UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

The structure of an antitumor C(H)2-domain-deleted humanized antibody.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2qw674k9>

Journal

Journal of molecular biology, 348(5)

ISSN

0022-2836

Authors

Larson, Steven B
Day, John S
Glaser, Scott
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2005-05-01

DOI

10.1016/j.jmb.2005.03.036

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

The Structure of an Antitumor C_H2-domain-deleted Humanized Antibody

Steven B. Larson¹, John S. Day¹, Scott Glaser², Gary Braslawsky² and Alexander McPherson^{1*}

¹Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry
The University of California
Irvine, CA 92697-3900, USA

²Biogen Idec Corp., San Diego
CA 92122, USA

C_H2-domain-deleted CC49 (HuCC49ΔCH2), a recombinant humanized antibody that recognizes the TAG-72 antigen expressed on a variety of human carcinomas, is secreted from cultured cells as a mixture of two homodimeric isoforms. Isoform A contains two covalent interchain disulfide bonds at heavy chain positions 239 and 242, while isoform B fails to develop any interchain disulfide bonds but has 239–242 intrachain disulfide bonds instead. Form A is currently in preclinical development as a therapeutic agent for treating colorectal carcinoma, though form B shows equal efficacy.

HuCC49ΔCH2 form B can be crystallized from sodium formate only in the presence of detergents. X-ray diffraction data were collected on a single cryo-cooled crystal grown with Triton X-100 and the structure was solved by molecular replacement. The model has refined to $R = 0.246$ ($R_{\text{free}} = 0.297$) for 2.8 Å data. The antibodies pack in the crystal around crystallographic 2-fold axes as tetramers with approximate 222 symmetry. Atomic force microscopy studies show that this tetrameric structure is the crystal building block and also exists free in the mother liquor. The tetramer is composed of two rings, back-to-back, with a thickness of ~83 Å. Each ring is composed of two antibodies with the complementarity-determining regions (CDR) of the two Fabs of one antibody interacting with the CDR regions of the second antibody in a head-to-head fashion. These rings are approximately 167 Å long and 112 Å wide. The C_H3 domain is inverted with respect to the Fabs when compared to the usual orientation found in conventional antibodies. The polypeptides joining the C_H3 domains to the Fab portions of the antibody are not seen and are almost certainly disordered. The antigen combining site of HuCC49ΔCH2 is very similar, but not identical, in topology and charge distribution to that of antibody B72.3, which binds a similar epitope on TAG-72. The combining site consists of a deep cleft, heavily lined with aromatic amino acid side-chains but bounded by numerous charged groups.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: quaternary structure; X-ray crystallography; antibody engineering; Tn antigen; antibody CC49

*Corresponding author

Introduction

C_H2-domain-deleted antibodies are a class of genetically engineered antibody reagents being developed for radioimmunotherapy (RIT) of solid tumors. As radioimmunotherapeutics, these

antibodies address the issue of alleviating certain dose-limiting toxicities, primarily bone marrow toxicity, found with full-length immunoglobulin G (IgG) radiolabeled antibodies in the circulatory system and vascular pools.^{1–3} HuCC49ΔCH2, a humanized C_H2-domain-deleted CC49 monoclonal antibody (mAb), has high affinity for the TAG-72 antigen expressed on a majority of human carcinomas including colorectal, gastric, pancreatic, lung, and ovarian.⁴ In human tumor mouse xenograft models, treatment with HuCC49ΔCH2 resulted in tumors efficiently retaining the antibody, but rapid serum clearance was exhibited compared

Abbreviations used: RIT, radioimmunotherapy; mAb, monoclonal antibody; AFM, atomic force microscopy; CDR, complementarity-determining region; Ig, immunoglobulin.

E-mail address of the corresponding author: amcphers@uci.edu

to full-length CC49 IgG,⁴ presumably due to the smaller size (~122 kDa) of the antibody and its inability to recycle through FcRn receptors. Recent clinical studies with low-dose [¹³¹I]-HuCC49ΔCH2 in a small group of patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma have shown the radioimmunotherapeutic to be well tolerated, and exhibit a demonstrably reduced serum half-life compared to earlier studies with the full-length CC49 IgG.⁵

Biosynthesis of HuCC49ΔCH2 in mammalian cells produces two homodimeric isoforms present in approximately a 50:50 mixture. As illustrated in Figure 1, one isoform, referred to as form A, contains covalent interchain disulfide bonds at heavy chain hinge positions 239 and 242, Kabat numbering system.⁶ The second isoform, form B, is presumably held together by non-covalent interactions within the C_H3 domains, and fails to develop interchain hinge disulfide bonds as evidenced by the formation of a 60 kDa product following non-reducing denaturing gel electrophoresis. Biodistribution and compound stability studies support that form A is the preferred molecule for therapeutic development and methods for the separation and purification of form A from form B have been developed.

Though derived independently, and from different sources, antibody CC49, from which

HuCC49ΔCH2 was derived, is closely related to another murine antibody, B72.3. The latter antibody binds a similar epitope on TAG-72 and has similar, though not so effective, antitumor affinities to CC49. B72.3 is noteworthy because of the structural similarity to CC49 found here, and the fact that, because of its earlier discovery,⁷ it has been the subject of more extensive studies and characterization, including an X-ray structure analysis of its antigen binding fragment.⁸

The engineered antibody studied here, HuCC49ΔCH2 form B, is a chimeric antibody in which the complementarity-determining regions (CDR) of the Fabs are of mouse origin, while the remainder of the antibody is derived from a human antibody sequence. The C_H2 domain is entirely missing, and the C_H3 domain is linked directly to the Fabs through polypeptides, which are 20 amino acid residues in length. With no interchain disulfide bonds between heavy chains, the three domains of the antibody might be expected to exhibit high degrees of flexibility and exist in a wide range of dispositions. Thus, it was with some surprise that we found that form B would crystallize, while the presumably more conformationally stable form A would not.

Details of the crystallization of HuCC49ΔCH2, the characterization of the crystals by X-ray diffraction, and an atomic force microscopy (AFM) investigation of the crystals were reported earlier.⁹ Those analyses indicated that the crystallization unit was composed of four HuCC49ΔCH2 antibodies arranged in a toroidal structure. They further showed that the tetrameric aggregates existed independent of the crystals and were present in solution. The analysis presented here is consistent with those conclusions and reveals the detailed structure of the antibodies and their oligomeric organization.

At this point in time, only four other intact monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) have been analyzed by X-ray crystallography, though two other intact hinge-deleted immunoglobulins have also been determined.^{10,11} At least two other intact antibodies have been crystallized but not solved. HuCC49ΔCH2 form B, therefore, represents the fifth intact monoclonal antibody whose structure has been solved by X-ray diffraction, though it does lack the C_H2 domain. Furthermore, it is the first humanized antibody, and the first lacking disulfide bridges in the hinge region.

Results

The model

The atomic model of the asymmetric unit of the crystals of HuCC49ΔCH2 contains two antibodies, each with two light chains of 220 amino acid residues and two heavy chains of 324 amino acid residues for a total of eight chains, 2176 residues, and 16,740 atoms. The hinge regions of the heavy

HUMANIZED C_H2-DOMAIN-DELETED CC49 ANTIBODY HuCC49ΔCH2

Figure 1. The C_H2-domain-deleted antibody HuCC49ΔCH2 exists in two forms, A and B, differing only in the presence of interchain disulfide bonds (form A), or intrachain disulfide bonds (form B). The two isoforms occur in equal proportions and give rise in a Western blot to the bands shown here. The gel is a non-reducing, SDS-PAGE, followed by Western blot, of unprocessed culture supernatant from producer CHO cells. The gel was probed with rabbit anti-human κ-chain antibody. The cell line, in addition to producing the A and B forms of HuCC49ΔCH2, secretes an excess of light chains as both monomers and dimers. The two forms of HuCC49ΔCH2 have shown equal efficacy against tumor cells in clinical trials. In form B, the heavy chains are held together only by C_H3 domain non-covalent interactions.

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics and parameters

<i>A. Data collection</i>			
Wavelength λ (Å)		0.9194	
Resolution (Å)		2.8–45.1 (2.8–2.9)	
R_{merge}		0.152 (0.365)	
$\langle I/\sigma_I \rangle$		10.9 (5.0)	
Completeness		99.87 (99.83)	
Number of unique reflections		76,929 (7574)	
Observations		696,849	
Redundancy		9.06 (7.62)	
<i>B. Crystal data</i>			
Space group		$P2_12_12$	
Z		8	
Unit cell parameters (Å)		$a=83$; $b=224$; $c=167$	
<i>C. Refinement and model statistics</i>			
Resolution (Å)		2.8–45.1	
Reflections ($F > 4\sigma_F$)			
Working set		70,738 (91.8%)	
Test set		5924 (7.7%)	
$R_{\text{cryst}}/R_{\text{free}}/R_{\text{all data}}$		0.246/0.297/0.247	
Number of residues		2176	
Number of non-hydrogen atoms		16,740	
B factors (Å ² , average, minimum, maximum)		40.6, 2.0, 120.7	
rmsd from ideal geometry			
Bond lengths (Å)		0.013	
Bond angles (deg.)		1.87	
Impropers (deg.)		1.28	
Dihedrals (deg.)		27.3	
Ramachandran plot (% mf, f, a, d) ^a		80.7, 16.3, 2.1, 0.9	
NCS restraints			
Equivalence groups (CA, N C atoms only)	NCS weight	Sigma B	$\langle B \rangle$ (Å ²)
Light chain 1–114	210	6	31
Light chain 115–215	150	12	45
Light chain 216–220	None	None	90
Heavy chain 1–39	210	6	32
Heavy chain 40–45	None	None	35
Heavy chain 46–114	210	6	26
Heavy chain 115–128	150	12	45
Heavy chain 129–136	None	None	99
Heavy chain 137–213	150	12	43
Heavy chain 214–218	None	None	90
Heavy chain 219–238 (hinge region)	Not in model	Not in model	–
Heavy chain 239–315	210	6	47
Heavy chain 316–319	None	None	40
Heavy chain 320–344	210	6	57

^a mf, most-favored; f, additionally allowed; g, generously allowed; d, disallowed.

chains, residues 219 through 238, which are not visible in electron density maps, are not included in the model. Antibody 1 is composed of light chains A and C, and heavy chains B and D; antibody 2 contains light chains E and G, and heavy chains F and H. Table 1 gives statistics regarding the model. Figure 2 illustrates a representative region of electron density from a composite omit map.

A backbone tracing of a single HuCC49ΔCH2 antibody is shown in Figure 3(a). Two of these antibodies are bound to each other in the asymmetric unit in a head-to-head fashion through the CDR regions to form a ring, as illustrated in Figure 3(b) and (c). Furthermore, two rings related by the crystallographic 2-fold axis along c form a toroid-like assembly, shown in Figure 4, in which the two rings are rotated with respect to each other by 8°. Upon tetramer formation, a remarkable 7100 Å² of accessible surface area of the freestanding ring is

buried, which is evenly distributed over all two-domain groups (see Table 2). The tetramer assembly has approximate 222 symmetry with a pseudo-2-fold axis parallel with each of the a and b -axes. This approximate 222 symmetry appears in the root-mean-square deviations (rmsds) between the equivalent chains; rmsds for the pseudo 2-fold-related light chains (A–E and C–G, C^α atoms of residues 1–215) are 0.47 Å and 0.51 Å, respectively, whereas rmsds for the other four pairings (A–C, A–G, C–E, E–G) range from 1.08–1.29 Å. A similar difference is seen in the rmsds (C^α atoms of residues 1–215) of the heavy chains; pairs B–E and D–H have rmsds of 0.35 Å and 0.39 Å, respectively, and pairs B–D, B–H, D–F, and F–H have rmsds in the range of 0.77–0.97 Å. The chains of the C_H3 domains exhibit a narrow range in rmsds of 0.13–0.19 Å. The elbow angles of the Fab fragments are also consistent with pseudo 2-fold symmetry and account for the

Figure 2. Stereo diagram of a portion of the polypeptide of HuCC49 Δ CH2, residues 87 through 100 of light chain A, superimposed upon its corresponding electron density from a composite electron density omit map.

disparity in the rmsds; the AB and EF Fab fragments have elbow angles of 144° and 145°, respectively, whereas the CD and GH fragments have angles of 137° and 139°, respectively.

There are several regions in the antibodies (besides the unseen hinges) that exhibit disorder, as indicated by large *B* values. This is apparent in the average *B* values of the NCS equivalent groups described in Table 1. In all chains the variable domains (residues 1–114) have lower *B*-factors than the constant domains. Although the variable domains of the two antibodies exhibit similar patterns in *B*-factors, with average *B*-factors in the narrow range of 22–36 Å², the constant domains of antibody 2 have significantly higher values than those of antibody 1 (61 Å² versus 34 Å²).

Several of these high-*B*-factor regions also exhibit poorly defined electron density in composite omit maps. Specifically, the loop at residues 129–136 of all heavy chains has broken, difficult-to-model density, consistent with the structures of many other antibodies and antibody fragments; the C-terminal ends of all C_L and C_H1 domains are poorly defined despite the presence of the disulfide bond connecting the corresponding C_L and C_H1 domains together; the maps in the hinge regions, residues 219–238, are totally devoid of recognizable structure; and, finally, the last two or three residues of the heavy chains have poorly defined density.

The missing hinge region is 20 amino acid residues in length and would span more than 60 Å in an extended conformation. The distance between the carbonyl carbon atom of residue 218 and the peptide nitrogen atom of residue 239 of the four heavy chains ranges from 15.3 Å in chain D to 20.4 Å in chain B. Thus, there is undoubtedly substantial slack in the hinges of both antibodies. The slack results from the absence of the interchain disulfide bonds at cysteine residues 224 and 227 (model numbering) that characterize form A of the antibody. It is the flexibility in the hinge that most likely favors crystallization of form B over form A. The hinge flexibility allows the C_H3 domains to invert the orientation from that found in previously analyzed intact antibodies.^{10–12} Furthermore, the lack of interchain disulfide bonds in the hinge removes constraints on the Fabs, permitting them to separate at the C-terminal end, thus, enabling each Fab moiety of one antibody to align in a colinear fashion with its Fab counterpart in the second antibody in a self-complementary manner (as seen in Figure 3(b)), similar to a previously described structure of an anti-antiidiotypic Fab fragment.¹³

The interactions between the Fabs of the two antibodies in the asymmetric unit are slightly different for the two interfaces (see Table 2), especially between chains D and E. Clearly, the dominant interactions are between the light chain of

Figure 3. In (a) is a stereo diagram of one HuCC49 Δ CH2 antibody of the four comprising a toroidal complex. The N termini of the C_H3 domain and the C termini of the C_H1 and C_H3 domains are labeled and the probable hinge connections are shown by broken lines. The Fab domains have a parallel orientation and the C_H3 domain is inverted with respect to the orientation previously seen in intact antibodies. Hence, the C termini of the C_H3 domain are between the two Fab domains rather than directed away from the Fab domains, as seen in intact antibodies. The hinge polypeptides joining the C_H3 domains to the Fab domains are not seen in electron density maps and are, presumably, disordered. In this diagram, and all to follow, the heavy chains are in yellow and white and the light chains are in red and blue. In (b) and (c), a dimer of two antibodies, formed by interactions of their CDR loops, creates a ring. The ring is seen en face in (b) and on edge in (c). The two antibodies composing a single ring are related by a pseudo dyad axis perpendicular to the ring.

one Fab and the heavy chain of its counterpart. Figure 5 illustrates the hydrogen bonding interactions between the heavy chain B and light chain G, which exhibit one additional hydrogen bond in

contrast to the other three light chain–heavy chain interfaces. The residues from the light chain that are involved in these interactions are located on CDR1. Those involved from the heavy chain reside on

Figure 4. The toroidal complex formed by two antibody rings (Figure 3) about an exact 2-fold axis is seen viewed along the toroidal axis in (a) and orthogonal to that axis in (b). This toroidal assembly is the building block of the crystal and exists in solution prior to crystallization. An extraordinary amount of surface area, more than 7000 Å², is buried upon formation of the tetramer, making it unlikely for the dimer in Figure 3 to exist independently in any significant amounts. There is an open channel along the axis of the toroid, which itself exhibits pseudo 222 symmetry, with one axis an exact crystallographic dyad.

CDR2. Intermolecular contacts in a dimer bury approximately 700 Å² of surface area on each variable domain. In a tetramer, an additional approximately 700 Å² of surface is buried on each of the variable and constant domains. Thus, dimer interactions, on a domain basis, are almost equal to the average domain interactions in a tetramer.

Figure 3 and the buried surface areas listed in Table 2 clearly show that in each antibody the C_H3 domain interacts strongly with only one Fab. The C_H3 domains of chains B and F have only seven and four interactions (interatomic distances <4 Å), respectively, with one possible hydrogen bond for chain B. On the other hand, the C_H3 regions of chains D and H have 88 and 82 interactions, predominantly with the light chains A and E, with 13 and ten possible hydrogen bonds, respectively. This suggests that the C_H3 groups, loosely tethered to the Fabs, assume arbitrary dispositions (with respect to the Fabs) until they eventually bind firmly to one Fab, predominantly with its light chain. Because the two Fab fragments of one antibody must have the same orientation in order to form the tetramer, the C_H3 domains of chains B and F do not have comparable regions of the light chain of the second Fab fragment in their respective antibodies with which to interact. Hence, the interactions involving chains B and F are limited and appear to be nothing more than packing interactions. This phenomenon could not occur in HuCC49ΔCH2 form A.

Packing

Figure 6 shows the packing of the toroid-like antibody assemblies. The thickness of the tetramer is approximately the same as the *a* axis of the unit cell (Figure 6(b)); the length is approximately equal to the length of the *c* axis (Figure 6(a)). There is evidence from AFM studies of HuCC49ΔCH2 and its crystals that, under the crystallization conditions noted above, tetrameric assemblies are present in solution, and that crystal growth proceeds through the incorporation of tetramers into the crystal lattice.⁹ In contrast to the ring-to-ring interface discussed above, there are only six contacts (interatomic distances <4 Å) between tetramers along the *a* axis and a total of only 463 Å² of buried surface area per ring assembly.

Discussion

The crystallization of form B of HuCC49ΔCH2 and the failure of form A to do so was unanticipated because we naturally associate crystallizability with conformational stability.¹⁴ The puzzle, however, is solved by the association of two, and then four entire antibodies into structured oligomeric entities. The quaternary interactions create a conformationally stable crystallizing unit from a collection of highly flexible, loosely associated domains. Form A

Table 2. Interactions in self-complementary Fab binding, in tetramer formation and between C_H3 domains and the Fab groups

Interactions	Fab interactions: chain pairings					
	A...H	G...B	B...H	C...F	E...D	D...F
van der Waals contacts (<4 Å)						
Number of contacts	39	39	7	37	27	7
Hydrogen bonds (in Å)						
Y31-OH...Y60-OH	2.47	2.76		2.86	2.92	
Y31-OH...L70-O	2.55	2.55		2.57	2.55	
Y38-OH...D56-O	2.71	2.91		2.65	2.52	
N34-ND2...D56-OD2	–	3.29		–	–	
Accessible (ASA) and buried surface areas (Å ²)						
Interaction	Group	Free-standing group ASA	Combined ASA	Buried surface area		
Tetramer	Ring	93,501	86,400	7101		
Tetramer	AB V _L /V _H in ring	9188	8408	781		
Tetramer	AB C _L /C _H 1 in ring	9088	8416	672		
Tetramer	CD V _L /V _H in ring	9030	8199	831		
Tetramer	CD C _L /C _H 1 in ring	9623	9155	469		
Tetramer	EF V _L /V _H in ring	9025	8202	823		
Tetramer	EF C _L /C _H 1 in ring	8996	8257	739		
Tetramer	GH V _L /V _H in ring	8987	8127	860		
Tetramer	GH C _L /C _H 1 in ring	9559	9027	532		
Tetramer	BD C _H 3/C _H 3 in ring	10,008	9292	716		
Tetramer	FH C _H 3/C _H 3 in ring	9995	9318	677		
Fab-Fab	AB V _L /V _H domain	10,657	9950	707		
Fab-Fab	GH V _L /V _H domain	10,499	9760	739		
Fab-Fab	CD V _L /V _H domain	10,544	9783	761		
Fab-Fab	EF V _L /V _H domain	10,559	9826	733		
Fab-C _H 3	AB C _L /C _H 1 domain	10,363	9804	558		
Fab-C _H 3	BD C _H 3/C _H 3 domain	10,732	10,118	614		
	CD C _L /C _H 1 domain	10,389	10,325	64		
Fab-C _H 3	BD C _H 3/C _H 3 domain	10,732	10,645	88		
	EF C _L /C _H 1 domain	10,288	9707	581		
Fab-C _H 3	FH C _H 3/C _H 3 domain	10,726	10,092	634		
	GH C _L /C _H 1 domain	10,371	10,302	69		
Fab-C _H 3	FH C _H 3/C _H 3 domain	10,726	10,645	81		

of HuCC49ΔCH2 cannot form such oligomers because of the interchain disulfide bonds in its hinge. It may be significant that both forms A and B exhibit similar efficacies in clinical trials. Presumably this means that binding of antibody to antigen is strictly a function of the CDR regions of the Fabs, and is not a matter of overall antibody

conformation, nor the degree to which various domains are associated.

In addition, B form assemblies likely exist only where the antibody is at high concentrations used for crystallization, though the large amount of surface area buried upon aggregation suggests that they might be present even at low

Figure 5. Stereo view of the hydrogen bonding interactions in the Fab–Fab interface between heavy chain B of antibody 1 and light chain G of antibody 2 (distances in Å). Except for the G–N34...B–D56 hydrogen bond, equivalent hydrogen bonding patterns exist between chains A and H, chains C and F, and chains D and E. Residue labels are composed of the chain name as the first letter, the one-letter amino acid code as the second letter and the residue number in the model as the last two characters.

Figure 6. Toroidal complexes of four HuCC49 Δ CH2 antibodies are shown as they are packed in the crystal. In (a) the view is along the crystallographic *a* axis, and in (b) along the *c* axis. The packing arrangement is consistent with that deduced earlier using AFM. Contacts between toroidal complexes in the crystals are meager compared to the contacts between antibody molecules within a single complex.

concentration. Dilution in the serum of patients would, however, likely resolve the complexes into their individual components.

The quaternary structural arrangement that we observe here is quite intricate and involves a number of interactions. It seems unlikely that it is simply fortuitous and has no functional significance, though at present we can assign none. At the very least it illustrates the kinds of quaternary interactions of which an antibody is capable, given sufficient freedom. As with other intact mAbs that have been investigated, the C_H3 domains, like the intact Fc domains, appear relatively free to assume arbitrary orientations with respect to the Fabs. Here, the C_H3 domains are completely inverted (see Figure 3(a)) from their usual orientation and maintain a stable position in the crystal by virtue of contacts with the constant domains of the Fabs and the 2-fold related C_H3 domain in the tetramer. Also noteworthy, is the fact that the two Fabs in this structure are not related by a dyad axis of symmetry (true or pseudo) as they are in all other intact antibodies. Here, the orientations in space are the same and the Fabs parallel. There is no contact between Fabs within an individual antibody;

contacts are between an Fab and two other Fabs within a tetramer.

The crystal structure of HuCC49 Δ CH2 is in excellent agreement with the preliminary analysis of the crystals by AFM, and predictions based on that analysis. From AFM it was concluded that the antibodies first formed rings of two antibodies, and that these then associated back-to-back to form a tetrameric toroidal assembly. AFM further showed that this toroidal unit formed in the mother liquor prior to incorporation into a crystal. It cannot be argued, given the AFM results, that the tetrameric assembly, whose structure was solved here, is simply a fortuitous consequence of crystal packing interactions. It is a stable entity.

Although this is an intact antibody, it lacks the C_H2 domain and clearly, therefore, cannot be considered structurally in the same manner as those that preceded it.^{10–12} It undoubtedly lacks many of the effector functions normally present, as well as requisite sites at the C_H2–C_H3 elbow for the binding of proteins such as protein A and protein G. On the other hand, the long and unrestrained hinge polypeptides, each of which could extend as much as 60 Å, permit the antibody a reach of nearly 200 Å

between the CDRs of the two Fabs. This could significantly affect the extent of antibody–antigen interactions on, for example, a cell surface.

In this antibody assembly, having pseudo 222 symmetry, the CDRs of any Fab are symmetry-related to the CDRs of a second antibody, with which they hydrogen bond and otherwise interact, by a 2-fold axis of symmetry. Such an arrangement has been seen at least once before in the crystal structure of an anti-antiidiotypic antibody Fab.¹³ There too, Fabs coupled with one another through a 2-fold symmetrical interface. Similarly, Fabs in this crystal interact about other pseudo 2-fold axes creating interfaces employing lateral surfaces.

Antigen combining site

CC49 and B72.3 are two closely related antibodies that have been the focus of exceptional attention by cancer immunologists because of their remarkably high affinity and specificity for tumor cells. This observation of anti-tumor activity⁷ has withstood more than 20 years of extensive analysis and further characterization. Both antibodies, which recognize the mucin-like glycoprotein TAG-72, have been used in tumor marking and treatment. CC49, which has been termed a “second generation B72.3”,^{15,16} has been chosen for further development because of its significantly higher affinity for the tumor antigen.⁴ Engineered antibodies based on CC49, including the A form of the molecule described here, have now entered phase II clinical trials as reagents for diagnosis and treatment of human colorectal, breast, prostate, and ovarian carcinoma.¹⁷

The antigen recognized by B72.3 appears to be the disaccharide *N*-acetylneuraminic acid α (2 $\rightarrow$ 6)-*N*-acetylgalactosamine, O-linked to serine or threonine.¹⁸ This has been designated sialylated Tn antigen. It has been reported, using synthetic antigens, that both B72.3 and CC49 react strongly with a disaccharide cluster of three sialyl Tn epitopes-O-serine, but that they showed different reactivities to single sialyl Tn disaccharides, suggesting that CC49 recognizes an epitope distinct from that of B72.3.^{19,20}

Hanisch *et al.*²¹ used mass spectrometry to identify the epitope of CC49 and reported it to be the same as that for B72.3, but containing a galactose residue in addition, β (1 $\rightarrow$ 3) linked to the *N*-acetylgalactosamine. The structure of this antigen is shown in Figure 7. It is not known with certainty, however, whether some portion of the TAG-72 polypeptide also contributes to the epitope, but the work of Gold & Mattes¹⁸ suggests that the O-linked serine (threonine) may be included. As they, along with others,²² point out, most antigenic changes consistently associated with malignant transformation have been found to be due to alterations in carbohydrate structure. The most frequent mechanism for the generation of these novel carbohydrate structures appears to be simply incomplete glycosylation, which results in exposure

Figure 7. The trisaccharide shown here, galactose β (1 $\rightarrow$ 3)[*N*-acetyl neuraminic acid α (2 $\rightarrow$ 6)] *N*-acetyl galactosamine, O-linked to a polypeptide serine on TAG-72 has been identified as the epitope for CC49 based on mass spectrometry. The presence of the galactose residue apparently distinguishes this epitope from that for antibody B72.3. This core antigen likely arises from incomplete glycosylation and subsequent exposure on the surfaces of tumor cells.

of determinants that normally are masked, such as the Tn antigen.

The three-dimensional structure of a Fab fragment of B72.3 has been solved by X-ray crystallography and its combining site delineated.⁸ It is, therefore, available for comparison. No structures of Fab fragments from CC49 have been reported, but Tamura *et al.*²³ have used homology rules to identify its six canonical hypervariable loops. The model for HuCC49 Δ CH2 presented here reveals their relative dispositions in space.

In other antibodies (Fab fragments) analyzed by X-ray crystallography,^{24–26} those having hapten-like antigens exhibited CDRs characterized by a deep pocket. Those binding to polypeptide and protein antigens had a flatter, less concave, sometimes even convex surface. Antibodies directed against oligosaccharides were generally found to feature deep grooves across the face of the combining site. In addition, oligosaccharide combining sites are usually characterized by the presence of a large number of aromatic amino acids²⁷. The antigen combining sites of CC49 and B72.3 conform well to the description of a typical oligosaccharide binding site.

In Figure 8 the alpha carbon backbone traces of CC49 and B72.3 are superimposed and seen both in profile and en face. In the HuCC49 Δ CH2 toroidal complex, there are four crystallographically independent Fab groups. These have elbow angles ranging from 137° to 145°, an 8° variation. In the Fab for B72.3, the elbow angle was 137°,⁸ the lower end of the range for HuCC49 Δ CH2.

For the most part, the topologies of the two combining sites are almost the same, both characterized by a deep trench traversing the distal

Figure 8. The polypeptide backbones for the Fab domains of antibody B72.3 and HuCC49ΔCH2 are shown here in a stereo diagram, superimposed in a manner designed to minimize differences between framework alpha carbon atoms. In (a) only the variable domains are shown while in (b) both constant and variable domains joined by elbow polypeptides are shown. With some exceptions in the CDR loops, the two polypeptides are closely congruent. The antigen combining sites of both antibodies are marked by deep clefts across their faces, that of HuCC49ΔCH2 emphasized by the six residue insertion in CDR L1 which protrudes and extends over the cleft. The heavy and light chains of HuCC49ΔCH2 are yellow and red, respectively.

surface of the variable domain, the walls and base of the cleft formed by the six CDR loops. The only major difference between the two lies in CC49 light chain CDR1, which contains an insertion of six amino acid residues compared with the corresponding CDR1 of B72.3 (see Table 3). This loop stands well above the cleft and emphasizes its depth. In the toroidal complex of HuCC49ΔCH2, this loop contributes hydrogen-bonding groups

responsible for much of the association with a dyad-related antigen combining site of another antibody within the complex (see Figure 5). The close similarity of the conformations of the CDR loops in HuCC49ΔCH2 and the Fab fragment of B72.3 suggests that the formation of the toroidal complex by HuCC49ΔCH2 form B does not significantly perturb its structure. This is in spite of the fact that amino acid residues from these loops

Table 3. Sequence comparison of the complementary-determining regions of HuCC49ΔCH2 and B72.3 with residue numbering according to Kabat

Chain	Sequence ^a																
LCDR1	24	25	26	27	a	b	c	d	e	f	28	29	30	31	32	33	34
CC49	Lys	Ser	Ser	Gln	Ser	Leu	Leu	Tyr	Ser	Gly	Asn	Gln	Lys	Asn	Tyr	Leu	Ala
B72.3	Arg	Ala	Ser	Glu							Asn	Ile	Tyr	Ser	Asn	Leu	Ala
LCDR2	50	51	52	53	54	55	56										
CC49	Trp	Ala	Ser	Ala	Arg	Glu	Ser										
B72.3	Ala	Ala	Thr	Asn	Leu	Ala	Asp										
LCDR3	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97								
CC49	Gln	Gln	Tyr	Tyr	Ser	Tyr	Pro	Leu	Thr								
B72.3	Gln	His	Phe	Trp	Gly	Thr	Pro	Tyr	Thr								
HCDR1	31	32	33	34	35												
CC49	Asp	His	Ala	Ile	His												
B72.3	Asp	His	Ala	Ile	His												
HCDR2	50	51	52	a	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65
CC49	Tyr	Phe	Ser	Pro	Gly	Asn	Asp	Asp	Phe	Lys	Tyr	Asn	Glu	Arg	Phe	Lys	Gly
B72.3	Tyr	Ile	Ser	Pro	Gly	Asn	Asp	Asp	Ile	Lys	Tyr	Asn	Glu	Lys	Phe	Lys	Gly
HCDR3	95	96	97	98	99	100			101								
CC49	Ser	Leu	Asn	Met	Ala	–			–								
B72.3	Ser	Tyr	Tyr	–	–	Gly			His								

^a The model numbering is sequential; therefore, the correspondence is: LCDR1, 24–40; LCDR2, 56–62; LCDR3, 95–103; HCDR1, 31–35; HCDR2, 50–66; HCDR3, 99–103.

Figure 9. In (a) is a surface representation, combined with the electrostatic character of the antigen combining site of antibody HuCC49ΔCH2. In (b) is the corresponding image of the antigen binding site of antibody B72.3, in the same orientation as CC49 in (a). The deep cleft forming the binding site in each is clearly evident. The topologies and general electrostatic characters of the two antibodies are quite similar, though there are distinctive variations, presumably a consequence of the difference in antigen epitope.

are primarily responsible for dimerization of HuCC49ΔCH2.

Table 3 compares the amino acid sequences of the six CDRs of CC49 and B72.3. It shows that of 52 corresponding residues, 30 are identical between the two antibodies, but that many others represent only conservative changes. HCDR1 is identical in both antibodies, and HCDR2 has 14 of 17 residues the same. On the other hand, LCDR2 is identical at only one of seven positions. In spite of the amino acid variations, the conformations of the CDR loops are extremely similar. Minimization of differences in positions of 92 corresponding alpha carbon atoms of the variable regions of the two antibodies yields an rmsd of only 0.6 Å, with the CDR loops omitted. Based on this same superposition, the CDR loops alone have an rmsd of 2.6 Å for the 52 corresponding alpha carbon atoms.

The antigen binding site of CC49 displays a remarkable number of aromatic residues, as many as 23, in positions where they could conceivably

contact the antigen. Involvement of some of these side-chains would perhaps require rearrangement of one or more loops to make contact with the antigen, but small loop movements would not be unreasonable.

We would not like to speculate as to which of the residues might be most important for antigen binding. Tamura *et al.*²³ have, however, based on homology with other antibody-antigen complexes, as well as the binding affinities of CC49 mutants, made some predictions. Among those are that Tyr L94 and particularly His H32, which is common to both antibodies, would contact the antigen. In terms of the three dimensional structure, these appear reasonable.

Figure 9 is a surface representation²⁸ of the combining sites for CC49 and B72.3, which also shows the electrostatic distributions. The deep groove crossing the face of the combining site is quite evident, though deepened by the protrusion of LCDR1 in CC49. There are broad, and even some

distinctive similarities in the electrostatic distributions, though they are by no means identical. In fact, the difference appears to be somewhat greater than we might have anticipated for two antibodies that have so similar an antigen. There is a fairly distinctive variation in charge over the combining site surfaces of both antibodies, which suggests that electrostatics may play an important role in recognition and association of the antigen.

It is noteworthy, we feel, that of all the Fab fragments used as probes in the molecular replacement search, one was particularly successful, and that was the Fab fragment derived from B72.3. That probe was also a human-murine chimeric Fab, was also specific for TAG-72, and it had an epitope closely related to that of CC49. In retrospect, it seems clear from the molecular replacement results that their structures were more similar overall than for two, otherwise arbitrary Fab fragments.

Materials and Methods

The antibody is produced by Biogen Idec of La Jolla, CA and stored in phosphate-buffered saline at pH 7.2. Details of the crystallization of HuCC49 Δ CH2 form B have been described elsewhere, along with an atomic force microscopy investigation of the crystals.⁹ The crystals used for diffraction analysis were grown by vapor-diffusion at 17 °C from 4 M sodium formate (pH 7.2) in the presence of 1.5 mM Triton X-100. Detergent was essential to crystallization, and many detergents were effective in yielding crystals, but, so far, only Triton X-100 produced ordered crystals that diffract to adequate resolution.

Data to 3.2 Å resolution were initially collected at 22 °C from a crystal conventionally mounted in a quartz capillary on a Rigaku R-axis system (Molecular Structure Corp., Woodlands, TX) using an RU-200 generator fitted with Osmic mirrors and dual image plates. This data set was used for structure determination by molecular replacement. For refinement of the structure, data were collected from a single crystal at −173 °C on beamline 5.0.2 at the Advanced Light Source at Berkeley, CA. Crystals were mounted on cryoloops (Hampton Research,

Aliso Viejo, CA) and frozen directly in the cryostream at −173 °C without any additional treatment with a cryo protectant. The mosaicity was 0.658 and the crystal-to-detector distance was 250.53 cm. The data were processed with D*TREK v9.0²⁹ to a resolution of 2.8 Å with statistics found in Table 1.

Structure solution

The structure was solved by molecular replacement using CNS³⁰ and the room temperature data set in the resolution range of 5–15 Å. Sixteen molecular replacement probes (five of which were chimeric) were taken from the Protein Data Bank³¹ and used without modification to locate the Fab fragments. Similarly, 16 probes for the C_H3 domains were obtained from the Protein Data Bank, some of which had to be expanded by 2-fold symmetry. The PDB codes are used to identify the probes in the following discussion of the molecular replacement (MR) results.

A cross-rotation search was performed using each probe. The top 20 cross-rotation solutions for each probe were subsequently used in a translation search. Based on reasonable packing, only six of the Fab probes and only 13 of the C_H3 probes gave plausible translation solutions as their top solution. Based on the correlation coefficient (CC), the best Fab solution was obtained with probe 1BBJ (Fab fragment of antibody B72.3), which gave CC=0.192; the second best Fab probe gave CC=0.174 (probe 1I7ZB). The best C_H3 solution was obtained with probe 1L6X and gave CC=0.166; the second best probe gave CC=0.152 (probe 1H3W).

A summary of the molecular replacement results is found in Table 4. Starting with the best Fab solution obtained with probe 1BBJ, the second, third and fourth translation solutions were found from the second, fourth and third 1BBJ rotation function solutions, respectively. With the four Fab fragments of the asymmetric unit located, the top 30 rotation function solutions of each C_H3 probe were input to translation searches for ten translation trials. Out of the 4800 translation trials, only one solution (rotation solution 21 of probe 1FC1) produced a significant increase in the correlation coefficient. A search for the second C_H3 domain using this probe did not produce a translation solution that improved the correlation coefficient or the packing value. Therefore, the second C_H3 position was obtained by superposition of

Table 4. Progress of structure solution by molecular replacement as each successive piece of the model was placed in the unit cell and refinement to the final model

Probe	Cross rotation solution	Correlation coefficient	Packing value
1BBJ	1	0.192	0.0851
1BBJ	2	0.325	0.1688
1BBJ	4	0.420	0.2539
1BBJ	3	0.504	0.3377
1FC1	21	0.569	0.3796
1FC1	1–30	0.548–0.559	0.3871–0.4083
Refinement procedure	Resolution (Å)	R	R _{free}
Rigid body	5.0	0.414	0.511
Rigid body	4.0	0.407	0.462
Rigid body	3.5	0.418	0.459
Minimization	3.5	0.404	0.511
Annealing, minimization, B-factor	3.5	0.303	0.461
Annealing, minimization, B-factor	3.2	0.249	0.345
Annealing, minimization, B-factor	3.0	0.257	0.330
Annealing, minimization, B-factor	2.8	0.271	0.338
Final model	2.8	0.246	0.297

the Fab fragments of the complete antibody onto the Fab fragments of the incomplete antibody.

Structure refinement

With all six molecular fragments in the asymmetric unit oriented and positioned in the unit cell, the amino acid sequences of the molecular probes were mutated to the HuCC49ΔCH2 sequence. This initial model was then subjected to a series of rigid body refinements against the cryo data beginning at 5 Å resolution and extending to 3.5 Å resolution, as noted in Table 4. After minimization, the model was rebuilt against a composite omit map. The model was then subjected to successive cycles of refinement by a simulated annealing protocol (starting at 3000 K with 25 K decrements, followed by 200 cycles of conjugate gradient minimization and ending with individual B-factor refinement) and model rebuilding with a gradual increase in resolution to 2.8 Å. At 2.8 Å resolution, various non-crystallographic symmetry restraints were investigated in search of those restraints that produced the best combination of R and R_{free}. The restraints imposed on the final model are listed in Table 1.

Computer programs

Data were processed with D*TREK.²⁹ Model refinement was performed with CNS.³⁰ Model building was carried out with the program O.³² PROCHECK³³ was used for evaluating the quality of the final and intermediate models. MAPMAN³⁴ was used to manipulate maps for O. Figure 1 was made with Adobe Illustrator†. Figures 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 were rendered with PyMol‡. Figure 5 was created with MOLSCRIPT³⁵ and rendered with Raster3D.³⁶ GRASP²⁸ was used to produce Figure 9.

Acknowledgements

We thank Aaron Greenwood for his assistance in preparing Figures. This research was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (GM58868).

References

- Mueller, B. M., Reisfeld, R. A. & Gillies, S. D. (1990). Serum half-life and tumor localization of a chimeric antibody deleted of the CH2 domain and directed against the disialoganglioside GD2. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **87**, 5702–5705.
- Calvo, B., Kashmiri, S. V., Hutzell, P., Hand, P. H., Slavin-Chiorini, D. C., Schlom, J. *et al.* (1993). Construction and purification of domain-deleted immunoglobulin variants of the recombinant/chimeric B72.3 (y1) monoclonal antibody. *Cancer Biother.* **8**, 95–109.
- Slavin-Chiorini, D. C., Kashmiri, S. V., Schlom, J., Calvo, B., Shu, L. M., Schott, M. E. *et al.* (1995). Biological properties of chimeric domain-deleted antineoplastic immunoglobulins. *Cancer Res.* **55**, 5957s–5967s.
- Slavin-Chiorini, D. C., Kashmiri, S. V., Lee, H. S., Milenic, D. E., Poole, D. J., Bernon, E. *et al.* (1997). A CDR-grafted (humanized) domain-deleted antitumor antibody. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.* **12**, 305–316.
- Forero, A., Meredith, R. F., Khazaeli, M. B., Carpenter, D. M., Shen, S., Thornton, J. *et al.* (2003). A novel monoclonal antibody design for radioimmunotherapy. *Cancer Biother. Radiopharm.* **18**, 751–759.
- Kabat, E. (1991). *Sequences of Proteins of Immunological Interest*, US Department of Health and Human Services, NIH.
- Nuti, M., Teramoto, Y. A., Marianicostantini, R., Hand, P. H., Colcher, D. & Schlom, J. (1982). A monoclonal-antibody (B72.3) defines patterns of distribution of a novel tumor-associated antigen in human mammary-carcinoma cell-populations. *Int. J. Cancer*, **29**, 539–545.
- Brady, R. L., Edwards, D. J., Hubbard, R. E., Jiang, J. S., Lange, G., Roberts, S. M. *et al.* (1992). Crystal structure of a chimeric Fab' fragment of an antibody binding tumour cells. *J. Mol. Biol.* **227**, 253–264.
- Larson, S. B., Kuznetsov, Y. G., Day, J., Zhou, J., Glaser, S., Braslawsky, G. *et al.* (2005). Combined use of AFM and X-ray diffraction to analyze crystals of an engineered, domain-deleted antibody. *Acta Crystallog. sect. D*, **61**, 416–422.
- Harris, L. J., Larson, S. B. & McPherson, A. (1999). Comparison of intact antibody structures and the implications for effector function. *Advan. Immunol.* **72**, 191–208.
- Saphire, E. O., Parren, P. W. H. I., Pantophlet, R., Zwick, M. B., Morris, G. M., Rudd, P. M. *et al.* (2001). Crystal structure of a neutralizing human IgG against HIV-1: a template for vaccine design. *Science*, **293**, 1155–1159.
- Harris, L. J., Larson, S. B., Skaletsky, E. & McPherson, A. (1998). Comparison of the conformations of two intact monoclonal antibodies with hinges. *Immunol. Rev.* **163**, 35–43.
- Ban, N., Day, J., Wang, X., Ferrone, S. & McPherson, A. (1996). Crystal structure of an anti-anti-idiotypic shows it to be self-complementary. *J. Mol. Biol.* **255**, 617–627.
- McPherson, A. (1999). *Crystallization of Biological Macromolecules*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Muraro, R., Kuroki, M., Wunderlich, D., Poole, D. J., Colcher, D., Thor, A. *et al.* (1988). Generation and characterization of B72.3 second generation monoclonal antibodies reactive with the tumor-associated glycoprotein 72 antigen. *Cancer Res.* **48**, 4588–4596.
- Molinolo, A., Simpson, J. F., Thor, A. & Schlom, J. (1990). Enhanced tumor binding using immunohistochemical analyses by second generation anti-tumor-associated glycoprotein 72 monoclonal antibodies versus monoclonal antibody B72.3 in human tissue. *Cancer Res.* **50**, 1291–1298.
- De Pascalis, R., Gonzales, N. R., Padlan, E. A., Schuck, P., Batra, S. K., Schlom, J. *et al.* (2003). *In vitro* affinity maturation of a specificity-determining region grafted humanized antineoplastic antibody: isolation and characterization of minimally immunogenic high-affinity variants. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **9**, 5521–5531.
- Gold, D. V. & Mattes, M. J. (1988). Monoclonal antibody B72.3 reacts with a core region structure of O-linked carbohydrates. *Tumor Biol.* **9**, 137–144.
- Zhang, S., Walberg, L. A., Ogata, S., Itzkowitz, S. H., Koganty, R. R., Reddish, M. *et al.* (1995). Immune sera

† www.adobe.com

‡ pymol.sourceforge.net

- and monoclonal antibodies define two configurations for the sialyl Tn tumor antigen. *Cancer Res.* **55**, 3364–3368.
20. O'Boyle, K. P., Markowitz, A. L., Khorshidi, M., Lalezari, P., Longenecker, B. M., Lloyd, K. O. *et al.* (1996). Specificity analysis of murine monoclonal antibodies reactive with Tn, sialylated Tn, T, and monosialylated (2→6) T antigens. *Hybridoma*, **15**, 401–408.
21. Hanisch, F. G., Uhlenbruck, G., Egge, H. & Peter-Katalinic, J. (1989). A B72.3 second-generation-monoclonal antibody (CC49) defines the mucin-carried carbohydrate epitope Gal β (1-3)[NeuAc α (2-6)]GalNAc. *Biol. Chem. Hoppe Seyler*, **370**, 21–26.
22. Feizi, T. (1985). Demonstration by monoclonal antibodies that carbohydrate structures of glycoproteins and glycolipids are onco-developmental antigens. *Nature*, **314**, 53–57.
23. Tamura, M., Milenic, D. E., Iwahashi, M., Padlan, E., Schlom, J. & Kashmiri, S. V. (2000). Structural correlates of an anticarcinoma antibody: identification of specificity-determining residues (SDRs) and development of a minimally immunogenic antibody variant by retention of SDRs only. *J. Immunol.* **164**, 1432–1441.
24. Cygler, M., Rose, D. R. & Bundle, D. R. (1991). Recognition of a cell-surface oligosaccharide of pathogenic salmonella by an antibody Fab fragment. *Science*, **253**, 442–445.
25. Rees, A. R., Pedersen, J. T., Searle, S. M. J., Henry, A. H. & Webster, D. M. (1994). Antibody structure from X-ray crystallography and molecular modeling. In *Immunochemistry* (van Oss, C. J. & van Regenmortel, M. H. V., eds), pp. 615–650, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY.
26. McCallum, R. M., Martin, A. C. R. & Thornton, J. M. (1996). Antibody–antigen interactions: contact analysis and binding site topography. *J. Mol. Biol.* **262**, 732–745.
27. Cygler, M. (1994). Recognition of carbohydrates by antibodies. *Res. Immunol.* **145**, 36–40.
28. Nicholls, A., Sharp, K. A. & Honig, B. (1991). Protein folding and association: insights from the interfacial and thermodynamic properties of hydrocarbons. *Proteins: Struct. Funct. Genet.* **11**, 281–296.
29. Pflugrath, J. W. (1999). The finer things in X-ray diffraction data collection. *Acta Crystallog. sect. D*, **55**, 1718–1725.
30. Brünger, A. T., Adams, P. D., Clore, G. M., DeLano, W. L., Gros, P., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W. *et al.* (1998). Crystallography & NMR system: a new software suite for macromolecular structure determination. *Acta Crystallog. sect. D*, **54**, 905–921.
31. Berman, H. M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T. N., Weissig, H. *et al.* (2000). The Protein Data Bank. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **28**, 235–242.
32. Jones, T. A. & Kjeldgaard, M. (1994). *O-The Manual*. 5.10, Uppsala University Press, Uppsala.
33. Laskowski, R. A., MacArthur, M. W., Moss, D. S. & Thornton, J. M. (1996). Procheck—a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *J. Appl. Crystallog.* **26**, 283–291.
34. Kleywegt, G. T. & Jones, T. A. (1996). xDlMAPMAN and xDlDATAMAN—programs for reformatting, analysis and manipulation of biomacromolecular electron-density maps and reflection data sets. *Acta Crystallog. sect. D*, **52**, 826–828.
35. Kraulis, P. J. (1991). MOLSCRIPT: a program to produce both detailed and schematic plots of protein structures. *J. Appl. Crystallog.* **24**, 946–950.
36. Merritt, E. A. & Bacon, D. J. (1997). Raster3D: photorealistic molecular graphics. *Methods Enzymol.* **277**, 505–524.

Edited by I. Wilson

(Received 25 January 2005; received in revised form 7 March 2005; accepted 14 March 2005)