Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

THE PHYSICS OF HEAVY-ION RADIOGRAPHY AND HEAVY-ION COMPUTERIZED TOMOGRAPHY

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2sm1k9s8>

Author Holley, W.R.

Publication Date 1982-03-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA RECEIVED

. lril-\ r *?.* 8 1982

LIBRARY AND POCUMENTS SECTION

Presented at the International Workshop on Physics and Engineering in Medical Imaging, Pacific Grove, CA, March 15-18, 1982

THE PHYSICS OF HEAVY-ION RADIOGRAPHY AND HEAVY-ION COMPUTERIZED TOMOGRAPHY

W.R. Holley, J.I. Eabrikant, C.A. Tobias, and E. V. Benton

OMNST

March 1982 **TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY**

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782.

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

THE PHYSICS OF HEAVY-ION RADIOGRAPHY AND

HEAVY-ION COMPUTERIZED TOMOGRAPHY 1,2

W. R. Holley, J. I. Fabrikant and C. A. Tobias Division of Biology and Medicine Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

and

E. V. Benton Department of Physics University of San Francisco San Francisco, California

¹Presented at the International Workshop on Physics & Engineering in Medical
Imaging, Pacific Grove, California, March 15-18, 1982.

 2 Research supported by the Office of Health and Environmental Research of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

THE PHYSICS OF HEAVY-ION RADIOGRAPHY AND HEAVY-ION COMPUTERIZED TOMOGRAPHY

Wi1liam R. Holley, Jacob I. Fabrikant, and Cornelius A. Tobias Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA

and Eugene V. Benton University of San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

Techniques are being developed at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratbry for heavy-ion projection radiography and heavy-ion computerized tomography using high-energy carbon, oxygen and neon beams. The physical characteristics of heavy-ion beams, such as range straggling and multiple coulomb scattering, affecting image spatial and density resolution and radiation dose are discussed. The methods of beam production and exposure, particle detection, digi- tization of data, and computer analysis and reconstruction of heavy-ion images are described. Comparisons between heavy-ion CT images and x-ray CT images of phantoms and tissue specimens are made, and the physical bases for differences of the two modalities are discussed.

Introduction

At Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, radiographic and computerized tomographic studies of phantom and tissue ·specimens using a variety of energetic heavy particles· have shown promise for imaging details of soft tissue structuresl-8. The source of accelerated heavy ions is the Bevalac^{9, 10}, an accelerator complex completed in 1975 (Figure 1). Using the HILAC (Heavy-Ion Linear Accelerator) as an injector, the Bevalac can accelerate fully
strippedatomic nuclei from carbon (atomic number Z=6) to krypton (Z=34). Useful ranges in tissue of 40 em or more are available. Radiographic studies to date have been conducted with helium, carbon, oxygen, and neon beams.

Fundamental Principles of Heavy-Ion Radiography

Figure 2 illustrates the technique used at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory for heavy-ion radiography. A nearly parallel stream of heavy particles crosses the object to be radiographed and stops in a stack of plastic foils. The foils are nuclear track detectors, originally developed to study heavy primary cosmic rays and to record tracks due to nuclear fission fragments. The stopping point distribution in the plastic detector stack corresponds to the residual range distribution of the particles after crossing the object.

...

The plastic foils used for heavy-ion imaging are insensitive to the passage of low-LET particles;
high-LET particles cause a lesion in the plastic that can be developed by the application of concen-

Abstract trated sodium hydroxide until a tiny conical impression or hole forms. The plastics that we are using, Lexan and cellulose nitrate, are only sensitive to stopping heavy particles; it is practical to use them for particles with atomic numbers 6 through 10. The information on each pastic sheet is transferred by an optical scanning method to a computer for further quantitation and eventual display.

> Compared to diagnostic x-rays, heavy ions (e.g. carbon) are much more sensitive to small electron density differences (density resolution) in the subject, which can be visualized with higher contrast and significantly lower doses. On the other hand, the lateral (spatial) resolution of x-rays (i.e., in planes perpendicular to the direction of the beam) is better than that of heavy particles .

. The transverse spatial information in the heavy-ion image is in principle limited by the fact that the heavy ions do not quite travel in straight lines but undergo small angle scatterings with target nuclei. The effects of multiple coulomb scattering are such as to smear out transversly an incident pencil line of beam into an approxi- mately Gaussian distribution with a standard deviation which varies approximately linearly with range and inversly with approximately the 0.6 power of the incident particle mass.

An approximate expression for the standard deviation is given by the following empirical formula valid for incident particles of mass number A, charge Z, and range R in water:

$$
\sigma_{\text{mcs}}(\text{cm}) \approx \frac{0.0294 \text{ R}^{0.896}}{70.207 \text{ A}^{0.396}}
$$

Figure 3B shows the dependence of the lateral scattering on object thickness for a feature on the upstream edge of the object. The integrated density or depth resolution (the resolution for measur'ing the stopping power of an object) is limited by energy spread of the beam (sma 11 for Bevatron beams) and by statistical fluctuations in the energy loss process. The variation in the stopping
points of a monoenergetic beam, that is, range straggling, also follows an approximately Gaussian distribution with a standard deviation nearly proportional to the range and to the inverse square root of the particle mass. Figure 3A shows these relationships for several typical beam particles as functions of range in water.

The standard deviation for range straggling for particles of mass number. A and range R (in cm) of tissue- or water-equivalent material is given by the following approximate expression:

$$
\sigma_{RS}(cm) \approx \frac{0.012 \text{ R}^{0.951}}{\sqrt{A}}
$$

This formula represents the standard deviation of obtain the value R to associate with the position
the stopping distribution of beam particles. The ic, jc in corrected space (i.e., position equiva-
mean stopping point of mean stopping point of a set of N stopping particles lent to xd, yd) bilinear interpolation between
in a transverse pixel of interest has an error four nearest neighbor pixels to xd, yd is used. in a transverse pixel of interest has an error given by the SD of the distribution divided by the square root of the number of particles so that the This procedure removes distortions from the density accuracy is proportional to $1/\sqrt{N}$ or equiva- image and in the case of single view plane radio

Although individual nuclear detector stack layers can be viewed qualitatively as radiographs,
for quantitative analysis it is necessary to obtain, a computer synthesis of the digitized information from all of the individual layers. The physical aspects of the digitization and synthesis process are illustrated in Figure 4. The individual detector layers are darkfield illuminated and scanned by a Vidicon. The signal from the Vidicon is then. digitized by a high-speed analog-to-digital converter, i.e., a digitizer.

At every lateral-point {pixel location) the necessary information contained in the data is the average penetration of the.beam into the stack, i.e. the average stopping point of the particle $R: A$ number of different computational approaches have been used in the determination of R. . The determination is not perfectly straightforward because of the background signal contributed by the etched layers. Figure 5 shows a typical digitized signal
at one particular pixel location as a function of, sheet number or depth of penetration into the stack. The average stopping point.for this pixel is found from a locally-truncated intensity-weighted average of the sheet numbers.

ground-noise ratio when digitizing, it is necessary. radiographed object and through a reference material both to illuminate and view the plastic sheets ob-., . . (usually chosen to be water)⁵. For this purpose
liquely. To eliminate the "forshortening" distor- the projections include a strip on each side of the liquely. To eliminate the "forshortening" distor-
the projections include a strip on each side of the
tion produced by this tilt angle (usually 45 de-
grees) and also other sources of distortion and
In addition, when possi grees) and also other sources of distortion and
nonlinearities present in the optics and electronics of the Vidicon tube and digitizer, the following so that a true-R(object)-R(water) difference can
procedure is used. (1) The initial set of digi-
be formed. Possible variations in beam energy from procedure is used. (1) The initial set of digi-
tized, "raw," data (typically 256 x 256 pixels for " pulse to pulse (i.e., projection to projection) each of up to 200 sheets in a radiography stack) is
reduced down to a single array of numbers repre-
senting the average stopping point R at each pixel is strips at the edges of each projection before making senting the average stopping point R at each pixel *:* strips at the edge
location. (2) To remove the distortions, a grid \overline{r} the subtraction. enting the average stopping point **R** at each pixel... strips at the edges of each projection believed to remove the distortions, a grid $\frac{1}{2}$ the subtraction.
(typically 20 x 20) of very accurately spaced- • points is placed in exactly the same position as \tilde{f}_{\perp} finally, the corrected projection data that the sheets and digitized. The digitizer produces $\frac{1}{2}$ have remained in the form of an N·x N array an image (Figure 6) of the grid with the same dis- \bar{x} (usually 256 x 256) are converted to an array with tortions that are present in the digitized sheets. one row (or record) for each projection. Most of and the state of the heavy-ion tomographic exposures have been (3) A simple pattern recognition procedure is then the heavy-ion tomographic exposures have been used to find the position of the center of each grid taken with angular steps of about 2 degrees and point in digitized (distorted) space. The corrected ' therefore require about 90 projections. At this
image space is related to the true grid space by a spoint in the analysis any extra projections taken image space is related to the true grid space by a

simple translation and scale change. This transformation is used to relate each pixel location ic, jc in corrected space'to a position XG, YG in grid space. The locations of the four nearest neighbor grid points in'original distorted space as determined previously are used by means of bilinear interpolation to determine the corresponding coordinates xd, yd in distorted space. (4) Finally, to

density accuracy is proportional to $1/\sqrt{N}$ or equiva-
lently $1/\sqrt{d}$ ose. In the case of single view plane radio-
lently $1/\sqrt{d}$ ose. In lently 1/ldose. , ' graphs result in a satisfactory final image. In ' • 1 ; . ,· ~general, for: ·heavy-ion computerized tomography, Computer Processing·and·Data Analysis however, rotations, ,scale changes and· coordinate shifts are needed. Figure 7 illustrates a corrected image of the grid shown in Figure 6, with the distortions removed.

(*/* ..

 $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$

Heavy-Ion Computerized .Tomography

Because of the quantitative character of the
Let heavy particle radiographs, computerized tomography is a logical extension of the heavy particle radio-
 \cdot ., graphy technique. This extension is accomplished by converting one spatial coordinate into the projection angle coordinate. The beam is confined by the collimator, the beam passes through the object
to be imaged, which is immersed in a water bath. to be imaged, which is immersed in a water bath. _ For CT scanning, the object is rotated about a vertical axis, 1 to 3 degrees between successive exposures. A:narrow horizontal strip of exposure is, then produced in the detector stack by a final collimator which is placed immediately downstream from the immersion bath and upstream from the stack. Between beam pulses, while the radiographed object is rotated, the stack is translated either upward or downward'in increments equal to.the width of the- ~final collimator. ·

The projection.value required for input into the tomographic reconstruction algorithm is the. In order to obtain the best signal-to-back-
Ind-noise ratio when digitizing, it is necessary, radiographed object and through a reference material made with the radiographed object replaced by water
so that a true R(object)-R(water) difference can

to ensure against loss of data at the beginning or end of the rotations are eliminated and the data properly normalized for input into the reconstruction programs. Bilinear interpolation is used to reduce the array from 256 x 256 to 90 x 256, which is the array most frequently used.

Reconstruction and Display

For heavy-ion CT reconstruction a filtered back projection algorithm is applied, using a modified Shepp-Logan 1,12 method from the Budinger-Huesman Donner reconstruction program packagel3. The results of the computations are distributions of electronic stopping powers, usually in terms of the heavy-ion number, τ , defined by the following equation:

$$
\tau = 1000(\sigma_t/\sigma_w^{-1}) \approx 1000(n_t/n_w^{-1})
$$

where σ_+ and σ_W are linear stopping power values and n_+ and n_W are the electron densities of the target material and water, respectively. This information is not the same as that displayed in x-ray CT scans; for x-ray CT,

$$
H = 1000(\mu_t/\mu_w-1)
$$

where μ_{+} and μ_{ω} are the average or "effective" linear ½-ray altentuation coefficients of target and water, respectively. Comparison of x-ray and of heavy-ion CT scans gives significant information on the electronic composition of the medium scanned.

Computerized Tomography of Phantoms

In order to optimize the procedure of mathematical CT reconstruction of heavy-ion data, some simple phantoms were constructed; their use allowed a study of the efficacy of the procedures at each step. By this method several artifacts related to heavy-ion tomography have been found, and as these have been reduced, the computerized tomographs showed considerable improvement.

One phantom consisted of a column of water with cylindrical walls. Four polystyrene test tubes were immersed into the water, each containing glucose/ water test solutions. The test tubes had a diameter of 1.0 em and wall thickness of 0.07 em. The density of the test tube wall was 1.04. In the center of the column, a nylon rod marked the axis of rotation for the phantom. Figure 8 top shows a sinogram of this test-tube assembly, after tomographic exposures of a 0.2 em section to a 557 MeV/ amu neon beam, development, digitizing and application of special data correction programs. The image of the 90 angular projections shows correctly that the image of each test tube follows a sinusoidal curve. The walls of the test tubes are clearly resolved; the image is slightly blurred due to multiple scattering. The vertical stripe at the center is due to the nylon rod; the straightness of this image indicates that it was very near the center of the rotation. Figure 8 bottom shows a sinogram of the same assembly, before the correction program. Horizontal stripes indicate indivi-

•

dual scans at each angle. The right side is darker than the left, because the water bath container was thicker on one side than the other (i.e., wedge shaped). The horizontal striped appearance is due to a slightly different neon particle energy in consecutive pulses from the Bevalac. The range deviations were of the order of 0.5 percent. These two artifacts were corrected by adjusting the range value proportionately in each pixel for uniform range in water.

Figure 9 shows a reconstruction of the test tube pattern with neon ions. This image may be compared to an x-ray CT reconstruction, Figure ·10, obtained of the same phantom with a General Electric 7800 CT scanner at the Department of Radiology, University of California, San Francisco. Both computerized tomograms reproduce the pattern, but with notable differences. The walls of the test tubes appear whiter than background in the neon radiograph and darker than background in the x-ray CT scan. This is because the electron density of the wall, n_{et}, is greater than that of water, n_{ew}, so that $\tau > 0$. The x-ray absorption coefficient of the wall is less than that of water, H<O. The difference may be due to the fact that the carbon in polystyrene absorbs x-rays less efficiently than the oxygen in water.

The test tubes were filled with glucose dissolved in water at different concentrations. Table I compares these values with the measurements obtained in the two scans. Thus, the neon-ion (557 MeV/amu) radiograph correctly predicted the densities in each tube. In the x-ray CT scan, there was much more noise and variation in the background. These tests have demonstrated that heavy-ion computerized tomography can resolve structures as small as 0.07 em, and density differences of 0.005 .

Table 1. Comparison of Glucose Concentrations in Test Tube Phantom

Test Tube		Actual Density	Neon	80 kVp
Inside	No. 1 No. 2 No. 3	1.0 (water) 1.005 1.01	0±0.5 5±0.6 9±0.5	6±1 7±0.8 13±1
	No. 4	1.015	$17+0.7$	$22+1.6$
Outside Background		1.0 (water	$0+0.6$	$4 - 21 + 4$

Imaging Tissue Specimens

The heavy-ion CT imaging method has been applied to human tissue specimens using either neon- or carbon-ion beamsl4,15. In one typical study a coronal plane of a whole human brain specimen was scanned with an 0.2 em slit, 90 projection angles and using a 557 MeV/amu (15 em range) beam. The heavy-ion CT reconstruction of this brain specimen (Figure 11) demonstrates high resolution of the soft tissue structures of the brain. The density resolution appears better than in the x-ray CT scan

CBB740-7911

Figure 1

Diagram of the Bevalac Heavy Ion Accelerator com-
plex at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory showing the
Superhilac injector, transfer line, Bevatron and
biomedical research area.

XBL774- 811

Figure 2

Principle of heavy-ion radiography with plastic nuclear track detectors. After the beam passes through the object, the heavy ions are recorded at their stopping points in the detector sheets. The patterns of etched tracks on the developed sheets constitute the radiographic images .

•

..

Heavy ions have greater depth-resolution sensitivity and contrast than protons, alpha particles or x-rays. This is shown quantitatively here where the range straggling (A) and beam deflection (B) due to multiple small-angle scattering are drawn for protons and heavier particles as functions of the range penetration in water. In (B) the imaged feature is on the upstream side of the water.

Figure 4

Diagram of computerized data analysis showing
method of digitizing, using a TV vidicon, by
viewing each nuclear track detector sheet in
oblique light.

Figure 5

Typical signal intensity profile plotted versus sheet layer number. The signal due to the etched tracks is superimposed on the background signal of the etched detector layers. The area above the truncation parameter is the portion of the measured curve used in determining the average particle stopping point, R.

..

XBB809- 10874

Figure 6

Digitized image of correction grid **with** same optical and electronic distortions present as **in** digitized radiographic sheets.

XBB809-10873

Figure 7

 $\overline{}$

Corrected grid image after distortions are removed.

XBB809-10875A

Figure 8

Corrected (top) and uncorrected (bottom) sinograms of test-tube phantom assembly made with a 557 MeV/ amu neon beam. The phantom consists of four polystyrene test-tubes, each containing test solutions of different density. The test-tube diameter is 1.0 em; the wall thickness, 0.07 em, and the den sity of the test-tube wall is 1. 04. The vertical stripe in the center is a nylon rod.

XBB809-l0844

..

Figure g

Neon-ion beam CT image of test-tube assembly immersed in water. The test-tube walls are white because their electronic stopping power (ue) is greater than that of water.

XBB809 -10768

Figure 10

X- ray CT image of the assembly de scribed above. The x-ray water background varies more than the neon~ion background. The test-tube walls appear dark because the x-ray absorption coefficient of polystyrene is smaller than that of water .

Figure 11

557 MeV/amu neon-ion CT reconstruction of human
brain autopsy specimen.

XBB809 -l095 ^l

Figure 12

X-ray CT scan of human brain specimen illustrated in Figure 11 .

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

 -0.05

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720