UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Genomic basis of schistosome resistance in a molluscan vector of human schistosomiasis.

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2q46h9t9>

Journal iScience, 28(1)

Authors

Zhang, Si-Ming Yan, Guiyun Lekired, Abdelmalek [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2q46h9t9#author)

Publication Date 2025-01-17

DOI

10.1016/j.isci.2024.111520

Peer reviewed

iScience and article

Genomic basis of schistosome resistance in a molluscan vector of human schistosomiasis

Graphical abstract

Authors

Si-Ming Zhang, Guiyun Yan, Abdelmalek Lekired, Daibin Zhong

Correspondence

zhangsm@unm.edu (S.-M.Z.), dzhong@hs.uci.edu (D.Z.)

In brief

Disease; Molecular biology; Parasitology

Highlights

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet\n\end{array}$

- Recombinant inbred (RI) lines (RILs) of Biomphalaria glabrata were created
- Forty-six RIL snails, each representing a different RIL, were sequenced individually
- **GWAS and bin marker-assisted QTL analyses were** performed
- ^d A 3 Mb schistosome-resistant region (BgSRR1), containing 118 genes, was identified

Article

Genomic basis of schistosome resistance in a molluscan vector of human schistosomiasis

Si-Ming Zhang, $1,3,*$ $1,3,*$ $1,3,*$ Guiyun Yan,^{[2](#page-2-3)} Abdelmalek Lekired,^{[1](#page-2-0)} and Daibin Zhong^{[2,](#page-2-3)*}

1Center for Evolutionary and Theoretical Immunology, Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA 2Program in Public Health, College of Health Sciences, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA 3Lead contact

*Correspondence: zhangsm@unm.edu (S.-M.Z.), dzhong@hs.uci.edu (D.Z.) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.111520>

SUMMARY

Freshwater snails are obligate intermediate hosts for the transmission of schistosomiasis, one of the world's most devastating parasitic diseases. To decipher the mechanisms underlying snail resistance to schistosomes, recombinant inbred lines (RILs) were developed from two well-defined homozygous lines (iM line and iBS90) of the snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*. Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) was used to scan the genomes of 46 individual RIL snails, representing 46 RILs, half of which were resistant or susceptible to Schistosoma mansoni. Genome-wide association study (GWAS) and bin marker-assisted quantitative trait loci (QTLs) analysis, aided by our chromosome-level assembled genome, were conducted. A small genomic region $(\sim 3$ Mb) on chromosome 5 was identified as being associated with schistosome resistance, designated the B. glabrata schistosome resistance region 1 (BgSRR1). This study, built on our recently developed genetic and genomic resources, provides valuable insights into anti-schistosome mechanisms and the future development of snail-targeted biocontrol programs for schistosomiasis.

INTRODUCTION

Schistosomiasis, one of the most devastating neglected tropical diseases, poses a persistent public health and economic chal-lenge in the developing world.^{[1–3](#page-13-0)} It has recently spread from developing countries to European nations due to human migration and climate change. 4.5 4.5 The disease causes significant illness and death, 6 promotes the transmission of human immunodeficiency virus, 7 and can lead to bladder cancer. 8 Currently, there is no effective vaccine against schistosomes. The only available treatment is praziquantel (PZQ), a chemotherapy that has been in use for over 40 years.^{[9](#page-14-5)} However, relying solely on PZQ-based control programs is unlikely to achieve disease control goals, as PZQ-treated patients, especially children, quickly become reinfected.^{[10](#page-14-6)[,11](#page-14-7)} Additionally, concerns about drug resistance in schistosomes, particularly in mass drug administration pro-grams, are growing.^{[12](#page-14-8)[,13](#page-14-9)}

Freshwater snails serve as obligate intermediate hosts for the digenetic trematodes *Schistosoma* spp., the causative agents of schistosomiasis. This is because the life cycle of schistosomes involves asexual and sexual developmental stages within a snail intermediate host and a mammalian definitive host, respectively. Snail control, alone or in combination with other strategies, has proven to be the most effective means of reducing schistosomiasis prevalence in endemic areas. $14,15$ $14,15$ However, the widely used molluscicide niclosamide has harmful effects on the aquatic ecosystem, as it is toxic to other aquatic animals.^{[16,](#page-14-12)[17](#page-14-13)} Given the critical role of snails in the aquatic ecosystem, an ideal biocontrol strategy should aim to disrupt parasite life cycles

without eliminating the intermediate snail hosts. Field evidence supports this strategy, as the introduction of schistosome-resistant *Biomphalaria tenagophila* snails to endemic areas in Brazil has resulted in reduced disease transmission.^{[18](#page-14-14)}

Biomphalaria glabrata — *Schistosoma mansoni* has been used as a model system for studying the compatibility between snails and schistosomes, particularly snail resistance to schistosomes, since the mid-20th century.^{[19](#page-14-15)} These studies have primarily focused on immunological responses, with significant progress made in recent omic-based research.^{[20–29](#page-14-16)} It is well established that immunological responses have genetic bases. $30,31$ $30,31$ Previous studies have shown that snail resistance or susceptibility to schistosomes has a strong genetic component.³²⁻³⁶ Therefore, genetic mapping of schistosome resistance or susceptibility should offer valuable insights into these mechanisms and help elucidate the underlying immunological responses. This knowledge could potentially aid in developing biocontrol programs, which have shown promise in controlling vector-borne diseases through clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-mediated gene drive technologies. $37-40$

A significant study on the genetic analysis of compatibility between snails and schistosomes using the *B. glabrata* — *S. mansoni* model was published by Charles Richards in 1970. 32 Richards laid the foundation for understanding snail resistance to schistosomes through extensive classical crosses between snails with different resistance phenotypes. Genetic mapping using various mapping populations (most of which are pre-existing laboratory strains) and genotyping assays led to the identification of multiple resistant loci, located on different

chromosomes, ^{[41–46](#page-15-1)} yielding valuable insights into the resistance mechanisms while also leaving unanswered questions (see details in the [discussion](#page-8-0) section).

The success of genetic mapping relies heavily on the strategic design of mapping populations and genotyping technologies. Typically, classic mapping populations involve F2 offspring and backcrosses derived from crossing two inbred parents. Recombinant inbred (RI) lines or RILs, derived from the F2 population, offer distinct advantages for genetic mapping. RILs are created by crossing two parental strains with contrasting phenotypes followed by successive generations of inbreeding (selfing or full-sib mating). Meiotic crossover events result in a mosaic parental genome in each RI line, and subsequent inbreeding increases recombination events and leads to a rapid reduction in heterozygosity. $47-51$ RILs have commonly been used in plant genetics and breeding but have seldom been utilized in animal genetics, particularly for non-model organisms. This is mainly due to the labor-intensive, expensive, and time-consuming process of generating animal RILs, as well as the significant challenges associated with their maintenance.

We produced RILs from a cross between two well-defined homozygous lines of *B. glabrata*, the iM line and iBS90.[45](#page-15-3) To dissect this genetic resource, we employed whole-genome sequencing (WGS), a high-throughput genotyping assay, to scan every single nucleotide across the genomes of 46 individual RIL snails, representing 46 phenotyped RILs. This approach, designated as RIL-WGS, allowed us to reveal a significant number of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and bin markers for subsequent genome-wide association study (GWAS) and quantitative trait loci (QTLs) analysis. As a result, we identified a small genomic region and genes within that region involved in anti-schistosome defense.

RESULTS

Development and phenotyping of RIL snails

Two important biological characteristics of the *B. glabrata* – *S. mansoni* system contributed to the successful genetic design of the snail RILs. Firstly, the wild-type pigmentation follows Mendelian inheritance patterns, allowing us to confirm successful crosses. Secondly, *B. glabrata* is hermaphroditic, enabling both crossing and selfing. The breeding of snail RILs originated from an effort that spanned over 20 years at The University of New Mexico. During this period, the iM line and iBS90 were developed through 81 and 41 generations of selfing from a single M line and BS90 snail, respectively.^{[45](#page-15-3)} Both lines were confirmed to be homozygous with contrasting resistance phenotypes and were used as parental snails to generate RILs. A total of 338 pairs, randomly generated from different F2 intercrosses, served as founders for subsequent selfing ([Figure 1](#page-4-0)). Over more than 3.5 years, 137 RIL lines were obtained and tested for their phenotype. Among them, 118 RILs displayed a clear phenotype (42 resistant and 76 susceptible), while 19 had ambiguous phenotypes as only a portion of the snails in each line shed few cercariae. From the 118 RILs with clear phenotypes, we randomly selected 46 to represent 46 RI lines, with half $(n = 23)$ being either resistant or susceptible to schistosomes.

iScience Article

Quality control and evaluation of illumina reads

Since the susceptible iM line and the resistant iBS90 were used as the parental snails to generate the RIL population, the Illumina reads used to assemble the genomes of the two lines^{[45](#page-15-3)} were retrieved for the current study. To ensure data comparability, the same quality control criteria described in the [STAR Methods](#page-18-0) section were applied to all raw reads generated by 150×2 paired-end Illumina sequencing from the iM line, iBS90, and RILs. This resulted in 109.86, 118.34, and 664.4 Gb of clean reads from the iM line, iBS90, and RIL snails, respectively. Using our recently published chromosome-level assembly of *B. glabrata* as the reference genome,^{[52](#page-15-4)} the mapping rates of the iM line, iBS90, and RIL snails to the reference genome were 99%, 97%, and 96%, respectively. The sequence coverages for the iM line, iBS90, and the RIL population were 125X, 132X, and 16X, respectively ([Table S1](#page-13-1)).

Identification of genome-wide SNPs

A total of 9,079,154 SNPs were identified between the iM line and iBS90 parental snails. Among these, 273,307 SNPs were found in coding regions (including upstream $-5kb$ and downstream +2kb), with 119,241 SNPs resulting in nonsynonymous substitutions. These SNPs were used to genotype the RIL population using CLC genomics workbench. The resulting sequence variation data were exported as variant call format files, which were then combined using the Bcftools software package. The mean depth of SNP coverage for the iM line, iBS90, and RIL snails was 125X, 111X, and 14.20 \pm 0.38X, respectively [\(Table S2\)](#page-13-1). The distribution of SNP coverages is shown in [Figure 2](#page-5-0)A. After filtering out markers with high missing genotype $(>20\%)$, low coverage (<5) , and low minor allele frequency (<10%), a total of 7,330,259 SNP markers were retained for downstream analysis of the association between phenotype and genome-wide SNPs. The distribution of these high-quality SNPs across the 18 chromosomes shows a general correlation with genomic sizes $(r = 0.71)$ ([Figure 2](#page-5-0)B). The longest chromosome (chr 1) has the highest number of SNPs, while chromosome 6 has the lowest. The average SNP density across the 18 chromosomes is 9.11 ± 0.47 /kb, with the highest density on chromosome 18 (11.97/kb) and the lowest on chromosome 3 (5.04/kb) [\(Figure 2](#page-5-0)C).

GWAS and sliding-window analysis

GWAS was performed on the RIL population using 7,330,259 SNP markers. A total of 120,698 SNPs were identified at a significant level of $p \leq 1 \times 10^{-5}$. Among these, 837 SNPs were found to have significant associations ($p \leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$) and were distributed across six chromosomes (chr 4, 5, 12, 15, 16, and 18). However, most of the SNPs (99.28%, 830/836) were located on chromosome 5 [\(Figure 3A](#page-6-0); [Table S3\)](#page-13-1).

Fixation index F_{ST} analysis of the 7,330,259 SNP markers was performed using a 10-kb window analysis on susceptible and resistant RIL groups. This approach allowed us to identify regions that showed differences between the two groups. A jackknife procedure was used to test whether F_{ST} values were statis-tically different from zero.^{[53](#page-15-5)} We used a significance level of $p \leq$ 0.05, with a weighted F_{ST} value of 0.3061 from the genome-wide distribution, to define high F_{ST} outliers. Out of the 51,284 10-kb

Figure 1. Breeding scheme of RIL snails and testing of their resistant or susceptible phenotype

Homozygous iM line (albino and susceptible to schistosomes) and homozygous iBS90 (pigmented and resistant to schistosomes) snails were used as parent snails. Single iM line and iBS90 snails were placed in a 1 L plastic cup and allowed to produce F1 progeny. Since pigmentation is a dominant Mendelian trait, three possible outcomes were expected in F1 snails: albino F1 snails produced by selfing the iM line snail and pigmented F1 snails generated either from selfing the iBS90 snail or from a cross between the iM line and iBS90 snails. Albino F1 snails were discarded, while pigmented F1 snails were retained. These pigmented F1 snails were placed individually in plastic cups to produce F2 snails. To distinguish the two types of pigmented F1 snails (from selfing or crossing), we examined the colors of the F2 snails. If all F2 snails were pigmented, it suggested they originated from a single F1 snail through selfing of the parental iBS90, and they were discarded. If the F2 snails showed a mix of albino and pigmented individuals, it indicated that their parental F1 snail was produced from a cross between the iM line and iBS90 snails. The F2 snails were then retained for subsequent breeding. An albino F2 snail and a pigmented F2 snail from these F2 snails were randomly paired and placed in a plastic cup to produce offspring (an F1 population). From this F1 population, individual F1 snails were kept in plastic cups and allowed to self for 10 generations; in each generation, one snail was selected to produce the next generation through selfing. As a result, the RILs were obtained. Each RI line was tested for the resistance phenotype after miracidia exposure and cercarial shedding, as described in the [STAR Methods](#page-18-0) section. All photographs presented in this figure and in the graphical abstract were prepared by S.-M.Z.

windows (F_{ST} > 0), a total of 2,568 10-kb windows showed significant divergence between the two phenotypes. Among these, 2,563 10-kb windows were located on chromosome 5, two on chromosome 12, and three on chromosome 16 [\(Figure 3B](#page-6-0); [Table S4\)](#page-13-1).

From the genome-wide 7,330,259 SNP markers, 470,229 SNPs on chromosome 5 were extracted and filtered to exclude SNPs with a significant deviation from the 1:1 segregation ratio $(p < 0.01)$ and low homozygous genotypes (\leq 40). This resulted in 74,499 SNPs at $F_{ST} > 0.01$, including 42,473 SNPs at F_{ST} > 0.3061 and 373 SNPs with the highest F_{ST} value (>0.433) in a small genomic region (39.6–42.6 Mb) [\(Table S5](#page-13-1)). Similar filtering criteria were applied to chromosomes 12 and 16, resulting in 16 significant SNPs on chromosome 12 and 5 significant SNPs on chromosome 16 ([Table S6\)](#page-13-1). Overall, this analysis

revealed a \sim 3 Mb region (position: 39,634,500 nucleotides [nt]–42,686,436 nt) on chromosome 5 that exhibited the highest divergence in 10-kb windows between the susceptible and resistant RIL groups ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)C). The average F_{ST} value of the specific 3 Mb region (0.41 \pm 0.004) was significantly greater than that of the neighboring 3 Mb region to the left (0.29 \pm 0.004), as determined by a pooled t test $(t_{458} = 19.5,$ *p* < 0.0001). We designated this genomic region or QTL as the *B. glabrata* schistosome resistance region 1 (BgSRR1).

Bin marker identification and recombination pattern analysis

Further analysis was conducted using bin marker-based genetic mapping.^{[54,](#page-15-6)[55](#page-15-7)} To identify genomic intervals without recombination events in RIL populations, a 10-kb sliding window with

(legend on next page)

iScience

Article

Figure 3. GWAS

(A) Manhattan plot showing genomic regions associated with snail resistance in the RIL population. Fisher's exact test (-log10(p value)) was used to investigate the association between the resistant phenotype and SNPs ($n = 7,330,259$). The bold dark dashed line and gray dashed line indicate the genome-wide significance levels at $p = 5 \times 10^{-8}$ and $p = 1 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively.

(B) Genetic divergence test (FST) between resistant and susceptible snails in 10-kb windows (*n* = 51,284) for variants across the 18 chromosomes. The bold dark dashed line indicates significant genome-wide F_{ST} at $p \le 0.05$ for each chromosome.

(C) Sliding window-based F_{ST} analysis on chromosome 5. Individual variants are represented by gray circles (displaying only those with F_{ST} > 0.01, $n = 74,499$), while mean values are shown using sliding windows of 10-kb, marked by red lines. The blue bracket indicates a \sim 3 Mb genomic region (positions: 39,634,500 nt– 42,686,436 nt) with the highest divergence. Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.

Binmarker v.2.3 was used to generate a total of 2,190 bin markers from the 7,330,259 SNPs across the 18 chromosomes [\(Table S7\)](#page-13-1). The length of the bins was found to be correlated with the number of SNPs per bin $(r = 0.91)$ [\(Figure 4A](#page-7-0)). On average, the length of a bin was 353,427 bp, and each bin contained an average of 3,347 SNPs [\(Figure 4](#page-7-0)B). Analysis of the distribution of the genome-wide recombination pattern revealed that the centromeric regions of most chromosomes had signifi-cantly fewer recombination events [\(Figure 4](#page-7-0)C).

QTL analysis of snail resistance to schistosomes

To further refine our analysis, we filtered 2,190 bin markers by excluding those with a significant deviation (*p* < 0.001) from the 1:1 segregation ratio. The remaining markers were then used to construct a linkage map and conduct QTL analysis. A total of 2,121 bin markers were used to construct the genetic map, resulting in a map distance of 1,311.4 cM, with an average distance of 0.62 cM between adjacent markers [\(Figure 5\)](#page-8-1). The number of bin markers varied across chromosomes, ranging from 81 on chromosome 8 to 182 on chromosome 11. The largest marker gap was observed on chromosome 1 with a length of 15.47 cM, followed by chromosomes 4, 8, and 12, each with gaps of approximately 13 cM ([Table S8\)](#page-13-1).

QTL analysis indicated that snail resistance is controlled by a major QTL on chromosome 5, located between bin marker BgChr5_39634500:1:1 and BgChr5_39675885:1157240:1117, with a significant logarithm of odds (LOD) score of 6.04 ([Figure 6\)](#page-9-0). The bin marker on the left contains only one SNP at position 39,634,500 nt on chromosome 5, while the bin marker on the right encompasses 1,117 SNPs and spans a physical length of 1,157,240 bp. This QTL has an additive effect of 0.35 and accounts for 46.2% of the phenotypic variance. The 95% confidence interval for this QTL ranges from 37.5 cM to 39.5 cM, corresponding to a physical position from 39.6 to 41.9 Mb on chromosome 5, further confirming the 3 Mb region identified as the region of highest F_{ST} divergence ([Figure 3](#page-6-0)C).

Figure 2. SNP analysis

⁽A) Distribution of mean coverage (depth) for the 9,079,154 SNPs identified in the 46 RIL snails.

⁽B) Number of SNPs across the 18 chromosomes.

⁽C) Density of SNPs across the 18 chromosomes. Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.

(legend on next page)

Figure 5. Recombination bin map of the 46 RILs

The colors red, green, and blue represent the genotypes of the iM line (AA), the iBS90 (BB), and the heterozygous genotype (AB) and missing data, respectively. P1 and P2 refer to the iM line and iBS90, respectively.

Gene identification and GO analysis

A total of 118 protein-coding genes were identified in the BgSRR1, and detailed information about all 118 genes can be found in [Table S9.](#page-13-1) Among the 118 genes, 73 have homologs with known functions, which are listed in [Table 1](#page-10-0) (see the [discus](#page-8-0)[sion](#page-8-0) section for more information).

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis shows enriched biological processes, molecular functions, cellular components, and pathways [\(Figures 7A](#page-11-0)–7E). Three significant Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways associated with doublestranded RNA binding, protein heterodimerization activity, and basal transcription factors, along with 68 enriched GO categories, were revealed. These GO categories comprise 21 biological processes, 15 cellular components, and 32 molecular functions [\(Table S10\)](#page-13-1). The most highly enriched categories include double-stranded RNA binding for molecular function, nucleotide excision repair for biological processes, and three cellular components (WASH complex, endodeoxyribonuclease complex, and histone deacetylase complex). The functional linkage network analysis revealed the top 10 GO enrichments, including GO:0005634 nucleus, GO:0034654 nucleobase-containing compound biosynthetic process, GO:0019438 aromatic compound biosynthetic process, GO:0018130 heterocycle biosynthetic process, GO:1901362 organic cyclic compound biosynthetic process, GO:0097659 nucleic acid-templated transcription, GO:0006351 transcription DNA-templated, GO:0032774 RNA biosynthetic process, GO:0006139 nucleobase-containing compound metabolic process, and GO:0044271 cellular nitrogen compound biosynthetic process [\(Figure 7](#page-11-0)F).

DISCUSSION

A thorough understanding of the fundamental mechanisms that control the traits of interest is crucial for the development of genetically modified organisms for biomedical research. Extensive genetic analyses have been conducted on anti-parasite traits in disease vectors such as mosquitoes, $56-60$ leading to active studies on genomic modifications for both basic and applied research.^{[38–40](#page-15-9)} However, limited progress has been made with schistosomiasis vector snails, hindering our ability to pursue similar innovative work for schistosomiasis control. Different from the relevant genetic studies conducted on vector snails or other mollusks, the current study is based on our long-term efforts to develop genetic resources (the homozygous iM line and iBS90, F2 segregating population, and RILs) and genomic resources (scaffold- and chromosome-level assembled genomes) for the

Figure 4. Bin marker analysis

⁽A) Scatterplot of bin markers, with the x axis indicating the length of the bin markers and the y axis indicating the number of SNPs per bin. Red dashed lines represent the linear trend line. Subplots on the top and right display histograms of bin length and the number of SNPs in each bin marker. Data are represented as $mean + SEM$.

⁽B) Distribution of bin markers across the 18 chromosomes.

⁽C) Distribution of genome-wide recombination breakpoints on each of the 18 chromosomes. The red bar shows the relative number of observed recombination crossover sites in the RIL population.

Figure 6. QTL profiling of schistosome resistance across the 18 chromosomes of the snail RILs A major QTL was detected on chromosome 5 between bin markers BgChr5_39634500:1:1 and BgChr5_39675885:1157240:1117, with a significant LOD score of 6.04.

schistosomiasis model snail *B. glabrata*, with the main objective of deciphering the mechanisms underlying snail resistance to schistosomes.^{45,[52](#page-15-4)}

The development of RIL snails represents one of our efforts in this direction, despite the painstaking nature of the work involved. RIL snails were produced using an advanced design. The RILs were obtained through two crosses: a parental outcross and an F2 inter-cross, followed by 10 generations of selfing [\(Figure 1\)](#page-4-0). This design differs from the standard RIL design, which involves 6–7 generations of inbreeding starting with F2 offspring resulting from a single outcross (parental cross). $49,51,61$ $49,51,61$ $49,51,61$ The increased intercrossing, along with a greater number of generations of inbreeding (selfing), should further enhance mapping resolution and reduce the size of QTL by accumulating additional meiotic crossover events.

Indeed, the resistance QTL size was reduced from approximately 8 Mb using the F2 population to about 3 Mb, as revealed by the current RIL population. Importantly, our current findings from two genetic analyses, GWAS and bin marker-based QTL, unequivocally confirm the 3 Mb resistance locus BgSRR1 and its genomic location on chromosome 5, which are also consistent with our previous $F2$ -ddRADseq mapping^{[45](#page-15-3)} (see further [discussion](#page-8-0) in the following).

We carefully determined the resistance phenotype of each RI line, as it is important for genetic mapping. In our genetic studies, resistance or susceptibility was defined based on cercarial shedding rather than snail infection. Cercarial shedding directly contributes to disease transmission and human infection. After exposure to schistosome miracidia, many snails become infected or are penetrated by the miracidia, but not all infected snails shed cercariae. Some parasites experience impeded development but still survive in the snail host for a long time, resulting in no cercariae being released from these hosts. This phenomenon was observed in our recent work, which showed that some resistant snails (without shedding cercariae) possessed a varying number of schistosome reads from DNA extracted from the entire snail body.^{[45](#page-15-3)} The laboratory-based finding was confirmed by field observations. A large-scale polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based surveillance program in coastal Kenya demonstrated that the rates of schistosomes present in snail hosts, as detected by PCR, were significantly higher than those observed through cercarial shedding (28%–54% vs. 0.14%– 3.4%).^{[62](#page-16-0)} If a snail does not shed cercariae, it plays no role in disease transmission, regardless of whether it is infected. As our goal is to apply our findings to field applications, the focus of

Table 1. A list of genes in BgSRR1 that encode proteins with homologs

ier in parentheses at the end of a gene name is the total number of the genes from the same gene family (with the same gene name) in the BgSRR1.

our investigations is on the phenotype of cercarial shedding rather than on infections.

We employed WGS as a genotyping assay to sequence the genomes of individual RIL snails instead of using pooled DNA samples from multiple snails with the same phenotype (Poolseq). For each RIL, deep genome sequencing $(\sim16X$ coverage) was conducted on a single RIL snail to represent the corresponding RIL for genetic mapping, as all individuals within the

GO Biological Processes Α

d CelPress OPEN ACCESS

$\mathbf C$ **GO Cellular Components**

E Hierarchical Analysis of Top 20 Pathways

2.9e-03 Path:bgt03022 Basal transcription factors

2.9e-03 GO:0046982 protein heterodimerization activity 1.3e-03 GO:0019438 aromatic compound biosynthetic proc.

1.3e-03 GO:0018130 heterocycle biosynthetic proc.

1.7e-03 GO:0006351 transcription DNA-templated 1.7e-03 GO:0097659 nucleic acid-templated transcription

2.9e-03 GO:0090304 nucleic acid metabolic proc.

3.4e-03 GO:0032991 protein-containing complex

3.4e-03 GO:0003725 double-stranded RNA binding

2.2e-03 GO:0003676 nucleic acid binding

2.9e-03 GO:0003677 DNA binding

1.7e-03 GO:0032774 RNA biosynthetic proc. 2.2e-03 GO:0046483 heterocycle metabolic proc.

1.5e-03 GO:1901362 organic cyclic compound biosynthetic proc.

2.2e-03 GO:0044271 cellular nitrogen compound biosynthetic proc.

2.2e-03 GO:0006725 cellular aromatic compound metabolic proc.

2.2e-03 GO:1901360 organic cyclic compound metabolic proc.

B GO Molecular Functions

iScience Article

D Top 20 Pathways

F Interactive Network of Top 10 GO Enrichments

Figure 7. GO and KEGG pathway analyses

1.6e-04 GO:0005634 nucleus

(A–D) show biological processes, molecular functions, cellular components, and the top 20 pathways, respectively.

(E) The hierarchical clustering tree summarizes the correlation among the top 20 significant pathways. Pathways with many shared genes are clustered together. Larger dots indicate more significant *p* values.

(F) The interactive network plot shows the relationship between the top 10 enriched pathways. Two pathways (nodes) are connected if they share 20% (default) or more genes. Darker nodes represent more significantly enriched gene sets. Larger nodes represent larger gene sets. Thicker edges represent more overlapping genes.

same RIL are nearly genetically identical.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ Although this approach is more costly and labor intensive compared to Poolseq, it provides data that can be used for accurate analyses or re-analyses. As a result, we were able to identify a large number of SNPs (*n* = 7,330,259) and bin markers (*n* = 2,190) across the 46 RIL genomes. The genetic mapping conducted with the current

linkage map, which has denser markers compared to the F2 based linkage map (0.62 cM vs. 1.73 cM), has revealed a smaller QTL size. Subsequent GWAS and QTL analysis both indicated that the snail *B. glabrata* has a \sim 3 Mb BgSRR1 on chromosome 5. This genomic region shows a peak F_{ST} value across chromo-some 5 [\(Figure 3C](#page-6-0)). Linkage mapping analysis of this region

Figure 8. Distribution of QTLs reported on the 18 chromosomes based on the chromosome-level assembled genome of iM line *B. glabrata*
The previously reported QTLs, including OPM-0[42](#page-15-14)3,⁴¹ GRC,⁴² RADres and SOD,^{[43](#page-15-15)} PTC2 star marks the chromosomal location of BgSRR1. The RAPD marker OPZ-11 41 41 41 is not indicated due to its repetitive sequence.

reveals only three recombination points, identifying a large haplotype block spanning approximately 1.16 MB and containing 1,117 SNPs.

BgSRR1 identified by the current RIL-WGS approach agrees with our previous findings using the F2-ddRADseq analysis (i.e., the resistance locus on chromosome 5).^{[45](#page-15-3)} In addition to chromosome 5, SNPs linked to resistance were also detected on chromosomes 4, 12, 15, 16, and 18, despite the limited number of SNPs. These chromosomes, especially chromosomes 12 and 16, deserve attention in future studies. We cannot exclude the possibility that loci on these chromosomes may have an effect or a minor effect on resistance. To compare our current findings with previous reports, we mapped QTLs reported by other labora-tories^{[41–44](#page-15-1)[,46](#page-15-13)} to the 18 chromosomes based on our chromosomelevel assembly of *B. glabrata*. [52](#page-15-4) Surprisingly, the chromosomes containing QTLs identified by other research groups differ from chromosome 5 and from those with a limited number of significant SNPs (i.e., chromosomes 4, 12, 15, 16, and 18) (see [Figure 8\)](#page-12-0). Please note that the chromosome numbers (i.e., the order from 1 to 18) in [Figure 8](#page-12-0) from Zhong et al.⁵² are not exactly the same as the linkage group numbers in [Figure 7](#page-11-0) of the paper published by Bu. et al..^{[45](#page-15-3)} Therefore, the genes identified by other groups, including a cluster of genes encoding transmembrane proteins[,41–44](#page-15-1)[,46](#page-15-13) are not present in our BgSRR1. The reason for the discrepancy between our findings—both previous and current and those reported by others is still unknown.

Although the GO analysis of the protein-coding genes in BgSRR1 was conducted, caution is warranted in interpreting the findings because about one-third of the genes in the region lack homologs with known functions and could not be included

in the GO analysis. Nonetheless, the analysis offers useful insights into the mechanisms of schistosome resistance in snails. Some previously unrecognized pathways may be involved in the defense responses. For example, the enriched GO categories include many genes and pathways related to metabolism. Immunometabolism has recently emerged as a dynamic field in immunology but has not yet been explored in snail immunology or host-parasite interactions.⁶³⁻⁶⁵

Focusing on genes with known functions, we are excited to find that BgSRR1 possesses genes known to be involved in cellular immunity in snails. The presence of the *mitogen-activated protein kinase* (MAPK) gene in BgSRR1 is supported by earlier studies suggesting that MAPK-based signal transduction plays a critical role in hemocyte-mediated encapsulation and H_2O_2 production, leading to the killing of intramolluscan schistosomes.^{[66–68](#page-16-2)} Interestingly, two genes from the *peroxidase* gene family, *glutathione peroxidase* and *peroxidase*, have been identified in BgSRR1. Peroxidases are antioxidative enzymes that scavenge H_2O_2 and inhibit apoptosis.⁶⁹ An earlier study also revealed that a *thioredoxin peroxidase* or *peroxiredoxin* gene was highly expressed in resistant *B. glabrata* snails compared to susceptible ones in response to schistosome infection.⁷⁰ Additionally, BgSRR1 contains a gene encoding the enzyme thiosulfate sulfurtransferase, which may also have antioxidative properties. 71 These findings suggest that BgSRR1 is involved in regulating cell-mediated immunity, particularly in relation to redox balance.

BgSRR1, however, does not contain genes previously reported to play a significant role in humoral immunity in *B. glabrata*, such as *biomphalysin*, [72](#page-16-6) *fibrinogen-related proteins* (*FREPs*),[73–77](#page-16-7) *macrophage migration inhibitory factor* genes,[78](#page-16-8)

and genes encoding proteins associated with the Toll-like re-ceptor pathway.^{[79](#page-16-9)[,80](#page-16-10)} Notably, we have identified other humoral immune genes in BgSRR1, including *apolipophorin*, [81](#page-16-11) *defense proteins*, [82](#page-16-12) *RNA helicase*, [83](#page-16-13) and *E3 ubiquitin protein ligase* genes,^{[84](#page-16-14)} although the roles of these genes in schistosome resistance have not been reported in snails. It is worth mentioning that BgSRR1 contains four *ficolin* genes (only fibrinogen [FBG]) but none of the *FREPs*. Ficolins, which are important players in innate immunity, have been extensively studied in the mosquito-*Plasmodium* model,⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷ but not in the snailschistosome system.

We have observed genes in BgSRR1 that encode enzymes involved in immune cell metabolism. For example, the enzyme *cis*-aconitate decarboxylase, encoded by *immune response gene 1*, produces itaconate, an intermediate metabolite from the tricarboxylic acid cycle in immune cells.^{[88](#page-16-16)} Recent studies have demonstrated that itaconate is an important immunome-tabolite that regulates host defense and inflammation. [89,](#page-16-17)[90](#page-16-18) The potential role of immunometabolism in defense is also suggested in the GO analyses discussed earlier. Future investigations into the functions of these genes in BgSRR1, especially those not yet studied in *B. glabrata*, may reveal unexpected aspects of snail-parasite interactions, provide valuable insights into snail defenses, and help identify key resistant genes.

In conclusion, our approach (RIL-WGS), combined with our RIL genetic resource, powerful genome-wide genotyping, GWAS, and bin marker-assisted QTL analysis, has enabled the identification of the BgSRR1 on chromosome 5 of *B. glabrata*, an important molluscan vector of human schistosomiasis. The identification of BgSRR1 and the genes conferring schistosome resistance has the potential to advance our understanding of host-parasite interactions and facilitate the development of snail-targeted biocontrol strategies for schistosomiasis, a parasitic disease that infects 251 million people worldwide.^{[91](#page-16-19)}

Limitations of the study

While our current findings are robust and supported by a welldeveloped genetic resource, reliable phenotype and genotype data, and multiple genetic analyses, it is important to acknowledge their limitations, which are common in genetic studies. Firstly, the use of RILs limits the ability to capture information regarding dominance due to their high homozygosity.^{[92](#page-16-20)} In fact, our RIL-WGS approach did not detect the presence of a susceptibility-associated QTL under the dominance effect on chromo-some 2.^{[45](#page-15-3)} Secondly, it should be recognized that our findings are based on a well-developed laboratory system. Therefore, further evaluation and testing of our findings in other systems, particularly in field settings, are necessary.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Si-Ming Zhang ([zhangsm@unm.edu\)](mailto:zhangsm@unm.edu).

Materials availability

This study did not generate any new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

- The Illumina sequence data generated from 46 RIL snails have been deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and are publicly available as of the date of publication. Accession numbers are listed in the [key resources table](#page-18-1).
- \bullet This paper does not report original code.
- \bullet Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the [lead contact](#page-13-2) upon request.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) ([https://www.](https://www.nih.gov) [nih.gov\)](https://www.nih.gov) grant R01 AI170587 to S.-M.Z. The funder had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The PR1 strain of *Schistosoma mansoni* was provided by the NIAID Schistosomiasis Resource Center of the Biomedical Research Institute (Rockville, MD, USA) through NIH-NIAID Contract HHSN272201700014I.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.-M.Z.: conceptualization, breeding of RIL snails and testing of their phenotypes, DNA extraction and quality evaluation, investigation, writing – original draft, and writing – review and editing; G.Y.: investigation and writing – review and editing; A.L.: writing – review and editing; D.Z.: data analysis, investigation, writing – original draft, and writing – review and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

STAR+METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **[KEY RESOURCES TABLE](#page-18-1)**
- **[EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS](#page-18-2)**
- \circ Snails and schistosomes **e** [METHOD DETAILS](#page-19-0)
	- \circ Exposure of schistosome miracidia to snails
	- \circ Determination of schistosome resistance phenotype
	- \circ DNA extraction
	- \circ Library preparation and WGS
	- \circ Trimming, mapping reads, and SNP calling
	- o Genome-wide SNP genotyping and GWAS
	- \circ Genetic bin marker calling
	- \circ Construction of the linkage map and QTL analysis
	- \circ Analysis of protein-coding genes in the QTL region
- **.** [QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS](#page-20-0)

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.111520) [2024.111520](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.111520).

Received: August 5, 2024 Revised: October 15, 2024 Accepted: November 29, 2024 Published: December 2, 2024

REFERENCES

1. Hotez, P.J., and Kamath, A. (2009). Neglected tropical diseases in sub-Saharan Africa: review of their prevalence, distribution, and disease burden. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. *3*, e412. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000412) [pntd.0000412.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000412)

- 2. Conteh, L., Engels, T., and Molyneux, D.H. (2010). Socioeconomic aspects of neglected tropical diseases. Lancet *375*, 239–247. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61422-7) [org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)61422-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61422-7).
- 3. Mitra, A.K., and Mawson, A.R. (2017). Neglected tropical diseases: epidemiology and global burden. Trop. Med. Infect. Dis. *2*, 36. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed2030036) [doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed2030036.](https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed2030036)
- 4. Boissier, J., Moné, H., Mitta, G., Bargues, M.D., Molyneux, D., and Mas-Coma, S. (2015). Schistosomiasis reaches Europe. Lancet Infect. Dis. *15*, 757–758. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(15\)00084-5.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)00084-5)
- 5. van der Deure, T., Maes, T., Huyse, T., and Stensgaard, A.S. (2024). Climate change could fuel urinary schistosomiasis transmission in Africa and Europe. Glob. Chang. Biol. *30*, e17434. [https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17434) [17434](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17434).
- 6. LoVerde, P.T. (2019). Schistosomiasis. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. *1154*, 45–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-18616-6_3.
- 7. Patel, P., Rose, C.E., Kjetland, E.F., Downs, J.A., Mbabazi, P.S., Sabin, K., Chege, W., Watts, D.H., and Secor, W.E. (2021). Association of schistosomiasis and HIV infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int. J. Infect. Dis. *102*, 544–553. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.088) [10.088](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.088).
- 8. Mbanefo, E.C., Fu, C.L., Ho, C.P., Le, L., Ishida, K., Hammam, O., and Hsieh, M.H. (2020). Interleukin-4 signaling plays a major role in urogenital schistosomiasis-associated bladder pathogenesis. Infect. Immun. *88*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00669-19>.
- 9. Seubert, J., Pohlke, R., and Loebich, F. (1977). Synthesis and properties of praziquantel, a novel broad spectrum anthelmintic with excellent activity against schistosomes and cestodes. Experientia *33*, 1036–1037. [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01945954.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01945954)
- 10. Doenhoff, M., Kimani, G., and Cioli, D. (2000). Praziquantel and the control of schistosomiasis. Parasitol. Today *16*, 364–366. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-4758(00)01749-x) [1016/s0169-4758\(00\)01749-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-4758(00)01749-x).
- 11. Vale, N., Gouveia, M.J., Rinaldi, G., Brindley, P.J., Gärtner, F., and Correia da Costa, J.M. (2017). Praziquantel for schistosomiasis: Singledrug metabolism revisited, mode of action, and resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. *61*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02582-16>.
- 12. Doenhoff, M.J., Kusel, J.R., Coles, G.C., and Cioli, D. (2002). Resistance of *Schistosoma mansoni* to praziquantel: is there a problem? Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. *96*, 465–469. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0035-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0035-9203(02)90405-0) [9203\(02\)90405-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0035-9203(02)90405-0).
- 13. Summers, S., Bhattacharyya, T., Allan, F., Stothard, J.R., Edielu, A., Webster, B.L., Miles, M.A., and Bustinduy, A.L. (2022). A review of the genetic determinants of praziquantel resistance in *Schistosoma mansoni*: Is praziquantel and intestinal schistosomiasis a perfect match? Front. Trop. Dis. *3*, 933097. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fitd.2022.933097>.
- 14. King, C.H., and Bertsch, D. (2015). Historical perspective: snail control to prevent schistosomiasis. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. *9*, e0003657. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003657) [org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003657.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003657)
- 15. Muhsin, M.A., Wang, X., Kabole, F.M., Zilabumba, J., and Yang, K. (2022). The indispensability of snail control for accelerating schistosomiasis elimination: evidence from Zanzibar. Trop. Med. Infect. Dis. *7*, 347. <https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed7110347>.
- 16. Andrews, P., Thyssen, J., and Lorke, D. (1982). The biology and toxicology of molluscicides, bayluscide. Pharmacol. Ther. *19*, 245–295. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-7258\(82\)90064-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-7258(82)90064-x).
- 17. Zhu, B., He, W., Yang, F., and Chen, L. (2020). High-throughput transcriptome sequencing reveals the developmental toxicity mechanisms of niclosamide in zebrafish embryo. Chemosphere *244*, 125468. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125468) [org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125468.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125468)
- 18. Marques, D.P.d.A., Rosa, F.M., Maciel, E., Negrão-Corrêa, D., Teles, H.M.S., Caldeira, R.L., Jannotti-Passos, L.K., and Coelho, P.M.Z. (2014). Reduced susceptibility of a *Biomphalaria tenagophila* population to *Schistosoma mansoni* after introducing the resistant Taim/RS strain of

B. tenagophila into Herivelton Martins stream. PLoS One *9*, e99573. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099573.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099573)

- 19. Newton, W.L. (1953). The inheritance of susceptibility to infection with *Schistosoma mansoni* in *Australorbis glabratus*. Exp. Parasitol. *2*, 242–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4894\(53\)90036-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4894(53)90036-8).
- 20. Pila, E.A., Li, H., Hambrook, J.R., Wu, X., and Hanington, P.C. (2017). Schistosomiasis from a snail's perspective: advances in snail immunity. Trends Parasitol. *33*, 845–857. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2017.07.006>.
- 21. Mendes, T.M.F., Carrilho, E., Afonso, A.J.P.F.S., Galinaro, C.A., Cabral, F.J., and Allegretti, S.M. (2019). Proteomic, metabolic and immunological changes in *Biomphalaria glabrata* infected with *Schistosoma mansoni*. Int. J. Parasitol. *49*, 1049–1060. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.08.001) [08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.08.001).
- 22. Li, H., Gharamah, A.A., Hambrook, J.R., Wu, X., and Hanington, P.C. (2022). Single-cell RNA-seq profiling of individual *Biomphalaria glabrata* immune cells with a focus on immunologically relevant transcripts. Immunogenetics *74*, 77–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00251-021-01236-3>.
- 23. Lu, L., Bu, L., Zhang, S.-M., Buddenborg, S.K., and Loker, E.S. (2021). An overview of transcriptional responses of schistosome-susceptible (M line) or -resistant (BS-90) *Biomphalaria glabrata* exposed or not to *Schistosoma mansoni* Infection. Front. Immunol. *12*, 805882. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.805882) [10.3389/fimmu.2021.805882](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.805882).
- 24. Lu, L., Bu, L., Laidemitt, M.R., Zhang, S.-M., and Loker, E.S. (2024). Different metazoan parasites, different transcriptomic responses, with new insights on parasitic castration by digenetic trematodes in the schistosome vector snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*. BMC Genom. *25*, 608. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-024-10454-4.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-024-10454-4)
- 25. Marquez, J., Dinguirard, N., Gonzalez, A., Kane, A.E., Joffe, N.R., Yoshino, T.P., and Castillo, M.G. (2022). Molecular characterization of thioester-containing proteins in *Biomphalaria glabrata* and their differential gene expression upon *Schistosoma mansoni* exposure. Front. Immunol. *13*, 903158. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.903158.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.903158)
- 26. Abou-El-Naga, I.F., and Mogahed, N.M.F.H. (2024). Immuno-molecular profile for *Biomphalaria glabrata/Schistosoma mansoni* interaction. Dev. Comp. Immunol. *150*, 105083. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2023.105083) [105083.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2023.105083)
- 27. Habib, M.R., Bu, L., Posavi, M., Zhong, D., Yan, G., and Zhang, S.-M. (2024). Yolk proteins of the schistosomiasis vector snail *Biomphalaria glabrata* revealed by multi-omics analysis. Sci. Rep. *14*, 1820. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-52392-x) [doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-52392-x.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-52392-x)
- 28. Simphor, E., Rognon, A., Vignal, E., Henry, S., Allienne, J.F., Turtoi, A., Chaparro, C., Galinier, R., Duval, D., and Gourbal, B. (2024). Combining a transcriptomic approach and a targeted metabolomics approach for deciphering the molecular bases of compatibility phenotype in the snail *Biomphalaria glabrata* toward *Schistosoma mansoni*. Acta Trop. *255*, 107212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2024.107212>.
- 29. Habib, M.R., Posavi, M., Lekired, A., and Zhang, S.-M. (2024). Exploring the genome-wide transcriptomic responses of *Bulinus truncatus* to *Schistosoma haematobium* infection: An important host-parasite system involved in the transmission of human urogenital schistosomiasis. Mol. Immunol. *175*, 74–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2024.09.006>.
- 30. Wells, C.A., Ravasi, T., Faulkner, G.J., Carninci, P., Okazaki, Y., Hayashizaki, Y., Sweet, M., Wainwright, B.J., and Hume, D.A. (2003). Genetic control of the innate immune response. BMC Immunol. *4*, 5. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2172-4-5) doi.org/10.1186/1471-2172-4-5.
- 31. Medina-Acosta, E., Nakaya, H.T.I., Pontillo, A., and de Souza Campos Fernandes, R.C. (2014). Genetic control of immune response and susceptibility to infectious diseases. BioMed Res. Int. *2014*, 796073. [https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/796073.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/796073)
- 32. Richards, C.S. (1970). Genetics of a molluscan vector of schistosomiasis. Nature *227*, 806–810. [https://doi.org/10.1038/227806a0.](https://doi.org/10.1038/227806a0)

- 33. [Richards, C.S., and Shade, P.C. \(1987\). The genetic variation of compat](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref33)ibility in *Biomphalaria glabrata* and *[Schistosoma mansoni](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref33)*. J. Parasitol. *73*[, 1146–1151.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref33)
- 34. Richards, C.S., Knight, M., and Lewis, F.A. (1992). Genetics of *Biomphalaria glabrata* and its effect on the outcome of *Schistosoma mansoni* infection. Parasitol. Today *8*, 171–174. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758(92)90015-t) [4758\(92\)90015-t](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-4758(92)90015-t).
- 35. Lewis, F.A., Patterson, C.N., and Grzywacz, C. (2003). Parasite-susceptibility phenotypes of F1 *Biomphalaria glabrata* progeny derived from interbreeding *Schistosoma mansoni*-resistant and susceptible snails. Parasitol. Res. *89*, 98–101. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-002-0730-4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-002-0730-4)
- 36. Knight, M., Arican-Goktas, H.D., Ittiprasert, W., Odoemelam, E.C., Miller, A.N., and Bridger, J.M. (2014). Schistosomes and snails: a molecular encounter. Front. Genet. *5*, 230. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00230) [00230.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00230)
- 37. Carballar-Lejarazú, R., Dong, Y., Pham, T.B., Tushar, T., Corder, R.M., Mondal, A., Sánchez C, H.M., Lee, H.F., Marshall, J.M., Dimopoulos, G., and James, A.A. (2023). Dual effector population modification gene-drive strains of the African malaria mosquitoes, *Anopheles gambiae* and *Anopheles coluzzii*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *120*, e2221118120. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2221118120.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2221118120)
- 38. Abkallo, H.M., Arbuthnot, P., Auer, T.O., Berger, D.K., Burger, J., Chakauya, E., Concordet, J.P., Diabate, A., Di Donato, V., Groenewald, J.H., et al. (2024). Making genome editing a success story in Africa. Nat. Biotechnol. *42*, 551–554. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-024-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-024-02187-2) [02187-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-024-02187-2).
- 39. Anderson, M.A.E., Gonzalez, E., Edgington, M.P., Ang, J.X.D., Purusothaman, D.K., Shackleford, L., Nevard, K., Verkuijl, S.A.N., Harvey-Samuel, T., Leftwich, P.T., et al. (2024). A multiplexed, confinable CRISPR/Cas9 gene drive can propagate in caged *Aedes aegypti* populations. Nat. Commun. *15*, 729. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-44956-2) [44956-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-44956-2).
- 40. Kefi, M., Cardoso-Jaime, V., Saab, S.A., and Dimopoulos, G. (2024). Curing mosquitoes with genetic approaches for malaria control. Trends Parasitol. *40*, 487–499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2024.04.010>.
- 41. Knight, M., Miller, A.N., Patterson, C.N., Rowe, C.G., Michaels, G., Carr, D., Richards, C.S., and Lewis, F.A. (1999). The identification of markers segregating with resistance to *Schistosoma mansoni* infection in the snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *96*, 1510–1515. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.4.1510.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.4.1510)
- 42. Tennessen, J.A., Théron, A., Marine, M., Yeh, J.Y., Rognon, A., and Blouin, M.S. (2015). Hyperdiverse gene cluster in snail host conveys resistance to human schistosome parasites. PLoS Genet. *11*, e1005067. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005067.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005067)
- 43. Tennessen, J.A., Bonner, K.M., Bollmann, S.R., Johnstun, J.A., Yeh, J.Y., Marine, M., Tavalire, H.F., Bayne, C.J., and Blouin, M.S. (2015). Genomewide scan and test of candidate genes in the snail *Biomphalaria glabrata* reveal new locus influencing resistance to *Schistosoma mansoni*. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. *9*, e0004077. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004077) [0004077](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004077).
- 44. Tennessen, J.A., Bollmann, S.R., Peremyslova, E., Kronmiller, B.A., Sergi, C., Hamali, B., and Blouin, M.S. (2020). Clusters of polymorphic transmembrane genes control resistance to schistosomes in snail vectors. Elife *9*, e59395. <https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.59395>.
- 45. Bu, L., Zhong, D., Lu, L., Loker, E.S., Yan, G., and Zhang, S.-M. (2022). Compatibility between snails and schistosomes: insights from new genetic resources, comparative genomics, and genetic mapping. Commun. Biol. *5*, 940. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03844-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03844-5)
- 46. Blouin, M.S., Bollmann, S.R., Le Clec'h, W., Chevalier, F.D., Anderson, T.J.C., and Tennessen, J.A. (2024). Susceptibility of BS90 *Biomphalaria glabrata* snails to infection by SmLE *Schistosoma mansoni* segregates as a dominant allele in a cluster of polymorphic genes for single-pass transmembrane proteins. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. *18*, e0012474. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0012474) doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0012474.

47. Williams, R.W., Gu, J., Qi, S., and Lu, L. (2001). The genetic structure of recombinant inbred mice: high-resolution consensus maps for complex trait analysis. Genome Biol. *2*, 1. [https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2001-2-](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2001-2-11-research0046) [11-research0046](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2001-2-11-research0046).

iScience Article

- 48. Martin, O.C., and Hospital, F. (2011). Distribution of parental genome blocks in recombinant inbred lines. Genetics *189*, 645–654. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.129700) [org/10.1534/genetics.111.129700.](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.129700)
- 49. Takuno, S., Terauchi, R., and Innan, H. (2012). The power of QTL mapping with RILs. PLoS One *7*, e46545. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046545) [pone.0046545](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046545).
- 50. Milczarski, P., Stojałowski, S., and Myśków, B. (2024). QTL mapping using RIL population. Methods Mol. Biol. *2787*, 169–181. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-3778-4_11) [1007/978-1-0716-3778-4_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-3778-4_11).
- 51. Pollard, D.A. (2012). Design and construction of recombinant inbred lines. Methods Mol. Biol. *871*, 31–39. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-785-9_3) [61779-785-9_3.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-785-9_3)
- 52. Zhong, D., Bu, L., Habib, M.R., Lu, L., Yan, G., and Zhang, S.-M. (2024). A haplotype-like, chromosome-level assembled and annotated genome of *Biomphalaria glabrata*, an important intermediate host of schistosomiasis and the best studied model of schistosomiasis vector snails. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. *18*, e0011983. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0011983>.
- 53. [Friedl, H., and Stampfer, E. \(2014\). Jackknife Resampling. In Encyclo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref53)pedia of Environmetrics, *2*[, A.H. El-Shaarawi and W.W. Piegorsch, eds.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref53) [\(John Wiley & Sons. Ltd\), pp. 1089–1098.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref53)
- 54. Agarwal, G., Clevenger, J., Kale, S.M., Wang, H., Pandey, M.K., Choudhary, D., Yuan, M., Wang, X., Culbreath, A.K., Holbrook, C.C., et al. (2019). A recombination bin-map identified a major QTL for resistance to tomato spotted wilt virus in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). Sci. Rep. *9*, 18246. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54747-1>.
- 55. Liu, T., He, J., Dong, K., Wang, X., Wang, W., Yang, P., Ren, R., Zhang, L., Zhang, Z., Yang, T., et al. (2020). QTL mapping of yield component traits on bin map generated from resequencing a RIL population of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*). BMC Genom. *21*, 141. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-6553-9) [020-6553-9.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-6553-9)
- 56. Zheng, L., Cornel, A.J., Wang, R., Erfle, H., Voss, H., Ansorge, W., Kafatos, F.C., and Collins, F.H. (1997). Quantitative trait loci for refractoriness of *Anopheles gambiae* to *Plasmodium cynomolgi* B. Science *276*, 425–428. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5311.425.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5311.425)
- 57. Niaré, O., Markianos, K., Volz, J., Oduol, F., Touré, A., Bagayoko, M., Sangaré, D., Traoré, S.F., Wang, R., Blass, C., et al. (2002). Genetic loci affecting resistance to human malaria parasites in a West African mosquito vector population. Science *298*, 213–216. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1073420) [1126/science.1073420](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1073420).
- 58. Riehle, M.M., Markianos, K., Niaré, O., Xu, J., Li, J., Touré, A.M., Podiougou, B., Oduol, F., Diawara, S., Diallo, M., et al. (2006). Natural malaria infection in *Anopheles gambiae* is regulated by a single genomic control region. Science *312*, 577–579. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1124153) [1124153](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1124153).
- 59. Blandin, S.A., Wang-Sattler, R., Lamacchia, M., Gagneur, J., Lycett, G., Ning, Y., Levashina, E.A., and Steinmetz, L.M. (2009). Dissecting the genetic basis of resistance to malaria parasites in *Anopheles gambiae*. Science *326*, 147–150. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1175241.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1175241)
- 60. Collins, F.H., Sakai, R.K., Vernick, K.D., Paskewitz, S., Seeley, D.C., Miller, L.H., Collins, W.E., Campbell, C.C., and Gwadz, R.W. (1986). Genetic selection of a *Plasmodium* -refractory strain of the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. Science *234*, 607–610. [https://doi.org/10.1126/sci](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3532325)[ence.3532325.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3532325)
- 61. Jadhav, Y., Manohar, S.S., Sunkad, G., Kannalli, V.P., Pandey, M.K., Variath, M.T., Yaduru, S., Kona, P., Varshney, R.K., and Pasupuleti, J. (2019). Genomic regions associated with resistance to peanut bud necrosis disease (PBND) in a recombinant inbred line (RIL) population. Plant Breed. *138*, 748–760. [https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12743.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbr.12743)

- 62. Hamburger, J., Hoffman, O., Kariuki, H.C., Muchiri, E.M., Ouma, J.H., Koech, D.K., Sturrock, R.F., and King, C.H. (2004). Large-scale, polymerase chain reaction–based surveillance of *Schistosoma haematobium* DNA in snails from transmission sites in coastal Kenya: a new tool for studying the dynamics of snail infection. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. *71*, 765–773. <https://doi.org/10.4269/AJTMH.2004.71.765>.
- 63. Loftus, R.M., and Finlay, D.K. (2016). Immunometabolism: Cellular metabolism turns immune regulator. J. Biol. Chem. *291*, 1–10. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.r115.693903) [10.1074/jbc.r115.693903.](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.r115.693903)
- 64. Jung, J., Zeng, H., and Horng, T. (2019). Metabolism as a guiding force for immunity. Nat. Cell Biol. *21*, 85–93. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0217-x) [018-0217-x.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0217-x)
- 65. Chi, H. (2022). Immunometabolism at the intersection of metabolic signaling, cell fate, and systems immunology. Cell. Mol. Immunol. *19*, 299–302. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-022-00840-x.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-022-00840-x)
- 66. Humphries, J.E., Elizondo, L., and Yoshino, T.P. (2001). Protein kinase C regulation of cell spreading in the molluscan *Biomphalaria glabrata* embryonic (Bge) cell line. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1540*, 243–252. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-4889(01)00136-7) [doi.org/10.1016/s0167-4889\(01\)00136-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-4889(01)00136-7)
- 67. Humphries, J.E., and Yoshino, T.P. (2006). *Schistosoma mansoni* excretory–secretory products stimulate a p38 signalling pathway in *Biomphalaria glabrata* embryonic cells. Int. J. Parasitol. *36*, 37–46. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.08.009) [doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.08.009.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.08.009)
- 68. Zelck, U.E., Gege, B.E., and Schmid, S. (2007). Specific inhibitors of mitogen-activated protein kinase and PI3-K pathways impair immune responses by hemocytes of trematode intermediate host snails. Dev. Comp. Immunol. *31*, 321–331. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2006.06.006) [06.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2006.06.006)
- 69. Kumar, S., Molina-Cruz, A., Gupta, L., Rodrigues, J., and Barillas-Mury, C. (2010). A peroxidase/dual oxidase system modulates midgut epithelial immunity in *Anopheles gambiae*. Science *327*, 1644–1648. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1184008) [org/10.1126/science.1184008.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1184008)
- 70. Knight, M., Raghavan, N., Goodall, C., Cousin, C., Ittiprasert, W., Sayed, A., Miller, A., Williams, D.L., and Bayne, C.J. (2009). *Biomphalaria glabrata* peroxiredoxin: effect of *Schistosoma mansoni* infection on differential gene regulation. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. *167*, 20–31. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2009.04.002) [10.1016/j.molbiopara.2009.04.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2009.04.002).
- 71. Ge, S., Gao, J., Chang, D., He, T., Cai, H., Wang, M., Li, C., Luo, Z., Yang, E., Meng, J., and Gao, M. (2023). Biochar contributes to resistance against root rot disease by stimulating soil polyphenol oxidase. Biochar *5*, 55. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s42773-023-00257-3.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42773-023-00257-3)
- 72. Galinier, R., Portela, J., Moné, Y., Allienne, J.F., Henri, H., Delbecq, S., Mitta, G., Gourbal, B., and Duval, D. (2013). Biomphalysin, a new β pore-forming toxin involved in *Biomphalaria glabrata* immune defense against *Schistosoma mansoni*. PLoS Pathog. *9*, e1003216. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003216) [org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003216.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003216)
- 73. Moné, Y., Gourbal, B., Duval, D., Du Pasquier, L., Kieffer-Jaquinod, S., and Mitta, G. (2010). A large repertoire of parasite epitopes matched by a large repertoire of host immune receptors in an invertebrate host/ parasite model. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. *4*, e813. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000813) [journal.pntd.0000813.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000813)
- 74. Hanington, P.C., Forys, M.A., Dragoo, J.W., Zhang, S.-M., Adema, C.M., and Loker, E.S. (2010). Role for a somatically diversified lectin in resistance of an invertebrate to parasite infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *107*, 21087–21092. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011242107>.
- 75. Hanington, P.C., Forys, M.A., and Loker, E.S. (2012). A somatically diversified defense factor, *FREP3*, is a determinant of snail resistance to schistosome infection. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. *6*, e1591. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001591) [1371/journal.pntd.0001591.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001591)
- 76. Portet, A., Pinaud, S., Tetreau, G., Galinier, R., Cosseau, C., Duval, D., Grunau, C., Mitta, G., and Gourbal, B. (2017). Integrated multi-omic analyses in *Biomphalaria-Schistosoma* dialogue reveal the immunobiological significance of FREP-SmPoMuc interaction. Dev. Comp. Immunol. *75*, 16–27. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2017.02.025.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2017.02.025)

- 77. Li, H., Hambrook, J.R., Pila, E.A., Gharamah, A.A., Fang, J., Wu, X., and Hanington, P. (2020). Coordination of humoral immune factors dictates compatibility between *Schistosoma mansoni* and *Biomphalaria glabrata*. Elife *9*, e51708. [https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.51708.](https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.51708)
- 78. Baeza Garcia, A., Pierce, R.J., Gourbal, B., Werkmeister, E., Colinet, D., Reichhart, J.M., Dissous, C., and Coustau, C. (2010). Involvement of the cytokine MIF in the snail host immune response to the parasite *Schistosoma mansoni*. PLoS Pathog. *6*, e1001115. [https://doi.org/10.1371/jour](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001115)[nal.ppat.1001115](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1001115).
- 79. Zhang, S.-M., and Coultas, K.A. (2011). Identification and characterization of five transcription factors that are associated with evolutionarily conserved immune signaling pathways in the schistosome-transmitting snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*. Mol. Immunol. *48*, 1868–1881. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2011.05.017) [org/10.1016/j.molimm.2011.05.017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2011.05.017)
- 80. Pila, E.A., Tarrabain, M., Kabore, A.L., and Hanington, P.C. (2016). A novel Toll-like receptor (TLR) influences compatibility between the gastropod *Biomphalaria glabrata*, and the digenean trematode *Schistosoma mansoni*. PLoS Pathog. *12*, e1005513. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005513) [journal.ppat.1005513.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005513)
- 81. Whitten, M.M.A., Tew, I.F., Lee, B.L., and Ratcliffe, N.A. (2004). A novel role for an insect apolipoprotein (apolipophorin III) in beta-1,3-glucan pattern recognition and cellular encapsulation reactions. J. Immunol. *172*, 2177–2185. [https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.172.4.2177.](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.172.4.2177)
- 82. Zhang, M.L., Zhou, K.-M., and Wang, X.-W. (2023). Identification and characterization of a Reeler domain containing protein in *Procambarus clarkii* provides new insights into antibacterial immunity in crustacean. Fish Shellfish Immunol. Rep. *4*, 100094. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsirep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsirep.2023.100094) [2023.100094](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsirep.2023.100094).
- 83. Steimer, L., and Klostermeier, D. (2012). RNA helicases in infection and disease. RNA Biol. *9*, 751–771. [https://doi.org/10.4161/rna.20090.](https://doi.org/10.4161/rna.20090)
- 84. Liu, Y.-C. (2004). Ubiquitin ligases and the immune response. Annu. Rev. Immunol. *22*, 81–127. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104813) [012703.104813](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104813).
- 85. Li, J., Wang, X., Zhang, G., Githure, J.I., Yan, G., and James, A.A. (2013). Genome-block expression-assisted association studies discover malaria resistance genes in *Anopheles gambiae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *110*, 20675–20680. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1321024110>.
- 86. [Niu, G., Zhang, G., Franca, C., Cui, Y., Munga, S., Afrane, Y., and Li, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref86) (2017). FBN30 in wild *Anopheles gambiae* [functions as a pathogen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref86) [recognition molecule against clinically circulating](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref86) *Plasmodium falciparum* [in malaria endemic areas in Kenya. Sci. Rep.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2589-0042(24)02747-0/sref86) *7*, 8577.
- 87. Dong, Y., Simões, M.L., Marois, E., and Dimopoulos, G. (2018). CRISPR/ Cas9 -mediated gene knockout of *Anopheles gambiae FREP1* suppresses malaria parasite infection. PLoS Pathog. *14*, e1006898. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09017-3) doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09017-3.
- 88. Michelucci, A., Cordes, T., Ghelfi, J., Pailot, A., Reiling, N., Goldmann, O., Binz, T., Wegner, A., Tallam, A., Rausell, A., et al. (2013). Immuneresponsive gene 1 protein links metabolism to immunity by catalyzing itaconic acid production. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *110*, 7820–7825. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218599110.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218599110)
- 89. Peace, C.G., and O'Neill, L.A. (2022). The role of itaconate in host defense and inflammation. J. Clin. Invest. *132*, e148548. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci148548) [10.1172/jci148548](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci148548).
- 90. Bernardi, J.P., Nappi, T.J., and Butler, N.S. (2024). Itaconate as a potential target for antimalarial therapy. Trends Parasitol. *40*, 275–277. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2024.03.003) [doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2024.03.003.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2024.03.003)
- 91. Gaye, P.M., Doucouré, S., Sow, D., Sokhna, C., and Ranque, S. (2024). Freshwater snail-borne parasitic diseases in Africa. Trop. Med. Health *52*, 61. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-024-00632-1.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-024-00632-1)
- 92. Ellis, N., Hofer, J., Sizer-Coverdale, E., Lloyd, D., Aubert, G., Kreplak, J., Burstin, J., Cheema, J., Bal, M., Chen, Y., et al. (2023). Recombinant inbred lines derived from wide crosses in Pisum. Sci. Rep. *13*, 20408. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-47329-9.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-47329-9)

- 93. Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics *30*, 2114–2120. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170)
- 94. Danecek, P., Bonfield, J.K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M.O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S.A., Davies, R.M., and Li, H. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. GigaScience *10*, giab008. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008>.
- 95. Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C.A., Banks, E., DePristo, M.A., Handsaker, R.E., Lunter, G., Marth, G.T., Sherry, S.T., et al. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics *27*, 2156– 2158. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330)
- 96. Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A.R., Bender, D., Maller, J., Sklar, P., de Bakker, P.I.W., Daly, M.J., and Sham, P.C. (2007). PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *81*, 559–575. <https://doi.org/10.1086/519795>.
- 97. Qin, M.-F., Li, L.T., Singh, J., Sun, M.Y., Bai, B., Li, S.W., Ni, J.P., Zhang, J.Y., Zhang, X., Wei, W.L., et al. (2022). Construction of a high-density

bin-map and identification of fruit quality-related quantitative trait loci and functional genes in pear. Hortic. Res. *9*, uhac141. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhac141) [10.1093/hr/uhac141](https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhac141).

- 98. Meng, L., Li, H., Zhang, L., and Wang, J. (2015). QTL IciMapping: Integrated software for genetic linkage map construction and quantitative trait locus mapping in biparental populations. Crop J. *3*, 269–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2015.01.001>.
- 99. Ge, S.X., Jung, D., and Yao, R. (2020). ShinyGO: a graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals and plants. Bioinformatics *36*, 2628–2629. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz931>.
- 100. Winnepenninckx, B., Backeljau, T., and De Wachter, R. (1993). Extraction of high molecular weight DNA from molluscs. Trends Genet. *9*, 407. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9525\(93\)90102-n](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9525(93)90102-n).
- 101. Xu, C., Tachmazidou, I., Walter, K., Ciampi, A., Zeggini, E., and Greenwood, C.M.T.; UK10K Consortium (2014). Estimating genome-wide significance for whole-genome sequencing studies. Genet. Epidemiol. *38*, 281–290. [https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.21797.](https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.21797)

STAR★METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY PARTICIPANT DETAILS

Snails and schistosomes

The snail *Biomphalaria glabrata*, a major intermediate host of human schistosomiasis in Neotropical countries, was used for this study. The efforts to generate *B. glabrata* recombinant inbred lines (RILs) are described in the [results](#page-3-0) section. Breeding, cultivation, and storage of the RIL snails were conducted at the Center for Evolutionary and Theoretical Immunology (CETI), University of New Mexico (UNM), United States. The generation of recombinant inbred (RI) lines (RILs) is also described in the [results](#page-3-0) section. The PR1 strain of *Schistosoma mansoni* used to infect the snails was collected from the Biomedical Research Institute in Maryland, USA [\(https://www.afbr-bri.org](https://www.afbr-bri.org)).

METHOD DETAILS

Exposure of schistosome miracidia to snails

To determine the phenotype of the RI lines, 6–8 juvenile snails (0.3–0.6 mm shell diameter) were randomly chosen from each RI line for infection. The snails were individually placed into the wells of a 24-well cell culture plate (one snail per well) and 20 schistosome miracidia were added to each well. The snails were fully submerged in water overnight to ensure complete exposure to the miracidia. Afterward, the exposed snails were transferred to large tanks for continued culturing until cercarial shedding was performed.

Determination of schistosome resistance phenotype

Examination of the phenotype began at 45 days post-exposure (dpe). The exposed snails were placed individually in the wells of a 24-well plate and exposed to light for 0.5 h (hr). Snails that shed cercariae were considered susceptible to schistosomes, while those that did not shed cercariae were transferred to the aquatic tank and cultured for later examination of shedding. If a snail did not shed cercariae at 60 dpe, it was classified as a resistant snail. This procedure allowed us to determine the phenotype of each RI line. Once the phenotype was determined, the remaining snails from each RI line (those not exposed to schistosomes) were preserved in liquid nitrogen for DNA extraction. Only the RI lines that exhibited the same phenotype in all tested individuals were selected for genetic mapping.

DNA extraction

A single snail thawed from liquid nitrogen was placed into a 1.5 mL tube and ground in 750 μ L of CTAB buffer.^{[100](#page-17-7)} After homogenization, 20 µL of proteinase K (20 µg/µL) was added to the homogenate and incubated at 60°C for 1 h (hr). Next, 750 µL of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24 : 1) was added and rocked for 0.5 h. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to a new tube. To degrade and remove RNA, 10 μ L of RNase (10 μ g/ μ L) was added to the new tube and incubated at 37°C for 0.5 h. An equal volume (750 µL) of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol was added to the solution and rocked at room temperature for 10 min (min). Genomic DNA was precipitated using isopropyl alcohol, washed with 70% ethanol, and dissolved in nuclease-free water.

Library preparation and WGS

The genomic DNA was qualified and quantified using agarose gel electrophoresis (1%) and the Qubit 2.0 DNA HS Assay (ThermoFisher), respectively. For library preparation, the KAPA Hyper Prep kit (Roche) was used. In brief, the genomic DNA was sheared into 500 bp fragments using the Covaris LE220-plus. After ligating the adapters, the fragments were amplified by PCR. The quantity and quality of the libraries were assessed using the Qubit 2.0 DNA HS Assay, the Tapestation High Sensitivity D1000 Assay (Agilent Technologies), and the QuantStudio 5 System (Applied Biosystems). Finally, the libraries were sequenced using an Illumina NovaSeq S4.

Trimming, mapping reads, and SNP calling

The raw Illumina reads for the two parental lines (iM line and iBS90) were retrieved from GenBank (accession number: SRR16289947 for the iM line and SRR16289905 for the iBS90).⁴⁵ It is important to note that all Illumina data, including those from the two parental snails and the RIL snails were generated from the same Illumina platform (Admera Health; [www.admerahealth.com\)](http://www.admerahealth.com) and the same quality control was applied to all samples. All raw reads were trimmed and cleaned using Trimmomatic v0.39^{[93](#page-17-0)} with the following parameters: 'ILLUMINACLIP: TruSeq3-PE-2.fa: 2:30:10 HEADCROP:7 LEADING: 25 TRAILING: 25 SLIDINGWINDOW: 4:25 MIN-LEN: 36'. The clean reads from each RIL sample were individually aligned to the reference genome of *B. glabrata* (GenBank assembly GCA_025434175.2)^{[52](#page-15-4)} using the Map Reads to Reference tool in the QIAGEN CLC Genomics Workbench 23 (Qiagen Genomics, Denmark) with the default parameters. SNP calling for the iM line and iBS90 was conducted according to the methods described previously.^{[45](#page-15-3)} The Identify Known Mutations from Mappings tool of the CLC workbench was used to genotype the RILs individually at SNP loci that were polymorphic in the two parental snails. Subsequently, the SNPs identified from the CLC were exported individ-ually to VCF files and then merged into a single VCF file using bcftools.^{[94](#page-17-1)} Finally, the resulting VCF file was filtered using vcftools^{[95](#page-17-2)} with the following parameters: '–minDP 5; –maxDP 100; –maf 0.1; –max-missing 0.8'.

Genome-wide SNP genotyping and GWAS

Single SNP genotype and phenotype association analyses were conducted using PLINK software.^{[96](#page-17-3)} To identify significant associations, we applied the widely accepted threshold of $p < 5 \times 10^{-8,101}$ $p < 5 \times 10^{-8,101}$ $p < 5 \times 10^{-8,101}$ which is derived from a Bonferroni correction for all independent SNPs in the genome. To measure the divergence between susceptible and resistant populations, we calculated the fixation index (F_{ST}) using VCF tools. We used a sliding window of 10 kb, with an increment of 5 kb, to perform this calculation. Significant high F_{ST} outliers were identified based on the 95th quantile from the genome-wide distribution and jackknife procedure. Outlier analysis was conducted using the Jackknife Distances in SAS JMP 14. This involved calculating pairwise distances between data points, resampling the data by removing one point at a time, and analyzing the variance of the resulting distances. Points with unusually high variance were flagged as potential outliers and further validated.

Genetic bin marker calling

To identify genomic intervals in a mapping population with no recombination events, we used the Binmarker-v2.3 tool ([https://github.](https://github.com/lileiting/Binmarkers-v2) [com/lileiting/Binmarkers-v2\)](https://github.com/lileiting/Binmarkers-v2).^{[97](#page-17-4)} This tool employs a sliding window approach of 10 kb to generate genetic bin markers. Missed genotypes were imputed and miscoded genotypes were corrected using strict criteria: a genotype that differed from surrounding genotypes, no missing data in surrounding genotypes, and identical surrounding genotypes. Next, markers with 100% identical markers were merged together. These bin markers were then organized based on the physical position of the chromosome. A change in genotyping within any sample was considered a recombination breakpoint. SNPs between recombination breakpoints were classified as bin markers, indicating that no recombination occurred within that bin.

Construction of the linkage map and QTL analysis

Bin markers showing significant deviation (*p* < 0.001) from the 1:1 segregation ratio were excluded from constructing the linkage map. Heterozygous genotypes were treated as missing data and imputed using the "maxmarginal" method implemented in the R/qtl pack-age. Linkage map construction and QTL analysis were conducted using QTL IciMapping version 4.2.53.^{[98](#page-17-5)} Simple interval mapping and inclusive composite interval mapping were employed to detect potential QTLs associated with snail resistance or susceptibility to schistosome parasites. A significant threshold of the logarithm of odds (LOD) (LOD = 4.0) based on 1,000 permutation tests was applied.

Analysis of protein-coding genes in the QTL region

The coding genes were further verified manually by BLAST searching against NCBI databases. GO (Gene Ontology) and KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) analyses were performed using the web-based tool ShinyGO 0.80 [\(http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/](http://bioinformatics.sdstate.edu/go/)).[99](#page-17-6) A flowchart showing bioinformatic and genetic analyses is provided in [Figure S1.](#page-13-1)

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

PLINK was employed for genome-wide association studies (GWASs) with a stringent p -value threshold of 5×10^{-8} to identify significant associations. Vcftools was used to calculate fixation index (F_{ST}) statistics, and outlier analysis was performed using Jackknife Distances in SAS JMP 14, with a *p*-value threshold of <0.05 for significance. A permutation test with 1,000 iterations and a type I error rate of 0.05 was used to establish the significance threshold for QTL LOD scores. Functional enrichment analysis, including GO and KEGG pathways, was conducted with an E-value threshold of < 1e-5. Significant GO term enrichment was assessed using Fisher's exact test, applying a *p*-value threshold of <0.05. These analyses identified genetic variants associated with snail resistance and explored their functional implications.