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Abstract

A new approach to the accurate generation of multidimensional intermolecular interaction potentials is demonstrated. The basis for evaluating this application is the available very accurate 3-dimensional morphed potential of $\text{Ar} \cdot \text{HBr}$. Starting from the well-defined potential of the simplest molecule, the diatomic $\text{H}_2^+$, a recently developed canonical approach is applied and used with sufficient additional polyatomic data to generate the adiabatic intermolecular interaction potential in $\text{Ar} \cdot \text{HBr}$, with HBr in the vibrational ground state. This represents the first application of canonical transformations to a higher vibrationally dimensional molecular system, in this case, $\text{Ar} \cdot \text{HBr}$. Results indicate intrinsic bonding characteristics inherent to both systems.
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1. Introduction

The concept of the potential energy surface has been and continues to be pivotal in understanding, characterizing, and predicting properties associated with molecules and interactions between molecules [1-9]. Much effort has been made to develop formulations which can directly describe the interaction between two atoms in a molecule or atomic dimer as well as form the basis to model the interaction between larger molecular systems [10-19]. An extensive number of empirical, theoretical, and semi-empirical approaches to the determination potential energy surfaces of intermolecular interactions now exist.

Recently, we introduced formulations for accurately generating equilibrium dissociation energies $D_e$ as well as explicit force-based transformations [20] to a canonical potential for both diatomic and two body intermolecular interactions [21]. The term canonical potential for a class of molecules refers to a dimensionless function obtained from each molecule within the defined class by a readily invertible algebraic transformation. Furthermore, to be deemed canonical, the dimensionless potentials obtained from all of the molecules within the defined class by the canonical transformation must agree to within a specified order of accuracy. Different classes of representative ground electronic state pairwise interatomic interactions were referenced to a chosen canonical potential illustrating application of such transformations. Specifically, accurately determined potentials of the diatomic molecules H$_2$, H$_2^+$, HF, LiH, argon dimer, and 1-dimensional dissociative coordinates in intermolecular Ar-HBr, OC-HF, and OC-Cl$_2$ were investigated throughout their ground state bound potentials.
Even more recently, we generalized formulation of canonical transformations [21] and spectra, and used them to investigate the concept of a canonical potential strictly within the Born-Oppenheimer approximation [22]. Specifically, from the single canonical potential of the simplest molecule, H$_2^+$, we generated the potentials of a set of different molecules explicitly. This set was chosen to include the most accurately available ground electronic state pairwise interaction potentials in H$_2$, HD, D$_2$, HeH$^+$, and LiH. The efficacy of the canonical approach was then stringently tested by direct comparison of the predicted eigenvalues of all vibrational states in the ground electronic state bound potentials of the molecular systems considered with those of the corresponding most accurately known Born-Oppenheimer eigenvalues currently available. Such deviations were demonstrated to be less than 2 cm$^{-1}$ for all vibrational states in H$_2$, HD, D$_2$, HeH$^+$, and LiH with an average standard deviation of 0.27 cm$^{-1}$ for the 87 states considered [22].

The question now arises whether this canonical approach can be developed to generate the multidimensional potential energy surfaces of polyatomic systems. In this case, we will investigate this possibility in the intermolecular interaction Ar•HBr. The high resolution microwave spectrum [23] of Ar•HBr was first investigated, but subsequent submillimeter and infrared investigations [24, 25] lead to accurate determination of $D_0$ [26] and increasingly accurate morphed 3-dimensional potentials [27-29]. This extremely accurate potential now provides the accurate data necessary for proposed investigations.

2. Method

For the present study, the reference potential is selected to be that for H$_2^+$, the
simplest molecule. Considering the potential $E(R)$ with associated force distribution

$$F(R) = -E'(R),$$

we define $R_e$ and $R_m$ to be the equilibrium nuclear separation, that is, the internuclear distance for which $F(R_e) = 0$, and the nuclear separation distance of maximum attractive force, that is $F(R_m) = F_m = \max_{R \geq R_e} F(R)$, respectively. We note that $R_e < R_m$. Dimensionless, canonical potentials are constructed for both the “attractive” and “repulsive” sides of a potential as follows.

### a. Attractive Side

The dimensionless canonical potential $\tilde{V}_{e_j}^a(x; \gamma)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $j = 0, 1, \ldots$ is defined by:

$$\tilde{V}_{e_j}^a(x; \gamma) = \frac{V\left(x \gamma R_j^a + (1 - x \gamma) R_e^a\right) - V\left(R_e^a\right)}{V\left(\gamma R_j^a + (1 - \gamma) R_e^a\right) - V\left(R_e^a\right)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Similarly, the potential $\tilde{V}_{ij}^a(x; \gamma)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq i < j$ is defined by:

$$\tilde{V}_{ij}^a(x; \gamma) = \frac{V\left(x \gamma R_j^a + (1 - x \gamma) R_i^a\right) - V\left(R_i^a\right)}{V\left(\gamma R_j^a + (1 - \gamma) R_i^a\right) - V\left(R_i^a\right)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In Eqs. (1) and (2), $R_j^a$ is defined by: $F(R_j^a) = F_m / 2^j$. The transformations to the canonical forms in Eqs. (1) and (2) are readily inverted giving Eq. (1) with

$$x = (R - R_e) / (\gamma(R_j^a - R_e)),$$

$$V(R) = V(R_e) + V(\gamma R_j^a + (1 - \gamma) R_e) \tilde{V}_{e_j}^a(x; \gamma), \quad \text{for} \quad R_e < R < (\gamma R_j^a + (1 - \gamma) R_e)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and for Eq. (2) $x = (R - R_i^a) / (\gamma(R_j^a - R_i^a))$:

$$V(R) = V(R_i^a) + V(\gamma R_j^a + (1 - \gamma) R_i^a) \tilde{V}_{ij}^a(x; \gamma), \quad \text{for} \quad R_i^a < R < (\gamma R_j^a + (1 - \gamma) R_i^a)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
b. Repulsive Side

The dimensionless canonical potential $\tilde{V}_{e,j}^{r}(x;\gamma)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $j = 0, 1, \ldots$ is defined by:

$$\tilde{V}_{e,j}^{r}(x;\gamma) = \frac{V(x\gamma R_{j}^{r} + (1-x\gamma)R_{j}^{e})}{V(\gamma R_{j}^{r} + (1-\gamma)R_{j}^{e})} - \frac{V(R_{j}^{e})}{V(\gamma R_{j}^{r} + (1-\gamma)R_{j}^{e})}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Similarly, the potential $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{r}(x;\gamma)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq i < j$ is defined by:

$$\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{r}(x;\gamma) = \frac{V(x\gamma R_{j}^{i} + (1-x\gamma)R_{j}^{i})}{V(\gamma R_{j}^{i} + (1-\gamma)R_{j}^{i})} - \frac{V(R_{j}^{i})}{V(\gamma R_{j}^{i} + (1-\gamma)R_{j}^{i})}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In Eqs. (5) and (6) $R_{j}^{r}$ is defined by: $F(R_{j}^{r}) = F_{m}2^{j}$. The transformations to the canonical forms in Eqs. (5) and (6) are readily inverted giving Eq. (5) with

$$x = (R - R_{e}) / (\gamma(R_{j}^{r} - R_{e})),$$

$$V(R) = V(R_{j}^{r}) + V(\gamma R_{j}^{r} + (1-\gamma)R_{j}^{e})\tilde{V}_{e,j}^{r}(x;\gamma), \text{ for } (\gamma R_{j}^{r} + (1-\gamma)R_{j}^{e}) < R < R_{e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

and Eq. (6) with $x = (R - R_{i}^{r}) / (\gamma(R_{j}^{r} - R_{i}^{r}))$:

$$V(R) = V(R_{i}^{r}) + V(\gamma R_{j}^{i} + (1-\gamma)R_{i}^{r})\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{r}(x;\gamma), \text{ for } (\gamma R_{j}^{i} + (1-\gamma)R_{i}^{r}) < R < R_{i}^{r}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Complete details of the canonical transformations can be found in Ref. [22].

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows different radial cuts at $10^\circ$ intervals through the adiabatic potential of Ar•HBr, with HBr in the vibrational ground state, associated with different angles $\theta$ which corresponds to linear isomer Ar-HBr ($\theta = 0^\circ$) and linear isomer Ar-BrH ($\theta = 180^\circ$). The shapes of these curves together with the results presented in Ref. 22
indicate that the adiabatic potential of Ar•HBr is canonical. Figure 2, shows the corresponding results for θ = 10°, 90°, and 170° which are selected for subsequent special consideration with respect to Figs. 3a and 3b. Figure 3a demonstrates the canonical nature of the radial potential of Ar•HBr at the specifically chosen angles of θ = 10°, 90°, and 170° as they are transformed to the same canonical curve. Clearly, corresponding plots exist for all angles from θ = 0° to 180° covering the whole angular potential for the adiabatic potential of Ar•HBr, confirming the canonical characteristics of the intermolecular potential. The canonical radial potential of Ar•HBr at θ = 10°, 90°, and 170°, which have been represented in Fig. 3a has now been extended to include the corresponding H$_2^+$ canonical potential, as shown in Fig. 3b. This demonstrates that to high accuracy, the potentials of both Ar•HBr and H$_2^+$ are canonical and furthermore suggests that the radial canonical potential of the simplest molecule H$_2^+$ [30] can be used to generate the multidimensional potential of Ar•HBr provided there is sufficient independent data available for that potential from another independent source.

The multidimensional adiabatic potential of Ar•HBr can be approximated by extending the 1-dimensional radial procedure introduced in Ref. [22] (Eqs. 10-15). Specifically, one takes a sequence of angles θ$_1$,...,θ$_n$ and applies the Eqs. 10-15 of Ref. [22] to represent each of the radial potentials $E(\theta_k,R)$ using a selection of dyadic radial values $R_j^\alpha(\theta_k)$. One then uses piecewise affine transformations applied to portions of the canonical radial potential (H$_2^+$) onto corresponding portions of the 1-dimensional radial potentials $E(\theta_k,R)$ for each angle θ$_k$ as illustrated on Fig. 1 in Ref. [22]. For illustrative purposes in this work, for each θ$_k$, eight R-values \{ $R_j^\alpha(\theta_k)$ : j=1,2,3,4} along with $R_e(\theta_k)$
are used in the construction. As shown in Ref. [22], more accurate representations can be obtained by using more $R$-values. Spline interpolation is then used to approximate $E(\theta,R)$ for all other angular values $\theta$.

In Fig. 4, we present the generated adiabatic potential of Ar•HBr, with HBr in the vibrational ground state, generated by using the $H^+_2$ canonical potential as a reference molecule over the range -200 to +200 cm$^{-1}$ while the radial coordinate covers the range 2.50 to 6.60 Å. The relative error in the surface is given in Fig. 5, and is approximately less than the very small value of 0.08% over the whole surface, which gives considerable confidence in the accuracy of this canonical approach in this particular case. Since the adiabatic potential has been used to investigate the rovibrational dynamics of Ar•HBr, we have chosen to use the adiabatic potential in this work and not the full 3-dimensional potential. The 3-dimensional potential can be approximated following the above procedure for different values of the HBr bond length. In the adiabatic potential, it is pertinent to note the HBr bond length is vibrationally averaged as a function of $R$ and $\theta$. In addition, this canonical transformation is only completely applicable where there is an attractive force in the radial direction, which occurs in all the systems we have considered.

The different classes of representative ground electronic state pairwise interatomic interactions that we referenced to a chosen canonical potential can now be discussed in the context of the current result [20,21]. Specifically, the accurately determined potentials of the diatomic molecules $H_2$, $H^+_2$, HF, LiH, argon dimer, and 1-dimensional dissociative coordinates in intermolecular Ar-HBr, OC-HF, and OC-Cl$_2$ were shown to be canonical. The $H^+_2$ was a member of this series which implies that the other
members of this series command similar canonical behavior with respect to the potential of \( \text{Ar}\text{HBr} \) currently under consideration.

4. **Conclusions**

From a consideration of the adiabatic potential energy surface of \( \text{Ar}\text{HBr} \), with HBr in the vibrational ground state, we demonstrate that the canonical transformation with respect to the radial degree of freedom is independent of the angular degree of freedom of the potential energy surface. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that this multidimensional potential can be correlated with the corresponding canonical potential of the simplest molecule, the one electron diatomic \( \text{H}_2^+ \). Consequently, the potential energy surface of this intermolecular interaction can be accurately generated from the canonical ground state potential of \( \text{H}_2^+ \) together with sufficient independent additional data relevant to the polyatomic such as that supplied by experiment or reliable quantum mechanical calculation. This indicates that the canonical approach has widespread potential applications in characterization of potential energy surfaces of molecular systems with vibrationally higher dimensions both in intramolecular and intermolecular systems. Hence, this approach provides a unified perspective on chemical bonding in polyatomic systems that should be the subject of intense further study.
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Figure 1. Radial cuts of the adiabatic potential of Ar•HBr, with HBr in the vibrational ground state, at different values of $\theta$ from $0^\circ$ to $180^\circ$ every $10^\circ$.

Figure 2. Radial cuts of the adiabatic potential of Ar•HBr, with HBr in the vibrational ground state, at $\theta = 10^\circ$, $90^\circ$, and $170^\circ$.
Figure 3a. Canonical radial potential of Ar•HBr at $\theta = 10^\circ$, 90$^\circ$, and 170$^\circ$. Figure 3b. Canonical radial potential of Ar•HBr at $\theta = 10^\circ$, 90$^\circ$, and 170$^\circ$, with the addition of the H$_2^+$ canonical potential.

Figure 4. Generated adiabatic potential energy surface of Ar•HBr, with HBr in the vibrational ground state, constructed from the 1-dimensional potential curve for H$_2^+$. 
Figure 5. Pointwise relative error on the estimated adiabatic potential energy surface of Ar•HBr shown in Figure 4, with HBr in the vibrational ground state, compared to the morphed potential of Ar•HBr.