

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Phenotypic evidence for local adaptation to heat stress in the marine snail *Chlorostoma* (formerly *Tegula*) *funnebralis*

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2r7047md>

Authors

Gleason, Lani U
Burton, Ronald S

Publication Date

2013-10-01

DOI

10.1016/j.jembe.2013.08.008

Peer reviewed

1**Title:** Phenotypic Evidence for Local Adaptation to Heat Stress in the Marine

2Snail *Chlorostoma* (formerly *Tegula*) *funnebralis*

3

4**Authors:** Lani U. Gleason (LUG)^a and Ronald S. Burton (RSB)^a

5

6**Address:** ^aMarine Biology Research Division

7 Scripps Institution of Oceanography

8 University of California, San Diego

9 La Jolla, CA 92093-0202

10

11**Keywords:** *local adaptation, thermal tolerance, heat stress, rocky intertidal, mollusk*

12

13**Corresponding Author:** Lani Gleason

14 Marine Biology Research Division

15 Scripps Institution of Oceanography

16 University of California San Diego

17 9500 Gilman Drive, Mail Code 0202

18 La Jolla, CA 92093-0202

19 Fax: 858-534-7313

20 E-mail: lgleason@ucsd.edu

21

22**Running title:** Local Adaptation to Heat Stress in a Marine Snail

23

24ABSTRACT

25Southern California (USA) populations of the intertidal marine snail
26*Chlorostoma* (formerly *Tegula*) *funnebralis* generally occupy warmer climates
27and are exposed to high air temperatures during low tides more often than
28northern California populations. Available genetic data suggest there is
29extensive gene flow across a broad range of *C. funnebralis* populations, so it is
30unclear if populations can adapt to differences in local environments. To test
31for population-specific responses to heat stress, three phenotypic assays
32were performed on three northern and on three southern populations of *C.*
33*funnebralis*, after acclimation to common-garden conditions in the laboratory.
34Thermal drop-down, heat stress mortality, and heat stress reattachment
35assays were designed to evaluate ecologically relevant phenotypic
36responses to heat stress; these assays assessed tolerance during, mortality
37following, and speed of recovery following heat stress. The latter two tests
38indicate that southern populations consistently suffer significantly lower
39mortality and recover significantly more quickly following heat stress
40compared to northern populations. Hierarchical cluster analysis of stress
41response data clearly identified northern California and southern California
42regional groupings of populations. Thus, these results indicate that southern
43populations have higher tolerance to heat stress than northern populations
44and suggest that adaptation to local environmental differences can evolve
45despite moderate potential for larval dispersal in this species. Accounting for
46intraspecific population variation in thermal tolerance may provide important

47insights for predicting how species distributions will respond to global
48warming.

49

50**Keywords:** *local adaptation; thermal tolerance; heat stress; rocky intertidal; mollusk*

51

52

53**1. INTRODUCTION**

54The geographic ranges of many marine organisms span from hundreds to
55thousands of kilometers. Across these ranges, populations frequently
56experience significant variation in both biotic and abiotic environments.

57Persistent variation can promote genetic divergence among conspecific
58populations as natural selection acts to favor locally adapted phenotypes.

59However, evolution of local adaptation may be impeded if high rates of
60migration homogenize the gene pool among populations (Mayr, 1963;

61Lewontin, 1974; Slatkin, 1985; Lenormand, 2002). In many marine

62invertebrates with planktonic larvae, the potential for local adaptation is

63unclear because the balance between selection for local adaptation and the

64rate of interpopulation gene flow is largely unknown. Although numerous

65studies suggest marine populations are not as connected as might be

66presumed (Burton, 1983; Kyle and Boulding, 2000; Levin, 2006; Marshall et

67al., 2010) and that adaptive differentiation often occurs in species with

68planktonic dispersal (Sanford and Kelly, 2010), local adaptation in the sea

69remains understudied. As rates of environmental change are accelerating

70due to stressors such as global warming and ocean acidification, predicting
71future distributions of marine organisms requires increased understanding of
72the balance of local adaptation and gene flow among populations.

73

74One such marine invertebrate with planktonic larvae, the intertidal snail
75*Chlorostoma funebris*, has the widest distribution of the five species in its
76genus (Bouchet, 2013). *C. funebris* can be found along the Pacific coast of
77North America from Vancouver Island, British Columbia to Baja California,
78Mexico (Abbott and Haderlie, 1980; Sagarin and Gaines, 2002). Previous
79genetic work using the mitochondrial marker cytochrome oxidase subunit I
80(COI) found no evidence of differentiation among populations sampled from
81Oregon to Santa Barbara (Kelly and Palumbi, 2010; Kelly et al., 2010),
82suggesting this species has extensive dispersal and may be panmictic across
83its range. However, *C. funebris* has a relatively short larval duration of
84roughly five days (Moran, 1997) and high temperatures, common in the
85southern portion of the species range, can further reduce developmental
86times (Hahn, 1989). Hence, *C. funebris*' short larval duration and broad,
87environmentally diverse geographic range combine to make adaptive
88differentiation of populations feasible in this species. Previous experimental
89studies have shown that local adaptation often occurs in marine
90invertebrates in response to strong gradients in selective forces such as
91wave action, temperature, and predation (Sanford and Kelly, 2010). For
92instance, Kuo and Sanford (2009) found evidence for genetically based

93 differences in upper thermal limits in various geographic populations of the
94 intertidal snail *Nucella canaliculata*. We hypothesize that *C. funebris* may
95 also be locally adapted to the unique temperature environment each
96 population experiences.

97

98 The climate across the latitudinal range of *C. funebris* differs significantly;
99 the maximum, minimum, and average air temperatures along the Pacific
100 coast of North America vary widely (National Oceanographic Data Center,
101 NOAA Satellite and Information Service). For instance the maximum air
102 temperature *C. funebris* experience in the intertidal at Hopkins Marine
103 Station in Monterey (central California) is ~35 °C (Tomanek and Somero,
104 1999), while the maximum temperature of other intertidal mollusks such as
105 mytilids and littorinids in southern California (i.e. La Jolla) can reach 40 °C
106 (Helmuth et al., 2006; Miller and Denny, 2011). Thus, different populations
107 of *C. funebris* along the coast likely cope with considerably different
108 temperature maxima.

109

110 In this study, we quantified thermally dependent phenotypes to test the
111 hypothesis that northern and southern populations of *C. funebris* show
112 evidence for local adaptation to emersion-associated heat stress. Such tests
113 elucidate the balance between the selective forces favoring population
114 differentiation versus the homogenizing effects of larval dispersal. We first
115 acclimate individuals from each of six populations to common-garden

116laboratory conditions and then employ three phenotypic assays to test for
117differences in thermal tolerance, heat stress mortality, and recovery
118following heat stress. Our findings suggest that local adaptation can occur
119despite moderate potential for pelagic larval dispersal. These results help
120inform predictions regarding potential local extinctions and geographic range
121shifts resulting from climate change for *C. funebris*.

122

1232. MATERIALS & METHODS

1242.1. Collection, Animal Maintenance, and Assay Preparation

125Small to medium sized *C. funebris* adults (15-20mm in shell diameter) were
126collected in the winter of 2011 and the spring of 2012 from three northern
127California sites: Slide Ranch, Marin Co. (37°52'N, 122°35'W); Pescadero
128(37°15'N, 122°24'W) and Pigeon Point (37°11'N, 122°23'W), San Mateo Co.
129and from three southern California sites: Aliso Beach, Orange Co. (33°30'N,
130117°45'W); La Jolla (32°52'N, 117°15'W) and Bird Rock (32°48'N, 117°15'W),
131San Diego Co. (Fig. 1). Snails were transported to Scripps Institution of
132Oceanography (SIO) within 24 hours of collection.

133

134Once at SIO, snails were regularly fed freshly collected *Macrocytis pyrifera*.
135To eliminate confounding effects due to previous environmental differences,
136snails were common-garden acclimated for 3-20 weeks in ambient
137temperature seawater (~15 °C). The entire range of acclimation times was
138equally represented in all three phenotypic assays. Preliminary trials

139 indicated variation in acclimation time within this range did not affect
140 population differences in heat stress response (data not shown), so a
141 narrower acclimation time period was not necessary. Acclimation periods did
142 not differ among populations in a single phenotypic assay, and an equal
143 number of individuals from each population collected in both the winter and
144 the spring were used for each of the three assays. Twenty-four hours prior
145 to all assays, individuals from each population were put in weighted
146 “underwater cages” and kept constantly immersed in seawater without food
147 to normalize aerial exposure and feeding status. (Animals in the laboratory
148 feed roughly everyday; therefore, a twenty-four hour period is sufficient to
149 normalize feeding status.)

150

151 **2.2 Heat Stress Conditions**

152 Because *C. funebris* inhabit the low to mid intertidal zone (Riedman et. al.,
153 1981), they can potentially experience both elevated water temperatures
154 during immersion at high tide and elevated air temperatures during
155 emersion at low tide. However, air temperature varies much more than
156 water temperature (Raffaelli, 1996), and thus severe thermal stress primarily
157 affects *C. funebris* in the rocky intertidal during emersion, when body
158 temperatures can significantly increase (Sharp et al., 1994). Therefore all
159 heat stress assays were performed in air to mimic the conditions animals
160 experience during low tide in the field.

161

162

163**2.3 Drop-Down Assay**

164A modified knock-down assay (Huey et al., 1992) was developed that could
165be performed on marine mollusks such as *C. funebris* (see also Lee and
166Boulding, 2010). Immediately before experimentation, *C. funebris*
167individuals were taken out of their underwater holding cages and at room
168temperature the foot of each animal was briefly blotted dry with a paper
169towel. Each individual was then placed on an 8 x 10 centimeter glass plate
170until it extended its foot and securely attached to the horizontal glass plate
171substrate. Excess seawater was blotted dry to prevent individuals from
172sliding off the glass plates. Each plate with the individual snail attached was
173then vertically suspended in a Fisher Scientific Isotemp Incubator using large
174binder clips. Snails were exposed to an air temperature of 35 °C in the
175incubator, and the time it took for each individual to detach from the
176suspended glass plate and fall to the bottom of the incubator was recorded.
177The inability to remain attached to the glass plate suggests that the animal
178has entered into heat coma (McMahon, 1990); thus, time until “drop-down”
179was used as a putative measure of thermal tolerance. This phenotype is
180ecologically relevant because the ability to stay attached to the substrate at
181a high temperature reduces the chances of a snail falling down into the
182water, where numerous predators such as starfish, crabs, and/or octopi
183reside (Fawcett, 1984). For this particular assay 35 °C was chosen as the
184target temperature since this is the maximum temperature recorded in the

185field at Hopkins Marine Station (Tomanek, 2002), a site whose climate is
186representative of the three northern collection sites. Snails that dropped
187from the glass plates before a minute had elapsed were excluded from the
188analysis, since this short drop-down time could indicate the individual did not
189have a secure initial attachment to the plate. Groups of 10 snails were used
190in each drop-down assay, and each assay was replicated four times ($n = 40$).
191

192**2.4 Heat Stress Mortality**

193Dry Petri dishes were equilibrated to 15 °C for 30 minutes in a temperature-
194programmable incubator (Thermo Precision Model 818) prior to the start of
195the assay; high humidity was maintained throughout the test by including a
196small seawater-saturated sponge in each dish. Snails were removed from
197their underwater holding cages and a single individual was placed in each
198Petri dish. At the start of each experiment, air temperature was gradually
199increased by 3 °C every half hour (starting at 15 °C) to simulate a natural
200rate of heating snails would experience in the intertidal (Tomanek and
201Somero, 1999). This gradual increase was continued until the target
202temperature of 37, 38, 39, 40, or 41 °C was reached, and then the incubator
203remained at this target temperature for the duration of the experiment.
204Different individuals from each population were tested at the various target
205temperatures; no single individual was exposed to multiple heat stresses.
206The temperature during each experiment was monitored with a HOBO
207Pendant Water-Resistant Temperature and Light Data Logger (Onset HOBO

208Data Loggers, Massachusetts). Each heat stress trial lasted a total of 5.5
209hours (including the ramp time), which is an estimate of a typical low tide
210period for *C. funebris* in the intertidal. Because the total ramp time varied
211for individual trials due to the different target temperatures, the exposure
212time to each target temperature also varied, with animals in the 37 °C trials
213experiencing the longest total time at the target temperature, and animals in
214the 41 °C trials experiencing the shortest total time at the target
215temperature.

216

217At the end of each heat stress exposure, each dish was filled with 15 °C
218seawater and dishes were maintained in a 15 °C incubator. Survivorship of
219each *C. funebris* individual was assessed six days following the heat stress.
220Individuals that were not attached to the substrate and that did not retract
221their foot in response to poking and/or pulling their foot with tweezers were
222considered dead. Groups of 10 snails were used in each mortality assay, and
223each assay was replicated two (37, 40 and 41 °C, $n = 20$) or three (38, 39 °C,
224 $n = 30$) times.

225

226**2.5 Reattachment During Recovery Following Heat Stress**

227When a *C. funebris* individual experiences extreme heat stress, it curls the
228lateral edges of its foot and detaches from the substrate (McMahon, 1990).
229The time it took each snail to reattach to the Petri dish substrate following
230heat stress was used as a proxy for recovery time (all individuals were

231detached from the substrate following heat stress trials). After each 5.5 hour
232heat stress at each temperature described above (37 - 41 °C), the seawater-
233saturated sponge was removed from each Petri dish and 15 °C seawater was
234added, taking care to disturb each animal as little as possible. Animals were
235kept in these same Petri dishes, and all surviving snails from each
236experiment were scored as either attached or detached from the Petri dish
237substrate at 20 minutes, at 1, 4, 18, 21, and 24 hours, and then every 24
238hours thereafter during recovery. Groups of 10 snails were used in each
239recovery assay, and each assay was replicated twice. Due to differential
240mortality following heat stress, between 17 and 20 individuals were
241monitored for reattachment from each population ($n = 18$ for Slide Ranch, n
242= 17 for Pescadero, $n = 19$ for Pigeon Point, $n = 20$ for Aliso Beach, $n = 19$
243for La Jolla, and $n = 20$ for Bird Rock).

244

245**2.6 Statistical analyses**

246All statistical analyses were conducted in R (R Development Core Team,
2472008) using a significance value of 0.05. A Shapiro-Wilk normality test
248revealed the drop-down data were not normally distributed. Therefore a
249nonparametric test and associated post hoc analyses were used to compare
250the northern group of populations (Slide Ranch, Pescadero, and Pigeon Point)
251to the southern group of populations (Aliso Beach, La Jolla, and Bird Rock)
252and to examine pairwise differences among the six individual populations,
253respectively. For the mortality assays, data at each temperature were

254 examined separately, with the northern group of populations and the
255 southern group of populations compared to each other using a Pearson's Chi-
256 square test. To test for differences among the six individual populations at
257 each temperature, a contingency table was used. The Marascuillo
258 procedure, a multiple comparisons approach that is conceptually similar to a
259 Tukey-Kramer posthoc test (Levine et al., 2013), was then employed to test
260 for pairwise differences in the proportion of surviving animals among
261 populations. Like the drop-down assay, the data from the heat stress
262 recovery assay were not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk normality test).
263 The data from each temperature trial were treated independently, and a
264 nonparametric test and associated post hoc analyses were used to test for
265 significance between the northern group of populations and the southern
266 group of populations and amongst the six populations, respectively.

267

268 We also performed a cluster analysis using the data from all three
269 phenotypic assays combined (including all target temperatures tested for the
270 survival and reattachment assays) for all six populations. With the pvclust
271 library in R (Suzuki and Shimodaira, 2006), the average linkage method was
272 used to perform bottom-up hierarchical clustering to identify groups in the
273 data. One thousand bootstrap replications were then used to construct a
274 dendrogram, and groups that were strongly supported (based on
275 approximately unbiased (au) p-values greater than 95) were identified. The
276 au p value, which is calculated by multiscale bootstrap re-sampling, is a

277better approximation to unbiased p value than the bootstrap probability
278value calculated by ordinary bootstrap re-sampling (Suzuki and Shimodaira,
2792006).

280

281**3. RESULTS**

282**3.1 Drop-Down Assay**

283Although snails from the La Jolla site have the highest median knockdown
284time of all populations (8.7 minutes), data from this assay do not
285differentiate northern (Slide Ranch, Pescadero, and Pigeon Point) and
286southern (Aliso Beach, La Jolla, and Bird Rock) populations (Wilcoxon rank
287sum test, $p = 0.162$). Individuals from La Jolla had a significantly higher
288drop-down time than individuals from neighboring Bird Rock (median 4.8
289minutes, Studentized range Kruskal Wallis post hoc test, $p = 0.0003$) as well
290as from the distant Slide Ranch (median 5.7 minutes, $p = 0.019$), and Pigeon
291Point (median 4.0 minutes, $p = 0.005$) sites. Pescadero (median 5.2
292minutes) and Aliso Beach (median 4.9 minutes) individuals were not
293statistically different from any of the other populations (Fig. 2).

294

295**Fig 2.**

296

297**3.2 Heat Stress Mortality**

298Southern populations show significantly higher survival than northern
299populations at 38, 39, and 40 °C (Pearson's Chi-squared test, $p = 0.005$, $p <$

3000.001, $p = 0.008$, respectively). The largest differences between northern
301and southern populations occurred at 39 °C (Fig. 3). Following this heat
302stress the southern populations show 90% survival, while the northern
303populations only show 61% survival. Furthermore, although all populations
304show a dramatic decline in survival when the heat stress temperature is
305increased from 39 to 40 °C, the decline for the southern populations is less
306severe. While survivorship drops to an average of 1.7% for the northern
307populations at 40 °C, that for the southern populations is only reduced to
30815% survival. Significant differences among all six populations were only
309found at 39 °C (2 x 6 contingency table using the Chi-square distribution, $p <$
3100.001). Significant pairwise differences exist between Pigeon Point (50%
311survival) and Aliso Beach (90% survival, Chi-square test statistic = 0.4,
312critical value = 0.35) and between Pigeon Point and Bird Rock (97% survival,
313Chi-square test statistic = 0.47, critical value 0.32).

314

315**Fig 3.**

316

317**3.3 Heat Stress Reattachment During Recovery**

318Although there were no significant differences in reattachment between
319northern and southern populations at 37 or 40 °C, individuals from northern
320and southern populations did significantly differ in their recovery times
321following 38 and 39 °C heat stress (Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p < 0.001$, $p =$
3220.003 respectively). This difference was most pronounced after a 38 °C heat

323stress (Fig. 4). Under these conditions northern populations took
324significantly longer to reattach (median 21 hours) than southern populations
325(median 4 hours). There were also significant differences among all six
326individual populations at 38 and 39 °C (Kruskal Wallis test, $p = < 0.001$, $p =$
3270.02, respectively). At 38 °C eight significant pairwise differences were
328observed (Table 1); Slide Ranch and Pigeon Point were both significantly
329different from each of the three southern populations. No northern
330populations were significantly different from each other, and neither were
331any southern populations. At 39 °C Slide Ranch animals took significantly
332longer to reattach than Bird Rock animals (Studentized range Kruskal Wallis
333post hoc test, $p = 0.001$) and Aliso Beach and Bird Rock animals also showed
334significant differences in reattachment times ($p = 0.04$).

335

336**Fig 4.**

337

338**Table 1.**

339

340**3.4 Cluster Analysis**

341The six populations group into two distinct clusters, with one group
342containing the three northern populations and the other group containing the
343three southern populations (Fig. 5). Within these two groups, Slide Ranch
344and Pigeon Point formed an additional subgroup, as did Aliso Beach and Bird
345Rock. Two out of the four approximately unbiased (au) p-values for the

346 cluster analysis were greater than 90; the au value for the general northern
347 clade was 91, and the au value for the northern clade subgroup was 94.

348

349 **Fig 5.**

350

351 **4. DISCUSSION**

352 Two of three experimental tests of thermal response showed clear evidence
353 for enhanced thermal tolerance in southern versus northern populations.

354 Following common garden acclimation, southern populations show

355 significantly higher survival and reattach to the substrate following heat

356 stress significantly faster than northern populations. These results suggest

357 that southern populations possess genetic adaptations to tolerate the

358 extreme heat stress they experience, whereas northern populations are less

359 adapted to such severe conditions.

360

361 It is worth noting a fundamental assumption of our common-garden

362 approach is that the different phenotypic responses to heat stress among *C.*

363 *funnebralis* populations are genetically based (Ballentine and Greenberg,

364 2010; Franssen et al., 2011). We have utilized a relatively long acclimation

365 period comparable to previous marine mollusk local adaptation studies

366 (Sokolova and Pörtner, 2001; Daka and Hawkins, 2004; Yee and Murray,

367 2004) to minimize the chances that our common-garden design identifies

368 residual effects from the previous environments of the animals. However,

369developmental plasticity, persistent acclimation, and other environmental
370and epigenetic influences during the lifespan of the experimental animals
371cannot be completely ruled out (Kinne, 1962; Zamer and Mangum, 1979;
372Kawecki and Ebert, 2004).

373

374In addition to our observations of differential responses to heat stress in
375northern and southern *C. funebris* populations, previous work suggests that
376other differences between northern and southern populations are also
377genetically based. Frank (1975) found that warm and cold-water populations
378of *C. funebris* display differences in shell growth rates. Moreover, Fawcett
379(1984) concluded that northern and southern populations of *C. funebris* are
380genetically differentiated after observing that in order to avoid predation,
381transplanted southern snails climb to higher shores more quickly and
382ultimately reach higher heights compared to transplanted northern snails.
383More recently, Yee and Murray reported that northern and southern *C.*
384*funebris* populations separated by more than 300 kilometers display
385differences in both activity and feeding response to temperature (Yee and
386Murray, 2004). These different temperature responses of northern and
387southern snails led Yee and Murray (2004) to suggest that *C. funebris*
388populations are locally adapted to regional conditions. Overall these results,
389combined with our data in this current study, suggest that widely separated
390populations of *C. funebris* experience varying habitats and environmental

391stresses, and they may genetically adapt to these different environments in
392multiple ways.

393

394Although we expected the drop-down assay would also show a distinction
395between northern and southern populations, patterns between the
396geographic regions were unclear. At least two confounding factors may have
397influenced this assay. First, since individuals of northern populations have
398been suggested to occur lower in the vertical intertidal zonation (Fawcett,
3991984) and hence experience more wave action, they could have unknown
400adaptations for stronger substrate attachment than southern populations.
401This has been observed in other marine mollusks such as *Littorina saxatilis*
402(Martínez-Fernández et al., 2010). Although preliminary results showed no
403difference in drop-down time between Pigeon Point and La Jolla snails at
404room temperature, this could be investigated further. Second, *C. funebris*
405individuals, like other marine gastropods, may use mucous threads to help
406them adhere to substrates (Grenon and Walker, 1981; Denny, 1984; Smith et
407al., 1999). If this were the case, the ability of a single animal to stay
408attached to a substrate during heat stress would not be solely dependent on
409the individual's physical status.

410

411**4.1 Thermal Stress in the *Chlorostoma* Genus**

412Although prior work has demonstrated differences in thermal tolerances in
413*Chlorostoma* congeners found at varying tidal heights, this study is the first

414to investigate differences in thermal tolerance, mortality, and recovery
415following heat stress across a geographic range of *C. funebris* populations.
416Tomanek and Somero (1999, 2000) have shown that *C. brunnea* occupying
417lower regions of the intertidal exhibit lower thermal tolerance and suffer
418higher mortality than species such as *C. funebris* that occupy higher
419intertidal zones. The current work, which finds that southern populations are
420more thermally tolerant than northern populations, adds valuable
421information to the growing body of empirical knowledge about the varying
422thermal tolerances in the genus *Chlorostoma*.

423

424**4.2 Local Adaptation and Gene Flow**

425Previous work found no genetic structure in the mtDNA marker cytochrome
426oxidase subunit I (COI) in *C. funebris* populations along the Pacific coastline
427(Kelly and Palumbi, 2010; Kelly et al., 2010), presumably due to gene flow
428via larval dispersal. Our data suggest that local adaptation has evolved in *C.*
429*funebris* despite this apparent lack of genetic differentiation. Several
430possible explanations (not necessarily mutually exclusive) can be offered to
431reconcile the current study with previous findings. First, the genetic loci
432responsible for thermal tolerance in *C. funebris* may be under selective
433pressures that do not affect the marker COI. If this were the case, some loci
434may show little geographic variation while others can show extreme
435differentiation (Slatkin, 1985). Provided that habitat-specific selection is
436strong enough to overcome migration, apparent gene flow at one locus such

437as COI does not preclude differentiation at other regions of the *C. funebris*
438genome that are relevant to local ecology (Brown et al., 2001).

439

440Significant self-recruitment combined with high levels of effective selection
441could also facilitate local adaptation in *C. funebris* despite gene flow. Only
442a few migrants per generation are necessary to maintain genetic

443homogeneity among populations (Wright, 1931); thus, a lack of population
444structure as indicated by COI does not necessarily indicate a lack of local

445recruitment. Self-recruitment could increase the chances for local

446adaptation at alleles with habitat-specific fitness by reducing genetic

447exchange among populations at these loci (Strathmann et al., 2002).

448However, local recruitment can only facilitate adaptive differentiation if

449selection provides a barrier to gene flow at ecologically relevant loci;

450effective selection, $N_e s$, must be greater than effective migration, $N_e m$

451(Slatkin, 1985; Brown et al., 2001). As described in the hypothetical example

452above, for *C. funebris* substantial local recruitment could allow selection to

453act on local populations, adapting each to better cope with its unique

454environmental stressors, such as heat, and causing ecotypic genetic

455differentiation.

456

457Another explanation for apparent local adaptation amidst a lack of genetic

458structure is differential post-settlement survival, or immigrant inviability

459(Strathmann, 2002; Hendry, 2004; Nosil et al., 2005; Marshall et al., 2010).

460 This scenario could result in no genetic difference in genes such as COI, but
461 genes that may confer survival advantages, such as heat shock proteins,
462 could show habitat-specific differences in alleles over time. This has been
463 seen in terrestrial organisms such as the California serpentine sunflower
464 (Sambatti and Rice, 2006), and also in marine invertebrates such as the blue
465 mussel (Koehn et al., 1980; Hilbish, 1995) and the northern acorn barnacle,
466 *Semibalanus balanoides* (Schmidt and Rand, 1999, 2001). For example in *S.*
467 *balanoides*, certain genotypes of the *Mpi* locus, which is involved in
468 metabolism of mannose in algae and phytoplankton, experience a pulse of
469 genotype-specific mortality before the larvae metamorphose (Schmidt and
470 Rand, 2001). To address this hypothesis of low immigrant survival in *C.*
471 *funnebralis*, thermal tolerance assays (and genetic studies) could be
472 performed on new recruits and not just on sexually mature adults such as
473 those used in the current study.

474

475 **4.3 Coping with Climate Change**

476 Our finding that populations of *C. funnebralis* show different thermal
477 tolerances is important to consider in the context of global warming (Sorte et
478 al., 2011). Previous work has demonstrated that, somewhat unexpectedly,
479 more warm-adapted animals may be less able to respond to climate change
480 than more cold-adapted animals because the warm-adapted animals are
481 already closer to their upper thermal limit (Stillman, 2003; Somero, 2010;
482 Tomanek, 2010). Our study compares thermal tolerances of northern

483 California *C. funebris* populations that experience maximum temperatures
484 of 35 °C upon emergence from the intertidal and of southern California
485 populations that can experience maximum temperatures around 40 °C. Our
486 data demonstrate that northern California populations have a relatively large
487 thermal buffer. At least 50% of individuals can survive at 39 °C, 4 °C higher
488 than the maximum temperature they are likely to experience in the field.
489 Conversely, the southern populations already appear to be at their upper
490 thermal limit; they demonstrated 100% mortality at 41 °C, a temperature
491 they could experience in the field. This result is consistent with a previous
492 study that investigated the thermal limits of heart function in *Chlorostoma*
493 congeners; Stenseng et al. (2005) found that *C. funebris* can encounter
494 body temperatures in the field in southern California that exceed its flatline
495 temperature, the temperature at which the heart stops beating upon
496 heating. Thus, although southern populations show higher survival than
497 northern populations at 38-40 °C, it appears that southern populations will
498 not be able to cope with temperature increases without suffering complete
499 mortality. These population-specific responses to thermal stress could have
500 a large effect on future local extinctions and geographic range shifts for *C.*
501 *funebris*.

502

503 Finally, it is worth noting that the assays employed here identify clear
504 differences between populations, but only over a relatively narrow range of
505 temperatures. We suspect that this is partly an artifact of the crude nature

506of the assays themselves (end points of mortality or recovery time). The
507extent to which populations differ in unmeasured and potentially more subtle
508responses remains to be determined. For example, although all populations
509survived the 37 °C stress, we do not know if they incurred similar costs in
510terms of cellular damage and potentially reduced future fecundity; such tests
511represent important challenges for future work.

512

513**4.4 Conclusions**

514This study found phenotypic evidence for local adaptation to heat stress in *C.*
515*funnebralis*, a marine gastropod with planktonic larvae and no previously
516identified population structure. Two of the three phenotype assays
517performed indicate southern California populations have a higher thermal
518tolerance than northern California populations. Our results suggest different
519*C. funnebralis* populations possess unique adaptations to tolerate emersion-
520associated heat stress, and hence will allow more informed predictions of
521how populations will respond to future environmental changes. Further
522studies are needed to uncover the genetic basis of this local adaptation to
523heat stress in *C. funnebralis*.

524

525**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

526LUG was a California Sea Grant Trainee and an NSF Graduate Research
527Fellow during the completion of this study. Partial support from NSF grant
528DEB 1051057 to RSB is also gratefully acknowledged. Patrociña Gleason,

529 Kevin Gleason, and Darren Lee assisted with animal collection. Sonya
530 Vargas contributed to drop-down data collection, and Phil Zerofski assisted
531 with general animal maintenance and care such as tank set-up and kelp
532 collection and feeding. Felipe Barreto, Ricardo Pereira, Tessa Pierce, and two
533 anonymous reviewers provided valuable comments on the manuscript.

534

535 REFERENCES

536 Abbott, D.P., Haderlie, E.C., 1980. Prosobranchia: marine snails. Intertidal
537 invertebrates of California, 230-307.

538

539 Ballentine, B., Greenberg, R., 2010. Common garden experiment reveals
540 genetic control of phenotypic divergence between swamp sparrow
541 subspecies that lack divergence in neutral genotypes. PLoS ONE 5(4):
542 e10229. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010229.

543

544 Bouchet, P., 2013. *Chlorostoma* Swainson, 1840. Accessed through: World
545 Register of Marine Species at [http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?](http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=413474)
546 [p=taxdetails&id=413474](http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=413474) on 2013-07-17.

547

548 Brown, A.F., Kann, L.M., Rand, D.M., 2001. Gene flow versus local adaptation
549 in the northern acorn barnacle, *Semibalanus balanoides*: Insights from
550 mitochondrial DNA variation. Evolution 55(10), 1972-1979.

551

552Burton, R.S., 1983. Protein polymorphisms and genetic differentiation of
553marine invertebrate populations. *Marine Biology Letters* 4(4), 193-206.

554

555Daka, E.R., Hawkins, S.J., 2004. Tolerance to heavy metals in *Littorina*
556*saxatilis* from a metal contaminated estuary in the Isle of Man. *Journal of the*
557*Marine Biological Association of the UK* 84(2), 393-400.

558

559Denny, M.W., 1984. Mechanical properties of pedal mucus and their
560consequences for gastropod structure and performance. *American Zoologist*
56124(1), 23-36.

562

563Denny, M.W., Miller, L.P., Harley, C.D.G., 2006. Thermal stress on intertidal
564limpets: long-term hindcasts and lethal limits. *Journal of Experimental*
565*Biology* 209(13), 2420-2431.

566

567Fawcett, M.H., 1984. Local and latitudinal variation in predation on an
568herbivorous marine snail. *Ecology* 65(4), 1214-1230.

569

570Frank, P.W., 1975. Latitudinal variation in life-history features of the black
571turban snail *Tegula funebris* (Prosobranchia: Trochidae). *Marine Biology*
57231(2), 181-192.

573

574Franssen, S.U., Gu, J., Bergmann, N., Winters, G., Klostermeier, U.C.,
575Rosentiel, P., Bornberg-Bauer, E., Reusch, T.B.H., 2011. Transcriptomic
576resilience to global warming in the seagrass *Zostera marina*, a marine
577foundation species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
578United States of America-Biological Sciences 108(48), 19276-19281.

579

580Grenon, J.F., Walker, G., 1981. The tenacity of the limpet, *Patella vulgata*: An
581experimental approach. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
58254(3), 277-308.

583

584Hahn, K.O., 1989. CRC handbook of culture of abalone and other marine
585gastropods. CRC handbook of culture of abalone and other marine
586gastropods, 1-348.

587

588Helmuth, B., Broitman, B.R., Blanchette, C.A., Gilman, S., Halpin, P., Harley,
589C.D.G., O'Donnell, M.J., Hofmann, G.E., Menge, B., Strickland, D., 2006.

590Mosaic patterns of thermal stress in the rocky intertidal zone: Implications for
591climate change. Ecological Monographs 76(4), 461-479.

592

593Hendry, A.P., 2004. Selection against migrants contributes to the rapid
594evolution of ecologically dependent reproductive isolation. Evolutionary
595Ecology Research 6(8), 1219-1236.

596

- 597Hilbish, T.J., 1985. Demographic and temporal structure of an allele
598frequency cline in the mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Marine Biology* 86(2), 163-171.
599
- 600Huey, R.B., Crill, W.D., Kingsolver, J.G., Weber, K.E., 1992. A method for rapid
601measurement of heat or cold resistance of small insects. *Functional Ecology*
6026(4), 489-494.
603
- 604Kawecki, T.J., Ebert, D., 2004. Conceptual issues in local adaptation.
605*Ecology Letters* 7, 1225-1241.
606
- 607Kelly, R.P., Palumbi, S.R., 2010. Genetic Structure Among 50 Species of the
608Northeastern Pacific Rocky Intertidal Community. *PLoS ONE* 5(1): e8594.
609doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008594.
610
- 611Kelly, R.P., Oliver, T.A., Sivasundar, A, Palumbi, S.R., 2010. A Method for
612Detecting Population Genetic Structure in Diverse, High Gene-Flow Species.
613*Journal of Heredity* 101(4), 423-436.
614
- 615Kinne, O., 1962. Irreversible nongenetic adaptation. *Comparative*
616*Biochemical Physiology* 5, 265-282.
617
- 618Koehn, R.K., Newell, R.I.E., Immermann, F., 1980. Maintenance of an
619aminopeptidase allele frequency cline by natural selection. *Proceedings of*

620the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America-Biological
621Sciences 77(9), 5385-5389.

622

623Kuo, E.S.L., Sanford, E., 2009. Geographic variation in the upper thermal
624limits of an intertidal snail: Implications for climate envelope models. Marine
625Ecology Progress Series 388, 137-146.

626

627Kyle, C.J., Boulding, E.G., 2000. Comparative population genetic structure of
628marine gastropods (*Littorina* spp.) with and without pelagic larval dispersal.
629Marine Biology 137(5-6), 835-845.

630

631Lee, H.J., Boulding, E.G., 2010. Latitudinal clines in body size, but not in
632thermal tolerance or heat-shock cognate 70 (*HSC70*), in the highly-dispersing
633intertidal gastropod *Littorina keenae* (Gastropoda: Littorinidae). Biological
634Journal of the Linnean Society 100, 494-505.

635

636Lenormand, T., 2002. Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. Trends in
637Ecology & Evolution 17(4), 183-189.

638

639Levin, L.A., 2006. Recent progress in understanding larval dispersal: New
640directions and digressions. Integrative and Comparative Biology, 46, 282-
641297.

642

643Levine, D.M., Stephan, D.F., Szabat, K.A., 2013. Statistics for Managers

644Using Microsoft Excel Plus MyStatLab, 7th ed. Pearson, New Jersey.

645

646Lewontin, R.C., 1974. The genetic basis of evolutionary change. Columbia

647University Press, New York.

648

649Marshall, D.J., Monro, K., Bode, M., Keough, M.J., Swearer, S., 2010.

650Phenotype-environment mismatches reduce connectivity in the sea. Ecology

651Letters 13(1), 128-140.

652

653Martínez-Fernández, M., Bernatchez, L., Rolán-Alvarez, E., Quesada, H.,

6542010. Insights into the role of differential gene expression on the ecological

655adaptation of the snail *Littorina saxatilis*. BMC Evolutionary Biology 10: 356.

656doi:10.1186/1471-2148-10-356.

657

658Mayr, E., 1963. Animal species and evolution. Animal species and evolution,

659797-pp. xiv 797.

660

661McMahon, R.F., 1990. Thermal tolerance, evaporative water loss, air-water

662oxygen consumption and zonation of intertidal prosobranchs: a new

663synthesis. Hydrobiologia 193, 241-260.

664

665 Miller, L.P., Denny, M.W., 2011. Importance of behavior and morphological
666 traits for controlling body temperature in Littorinid snails. *Biological Bulletin*
667 220(3), 209-223.

668

669 Moran, A.L., 1997. Spawning and larval development of the black turban
670 snail *Tegula funebris* (Prosobranchia: Trochidae). *Marine Biology* 128(1),
671 107-114.

672

673 National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC), National Oceanic and
674 Atmospheric Administration, United States Department of Commerce.
675 Accessed 12 December 2012. URL <http://www.nodc.noaa.gov>.

676

677 Nosil, P., Vines, T.H., Funk, D.J., 2005. Perspective: Reproductive isolation
678 caused by natural selection against immigrants from divergent habitats.
679 *Evolution* 59(4), 705-719.

680

681 R Development Core Team, 2008. R: A language and environment for
682 statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,
683 Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org>.

684

685 Riedman, M.L., Hines, A.H., Pearse, J.S., 1981. Spatial segregation of 4
686 species of turban snails (Gastropoda, *Tegula*) in central California. *Veliger*
687 24(2), 97-102.

688

689Sagarin, R.D., Gaines, S.D., 2002. Geographical abundance distributions of
690coastal invertebrates: using one-dimensional ranges to test biogeographic
691hypotheses. *Journal of Biogeography* 29(8), 985-997.

692

693Sambatti, J.B.M., Rice, K.J., 2006. Local adaptation, patterns of selection, and
694gene flow in the Californian serpentine sunflower (*Helianthus exilis*).
695*Evolution* 60(4), 696-710.

696

697Sanford, E., Kelly, M.W., 2010. Local adaptation in marine invertebrates.
698*Annual Review of Marine Science* 3, 509-535.

699

700Schmidt, P.S., Rand, D.M., 1999. Intertidal microhabitat and selection at *Mpi*:
701Interlocus contrasts in the northern acorn barnacle, *Semibalanus balanoides*.
702*Evolution* 53(1), 135-146.

703

704Schmidt, P.S., Rand, D.M., 2001. Adaptive maintenance of genetic
705polymorphism in an intertidal barnacle: Habitat and life-stage-specific
706survivorship of *Mpi* genotypes. *Evolution* 55(7), 1336-1344.

707

708Slatkin, M., 1985. Gene flow in natural populations. *Annual Review of Ecology*
709*and Systematics* 16, 393-430.

710

711Smith, A.M., Quick, T.J., Peter, R.L.S., 1999. Differences in the composition of
712adhesive and non-adhesive mucus from the limpet *Lottia limatula*. Biological
713Bulletin 196(1), 34-44.

714

715Sokolova, I.M., Pörtner, H.O., 2001. Physiological adaptations to high
716intertidal life involve improved water conservation abilities and metabolic
717rate depression in *Littorina saxatilis*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 224,
718171-186.

719

720Somero, G.N., 2010. The physiology of climate change: how potentials for
721acclimatization and genetic adaptation will determine 'winners' and 'losers'.
722Journal of Experimental Biology 213(6), 912-920.

723

724Sorte, C.J.B., Jones, S.J., Miller, L.P., 2011. Geographic variation in
725temperature tolerance as an indicator of potential population responses to
726climate change. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 400(1-
7272), 209-217.

728

729Stenseng, E., Braby, C.E., Somero, G.N., 2005. Evolutionary and acclimation-
730induced variation in the thermal limits of heart function in congeneric marine
731snails (Genus *Tegula*): Implications for vertical zonation. Biological Bulletin
732208(2), 138-144.

733

734 Stillman, J.H., 2003. Acclimation capacity underlies susceptibility to climate
735 change. *Science* 301(4), 65.

736

737 Strathmann, R.R., Hughes, T.P., Kuris, A.M., Lindeman, K.C., Morgan, S.G.,
738 Pandolfi, J.M., Warner, R.R., 2002. Evolution of local recruitment and its
739 consequences for marine populations. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 70(1), 377-
740 396.

741

742 Suzuki, R., Shimodaira, H., 2006. Pvclust: an R package for assessing the
743 uncertainty in hierarchical clustering. *Bioinformatics* 22(12), 1540-1542.

744

745 Tomanek, L., 2002. The heat-shock response: Its variation, regulation and
746 ecological importance in intertidal gastropods (genus *Tegula*). *Integrative
747 and Comparative Biology* 42(4), 797-807.

748

749 Tomanek, L., 2010. Variation in the heat shock response and its implication
750 for predicting the effect of global climate change on species' biogeographical
751 distribution ranges and metabolic costs. *Journal of Experimental Biology*
752 213(6), 971-979.

753

754 Tomanek, L., Somero, G.N., 1999. Evolutionary and acclimation-induced
755 variation in the heat-shock responses of congeneric marine snails (genus
756 *Tegula*) from different thermal habitats: Implications for limits of

757thermotolerance and biogeography. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 202(21),
7582925-2936.

759

760Tomanek, L., Somero, G.N., 2000. Time course and magnitude of synthesis of
761heat-shock proteins in congeneric marine snails (Genus *Tegula*) from
762different tidal heights. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 73(2), 249-256.

763

764Wright, S., 1931. Evolution in Mendelian populations. *Genetics* 16(2), 0097-
7650159.

766

767Yee, E.H., Murray, S.N., 2004. Effects of temperature on activity, food
768consumption rates, and gut passage times of seaweed-eating *Tegula* species
769(Trochidae) from California. *Marine Biology* 145(5), 895-903.

770

771Zamer, W.E., Mangum, C.P., 1979. Irreversible nongenetic temperature
772adaptation of oxygen uptake in clones of the sea anemone *Haliplanella*
773*luciae* (Verill). *Biological Bulletin* 157, 536-547.

774

775

776 Figure captions

777

778 **Fig 1.** *C. funebris* collecting sites along the California coastline.

779

780

781 **Fig 2.** Boxplot showing median drop-down times of each population. Boxes
782 filled with dotted lines indicate northern populations, and open boxes
783 indicate southern populations. La Jolla individuals have a significantly higher
784 drop down time than individuals from Slide Ranch, Pigeon Point, and Bird
785 Rock. The solid black line within each box represents the median, the upper
786 and lower limits of each box indicate the third and first quartiles respectively,
787 the lines above and below each box represent the high and low values of
788 each dataset respectively, and small circles represent outliers ($n = 40$ for
789 each population). Different letters over each bar indicate significant
790 differences ($p < 0.05$).

791

792 **Fig 3.** Percent survival for each population following 37-41 °C heat stress.
793 Open symbols indicate northern populations, and filled symbols indicate
794 southern populations. Data are means \pm 1 SE ($n = 20$ for each 37, 40 and
795 41 °C data point, and $n = 30$ for all other data points). Asterisks indicate a
796 significant difference in survival between the three northern populations as a
797 group compared to the three southern populations as a group at a given
798 temperature. ** = $p < 0.01$; *** = $p < 0.001$.

799

800

801**Fig 4.** Boxplot showing median time until reattachment at 38 °C. Boxes
802filled with dotted lines indicate northern populations, and open boxes
803indicate southern populations. The three northern populations as a group
804take significantly longer to recover and reattach to the substrate compared
805to the three southern populations as a group (***) = $p < 0.01$). The solid
806black line within each box represents the median, the upper and lower limits
807of each box indicate the third and first quartiles respectively, the lines above
808and below each box represent the high and low values of each dataset
809respectively, and small circles represent outliers ($n = 18$ for Slide Ranch, $n =$
81017 for Pescadero, $n = 19$ for Pigeon Point, $n = 20$ for Aliso Beach, $n = 19$ for
811La Jolla, and $n = 20$ for Bird Rock. Even sample sizes were difficult to obtain
812due to differential mortality following heat stress, see Section 2.5).

813

814

815**Fig 5.** Cluster dendrogram showing a northern and a southern clade based
816on the combined data from the phenotypic assays. Values on the left side of
817each node are the approximately unbiased (au) p-values, and values on the
818right side are the bootstrap probability (bp) values. The vertical height axis
819refers to a distance measure between the clusters, which was calculated
820during the hierarchical clustering procedure used to construct the
821dendrogram.

822

823

824

825

826 **Fig 1.**

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834 Slide Ranch

835 Pescadero

836 Pigeon Point

837

838

839

840


841

842

843



844**Fig 2.**



845

846

847


848

849

850


851

852 Fig 3.



853
854
855
856

857 Fig 4.



858

859

860

861

862

863


864

865

866

867

868 Fig 5.



869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878