

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Perceptions of Patients with Primary Nonadherence to Statin Medications

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2sn5f6gw>

Journal

The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine, 34(1)

ISSN

1557-2625

Authors

Tarn, Derjung M
Barrientos, Maureen
Pletcher, Mark J
et al.

Publication Date

2021

DOI

10.3122/jabfm.2021.01.200262

Peer reviewed

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

Perceptions of Patients with Primary Nonadherence to Statin Medications

16 **INTRODUCTION**

17

18 Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the United States' leading cause of morbidity, mortality,
19 and rising health care costs,¹ but proven population-based CVD risk reduction strategies are
20 often not fully used. Many organizations seek to reduce CVD risk factors such as high
21 cholesterol.²⁻⁸ Patients not meeting goals after lifestyle modification are prescribed HMGCoA
22 reductase inhibitors, commonly referred to as "statins," for CVD prevention.^{9,10} But patients
23 often do not take statins as prescribed. Secondary nonadherence (stopping or taking a
24 medication differently than prescribed) is a recognized problem,^{11,12} but it is less well recognized
25 that 13-34% of people never fill a new statin prescription (primary nonadherence).¹³⁻¹⁸

26 While existing studies have identified the incidence and demographics associated with
27 primary nonadherence, none have exclusively explored the reasons, attitudes and beliefs behind
28 primary statin nonadherence. This study aims to address this gap in understanding. Previous
29 studies in the United States, all from one managed care healthcare system, suggest that patients
30 with primary nonadherence tend to be English-speaking, younger, black, on no other
31 medications, and have fewer comorbidities,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ suggesting that they were prescribed statins for
32 primary prevention. One study showed that most respondents had "general concerns" about
33 statins, were scared of side effects, or failed to understand why statins were prescribed or their
34 purpose.¹⁷ Outside of these findings, the literature lacks a deeper understanding of the attitudes
35 and beliefs of patients with primary statin nonadherence, the information patients consider before
36 deciding not to start statins, or how primary statin nonadherence might be avoided. This focus
37 group study was designed to investigate attitudes, beliefs, and perceptions of patients who chose
38 not to fill their first prescription for a statin.

39
40

41 **METHODS**

42

43 *Participant Identification and Recruitment*

44 Participants were recruited from: 1) lists of patients with primary nonadherence at
45 [Institution Name, de-identified] identified by querying electronic health records linked to
46 Surescripts medication fill data;²⁰ 2) placing internet advertisements on *Craigslist.com* in 22
47 United States metropolitan areas over a 6-month period; and 3) a large internet-based CVD
48 cohort (the Health eHeart Study). Advertisements contained a link to a study information sheet
49 and to an eligibility screening questionnaire; the study team contacted potentially eligible
50 participants.

51 Eligibility criteria were: aged 18 and older, received a new statin prescription within 2
52 years prior to contact, and did not start taking the prescription. We oversampled for minority
53 patients. The [Institution Name, de-identified] Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved the
54 study protocol, and served as the IRB of record for [Institution Name, de-identified]. No written
55 informed consent was required, but participants gave verbal consent prior to the focus groups.

56

57 *Data Collection*

58 Focus groups were conducted between February and July 2018 by two project
59 coordinators with psychology backgrounds who were experienced interviewers. We chose
60 interviewers without medical backgrounds to lead the focus groups so that patients would not
61 feel inhibited sharing their honest opinions about their medical care. To minimize variation in
62 technique, both interviewers were thoroughly oriented to the research problem, participated in
63 developing the interview guide and potential probes, and engaged in debriefing sessions after

64 each focus group. A physician-investigator with expertise conducting focus group interviews
65 concerning patient medication use²¹⁻²⁴ was present for all but one focus group discussion, and
66 worked with the interviewers to probe participant perspectives. To avoid influencing patient
67 responses, this investigator was introduced only by first name, and patients were informed only
68 that the investigator was a research team member. Focus groups lasted a mean of 80.2(SD=11.1)
69 minutes and were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim.

70 Interviewers used a focus group discussion guide to lead conversations and probed
71 participants as needed for detailed answers. Interviewers met with two of the investigators
72 (XXX [a cardiologist] and XXX [a family physician]; initials de-identified) after each focus
73 group to reflect on interview findings, put them into context of earlier focus group discussions,
74 and to modify the focus group guide as needed to prompt greater depth of responses (**Table**
75 **1**).^{25,26} All participants were surveyed about their demographics; history of heart disease/heart
76 attack, stroke, or diabetes; and number of prescription medications taken. Participants received a
77 \$60 gift card for participating.

78 *Focus Group Analyses*

79 Four investigators with different backgrounds (family physician with expertise in
80 physician-patient communication, medical sociologist, cardiologist, and project coordinator with
81 psychology background) formed the coding team. On completion of data collection, they
82 independently reviewed a subset of two focus group transcripts, using inductive content
83 analysis,^{27,28} existing literature,²⁹ and clinical expertise to generate themes. The coders used open
84 coding to identify comments in focus group discussions that related to patient decisions about not
85 starting a prescribed statin. Themes were classified as “major themes” if they emerged in every
86 focus group discussion, and as “minor themes” if they were raised in only a subset of

87 discussions. Subthemes (specific themes within major and minor themes) also were identified.
88 The coding team engaged in discussions about the themes, resolved disagreements via
89 consensus, and generated a codebook describing the themes. After theme generation, 3 coders
90 performed focused coding using the codebook, with at least 2 coders analyzing each transcript.
91 Coding discrepancies were resolved through discussion. ATLAS.ti 8.0 (Scientific Software
92 Development GmbH) was used for coding. Theoretical saturation, when no new themes can be
93 generated from the data, was reached after eight transcripts. This was assessed by using
94 ATLAS.ti to track the codes applied to each transcript.

95 **RESULTS**

96
97 Ten focus groups were conducted with 61 total participants. Participants were mostly
98 middle-aged and without CVD (**Table 2**). All participants met screening criteria for primary
99 nonadherence to statins within the past 2 years, but it became apparent during the discussions
100 that 4 participants (in 3 focus groups) had taken statins in the distant past.

101 Four major themes describing patient perspectives about starting a statin medication
102 emerged from all focus group discussions: 1) desire for alternative treatments; 2) worry about the
103 risks of statins; 3) perceptions of good personal health; and 4) uncertainty about the benefits of
104 statin use. We also present “minor themes” that emerged from some but not all focus group
105 discussions, as well as themes related to provider-patient relationships and interactions that
106 influenced patient decisions about starting a statin. Below we describe each of the major themes
107 in detail.

108

109 **Major Themes Related to Primary Statin Nonadherence (Table 3)**

110 *Desire for Alternative Treatments*

111 Almost all participants expressed a desire to pursue alternative treatments before starting
112 a statin. Alternatives ranged from lifestyle changes (e.g., exercise, dietary changes, weight loss)
113 to dietary supplements and “home remedies.” Participants often mentioned wanting ‘natural’
114 treatments such as red yeast rice, vitamin E, and cinnamon. One participant noted: “...as an
115 alternative, I have bought an over-the-counter plant sterol gummy and I’ve been taking the
116 gummies for awhile.” [FG8:P3] Another participant’s experience involved visiting: “a health
117 food store, and they recommended some herbs to take, like garlic, fenugreek, turmeric, ginger,
118 omega-3, flaxseed...I’ll make a smoothie every other day and include the herbs.” [FG4:P7]
119 Home remedies included boiling avocado leaves and drinking the resultant tea. Other approaches
120 included yoga and following a holistic lifestyle.

121 Participants mostly felt no urgency to start statins. In addition to wanting alternatives,
122 some wanted to repeat their cholesterol test, do other additional testing, or get more information
123 about statins. Many stated they were willing to start a statin if alternative treatments were
124 ineffective.

125 *Worry about the Risks of Statins*

126 Almost all focus group participants worried about statin side effects (e.g., liver damage,
127 muscle pain), which they typically read about on the internet or heard about from friends or
128 family. Participants also had concerns about worsening existing medical issues and about
129 potential interactions with other medications, for example: “Because if you’re on a lot of
130 medications...it seems like it conflicts a bit.” [FG9:P4]. Some were apprehensive about creating
131 new problems, for example: “Problem that I see, it causes like a domino effect. You take one
132 medication for one thing, and it causes something else to happen, so then you have to take

133 another medication to counteract the problem that the side effect is causing.” [FG2:P5] Those
134 with a family history of diabetes were particularly concerned about statin use leading to diabetes.

135 *Perceptions of Good Personal Health*

136 There were several subthemes describing participant perceptions of personal health. A
137 few participants felt that statins were unwarranted because they had no medical problems or were
138 too young. Others noted they had a healthy lifestyle, no family history, or no symptoms. Some
139 said immediate statin use was unwarranted because their cholesterol was only slightly above
140 normal, for example, “I found myself healthy. Just a little change in the cholesterol. It doesn’t
141 mean I have to start [a statin].” [FG7:P4]

142 Almost all indicated they would start a statin in a “life or death” or “life-threatening”
143 situation. Some said they would consider a statin if their cholesterol became “really high,” if they
144 had worsening health, or they started eating more unhealthy foods. One participant noted, “If I
145 knew that I was in serious probability of having [a heart attack], I would probably think twice
146 about taking it.” [FG9:P2] Many said they would take a statin if they had a heart attack, stroke,
147 or heart disease.

148 *Uncertainty about the Benefits of Statin Use*

149 Some participants seemed to have a poor understanding about the benefits of statins. For
150 example, a participant with heart disease revealed a disconnect: “If there’s a medication that I
151 could take that would help with my heart problem and prolong my life, you know, that’s a no-
152 brainer. You take it.” [FG7:P5]

153 Some participants correctly noted that statins lower cholesterol levels. But many
154 questioned the benefits of statins, with some asserting that statins are not that helpful or

155 important, and others suggesting that the evidence for use is unclear: “even though medical
156 studies say that...the benefits will be such in such, what it turns out that in many cases that is
157 wrong, and on later stud[ies] that information is wrong” [FG3:P6]. A handful were unconvinced
158 that 10-year cardiovascular event risk calculators appropriately incorporated their personal
159 characteristics. A minority questioned the link between cholesterol and CVD. Several also felt
160 that cholesterol treatment cutoffs were arbitrary. Participants mostly failed to understand the
161 concept of personal risks for CVD; discussions often turned to the risks of statins when the term
162 “risk” was mentioned.

163

164 **Minor Themes Related to Primary Statin Nonadherence**

165 *Participant Hesitation about Medication Use*

166 Many participants generally resisted taking medications. Those already taking
167 medications hesitated to add another prescription. Some felt that taking too many medications
168 was detrimental. Those naïve to chronic medications were resistant to starting one. Two
169 patients conceded they were in denial; one acknowledged: “I’m kind of like more in denial. By
170 taking [a statin], I’m admitting I have a problem.” [FG10:P2] One patient mentioned: “I wanted
171 to avoid having that stigma of having to go on Lipitor. I mean, to me, there’s a stigma, maybe
172 kind of some type of judgment that others make when they would find out.” [FG7:P3] Some felt
173 that medications were overprescribed, with doctors tending to pursue a “quick fix” [FG6:P7].
174 Other participants talked about not wanting a daily medication, feeling hesitant about taking a
175 medication for the rest of their life, or dosing regimens that were difficult for them to follow.

176 *Prior experiences contributed to hesitation to take statin*

177 Prior experiences that influenced participants included experiencing adverse medication
178 effects, hearing about others' negative experiences with medications, or having prior success
179 lowering cholesterol with non-pharmaceutical therapies. As one participant noted: "there's other
180 drugs that I've taken that have had side effects, and so I just don't need another drug that has side
181 effects." [FG1:P2]

182 *Mistrust of pharmaceutical industry*

183 Participants in 8 of 10 groups voiced concerns about pharmaceutical companies
184 influencing prescribing: "I'm inclined to think...that some doctors are paid commissions for
185 prescribing medicines, their medicines, by their pharmaceutical companies." [FG8:P2] Another
186 participant noted: "I can be cynical enough to think that the pharmaceutical marketing may
187 actually impact the guidelines. You and I all know that they've been milking millions of dollars,
188 pouring into vacations and cars...and I don't know who writes those ever-changing opinions
189 about when should somebody start [a statin]..." [FG1:P2]

190 191 *Medication Cost*

192 Only a handful of participants cited cost as the primary reason they failed to fill their
193 statin prescription. High costs mostly served to reinforce participants' hesitation, for example: "I
194 had to come out-of-pocket for \$75.00. I was like, no, I'm not going to do that. I think that if I go
195 natural, I will feel much better. I will put less side effects on my body and I will have to pay
196 less." [FG10:P3]

197

198 **Themes Related to Provider-Patient Relationships and Interactions**

199 *Mistrust of prescribing provider*

200 Several participants felt unsure about starting a statin because it was prescribed by a
201 provider they had never seen. Others wanted their primary care provider’s approval before
202 starting the statin: “I didn’t feel like the hospital cardiologist was equipped or knew enough
203 about me to prescribe medication to me other than my PCP.” [FG10:P2] Poor provider-patient
204 relationships and mistrust also contributed to primary nonadherence.

205 *Inadequate provider communication about statins*

206 Communication lapses were important. One patient shared that his doctor “...didn't even
207 say anything, just told that they were sending me the pills.” [FG5:P5] Lack of shared decision-
208 making deterred patients from filling statins, as did patient perceptions that providers did not care
209 or were not very worried about a patient’s cholesterol. Two patients did not realize their
210 provider prescribed a statin until their pharmacy notified them. As one described: “I got a notice
211 on my cell phone, a text message, that I had another prescription and I was confused. He had
212 sent, this doctor, which I'd never seen before, had sent a prescription for Lipitor [to the
213 pharmacy], which I didn't fill because I felt like I wasn't informed. I didn't know what it was for.”
214 [FG7:P2]

215

216 **Participant Comments on Disclosing Nonadherence to Providers**

217 During focus group discussions, not all participants commented about disclosing their
218 nonadherence, but 20 of 61 participants stated that they had not told their providers about their
219 primary nonadherence. Half of these participants were planning on telling their provider, but a
220 few believed it was disrespectful to question their provider’s recommendations, and were
221 hesitant to bring up their primary nonadherence. Of 26 participants who told a provider about

222 their primary nonadherence, 19 (73.1%) did so at the time of prescribing and the rest during a
223 follow-up visit.

224

225 **“We’re Not All the Same”**

226 One focus group participant summarized the need for providers to individualize
227 approaches when prescribing statins, by addressing aspects of the major themes that might
228 prevent patients from starting a statin:

229 “Some people just get that prescription, go and pop the pill, and they’re done. Other
230 people need an explanation...need to understand what all the ramifications are if I do this
231 and if I don’t do that and so on. And so you have to, as a medical professional, adjust the
232 way you approach your patient. And so, if you see someone’s reluctant, you have to be
233 able to either explain it in a way – if you really believe that this would benefit the person,
234 explain it in a way that they are, let’s say, convinced, which is maybe too strong a word,
235 or demonstrate it by doing other tests and giving some more data because...we’re not all
236 the same. Different people need different information.” [FG1:P4]

237

238 **DISCUSSION**

239 The focus group interviews in this study elucidated why adults might choose primary
240 nonadherence to statins. Participants discussed four major themes influencing their decisions: 1)
241 desire for alternative treatments; 2) worry about the risks of statins; 3) perceptions of good
242 personal health; and 4) uncertainty about the benefits of statin use. Existing literature shows that
243 patients in general do not wish to take new medications, and may go to extremes to avoid using
244 them.³⁰ Many themes identified in this study echoed those found in other studies examining

245 patient perspectives towards statins and on reasons underlying nonadherence to other
246 medications such as anti-hypertensive drugs.^{31,32} However, with its focus on primary
247 nonadherence to statins (mostly for primary CVD prevention), this study goes beyond the
248 existing literature by illustrating that primary nonadherence to statin medications reflects a
249 decision-making process that is weighted toward belief in individual ability to alter lifestyle, diet,
250 or exercise to reduce cholesterol levels, and minimization of personal risk and the potential
251 benefit of a statin in the absence of symptomatic conditions/disease. Laboratory cutoff points
252 and guideline-based risk assessments did not appear to convince participants that a statin
253 medication was necessary.

254 It makes intuitive sense that people who perceive themselves to be at low risk for an
255 adverse medical outcome may want to delay starting a newly prescribed chronic medication and
256 to first try alternative measures. While we did not examine participant medical records or
257 calculate CVD risks, limited medication use and young mean age of participants support their
258 lack of reported CVD. Participant preferences for lifestyle or dietary modifications align with
259 most guidelines recommending initial primary prevention in people with high cholesterol
260 without CVD.^{3,6} It is reassuring that most participants would reconsider statin use if their efforts
261 failed to lower their cholesterol, if their cholesterol levels increased, or if they developed CVD.
262 Thus, this decision may be mutable but may require time for individuals to process information
263 or try alternatives. Providers need to find better ways to convey concepts regarding CVD risk,
264 achievable goals from lifestyle modification, and the lack of evidence for dietary supplements in
265 improving CVD risk, as well as the evidence of benefits of statins. Discussions about 10-year
266 risk calculators may need proper context and framing for patients who worry mostly about their
267 immediate risks for adverse outcomes.

268 One-third of all focus group participants did not inform their providers about their
269 nonadherence to the statin. This finding is limited and requires additional exploration because
270 not all participants commented on this topic. In the absence of this communication, there is no
271 opportunity to address poor understanding about the role of statins for primary prevention of
272 CVD, the risks of statin therapy and ways to monitor or minimize them, or the opportunity to
273 develop a plan to reduce their cardiovascular risk over time.

274 Previous studies have shown lapses in provider communication around newly prescribed
275 medications.^{33,34} In this study, some participants revealed that gaps in communication
276 contributed to their unwillingness to start a statin. Providers often are reluctant to question or
277 confront patients about nonadherence,²³ but our data suggest that it is important to assess a
278 patient's stance toward statins at the time of prescribing, to make sure patients know providers
279 are considering their individual situations, to tailor discussions to address individual patient
280 concerns, and to ensure that patients have follow-up appointments to assess adherence.
281 Reluctant patients would likely benefit from a trial of lifestyle or dietary changes, or other
282 preferred treatment modalities. Providers could employ discussions regarding the duration and
283 goals of the trial. Follow-up visits would ascertain success in meeting goals, address individual
284 patient concerns about the benefits and risks of statins, and help patients better understand their
285 personal risks for cardiovascular events.³⁵ If goals were met in the short-term, a plan for future
286 reassessment could be established.

287 Mistrust was commonly raised during the focus group discussions. Mistrust of the
288 pharmaceutical industry led some participants to question the validity of scientific guidelines,
289 and even their providers' motives for prescribing statins. This erosion of trust likely influences
290 people's ability to trust that population-based guidelines apply to individuals, and to accept that

291 the benefits of statins outweigh the risks. Thus, for some patients, restoring trust in the
292 pharmaceutical industry or strengthening trust in their physician may be crucial to their
293 acceptance of treatments that are beneficial to their health.

294 Study limitations include those inherent to focus group studies, such as potential lack of
295 transferability due to participant self-selection.³⁶ EHR identification of patients with primary
296 nonadherence was inaccurate and yielded insufficient numbers of patients for purposive sampling
297 based on patient characteristics. Thus most of our participants were recruited from online
298 advertisements, and the majority of the participants used the internet. However, internet usage is
299 growing, with 87% and 66% of adults aged 50-64 and aged 65+, respectively, using the internet
300 in 2018.³⁷ We discovered during focus group discussions that a small number of participants in 3
301 focus groups had secondary, rather than primary nonadherence. All of the themes raised by these
302 patients were consistent with those mentioned by patients with primary nonadherence. The
303 majority of patients in this study were prescribed a statin for primary, rather than for secondary
304 CVD prevention, so additional studies may be needed to assess potential differences in attitudes
305 of those prescribed a statin for secondary prevention.

306 In conclusion, this study describes patients' wishes to choose their own lifestyle or
307 dietary changes, their concerns regarding the risks of statins, and their lack of understanding of
308 personal risks necessitating statin use and potential benefits of statin therapy as major
309 contributors to primary nonadherence to statins in people without CVD. In addition, we found
310 that patients often do not communicate their decision not to take a statin to their providers. The
311 work identifies promising targets for improvement that could help reduce cardiovascular risks.

312

313 **REFERENCES**

314

- 315 1. Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2016
316 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2016;133(4):e38-
317 e360.
- 318 2. Lloyd-Jones DM, Hong Y, Labarthe D, et al. Defining and setting national goals for
319 cardiovascular health promotion and disease reduction: the American Heart Association's
320 strategic Impact Goal through 2020 and beyond. *Circulation*. 2010;121(4):586-613.
- 321 3. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018
322 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA
323 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College
324 of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines.
325 *Circulation*. 2019;139(25):e1082-e1143.
- 326 4. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018
327 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA
328 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: Executive Summary: A Report of
329 the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical
330 Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2019;139(25):e1046-e1081.
- 331 5. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018
332 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA
333 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: Executive Summary: A Report of
334 the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical
335 Practice Guidelines. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2019;73(24):3168-
336 3209.

- 337 6. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018
338 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA
339 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College
340 of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines.
341 *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2019;73(24):e285-e350.
- 342 7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Chronic Disease
343 Prevention and Health Promotion, Division for Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention.
344 Retrieved on April 22, 2020 from <http://www.cdc.gov/dhdsp/>. .
- 345 8. Healthy People 2020 [Internet]. Heart Disease and Stroke. Washington, DC: U.S.
346 Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health
347 Promotion. Retrieved on April 22, 2020 from:
348 <https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/heart-disease-and-stroke>. .
349 Accessed.
- 350 9. Wilson PWF, Polonsky TS, Miedema MD, Khera A, Kosinski AS, Kuvin JT. Systematic
351 Review for the 2018
352 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA
353 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College
354 of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines.
355 *Circulation*. 2019;139(25):e1144-e1161.
- 356 10. Wilson PWF, Polonsky TS, Miedema MD, Khera A, Kosinski AS, Kuvin JT. Systematic
357 Review for the 2018
358 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA
359 Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College

- 360 of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines.
361 *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2019;73(24):3210-3227.
- 362 11. Ho PM, Bryson CL, Rumsfeld JS. Medication adherence: its importance in
363 cardiovascular outcomes. *Circulation*. 2009;119(23):3028-3035.
- 364 12. Kini V, Ho PM. Interventions to Improve Medication Adherence: A Review. *Jama*.
365 2018;320(23):2461-2473.
- 366 13. Liberman JN, Hutchins DS, Popiel RG, Patel MH, Jan SA, Berger JE. Determinants of
367 primary nonadherence in asthma-controller and dyslipidemia pharmacotherapy. *American*
368 *Journal of Pharmacy Benefits*. 2010;2(2):111-118 118p.
- 369 14. Raebel MA, Ellis JL, Carroll NM, et al. Characteristics of patients with primary non-
370 adherence to medications for hypertension, diabetes, and lipid disorders. *J Gen Intern*
371 *Med*. 2012;27(1):57-64.
- 372 15. Shin J, McCombs JS, Sanchez RJ, Udall M, Deminski MC, Cheetham TC. Primary
373 nonadherence to medications in an integrated healthcare setting. *Am J Manag Care*.
374 2012;18(8):426-434.
- 375 16. Tamblyn R, Eguale T, Huang A, Winslade N, Doran P. The incidence and determinants
376 of primary nonadherence with prescribed medication in primary care: a cohort study.
377 *Annals of internal medicine*. 2014;160(7):441-450.
- 378 17. Harrison TN, Derosé SF, Cheetham TC, et al. Primary nonadherence to statin therapy:
379 patients' perceptions. *Am J Manag Care*. 2013;19(4):e133-139.
- 380 18. Cheetham TC, Niu F, Green K, et al. Primary nonadherence to statin medications in a
381 managed care organization. *Journal of managed care pharmacy : JMCP*.
382 2013;19(5):367-373.

- 383 19. Derose SF, Green K, Marrett E, et al. Automated outreach to increase primary adherence
384 to cholesterol-lowering medications [Randomized Controlled Trial; Research Support,
385 Non-U.S. Gov't]. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2013;173(1):38-43.
386 doi:10.1001/2013.jamainternmed.717.
- 387 20. The Surescripts Network Alliance. Retrieved April 22, 2020 from:
388 <https://surescripts.com/>.
- 389 21. Tarn DM, Guzman JR, Good JS, Wenger NS, Coulter ID, Paterniti DA. Provider and
390 patient expectations for dietary supplement discussions. *J Gen Intern Med*.
391 2014;29(9):1242-1249.
- 392 22. Tarn DM, Paterniti DA, Williams BR, Cipri CS, Wenger NS. Which providers should
393 communicate which critical information about a new medication? Patient, pharmacist,
394 and physician perspectives. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2009;57(3):462-469.
- 395 23. Tarn DM, Mattimore TJ, Bell DS, Kravitz RL, Wenger NS. Provider views about
396 responsibility for medication adherence and content of physician-older patient
397 discussions. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2012;60(6):1019-1026.
- 398 24. Tarn DM, Paterniti DA, Wenger NS, Williams BR, Chewing BA. Older patient,
399 physician and pharmacist perspectives about community pharmacists' roles. *The*
400 *International journal of pharmacy practice*. 2012;20(5):285-293.
- 401 25. Gubrium JF. *The Sage handbook of interview research : the complexity of the craft*. 2nd
402 ed. Thousand Oaks: SAGE; 2012.
- 403 26. Gubrium JF, Holstein JA. *Handbook of interview research : context & method*. Thousand
404 Oaks, Calif.: Sage Publications; 2002.

- 405 27. Babbie ER. *The basics of social research*. Sixth edition. ed. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth,
406 Cengage Learning; 2014.
- 407 28. Denzin NK, Lincoln YS. *The Sage handbook of qualitative research*. 4th ed. Thousand
408 Oaks: Sage; 2011.
- 409 29. World Health Organization (WHO). Adherence to Long-Term Therapies: Evidence for
410 Action. 2003, Geneva, Switzerland. Retrived on April 22, 2020 from
411 http://www.who.int/chp/knowledge/publications/adherence_report/en/.
- 412 30. Traulsen J, Britten N, J K. Patient perspectives. In: M. Elseviers BW, A.B. Almarsdottir,
413 M. Andersen, R. Benko, M. Bennie, I. Eriksson, B. Godman, J. Krska, E. Poluzzi, K.
414 Taxis, V. Vlahovic-Palcevski, R.V. Stichele, ed. *Drug Utilization Research*.2016:347-
415 354.
- 416 31. Ju A, Hanson CS, Banks E, et al. Patient beliefs and attitudes to taking statins: systematic
417 review of qualitative studies. *The British journal of general practice : the journal of the*
418 *Royal College of General Practitioners*. 2018;68(671):e408-e419.
- 419 32. Polinski JM, Kesselheim AS, Frolkis JP, Wescott P, Allen-Coleman C, Fischer MA. A
420 matter of trust: patient barriers to primary medication adherence. *Health Educ Res*.
421 2014;29(5):755-763.
- 422 33. Tarn DM, Heritage J, Paterniti DA, Hays RD, Kravitz RL, Wenger NS. Physician
423 communication when prescribing new medications. *Arch Intern Med*.
424 2006;166(17):1855-1862.
- 425 34. Tarn DM, Paterniti DA, Heritage J, Hays RD, Kravitz RL, Wenger NS. Physician
426 communication about the cost and acquisition of newly prescribed medications. *Am J*
427 *Manag Care*. 2006;12(11):657-664.

- 428 35. Navar AM, Wang TY, Mi X, et al. Influence of Cardiovascular Risk Communication
429 Tools and Presentation Formats on Patient Perceptions and Preferences. *JAMA*
430 *Cardiology*. 2018;3(12):1192-1199.
- 431 36. Morgan DL. *Focus groups as qualitative research / David L. Morgan*. 2nd ed. Thousand
432 Oaks, Calif.: Sage Publications; 1997.
- 433 37. Pew Research Center Internet and Technology. *Internet Use by Age*. Retrieved April 22,
434 2020 from <https://www.pewinternet.org/chart/internet-use-by-age/>.
- 435
436

437 **FIGURE LEGEND**

438 Figure 1. Framework describing major categories of information patients consider when newly
439 prescribed a statin medication

440

441 Table 1. Sample Focus Group (FG) Interview Guide

442

- Think back to why you didn't get your statin medicine. What sorts of things kept you from getting it?
- Tell us about your interaction with your doctor when s/he prescribed the statin.
- What would have led you to fill the statin prescription when your doctor prescribed it?
- What might lead you to get the statin medicine in the future?
- Where do you get most of your information about statin medicines?

443

-

444 Table 2. Focus group patient characteristics; n=61
 445

Characteristic	n (%) or mean (SD)
Age, mean (SD), range	53.0 (10.2), 25-75
Female, n (%)	33 (54.1)
Race/ethnicity, n (%)	
White	20 (32.8)
Black	18 (29.5)
Hispanic	16 (26.2)
Asian	5 (8.2)
Mixed race	2 (3.3)
History of diabetes, n (%)	10 (16.4)
History of heart attack, n (%)	4 (6.6)
History of stroke, n (%)	0
Prescription medications taken, mean (SD), range	1.4 (1.8); 0-7
Not taking any prescription medications	27 (44.3)

446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460

461 Table 3. Sample Quotations Depicting the Subthemes Associated with Each Major Theme
 462 Influencing Primary Statin Nonadherence

Major Themes and Associated Subthemes	Sample Quotes
Desire for Alternative Treatments	
Lifestyle changes (diet and exercise)	“I actually informed my doctor that I wasn't gonna take it, but he can prescribe it to me first, but I wanted to go to changing my diet first to see if that would help.” [FG5:P1]
Dietary supplements / alternative treatments	I think if you look up a bunch of your herbs, herbs that you can eat...that will also help. Natural herbs. [FG6:P1]
Risks	
Risks of statins worry patients	
Side effects and interactions	“I don't [want to] have liver and kidney problems, and muscle cramp[s], and all those crazy side effects.” [FG5:P4]
Worsening of existing problems	“Some of my friends and...me, I have asthma. They had took statin and they had more symptoms. It worsened their lung functions... yeah, it made it worse. Worsened their lungs.” [FG6:P3]
Creating new medical problems	“The last thing I wanted to do was to get type 2 diabetes while trying to lower my cholesterol. So it just seemed counterproductive [FG9:P1]
Causing addiction or dependency	“ I didn't know if this is something that you can get addicted to or something like that.” [FG6:P4]
Perceptions of Good Health	
Too healthy or young to start a statin	“Made me feel old, you know. I always thought statins would be for older people like, retirees, versus someone in their 40s.” [FG3:P2]
Good family history	“My mother had the same lipid profile I have, and they wanted to put her on a statin. And I think she did it for a while, but – without it, though, she hit 93.” [FG1:P3]
Cholesterol slightly high or not that high	“I'm not that over the scale that I

	should have to be taking [a statin] ... I'm only eight points over." [FG2:P4]
Correctable reason for high cholesterol	"...my cholesterol was just over the normal, and I had lost my mother so I gained some weight..." [FG3:P5]
High cholesterol is genetic	"I heard my family mention that they also have the same issue with the high cholesterol. They were told that it was genetic and basically they were told that it didn't matter if they took the medication...Basically, the medication wasn't going to help. So I figure if it's a genetic thing, why even take the medication?" [FG10:P1]
Benefits – Uncertainty about benefits	
Scientific evidence not definitive	"...the other key point from that [JAMA] article was that there was not as strong evidence that it really...that everybody would really need it even though it was being recommended under the new guidelines." [FG7:P6]
Risk calculator does not look at people as individuals	"...we're being treated by a medical profession that sees us sort of as a statistic. I mean, statistically speaking, you have a 10 percent chance of having a cardiac event in the next blah-blah-blah. And we're not all the same." [FG1:P4]
Link between cholesterol and CVD is uncertain	"...it's not really clear how important is it to take statins, in spite of having a so called high level of bad cholesterol." [FG2:P1]
Cholesterol cutoffs for treatment are arbitrary	"I'm not going to take [a statin] for what could be just an arbitrary number." [FG4:P5]
Statins do not cure	"...we have to make changes in our life...Medication is not a cure. It's just a band aid." [FG4:P7]

463
464
465