UC Santa Cruz

UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

Title

Modulation of TORC2 Signaling by a Conserved Lkb1 Signaling Axis in Budding Yeast

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2qt3d1b3

Journal

Genetics, 210(1)

ISSN

0016-6731

Authors

Alcaide-Gavilán, Maria Lucena, Rafael Schubert, Katherine A <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2018-09-01

DOI

10.1534/genetics.118.301296

Peer reviewed

Modulation of TORC2 Signaling by a Conserved Lkb1 Signaling Axis in Budding Yeast

Maria Alcaide-Gavilán,*^{,1} Rafael Lucena,*^{,1,2} Katherine A. Schubert,* Karen L. Artiles,*^{,3}

Jessica Zapata, *,^{†,4} and Douglas R. Kellogg*,²

*Department of Molecular, Cell, and Developmental Biology, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064 and †NantOmics, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

ORCID IDs: 0000-0001-9102-4857 (M.A.-G.); 0000-0003-2050-0611 (R.L.); 0000-0003-2676-8838 (K.A.S.); 0000-0002-1674-064X (K.L.A.); 0000-0001-9567-3539 (J.Z.); 0000-0002-5050-2194 (D.R.K.)

ABSTRACT Nutrient availability, growth rate, and cell size are closely linked. For example, in budding yeast, the rate of cell growth is proportional to nutrient availability, cell size is proportional to growth rate, and growth rate is proportional to cell size. Thus, cells grow slowly in poor nutrients and are nearly half the size of cells growing in rich nutrients. Moreover, large cells grow faster than small cells. A signaling network that surrounds TOR kinase complex 2 (TORC2) plays an important role in enforcing these proportional relationships. Cells that lack components of the TORC2 network fail to modulate their growth rate or size in response to changes in nutrient availability. Here, we show that budding yeast homologs of the Lkb1 tumor suppressor kinase are required for normal modulation of TORC2 signaling in response to changes in carbon source. Lkb1 kinases activate Snf1/AMPK to initiate transcription of genes required for utilization of poor carbon sources. However, Lkb1 influences TORC2 signaling via a novel pathway that is independent of Snf1/AMPK. Of the three Lkb1 homologs in budding yeast, Elm1 plays the most important role in modulating TORC2. Elm1 activates a pair of related kinases called Gin4 and Hsl1. Previous work found that loss of Gin4 and Hsl1 causes cells to undergo unrestrained growth during a prolonged mitotic arrest, which suggests that they play a role in linking cell cycle progression to cell growth. We found that Gin4 and Hsl1 also control the TORC2 network. In addition, Gin4 and Hsl1 are themselves influenced by signals from the TORC2 network, consistent with previous work showing that the TORC2 network constitutes a feedback loop. Together, the data suggest a model in which the TORC2 network sets growth rate in response to carbon source, while also relaying signals via Gin4 and Hsl1 that set the critical amount of growth required for cell cycle progression. This kind of close linkage between control of cell growth and size would suggest a simple mechanistic explanation for the proportional relationship between cell size and growth rate.

KEYWORDS Cell Growth; Cell Size; TORC2; Rts1; Lkb1; Nutrients

GROWTH is a defining feature of life and always occurs at the cellular level. Control of cell growth requires mechanisms that coordinate the diverse processes of growth, including membrane growth and ribosome biogenesis, to ensure that the rate of each process is matched to the availability of building blocks. Cells also require mechanisms that detect and limit growth during the cell cycle, which ultimately define the size of proliferating cells. Together, the mechanisms that control the rate, extent, location, and timing of cell growth are largely responsible for the myriad forms of life that have been produced by evolution.

A number of observations have pointed to close relationships between nutrient availability, growth rate, and cell size. Limiting nutrients causes reduced growth rate as well as coordinated changes in the transcription of over 1000 genes, which suggests extensive coordination of growth-related processes (Brauer *et al.* 2008). In addition, growth rate has a strong influence on cell size. Thus, cell size is proportional to growth rate, which means that slow-growing cells can be nearly half the size of rapidly growing cells (Fantes and Nurse

Copyright © 2018 by the Genetics Society of America

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301296

Manuscript received March 29, 2018; accepted for publication June 29, 2018; published Early Online July 9, 2018.

Supplemental material available at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.25386/genetics. 6686420.

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

²Corresponding authors: Department of Molecular, Cell, and Developmental Biology, University of California, Santa Cruz, 1156 High St., Santa Cruz, CA 95064. E-mail: rlucena@ucsc.edu; and dkellogg@ucsc.edu

³Present address: Departments of Pathology and Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305.

⁴Present address: NantOmics, 2901 Mission St., Santa Cruz, CA 95064

1977; Johnston *et al.* 1977). Conversely, at least in some cases cell size influences growth rate so that large cells grow faster than small cells (Tzur *et al.* 2009; Sung *et al.* 2013; Schmoller *et al.* 2015; Leitao and Kellogg 2017). Together, these observations show that growth rate is matched to nutrient availability, cell size is matched to growth rate, and growth rate is matched to cell size. There is evidence that these relationships hold across all orders of life (Schaechter *et al.* 1958; Hirsch and Han 1969; Johnston *et al.* 1977).

In budding yeast, modulation of cell size and growth rate in response to carbon source is dependent on a signaling network that surrounds a multiprotein kinase complex known as TOR complex 2 (TORC2) (Lucena et al. 2018). TORC2 directly phosphorylates and activates a pair of partially redundant kinase paralogs called Ypk1 and Ypk2, which are the budding yeast homologs of vertebrate SGK kinases (Casamayor et al. 1999; Kamada et al. 2005; Niles et al. 2012). Full activity of Ypk1/2 also requires phosphorylation by Pkh1 and Pkh2, another pair of kinase paralogs that are the yeast homologs of vertebrate PDK1 (Casamayor et al. 1999). Expression of constitutively active Ypk1 rescues lethality caused by inactivation of TORC2, which suggests that Ypk1/2 are among the most important targets of TORC2 (Kamada et al. 2005; Niles et al. 2012). Activation of SGK kinases by TORC2 and PDK1 is conserved in vertebrates (Biondi et al. 2001; García-Martínez and Alessi 2008).

The TORC2-Ypk1/2 signaling axis controls production of sphingolipids and ceramides, which play roles in signaling and also serve as precursors for synthesis of structural lipids. Ypk1/2 promote synthesis of sphingolipids by relieving inhibition of serine palmitoyltransferase, the enzyme that catalyzes the first step in sphingolipid synthesis (Breslow *et al.* 2010; Roelants *et al.* 2011). Ypk1/2 also directly phosphorylate and stimulate ceramide synthase, which builds ceramides from sphingolipid precursors (Aronova *et al.* 2008; Muir *et al.* 2014).

Several observations suggest that the TORC2 network is controlled by a negative feedback loop in which the TORC2 network promotes production of ceramides, while ceramides relay signals that repress TORC2 signaling. For example, inhibition of ceramide synthesis leads to increased signaling from TORC2 to Ypk1/2 (Roelants et al. 2011; Berchtold et al. 2012; Lucena et al. 2018). Conversely, addition of exogenous sphingolipids causes a transient repression of TORC2 signaling that is dependent on conversion of sphingolipids to ceramides (Lucena et al. 2018). Feedback signaling appears to depend on membrane trafficking events that deliver lipids to the plasma membrane, which suggests that the feedback loop monitors delivery of ceramides to the plasma membrane, rather than their synthesis at the endoplasmic reticulum (Clarke et al. 2017). Feedback signals are partially dependent on Rts1, a conserved regulatory subunit of PP2A (Lucena et al. 2018). There is evidence that Rts1 may also be a regulatory subunit for Glc7, which is the budding yeast homolog of the PP1 phosphatase (Castermans et al. 2012).

Diverse observations demonstrate that signals from the TORC2 feedback loop strongly influence cell growth and size (Lucena et al. 2018). Shifting cells from rich to poor carbon causes a decrease in TORC2 signaling that is correlated with a decrease in cell size. Decreased activity of Ypk1/2 or inactivation of ceramide synthase cause a large reduction in cell size that is similar to the reduction caused by poor carbon. Furthermore, cells that cannot make ceramides show a complete failure in modulation of TORC2 signaling, cell size, and growth rate in response to changes in carbon source. Conversely, loss of Rts1 leads to increased TORC2 signaling due a failure in feedback signals, as well as increased cell size. Loss of Rts1 also causes a failure to properly modulate both TORC2 signaling and cell size in response to carbon source. Thus, data suggest that the TORC2 feedback loop relays signals regarding nutrient status that help set growth rate and cell size to match nutrient availability. Genetic analysis suggests that ceramides could be the output of the network that most directly influences cell size and growth rate. However, the mechanisms and outputs of ceramide-dependent signaling are poorly understood, so it remains unclear how ceramides influence cell size and growth rate.

Little is known about how nutrients influence TORC2 signaling or how TORC2-dependent signals influence cell size. Here, we show that the yeast homolog of the Lkb1 tumor suppressor kinase is required for modulation of TORC2 signaling in response to carbon source. Nutrient modulation of TORC2 signaling further requires a set of related Lkb1-regulated kinases that were previously implicated in cell size control. Together, the data suggest a close mechanistic linkage between control of cell growth and size.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and media

All strains are in the W303 background (leu2-3,112 ura3-1 can1-100 ade2-1 his3-11,15 trp1-1 GAL + ssd1-d2), with the exception of strain DDY903 used in Supplemental Material, Figure S2, which is in the S288C background (*his3-\Delta 200*, ura3-52, lys2-801, leu2-3, 112,). Table 1 shows additional genetic features. One-step PCR-based gene replacement was used for making deletions and adding epitope tags at the endogenous locus (Longtine 1998; Janke et al. 2004). Cells were grown in YP medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 40 mg/liter adenine) supplemented with 2% dextrose (YPD), 2% galactose (YPGal), or 2% glycerol/ethanol (YPG/E). For experiments using analog-sensitive alleles, cells were grown in YPD medium without supplemental adenine. For nutrient shifts, cells were grown in YPD medium overnight to log phase. Cells were then washed three times with YPG/E medium and resuspended in YPG/E medium.

Myriocin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in 100% methanol to make a 500 μ g/ml stock solution. Phytosphingosine (Avanti Polar lipids) was dissolved in 100% ethanol to make a 10 mM stock solution. Aureobasidin A (Tanaka

Table 1 Strains used in this study

Strain	MAT	Genotype	Source
DK186	а	bar1	Altman and Kellogg (1997)
DDY903	а	S288C background	Gift of D. Drubin
DK647	а	bar1 rts1Δ::kanMX6	Artiles <i>et al.</i> (2009)
DK2876	а	bar1 ypk1-L424A ypk2∆::HisMX6	Clarke <i>et al.</i> (2017)
DK3267	а	bar1 lag1 Δ ::kanMX6 lac1 Δ ::HIS	This study
SH341	а	bar1 pph21∆1::HIS pph22-172::URA3	This study
AS1	а	bar1 elm1∆::TRP	Sreenivasan and Kellogg (1999)
DK3376	а	bar1 elm1 Δ ::TRP tos3 Δ ::HIS sak1 Δ ::KanMX6	This study
KA22	а	bar1 snf1∆::KanMX6	This study
RA4	а	bar1 gin4∆::LEU2	Altman and Kellogg (1997)
HT159	а	bar1 $hsl1\Delta$::HIS5	This study
ZZ1	а	bar1 kcc4Δ::URA	This study
DK2275	а	bar1 gin4∆::LEU2 hsl1∆::HIS kcc4∆::URA	This study
DK847	а	bar1 elm1∆::LEU rts1∆::KanMX6	Artiles et al. (2009)
DK2250	а	bar1 gin4 Δ ::LEU2 hsl1 Δ ::HIS kcc4 Δ ::URA rts1 Δ ::KanMX6	This study
DK2326	а	bar1 MSS4-3xHA::HisMX6	This study
DK3407	а	bar1 MSS4-3xHA::HisMX6 elm1∆::LEU2	This study
DK3190	а	bar1 yor1Δ::KanMX6	Lucena <i>et al.</i> (2018)

Clontech) was dissolved in methanol to make a 5 mg/ml stock solution. Fumonisin B1 was added to cells from a 14 mM stock solution dissolved in 100% methanol. All experiments using fumonisin B1 were carried out in strains carrying *yor1* Δ , which is required for sensitivity to fumonisin B1 (Martínez-Montañés and Schneiter 2016). The same volume of methanol or ethanol was added in control experiments.

Production of polyclonal Rts1 antibody

An antibody that recognizes Rts1 was generated by immunizing rabbits with a fusion protein expressed in bacteria. A plasmid expressing full-length Rts1 was constructed with the Gateway cloning system. Briefly, a PCR product that includes the full-length open reading frame for Rts1 was cloned into the entry vector pDONR221. The resulting donor plasmid was used to generate a plasmid that expresses Rts1 fused at its N terminus to 6XHis-TEV, using expression vector pDEST17 (6XHis). The 6XHis-TEV-Rts1 fusion was expressed in BL21 cells and purified in the presence of 2 M urea using standard procedures, yielding 10 mg from 4 liters of bacterial culture. A milligram of the purified protein was coupled to Affigel 10 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) to create an affinity column for purification of the antibody.

Western blotting

For Western blotting using cells growing in early log phase, cultures were grown overnight at 25° to an OD₆₀₀ of <0.8. After adjusting optical densities to normalize protein loading, 1.6 ml samples were collected and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 30 sec. The supernatant was removed and 250 µl of glass beads were added before freezing in liquid nitrogen.

To analyze cells shifted from rich to poor nutrients, cultures were grown in YPD overnight at 25° to an OD₆₀₀ of <0.8. After adjusting optical densities to normalize protein loading, cells were washed three times with a large volume of YPG/E medium and then incubated at 30° in YPG/E for the time course. We collected 1.6 ml samples at each time point.

Cells were lysed into 140 μ l of sample buffer (65 mM Tris HCl, pH 6.8, 3% SDS, 10% glycerol, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 100 mM β -glycerophosphate, 5% 2-mercaptoethanol, and bromophenol blue). PMSF was added to the sample buffer to 2 mM immediately before use. Cells were lysed in a Minibeadbeater 16 (BioSpec) at top speed for 2 min. The samples were removed and centrifuged for 15 sec at 14,000 rpm in a microfuge and placed in boiling water for 5 min. After boiling, the samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 14,000 rpm and loaded onto an SDS polyacrylamide gel.

Samples were analyzed by Western blotting as previously described (Harvey et al. 2011). SDS-PAGE gels were run at a constant current of 20 mA and electrophoresis was performed on gels containing 10% polyacrylamide and 0.13% bis-acrylamide. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose using a Trans-Blot Turbo system (Bio-Rad). Blots were probed with primary antibody overnight at 4°. Proteins tagged with the HA epitope were detected with the 12CA5 anti-HA monoclonal antibody (gift of John Tamkun, University of California, Santa Cruz). Rabbit anti-phospho-T662 antibody (gift of Ted Powers, University of California, Davis) was used to detect TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of YPK1/2 at a dilution of 1:20,000 in TBST (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween 20) containing 3% milk. Total Ypk1 was detected using anti-Ypk1 antibody (catalog number sc-12051; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at a dilution of 1:2000.

All blots were probed with an HRP-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibody (catalog number NA934V; GE Healthcare) or HRP-conjugated donkey anti-mouse antibody (catalog number NXA931; GE Healthcare) or HRP-conjugated donkey anti-goat antibody (catalog number sc-2020; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 45–90 min at room temperature. Secondary antibodies were detected via chemiluminescence with Advansta ECL reagents and a Bio-rad ChemiDoc imaging system.

Quantification of Western blot signals was performed using ImageJ (Schneider *et al.* 2012). Quantification of Ypk-pT662 phosphorylation was calculated as the ratio of the phosphospecific signal over the total Ypk1 protein signal, with wild-type signal normalized to a value of 1. In Figure 2A, the signal was normalized over the loading control band. Phospho-Rts1 was quantified using Image Lab (Bio-Rad). At least three biological replicates were analyzed and averaged to obtain quantitative information.

Synchronization by centrifugal elutriation

Cells were grown overnight at 25° in YPG/E medium to increase the fraction of very small unbudded cells. Centrifugal elutriation was performed as previously described (Futcher 1999; McCusker *et al.* 2012). Briefly, cells were elutriated at 4° at a speed of 2800 rpm in a Beckman Coulter J6-MI centrifuge with a JE-5.0 rotor. Small, unbudded cells were released into fresh YPD or YPG/E media at 25° and samples were taken at 10 min intervals.

λ-phosphatase treatment

 λ -lambda phosphatase treatment of Rts1 in cell extracts was carried out as previously described (Lucena *et al.* 2017).

Rts1-associated phosphatase activity assay

To create a substrate for phosphatase assays, 5 μ g of myelin basic protein (MBP) (Sigma) were incubated with 6 μ l of 10× cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 0.01% Brij 35, pH 7.5), 200 μ M ATP, 2 μ l ³²P-ATP, and 2 μ l PKA (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA) in a total volume of 60 μ l. The reaction was incubated for 5 hr at 30°, trichloroacetic acid–precipitated, and resuspended in phosphatase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5% glycerol, 0.2% Tween 20, 0.5 mM DTT).

Rts1-associated phosphatase activity was assayed by release of ³²P-inorganic phosphate from ³²P-MBP. A total of 50 ml of wild-type cells were grown in YPD, washed, and split to YPG/E or YPD for 90 min. Cell extracts made from wild-type or *rts1* Δ control cells were made by adding 300 µl of acid-washed glass beads to frozen cell pellets followed by 500 µl of lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.6, 500 mM KCl, 100 mM β-glycerol phosphate, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 5% glycerol, 0.25% Tween 20, and 1 mM PMSF). The tubes were immediately placed into a Mini-beadbeater 16 (Biospec), shaken at top speed for 1 min and placed in an ice-water bath for 1 min. The tubes were centrifuged for 1 min at 14,000 rpm and 250 μ l of the supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5-ml tube and replaced with 250 μ l of lysis buffer. The tubes were beaten again for 1 min, 250 μ l of the supernatant was removed, pooled with the first supernatant, and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm in a microcentrifuge for 10 min at 4°. The supernatant was added to 100 µl of protein A beads

loaded with 15 μ g of anti-Rts1 and equilibrated in lysis buffer. The tubes were rotated gently at 4° for 1.5 hr. The beads were washed three times with 500 μ l of lysis buffer without PMSF and three times with 500 μ l of phosphatase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5% glycerol, 0.2% Tween 20, 0.5 mM DTT). The beads were incubated with 20 μ l of phosphatase buffer with 1 μ l ³²P-MBP for 10 min at 30° gently agitated every few minutes to allow for mixing of the beads. A total of 10 μ l of sample buffer 4× was added and samples were incubated in a boiling water bath for 5 min and resolved on a 9% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. ³²P released from phosphorylated MBP migrates at the dye front and was quantified using a Typhoon 9410 Phospho Imager and ImageJ. The amount of phosphatase substrate was present in excess.

Microscopy

Photographs of yeast cells were taken using a Zeiss-Axioskop2 Plus microscope fitted with a \times 63 Plan-Apochromat 1.4NA objective and an AxioCam HRm camera (Carl Zeiss, Thornwood, NY). Images were acquired using AxioVision software and processed using ImageJ (Schneider *et al.* 2012).

Data availability

Strains and plasmids are available upon request. The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, and tables. Supplemental material available at Figshare: https://doi.org/10.25386/genetics.6686420.

Results and Discussion

PP2A^{Rts1} is controlled by ceramide-dependent signals

PP2A^{Rts1} relays feedback signals that influence the level of signaling in the TORC2 network (Lucena *et al.* 2018). Previous studies suggested that Rts1 is a phospho-protein (Shu *et al.* 1997), which suggested that feedback signals could be relayed via phosphorylation of Rts1. To investigate further, we raised an antibody that recognizes Rts1. The antibody recognized two forms of Rts1 in rapidly growing cells (Figure 1A). Treatment with phosphatase caused the forms to collapse into one band, confirming that Rts1 is phosphorylated (Figure S1A).

To test whether Rts1 phosphorylation could play a role in relaying feedback signals, we first determined whether inhibition of ceramide synthesis causes changes in Rts1 phosphorylation. Ceramides are made from sphingolipid precursors that are synthesized by the enzyme serine palmitoyltransferase, which can be inhibited with myriocin (Miyake *et al.* 1995; Dickson 2008; Breslow and Weissman 2010). Addition of myriocin to cells growing in rich carbon caused a partial loss of Rts1 phosphorylation. The loss was rescued by addition of the sphingolipid phytosphingosine to the cell culture (Figure 1B).

The kinases Ypk1/2 promote synthesis of ceramides in the feedback loop by directly phosphorylating ceramide synthase




Figure 1 PP2A^{Rts1} is controlled by ceramide-dependent signals. (A) Wild-type and $rts1\Delta$ cells were grown to log phase in YPD medium. Rts1 was detected by Western blot using a polyclonal anti-Rts1 antibody. A background band detected by the polyclonal antibody was used as a loading control. (B) Rts1 phosphoforms were detected in log phase wild-type cells grown in the presence of DMSO (as a control), 1 µg/ml myriocin or 1 µg/ml myriocin and 20 mM phytosphingosine for 90 min. (C) Wild-type and ypk1-as $ypk2\Delta$ cells were grown to log phase in YPD medium. The adenine analog inhibitor 3-MOB-PP1 was added to both cultures at a final concentration of 50 µM to inactivate ypk1-as. (D) Wild-type and $lag1\Delta$ $lac1\Delta$ cells were grown to log phase in YPD and samples were taken to detect Rts1. In B–D, quantification of the ratio between phosphorylated/unphosphorylated forms of Rts1 and total Rts1 is represented for two biological replicates. Error bars represent the SD of the mean.

(Muir et al. 2014). To test whether Ypk1/2 are required for normal control of PP2ARts1 phosphorylation, we utilized an analog-sensitive allele of Ypk1 in a $ypk2\Delta$ background (ypk1-as ypk2 Δ) (Sun et al. 2012). Inhibition of Ypk1/2 in cells growing in rich carbon caused a loss of Rts1 phosphorylation that was similar to the loss caused by myriocin (Figure 1C). The effects of Ypk1/2 inhibition on Rts1 phosphorylation could be detected within 20 min (Figure 1C), whereas the effects of myriocin were detected only after 1 hr (Figure 1B and M. Alcaide-Gavilan, R. Lucena, and D.R. Kellogg, unpublished data). A possible explanation for this difference is that Ypk1/2 directly control ceramide synthase. Therefore, inhibition of Ypk1/2 is likely to lead to a rapid depletion of ceramides. In contrast, myriocin inhibits synthesis of ceramide precursors. Therefore, myriocin may not lead to rapid depletion of ceramides because a preexisting pool of ceramide precursors must be depleted before the effects of myriocin on ceramide levels can be observed. An alternative explanation is that Ypk1/2 relay signals that influence Rts1 phosphorylation in a ceramide-independent manner.

We next used mutants and inhibitors that block synthesis of specific sphingolipids to determine which products of sphingolipid processing are required for normal phosphorylation of Rts1. Ceramide synthase is encoded by redundant paralogs called *LAC1* and *LAG1* (Guillas *et al.* 2001; Schorling *et al.* 2001). Rts1 phosphorylation was largely lost in $lac1\Delta lag1\Delta$ cells (Figure 1D). Rts1 phosphorylation was also lost in cells treated with fumonisin B, an inhibitor of ceramide synthase (Figure S1B). Mutants or inhibitors that block all other known sphingolipid processing steps had no effect on Rts1 phosphorylation (Figure S1, C and D).

Together, these observations demonstrate that ceramidedependent signals control Rts1 phosphorylation, consistent with previous genetic data that suggested that PP2ARts1 relays ceramide-dependent feedback signals in the TORC2 network (Lucena et al. 2018). Ypk1 could not phosphorylate Rts1 in vitro, which suggests that Ypk1/2 act indirectly. Moreover, kinases in the TORC2 network are unlikely to directly phosphorylate Rts1 because loss of ceramides is thought to cause increased activity of TORC2 network kinases, yet causes decreased Rts1 phosphorylation (Roelants et al. 2011; Berchtold et al. 2012; Lucena et al. 2018). Despite extensive efforts, the kinases responsible for direct phosphorylation of Rts1 in response to ceramide-dependent signals remain unknown. A screen of all viable kinases deletions did not identify additional kinases required for phosphorylation of Rts1. Similarly, a screen of conditional alleles covering essential kinases, including redundant paralogs, identified only Ypk1/2 and Pkh1/2. A potential explanation is that Rts1 phosphorylation in this context is carried out by multiple kinases.

Rts1 phosphorylation and TORC2 signaling are correlated with growth rate during the cell cycle

We previously proposed that the level of signaling in the TORC2 feedback loop plays a role in setting growth rate

(Lucena et al. 2018). This model predicts that TORC2 signaling should be proportional to growth rate. Moreover, if phosphorylation of Rts1 helps relay feedback signals that set the level of TORC2 signaling, then Rts1 phosphorylation should also be proportional to growth rate. To test these predictions, we took advantage of the fact that the rate of growth varies during the cell cycle. Cells grow slowly during interphase before the rate of growth increases approximately threefold as cells enter mitosis (Goranov et al. 2009; Leitao and Kellogg 2017). The rapid mitotic growth phase accounts for most of the volume of a budding yeast cell (Leitao and Kellogg 2017). To test whether TORC2 signaling and Rts1 phosphorylation are correlated with growth rate, we used centrifugal elutriation to isolate small cells in early G1 phase and then assayed TORC2 activity and Rts1 phosphorylation as the cells progressed through the cell cycle. TORC2 activity was assayed with a phospho-specific antibody that recognizes a TORC2 site present on Ypk1 and Ypk2 (Niles et al. 2012). The same samples were probed for the mitotic cyclin Clb2 to provide a marker for mitosis (Figure 2A). TORC2 activity increased approximately threefold in mitosis, which was well-correlated with the previously observed threefold increase in growth rate during mitosis (Figure 2B) (Leitao and Kellogg 2017). The increase in TORC2 activity and growth rate during mitosis was mirrored by an increase in the phosphorylated form of Rts1 (Figure 2C).

To summarize, ceramides appear to drive increased phosphorylation of Rts1, which is correlated with increased TORC2 signaling and increased growth rate (Figure 2). Conversely, a decrease in ceramides drives loss of Rts1 phosphorylation, which is correlated with decreased TORC2 signaling (Figure 1), as well as decreased growth rate (Lucena et al. 2018). Previous studies also found that loss of Rts1 causes increased TORC2 signaling (Lucena et al. 2018). Together, these data suggest that ceramides promote formation of a phosphorylated form of Rts1 that may correspond to an inactive form. However, in previous work we found evidence that ceramides activate PP2ARts1. Thus, addition of exogenous phytosphingosine to cells causes PP2ARts1-dependent dephosphorylation of Mss4, a lipid kinase that generates $PI(4,5)P_2$ from PI(4)P(Lucena et al. 2018). Mss4 is an important upstream activator of TORC2, and hyperactivity of Mss4 in $rts1\Delta$ cells is thought to drive increased TORC2 activity. Hyper-phosphorylation of Mss4 in response to exogenous phytosphingosine is dependent on conversion of phytosphingosine to ceramides, which suggests that ceramides activate PP2ARts1. However, the dephosphorylation of Mss4 that occurs after addition of exogenous phytosphingosine to cells is a transient event: after 20 min, Mss4 phosphorylation recovers and Mss4 may even become hyper-phosphorylated, which complicates interpretation of the results. Moreover, high levels of exogenous phytosphingosine could cause aberrant signaling events that do not reflect the effects of endogenous ceramides. Clear resolution of the effects of ceramides on PP2ARts1 activity will require a better understanding of ceramide-dependent signaling events.




Figure 2 Rts1 phosphorylation and TORC2 signaling are correlated with growth rate during the cell cycle. (A) Wild-type cells were grown to log phase in YPG/E medium. Small unbudded cells in early G1 phase were isolated by centrifugal elutriation and released into YPD medium at 25°. Samples were taken every 20 min and Ypk-pT662, Rts1, and Clb2 were analyzed by Western blotting. (B) Quantification of p-T662 in the samples shown in A. (C) Quantification of the ratio between phosphorylated forms of Rts1 and total Rts1 in the samples shown in A.

PP2A^{Rts1} is controlled by nutrients

The level of signaling in the TORC2 network is proportional to the growth rate set by nutrient availability (Lucena *et al.* 2018). Thus, when cells are shifted from rich to poor carbon, there is an immediate and dramatic reduction in TORC2 signaling. Over several hours, TORC2 signaling recovers as cells adapt to poor carbon, but remains depressed relative to cells in rich carbon. PP2ARts1 is not required for the immediate response to poor carbon. However, in cells that have undergone adaptation to poor carbon, TORC2 signaling is elevated in $rts1\Delta$ cells relative to wild-type cells. Therefore, we next tested whether Rts1 phosphorylation is influenced by nutrient-dependent signals. We first assayed Rts1 phosphorylation in cells growing in carbon sources of varying quality. Yeast cells utilize glucose most efficiently. Galactose is an intermediate quality carbon source, while glycerol and ethanol are poor carbon sources. A fraction of Rts1 was hyperphosphorylated in cells growing in poor carbon sources (Figure 3A). We refer to the phosphorylated form of Rts1 observed in rich carbon as an intermediate phosphorylated form (marked with * in Figure 3A) and the slower mobility forms observed in poor carbon as hyper-phosphorylated Rts1 (marked with ** in Figure 3A).

We next analyzed how an acute shift from rich to poor carbon influences Rts1 phosphorylation. TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Ypk1/2 was analyzed in the same samples for comparison. Rts1 underwent extensive hyper-phosphorylation that persisted for several hours (Figure 3B). Hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1 diminished as cells adapted to the new carbon source, but remained elevated relative to cells growing in rich carbon. The changes in Rts1 phosphorylation were correlated with changes in TORC2 activity. Large changes in the electrophoretic mobility of Ypk1/2 are due to the activity of Fpk1 and Fpk2, a pair of kinase paralogs that play poorly understood roles in regulation of Ypk1/2 (Roelants *et al.* 2010).

Two observations suggest that the initial rapid hyperphosphorylation of Rts1 in poor carbon is not a cause of the acute reduction in TORC2 signaling. First, the abrupt decrease in TORC signaling caused by a shift to poor carbon appeared to occur more rapidly than hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1. Second, Rts1 is not required for the initial abrupt reduction in TORC2 activity (Lucena *et al.* 2018). Rather, hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1 in response to poor carbon may be due to reduced feedback signaling in the TORC2 network. Consistent with this, we found that inhibition of Ypk1/2 or inactivation of ceramide synthase largely eliminated hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1 in response to poor carbon (Figure 3, C and D).

Our analysis of the response of Rts1 to poor carbon was carried out in the W303 yeast strain background. We found that the response was substantially different in the S288C strain background (Figure S2). The A364A strain background and a diploid strain that is thought to be an ancestor of most laboratory yeast strains showed responses identical to the W303 strain background (data not shown). Thus, there appear to be genetic changes in the S288C strain background that influence the signaling network that controls Rts1 phosphorylation.

We next tested whether Rts1-associated phosphatase activity is correlated with changes in Rts1 phosphorylation. To do this, we immunoprecipitated Rts1 from cells growing in rich or poor carbon and measured associated phosphatase




Figure 3 PP2A^{Rts1} is controlled by nutrients. (A) Wild-type cells were grown to early log phase in YEP media containing glucose (Glu), galactose (Gal), or glycerol/ethanol (GE) and Rts1 phosphorylation was assayed by Western blotting. * marks a phosphorylated form of Rts1; ** marks hyper-phosphorylated forms of Rts1. (B) Wild-type cells were grown in YPD medium to log phase and were then shifted to YPG/E medium. Cells were collected at the indicated intervals and Rts1 and Ypk-pT662 were analyzed by Western blotting. (C) Cells of the indicated genotypes were shifted from YPD to YPG/E medium. Then, 50 μ M 3-MOB-PP1 was added immediately after the cells were shifted to YPG/E. Rts1 was detected by Western blotting. (D) Cells of the indicated genotypes were shifted from YPD to YPG/E medium. Rts1 was detected by Western blotting. (E) Rts1-associated phosphatase activity was assayed in log phase wild-type cells and in wild-type cells 90 min after a shift to YPG/E media. The activity in YPG/E medium (poor) was plotted as a percentage of the activity in YPD medium (rich). (F) Wild-type and *pph21 pph22-172* cells were grown to early log phase at 25° and then shifted to 34° for 15 min. Rts1 was

activity. Phosphatase activity associated with Rts1 was reduced in cells growing in poor carbon, when Rts1 was hyper-phosphorylated (Figure 3E). A previous study found that PP2A dissociates from Rts1 when cells are grown in poor carbon, which could explain the reduction in Rts1-associated phosphatase activity in those conditions (Castermans et al. 2012). Further evidence for dissociation of the PP2A^{Rts1} complex in poor carbon came from analysis of Rts1 phosphorylation in response to inactivation of PP2A catalytic subunits. Since Rts1 is bound to PP2A, we hypothesized that it could be subject to PP2A-dependent dephosphorylation. To test this, we assayed Rts1 phosphorylation in cells dependent on a temperature-sensitive allele of one of the redundant PP2A catalytic subunits (*pph21* Δ *pph22-172*). Wild-type and *pph21* Δ *pph22-*172 cells were shifted to the restrictive temperature and Rts1 phosphorylation was analyzed by Western blotting. Highly hyper-phosphorylated forms of Rts1 were observed even at the permissive temperature, consistent with the idea that Rts1 phosphorylation is opposed by PP2A (Figure 3F). Thus, decreased PP2A activity causes hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1 that is similar to the hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1 caused by a shift to poor carbon, consistent with a model in which poor nutrients cause dissociation of the PP2ARts1 complex, leading to hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1.

Together, the data suggest that a shift from rich to poor carbon triggers a reduction in Rts1-associated phosphatase activity. These observations point to a paradox: a complete loss of Rts1, as seen in $rts1\Delta$ cells, causes increased cell size in both rich and poor carbon. In contrast, poor nutrients appear to cause a partial loss of Rts1-associated phosphatase activity, yet cause decreased cell size. A potential explanation is that Rts1 dissociates from PP2A in poor carbon and then carries out PP2A-independent functions. A previous study suggested that Rts1 associates with Glc7, the budding yeast homolog of the PP1 phosphatase, and that association of Rts1 with Glc7 increases in poor carbon (Castermans *et al.* 2012). Thus, the PP2A^{Rts1} complex could dominate in rich carbon, while a Glc7^{Rts1} complex could play a role in the response to poor carbon, including a role in reducing cell size.

Budding yeast homologs of vertebrate Lkb1 kinase modulate TORC2 signaling

We next searched for proteins that relay signals regarding carbon source to the TORC2 network. The kinases Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 were strong candidates. These kinases are related to vertebrate Lkb1, an important tumor suppressor, and their functions can be replaced by Lkb1, indicating that they are true functional homologs of Lkb1 (Hong *et al.* 2003; Sutherland *et al.* 2003; Woods *et al.* 2003). An important function of Lkb1-related kinases is to activate AMPK, which initiates transcription of hundreds of genes required for utilization of poor

energy sources. The budding yeast AMPK homolog is referred to as Snf1. Loss of Snf1, or all three of the Snf1-activating kinases, causes a failure to proliferate on poor carbon sources. Elm1 is of particular interest because it was previously implicated in control of cell growth and size (Blacketer *et al.* 1993; Sreenivasan and Kellogg 1999). For example, loss of Elm1 causes a prolonged delay in mitosis. During the delay, polar growth of the daughter bud continues, leading to growth of abnormally large cells. Loss of vertebrate Lkb1 also causes an increase in cell size (Faubert *et al.* 2014). Furthermore, *elm1* Δ shows genetic interactions with G1 cyclins that suggest that it could function with Cln3, a G1 cyclin required for control of cell size in the G1 phase (Sreenivasan et al. 2003). Although Tos3 and Sak1 share functions with Elm1 in phosphorylation of Snf1, they do not appear to be required for control of cell size, and they are not known to show genetic interactions with late G1-phase cyclins.

We first tested whether Elm1 is required for normal control of the TORC2 network. In rich carbon, $elm1\Delta$ caused a decrease in TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Ypk1/2, but had no effect on Rts1 phosphorylation (Figure 4A). In a shift from rich to poor carbon, $elm1\Delta$ caused reduced hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1, as well as a failure in modulation of TORC2-dependent phosphorylation of Ypk1/2 (Figure 4B). Combined loss of Elm1, Tos3, and Sak1 caused a complete failure in hyperphosphorylation of Rts1 in response to poor carbon (Figure 4B). In contrast, $snf1\Delta$ had no effect on modulation of TORC2 signaling in response to carbon source, which indicates that Elm1/ Tos3/Sak1 influence TORC2 signaling via a novel pathway (Figure 4C). To test whether nutrient modulation of cell size occurs in *elm1* Δ cells, we grew cells in rich or poor carbon and observed their size and morphology (Figure S3). The extreme defects in growth control caused by $elm1\Delta$ make it difficult to obtain a quantitative measure of cell size, although we observed no apparent differences in the size or morphology of $elm1\Delta$ cells in rich vs. poor carbon, which suggests a failure in nutrient modulation of cell size.

Gin4-related kinases are required for nutrient modulation of TORC2 signaling

Elm1 controls the activities of three related kinases called Gin4, Kcc4, and Hsl1, which we refer to as Gin4-related kinases. It is thought that Elm1 directly phosphorylates the Gin4-related kinases to stimulate their activity, and combined loss of Gin4 and Hsl1 causes a phenotype similar to *elm1* Δ (Barral *et al.* 1999; Asano *et al.* 2006; Szkotnicki *et al.* 2008). Kcc4 does not appear to play a major role. Fission yeast homologs of Gin4 and Hsl1 are required for normal control of cell size in rich nutrients and for nutrient modulation of cell size (Young and Fantes 1987). An important and conserved function of the Gin4-related kinases is to promote progression

detected by Western blotting. In C and D, quantification of the ratio between phosphorylated/unphosphorylated forms of Rts1 and total Rts1 is represented for two biological replicates. Both the intermediate and hyper-phosphorylated forms of Rts1 were included when quantifying the phosphorylated forms of Rts1. Error bars represent the SD of the mean.




Figure 4 Budding yeast homologs of vertebrate Lkb1 kinase modulate TORC2 signaling. (A) Cells of the indicated genotypes were grown to log phase in YPD medium. Rts1, Ypk-pT662, and Ypk1 were analyzed by Western blotting. Quantification of the Ypk-pT662 signal over total Ypk1 protein is shown. Error bars represent the SD of the mean of three biological replicates. (B and C) Cells of the indicated genotype were grown to log phase in YPD medium and were then shifted to YPG/E medium. Rts1, Ypk-pT662, and Ypk1 were detected by Western blotting. * indicates a background band. Quantification of the Ypk-pT662 signal over total Ypk1 protein, and the ratio between phosphorylated/unphosphorylated forms of Rts1 and total Rts1 are represented for two biological replicates. Both the intermediate and hyper-phosphorylated forms of Rts1 were included when quantifying the phosphorylated forms of Rts1. Error bars represent the SD of the mean.




Figure 5 Gin4-related kinases are required for nutrient modulation of TORC2 signaling. (A) Cells of the indicated genotypes were grown to log phase in YPD medium. Rts1, Ypk-pT662, and Ypk1 were detected by Western blotting. * indicates a background band. The Ypk-pT662 signal was quantified as a ratio of the pT662 signal over the Ypk1 signal. Error bars represent the SD of the mean of three biological replicates. (B) Wild-type and Gin4-related kinase mutants were grown in YPD medium and were then shifted to YPG/E medium. Rts1, Ypk-pT662, and Ypk1 were detected by Western blotting. * indicates a background band. Quantification of the Ypk-pT662 signal over total Ypk1 protein, the ratio between phosphorylated/unphosphorylated forms of Rts1 and total Rts1 is represented for two biological replicates. Error bars represent the SD of the mean.

through mitosis via inhibition of the Wee1 kinase, an inhibitor of mitotic progression. Loss of Wee1 causes premature progression through mitosis and reduced cell size in both budding yeast and fission yeast, and the large size of yeast cells that lack Gin4-related kinases is partially rescued by inactivation of Wee1 (Ma *et al.* 1996; Barral *et al.* 1999; Jorgensen *et al.* 2002; Harvey and Kellogg 2003; Harvey *et al.* 2005). However, the mechanisms by which Gin4-related kinases inhibit Wee1 are poorly understood.

To investigate further, we tested whether loss of the Gin4related kinases caused effects on TORC2 signaling. In cells growing in rich carbon, loss of individual Gin4-related kinases caused little or no effect on TORC2 signaling, but combined loss of all three caused a reduction in TORC2 signaling similar to the reduction caused by $elm1\Delta$ (Figure 5A). In a shift from rich to poor carbon, cells lacking all three Gin4-related kinases showed reduced hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1, which can be seen as an increase in the fraction of Rts1 found in the dephosphorylated and intermediate phosphorylated forms. Loss of the Gin4-related kinases also caused defective modulation of TORC2 signaling in response to poor carbon (Figure 5B).

Loss of Elm1 or the Gin4-related kinases also caused increased electrophoretic mobility of Ypk1 (bottom panels in Figure 4A and Figure 5A). Since shifts in the electrophoretic mobility of Ypk1 are due to the Fpk1/2 kinases (Roelants *et al.* 2010), the data suggest that Fpk1/2 have reduced activity in cells that lack Elm1 or the Gin4-related kinases. In contrast, a previous study found evidence that Gin4, but not Hs11 or Kcc4, inhibits Fpk1/2 (Roelants *et al.* 2015). There are significant technical differences in experimental approaches that could explain the different conclusions.

The effect of $elm1\Delta$ on Rts1 hyper-phosphorylation in poor carbon appeared to be stronger than the effect caused by loss of all three Gin4-related kinases (compare Figure 4B and Figure 5B). This observation suggests that Elm1 may influence Rts1 phosphorylation via multiple pathways, including one that that is independent of the Gin4-related kinases.

Elm1 and the Gin4-related kinases do not influence TORC2 signaling solely via Rts1

We next used epistasis analysis to investigate how Elm1 and the Gin4-related kinases influence TORC2 signaling. We discovered that increased TORC2 signaling in $rts1\Delta$ cells is dependent on Elm1 and the Gin4-related kinases (Figure 6, A and B). In previous work, we found that $rts1\Delta$ causes hyper-phosphorylation of Mss4, an important upstream activator of the TORC2 network (Lucena *et al.* 2018). Here, we found that loss of Elm1 or all three Gin4-related kinases does not cause effects on Mss4 phosphorylation that can be detected via electrophoretic mobility shifts (Figure 6C and M. Alcaide-Gavilan, R. Lucena, and D.R. Kellogg, unpublished data). Together, these observations suggest that Elm1 and the Gin4-related kinases influence TORC2 signaling at a step that is downstream of both PP2A^{Rts1} and Mss4.

Together, the data provide new insights into signals that influence cell growth and size via the TORC2 network. A model that could explain the data is shown in Figure 6D. We propose that the Lkb1-related kinases relay signals regarding carbon source to the TORC2 network. Of the Lkb1related kinases, Elm1 plays a dominant role, as loss of Elm1 alone caused decreased TORC2 signaling as well as a failure in modulation of TORC2 signaling in response to carbon source. Previous work suggested that Elm1 activates the Gin4-related kinases in a pathway that controls Wee1 (Asano et al. 2006; Szkotnicki et al. 2008). Here, we found that loss of the Gin4-related kinases causes effects on TORC2 signaling that are similar to those caused by loss of Elm1, which suggests that Elm1 also controls the Gin4related kinases in the context of TORC2 signaling. Cells that lack Elm1 or the Gin4-related kinases show no evidence of nutrient modulation of cell size, which provides further evidence that they influence TORC2 signaling. The Lkb1related kinases influence TORC2 signaling independently of Snf1/AMPK, which points to the existence of a surprising new pathway that links Lkb1-related kinases to TORC2 signaling. Alternative models are possible, especially given our limited understanding of the TORC2 signaling network. For example, the model suggests that the Gin4-related kinases provide an input into TORC2 signaling that is independent of Mss4, a lipid kinase that generates $PI(4,5)P_2$. However, the data do not rule out the possibility that the Gin4-related kinases are downstream of Mss4.

We further discovered that signals from the TORC2 network control phosphorylation of Rts1. In cells growing in rich nutrients, Rts1 is pushed toward an intermediate phosphorylation state during mitosis that is positively correlated with high levels of TORC2 signaling and high growth rate. In cells growing in poor carbon, Rts1 undergoes hyper-phosphorylation that is correlated with slow growth and reduced TORC2 signaling. In both contexts, normal phosphorylation of Rts1 is dependent on ceramides. Since ceramide production is controlled by TORC2-dependent signals, this observation strengthens previous genetic data that suggested a role for Rts1 in a ceramide-dependent feedback loop that negatively regulates TORC2 signaling (Lucena et al. 2018). Rts1 hyper-phosphorylation in poor carbon is correlated with a decrease in Rts1-associated phosphatase activity, consistent with a previous study that suggested that a fraction of Rts1 dissociates from PP2A in poor carbon (Castermans et al. 2012). A previous study suggested that the Rts1 that dissociates from PP2A in poor carbon could have PP2A-independent functions. It is not vet known whether hyper-phosphorylation of Rts1 is a cause or a consequence of dissociation from the PP2A complex.

Several observations suggest that the Gin4-related kinases are influenced by Rts1-dependent feedback signals from the TORC2 network. For example, proteome-wide mass spectrometry studies have found that all three of the Gin4-related kinases are strongly hyper-phosphorylated on multiple sites in *rts1* Δ cells, and that synthesis of ceramides influences Gin4 phosphorylation (Zapata et al. 2014; Lebesgue et al. 2017). In addition, the increased TORC2 signaling caused by $rts1\Delta$ is dependent on the Gin4-related kinases. Together, these observations suggest that the Gin4-related kinases influence TORC2 signaling, while TORC2 signaling influences the Gin4-related kinases. This connection is particularly intriguing because previous studies have shown that the Gin4related kinases are required for control of cell size in both budding yeast and fission yeast (Young and Fantes 1987; Ma et al. 1996; Altman and Kellogg 1997). The Gin4-related kinases control the Wee1 kinase, which is thought to influence cell size by ensuring that progression through mitosis occurs only when sufficient growth has occurred (Nurse 1975; Ma et al. 1996; Shulewitz et al. 1999; Sreenivasan and Kellogg 1999; Longtine et al. 2000). Thus, the discovery that the Gin4-related kinases are influenced by TORC2 signaling could provide an explanation for the previous observation that TORC2 signaling influences cell size (Lucena et al. 2018). Furthermore, the discovery that the Gin4-related kinases are embedded in the TORC2 feedback loop and also control Wee1




Figure 6 Elm1 and the Gin4-related kinases do not influence TORC2 signaling solely via Rts1. (A and B) Cells of the indicated genotypes were grown to log phase in YPD medium and Rts1, Ypk-pT662, and Ypk1 were detected by Western blotting. * indicates a background band. The Ypk-pT662 signal was quantified as a ratio of the pT662 signal over the Ypk1 signal. Error bars represent the SD of the mean of three biological replicates. (C) Cells of the indicated genotypes were grown to log phase in YPD medium. Mss4-3XHA was detected by Western blotting. (D) A proposed model to explain the signals that influence cell growth and size via the TORC2 network.

establishes further connections between cell growth control and cell size control. We propose that the Gin4-related kinases provide a readout of the level of signaling in the TORC2 feedback loop that is used to set the threshold amount of growth required for progression through mitosis. This kind of model would provide a simple mechanistic explanation for the close relationship between cell size and growth rate. Since cell size can be viewed as an outcome of growth control, it would make sense that growth control and size control evolved together and are mechanistically linked. Testing this model will require a better understanding of the mechanisms by which Elm1 and the Gin4-related kinases influence both TORC2 signaling and cell cycle progression.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of our laboratory for advice and support. We also thank Ted Powers (University of California, Davis) for the Ypk-pT662 phospho-specific antibody and John Tamkun (University of California, Santa Cruz) for the 12CA5 antibody. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant GM053959.

Literature Cited

- Altman, R., and D. Kellogg, 1997 Control of mitotic events by Nap1 and the Gin4 kinase. J. Cell Biol. 138: 119–130. https:// doi.org/10.1083/jcb.138.1.119
- Aronova, S., K. Wedaman, P. A. Aronov, K. Fontes, K. Ramos et al., 2008 Regulation of ceramide biosynthesis by TOR complex 2. Cell Metab. 7: 148–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2007.11.015
- Artiles, K., S. Anastasia, D. McCusker, and D. R. Kellogg, 2009 The Rts1 regulatory subunit of protein phosphatase 2A is required for control of G1 cyclin transcription and nutrient modulation of cell size. PLoS Genet. 5: e1000727.
- Asano, S., J.-E. Park, L.-R. Yu, M. Zhou, K. Sakchaisri *et al.*, 2006 Direct phosphorylation and activation of a Nim1-related kinase Gin4 by Elm1 in budding yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 281: 27090–27098. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M601483200
- Barral, Y., M. Parra, S. Bidlingmaier, and M. Snyder, 1999 Nim1related kinases coordinate cell cycle progression with the organization of the peripheral cytoskeleton in yeast. Genes Dev. 13: 176–187. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.13.2.176
- Berchtold, D., M. Piccolis, N. Chiaruttini, I. Riezman, H. Riezman et al., 2012 Plasma membrane stress induces relocalization of Slm proteins and activation of TORC2 to promote sphingolipid synthesis. Nat. Cell Biol. 14: 542–547. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/ncb2480
- Biondi, R. M., A. Kieloch, R. A. Currie, M. Deak, and D. R. Alessi, 2001 The PIF-binding pocket in PDK1 is essential for activation of S6K and SGK, but not PKB. EMBO J. 20: 4380–4390. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/20.16.4380
- Blacketer, M. J., C. M. Koehler, S. G. Coats, A. M. Myers, and P. Madaule, 1993 Regulation of dimorphism in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: involvement of the novel protein kinase homolog Elm1p and protein phosphatase 2A. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 5567–5581. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.13.9.5567
- Brauer, M. J., C. Huttenhower, E. M. Airoldi, R. Rosenstein, J. C. Matese *et al.*, 2008 Coordination of growth rate, cell cycle, stress response, and metabolic activity in yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 19: 352–367. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e07-08-0779

- Breslow, D. K., and J. S. Weissman, 2010 Membranes in balance: mechanisms of sphingolipid homeostasis. Mol. Cell 40: 267– 279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.10.005
- Breslow, D. K., S. R. Collins, B. Bodenmiller, R. Aebersold, K. Simons et al., 2010 Orm family proteins mediate sphingolipid homeostasis. Nature 463: 1048–1053. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature08787
- Casamayor, A., P. D. Torrance, T. Kobayashi, J. Thorner, and D. R. Alessi, 1999 Functional counterparts of mammalian protein kinases PDK1 and SGK in budding yeast. Curr. Biol. 9: 186– 197. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(99)80088-8
- Castermans, D., I. Somers, J. Kriel, W. Louwet, S. Wera *et al.*, 2012 Glucose-induced posttranslational activation of protein phosphatases PP2A and PP1 in yeast. Cell Res. 22: 1058– 1077. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2012.20
- Clarke, J., N. Dephoure, I. Horecka, S. Gygi, and D. Kellogg, 2017 A conserved signaling network monitors delivery of sphingolipids to the plasma membrane in budding yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 28: 2589–2599. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e17-01-0081
- Dickson, R. C., 2008 Thematic review series: sphingolipids. New insights into sphingolipid metabolism and function in budding yeast. J. Lipid Res. 49: 909–921. https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr. R800003-JLR200
- Fantes, P., and P. Nurse, 1977 Control of cell size at division in fission yeast by a growth-modulated size control over nuclear division. Exp. Cell Res. 107: 377–386. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0014-4827(77)90359-7
- Faubert, B., E. E. Vincent, T. Griss, B. Samborska, S. Izreig *et al.*, 2014 Loss of the tumor suppressor LKB1 promotes metabolic reprogramming of cancer cells via HIF-1α. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111: 2554–2559. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1312570111
- Futcher, B., 1999 Cell cycle synchronization. Methods Cell Sci. 21: 79–86. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009872403440
- García-Martínez, J. M., and D. R. Alessi, 2008 mTOR complex 2 (mTORC2) controls hydrophobic motif phosphorylation and activation of serum- and glucocorticoid-induced protein kinase 1 (SGK1). Biochem. J. 416: 375–385. https://doi.org/10.1042/ BJ20081668
- Goranov, A. I., M. Cook, M. Ricicova, G. Ben-Ari, C. Gonzalez *et al.*, 2009 The rate of cell growth is governed by cell cycle stage. Genes Dev. 23: 1408–1422. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad. 1777309
- Guillas, I., P. A. Kirchman, R. Chuard, M. Pfefferli, J. C. Jiang et al., 2001 C26-CoA-dependent ceramide synthesis of Saccharomyces cerevisiae is operated by Lag1p and Lac1p. EMBO J. 20: 2655–2665. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/20.11.2655
- Harvey, S. L., and D. R. Kellogg, 2003 Conservation of mechanisms controlling entry into mitosis: budding yeast weel delays entry into mitosis and is required for cell size control. Curr. Biol. 13: 264–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(03)00049-6
- Harvey, S. L., A. Charlet, W. Haas, S. P. Gygi, and D. R. Kellogg, 2005 Cdk1-dependent regulation of the mitotic inhibitor Wee1. Cell 122: 407–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell. 2005.05.029
- Harvey, S. L., G. Enciso, N. Dephoure, S. P. Gygi, J. Gunawardena et al., 2011 A phosphatase threshold sets the level of Cdk1 activity in early mitosis in budding yeast. Mol. Biol. Cell 22: 3595–3608. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e11-04-0340
- Hirsch, J., and P. W. Han, 1969 Cellularity of rat adipose tissue: effects of growth, starvation, and obesity. J. Lipid Res. 10: 77– 82.
- Hong, S.-P., F. C. Leiper, A. Woods, D. Carling, and M. Carlson, 2003 Activation of yeast Snf1 and mammalian AMP-activated protein kinase by upstream kinases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100: 8839–8843. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1533136100

- Janke, C., M. M. Magiera, N. Rathfelder, C. Taxis, S. Reber *et al.*, 2004 A versatile toolbox for PCR-based tagging of yeast genes: new fluorescent proteins, more markers and promoter substitution cassettes. Yeast 21: 947–962.
- Johnston, G. C., J. R. Pringle, and L. H. Hartwell, 1977 Coordination of growth with cell division in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Exp. Cell Res. 105: 79–98. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(77)90154-9
- Jorgensen, P., J. L. Nishikawa, B.-J. Breitkreutz, and M. Tyers, 2002 Systematic identification of pathways that couple cell growth and division in yeast. Science 297: 395–400. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1070850
- Kamada, Y., Y. Fujioka, N. N. Suzuki, F. Inagaki, S. Wullschleger *et al.*, 2005 Tor2 directly phosphorylates the AGC kinase Ypk2 to regulate actin polarization. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25: 7239–7248. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.25.16.7239-7248.2005
- Lebesgue, N., M. Megyeri, A. Cristobal, A. Scholten, S. G. Chuartzman et al., 2017 Combining deep sequencing, proteomics, phosphoproteomics, and functional screens to discover novel regulators of sphingolipid homeostasis. J. Proteome Res. 16: 571–582. https:// doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00691
- Leitao, R. M., and D. R. Kellogg, 2017 The duration of mitosis and daughter cell size are modulated by nutrients in budding yeast. J. Cell Biol. 216: 3463–3470. https://doi.org/10.1083/ jcb.201609114
- Longtine, M. S., A. McKenzie, D. J. DeMarini, N. G. Shah, A. Wach et al., 1998 Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 14: 953–961.
- Longtine, M. S., C. L. Theesfeld, J. N. McMillan, E. Weaver, J. R. Pringle *et al.*, 2000 Septin-dependent assembly of a cell cycleregulatory module in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20: 4049–4061. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.20.11.4049-4061.2000
- Lucena, R., M. Alcaide-Gavilan, S. D. Anastasia, and D. R. Kellogg, 2017 Wee1 and Cdc25 are controlled by conserved PP2A-dependent mechanisms in fission yeast. Cell Cycle 16: 428–435. https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2017.1281476
- Lucena, R., M. Alcaide-Gavilan, K. Schubert, M. He, M. G. Domnauer et al., 2018 Cell size and growth rate are modulated by TORC2dependent signals. Curr. Biol. 28: 196–210.e4. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.069
- Ma, X. J., Q. Lu, and M. Grunstein, 1996 A search for proteins that interact genetically with histone H3 and H4 amino termini uncovers novel regulators of the Swe1 kinase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 10: 1327–1340. https://doi.org/ 10.1101/gad.10.11.1327
- Martínez-Montañés, F., and R. Schneiter, 2016 Following the flux of long-chain bases through the sphingolipid pathway in vivo using mass spectrometry. J. Lipid Res. 57: 906–915. https://doi. org/10.1194/jlr.D066472
- McCusker, D., A. Royou, C. Velours, and D. Kellogg, 2012 Cdk1dependent control of membrane-trafficking dynamics. Mol. Biol. Cell 23: 3336–3347. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e11-10-0834
- Miyake, Y., Y. Kozutsumi, S. Nakamura, T. Fujita, and T. Kawasaki, 1995 Serine palmitoyltransferase is the primary target of a sphingosine-like immunosuppressant, ISP-1/myriocin. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 211: 396–403. https://doi.org/10. 1006/bbrc.1995.1827
- Muir, A., S. Ramachandran, F. M. Roelants, G. Timmons, and J. Thorner, 2014 TORC2-dependent protein kinase Ypk1 phosphorylates ceramide synthase to stimulate synthesis of complex sphingolipids. eLife 3: e03779. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03779
- Niles, B. J., H. Mogri, A. Hill, A. Vlahakis, and T. Powers, 2012 Plasma membrane recruitment and activation of the

AGC kinase Ypk1 is mediated by target of rapamycin complex 2 (TORC2) and its effector proteins Slm1 and Slm2. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109: 1536–1541. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117563109

- Nurse, P., 1975 Genetic control of cell size at cell division in yeast. Nature 256: 547–551. https://doi.org/10.1038/256547a0
- Roelants, F. M., A. G. Baltz, A. E. Trott, S. Fereres, and J. Thorner, 2010 A protein kinase network regulates the function of aminophospholipid flippases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107: 34–39. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0912497106
- Roelants, F. M., D. K. Breslow, A. Muir, J. S. Weissman, and J. Thorner, 2011 Protein kinase Ypk1 phosphorylates regulatory proteins Orm1 and Orm2 to control sphingolipid homeostasis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 19222–19227. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1116948108
- Roelants, F. M., B. M. Su, J. von Wulffen, S. Ramachandran, and E. Sartorel *et al.*, 2015 Protein kinase Gin4 negatively regulates flippase function and controls plasma membrane asymmetry. J. Cell Biol. 208: 299–311. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201410076
- Schaechter, M., O. Maaloe, and N. O. Kjeldgaard, 1958 Dependency on medium and temperature of cell size and chemical composition during balanced grown of Salmonella typhimurium. J. Gen. Microbiol. 19: 592–606. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-19-3-592
- Schmoller, K. M., J. J. Turner, M. Kõivomägi, and J. M. Skotheim, 2015 Dilution of the cell cycle inhibitor Whi5 controls budding-yeast cell size. Nature 526: 268–272. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nature14908
- Schneider, C. A., W. S. Rasband, and K. W. Eliceiri, 2012 NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods 9: 671–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089
- Schorling, S., B. Vallée, W. P. Barz, H. Riezman, and D. Oesterhelt, 2001 Lag1p and Lac1p are essential for the Acyl-CoA-dependent ceramide synthase reaction in Saccharomyces cerevisae. Mol. Biol. Cell 12: 3417–3427. https://doi.org/10.1091/ mbc.12.11.3417
- Shu, Y., H. Yang, E. Hallberg, and R. Hallberg, 1997 Molecular genetic analysis of Rts1p, a B' regulatory subunit of Saccharomyces cerevisiae protein phosphatase 2A. Mol. Cell. Biol. 17: 3242–3253. https://doi.org/10.1128/ MCB.17.6.3242
- Shulewitz, M. J., C. J. Inouye, and J. Thorner, 1999 Hsl7 localizes to a septin ring and serves as an adapter in a regulatory pathway that relieves tyrosine phosphorylation of Cdc28 protein kinase in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19: 7123–7137. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.19.10.7123
- Sreenivasan, A., and D. Kellogg, 1999 The elm1 kinase functions in a mitotic signaling network in budding yeast. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19: 7983–7994. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.19.12.7983
- Sreenivasan, A., A. C. Bishop, K. M. Shokat, and D. R. Kellogg, 2003 Specific inhibition of Elm1 kinase activity reveals functions required for early G1 events. Mol. Cell. Biol. 23: 6327– 6337 [corrigenda: Mol. Cell Biol. 26: 3337 (2006)]. https://doi. org/10.1128/MCB.23.17.6327-6337.2003
- Sun, Y., Y. Miao, Y. Yamane, C. Zhang, K. M. Shokat *et al.*, 2012 Orm protein phosphoregulation mediates transient sphingolipid biosynthesis response to heat stress via the Pkh-Ypk and Cdc55–PP2A pathways. Mol. Biol. Cell 23: 2388– 2398. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e12-03-0209
- Sung, Y., A. Tzur, S. Oh, W. Choi, V. Li *et al.*, 2013 Size homeostasis in adherent cells studied by synthetic phase microscopy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110: 16687–16692. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1315290110
- Sutherland, C. M., S. A. Hawley, R. R. McCartney, A. Leech, M. J. R. Stark *et al.*, 2003 Elm1p is one of three upstream kinases for the Saccharomyces cerevisiae SNF1 complex. Curr.

Biol. 13: 1299-1305. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(03)00459-7

- Szkotnicki, L., J. M. Crutchley, T. R. Zyla, E. S. G. Bardes, and D. J. Lew, 2008 The checkpoint kinase Hsl1p is activated by Elm1pdependent phosphorylation. Mol. Biol. Cell 19: 4675–4686. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e08-06-0663
- Tzur, A., R. Kafri, V. S. LeBleu, G. Lahav, and M. W. Kirschner, 2009 Cell growth and size homeostasis in proliferating animal cells. Science 325: 167–171. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1174294
- Woods, A., S. R. Johnstone, K. Dickerson, F. C. Leiper, L. G. D. Fryer et al., 2003 LKB1 is the upstream kinase in the AMP-activated

protein kinase cascade. Curr. Biol. 13: 2004–2008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2003.10.031

- Young, P. G., and P. A. Fantes, 1987 Schizosaccharomyces pombe mutants affected in their division response to starvation. J. Cell Sci. 88: 295–304.
- Zapata, J., N. Dephoure, T. Macdonough, Y. Yu, E. J. Parnell *et al.*, 2014 PP2ARts1 is a master regulator of pathways that control cell size. J. Cell Biol. 204: 359–376. https://doi.org/10.1083/ jcb.201309119

Communicating editor: A. Gladfelter