UC Agriculture & Natural Resources

Proceedings of the Vertebrate Pest Conference

Title

Spatiotemporal Partitioning of Two Invasive Ungulates in Guam (Abstract)

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2q635133

Journal Proceedings of the Vertebrate Pest Conference, 31(31)

ISSN 0507-6773

Authors

Koriakin, Kelly J. VerCauteren, Kurt C. Snow, Nathan P. <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2024

Spatiotemporal Partitioning of Two Invasive Ungulates in Guam

(Abstract)

Kelly J. Koriakin, Kurt C. VerCauteren, and Nathan P. Snow

USDA APHIS Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, Fort Collins, Colorado **D. Buck Jolley** USDA APHIS Wildlife Services, Guam State Office, Barrigada, Guam

ABSTRACT: Interspecific interactions are crucial in shaping ecosystem dynamics (Connell 1983, Tilman 1987, Barbosa and Castellanos 2005). Non-native ungulates have been introduced across the globe (Ferretti and Lovari 2014, Volery et al. 2021) and in environments where multiple invasives thrive, these co-occurring sympatric species may shift spatiotemporal patterns to minimize interspecific competition (Schoener 1974, Chesson 2000). Spatiotemporal shifts can lead to cascading effects to the native flora and fauna (Simberloff and Von Holle 1999). Given the potential negative impacts, understanding these interactions is vital for effective management of these ecosystems.

Two invasive ungulates, the wild pig (*Sus scrofa*) and the Philippine deer (*Rusa marianna*), have inhabited much of Guam since their introduction in the 1600s and 1700s (Intoh 1986, Wiles et al. 1999) and both have been associated with significant ecological damage throughout the island (Conroy 1989). Despite sharing similar invasive roles, they seemingly coexist throughout areas of Guam. Subsequently, efforts are increasingly put forth to remove both species from partitioned areas at one time.

To aid these efforts and understand invasive species interactions, we studied the seasonal spatiotemporal patterns of wild pigs and Philippine deer during February 2021-March 2022. Specifically, we used GPS collars from 39 wild pigs and 22 deer to examine spatial and temporal partitioning and assess dynamic spatiotemporal interactions between interspecific dyads at seasonal scales. We investigated spatial partitioning of wild pigs and deer by estimating the spatial overlap between home ranges and core areas of interspecific neighboring dyads. We estimated temporal overlap in diel activity to evaluate temporal partitioning between the species. Lastly, we examined dynamic spatiotemporal interactions, those that occur simultaneously in space and time, by estimating movement interactions between neighboring interspecific dyads to understand attraction and avoidance.

We found spatial overlap between the species decreased significantly in core areas compared to home ranges in both seasons. Within home ranges, deer were approximately 3 times more likely to be within pig ranges than vice versa. This effect diminished at core areas such that deer were only $1.3 \times$ more likely to be within pig core ranges than vice versa. Temporal overlap of activity between wild pigs and deer was very high during dry and wet seasons, with overlapping activity peaks during crepuscular hours. At a critical distance threshold of 50m, we estimated 77 movement interactions from 58 dyad pairs over 3 seasons and found that only 2 (2.6%) and 4 (5.2%) movement interactions were considered avoidant and attractive, respectively, and the remaining 71 interactions (92.2%) were neutral.

Our results showed that, while not extensive, spatial overlap between wild pigs and deer occurred between home ranges. More exclusive use of core areas suggests that spatial partitioning of these smaller space use areas might serve as one mechanism facilitating coexistence between wild pigs and Philippine deer. Additionally, we did not see any evidence of temporal partitioning or avoidance between wild pigs and deer. Using this knowledge, managers can maximize efficiency of managing invasive ungulates by combining efforts during crepuscular hours when both species are most active. Management activities to remove these species simultaneously may be most effective in areas of known spatial overlap (i.e., home range overlap) and, if that is unknown, managers should default to removal efforts within deer ranges as the odds of encountering both species is higher than in pig's home ranges.

KEY WORDS: interspecific interactions, Philippine deer, *Rusa marianna*, spatiotemporal, spatial partitioning, *Sus scrofa*, temporal partitioning, ungulate management, wild pig

Proceedings, 31st Vertebrate Pest Conference (R. M. Timm and D. M. Woods, Eds.) Paper No. 10. Published August 30, 2024. 2 pp.

LITERATURE CITED

- Barbosa, P., and I. Castellanos, editors. 2005. Ecology of predator -prey interactions. Oxford University Press, NY.
- Chesson, P. 2000. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 31:343-366.
- Conroy, P. J. 1989. Ecology of the wild (feral) pig (Sus scrofa) on Guam. Guam Division of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources, Guam Department of Agriculture, Mangilao, Guam.
- Connell, J. H. 1983. On the prevalence and relative importance of interspecific competition: evidence from field experiments. The American Naturalist 122:661-696.
- Ferretti, F., and S. Lovari. 2014. Introducing aliens: problems associated with invasive exotics. Pages 78-109 in R. Putnam, and M. Apollonio, editors. Behaviour and management of European ungulates. Whittles Publishing, Dunbeath, UK.
- Intoh, M. 1986. Pigs in Micronesia: introduction or reintroduction by the Europeans. Man and Culture in Oceania 2:1-26.
- Schoener, T. W. 1974. Resource partitioning in ecological communities: research on how similar species divide resources helps reveal the natural regulation of species diversity. Science 185:27-39.

- Simberloff, D., and B. Von Holle. 1999. Positive interactions of nonindigenous species: invasional meltdown? Biological Invasions 1:21-32.
- Tilman, D. 1987. The importance of mechanisms of interspecific competition. The American Naturalist 129:769-774.
- Volery, L., D. Jatavallabhula, L. Scillitani, S. Bertolino, and S. Bacher. 2021. Ranking alien species based on their risks of causing environmental impacts: a global assessment of alien ungulates. Global Change Biology 27:1003-1016.
- Wiles, G. J., D. W. Buden, and D. J. Worthington. 1999. History and introduction, population status, and management of Philippine deer (*Cervus mariannus*) on Micronesia Islands. Mammalia 63:193-216.