertal gonadectomy (GDX).

Materials and Methods

Animals

Male and female C57BL/6 mice (Charles River) were bred inhouse. All mice were weaned on postnatal day (P)21 and housed in groups of 2–3 same-sex siblings on a 12:12 h reversed light:dark cycle (lights on at 2200 h). All animals were given access to food and water *ad* libitum. For the developmental comparison, our juvenile time point was postnatal day (P)29 and our adult age was P60, an age range spanning the adolescent period (Tirelli et al. 2003). For our puberty manipulation, P60 was used as the age for both the sham and GDX groups. All procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California, Berkeley and conformed to principles outlined by the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Stereotaxic Injections

Male and female mice (P21 or P52) were deeply anesthetized with 5% isoflurane (vol/vol) in oxygen and placed into a stereotactic frame (Kopf Instruments; Tujunga, CA) upon a heating pad. Anesthesia was maintained at 1%-2% isoflurane during surgery. An incision was made along the midline of the scalp and small burr holes were drilled over each injection site. Virus was delivered via microinjection using a Nanoject II injector (Drummond Scientific Company; Broomall, PA). For spine imaging experiments, 50 nL pAAV-CAG-GFP (Addgene item #37825-AAVrg) diluted 1:5 in saline (starting titer \ge 7 \times 10¹² vg/mL) was delivered unilaterally to the DMS (coordinates relative to Bregma: A/P: +0.9 mm, M/L: +1.4 mm, D/V: +3.0 mm for adults, +2.7 mm for juveniles). For electrophysiology, dual labeling (Fig. 1), and input mapping (Fig. 2) experiments, 100-200 nL of 1:5 dilute retrograde AAV virus [pAAV-CAG-GFP or pAAV-CAG-tdTomato] was delivered unilaterally to the DMS and/or pons (coordinates relative to Bregma: A/P: -4.26 mm, M/L: +0.6 mm, D/V: +4.6 mm). Mice were given subcutaneous injections of meloxicam (10 mg/kg) during surgery and 24 and 48 h after surgery. Mice were group housed before and after surgery and 4–6 weeks were allowed for viral expression before behavioral training or electrophysiology experiments.

Gonadectomies

To remove gonadal sources of steroid hormone exposure during and after puberty, gonadectomies were performed before puberty onset at P25 as described previously (Delevich et al. 2020). Briefly, mice were injected with 0.05 mg/kg of buprenorphine and 10 mg/kg of meloxicam subcutaneously and were anesthetized with 1%–2% isoflurane during surgery. The incision area was shaved and scrubbed with ethanol and betadine. Ophthalmic ointment was placed over the eyes to prevent drying. A 1-cm incision was made with a scalpel in the lower abdomen across the midline to access the abdominal cavity. The ovaries or testes were clamped off from the uterine horn or spermatic cord, respectively, with locking forceps and ligated with sterile sutures. After ligation, the gonads were excised. The muscle and skin layers were sutured, and wound clips were placed over the incision for 7–10 days to allow the incision to heal. An additional



Figure 1. Topography of ipsilateral and contralateral cortical inputs to DMS (A) Illustration of the anatomical sampling of a subset (n = 4, green vertical lines) of a total of 32 sections (cut thickness: 50 µm; sampling interval: 100 µm) shown in (C-F). (B) 3D visualization of cortical inputs to the DMS in a representative mouse by targeted injection of AAVretro-GFP. Light blue indicates labeled cells within the striatal injection site, while green indicates retrogradely-labeled cortical neurons. (C-F) Representative coronal sections showing labeling of ipsilateral (ipsi) and contralateral (contra) corticostriatal projection neurons to DMS. Abbreviations used are as follows: PL: prelimbic cortex, Cg1: dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, M2: secondary motor area, vlOFC: ventrolateral orbitofrontal cortex, lOFC: lateral orbitofrontal cortex, AIC anterior to posterior distribution of GFP+ cells by hemisphere (N = 5 mice). (H) Fraction of total labeled cells by anatomical region, ipsilateral (ipsi) and contralateral (contra) to the AAVretro-GFP injection site. There was a significant interaction between region and hemisphere (F(1.33, 5.32) = 15.62; $P = 0.0078^*$; two-way repeated measures ANOVA), indicating that there is significant regional variation in cSTR projections to DMS. (I) Fraction of contralateral labeled cells over total by region for PL, M2, and Cg1. There was a trend for the fraction of contralateral labeling to vary by region (F(1.281, 5.126) = 5.18, P = 0.067; one-way repeated measures ANOVA with Geisser-Greenhouse correction). (f) Upper panel: probability density plots by A/P coordinate of labeled cells in DMS injection site by individual mouse. Lower panel: schematic illustrating center of viral injection site for all mice (N = 5); opacity indicates number of mice expressing virus in a given location (K) Probability density plots by A/P coordinate of labeled cells in DMS injection site to individual mice as indicated in (J).



Figure 2. cSTR neurons exhibit properties of IT-type neurons. (A) Schematic of dual infusion of AAVretro-GFP into contralateral DMS and AAVretro-tdTomato into ipsilateral pons. (B) Viral infusion described in A) are predicted to label nonoverlapping populations of cross-corticostriatal (cSTR) IT-type GFP+ neurons and corticopontine (CPn) PT-type tdTomato+ neurons in contralateral dmPFC (outlined by white box). (C) Top panel: CPn and cSTR neural populations are nonoverlapping in prelimbic (PL) cortex contralateral to DMS injection. Bottom panel: summary of cSTR and CPn neuron distribution as a function of M/L distance from midline. Bin size = 25 µm. (D) Intrinsic properties and AP firing of cSTR cells (black) and CPn cells (magenta) in response to depolarizing and hyperpolarizing current steps. (E) CPn cells exhibited significantly lower input resistance (R_{in}) compared to cSTR neurons. (F) CPn cells were significantly more depolarized at rest compared to cSTR cells. (G) cSTR and CPn cells did not significantly differ in their initial spike adaptation (ISI of initial spike doublet/last ISI in 5–9 spike train). (H) cSTR cells showed significantly greater spike adaptation during the steady phase of firing compared to CPn cells. (I) Example voltage traces in response to 150 pA hyperpolarizing current shows the presence of H-current mediated voltage sag in CPn but not cSTR cells. (J) Comparison of voltage sag (%) in (J). Data in (E) and (J) presented as median \pm interquartile range, otherwise data presented as median \pm SEM. Scale bars in (A,B) = 1000 µm; scale bar in (C) = 50 µm. P < 0.001***. Hypothesis tests were conducted using two-tailed Student's t-test (F-H) or Mann–Whitney U test (E, J).

injection of 10 mg/kg meloxicam was given 12–24 h after surgery. Sham control surgeries were identical to gonadectomies except that the gonads were simply visualized and were not clamped, ligated, or excised. Mice were allowed to recover on a heating pad until ambulatory and were postsurgically monitored for 7–10 days to check for normal weight gain and signs of discomfort/distress. Mice were co-housed with 1-2 siblings who received the same surgical treatment.

Histology and Fluorescence Microscopy

After eight days of viral expression, mice were transcardially perfused on P29 or P60 for the developmental comparison (Fig. 3), or on P60 for the DMS input mapping experiment (Fig. 1) and puberty comparison (Fig. 4), with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) solution (pH = 7.4). Brains were harvested and postfixed in 4% PFA overnight, followed by transfer to 0.1 M PB. For spine imaging experiments, sections were sliced coronally at 150 µm using a vibratome (VT100S Leica Biosystems; Buffalo Grove, IL). For WholeBrain mapping experiments, sections were cut at 50 µm using a vibratome (VT100S Leica Biosystems; Buffalo Grove, IL). Immunohistochemistry was performed for both spine imaging and Wholebrain mapping experiments to enhance the GFP signal (1:1000 chicken anti-GFP, Aves Labs, Inc.; GFP-1020 followed by 1:1000 goat anti-chicken AlexaFluor 488, Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific; A11039). Slides were mounted on slides with Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech). Coronal sections (A/P: +2.41 mm to +1.40 mm relative to Bregma) were used for spine imaging in dmPFC and posterior sections of the DMS in the same brain were imaged on an AxioScan Z.1 fluorescent microscope (CRL Molecular Imaging Center, UC Berkeley) to confirm viral targeting.

For laminar and colocalization analysis of cSTR IT-type neurons and pons-projecting PT-type neurons, we imaged sections on a Zeiss LSM 710 laser scanning confocal microscope using a 10x objective (CNR Biological Imaging Facility, UC Berkeley). Cell counts were performed using IMARIS software (IMARIS). For experiments in which the topography of inputs to DMS were mapped (Fig. 1), 50-µm coronal sections were processed to enhance GFP signal as described above. Briefly, every other 50-µm section was collected from \sim 3.0 to -0.20 mm relative to Bregma, resulting in 100-µm intersection sampling from anterior frontal cortex through the posterior striatum. A cut was made to identify the injected hemisphere, and sections were mounted and imaged serially at 5x magnification on an AxioScan Z.1 fluorescent microscope (CRL Molecular Imaging Center, UC Berkeley). GFP+ cell bodies were identified, and their anatomical position were mapped to Openbrainmap (http://openbrainmap. org) using WholeBrain software (Furth et al. 2018). For quantitative analysis, the number of input neurons in discrete brain regions was normalized to the total number of input neurons counted. Neurons detected within the striatum were excluded from the total count, as they represented infection within the injection site. In one case (mouse #59), there was minor leak of retro-GFP virus into primary motor cortex that resulted in GFP+ cells in ipsilateral and contralateral primary motor cortex that were absent from cases where no leak occurred. In this case, we excluded primary motor cortex from the total DMS input counts. Density plots were generated using the geom_density command in the ggplot2 (Wickham 2016) package using the default Gaussian smoothing kernel.

Spine Imaging

An Ultima IV laser scanning microscope (Prairie Technologies) and a water immersion $40 \times$ magnification 0.8 NA objective were used to image dendritic spines. A Mai Tai HP laser (Spectra Physics) was tuned to 910 nm for excitation of GFP and 48.30 \pm 15.74 µm segments of dendrite were imaged at 512 \times 512 with resolution 0.08 µm/pixel and 1 µm z step. In order to quantify apical spines on cSTR neurons, we imaged apical dendrites within 800 µm from the midline and within 1810 µm of the dorsal pia surface, in the hemisphere contralateral to the injection site. These sampling coordinates encompassed secondary motor cortex (M2), dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (Cg1), and prelimbic cortex (PL), which together constituted the major input regions to the DMS coordinates we targeted with retro-AAV (Fig. 1). Throughout the manuscript, we refer to the sampled area collectively as dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC).

Image Processing/Analysis

Dendritic spine analysis was performed blind to the sex/age/surgical treatment of each mouse. Images used for analysis were median-filtered 3-dimensional z stacks. A total of $\sim\!400~\mu m$ of dendrite was analyzed for each mouse (see Supplementary Table 1). Dendritic spines were scored according to established criteria (Holtmaat et al. 2009) using custom Matlab software (Mathworks, Natick, MA). A dendritic spine was defined by a protrusion greater than 0.4 µm from the dendritic shaft, and counts were made according to this definition. The measure of spine density we recorded was linear spine density = the total spines protruding laterally to the dendrite (but not including those in the z plane)/total dendrite length. We reported spine density values as the total dendrite length sampled per cell (Fig. 3E) and the total dendritic length sampled per animal (Fig. 3G).

For image presentation (Figs. 3D and 4C, G), the relevant sections of dendrite were projected onto a 2-dimensional image, which was then Gaussian filtered and contrasted for presentation. To project the relevant sections of dendrite onto a 2dimensional image, the frames from the 3-dimensional z stack in which spines were most clearly in focus were combined using the maximum intensity z projection function in ImageJ.

Slice Electrophysiology

Juvenile/early adolescent (P29-P31) and adult mice (P60-90) were deeply anesthetized with an overdose of ketamine/xylazine solution and perfused transcardially with ice-cold cutting solution containing (in mM): 110 choline-Cl, 2.5 KCl, 7 MgCl₂, 0.5 CaCl₂, 25 NaHCO₃, 11.6 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, and 25 D-glucose, and bubbled in 95% O2/5% CO₂. Approximately 300-µm thick coronal sections were cut in icecold cutting solution before being transferred to ACSF containing (in mM): 120 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 MgCl₂, 2.5 CaCl₂, 26.2 NaHCO₃, 1 NaH₂PO₄, and 11 Glucose. Slices were bubbled with 95% O2/5% CO2 in a 37°C bath for 30 min, and allowed to recover for 30 min at room temperature before recording at 32°C.

Whole-cell recordings were obtained from visually identified cSTR neurons across all layers of PL and Cg1 subdivisions of dmPFC. cSTR neuronal identity was established by the presence of GFP in the hemisphere contralateral to the AAVretro-GFP injection site in DMS. Whole-cell current clamp recordings were performed using a potassium gluconate-based intracellular solution (in mM): 140 K Gluconate, 5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA, 2 MgCl₂, 4 MgATP, 0.3 Na₂GTP, and 10 Na₂-Phosphocreatine. All recordings were made using a Multiclamp 700 B amplifier and were not corrected for liquid junction potential. Data were digitized at 20 kHz and filtered at 2 kHz using a Digidata 1440A system with pCLAMP 10.2 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Only cells with series resistance of $< 25 \text{ M}\Omega$ were retained for analysis, and series resistance was not



Figure 3. Apical spine density on cSTR neurons is higher in females compared to males; spines significantly prune across adolescence in both sexes. (A) Stereotaxic cranial injections of pAAV-CAG-GFP ("AAVretro-GFP") were performed on P21 or P52 male and female mice (green). Eight days later at P29 or P60, brains were collected, IHC performed and apical dendrites of cSTR neurons imaged (black). (B) Unilateral infusion of AAVretro-GFP (left panel) led to retrograde expression of GFP in dmPFC neurons ipsilateral and contralateral to the injection site (middle panel) (Scale bar = 100 µm). Apical dendrites of GFP+ neurons in contralateral dmPFC (white box; middle panel) were imaged at high magnification on a 2p microscope (white box; right panel). (C) Schematics illustrating center of viral injection site for each mouse; opacity indicates number of mice expressing virus in a given location. (D) Sample images of apical dendrite segments of cSTR neurons in dmPFC (Scale bar = 5 µm). (E) Females exhibited significantly higher apical spine density on cSTR neurons compared to males, and spine density significantly decreased between P29 and P60 in both sexes. Beanplot shows spine density per cell sampled with median line overlaid. (F) Sampling coordinates for each cell quantified by group, collapsed across anterior/posterior sections ranging from (+2.41 mm Bregma to +1.40 mm). (G) cSTR spine density significantly differed by age and sex when spine density (total spines/total dendrite length) per animal was compared across groups. **P < 0.001, *P < 0.01. Hypothesis tests were conducted using linear mixed models in (E) or two-way ANOVA in (G).

compensated. Cells were discarded if parameters changed more than 20%. Data were analyzed using pClamp software.

Current clamp recordings were performed in the presence of NBQX (10 μ M), AP5 (50 μ M), and Picrotoxin (10 μ M). Briefly, neurons were injected with 500-ms current steps starting from -200 pA with increasing 50 pA steps until a maximum of 500 pA. Input resistance was measured using the steady-state response to a 100 pA hyperpolarizing current step. Voltage sag was measured as the percent change from the peak potential to the steady state potential during a 150 pA negative current injection step. The adaptation index was calculated as the ratio of the second

interspike interval (ISI) and the final ISI in response to a 500 ms, 450-pA current injection. Data were collected from at least two slices per animal.

Statistics

Because spine density measures included multiple cells from the same brain, these data were analyzed by fitting a linear mixed model using residual maximum likelihood. Here, spine density was calculated as the total number of spines over the total dendrite length sampled per cell. The "nmle" package was



Figure 4. Adult apical spine density on cSTR neurons is higher in prepubertally GDX males compared to sham males. (A) Sham or GDX surgery was performed in male and female mice at P25 (blue), followed by stereotaxic cranial injections of pAAV-CAG-GFP ("AAVretro-GFP") at P52 (green). Eight days later at P60, brains were collected, IHC performed and apical dendrites of cSTR neurons imaged (black). (*B*) Schematics illustrating center of viral injection site for each mouse; opacity indicates number of mice expressing virus in a given location. (C) Sample images of apical dendrites on cSTR neurons in male sham (top) and male GDX (bottom) mice. (D) Prepubertal GDX male mice had significantly higher spine density on cSTR neurons compared to sham males. Beanplot shows spine density per cell sampled with median line overlaid. (*E*) Sampling coordinates for each dendritic segment quantified by sham and GDX male groups, collapsed across anterior/posterior sections ranging from +2.41 mm Bregma to +1.40 mm. (*F*) cSTR spine density was significantly higher in GDX males when spine density (total spines/total dendrite length) per animal was compared. (*G*) Sample images of apical dendrites on cSTR neurons in female sham (top) and female GDX (bottom) mice. (*H*) Spine density on cSTR neurons did not differ between GDX and sham female mice. Beanplot shows spine density per cell sampled with median line overlaid. (*I*) Sampling coordinates for each dendritic segment quantified by sham anterior/posterior sections ranging from +2.41 mm Bregma to +1.40 mm. (*J*) Spine density was significantly higher in GDX males when spine density (total spines/total dendrite length) per animal was compared. (*G*) Sample images of apical dendrites on cSTR neurons in female sham (top) and female GDX (bottom) mice. (*H*) Spine density on cSTR neurons did not differ between GDX and sham female groups, collapsed across anterior/posterior sections ranging from +2.41 mm Bregma to +1.40 mm. (*J*) Spine density per animal did not differ between sham and

used for linear mixed effects modeling and "beanplot" package was used to create density plots of our data (RStudio). Transformed values (square root of the raw spine densities) were used when the residuals of models that used untransformed data significantly deviated from normality. Sex and age (developmental comparison) or surgical treatment (puberty comparison) were held as the fixed effects and the animal sampled was held as the random effect. Normality of the residuals for each model was confirmed using the Shapiro–Wilks test. An ANOVA was performed to distinguish the model that best explained the variance in our data. In addition, we performed hypothesis testing on the spine density values per animal, using ANOVA or Student's t-test. Here, we compared the total number of spines over the total length of dendrite sampled per animal. Statistical analyses were performed using R Studio. Mean \pm SEM was calculated for each group, unless otherwise noted. Statistical

significance is marked as * for P <0.05, ** for P <0.01, and *** for P <0.001.

Results

Topography of Corticostriatal Projections to DMS

In order to selectively image IT-type neurons in the dorsal medial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC), we targeted retrograde AAV to the DMS. Unilateral infusion of AAVretro-GFP into DMS resulted in labeled cells in the ipsilateral and contralateral hemispheres, with cross-corticostriatal neurons (cSTR) in the contralateral hemisphere ostensibly representing a uniquely IT-type population (Wilson 1987). We first examined the topography of neurons labeled by AAVretro-GFP infusion into DMS. Serial 50-µm coronal sections were prepared and imaged on an Axio ScanZ.1 microscope. GFP+ neurons in the rostral portion of the brain (approximately +3.0 to -0.20 mm relative to Bregma) were quantified and registered to a reference atlas using the WholeBrain software package (Furth et al. 2018) (Fig. 1A,B). We observed that the topography of cSTR neurons was shifted rostrally compared to ipsilateral corticostriatal projection neurons (Fig. 1B-G). When we analyzed the fraction of GFP+ cells by anatomical region, we found that there was a significant interaction between region and hemisphere (F(1.33, 5.32) = 15.62; P = 0.0078*; two-way repeated measures ANOVA), suggesting that there is a regional variation in cSTR projections to DMS (Fig. 1H). Together, prelimbic (PL), dorsal anterior cingulate (Cg1), and secondary motor cortex (M2) contributed $64\% \pm 2\%$ (mean \pm SEM; N = 5) of the total input to DMS (Fig. 1H). Among these top three input regions, we analyzed the fraction of GFP+ cells located in the contralateral hemisphere and observed a trend-level effect of region (F(1.059, 4.236) = 5.84, P = 0.069; one-way repeated measures ANOVA) (Fig. 11). Finally, we compared the location of GFP+ cells in striatum, representing the injection site (Fig. 1J) and separately plotted the A/P topographical distribution of GFP+ cells in the ipsilateral and contralateral hemispheres by region, which revealed unique topographies, with more rostral peaks for the contralateral hemisphere (Fig. 1K).

DMS-Targeted Retro-AAV Labels IT-type Pyramidal Neurons in Contralateral dmPFC

To confirm that unilateral retro-AAV infusion to the DMS selectively labels IT-type neurons in contralateral dmPFC, we injected AAVretro-GFP into the left striatum and AAVretro-tdTomato into the right pons of the same mice (Fig. 2A). Based on anatomical projections, we predicted that GFP+ neurons in the left dmPFC are a mix of PT- and IT-type neurons (Levesque et al. 1996; Kita and Kita 2012) whereas GFP+ neurons in the right dmPFC should be purely IT-type (Fig. 2B). Meanwhile, tdTomato+ neurons in both hemispheres were expected to be PT-type. We found that within left dmPFC, tdTomato+ corticopontine projection (CPn) neurons did not overlap with GFP+ cross-corticostriatal (cSTR) projection neurons (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, cSTR and CPn neurons exhibited distinct laminar distributions in the prelimbic cortex (PL) (Fig. 2C). GFP+ cSTR neurons localized to both more superficial and deep coordinates compared to tdTomato+ CPn neurons, but these two populations significantly overlapped 300-550 µm from the midline (Fig. 2C), consistent with previous studies (Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006; Anderson et al. 2010; Dembrow et al. 2010; Kiritani et al. 2012; Li et al. 2015). Finally, in

a separate experiment, we co-injected PL and DMS and observed that a subset of neurons in contralateral PL were co-labeled (Fig. S1), consistent with data showing that single corticostriatal neurons can project to both contralateral cortex and contralateral striatum (Winnubst et al. 2019).

Cortical IT- and PT-type neurons exhibit characteristic intrinsic electrophysiological properties (Hattox and Nelson 2007; Dembrow et al. 2010; Oswald et al. 2013). We performed whole-cell current clamp recordings on GFP+ cells in dmPFC of adult (~P60) mice injected with AAVretro-GFP into ipsilateral pons or contralateral DMS in the presence of the synaptic blockers AP5 (50 µM), NBQX (10 µM), and PTX (10 µM) (Fig. 2D). Consistent with previous reports, CPn projection neurons had significantly lower input resistance compared to cSTR projection neurons (cSTR 165.5 \pm 53.2 M Ω vs. CPn 73.52 \pm 17.53 M Ω ; U = 11, P < 0.0001***) (Fig. 2E). In addition, CPn neurons were significantly more depolarized at rest compared to cSTR neurons (cSTR -70.46 \pm 0.77 mV vs. CPn -64.32 \pm 0.83 mV; $t_{38} = 4.5$, P < 0.0001^{***}) (Fig. 2F). We observed that both cSTR and CPn neurons exhibited doublet spikes at the onset of the 500-ms square current injection (Fig. 2G), and there was no significant difference between cSTR and CPn neurons in the ratio between the first interspike interval (ISI) and last ISI, referred to as the initial adaptation ratio (cSTR 0.27 ± 0.019 vs. CPn 0.28 ± 0.040 ; t₃₈ = 4.15, P = 0.68) (Fig. 2G). However, only cSTR neurons exhibited spike adaptation during the steady phase of spiking (cSTR 0.69 ± 0.30 vs. CPn 1.00 ± 0.03 ; t₃₈ = 6.12, P < 0.0001***) (Fig. 2H). Finally, PT-type neurons are distinguished by prominent voltage sag in response to hyperpolarizing current steps that indicate the presence of hyperpolarization-activated currents (Ih) (Fig. 21). CPn neurons showed significantly greater voltage sag (%) in response to a 150pA hyperpolarizing current step compared to cSTR-projecting neurons (cSTR 4.94 ± 7.3 vs. CPn 30.7 ± 15.3 ; U = 6, P < 0.0001^{***}) (Fig. 2J). Together the intrinsic electrophysiological properties of cSTR neurons labeled by AAVretro-GFP were consistent with IT-type cortical projection neurons.

Apical Dendritic Spines on cSTR Neurons Significantly Prune Across Adolescence and Differ by Sex

To determine whether apical spine density on cSTR neurons changes during adolescence, we injected AAVretro-GFP into the left DMS and used two-photon microscopy to image dendrites in the dmPFC within the right hemisphere (Fig. 3B). Groups included female (N =7) and male (N =6) juvenile (P29) mice and female (N = 6) and male (N = 4) early adult (P60) mice. Our sampled region of dmPFC encompassed prelimbic cortex (PL), anterior cingulate cortex (Cg1), and secondary motor cortex (M2) (see methods for more details) (Fig. 3F). All four groups were comparably sampled in the region of interest (Fig. 3F). We hypothesized that IT cSTR spine density would decrease from P29 to P60, as has been shown in PT neurons across adolescence in both male (Johnson et al. 2016) and female (Boivin et al. 2018) mice. We observed that P60 adult mice exhibited lower spine density (0.418 \pm 0.018 spines/ μ m) compared to P29 juvenile mice (0.517 $\pm\,0.016$ spines/µm) (t_{20} = –4.291, P = 0.0004**; Fig. 3E). In addition, we found that P29 females $(0.550 \pm 0.020 \text{ spines}/\mu m)$ exhibited higher spine density than P29 males (0.480 ± 0.025 spines/µm). This sex difference persisted into early adulthood, as P60 females $(0.452 \pm 0.023 \text{ spines}/\mu m)$ exhibited higher spine density compared to P60 males $(0.359 \pm 0.025 \text{ spines/}\mu\text{m})$ $(t_{20} = -3.262, P = 0.0039^*; Fig. 3E)$. Meanwhile, there was no significant interaction between age and sex ($t_{19} = -0.466$, P = 0.647), suggesting that the extent to which spines are pruned on these neurons is comparable between sexes. These results were consistent when comparisons were made using per animal spine density measures (main effect of sex: $t_{20} = -3.118$, $P = 0.0054^{**}$; main effect of age: $t_{20} = -3.819$, $P = 0.0011^{**}$; no interaction between age and sex: $t_{19} = -0.245$, P = 0.809) (Fig. 3G).

cSTR Neurons Exhibit Subtle Age and Sex Differences in Spike Frequency Adaptation

We next performed whole-cell current clamp recordings of GFP+ cSTR neurons in the dmPFC of juvenile (P29-31) and adult (P60-62) male and female mice to determine whether intrinsic properties differed by sex or age. We found that with the exception of spike frequency adaptation, cSTR intrinsic properties did not differ by age or sex (Table 1). We found a significant effect of sex on initial adaptation index, with females exhibiting shorter interspike interval (ISI) of initial doublet spikes compared to males $(F(1, 46) = 5.33, P = 0.026^*)$ (Table 1). Next, we found that there was a significant effect of age on the steady phase adaptation index, with adults exhibiting significantly greater steady phase adaptation compared to juveniles (F(1, 46) = 11.06, P = 0.0017**) (Table 1). Spike frequency adaptation is attributed to K+ currents activated by either calcium (IAHP) or voltage-activated K+ (IM) currents (Ha and Cheong 2017). Finally, there was a significant interaction between sex and current on firing rate, suggesting that females exhibit a greater gain in firing rate at large current steps compared to males (Fig. S2).

Prepubertally Gonadectomized Males Exhibit Higher Spine Density in Early Adulthood Compared to Gonadally Intact Controls

To determine whether pubertal development plays a role in spine pruning across adolescence, we compared spine density on cSTR neurons in adult mice that were gonadectomized (GDX) before puberty (P24-25) (N = 7 males; 6 females) or received sham surgery (N=4 males; 5 females) (Fig. 4A,B, Fig. S3). We found that at P60, prepubertally GDX males had a significantly higher spine density (0.652 \pm 0.011 spines/µm) on dmPFC cSTR neurons compared to age-matched sham controls (0.585 \pm 0.015 spines/ μ m) (t₉ = 3.650, P = 0.0053**) (Fig. 4D). This effect was also significant when the spine density per animal was compared between groups ($t_9 = -3.206$, P = 0.011^{*}) (Fig. 4F). Spine density on cSTR neurons at P60 in prepubertally GDX females (0.646 \pm 0.020 spines/µm) did not significantly differ from sham controls $(0.651 \pm 0.018 \text{ spines}/\mu\text{m})$ (t₉ = -0.465, P = 0.653) either when density measures were compared by cells (Fig. 4H) or animal ($t_9 = -0.137$, P = 0.894) (Fig. 4J). However, the variance of GDX female spine density was significantly higher compared to that of sham females (F(5, 4) = 20.28, $P = 0.012^*$) (Fig. 4J). To more directly examine how gonadectomy affected adolescent spine pruning, we normalized spine density measures to juve-nile measures and compared intact and GDX groups within the same sex (Fig. 5A,B). Unmanipulated adult and sham adult data were pooled because they did not significantly differ (Fig. S4). We found that both juvenile male and GDX male spine density were significantly different from intact adult male spine density, and GDX males did not significantly differ from juvenile males (Fig. 5A). Meanwhile, both intact and GDX female spine density significantly differed from juvenile female spine density (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

Our current study focused on the adolescent maturation of a specific cortical pyramidal cell type that projects to the DMS via the corpus callosum (referred to as cross-corticostriatal or cSTR neurons). These cSTR neurons represent a subpopulation of the intratelencephalic (IT-type) cortical projection neurons. First, we characterized the topography of corticostriatal projections to the DMS. We found evidence that cSTR neurons are distributed more rostrally compared to ipsilateral corticostriatal projection neurons. In addition, we found that the relative contribution of ipsilateral versus contralateral inputs significantly varied by region. Consistent with previous studies, we found that the major input regions to the DMS included M2, Cg1, and PL (Gabbott et al. 2005; Pan et al. 2010; Hintiryan et al. 2016; Hunnicutt et al. 2016) which we collectively referred to as dmPFC here-for discussion of rodent PFC nomenclature, refer to (Laubach et al. 2018). When we analyzed the relative contribution of cSTR projections by region, we observed a trend for enriched cSTR inputs from PL compared to M2 and Cg1. Next, we confirmed that cSTR neurons labeled via retrograde AAV injection into contralateral DMS exhibit properties consistent with IT-type neurons, including nonoverlap with PT-type CPn neurons and electrophysiological properties such as spike frequency adaptation and low voltagesag. We then focused on spine density on the apical tufts of dmPFC cSTR neurons in pre and postpubertal mice (ages P29 and P60). We found that males at both ages exhibited significantly lower spine density compared to females, but both sexes pruned to a similar extent between P29 and P60. Finally, we found that prepubertal gonadectomy in males was associated with significantly higher spine density in adulthood, suggesting that pubertal development plays a causal role in spine pruning on these neurons in males. In females, no significant difference in spine density was found when we compared GDX and sham adults, although the variance of spine density was significantly higher in GDX females compared to sham.

Table	21	Electro	ph	ysio	logic	al pro	perties	of	cSTR	neurons
-------	----	---------	----	------	-------	--------	---------	----	------	---------

	Juvenile Female (n = 10)	Juvenile Male (n = 11)	Adult Female (n = 15)	Adult Male (n=14)	Statistics
RMP (mV)	-67.86 ± 1.50	-70.63 ± 0.98	-70.95 ± 1.09	-69.94 ± 1.13	p > 0.05
Rin (M Ω)	177.8 ± 15.31	193.7 ± 15.38	163.5 ± 8.24	192.3 ± 15.45	p > 0.05
Max frequency (Hz)	$\textbf{30.6} \pm \textbf{0.95}$	$\textbf{28.4} \pm \textbf{1.72}$	$\textbf{29.9} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	$\textbf{28.6} \pm \textbf{1.8}$	p > 0.05
Initial adaptation	0.26 ± 0.02	0.34 ± 0.04	0.24 ± 0.03	0.29 ± 0.02	
Steady phase adaptation	$\textbf{0.83} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	0.81 ± 0.03	0.66 ± 0.05	0.72 ± 0.03	Age: **p < 0.01
Sag (%)	0.51 ± 0.11	$\textbf{0.64} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	$\textbf{0.76} \pm \textbf{0.19}$	$\textbf{0.74}\pm\textbf{0.13}$	p > 0.05



Figure 5. Comparison to juvenile apical spine density data suggests that GDX males prune significantly less during adolescence compared to intact males. (A) Apical spine density values on cSTR neurons normalized to juvenile male values. (B) Apical spine density values on cSTR neurons normalized to juvenile female values. Data presented as median ± IQR. Hypothesis tests were conducted using Kruskal-Wallis one way ANOVA with post hoc Dunn's test for multiple comparisons. *P < 0.05.

Our last finding raises the question: how does pubertal development promote dendritic spine pruning in males? Local steroid hormone receptors in the frontal cortex are one potential mechanism by which testicular hormones may act. The brains of male and female mice express both androgen and estrogen steroid hormone receptors (Piekarski et al. 2017b), and testosterone can be converted to estradiol via aromatization (Nelson and Bulun 2001; Shay et al. 2018). Furthermore, the aromatase enzyme is present in the frontal cortex (Akther et al. 2015; Mitra et al. 2015). In the frontal cortex of rodents, estrogen receptor beta (ERbeta) receptors primarily localize to parvalbumin expressing fast-spiking interneurons in males and females (Blurton-Jones and Tuszynski 2002; Kritzer 2002). In female rodents, it has previously been shown that ovarian hormones can modulate inhibitory synaptic transmission (Piekarski et al. 2017a) and, more specifically, the intrinsic excitability of fast-spiking parvalbumin interneurons (Clemens et al. 2019; Delevich et al. 2019a). Steroid hormone receptors are also expressed by microglia (Sierra et al. 2008), whose role in synaptic pruning has been described (Paolicelli et al. 2011). Interestingly, a recent paper demonstrated that microglia engulfment of dendritic spines in L5 of PL significantly increased between P24 and P39, roughly coinciding with pre- and postpubertal time points in rats (Mallya et al. 2019), although the authors did not assess pubertal status in this study. If and how gonadal hormones regulate the function of microglia during puberty is an exciting area for future study. Alternatively, it is possible that differences in spine density between sham and GDX males occur downstream of behavioral (Jardi et al. 2018; Delevich et al. 2020) or metabolic consequences (Gentry and Wade 1976; Krotkiewski et al. 1980; Inoue et al. 2010) of prepubertal gonadectomy.

In females, we did not observe a significant difference between sham and GDX mice in adulthood, but GDX was associated with significantly higher within-group variance in apical dendritic spine density. This makes these data more difficult to interpret. The spine density average suggests that ovarian hormones do not play a significant role in spine pruning on the cSTR cell type, however, the bimodal distribution and higher variance raise the question of whether an underlying factor stemming from gonadal hormone manipulations drove new variability. Therefore, in addition to the null hypothesis we considered two speculative explanations. First, it is possible that GDX at P25 did not occur early enough to prevent earliest pubertal ovarian hormone secretion in all mice. Second, it is possible that GDX in females affected alternative mechanisms of hormone production, which in turn affected spine density. Thus, given the significant change in variance, we cannot fully rule out a role for pubertal processes in regulating spine density on cSTR neurons in females. Indeed, a previous study found that ovariectomy in adulthood was associated with reduced apical spine density on L2/3 pyramidal neurons in the mPFC of rats (Wallace et al. 2006). Future experiments in females should further explore the influence of various sources of steroid sex hormones at different developmental periods (i.e., pre- and postpubertal) on cell type-specific spine pruning.

While we focused on cSTR neurons, we and others have shown that cSTR neurons overlap with cortico-cortical IT-type projection neurons (Sohur et al. 2014; Winnubst et al. 2019), suggesting that our results may apply more broadly to IT-type neurons within mPFC or broader frontal cortices. On the other hand, even within mPFC significant heterogeneity has been reported among IT-type neurons (Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006; Anastasiades et al. 2019). Consistent with previous studies, we found that dual infusion of retro-AAV into the pons and contralateral striatum labeled two intermingled but nonoverlapping populations of pyramidal neurons in layer 5 of PL. Cross-corticostriatal (cSTR) and corticopontine (CPn) projection neurons exhibited the characteristic intrinsic properties of IT- and PT-type neurons, respectively. We observed that in mouse, both cell types exhibited initial doublet spikes, whereas a previous report observed this only in CPn neurons in rats, highlighting a potential species difference (Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006). We observed that the topography of cSTR neurons was shifted more rostrally relative to ipsilateral corticostriatal projection neurons. Interestingly, a previous study using anterograde tracing found that frontal cortical regions (including M2) exhibited more cSTR projections compared to sensory and motor regions (Hooks et al. 2018).

These data raise questions regarding the function of cSTR neurons and the significance of their enrichment in frontal cortical regions. At the microcircuit level, connectivity between ITtype corticostriatal neurons and PT-type neurons is highly asymmetric, with IT-type neurons exerting greater influence over PT-type neurons (Morishima and Kawaguchi 2006; Brown and Hestrin 2009; Kiritani et al. 2012). Furthermore, studies in motor cortices have shown that IT-type neural activity is associated with planning/motor preparation and corticospinal activity with motor execution (Bauswein et al. 1989; Turner and DeLong 2000; Li et al. 2015), suggesting that "output-potent" PT-type activity may be inherited from preparatory IT-type activity (Kaufman et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015). Therefore, adolescence-associated changes in spine density on cSTR neurons could influence how inputs to the mPFC are integrated and modulate downstream targets, including local PT-type neurons and striatal populations.

Across the adolescent transition, there is evidence that behavior becomes less stimulus-directed and more goaldirected (Sturman et al. 2010; Andrzejewski et al. 2011; Blakemore and Robbins 2012; Naneix et al. 2012; Hammerslag and Gulley 2014). Here, we show that DMS-projecting cSTR neurons, which are implicated in goal-directed learning (Hart et al. 2018a; Hart et al. 2018b), exhibit significant sex differences in apical dendritic spine density. Furthermore, we show that these cSTR neurons prune in both sexes across adolescence, and in males this loss of spines is dependent on the presence of the gonads at puberty. Our study adds to a growing body of research demonstrating that spine density and dynamics change within agranular frontal cortices during adolescence (Zuo et al. 2005; Gourley et al. 2012; Johnson et al. 2016; Pattwell et al. 2016; Boivin et al. 2018), and provides novel evidence that spine pruning on a projection-defined cell type in the frontal cortex is sensitive to pubertal development. More work needs to be done in order to understand how spine pruning relates to changes in neural computation and cognitive processes during adolescence (Selemon 2013), but recent studies have made progress, associating changes in spine density on OFC neurons to alterations in goal-directed behavior (DePoy et al. 2019; Hinton et al. 2019). Data in humans suggests that changes in circulating gonadal hormone levels at puberty may influence working memory use during learning in both sexes (Master et al. 2019), sensitivity to immediate rewards during intertemporal decision-making in males (Laube et al. 2017), and a variety of social and affective behaviors in both sexes (Vijayakumar et al. 2018; Goddings et al. 2019). Future work is needed to test whether developmental changes in cSTR structure and function relate to developmental changes in goal-directed learning or other behaviors. Furthermore, it will be important to determine if and how sex and developmental differences in corticostriatal projection neurons influence downstream striatal circuits. Previous studies suggest that the maturation of cortico-basal ganglia circuits is highly interactive (Kozorovitskiy et al. 2012; Peixoto et al. 2016) and that sex and gonadal steroids can influence striatal-mediated behaviors and medium spiny neuron physiology (Krentzel and Meitzen 2018; Meitzen et al. 2018; Alonso-Caraballo and Ferrario 2019).

At a more macroscopic level, our study highlights a cell type that may play a causal role in sex differences in frontal cortex function and disease risk, particularly psychiatric diseases that emerge during adolescence (Paus et al. 2008). Importantly, humans possess IT- and PT-type glutamatergic neurons that are homologous to the cell types studied here (Lake et al. 2016; Hodge et al. 2019), although it has been argued that PT-type neurons are more abundant in mice compared to humans (Hodge et al. 2019). Regardless, the evolutionary conservation of cortical cell types may enable researchers to infer properties of human neuronal cell types and make predictions about their developmental trajectories. Several observations suggest that IT-type neurons may exhibit a more protracted maturation compared to PT-type neurons across species. Postmortem data from nonhuman primates and humans suggest that cortico-cortical projection neurons located in superficial cortical layers may undergo more marked pruning of supernumerary synapses over the course of postnatal development (Bourgeois et al. 1994; Petanjek et al. 2011). Data from rats show that considerable dendritic ramification of layer 2/3 mPFC neurons occurs during adolescence, taking place earlier in females compared to males, potentially reflecting differences in pubertal timing (Markham et al. 2013). In addition, slice electrophysiology experiments in rodents and nonhuman primates suggest that synaptic inhibition onto IT-type neurons in frontal regions matures during the peripubertal period (Gonzalez-Burgos et al. 2015; Vandenberg et al. 2015; Piekarski et al. 2017a), which could in turn impact dendritic spine pruning (Chen et al. 2018; Ng et al. 2018).

However, species differences in the development and organization of the neocortex must be carefully considered when translating findings from rodents to humans. Existing data suggest that there is a striking difference in the degree of synaptic overproduction between rodents and humans: while we and several other studies report \sim 20% decrease in synaptic contacts within the rodent frontal cortices across adolescence (Zuo et al. 2005; Gourley et al. 2012; Johnson et al. 2016; Shapiro et al. 2017; Boivin et al. 2018), postmortem studies in primates report an ~2fold reduction over the same developmental period (Anderson et al. 1995; Elston et al. 2009; Petanjek et al. 2011). Furthermore, studies in primates have revealed regional heterogeneity in spine density that relates to cortical hierarchy (highest in frontal and lowest in primary sensory) (Elston 2000; Elston 2007; Gilman et al. 2017) that is largely absent in mice (Ballesteros-Yanez et al. 2006; Gilman et al. 2017). It is possible that greater synaptic overproduction in primates is an evolutionary feature related to extended neoteny, or the retention of juvenile-like traits (Bufill et al. 2011; Piekarski et al. 2017b), which may enhance the capacity for experience-dependent synaptic refinement, particularly in higher-order cortical regions (Elston et al. 2009).

Among primates, there is evidence that species differences in the timing of synaptogenesis and synapse elimination, as well as changes in gene expression within the prefrontal cortex, relate to the species-typical age at sexual maturity (Somel et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2012; Bianchi et al. 2013). However, these studies do not address whether puberty regulates the timing of cortical reorganization. A recent study using xenotransplantation of human cortical neurons into mouse brain found evidence that protracted maturation is an intrinsic feature of human cortical pyramidal neurons (Linaro et al. 2019). While manipulation studies have provided growing evidence that gonadal hormones play a role in synaptic pruning in rodents, to date there has only been one study that examined the effect of prepubertal castration on spine density in the prefrontal cortex of nonhuman primates. In a small mixed-age sample of rhesus macaques, Anderson et al. did not observe an effect of prepubertal castration on the developmental trajectory of spine density on layer 3 pyramidal neurons in the prefrontal cortex (Anderson et al. 1995). This finding suggests that gonadal hormones may have a greater influence on dendritic spine pruning in rodents than primates. However, further studies that manipulate gonadal hormones and compare age-matched animals will be needed to address this question. The expansion of methods to image dendritic spines in genetically and projection-defined neuronal populations within nonhuman primates may reveal that developmental trajectories differ across cell types and that select cell types are sensitive to pubertal hormones. Finally, if and how

pubertal-sensitive maturation relates to cell type-specific gene expression, is a key outstanding question that will be vital for translational comparison.

Here, we add to this growing body of evidence by showing that spines on the apical dendrites of cSTR neurons prune during adolescence in mice and provide evidence that this process is regulated by puberty in male mice. Protracted, pubertysensitive maturation of cSTR neurons may have implications for prefrontal cortex function both in relation to the adaptive emergence of adult-like behavior and the maladaptive susceptibility to psychiatric disorders that emerge postpuberty.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material can be found at Cerebral Cortex online.

Funding and Disclosures

This work was funded by a seed grant from the UC Consortium on the Developmental Science of Adolescence. Confocal imaging experiments were conducted at the CNR Biological Imaging Facility, funded in part by the National Institutes of Health S10 program (award number 1S10RR026866-01). Slide scanner imaging experiments were conducted at the CRL Molecular Imaging Center, supported by the Biological Faculty Research Fund.

Notes

We thank Dr Daniel Fürth for generous technical assistance with Wholebrain analysis. We thank Drs. David Piekarski and Lance Kriegsfeld for training and assistance with gonadectomies and the Wilbrecht lab for discussion. We would like to thank Holly Aaron and Feather Ives for their microscopy training and assistance. *Conflict of Interest*: The authors have no conflict to disclose.

References

- Akther S, Huang Z, Liang M, Zhong J, Fakhrul AA, Yuhi T, Lopatina O, Salmina AB, Yokoyama S, Higashida C, et al. 2015. Paternal retrieval behavior regulated by Brain Estrogen Synthetase (aromatase) in mouse sires that engage in communicative interactions with Pairmates. Front Neurosci. 9:450.
- Alonso-Caraballo Y, Ferrario CR. 2019. Effects of the estrous cycle and ovarian hormones on cue-triggered motivation and intrinsic excitability of medium spiny neurons in the nucleus Accumbens core of female rats. *Horm Behav.* 116: 104583.
- Anastasiades PG, Boada C, Carter AG. 2019. Cell-type-specific D1 dopamine receptor modulation of projection neurons and interneurons in the prefrontal cortex. *Cereb Cortex*. 29:3224–3242.
- Anderson CT, Sheets PL, Kiritani T, Shepherd GM. 2010. Sublayerspecific microcircuits of corticospinal and corticostriatal neurons in motor cortex. Nat Neurosci. 13:739–744.
- Anderson SA, Classey JD, Conde F, Lund JS, Lewis DA. 1995. Synchronous development of pyramidal neuron dendritic spines and parvalbumin-immunoreactive chandelier neuron axon terminals in layer III of monkey prefrontal cortex. Neuroscience. 67:7–22.
- Andrzejewski ME, Schochet TL, Feit EC, Harris R, Mckee BL, Kelley AE. 2011. A comparison of adult and adolescent rat behav-

ior in operant learning, extinction and behavioral inhibition paradigms. *Behavioral Neuroscience*. 125:93–105.

- Baker A, Kalmbach B, Morishima M, Kim J, Juavinett A, Li N, Dembrow N. 2018. Specialized subpopulations of deeplayer pyramidal neurons in the Neocortex: bridging cellular properties to functional consequences. J Neurosci. 38: 5441–5455.
- Ballesteros-Yanez I, Benavides-Piccione R, Elston GN, Yuste R, DeFelipe J. 2006. Density and morphology of dendritic spines in mouse neocortex. *Neuroscience*. 138:403–409.
- Bauswein E, Fromm C, Preuss A. 1989. Corticostriatal cells in comparison with pyramidal tract neurons: contrasting properties in the behaving monkey. *Brain Res.* 493:198–203.
- Bianchi S, Stimpson CD, Duka T, Larsen MD, Janssen WG, Collins Z, Bauernfeind AL, Schapiro SJ, Baze WB, McArthur MJ, et al. 2013. Synaptogenesis and development of pyramidal neuron dendritic morphology in the chimpanzee neocortex resembles humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 110(Suppl 2):10395–10401.
- Blakemore SJ, Robbins TW. 2012. Decision-making in the adolescent brain. Nat Neurosci. 15:1184–1191.
- Blurton-Jones M, Tuszynski MH. 2002. Estrogen receptor-beta colocalizes extensively with parvalbumin-labeled inhibitory neurons in the cortex, amygdala, basal forebrain, and hippocampal formation of intact and ovariectomized adult rats. J Comp Neurol. 452:276–287.
- Boivin JR, Piekarski DJ, Thomas AW, Wilbrecht L. 2018. Adolescent pruning and stabilization of dendritic spines on cortical layer 5 pyramidal neurons do not depend on gonadal hormones. Dev Cogn Neurosci. 30:100–107.
- Bourgeois JP, Goldman-Rakic PS, Rakic P. 1994. Synaptogenesis in the prefrontal cortex of rhesus monkeys. Cereb Cortex. 4:78–96.
- Bramen JE, Hranilovich JA, Dahl RE, Forbes EE, Chen J, Toga AW, Dinov ID, Worthman CM, Sowell ER. 2011. Puberty influences medial temporal lobe and cortical gray matter maturation differently in boys than girls matched for sexual maturity. *Cereb Cortex*. 21:636–646.
- Brown SP, Hestrin S. 2009. Intracortical circuits of pyramidal neurons reflect their long-range axonal targets. Nature. 457:1133–1136.
- Bufill E, Agusti J, Blesa R. 2011. Human Neoteny revisited: the case of synaptic plasticity. *Am J Hum Biol*. 23:729–739.
- Chen CC, Lu J, Yang R, Ding JB, Zuo Y. 2018. Selective activation of parvalbumin interneurons prevents stress-induced synapse loss and perceptual defects. Mol Psychiatry. 23: 1614–1625.
- Clemens AM, Lenschow C, Beed P, Li L, Sammons R, Naumann RK, Wang H, Schmitz D, Brecht M. 2019. Estruscycle regulation of cortical inhibition. *Curr Biol*. 29(605–615): e606.
- Cowan RL, Wilson CJ. 1994. Spontaneous firing patterns and axonal projections of single corticostriatal neurons in the rat medial agranular cortex. J Neurophysiol. 71:17–32.
- Delevich K, Hall CD, Piekarski D, Zhang Y, Wilbrecht L. 2020. Prepubertal gonadectomy reveals sex differences in approach-avoidance behavior in adult mice. *Horm Behav.* 118: 104641.
- Delevich K, Piekarski D, Wilbrecht L. 2019a. Neuroscience: sex hormones at work in the Neocortex. Curr Biol. 29:R122–R125.
- Delevich K, Thomas AW, Wilbrecht L. 2019b. Adolescence and "late blooming" synapses of the prefrontal cortex. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 83:37–43.

- Dembrow NC, Chitwood RA, Johnston D. 2010. Projectionspecific neuromodulation of medial prefrontal cortex neurons. J Neurosci. 30:16922–16937.
- DePasque S, Galvan A. 2017. Frontostriatal development and probabilistic reinforcement learning during adolescence. Neurobiol Learn Mem. 143:1–7.
- DePoy LM, Shapiro LP, Kietzman HW, Roman KM, Gourley SL. 2019. beta1-Integrins in the developing orbitofrontal cortex are necessary for expectancy updating in mice. J Neurosci. 39:6644–6655.
- Drzewiecki CM, Willing J, Juraska JM. 2016. Synaptic number changes in the medial prefrontal cortex across adolescence in male and female rats: a role for pubertal onset. *Synapse*. 70:361–368.
- Elston GN. 2000. Pyramidal cells of the frontal lobe: all the more spinous to think with. J Neurosci. 20:RC95.
- Elston GN. 2007. Specialization of the neocortical pyramidal cell during primate evolution. In: Kaas JH, editor. Evolution of Nervous systems. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier, pp. 191–242.
- Elston GN, Oga T, Fujita I. 2009. Spinogenesis and pruning scales across functional hierarchies. J Neurosci. 29:3271–3275.
- Furth D, Vaissiere T, Tzortzi O, Xuan Y, Martin A, Lazaridis I, Spigolon G, Fisone G, Tomer R, Deisseroth K, et al. 2018. An interactive framework for whole-brain maps at cellular resolution. Nat Neurosci. 21:139–149.
- Gabbott PL, Warner TA, Jays PR, Salway P, Busby SJ. 2005. Prefrontal cortex in the rat: projections to subcortical autonomic, motor and limbic centers. J Comp Neurol. 492:145–177.
- Gentry RT, Wade GN. 1976. Androgenic control of food intake and body weight in male rats. J Comp Physiol Psychol. 90:18–25.
- Gerfen CR, Paletzki R, Heintz N. 2013. GENSAT BAC crerecombinase driver lines to study the functional organization of cerebral cortical and basal ganglia circuits. *Neuron*. 80:1368–1383.
- Giedd JN, Blumenthal J, Jeffries NO, Castellanos FX, Liu H, Zijdenbos A, Paus T, Evans AC, Rapoport JL. 1999. Brain development during childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal MRI study. Nat Neurosci. 2:861–863.
- Gilman JP, Medalla M, Luebke JI. 2017. Area-specific features of pyramidal neurons-a comparative study in mouse and rhesus monkey. *Cereb Cortex*. 27:2078–2094.
- Glantz LA, Gilmore JH, Hamer RM, Lieberman JA, Jarskog LF. 2007. Synaptophysin and postsynaptic density protein 95 in the human prefrontal cortex from mid-gestation into early adulthood. *Neuroscience*. 149:582–591.
- Goddings AL, Beltz A, Peper JS, Crone EA, Braams BR. 2019. Understanding the role of puberty in structural and functional Development of the adolescent Brain. J Res Adolesc. 29:32–53.
- Gonzalez-Burgos G, Miyamae T, Pafundo DE, Yoshino H, Rotaru DC, Hoftman G, Datta D, Zhang Y, Hammond M, Sampson AR, et al. 2015. Functional maturation of GABA synapses during postnatal Development of the monkey dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Cereb Cortex*. 25:4076–4093.
- Gourley SL, Olevska A, Warren MS, Taylor JR, Koleske AJ. 2012. Arg kinase regulates prefrontal dendritic spine refinement and cocaine-induced plasticity. J Neurosci. 32:2314–2323.
- Ha GE, Cheong E. 2017. Spike frequency adaptation in neurons of the central nervous system. *Exp Neurobiol*. 26:179–185.
- Hammerslag LR, Gulley JM. 2014. Age and sex differences in reward behavior in adolescent and adult rats. *Dev Psychobiol*. 56:611–621.
- Harris KD, Shepherd GM. 2015. The neocortical circuit: themes and variations. Nat Neurosci. 18:170–181.

- Hart G, Bradfield LA, Balleine BW. 2018a. Prefrontal Corticostriatal disconnection blocks the Acquisition of Goal-Directed Action. J Neurosci. 38:1311–1322.
- Hart G, Bradfield LA, Fok SY, Chieng B, Balleine BW. 2018b. The bilateral Prefronto-striatal pathway is necessary for learning new goal-directed actions. *Curr Biol.* 28(2218–2229):e2217.
- Hattox AM, Nelson SB. 2007. Layer V neurons in mouse cortex projecting to different targets have distinct physiological properties. J Neurophysiol. 98:3330–3340.
- Herting MM, Gautam P, Spielberg JM, Dahl RE, and Sowell ER. 2015. A longitudinal study: changes in cortical thickness and surface area during pubertal maturation. *PLoS One.* 10: e0119774.
- Hintiryan H, Foster NN, Bowman I, Bay M, Song MY, Gou L, Yamashita S, Bienkowski MS, Zingg B, Zhu M, et al. 2016. The mouse cortico-striatal projectome. Nat Neurosci. 19:1100–1114.
- Hinton EA, Li DC, Allen AG, Gourley SL. 2019. Social isolation in adolescence disrupts cortical development and goaldependent decision making in adulthood, despite social reintegration. *eNeuro* ENEURO.0318-19.2019.
- Hodge RD, Bakken TE, Miller JA, Smith KA, Barkan ER, Graybuck LT, Close JL, Long B, Johansen N, Penn O, et al. 2019. Conserved cell types with divergent features in human versus mouse cortex. Nature. 573: 61–68.
- Holtmaat A, Bonhoeffer T, Chow DK, Chuckowree J, De Paola V, Hofer SB, Hubener M, Keck T, Knott G, Lee WC, et al. 2009. Long-term, high-resolution imaging in the mouse neocortex through a chronic cranial window. Nat Protoc. 4:1128–1144.
- Holtmaat AJ, Trachtenberg JT, Wilbrecht L, Shepherd GM, Zhang X, Knott GW, Svoboda K. 2005. Transient and persistent dendritic spines in the neocortex in vivo. *Neuron*. 45:279–291.
- Hooks BM, Papale AE, Paletzki RF, Feroze MW, Eastwood BS, Couey JJ, Winnubst J, Chandrashekar J, Gerfen CR. 2018. Topographic precision in sensory and motor corticostriatal projections varies across cell type and cortical area. *Nat Commun.* 9:3549.
- Huizinga M, Dolan CV, van der Molen MW. 2006. Age-related change in executive function: developmental trends and a latent variable analysis. *Neuropsychologia* 44: 2017–2036.
- Hunnicutt BJ, Jongbloets BC, Birdsong WT, Gertz KJ, Zhong H, Mao T. 2016. A comprehensive excitatory input map of the striatum reveals novel functional organization. *elife*. 5.
- Huttenlocher PR. 1979. Synaptic density in human frontal cortex - developmental changes and effects of aging. *Brain Res.* 163:195–205.
- Inoue T, Zakikhani M, David S, Algire C, Blouin MJ, Pollak M. 2010. Effects of castration on insulin levels and glucose tolerance in the mouse differ from those in man. Prostate. 70:1628–1635.
- Jardi F, Laurent MR, Kim N, Khalil R, De Bundel D, Van Eeckhaut A, Van Helleputte L, Deboel L, Dubois V, Schollaert D, et al. 2018. Testosterone boosts physical activity in male mice via dopaminergic pathways. *Sci Rep.* 8:957.
- Johnson C, Wilbrecht L. 2011. Juvenile mice show greater flexibility in multiple choice reversal learning than adults. *Dev Cogn Neuros-Neth*. 1:540–551.
- Johnson CM, Loucks FA, Peckler H, Thomas AW, Janak PH, Wilbrecht L. 2016. Long-range orbitofrontal and amygdala axons show divergent patterns of maturation in the frontal cortex across adolescence. *Dev Cogn Neurosci*. 18:113–120.
- Kaufman MT, Churchland MM, Ryu SI, Shenoy KV. 2014. Cortical activity in the null space: permitting preparation without movement. Nat Neurosci. 17:440–448.

- Kiritani T, Wickersham IR, Seung HS, Shepherd GM. 2012. Hierarchical connectivity and connection-specific dynamics in the corticospinal-corticostriatal microcircuit in mouse motor cortex. J Neurosci. 32:4992–5001.
- Kita T, Kita H. 2012. The subthalamic nucleus is one of multiple innervation sites for Long-range Corticofugal axons: a singleaxon tracing study in the rat. J Neurosci. 32:5990–5999.
- Koss WA, Belden CE, Hristov AD, Juraska JM. 2014. Dendritic remodeling in the adolescent medial prefrontal cortex and the basolateral amygdala of male and female rats. *Synapse*. 68:61–72.
- Koolschijn PC, Peper JS, and Crone EA. 2014. The influence of sex steroids on structural brain maturation in adolescence. PLoS One. 9: e83929.
- Kozorovitskiy Y, Saunders A, Johnson CA, Lowell BB, Sabatini BL. 2012. Recurrent network activity drives striatal synaptogenesis. Nature. 485:646–650.
- Krentzel AA, Meitzen J. 2018. Biological sex, Estradiol and striatal medium spiny neuron physiology: a mini-review. Front Cell Neurosci. 12:492.
- Kritzer MF. 2002. Regional, laminar, and cellular distribution of immunoreactivity for ER alpha and ER beta in the cerebral cortex of hormonally intact. adult male and female rats Cereb Cortex. 12:116–128.
- Krotkiewski M, Kral JG, Karlsson J. 1980. Effects of castration and testosterone substitution on body composition and muscle metabolism in rats. Acta Physiol Scand. 109:233–237.
- Lake BB, Ai R, Kaeser GE, Salathia NS, Yung YC, Liu R, Wildberg A, Gao D, Fung HL, Chen S, et al. 2016. Neuronal subtypes and diversity revealed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing of the human brain. *Science*. 352:1586–1590.
- Laubach M, Amarante LM, Swanson K, White SR. 2018. What, if anything, is rodent prefrontal cortex? *eNeuro*. 5. doi: 10.1007/ENEURO.0315-0318.2018.
- Laube C, Suleiman AB, Johnson M, Dahl RE, van den Bos W. 2017. Dissociable effects of age and testosterone on adolescent impatience. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 80:162–169.
- Levesque M, Charara A, Gagnon S, Parent A, Deschenes M. 1996. Corticostriatal projections from layer V cells in rat are collaterals of long-range corticofugal axons. Brain Res. 709: 311–315.
- Li N, Chen TW, Guo ZV, Gerfen CR, Svoboda K. 2015. A motor cortex circuit for motor planning and movement. *Nature*. 519:51–56.
- Linaro D, Vermaercke B, Iwata R, Ramaswamy A, Libé-Philippot B, Boubakar L, Davis BA, Wierda K, Davie K, Poovathingal S, et al. 2019. Xenotransplanted Human Cortical Neurons Reveal Species-Specific Development and Functional Integration into Mouse Visual Circuits. Neuron. 104: 972–986.e976.
- Liu X, Somel M, Tang L, Yan Z, Jiang X, Guo S, Yuan Y, He L, Oleksiak A, Zhang Y, et al. 2012. Extension of cortical synaptic development distinguishes humans from chimpanzees and macaques. *Genome Res.* 22:611–622.
- Mallya AP, Wang HD, Lee HNR, Deutch AY. 2019. Microglial pruning of synapses in the prefrontal cortex during adolescence. *Cereb Cortex*. 29:1634–1643.
- Markham JA, Mullins SE, Koenig JI. 2013. Periadolescent maturation of the prefrontal cortex is sex-specific and is disrupted by prenatal stress. *J Comp Neurol.* 521:1828–1843.
- Master SL, Eckstein MK, Gotlieb N, Dahl R, Wilbrecht L, Collins AGE. 2019. Distentangling the systems contributing to changes in learning during adolescence. *Dev Cogn Neurosci.* 41: 100732.

- Meitzen J, Meisel RL, Mermelstein PG. 2018. Sex differences and the effects of Estradiol on striatal function. *Curr Opin Behav Sci.* 23:42–48.
- Mitra S, Ghosh N, Sinha P, Chakrabarti N, Bhattacharyya A. 2015. Alteration in nuclear factor-KappaB pathway and functionality of Estrogen via receptors promote Neuroinflammation in frontal cortex after 1-Methyl-4-Phenyl-1,2,3,6-Tetrahydropyridine treatment. Sci Rep. 5:13949.
- Morishima M, Kawaguchi Y. 2006. Recurrent connection patterns of corticostriatal pyramidal cells in frontal cortex. *J Neurosci.* 26:4394–4405.
- Naka A, Adesnik H. 2016. Inhibitory circuits in cortical layer 5. Front Neural Circuits. 10:35.
- Naneix F, Marchand AR, Di Scala G, Pape JR, Coutureau E. 2012. Parallel maturation of goal-directed behavior and dopaminergic systems during adolescence. J Neurosci. 32:16223–16232.
- Nelson LR, Bulun SE. 2001. Estrogen production and action. J Am Acad Dermatol. 45:S116–S124.
- Neufang S, Specht K, Hausmann M, Gunturkun O, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, Fink GR, Konrad K. 2009. Sex differences and the impact of steroid hormones on the developing human brain. *Cereb Cortex.* 19:464–473.
- Ng LHL, Huang Y, Han L, Chang RC, Chan YS, Lai CSW. 2018. Ketamine and selective activation of parvalbumin interneurons inhibit stress-induced dendritic spine elimination. Transl Psychiatry. 8:272.
- Nguyen TV, McCracken J, Ducharme S, Botteron KN, Mahabir M, Johnson W, Israel M, Evans AC, Karama S, Brain Development Cooperative G. 2013. Testosterone-related cortical maturation across childhood and adolescence. *Cereb Cortex*. 23:1424–1432.
- Oswald MJ, Tantirigama ML, Sonntag I, Hughes SM, Empson RM. 2013. Diversity of layer 5 projection neurons in the mouse motor cortex. Front Cell Neurosci. 7:174.
- Pan WX, Mao T, Dudman JT. 2010. Inputs to the dorsal striatum of the mouse reflect the parallel circuit architecture of the forebrain. *Front Neuroanat*. 4:147.
- Paolicelli RC, Bolasco G, Pagani F, Maggi L, Scianni M, Panzanelli P, Giustetto M, Ferreira TA, Guiducci E, Dumas L, et al. 2011. Synaptic pruning by microglia is necessary for normal brain development. Science. 333:1456–1458.
- Pattwell SS, Liston C, Jing D, Ninan I, Yang RR, Witztum J, Murdock MH, Dincheva I, Bath KG, Casey BJ, et al. 2016. Dynamic changes in neural circuitry during adolescence are associated with persistent attenuation of fear memories. Nat Commun. 7:11475.
- Paus T, Keshavan M, Giedd JN. 2008. Why do many psychiatric disorders emerge during adolescence? Nat Rev Neurosci. 9:947–957.
- Paus T, Nawaz-Khan I, Leonard G, Perron M, Pike GB, Pitiot A, Richer L, Susman E, Veillette S, Pausova Z. 2010. Sexual dimorphism in the adolescent brain: role of testosterone and androgen receptor in global and local volumes of grey and white matter. Horm Behav. 57:63–75.
- Peixoto RT, Wang W, Croney DM, Kozorovitskiy Y, Sabatini BL. 2016. Early hyperactivity and precocious maturation of corticostriatal circuits in Shank3B(-/-) mice. Nat Neurosci. 19:716–724.
- Peper JS, Schnack HG, Brouwer RM, Van Baal GC, Pjetri E, Szekely E, van Leeuwen M, van den SM, Collins DL, Evans AC, et al. 2009. Heritability of regional and global brain structure at the onset of puberty: a magnetic resonance imaging study in 9year-old twin pairs. Hum Brain Mapp. 30:2184–2196.

- Petanjek Z, Judas M, Simic G, Rasin MR, Uylings HB, Rakic P, Kostovic I. 2011. Extraordinary neoteny of synaptic spines in the human prefrontal cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 108:13281–13286.
- Piekarski DJ, Boivin JR, Wilbrecht L. 2017a. Ovarian hormones organize the maturation of inhibitory neurotransmission in the frontal cortex at puberty onset in female mice. *Curr Biol.* 27:1735–1745, e1733.
- Piekarski DJ, Johnson CM, Boivin JR, Thomas AW, Lin WC, Delevich K, E MG, Wilbrecht L. 2017b. Does puberty mark a transition in sensitive periods for plasticity in the associative neocortex? Brain Res. 1654:123–144.
- Porrero C, Rubio-Garrido P, Avendano C, Clasca F. 2010. Mapping of fluorescent protein-expressing neurons and axon pathways in adult and developing Thy1-eYFP-H transgenic mice. *Brain Res.* 1345:59–72.
- Rakic P, Bourgeois JP, Eckenhoff MF, Zecevic N, Goldman-Rakic PS. 1986. Concurrent overproduction of synapses in diverse regions of the primate cerebral cortex. Science. 232:232–235.
- Reiner A, Jiao Y, Del Mar N, Laverghetta AV, Lei WL. 2003. Differential morphology of pyramidal tract-type and intratelencephalically projecting-type corticostriatal neurons and their intrastriatal terminals in rats. J Comp Neurol. 457:420–440.
- Sawyer SM, Azzopardi PS, Wickremarathne D, Patton GC. 2018. The age of adolescence. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2:223–228.
- Selemon LD. 2013. A role for synaptic plasticity in the adolescent development of executive function. Transl Psychiatry. 3:e238.
- Shapiro LP, Parsons RG, Koleske AJ, Gourley SL. 2017. Differential expression of cytoskeletal regulatory factors in the adolescent prefrontal cortex: implications for cortical development. J Neurosci Res. 95:1123–1143.
- Shay DA, Vieira-Potter VJ, Rosenfeld CS. 2018. Sexually dimorphic effects of aromatase on neurobehavioral responses. Front Mol Neurosci. 11:374.
- Shepherd GM. 2013. Corticostriatal connectivity and its role in disease. Nat Rev Neurosci. 14:278–291.
- Sierra A, Gottfried-Blackmore A, Milner TA, Mcewen BS, Bulloch K. 2008. Steroid hormone receptor expression and function in microglia. Glia. 56:659–674.
- Sohur US, Padmanabhan HK, Kotchetkov IS, Menezes JR, Macklis JD. 2014. Anatomic and molecular development of corticostriatal projection neurons in mice. *Cereb Cortex*. 24:293–303.

- Somel M, Franz H, Yan Z, Lorenc A, Guo S, Giger T, Kelso J, Nickel B, Dannemann M, Bahn S, et al. 2009. Transcriptional neoteny in the human brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 106: 5743–5748.
- Sowell ER, Thompson PM, Holmes CJ, Jernigan TL, Toga AW. 1999. In vivo evidence for post-adolescent brain maturation in frontal and striatal regions. *Nat Neurosci.* 2:859–861.
- Spear LP. 2000. The adolescent brain and age-related behavioral manifestations. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 24:417–463.
- Sturman DA, Mandell DR, Moghaddam B. 2010. Adolescents exhibit behavioral differences from adults during instrumental learning and extinction. *Behav Neurosci*. 124:16–25.
- Tirelli E, Laviola G, Adriani W. 2003. Ontogenesis of behavioral sensitization and conditioned place preference induced by psychostimulants in laboratory rodents. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 27:163–178.
- Turner RS, DeLong MR. 2000. Corticostriatal activity in primary motor cortex of the macaque. J Neurosci. 20:7096–7108.
- Vandenberg A, Piekarski DJ, Caporale N, Munoz-Cuevas FJ, Wilbrecht L. 2015. Adolescent maturation of inhibitory inputs onto cingulate cortex neurons is cell-type specific and TrkB dependent. Front Neural Circuits. 9:5.
- Vijayakumar N, Op de Macks Z, Shirtcliff EA, Pfeifer JH. 2018. Puberty and the human brain: insights into adolescent development. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 92:417–436.
- Wallace M, Luine V, Arellanos A, Frankfurt M. 2006. Ovariectomized rats show decreased recognition memory and spine density in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. Brain Res. 1126:176–182.
- Wickham H. 2016. Ggplot2: elegrant graphics for data analysis. in Use R!, p. 1 online resource. Switzerland: Springer.
- Wilson CJ. 1987. Morphology and synaptic connections of crossed corticostriatal neurons in the rat. J Comp Neurol. 263:567–580.
- Winnubst J, Bas E, Ferreira TA, Wu Z, Economo MN, Edson P, Arthur BJ, Bruns C, Rokicki K, Schauder D, et al. 2019. Reconstruction of 1,000 projection neurons reveals new cell types and Organization of Long-Range Connectivity in the mouse Brain. Cell. 179: 268–281.e213.
- Zuo Y, Lin A, Chang P, Gan WB. 2005. Development of long-term dendritic spine stability in diverse regions of cerebral cortex. *Neuron*. 46:181–189.