UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Electronic Theses and Dissertations

Title

Mechanisms of the type V CRISPR-Cas12c system

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2st6x453

Author

Huang, Carolyn

Publication Date

2022

Peer reviewed|Thesis/dissertation

Mechanisms of the type V CRISPR-Cas12c system

By

Carolyn J Huang

A dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction of the

requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Molecular and Cell Biology

in the

Graduate Division

of the

University of California, Berkeley

Committee in charge:

Professor Jennifer Doudna, Chair Professor Kathleen Collins Professor Britt Glaunsinger Professor Donald Rio

Fall 2022

Abstract

Mechanisms of the type V CRISPR-Cas12c system

by

Carolyn J Huang

Doctor of Philosophy in Molecular and Cell Biology University of California, Berkeley

Professor Jennifer A. Doudna, Chair

CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas (CRISPR-associated) systems are a form of prokaryotic adaptive immunity against invading viruses or plasmids. In these systems, Cas nuclease effectors recognize and cleave foreign nucleic acids containing sequences that are complementary to their associated CRISPR RNA (crRNA) to trigger degradation of targeted sequences. For successful CRISPR interference to occur, the sequences encoding crRNAs must be first acquired from foreign genetic material. These acquired DNA sequences are then transcribed into pre-crRNAs and processed into mature crRNAs that can guide interference against the specific invaders. The type V CRISPR-Cas12c (V-C) system is a compact system that has unique 3' pre-crRNA processing activity and lacks a *cas2* gene that was previously thought to be essential during the acquisition stage for all CRISPR systems. Although the initial activities of this system have been analyzed, the mechanisms by which this system acquires functional spacer, produces its guide RNA, and restricts viral infection are not fully defined.

For my graduate work, I addressed the fundamental mechanisms of the type V-C system by determining the mechanisms of Cas12c-mediated pre-crRNA processing and interference. My work has revealed that Cas12c is an RNA-guided DNA binder that does not cleave target DNA. The repurposed RuvC domain of Cas12c exclusively processes pre-crRNA, and maturation of crRNA is essential for efficient Cas12c DNA targeting. Importantly, targeted Cas12c DNA binding can repress transcription and restrict phage infection, accomplishing antiviral interference without chemical attack on the invader. Additionally, I attempted to reconstitute type V-C adaptation *in vivo* in a heterologous host *Escherichia coli* with the goal of eventually identifying determinants for adaptational protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) selectivity and looking for evidence of primed type V-C adaptation. Together the investigation described here in this dissertation sheds light on the mechanisms of the compact V-C CRISPR system, allowing for comparison with more complex systems and provides insight into the evolutionary history of CRISPR systems and functions.

Acknowledgements

My graduate career would not have been possible without the dedicated support of my mentors, collaborators, family, and friends. Words cannot express my gratitude to my professor and chair of my committee, Jennifer Doudna, for her invaluable guidance, patience, and supporting me during my graduate studies and beyond. Her belief in me as well as her endless optimism and encouragement have helped me through times of difficulties and experimental bottlenecks. As a female scientist myself, I am extremely grateful to have her as a role model for future success. Additionally, I am immensely thankful to my Thesis Committee, Britt Glaunsinger, Don Rio, and Kathleen Collins for their support.

Looking back at my graduate career, I could not have undertaken this journey without the help and support from all members of the Doudna lab that I have had the pleasure of interacting with. Foremost, I am grateful to my rotation mentor in the Doudna lab, Audrone Lapinaite, who taught me how to perform RNA in vitro transcription, filterbinding assay, and so much more. I am also deeply indebted to Josh Cofsky for his editing help, late-night brainstorming sessions, quick responses/feedback, emotional support, and taking the time to be my professional reference during my job search. Additionally, I am profoundly grateful to Joy Wang for her scientific advice, editing help, moral support, and all our fun times shared together. I would also like to extend my sincere thanks to Patrick Pausch, Ben Adler, David Colognori, Brady Cress, and Enrique Lin Shiao, whose help and support have been instrumental to the completion of my degree and my professional growth. Moreover, I am immensely grateful to have had the pleasure of discussing scientific matters with Gavin Knott, Chenglong Xia, Brittney Thornton, Enbo Ma, Amy Eggers, Connor Tsuchida, Isaac Witte, Basem Al-Shayeb, and other members of the Doudna lab. Furthermore, I would like to extend my special thanks to our lab manager, Kaihong Zhou, and lab technician, Jinjuan (Jenny) Ye, for keeping the lab running and allowing me to perform all my experiments with ease.

Additionally, this endeavor would not have been possible without the continuous support from Jeanne Hardy, my undergraduate advisor at the University of Massachusetts (UMass) Amherst, Larry Schwartz, my collaborator on my undergraduate honors thesis at UMass Amherst, and Ben Croker, my Continuing Umbrella of Research Experiences (CURE) program mentor at Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center.

Last but not least, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my family and friends who have been supportive throughout my entire graduate career and beyond. Their belief in me has kept my spirits and motivation high during this unforgettable journey, the memories of which I will treasure for the rest of my life.

Table of Contents

Abstract	1
Acknowledgements	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Figures	iv
List of tables	v
Chapter 1 Introduction to CRISPR-Cas systems	1
1.1 Introduction	2
Chapter 2 A naturally DNase-free CRISPR-Cas12c enzyme silences gene	
expression	.10
2.1 Abstract	.11
2.2 Introduction	.11
2.3 Materials and Methods	.12
2.3.1 Protein sequence alignment	.12
2.3.2 Generation of plasmids	.12
2.3.3 Nucleic acid preparation	.12
2.3.4 Protein expression and purification	.13
2.3.5 Pre-crRNA processing assays	.13
2.3.6 Radiolabeled DNA cleavage assays	.14
2.3.7 Fluorophore quencher (FQ)-labeled reporter assays	.14
2.3.8 Filter-binding assays	.15
2.3.9 Dual-color fluorescence interference assay	.15
2.3.10 Bacteriophage plaque assays	.16
2.4 Results	.16
2.4.1 Cas12c processes its pre-crRNA but cannot cleave DNA in vitro	.16
2.4.2 The Cas12c RuvC domain is responsible for pre-crRNA processin	g
	.17
2.4.3 Cas12c catalyzes maturation of an engineered single-guide RNA.	.18
2.4.4 RNA-guided DNA binding by Cas12c depends on pre-crRNA	
processing	.18
2.4.5 Cas12c blocks gene expression in cells without invoking target-	
activated DNase activity	.19
2.4.6 Naturally DNase-free Cas12c protects cells from bacteriophage	
infection	.21
2.5 Discussion	.57
2.6 Acknowledgments	.58
2.7 Author contributions	.59
Chapter 3 Reconstituting type V-C CRISPR-Cas adaptation in <i>E. coli</i>	.60
3.1 Abstract	.61
3.2 Introduction	.61
3.3 Materials and Methods	.62
3.3.1 Construction of expression plasmids	.62
3.3.2 In vivo spacer acquisition assays	.63

3.3.3 Oligo prolospacer electroporation	.64
3.4 Results	.64
3.4.1 Native V-C CRISPR locus in a plasmid did not lead to spacer	
acquisition	.64
3.4.2 Codon-optimized V-C Cas1 and Cas12c induced at physiological	
expression levels did not lead to spacer acquisition	.65
3.4.3 V-C Cas1 without Cas12c did not lead to spacer acquisition	.65
3.4.4 Overexpression of V-C proteins did not lead to spacer acquisition	.65
3.5 Discussion	103
3.6 Acknowledgments	103
Bibliography	104

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Stages of CRISPR-Cas immunity in PAM-dependent systems	6
Figure 1.2 Adaptational PAM selectivity and primed adaptation	7
Figure 1.3 Pre-crRNA processing mechanisms in dsDNA-targeting Class 2 CRISPR-	
Cas systems	9
Figure 2.1 Cas12c has no detectable target-activated nuclease activity in vitro	23
Figure 2.2 The Cas12c RuvC domain processes pre-crRNA	24
Figure 2.3 Design and function of pre-sgRNAs for Cas12c	26
Figure 2.4 Tight RNA-guided DNA binding by Cas12c depends on pre-crRNA	
processing	27
Figure 2.5 Cas12c blocks gene expression <i>in vivo</i> without target-activated DNase	
activity	30
Figure 2.6 Cas12c protects cells from bacteriophage infection	31
Figure 2.7 Proposed mechanism of the type V-C CRISPR-Cas12c system	33
Figure 2.8 Cas12c pre-crRNA processing is precise and occurs 3' to the spacer,	
Related to Figure 2.1	35
Figure 2.9 Cas12c has no detectable target-activated trans-cleavage activity on RNA,	
Related to Figure 2.1	36
Figure 2.10 The RuvC of Cas12c has no detectable target-activated <i>trans</i> -cleavage	
activity on DNA, Related to Figure 2.1	38
Figure 2.11 Sequence alignment of two Cas12c proteins, Related to Figure 2.1	39
Figure 2.12 Dependence of pre-crRNA processing and binding on divalent metal ion,	
Related to Figure 2.2	40
Figure 2.13 Cas12c blocks gene expression <i>in vivo</i> without target-activated DNase	
activity in a strand-independent manner, Related to Figure 2.5	42
Figure 2.14 Effect of Cas12c-induced repression of essential gene expression in E. co	oli
and the requirement of both tracrRNA and crRNA for ribonucleoprotein assembly,	
Related to Figure 2.5	43
Figure 3.1 Acquisition assay with V-C loci in native DNA sequences	67
Figure 3.2 Acquisition assay with <i>E. coli</i> -codon-optimized V-C Cas1 and Cas12c	
induced at physiological expression level	70
Figure 3.3 Acquisition assay with V-C Cas1 only	71
Figure 3.4 Acquisition assay with overexpressed V-C Cas1 and Cas12c	73
Figure 3.5 Acquisition assay with overexpressed V-C Cas1 only	75
Figure 3.6 Acquisition assay with overexpressed V-C Cas1 and Cas12c without	
tracrRNA	77

List of tables

Table 2.1 List of plasmids, Related to Methods	.48
Table 2.2 Oligonucleotide sequences, Related to Methods	.53
Table 2.3 Plasmids and oligonucleotides used in experiments, Related to Methods	.56
Table 3.1 Summary of amino acid and guide RNA sequences of type V-C CRISPR-Ca	as
systems used in this study, Related to Methods	.81
Table 3.2 Expression plasmids used in this study, Related to Methods	.99
Table 3.3 Oligonucleotide sequences, Related to Methods1	00
Table 3.4 Plasmids and oligonucleotides used in experiments, related to Methods 1	02

Chapter 1 Introduction to CRISPR-Cas systems

1.1 Introduction

CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas (CRISPR-associated) systems are a form of adaptive immunity against invading mobile genetic elements, such as viruses or plasmids, found in an estimated 50% of bacteria and 87% of archaea (Makarova et al., 2015; Wright et al., 2016). In these systems, Cas nuclease effectors typically recognize and cleave foreign nucleic acids containing sequences complementary to their associated CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) to trigger degradation of targeted nucleic acids (Figure 1.1). Currently, these CRISPR-Cas systems are categorized into two classes and six types, with class 1 (types I, III, IV) CRISPR systems using multi-subunit effector ribonucleoprotein complexes and class 2 (types II, V, VI) CRISPR systems using single Cas endonucleases as effectors (Koonin et al., 2017; Makarova et al., 2017a, 2017b, 2020; Shmakov et al., 2017). Every mature crRNA contains a repeat region that allows for the formation of an effector ribonucleoprotein complex and a spacer region that is complementary to the invading target nucleic acid. The programmability of the well-characterized type II CRISPR-Cas9 and type V CRISPR-Cas12a (V-A) systems to cleave at desired sites guided by their crRNAs has led to the widespread use of these single-effector Class 2 CRISPR systems for genome engineering applications (Knott and Doudna, 2018).

For effective RNA-guided CRISPR interference to occur, the DNA sequence encoding the crRNA must be first acquired from foreign genetic material during the adaptation (or acquisition) stage (Figure 1.1). During adaptation, fragments of foreign DNA are integrated as spacers into a CRISPR array, which is composed of identical repeat sequences interspaced by these spacer sequences. At the very early stage of a phage infection, a phage inserts its linear dsDNA, typically with unprotected ends, into a bacterium (Jackson et al., 2017). The host DNA repair complexes, such as RecBCD in Gram-negative bacteria and AddAB in Gram-positive bacteria, recognize free DNA ends and degrade the DNA until reaching a *chi* site, which is homologous recombinational hotspot octamer sequence that halts RecBCD degradation (Dillingham and Kowalczykowski, 2008; Lee and Sashital, 2022; Modell et al., 2017) (Figure 1.2). The free dsDNA ends in the phage genome that get recognized by DNA repair complexes can be additionally generated from double stranded breaks caused by restriction modification systems and stalled replication forks (Jackson et al., 2017; Lee and Sashital, 2022; Levy et al., 2015; Maguin et al., 2022) (Figure 1.2). Since bacterial genomes have higher density of *chi* sites than the genomes of mobile genetic elements (Lee and Sashital, 2022; Levy et al., 2015), mobile genetic elements are preferentially degraded, generating small DNA fragments that can be potential prespacer substrates for the adaptation machinery.

In DNA-targeting types I, II, and V CRISPR-Cas systems, target cleavage requires a short 2- to 5-nucleotide (nt)-long protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) next to the target sequence (reviewed in Gleditzsch et al., 2019). For these systems where target cleavage relies on PAM recognition, there must be a PAM-interacting component that selects for PAM-adjacent protospacers in their adaptation modules to ensure a functional immune response. The mechanism for adaptational PAM selectivity can be distinct for different systems (Figure 1.2). For example, in the type I-E system, the Cas1 component of the CRISPR integrase has inherent PAM-interacting residues and can preferentially select protospacers next to a PAM (Wang et al., 2015) (Figure 1.2). In systems with a Cas4 nuclease or Cas4 fusion protein, such as types I-D and I-G, Cas4 is required for PAM recognition (Hu et al., 2021; Kieper et al., 2018) (Figure 1.2). In type II-A systems, the PAM-interacting domain of the interference effector nuclease Cas9 serves as the adaptational PAM-recognizing component that allows for integration of functional prespacers adjacent to a PAM (Heler et al., 2015; Nussenzweig et al., 2019) and interacts with the Cas1-Cas2 integrase in complex with an adaptational accessory protein Csn2 (Heler et al., 2015; Ka et al., 2018; Wei et al., 2015) (Figure 1.2). Note that the nuclease activity of Cas9 is not required for spacer acquisition (Heler et al., 2015). Recently, the adaptational PAM selectivity for the V-A and V-B systems has also been reconstituted (Wu et al., 2022). The adaptational PAM recognition in these type V systems, which have a Cas4 or a Cas4/1 fusion, is similar in mechanism to those of type I systems with Cas4 such that, Cas4 is required for PAM selection in both type V-A and V-B systems and spacer trimming in type V-A (Wu et al., 2022). The diverse mechanisms the CRISPR acquisition machinery has developed to select and integrate functional protospacers adjacent to a PAM underscore its importance in functional immunity as well as prevention of autoimmunity.

Subsequent to obtaining an initial, functional spacer against a foreign DNA, the pre-existing spacer within a CRISPR array can direct interference against the specific foreign DNA, enabling more rapid acquisition of additional spacers from the same foreign DNA, and this process is known as primed adaptation (Datsenko et al., 2012; Jackson et al., 2017; Lee and Sashital, 2022; Nussenzweig et al., 2019; Swarts et al., 2012) (Figure 1.2). Primed spacer acquisition has been described for several type I and type II CRISPR-Cas systems (Lee and Sashital, 2022; Nussenzweig and Marraffini, 2020). For instance, during the type I-E and I-F interference stage, the CRISPR-associated complex for antiviral defense (Cascade) recruits the ATP-dependent helicase/nuclease Cas3 to degrade target DNA into ssDNA fragments, producing potential prespacer substrates that can be integrated into the CRISPR array (Künne et al., 2016; Lee and Sashital, 2022). Additionally, there is also evidence suggesting that Cascade recruits Cas3 with Cas1-Cas2, forming a primed acquisition complex that translocates along the foreign DNA unidirectionally and allowing Cas3 to directly transfer its degradation products to the Cas1-Cas2 integrase for spacer acquisition (Dillard et al., 2018; Lee and Sashital, 2022; Nussenzweig and Marraffini, 2020; Redding et al., 2015). In this case, new prespacer substrates are generated as the target DNA is being destroyed and are subsequently integrated into the CRISPR array. In contrast, type II primed adaptation is less understood but also similarly requires the nuclease activity of Cas9 (Nussenzweig et al., 2019). After Cas9 introduces double stranded breaks, Cas9 remains tightly bound to the free DNA ends at its cleavage sites and is believed to recruit the Cas1-Cas2 integrase with the help of Csn2 (Lee and Sashital, 2022; Wilkinson et al., 2019), allowing for more rapid spacer acquisition from the same foreign invader and thereby linking CRISPR interference to adaptation.

After acquiring spacer sequence from foreign nucleic acids to the CRISPR arrays by the CRISPR integrase complex, the CRISPR array is typically transcribed as a long precursor crRNA (pre-crRNA) that undergoes further processing into individual mature crRNAs (Figure 1.1), the mechanism of which can be distinct for different systems (Figure 1.3). For instance, type II CRISPR-Cas9 systems require a *trans*-activating crRNA (tracrRNA) for both the processing of pre-crRNA from its 3' end by host factor RNase III and Cas9-mediated CRISPR interference, making Cas9 systems dual-RNA guided systems (Deltcheva et al., 2011) (Figure 1.3A). In contrast, type V-A systems can process their own pre-crRNA without a tracrRNA using an active site in the WED (wedge) III domain of Cas12a, which is distinct from the RuvC domain that is responsible for RNA-guided dsDNA cleavage (Fonfara et al., 2016; Swarts et al., 2017) (Figure 1.3B). Similar to the V-A system, the type V Cas12j system does not require a tracrRNA, and the pre-crRNA is also processed from the 5' end within the repeat sequence by the effector protein itself (Pausch et al., 2020) (Figure 1.3C). Surprisingly, the RuvC domain of Cas12j, which cleaves the target dsDNA, also catalyzes pre-crRNA processing (Pausch et al., 2020). However, the full diversity of pre-crRNA processing and interference mechanisms in CRISPR-Cas systems remained to be described.

A previous study has shown that in the type V CRISPR-Cas12c (V-C) system (Figure 1.3D), the effector nuclease, Cas12c (also known as C2c3), can process its own pre-crRNA using a cleavage mechanism that is distinct from those observed for other known CRISPR-Cas systems (Harrington et al., 2020) (Figure 1.3). Cas12c processes its own pre-crRNA at the boundary of the spacer and the downstream (3') repeat sequence, and this activity requires a tracrRNA (Figure 1.3E). These Cas12c proteins were first identified in 2015 on small DNA fragments from marine and gut metagenomes with unknown hosts (Shmakov et al., 2015). The effector proteins of these V-C systems have a domain architecture resembling Cas12a and contain a RuvC-like nuclease domain with intact canonical DED active site residues. Currently, three V-C systems have been characterized in vivo in a heterologous host Escherichia coli (Yan et al., 2019). When using crRNA libraries targeting essential genes in E. coli or a plasmid with antibiotic resistance genes essential for bacterial survival in a E. coli negative screen, the interference activity of reconstituted CRISPR-Cas12c systems killed cells. Subsequently, the surviving bacteria were sequenced, and depleted spacers were mapped back to the sequence of the targeted genomic regions or plasmid (Yan et al., 2019). Through this screen, Yan et al. showed that WT Cas12c, but not RuvC-deactivated dCas12c, exhibited broad and symmetrical targeting of both DNA strands, the pattern of which is consistent with dsDNA interference activity (2019). Although the DNA interference activity in vitro was not reconstituted in this study, it was presumed that the RNA-guided dsDNA interference of Cas12c revealed from this E. coli screen was a result of RuvC-mediated target dsDNA cleavage (Yan et al., 2019). However, Harrington et al. additionally showed that although Cas12c can process its pre-crRNA in vitro, it was not able to cleave dsDNA or ssDNA in vitro under the conditions tested (2020).

The objective of my graduate work was to understand the fundamental mechanisms of adaptation, crRNA biogenesis, and interference stages of the type V CRISPR-Cas12c systems. By using biochemical techniques, including enzymatic assays, binding assays, *in vivo* CRISPR interference assays, I aimed to address the following questions in this work: How is the RuvC domain involved in Cas12c-mediated interference *in vivo* if not cleaving target DNA *in vitro*? How does Cas12c silence gene expression in a strand-independent manner *in vivo* with no evidence of DNA cleavage *in vitro*? Which domain is responsible for pre-crRNA processing? What determines PAM selectivity during acquisition of spacers that encode for these crRNAs? To answer these questions, I first explored the mechanism of Cas12c pre-crRNA processing by identifying the domain responsible for guide RNA processing and determining the active-site chemistry. I also

deciphered the mechanistic details of interference of this system using *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, described in detail in Chapter 2. Lastly, I attempted to reconstitute type V-C adaptation *in vivo* with the goal of eventually identifying determinants of adaptational PAM selectivity, described in Chapter 3.



Figure 1.1 Stages of CRISPR-Cas immunity in PAM-dependent systems

CRISPRs are genomic regions in many bacteria and archaea that contain CRISPR arrays comprised of alternating repeat (R) and spacer (S) sequences. CRISPR-Cas immunity occurs in three stages, and this illustration focuses on those of DNA-targeting systems that require a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM). During adaptation, protospacers are selected and extracted from fragments of foreign nucleic acids that have a PAM. Cas proteins involved in adaptation then integrate protospacers as new spacers into a CRISPR array adjacent to the 1st repeat sequence near the leader end. During the crRNA biogenesis stage, the CRISPR array is typically transcribed into a long pre-crRNA and processed by various mechanisms into mature crRNAs. During the interference stage, CRISPR-Cas ribonucleoprotein effector complexes are formed and target only foreign nucleic acids that are complementary to their crRNAs in a PAM-dependent manner.



Figure 1.2 Adaptational PAM selectivity and primed adaptation

Upon injection of a phage genome into a bacterium, the phage genome is typically linear with unprotected dsDNA ends. Double stranded breaks in the phage genome can be additionally produced by restriction modification systems and stalled replication forks. The host DNA repair complexes, such as RecBCD, recognize free DNA ends and degrade the DNA (until reaching a *chi* site), generating small DNA fragments that can be prespacer substrates for the acquisition machinery. In CRISPR-Cas systems that require a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) for interference, the mechanism for adaptational PAM selectivity can be distinct for different systems. For example, in the type I-E system, the Cas1 component of the CRISPR integrase has inherent PAM-interacting residues and

can preferentially select protospacers next to a PAM. In systems with a Cas4 nuclease or Cas4 fusion protein, such as type I-G, Cas4 is required for PAM recognition. In type II systems, Cas9 serves as the adaptational PAM-recognizing component that allows for integration of functional pre-spacers adjacent to a PAM. Csn2 is required for type II-A adaptation, but the exact function is unknown. After obtaining an initial spacer against a foreign DNA, the pre-existing spacer within a CRISPR array can direct interference against the specific foreign DNA, enabling more rapid acquisition of additional spacers from the same foreign DNA, and this process is known as primed adaptation.



Figure 1.3 Pre-crRNA processing mechanisms in dsDNA-targeting Class 2 CRISPR-Cas systems

(A) In type II CRISPR-Cas9 systems where the HNH and RuvC domains of Cas9 together generate a blunt-end cleavage on the target dsDNA, the host factor RNase III processes the pre-crRNA from its 3' end when a *trans*-activating crRNA (tracrRNA) base-pairs with pre-crRNA.

(B) In the type V CRISPR-Cas12a (V-A) system, in which a single RuvC domain generates a staggered cut on the target dsDNA, the WED (wedge) III domain processes pre-crRNA on its 5' end.

(C) In the type V CRISPR-Cas12j (V-J) system, in which a RuvC domain generates a staggered cut on the target dsDNA, the RuvC domain also processes pre-crRNA on its 5' end.

(D) Diagram of a type V CRISPR-Cas12c (V-C) genomic locus.

(E) In type V-C system, the pre-crRNA is processed from its 3' end by Cas12c.

The repeat region of the crRNA is shown in black, while the spacer region is shown in red. Scissors indicate cleavage sites created by domains/enzymes indicated in the schematic.

Chapter 2 A naturally DNase-free CRISPR-Cas12c enzyme silences gene expression

*Part of the work presented in this chapter has previously been published in the following manuscript: Huang, C. J., Adler, B. A., & Doudna, J. A. (2022). A naturally DNase-free CRISPR-Cas12c enzyme silences gene expression. *Molecular Cell*, *82*(11), 2148-2160.

2.1 Abstract

Used widely for genome editing, CRISPR-Cas enzymes provide RNA-guided immunity to microbes by targeting foreign nucleic acids for cleavage. We show here that the native activity of CRISPR-Cas12c protects bacteria from phage infection by binding to DNA targets without cleaving them, revealing that antiviral interference can be accomplished without chemical attack on the invader or general metabolic disruption in the host. Biochemical experiments demonstrate that Cas12c is a site-specific ribonuclease capable of generating mature CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) from precursor transcripts. Furthermore, we find that crRNA maturation is essential for Cas12c-mediated DNA targeting. These crRNAs direct double-stranded DNA binding by Cas12c using a mechanism that precludes DNA cutting. Nevertheless, Cas12c represses transcription and can defend bacteria against lytic bacteriophage infection when targeting an essential phage gene. Together, these results show that Cas12c employs targeted DNA binding to provide antiviral immunity in bacteria, providing a native DNase-free pathway for transient antiviral immunity.

2.2 Introduction

CRISPR-Cas (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats, CRISPR associated) systems provide adaptive immunity in bacteria (Barrangou et al., 2007) and also function as powerful tools for genome editing in plants, animals, and microbes (Knott and Doudna, 2018). Fundamental to these systems are RNA-guided nucleases, including the Cas9 (type II) and Cas12 (type V) enzyme families that use CRISPR RNA (crRNA) to recognize foreign double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) by forming an R-loop structure in which ~20 nucleotides (nt) of the crRNA (the crRNA "spacer") base pair with the target strand (TS) of the target DNA, displacing the non-target strand (NTS) (Makarova et al., 2020; Swarts and Jinek, 2018). These Cas proteins also recognize a protospacer-adjacent motif (PAM), a short DNA sequence next to the crRNA-complementary sequence, to prevent autoimmunity and initiate R-loop formation for DNA interference (Gleditzsch et al., 2019).

Multiple studies identified a family of RuvC-containing Cas proteins, termed Cas12, whose variants exhibit diverse biochemical and cellular activities (Burstein et al., 2017; Shmakov et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2019). Among them, Cas12c (also known as C2c3), originally found in small DNA fragments from marine and gut metagenomes (Shmakov et al., 2015), shares certain features with other DNA-cutting Cas12 enzymes, but several aspects of this protein are enigmatic. First, although mature guide RNA production is known to be catalyzed by Cas12c with the requirement of a trans-activating crRNA (tracrRNA), the mechanism of RNA recognition and processing is not known (Harrington et al., 2020). Second, despite the demonstration of its gene targeting activity in *E. coli* and the presence of a RuvC nuclease domain with the canonical catalytic Asp-Glu-Asp motif (Yan et al., 2019), no enzymatic DNA cleavage activity was observed *in vitro* (Harrington et al., 2020). In addition, the lack of detectable DNase activity raised the question of whether or how Cas12c provides bacteria with antiviral protection.

We hypothesized that Cas12c might operate in bacteria using a DNase-free mechanism that is distinct from other Cas12 family members. To test this idea, we first

confirmed the inability of the RuvC active site to catalyze DNA cleavage and then tested its activity as a ribonuclease (RNase), revealing its function in site-specific precursor crRNA (pre-crRNA) processing. *In vitro* binding assays indicated that despite the lack of target DNA cleavage, Cas12c binds to target DNA through a canonical crRNA-mediated interaction. Cell-based assays in bacteria demonstrated robust repression of transcription by Cas12c without target-activated cleavage. In addition, Cas12c and crRNAs that recognize an essential phage gene protected bacteria from lytic bacteriophage infection. These findings show that a DNA-binding-only mechanism is capable of conferring immunity during viral attack. We conclude that Cas12c is a naturally occurring example of an RNA-guided DNA-binding enzyme that protects bacteria from infection by target binding rather than target degradation. Furthermore, its immune function is likely to be dependent on RuvC-mediated pre-crRNA processing in its natural host. These results suggest that other functional DNA-targeting CRISPR immune systems may lack enzymatic machinery and that transcriptional repression may play a larger role in adaptive immunity than previously thought.

2.3 Materials and Methods

2.3.1 Protein sequence alignment

Amino acid sequences of Cas12c1 and Cas12c_4 (the focus of this study) were compared by generating a global alignment using SnapGene Version 5.0.8 with the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm/BLOSUM-62. The alignment diagram was plotted using ESPript (Robert and Gouet, 2014). Percent identity and similarity from SnapGene are reported in the figure legend of Figure 2.11.

2.3.2 Generation of plasmids

For protein purification, Cas12c bacterial expression plasmid (10xHis-MBP-TEVcs-Cas12c) was originally described in the study conducted by Harrington et al., 2020 and modified (R965H) to match with the original protein sequence of Cas12c_4 in the JGI IMG database. For *in vivo* experiments, LbCas12a and Cas12c genes were cloned into a low-copy plasmid backbone that contains a SC101 origin of replication and a kanamycin resistance gene. The backbone of guide RNA plasmids was amplified from pBFC0423 (Knott et al., 2019) so that sgRNA or crRNA expression was under control of a strong constitutive promoter (proD). Dual guide RNA plasmids were constructed from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) gBlocks so that the expressions of most of the concatenated non-coding RNA sequence (Yan et al., 2019), which includes tracrRNA, and crRNA were under control of separate proD promoters in opposite directions. A list of plasmids is described in Table 2.1. Plasmid sequences and maps are available on addgene.org. To reprogram the guide RNA plasmids for targeting different loci, guide sequences were exchanged via Gibson Assembly or Golden Gate assembly to encode the guide for the selected target site (guide spacer sequences are listed in Table 2.1B).

2.3.3 Nucleic acid preparation

All DNA oligonucleotides were synthesized commercially (IDT). DNA oligonucleotides used in cleavage assays were purified by denaturing PAGE, ethanol-precipitated, and resuspended in water.

RNA substrates were either synthesized commercially (IDT) or generated through *in vitro* transcription, which was described previously (Cofsky et al., 2020). For *in vitro* T7 RNA polymerase transcription, double-stranded DNA templates were assembled from several overlapping DNA oligonucleotides (IDT) by PCR or by annealing a short oligonucleotide containing the T7 promoter sequence to a long ssDNA oligonucleotide template (IDT). Synthesized or transcribed RNA fragments were PAGE-purified and resuspended in RNA storage buffer (0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM sodium citrate, pH 6.4). All oligonucleotide identities and sequences are listed in Table 2.2.

2.3.4 Protein expression and purification

Cas12c expression and purification were performed as previously described (Chen et al., 2018) with modifications. Briefly, E. coli Rosetta (DE3) (Novagen) containing Cas12c expression plasmids were grown in Terrific Broth supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin and 20 µg/mL chloramphenicol to an OD600 of 0.4, cooled down on ice for 15 min before induction with 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) and overnight growth at 16°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, re-suspended in lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-Na, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 1 mM TCEP, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 1 tablet of cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail/50 mL, 0.25 mg/mL lysozyme, and 0.5% TritonX-100) and lysed by sonication. After centrifugation, the soluble fraction of the lysate was loaded onto a Ni-NTA Superflow Cartridge (QIAGEN) pre-equilibrated in wash buffer (50 mM HEPES-Na, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 1 mM TCEP, 5% (v/v) glycerol). Bound proteins were washed with wash buffer until UV baseline and eluted in elution buffer (50 mM HEPES-Na, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole, 1 mM TCEP, 5% glycerol). The buffer of the eluate was exchanged to ion exchange buffer A (50 mM HEPES-K, pH 7.5, 200 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP, 5% (v/v) glycerol) using a HiPrep 26/10 Desalting Column (Cytiva) before the addition of TEV protease. After overnight cleavage at 4°C, proteins were loaded into a MBPTrap HP column (Cytiva) connected to a HiTrap Heparin HP Column (Cytiva) and washed until UV baseline. The TEV-cleaved proteins were eluted from the Heparin column with a KCI gradient and concentrated to 2 mL before injection into a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg column (Cytiva). The gel filtration buffer contained 20 mM HEPES-K, pH 7.5, 200 mM KCl, 1 mM TCEP, 5% (v/v) glycerol. Peak fractions were verified by SDS-PAGE, and the concentrations of purified proteins were determined using a NanoDrop 8000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific).

2.3.5 Pre-crRNA processing assays

Pre-crRNA processing assays were performed as previously described (Harrington et al., 2020). Briefly, the reactions were carried out in cleavage buffer containing 20 mM Tris-CI (pH 7.5 at 37°C), 150 mM KCI, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM TCEP, and 5% (v/v) glycerol. For radiolabeling experiments, the pre-crRNA substrates were 50-radiolabeled with T4 Polynucleotide Kinase (PNK) (NEB) in the presence of gamma ³²P-ATP. In a typical pre-crRNA processing reaction, the concentrations of Cas12c, tracrRNA and ³²P-labeled pre-crRNA substrates were 100 nM, 125 nM and 3 nM, respectively. Prior to the addition of Cas12c to the reaction, tracrRNA and pre-crRNA were pre-annealed in 1X Cleavage Buffer. Reactions were incubated at 37°C, and an aliquot of each reaction was quenched with 2x Quench Buffer (94% (v/v) formamide, 30 mM EDTA, 400 µg/mL heparin, 0.2% SDS, and 0.025% (w/v) bromophenol blue) at 0, 2, 5, 15, 30, and 60 min.

RNA hydrolysis ladders were prepared by incubating RNA probes in 1X RNA Alkaline Hydrolysis Buffer containing 50 mM Sodium Carbonate [Na2CO3], pH 9.2, and 1 mM EDTA at 95°C for 1, 5, and 15 mins before the addition of 2x Quench Buffer. Quenched reactions were incubated at 95°C for 3 min, and products were then resolved by denaturing PAGE (10% acrylamide:bis-acrylamide 19:1, 7 M urea, 1X TBE). Gels were dried (3 hr, 80°C) on a Model 583 Gel Dryer (Bio-Rad) and exposed to a phosphor screen. Phosphor screens were imaged on an Amersham Typhoon phosphorimager (GE Healthcare). Phosphorimages were quantified using ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare). In pre-sgRNA processing reactions, the concentrations of Cas12c and ³²P-labeled pre-sgRNA substrates were 100 nM and 3 nM, respectively.

For experiments with 3' 6-FAM-labeled pre-crRNA, the concentrations of Cas12c, tracrRNA, and 3' 6-FAM-labeled pre-crRNA substrates were 2000 nM, 2200 nM and 200 nM, respectively. For termini chemistry analysis, 7 μ L of the processing reaction products were treated with 10 units Quick CIP (NEB) in 1X CutSmart buffer (NEB) for 1 hr at 37°C. The 2X Quenching Solution for fluorophore experiments contained 97% formamide and 30 mM EDTA. Products were resolved by 20% denaturing PAGE gel and visualized with Amersham Typhoon Biomolecular Imager (GE Healthcare). Oligonucleotide identities are shown in Table 2.3.

2.3.6 Radiolabeled DNA cleavage assays

Radiolabeled DNA cleavage assays were performed as previously described (Harrington et al., 2020). Briefly, the reactions were carried out in the same cleavage buffer described above for pre-crRNA processing. The tested interference substrates of either target strand (TS) or non-target strand (NTS) were 5'-radiolabeled with T4 PNK (NEB) in the presence of gamma ³²P-ATP. To form dsDNA substrates, the labeled substrate was annealed with excess cold TS or NTS depending on the labeled strand. The final concentrations of Cas12c, tracrRNA, crRNA, and ³²P-labeled interference substrates were 100 nM, 125 nM, 125 nM and 3 nM, respectively. Before the addition of the labeled interference substrates at 37°C, Cas12c ribonucleoprotein was precomplexed by incubating with its pre-annealed dual guide RNAs at 37°C for 5 min, then 25°C for 25 min. Reactions were incubated for 60 min and quenched with 2x Quench Buffer (described above for pre-crRNA processing). The quenched reactions were heated to 95°C for 5 min. The reaction products were resolved by 10% denaturing PAGE and phosphorimaging. Oligonucleotide identities are shown in Table 2.3.

2.3.7 Fluorophore quencher (FQ)-labeled reporter assays

Target-activated *trans*-cleavage activity was measured by performing the fluorophore quencher (FQ)-labeled reporter assays as previously described (Chen et al., 2018) with modifications. Briefly, the reactions were carried out either in the cleavage buffer described above for pre-crRNA processing [20 mM Tris-CI (pH 7.5 at 37°C), 150 mM KCI, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM TCEP, and 5% (v/v) glycerol] or in rCutSmart buffer (NEB). The final concentrations of Cas12c, guide RNA, dsDNA activator, FQ reporter substrates were 100 nM, 120 nM, 50 nM and 200 nM, respectively. Activator dsDNA duplex was prepared by annealing 1.5-fold molar excess of the NTS to TS in 1X reaction buffer. Cas12c ribonucleoprotein was pre-complexed by incubating Cas12c with its dual or single guide RNAs at 37°C for 5 min, then 25°C for 5 min before the incubation with dsDNA

activator at 37°C for 5 min, then 25°C for 25 min. RNaseAlert or DNaseAlert reporters (IDT) were added to initiate the *trans*-cleavage reactions, which were then incubated in a Cytation5 fluorescence plate-reader (BioTek) for 180 min at 37°C, with fluorescence measurements taken every 2 min (RNaseAlert reporter = excitation filter: 485 nm/20 bandpass, emission filter: 528 nm/20 bandpass. DNaseAlert reporter = excitation filter: 530 nm/25 bandpass, emission filter: 590 nm/35 bandpass).

2.3.8 Filter-binding assays

The filter-binding assays were performed as previously described (Cofsky et al., 2020). Briefly, complexes were formed in 1X binding buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.9 at 25°C, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM TCEP, 50 µg/mL heparin, 50 µg/mL bovine serum albumin, 5% glycerol). In a typical binary complex binding assay, Cas protein was first diluted down from 600 nM in series in binding buffer, added to a fixed concentration of tracrRNA (750 nM for all protein dilutions), and was incubated with radiolabeled RNA (100 pM) at 37°C for 5 min, then 25°C for at least 1 hr. For ternary complex binding assays, Cas protein was first diluted down from 600 nM in series in binding buffer, added to a fixed concentration of guide RNAs (750 nM), and incubated at 37°C for 5 min, then 25°C for 25 min. This complex was then added to the radiolabeled DNA probe (100 pM) and incubated at 37°C for 5 min, then 25°C for at least 1 hr. HT Tuffryn (Pall), Amersham Protran, and Amersham Hybond-N+ (GE Healthcare) membranes were equilibrated in 1X membrane wash buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.9 at 25°C, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM TCEP, 5% glycerol) and assembled on a vacuum dot-blot apparatus. The membranes were washed once with 30 µL 1X wash buffer before radioactive samples were applied to the membranes by low vacuum. Membranes were then washed once with 40 µL 1X wash buffer, air-dried, and analyzed by phosphorimaging. Data were quantified with ImageQuant TL Software (GE Healthcare) and fit to a binding isotherm using Prism (GraphPad Software). "Fraction bound" is defined as (background-subtracted volume of Protran spot)/(total background-subtracted volume of Protran spot + Hybond N+ spot). For DNA binding, data were best fit by a model that included an exponent on the concentration terms. The physical basis for this dependency is unknown. Equilibrium dissociation constants (K_D) and number of independent replicates (n) are reported in the figure legends, when appropriate. For assays testing complex assembly in an EDTAcontaining buffer, 25 mM EDTA was substituted for 5 mM MgCl₂. Oligonucleotide identities are shown in Table 2.3.

2.3.9 Dual-color fluorescence interference assay

The strain used for all *in vivo* assays in this study was *E. coli* MG1655 with sfGFP and mRFP chromosomally integrated at the *nfsA* locus, originally described by Qi et al. (2013) and modified through the removal of the *kan^R* cassette. Guide RNA plasmids were transformed into electrocompetent cells containing the Cas protein using a MicroPulser Electroporator (Bio-Rad). Cells were recovered for 100 min at 37°C in LB broth, and tenfold serial dilutions were plated on LB agar supplemented with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 34 µg/mL chloramphenicol in Nunc Rectangular Dishes (Thermo Scientific). Plates were incubated at 37°C for 13-17 hours. GFP images were taken on an Amersham Typhoon Biomolecular Imager (GE Healthcare) with a Cy2 525BP20 filter or on a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad) with Blue Epi illumination as excitation source and a 530/28 emission filter. RFP images were taken on the Typhoon Imager with a Cy3 570BP20 filter or on the ChemiDoc imager with Green Epi illumination as excitation source and a 605/50 emission filter. Colonies were visualized using the ChemiDoc imager with White Trans illumination as excitation source and a standard filter, and colony forming units (CFUs) were counted. For experiments comparing WT vs. dCas12c transcriptional repression levels, the GFP intensity of undiluted spots was quantified using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad) and divided by the CFU intensity of the same spots. This ratio is normalized by the ratio of the corresponding non-targeting guide sample so that % GFP intensity is 100% for non-targeting guide samples. Two-way ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparison test was performed using Prism (GraphPad Software) for statistical analysis of GFP intensities. All assays were performed in triplicate, and independent transformation was performed for each replicate. Plasmid identities are shown in Table 2.3.

2.3.10 Bacteriophage plaque assays

Bacteriophage assays were conducted following a modified double agar overlay protocol (Knott et al., 2019) using phage λ cl857 (St-Pierre and Endy, 2008). Briefly, E. coli (NEB 10-beta) containing both Cas effector and gRNA plasmids (Table 2.3) were grown overnight 37°C, 200 rpm. To perform plaque assays, 100 µL of saturated overnight culture was mixed with molten LB Lennox top agar supplemented with appropriate antibiotics and decanted onto a corresponding LB Lennox Agar plate (to final overlay concentrations of 1% (w/v) tryptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 0.5% (w/v) NaCl, 0.7% (w/v) agar, 50 µg/mL kanamycin sulfate, and 34 µg/mL chloramphenicol hydrochloride). For Cas12c-containing strains targeting cro-1 and Cas12c/dCas12c containing strains targeting highly expressed target mcpE, the overlay was also induced with 10 µM IPTG. This overlay was left to dry for 15 minutes under microbiological flame. Ten-fold serial dilutions of λ cl857 were performed in SM buffer (Teknova), and 2 µL of each dilution were spotted onto the top agar and allowed to dry for 10 minutes. Plague assays were incubated at 37°C for 12-16 hours. After overnight incubation, plaques were scanned using a standard photo scanner and plaque forming units (PFUs) enumerated. In cases where individual PFUs were not enumerable, but clearings were observed at high phage concentrations, the most concentrated dilution at which no plagues/clearings were observed was counted as 1 PFU. Efficiency of plaquing (EOP) calculations for a given condition were performed by normalizing the mean of PFU for a condition to the mean PFU of a non-targeting control: mean(PFU_{condition})/mean(PFU_{negativecontrol}). All plague assays were performed in biological triplicate (three experiments carried out on different days using independent bacterial cultures, independently prepared bottom and top agar, and freshly prepared bacteriophage dilutions).

2.4 Results

2.4.1 Cas12c processes its pre-crRNA but cannot cleave DNA in vitro

To confirm the repertoire of enzymatic activities that Cas12c has at its disposal for immune function, we began by reconstituting the previously reported pre-crRNA processing activity of Cas12c (Harrington et al., 2020) and probed it in greater detail. Unlike all other auto-processing Cas enzymes (Behler and Hess, 2020; East-Seletsky et al., 2016; Fonfara et al., 2016; Pausch et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018),

which cleave the pre-crRNA proximal to or within the repeat sequence that is directly bound by the protein, Cas12c cleaves its pre-crRNA 17 nt downstream (3') of the proteinbound repeat (Harrington et al., 2020) (Figure 2.1A). We confirmed the previously reported cleavage site, defined by a 17-nt distance downstream of the protein-bound repeat and showed that it is precise and robust to adjustments in sequence or length on either the 5' or 3' end of the pre-crRNA substrate (Figure 2.8).

Next, we tested the ability of Cas12c to perform target-activated DNA/RNA cleavage. We tested a variety of different target substrates, including dsDNA, singlestranded DNA (ssDNA), partially base-paired ("bubbled") DNA, and single-stranded RNA (ssRNA). Cas12c failed to cleave these guide-RNA-complementary substrates under the conditions tested (Figure 2.1B). Additionally, although target-activated, non-specific DNA or RNA *trans*-cleavage activity is a property of other Cas12-family enzymes (Chen et al., 2018; Harrington et al., 2018, 2020; Pausch et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2019), including an ortholog of Cas12c (Cas12c1; Wang and Zhong, 2021), we did not detect such target-activated *trans*-cleavage activity for the Cas12c ortholog of focus (database identifier: Cas12c_4; Harrington et al., 2020) under all conditions tested (Figure 2.1C, Figure 2.9, & Figure 2.10). Sequence alignment indicates that these two Cas12c orthologs share limited sequence identity that could result in distinct enzymatic activities (Figure 2.11). These results led us to conclude that Cas12c_4 is an RNase but not a DNase.

2.4.2 The Cas12c RuvC domain is responsible for pre-crRNA processing

We hypothesized that the RuvC nuclease domain of this Cas12c, instead of cleaving DNA, catalyzes pre-crRNA processing. Consistent with this hypothesis, mutation of a RuvC metal-coordinating carboxylate (D928A; described in the study conducted by Yan et al., 2019) abolished Cas12c's pre-crRNA-processing activity (Figure 2.2A). To further test the role of the RuvC domain in pre-crRNA processing, we asked whether the chemical requirements and products of Cas12c's cleavage activity are consistent with known enzymatic features of RuvC function.

Because RuvC belongs to a group of enzymes that require divalent cations for activity (Yang and Steitz, 1995), we first investigated the metal-ion dependency of the Cas12c-catalyzed pre-crRNA processing reaction by performing the pre-crRNA processing reaction in the presence of the divalent metal-ion chelating reagent, EDTA. Results showed that Cas12c cannot process pre-crRNA without access to divalent cations (Figure 2.12A). However, further experimentation revealed that Cas12c also cannot bind to pre-crRNA in the presence of EDTA (Figure 2.12B), suggesting that Mg²⁺ is likely required for ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex formation. Therefore, metal-ion dependency is not a reliable indicator of the mechanism of catalysis in this case.

We next evaluated the nature of the RNA products generated by Cas12c-catalyzed pre-crRNA cleavage. General acid-base-catalyzed RNA cleavage employs the 2'-hydroxyl group upstream of the scissile phosphate as the attacking nucleophile, producing 5' and 3' cleavage products with 2',3'-cyclic phosphate and 5'-hydroxyl termini, respectively (Knott et al., 2017; Swarts et al., 2017) (Figure 2.2B). In metal-ion-dependent RNA cleavage reactions, water serves as the attacking nucleophile and the 3' cleavage product has a 5'-phosphate terminus (Pausch et al., 2020) (Figure 2.2B). To identify the 5'-terminal chemistry of the Cas12c-generated 3' cleavage product, we treated the mature crRNA with phosphatase and resolved the product by denaturing polyacrylamide gel

electrophoresis (PAGE). If a 5'-phosphate is present in the Cas12c-generated 3' cleavage product, phosphatase-catalyzed phosphate removal would be expected to reduce the electrophoretic mobility of the treated RNA. Conversely, the lack of a phosphatase-dependent mobility shift would indicate that no removable phosphate was present on the Cas12c-generated 3' cleavage product. We observed the reduced electrophoretic mobility of the 3' RNA cleavage fragment after phosphatase treatment (Figure 2.2C), consistent with a metal-ion-dependent catalytic mechanism and suggesting that the metal-ion-dependent RuvC domain of Cas12c is responsible for pre-crRNA processing.

Given the lack of detectable *cis*- or *trans*-DNA cleavage under all tested conditions, we further investigated the ability of the RuvC domain to cleave DNA by using a precrRNA substrate containing four 2'-deoxynucleotides spanning the pre-crRNA processing site (Figure 2.2D). This substrate was not cleavable by Cas12c, indicating that despite its ribonucleolytic activity, Cas12c's RuvC domain cannot cleave DNA, even when presented in the context of the established pre-crRNA substrate. We further demonstrated that only the 2'-hydroxyl group downstream of the scissile phosphate is required for pre-crRNA cleavage (Figure 2.2E). Notably, the upstream 2'-hydroxyl group, which is necessary for acid-base catalysis, is not required for pre-crRNA processing by Cas12c (Figure 2.2E), consistent with a metal-ion-dependent mechanism. Together, our data suggest that the role of the RuvC domain in Cas12c is only for pre-crRNA processing.

2.4.3 Cas12c catalyzes maturation of an engineered single-guide RNA

Because Cas12c is the first tracrRNA-requiring Cas protein found to catalyze autonomous pre-crRNA processing (Chylinski et al., 2013; Deltcheva et al., 2011; Fonfara et al., 2016; Pausch et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2019), we wondered whether Cas12c's dual guide RNAs (crRNA and tracrRNA) can be engineered as a single-guide RNA (sgRNA) without disrupting Cas12c-catalyzed pre-crRNA maturation. Based on the predicted secondary structure of the mature dual RNAs (Yan et al., 2019) (Figure 2.3A), we tested two different pre-sgRNA designs. In the first design, the 3' end of the tracrRNA was connected by a 5'-GAAA-3' linker sequence to the unprocessed 5' end of the crRNA (pre-sgRNA 1) (Figure 2.3A). In the second design, the 3' end of the tracrRNA was instead connected by the same linker to the processed 5' end of the crRNA (pre-sgRNA 2). We found that Cas12c processes pre-sgRNA 1 as efficiently as when the dual guide RNAs are not fused together (Figure 2.3B and Figure 2.3C), while pre-sgRNA 2 is processed less efficiently (Figure 2.3B and Figure 2.3C). The pre-sgRNA design 1 may be useful for future applications of Cas12c.

2.4.4 RNA-guided DNA binding by Cas12c depends on pre-crRNA processing

Having demonstrated that Cas12c does not perform target DNA cleavage (Figure 2.1B), we next asked whether Cas12c binds guide-RNA-complementary substrates. Filter-binding assays indicated that Cas12c binds target dsDNA with a K_D in the low nanomolar range and has even stronger affinity for partially base-paired ("bubbled") DNA (Figure 2.4A). These data suggest that like other Cas12 enzymes and Cas9, Cas12c forms an R-loop with complementary dsDNA (Cofsky et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2016; Lim et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019; Pausch et al., 2021; Stella et al., 2017; Swarts and Jinek, 2019; Takeda et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2021). Cas12c also has moderate binding affinity for target ssDNA (~33 nM) but does not bind detectably to

ssRNA (Figure 2.4A). Filter-binding assays additionally demonstrated that target DNA binding is sequence-specific and PAM-dependent (Figure 2.4A).

The pre-crRNA processing activity of Cas12c suggested that crRNA maturation may be essential for target dsDNA binding. To explore this idea, we tested Cas12c's DNA-binding affinity when guided by either pre-crRNA or mature crRNA. WT Cas12c was able to bind to target dsDNA with comparable affinities in each case (Figure 2.4B). However, when the pre-crRNA contained phosphorothioates that prevent Cas12c-catalyzed processing (Figure 2.8C), Cas12c bound target dsDNA much more weakly (Figure 2.4B). Consistent with this observation, we found that Cas12c containing a catalytically deactivating mutation (dCas12c-D928A) was impaired for RNA-guided dsDNA binding when a pre-crRNA was used and behaved similarly to the WT Cas12c when a mature crRNA was used (Figure 2.4C). These results suggest that pre-crRNA processing is required for the function of the CRISPR-Cas12c system and that without pre-crRNA processing, Cas12c cannot bind target dsDNA efficiently (Figure 2.4D). Together, these findings imply that the functional role of the RuvC domain in this CRISPR system is distinct from its role in most other systems.

2.4.5 Cas12c blocks gene expression in cells without invoking target-activated DNase activity

Cas12c can target genes essential for survival in bacteria as detected by depletion of gene-targeting members of a crRNA library transformed into Cas12c-expressing *E. coli* (Yan et al., 2019). This observation could result from Cas12c-mediated cleavage of genomic targets *in vivo*, potentially with the help of *E. coli* host factors, or it could reflect Cas12c-mediated transcriptional silencing through targeted polymerase blockage. To distinguish between these possibilities, we developed a dual-color fluorescence interference assay using a strain of *E. coli* (Qi et al., 2013) in which reporter genes encoding green fluorescent protein (GFP) and red fluorescent protein (RFP) are integrated GFP or RFP would be expected to be toxic to *E. coli* (Figure 2.5A) due to chromosome breaks (Cui and Bikard, 2016). In contrast, if Cas12c binds but does not cut target DNA, we expect to observe a loss of the genetically targeted fluorophore without cell death (Figure 2.5A), similar to the effect of CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) with a catalytically inactivated Cas9 or Cas12a (Kim et al., 2017; Qi et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017).

We used WT LbCas12a (WT Cas12a) and catalytically deactivated LbCas12a (dCas12a) as controls, which exhibited the expected behavior: expression of WT Cas12a killed the bacteria, while dCas12a silenced gene expression without cell death (Figure 2.5B and Figure 2.13A). In contrast, both WT and dCas12c, when guided by a GFP- or RFP-targeting sgRNA, silenced gene expression without killing cells (Figure 2.5C and Figure 2.13B). These results are consistent with biochemical data showing that Cas12c binds but does not cleave target DNA (Figure 2.4). In contrast to dCas12a, which blocks transcription elongation in a strand-specific manner (Figure 2.13A; also similarly reported in the studies conducted by Kim et al., 2017 and Zhang et al., 2017), Cas12c exhibited no strand bias when it was targeted to the coding DNA sequences of the fluorescent reporter genes (Figure 2.13B and Figure 2.13C). The lack of strand bias is consistent with the *in vivo* screen data from Yan et al. (2019) that revealed Cas12c-mediated interference

activity on both DNA strands of the targeted regions, which are bacterial essential genes.

We next tested whether Cas12c-induced repression of essential gene expression in *E. coli* causes cell death. In control experiments using either WT or catalytically deactivated Cas12a, we found that crRNAs targeting selected essential genes (that were described in the study conducted by Yan et al., 2019) cause cell death or growth defects (smaller colonies), although the magnitude of the effects depended on the crRNA and were enhanced by the target-activated nuclease activity of WT Cas12a (Figure 2.14A). To varying degrees, both WT and dCas12c produced effects similar to those observed using dCas12a when targeted to essential genes (Figure 2.14B). This finding suggests that DNA binding by Cas12c blocks transcription of essential genes, inducing cell death or reduced cell proliferation.

Previously, it was reported with a slightly different Cas12c ortholog that WT Cas12c, but not dCas12c, prevented growth of *E. coli* cells that expressed genometargeting guide RNAs (Yan et al., 2019), leading to the deduction that Cas12c functions by a genome-cutting mechanism. Since our Cas12c construct (Cas12c_4) varies from the previously tested Cas12c2 (Yan et al., 2019) by seven amino acids, none of which are predicted RuvC active site residues, we also performed the CRISPRi assay using the Cas12c2 variant. We found that both WT Cas12c2 and dCas12c2, when expressed in cells containing targeting sgRNAs, repress expression of a chromosomally integrated GFP gene without killing the bacteria (Figure 2.5D). This finding supports our conclusion that both Cas12c_4 (focus of this study) and Cas12c2 (Yan et al., 2019) are RNA-guided DNA-binding proteins that do not cut DNA.

Notably, we found that expression of tracrRNA and pre-crRNA under separate promoters, rather than as a single pre-sgRNA transcript, produced a more apparent difference in GFP repression between the WT Cas12c2 and dCas12c2 (Figure 2.5D and Figure 2.5E). Since both tracrRNA and pre-crRNA are required for binding and processing by Cas12c (Figure 2.14C), these observations imply that guide RNA maturation limits Cas12c function in cells expressing the dual transcripts, perhaps due to differences in the assembly kinetics of a tripartite versus bipartite complex. This difference in repression between WT Cas12c2 and dCas12c2 was eliminated when the crRNA was produced using a self-cleaving HDV ribozyme at the end of the pre-crRNA transcript (Figure 2.5D and Figure 2.5E), suggesting that the WT Cas12c2/ dCas12c2 discrepancy reported previously (Yan et al., 2019) was due to a difference in pre-crRNA processing capability rather than target dsDNA cleavage activity. Consistent with this conclusion, Cas12cindependent dual RNA processing enabled more robust dCas12c 4-mediated gene repression that is comparable to the repression observed in the presence of unprocessed sgRNA (Figure 2.5F and Figure 2.5G). We noticed that Cas12c 4-mediated GFP repression is less sensitive to the dual versus sgRNA switch than Cas12c2 is, which may be explained if the seven-amino-acid difference yields a difference in the baseline precrRNA-processing capacity of each variant. Regardless of these differences between Cas12c2 and Cas12c 4, our data, together with those presented by Yan et al. (2019), are best explained by a model in which Cas12c does not cleave DNA. In addition, precrRNA processing is a prerequisite for efficient target dsDNA binding (Figure 2.4).

Exploiting its autonomous guide RNA maturation activity, we also tested the ability of Cas12c to induce multiplexed CRISPRi by co-expressing in a single transcript two presgRNAs that target GFP and RFP, respectively (Figure 2.5H). Cell-based results showed that in this experiment, Cas12c robustly repressed both RFP and GFP expressions (Figure 2.5H). This multiplexing property can be useful as a biotechnological tool, as demonstrated for other CRISPR-Cas enzymes (McCarty et al., 2020). Together, these *in vivo* data suggest that RNA-guided Cas12c binding is sufficient for robust transcriptional repression of targeted gene expression without target-activated DNase activity, and it can be used for biotechnological purposes.

2.4.6 Naturally DNase-free Cas12c protects cells from bacteriophage infection

In nature, CRISPR-Cas systems are thought to protect prokaryotes from viral infections based on RNA-guided cleavage of foreign nucleic acid, and dsDNase activity is the key enzymatic function of all known DNA-targeting CRISPR systems (Nussenzweig and Marraffini, 2020; Shmakov et al., 2017; Smargon et al., 2017). Having no detectable target-activated DNase activity (Figure 2.1, Figure 2.5, Figure 2.9, Figure 2.10), can Cas12c provide anti-phage immunity based solely on targeted DNA binding? We tested this possibility using a phage plaque assay in which 10-fold serial dilutions of lambda (λ) phage were plated on lawns of E. coli expressing Cas12 and guide RNA(s) that either target a gene in the phage λ genome or contain a non-targeting sequence. We theorized that a DNase-free Cas12 protein could successfully restrict phage infection when targeting a phage-essential gene, but not a non-essential gene. However, nuclease activity would be required to restrict phage infection when targeting a non-essential gene. We chose two phage-essential genes: cro, which encodes an early regulator essential for preventing lysogeny (Johnson et al., 1978), and the highly expressed gene E that encodes the major capsid protein, which is essential for virion formation (mcpE) (Murialdo and Becker, 1977). We also investigated the impact of targeting λ gene of unknown function, *ea47*, which is non-essential for λ 's lytic cycle (Casjens and Hendrix, 2015). A schematic of all the guides tested and locations of their protospacers within cro, mcpE, and ea47 are shown in Figure 2.6A.

Consistent with our expectations, we observed that WT Cas12a exhibits antiphage activity independent of both gene essentiality and strand targeting (Figure 2.6B). In contrast, the RuvC-deactivated dCas12a could only restrict λ when targeting the template strand of essential gene *cro* (Figure 2.6C). For naturally DNase-active Cas12a, this DNase activity confers greater guide-flexibility for phage restriction than a transcriptional-interference mechanism conferred by dCas12a.

Conversely, we found that WT Cas12c paired with sgRNAs targeting phageessential genes, *cro* and *mcpE*, can result in several thousand-fold reduction of plaques as compared with a non-targeting control (Figure 2.6D). In the context of phage defense, guide efficacy appears to be strand independent, but strongly correlated with relative guide position, where guides more distal to the start codon appear more effective (Figure 2.6D). In line with our model that Cas12c is a naturally DNase-free repressor, all four guides targeting λ non-essential gene, *ea47*, failed to yield any detectable phage restriction. Furthermore, the RuvC-deactivated dCas12c gave comparable results to WT Cas12c, suggesting that phage defense is occurring independent of RuvC activity (Figure 2.6E). When successful interference occurs, the extent of plaque reduction induced by Cas12c/dCas12c is often comparable with that observed with dCas12a (Figure 2.6C) but slightly less robust than WT Cas12a (Figure 2.6B). Together, these results establish Cas12c as the first demonstrated example of a natural DNA-targeting CRISPR RNase that provides antiviral immunity without target-activated DNase activity.



Figure 2.1 Cas12c has no detectable target-activated nuclease activity in vitro

(A) Diagram of type V-C CRISPR-Cas12c genomic locus and 3' pre-crRNA processing by Cas12c. The upstream repeat is processed at the 5' end by unknown mechanisms, as indicated by the question mark.

(B) DNA cleavage assay targeting dsDNA, partially base-paired ("bubbled") dsDNA (dsDNA containing 17 DNA-DNA base pair mismatches throughout the putative region of RNA complementarity), ssDNA, and ssRNA. TS, target strand; NTS, non-target strand. (C) Quantification of maximum fluorescence signal generated from fluorophore quencher (FQ)-labeled reporter assays after incubating Cas12c:DualRNA:activator with DNaseAlert or RNaseAlert reporter substrates for 3 h at 37°C with DNase I or RNase A as positive controls, respectively. See also Figure 2.9 and Figure 2.10 for time course data. Data are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3).



Figure 2.2 The Cas12c RuvC domain processes pre-crRNA

(A) Mutation of a RuvC catalytic residue (D928A) completely inactivated Cas12c's precrRNA processing activity.

(B) Schematics of the mature crRNA termini chemistry produced through acid-base catalysis or a metal-ion-dependent mechanism and respective outcomes of calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIP) treatment on the 3' cleavage product.

(C) CIP phosphatase treatment identifies the 3' crRNA cleavage product to possess a 5'phosphate terminus consistent with metal-ion-dependent cleavage. Customized oligonucleotides of the same 11-nt sequence with a 5'-phosphate or a 5'-hydroxyl terminus served as positive and negative controls, respectively. The pre-crRNA and controls were labeled with a 6-FAM fluorophore at the 3' end.

(D) Cas12c was not able to process a DNA/RNA hybrid pre-crRNA substrate containing four 2'-deoxynucleotides spanning the pre-crRNA processing site. The position corresponding to the scissile phosphate is indicated with a scissors icon.

(E) Pre-crRNA processing assays with modified pre-crRNAs containing a 2'deoxynucleotide at indicated positions revealed a requirement of a ribose 2'-hydroxyl group in the nucleotide downstream of the scissile phosphate for pre-crRNA cleavage.



Figure 2.3 Design and function of pre-sgRNAs for Cas12c

(A) Schematic representation of dual guide RNA (Yan et al., 2019), pre-sgRNA 1, and pre-sgRNA 2. Spacer sequences are shown in red. The two pre-sgRNA designs differ by the inclusion or exclusion of the six nucleotides (shown in brown) present at the 5' end of the upstream repeat sequence (shown in black). These six nucleotides are processed *in vivo* based on RNA-seq data (Yan et al., 2019). The cleavage sites by Cas12c in pre-sgRNAs are represented by black triangles.

(B) RNA processing assay testing whether Cas12c can process the two different presgRNAs.

(C) Pre-sgRNA cleavage efficiency compared with pre-crRNA cleavage from Figure 2.8C.



Figure 2.4 Tight RNA-guided DNA binding by Cas12c depends on pre-crRNA processing

RuvC

(A) Target DNA binding is sequence-specific and PAM-dependent. Data are from filterbinding assays with radiolabeled dsDNA, partially base-paired ("bubbled") dsDNA, ssDNA, and ssRNA as a function of Cas12c protein concentration when supplied with a fixed concentration of dual RNAs. All filter-binding assay data in Figure 2.4 are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3) with estimated K_D values reported as shown. nd, not determined.

(B) The dependence of dsDNA binding by Cas12c on pre-crRNA processing. Data are from filter-binding assays with radiolabeled dsDNA as a function of WT Cas12c protein concentration in the presence of tracrRNA and pre-crRNA, mature crRNA, or phosphorothioated pre-crRNA.
(C) Mature crRNA restores the ability of RuvC-deactivated dCas12c (D928A) to bind dsDNA. Data are from filter-binding assays with radiolabeled dsDNA as a function of dCas12c protein concentration in the presence of tracrRNA and either pre-crRNA or mature crRNA.

(D) Proposed model of DNA targeting by Cas12c. Maturation of crRNA is essential for high-affinity RNA-guided target dsDNA binding.

Α



Figure 2.5 Cas12c blocks gene expression *in vivo* without target-activated DNase activity

(A) Graphical representation of the dual-color fluorescence interference assay used to distinguish target DNA binding from target DNA cleavage *in vivo*.

(B) Control fluorescence interference experiments with Cas12a. WT Cas12a with targeting crRNAs killed cells, while dCas12a with targeting crRNAs silenced gene expression (see Figure 2.13A) with no effect on survival, as compared with non-targeting negative controls (NegCon). The DNA regions targeted by different spacers (colored lines) used to repress the fluorescent reporters are indicated. c.f.u. ng-1, colony forming unit per nanogram of guide plasmids used in transformation. Data are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3).

(C) Fluorescence interference experiment with Cas12c. Both WT and dCas12c with targeting sgRNAs silenced gene expression (Figure 2.13B) without killing the cells, as compared with non-targeting controls (NegCon). Data are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3).

(D) Images of the fluorescence interference experiment with WT Cas12c2 (a variant described in the study conducted by Yan et al., 2019) and dCas12c2 when GFP-targeting or non-targeting (–) sgRNA, dual RNAs, or mature dual RNAs were used. dRuvC, RuvC-deactivated.

(E) Quantification of GFP intensities in targeting guide expressing cells relative to nontargeting guide expressing cells (from Figure 2.5D). Data are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparison test was used for statistical analysis. ****p < 0.0001.

(F) Images of the fluorescence interference experiment with WT Cas12c_4 (the focus of this study) and dCas12c_4, when GFP-targeting or non-targeting (–) sgRNA, dual RNAs, or mature dual RNAs were used.

(G) Quantification of GFP intensities in targeting guide expressing cells relative to nontargeting guide expressing cells (from Figure 2.5F). Data are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3). Two-way ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparison test was used for statistical analysis. ***p < 0.001.

(H) Cas12c can use sgRNAs targeting GFP and RFP co-expressed in a single transcript for multiplexed targeting. Two non-targeting (–) spacers were in place of the targeting spacers as a negative control. Images shown are representative of the effect seen in replicates (n = 3).



Figure 2.6 Cas12c protects cells from bacteriophage infection

(A) Graphic representation of the DNA regions targeted by different spacers (colored lines) used in phage plaque assays to repress the essential-for-virulence *cro*, *mcpE* genes, and the non-essential *ea47* gene in the lambda (λ) phage genome.

(B) 10-fold serial dilutions of phage lambda were spotted on lawns of *E. coli* strains expressing WT Cas12a and a lambda-targeting or a non-targeting guide RNA. Data show that WT Cas12a is capable of restricting phage infection when targeting phage lambda, regardless of target gene essentiality. The efficiency of plaquing (EOP) of lambda phage was calculated as the ratio of plaque forming units (PFUs) on targeting guide expressing

cells divided by the number of PFUs on non-targeting guide (NegCon; shown in black) expressing cells. Guides targeting the template strand are shown in white, and guides targeting the non-template strand are shown in gray.

(C) dCas12a is capable of restricting phage infection when targeting the phage-essential gene *cro*, but not non-essential gene *ea47*. Phage restriction mediated by dCas12a occurs in a strand-specific manner.

(D) WT Cas12c is capable of restricting phage infection when targeting phage-essential genes *cro* and *mcpE*, but not phage-non-essential gene *ea47*. Phage restriction mediated by WT Cas12c occurs with no significant strand bias. Guide RNAs more distal to the start codon appear to yield a stronger impact on phage restriction.

(E) dCas12c is capable of restricting phage infection when targeting phage-essential genes *cro* and *mcpE*, but not phage-non-essential gene *ea47*, suggesting that the RuvC catalytic activity is not essential for phage restriction. Akin to phage restriction mediated by WT Cas12c, phage restriction does not occur with significant strand bias, but guides more distal to the start codon yield stronger impacts. All EOP data in Figure 6 are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3). All phage assays were performed in the absence of an inducer, except for assays targeting the *cro*-1 (D) and *mcpE* (D and E), which were performed with 10 µM IPTG.



Figure 2.7 Proposed mechanism of the type V-C CRISPR-Cas12c system

After the CRISPR array is transcribed into a long precursor transcript, Cas12c, with the help of a tracrRNA, recognizes and binds to pre-crRNA. The long pre-crRNA is processed into smaller fragments by Cas12c and unknown enzymes (question mark), allowing Cas12c to bind target DNA molecules by base-pairing between the crRNA and the DNA target. Targeting either strand of the coding dsDNA (and promoter region) represses target gene expression by transcription block without target-activated DNase activity. Binding alone is sufficient to confer immunity against certain DNA populations, such as essential genes in phages, and this may be Cas12c's native immune mechanism.



Figure 2.8 Cas12c pre-crRNA processing is precise and occurs 3' to the spacer, Related to Figure 2.1

(A) Time courses of pre-crRNA processing by Cas12c when pre-crRNA has a 5' extension (which was tested in Harrington et al., 2020), the original length of 5' (upstream) proteinbound repeat (25 nt), a more processed version of the 5' repeat sequence, or two spacers in between the upstream and downstream repeat sequences. Repeat sequences are shown in black, and spacer sequences are shown in red. M = Marker. The results confirmed that Cas12c processes pre-crRNA at the expected position, that is 17 nt away from the upstream protein-bound repeat, regardless of the 3' (downstream) sequence.

(B) Time course of pre-crRNA processing by Cas12c when pre-crRNA was labeled with a 6-FAM fluorophore at the 3' end to further determine whether Cas12c severs the RNA at one site or more than one site. The result showed that Cas12c-mediated cleavage occurred at the position expected from the 5'-labeled experiments, indicating that Cas12c makes a single precise endonucleolytic cut between the spacer and the repeat. Figure 2.2C confirms that the product here is exactly 11 nt.

(C) Phosphorothioate inhibition of pre-crRNA processing to test the precision and rigidity of Cas12c-catalyzed RNA cleavage. Different variants of pre-crRNAs are shown, with purple circles indicating phosphorothioate linkages. The position corresponding to the scissile phosphate is indicated with a pair of scissors. M = Marker. A single phosphorothioate modification at the scissile phosphate group almost completely abolished Cas12c processing activity, and the effect was slightly stronger when the flanking linkages were simultaneously phosphorothioated. Note that none of the phosphorothioate modifications caused a shift in the position of cleavage, suggesting that Cas12c processes pre-crRNA using a strict and precise mechanism. Modification of the linkage 3' to the scissile phosphate reduced the extent of processing by ~40% at 60 mins, suggesting that one of the two non-bridging oxygens may be important for catalysis.

(D) Phosphorothioate inhibition of pre-crRNA processing was not due to defects in binding. Data are from filter-binding assays with radiolabeled unmodified or phosphorothioated pre-crRNA as a function of Cas12c protein concentration when supplied with a constant concentration of tracrRNA. Values represent mean \pm SD (n = 2).



Figure 2.9 Cas12c has no detectable target-activated *trans*-cleavage activity on RNA, Related to Figure 2.1

Time courses of RNaseAlert substrate cleavage by Cas12c preassembled with dual RNA or sgRNA (described in Figure 2.3) and activated by target dsDNA, with fluorescence measurements taken every 2 min for 180 min at 37°C. The same data sets are presented without (left panels) or with (right panels) corresponding positive controls (RNase A). Reactions in (A) and (B) were carried out in the same cleavage buffer for pre-crRNA processing. Reactions in (C) and (D) were carried out in rCutSmart buffer, a buffer that a Cas12c ortholog (Cas12c1) was reported to be active in when measuring *trans*-cleavage activities (Wang and Zhong, 2021). Data are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3).



Figure 2.10 The RuvC of Cas12c has no detectable target-activated *trans*-cleavage activity on DNA, Related to Figure 2.1

Time courses of DNaseAlert substrate cleavage by Cas12c (A-D) or RuvC-deactivated dCas12c-D928A (E&F) preassembled with dual RNA or sgRNA and activated by target dsDNA, with fluorescence measurements taken every 2 min for 180 min at 37°C. The same data sets are presented without (left panels) or with (right panels) corresponding positive controls (DNase I). Reactions in (A) and (B) were carried out in the same cleavage buffer for pre-crRNA processing and used the same positive control. Reactions in (C) and (D) were carried out in rCutSmart buffer and used the same positive control. In conditions with rCutSmart buffer, there was a small increase in fluorescence that was non-target-activated (C&D) and independent of the catalytic activity of the RuvC domain (E&F). Data are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 3).

Cas12c_4	1	MTKHSIPLHAFRNSGADARKWKGRIALLAKRGKETMRTLOPPLEMSEPBAAAI.NTTPFAVAYNALEGTGKG
Cas12c1	1	Motkkthlulisakas <mark>rkyr</mark> rtiaclsdtakkdlerrkosgaadpaqelsclktikeklevpegsklpsfdrisoiynaletiekg
Cas12c_4	72	TIFDYWAKIHHAGFRFFESGGAATIERQQAVFEDASMNAAFCQQSGKDWPWLVPSKLYERFTKAPREVAKKDGSKKSIEFTQENVANESH
Cas12c1	87	SISYLLFATIISGFRIFPNSSAAKTASSSCYKNDQFASQIKEIFGEMVKNFIPSELSILKKGRRKNNKDWTEENIKRVLN
Cas12c_4	162	VSLVGASITDKTPEDQKETFIKMAGATAEKFDSWKSANEDRIVAMKVIDEFIKSEGLHIPSIENIAVKOSVETKPDNATVAM. HDAPMS.
Cas12c1	169	. SEFGRKN <u>SE</u> GSSAIFDSFISKFSQEIFR KFDSW NEVNKKYLENAELLDSMIASYGPFDSVOKMIGDSDSRNSLEDKSTIAFTNNNEITV
Cas12c_4 Cas12c1	250 258	ĠVQNLATGVFÄTCASRTDNIŸDLNGGKLSKL.IQESATTPNVTALSWLGGKGLEYFR
Casl2c_4	328	PLVESAONIPIMIVI.GKKNMAPERPNEGGKIDSWIANYASRIMLENDILEOIEPGFELPOADID.NETEMSGIDMIGDELKELIE
Casl2cl	346	FIKEACEALDEASILGERGELLGYODERISFAGHIDSWVANYVNRLFELIELVNOLPESIKLPSIGIOKNHNLVASLGLOEAEVSHSLE
Cas12c_4	412	AVYAWVDAAKOGLATILGRGGNVDDAVOTFEORSAMMDTLNGTLNTISARYVRAVEMACKDEARLEKLTECKFDIPKMCKSVPKLVGI
Cas12c1	436	lfeglvknvrotlkklagidisspneodikervafsdvln.rdgsirnoienavotakkdkidlesatewkewkklkklpklngl
Cas12c_4	500	ŚĊĊĹ₽ŔŬĔŊĔĬĸŸMŇĂĂŖMŎŴŔĂŖMĔŴŖŦĔĔĨĂĂŶŸŎĂŜĸĠĂĠMŎŴŸĎĂſĿĔĸŖĔĹĔŎĹĸĸĹŔŚĂŸŶĔŖŔĦĬŎĂŸŔĂŸĨĦŖĬĠŖŔŸŎŇĊŜĔĸ
Cas12c1	521	ġĊĊŶĔĸġġĔĹĹĎĸĂĿĔŜŸĸŎĨŔĦŸġŖĨĎĔĔŖŬĨġŴĂŸŇĔĦĊĹĔŦŴŶĸſĿŸĎĔĸĸĸĸĨŇĸĿĔŜŚſĎſĂĸĸŇĂŸŔſĹĔĠĬĠĂĂŔĠĸŦĎ
Cas12c_4	590	.TROLFSSKVIEMGVEKNPSHINNFIFNOKGAFYRSPFDRSTHAPYQLHADKLLKNDWMELLASISTELMASESTEDMEDA
Cas12c1	610	VSKAAYNWFVVNNFLAKKDLNRYFINCOGCIYKPPYSKRSSLAFALRSDNKDTIEVVWEKFERFYKEISKEIEKFNIFSQEFOTF
Casl2c_4	670	TRLERTRLOTOLSGLPDWEYPASLAKPDIEVEIQTALKMQLAKDTVISDVLQRT <mark>PNLYSSVLSG</mark> LTFKLLRRSFS.LKMRFSVADT
Casl2cl	695	IHLENIRMKLLLRRIQK.PIPAEIAFFSLPQEYYDSLPPNVAFIALNQEIAPSEYITOFNLYSSFLNG.NLILLRRSRSYLRAKFSWVGN
Cas12c_4	755	TOLIYVPKDCD.WAIFKQYLOA.EGEIGIAARVVTESSPAKMVTEVEMKEPKALGHFMOOAPHDWYFDASLGGTOVAGR
Cas12c1	783	SKLIYAAKEARLWKIPNAYWKSDEWKMILDSNVLVFDKAGNVLPAPTLKKVCEREGDLRLFYPLLROLPHDWCYRNPFVKSVGREKN
Cas12c_4	832	IVEKGKEVČKERKOVGYRMRONŠAYKTVIDKSLVGNTEDSOCSMITEIPYTOTVDADFRAQVQAGDPKVSINLPVKETITA
Cas12c1	870	VIEVNKE.GEPKVASADPOSLFRLIOPAPFKSLDDCFFNPLDKDLREMLIVDQEISOKVEAQKVEASLESCTYSIAVPIRYHLEE
Cas12c_4	913	SNKDEOMIRDRFVAIDLEERGLGVAVFDAKTLELQESGHRPIKALTNLINRTHHVEORPNOROKFOAKFNVNLSELRENTVGDVC
Cas12c1	956	pkvsnoRenvlaidogeaglavavfsl <u>ksi</u> geaetkpiavGtiripsirrlinsvstvrkkorlonfkonvdstapim <u>ren</u> vtgdvc
Cas12c_4	998	HÖINRICAYY NAFPVLEYMV PDRLDKOLKSVYESVINRYIWSSIDAHKSARVOFMLGGEIMBHPYLKSAKDKKPLV
Cas12c1	1044	AKIVGLMKEFNAFPVLEYDVKNLESGSROLSAVYKAVNSHFLYFKEPGRDALRKÖLMYGGDSMIIDGIBIVTRERKEDGKEGVEKIVPLK
Cas12c_4	1074	LSPGRGASGKGTSOTCSCCGRNPFD, LIKDMKPRA.KIAVVDGKAKLENSELKLFERNRESKDDMLARRHRNERAGMEOPLTPGNYTV
Cas12c1	1134	VFPGRSVSARFTSKTCSCCGRNVFDWLFTEKKAKTNKKFNVNSKGELTTADGVIQLFBADRSKGPKFYARRKERTPLTKPIAKSYSL
Cas12c_4	1160	DEIKALLRANLRRAPKNRRTKDTTVSEYHCVFSDCGKTMHADENAAVNIGGKFIADTEK
Cas12c1	1222	EEIERRVRTNLRRAPKSKOSRDTSOSOYFCVYKDCALHFSGM0ADENAAINIGRRFLTALRKNRRSDFPSNVKISDRLLDN

Figure 2.11 Sequence alignment of two Cas12c proteins, Related to Figure 2.1

Global amino acid sequence alignment between Cas12c_4 (the focus of this study) and Cas12c1, which exhibits *trans*-cleavage activity on DNA (Wang and Zhong, 2021). These two orthologs share 28.2% sequence identity (highlighted in black) with 47.2% similarity (boxes around residues).



Figure 2.12 Dependence of pre-crRNA processing and binding on divalent metal ion, Related to Figure 2.2

(A) EDTA inhibits pre-crRNA processing. Data are from a time-course experiment testing the RNA processing activity of Cas12c in the presence of Mg²⁺ or EDTA.

(B) EDTA inhibits pre-crRNA binding (binding is metal-ion dependent). Data are from filter-binding assays with radiolabeled pre-crRNA as a function of Cas12c protein concentration in the presence of Mg^{2+} or EDTA, when supplied with a constant concentration of tracrRNA. Values represent mean ± SD (n = 2).

Α



Figure 2.13 Cas12c blocks gene expression *in vivo* without target-activated DNase activity in a strand-independent manner, Related to Figure 2.5

(A) Images of fluorescence interference experiments of Cas12a. The DNA regions targeted by different spacers (colored lines) used to repress the fluorescent reporters are indicated. WT Cas12a with targeting crRNA killed cells, while dCas12a with targeting crRNA had no effect in survival but silenced gene expression, as compared with non-targeting (–) controls. The effect of CRISPRi with a dCas12a exhibits strand bias when blocking transcription elongation: dCas12a represses gene expression when it is targeted to the template strand (GFP1 and RFP1) but fails to do so when it is targeted to the non-template strand (GFP2 and RFP2) of the targeted coding DNA sequences.

(B) Images of fluorescence interference experiments with Cas12c targeting the template strand of the reporter genes. Both WT Cas12c and dCas12c with selected targeting sgRNAs silenced GFP and/or RFP expression without killing the cells, as compared with non-targeting (–) controls.

(C) Images of fluorescence interference experiments with Cas12c targeting the nontemplate strand of the reporter genes. Both WT and dCas12c with selected targeting sgRNAs silenced GFP and/or RFP expression without killing the cells, as compared with non-targeting (–) controls. All images shown in Figure S6 are representative of the effect seen in replicates (n = 3).



Figure 2.14 Effect of Cas12c-induced repression of essential gene expression in E. coli and the requirement of both tracrRNA and crRNA for ribonucleoprotein assembly, Related to Figure 2.5

(A) Interference assay to test whether Cas12a with crRNAs targeting essential host gene(s) can cause cell death. Data suggest that dCas12a with selected crRNAs (dnaA1, dnaA2, and rpIJ1) could result in depletion of cells containing those guides, as compared with non-targeting (–) controls. WT Cas12a was included for comparison.

(B) Interference assay to test whether targeting WT or dCas12c to essential host genes can cause cell death. Data showed that both WT and dCas12c with selected crRNAs (murD1, rpIJ1, and rpoB2) can cause cell death or slower growth, resulting in depletion

of cells containing those guides, as compared with non-targeting (–) controls. All images shown in Figure 2.14A&B are representative of the effect seen in replicates (n = 3). (C) Cas12c binds to the complex from tracrRNA and crRNA. Data are from filter-binding assays with radiolabeled tracrRNA or crRNA as a function of Cas12c protein concentration when they were alone or when two RNAs were present in the binding reaction. Values represent mean \pm SD (n = 2).

Table 2.1A: Pl	asmids containing Cas protein		
Plasmid ID	Description	Selection marker	Addgene ID
pCJH002	Bacterial protein expression plasmid of wild-type Cas12c_4. This is a R965H version of the Cas12c in Harrington et al., 2020.	Ampicillin	183069
pCJH003	Bacterial protein expression plasmid of RuvC-deactivated Cas12c_4 (D928A version of pCJH002)	Ampicillin	-
pCJH019	Wild-type Cas12c_4 in a plasmid containing a SC101 origin and <i>lac</i> promoter for <i>in vivo</i> interference assay	Kanamycin	183071
pCJH020	RuvC-deactivated Cas12c_4 (D928A) in a plasmid containing a SC101 origin and <i>lac</i> promoter for <i>in vivo</i> interference assay	Kanamycin	-
pCJH021	Wild-type Cas12c2 (Yan et al., 2019) in a plasmid containing a SC101 origin and <i>lac</i> promoter for <i>in vivo</i> interference assay	Kanamycin	183072
pCJH022	RuvC-deactivated Cas12c2 (D928A) (Yan et al., 2019) in a plasmid containing a SC101 origin and <i>lac</i> promoter for <i>in vivo</i> interference assay	Kanamycin	-
pCJH027	Wild-type LbCas12a (Chen et al., 2018) in a plasmid containing a SC101 origin and lac promoter for <i>in vivo</i> interference assay	Kanamycin	183074
pCJH028	RuvC-deactivated LbCas12a (D832A) (Chen et al., 2018) in a plasmid containing a SC101 origin and lac promoter for <i>in vivo</i> interference assay	Kanamycin	-

Table 2.1B: Guide RNA plasmids for in vivo assays					
Plasmid ID	Description	Selection marker	Addgene ID	Spacer sequence	
pCJH007	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting the promoter of integrated GFP/RFP (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	ACAGCTAGCTCAGTCCT	
pCJH008	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting the RBS sequence of integrated GFP/RFP	Chloramph enicol	-	AATTCATTAAAGAGGAG	
pCJH009	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting GFP (1)	Chloramph enicol	183070	TCCCAATTCTTGTTGAA	
pCJH010	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting GFP (2)	Chloramph enicol	-	AATTAGATGGTGATGTT	
pCJH011	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting GFP (3)	Chloramph enicol	-	CACTACTGGAAAACTAC	
pCJH012	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting RFP (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	GCGAGTAGCGAAGACGT	
pCJH013	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting RFP (2)	Chloramph enicol	-	cgtttcaaagttcgtat	
pCJH014	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting RFP (3)	Chloramph enicol	-	ggacatcctgtccccgc	
pCJH015	Plasmid expressing a Cas12c non- targeting sgRNA	Chloramph enicol	-	ttttttgtacttggtac	

pCJH026	Plasmid expressing a Cas12c sgRNA targeting GFP and another sgRNA targeting RFP in one transcript for testing multiplexed repression	Chloramph enicol	183073	TCCCAATTCTTGTTGAA; GCGAGTAGCGAAGACGT
pCJH029	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting the promoter of integrated GFP/RFP	Chloramph enicol	-	ACAGCTAGCTCAGTCCT AGGTATAATAG
pCJH030	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting the RBS sequence of integrated GFP/RFP (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	ATGAATTCAGATCTATTA TACCTAGGAC
pCJH031	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting GFP (1)	Chloramph enicol	183075	ACTGGAGTTGTCCCAAT TCTTGTTGAAT
pCJH032	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting GFP (2) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	TGCCCATTAACATCACC ATCTAATTCAA
pCJH033	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting RFP (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	aaagttcgtatggaaggttccgttaa cg
pCJH034	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting RFP (2) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	ATAACGTCTTCGCTACTC GCCATGGTAC
pCJH035	Plasmid expressing a Cas12a non- targeting guide RNA	Chloramph enicol	-	ttttttgtacttggtacagcaggattca
pCJH037	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting dnaA (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	TCACTTTCGCTTTGGCA
pCJH038	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting dnaA (2)	Chloramph enicol	-	GCAGCAGTGTCTTGCCC
pCJH039	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting murD (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	GCTGATTATCAGGGTAA
pCJH040	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting murD (2)	Chloramph enicol	-	TCGTCATTATCGGCCTG
pCJH041	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting rplJ (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	GCTTTAAATCTTCAAGA
pCJH042	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting rpIJ (2)	Chloramph enicol	-	TTGCTGAAGTCAGCGAA
pCJH043	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting rpoB (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	GTTTACTCCTATACCGA
pCJH044	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting rpoB (2)	Chloramph enicol	-	GTAAACGTCCACAAGTT
pCJH045	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting dnaA (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	GCTTTGGCAGCAGTGTC TTGCCCGATTG
pCJH046	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting dnaA (2)	Chloramph enicol	-	GCAGCAGTGTCTTGCCC GATTGCAGGAT
pCJH047	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting murD (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	CTGCGTGGACTTTTTCC TCGCTCGCGGT
pCJH048	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting murD (2)	Chloramph enicol	-	CTCGCTCGCGGTGTGAC GCCGCGCGTTA
pCJH049	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting rpIJ (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	AATCTTCAAGACAAACAA GCGATTGTTG
pCJH050	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting rplJ (2) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	GCTACTTCGCTGACTTC AGCAACAATCG
pCJH051	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting rpoB (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	CTCCTATACCGAGAAAA AACGTATTCGT
pCJH052	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting rpoB (2)	Chloramph enicol	-	GTAAACGTCCACAAGTT CTGGATGTACC
pCJH053	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda cro (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	gaacaacgcataaccct
pCJH054	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda cro (3)	Chloramph enicol	-	ggcaaaccaagacagct

pCJH055	Plasmid expressing a Cas12c non- targeting sgRNA	Chloramph enicol	-	gctagcatgactggtgg
pCJH057	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting Lambda cro (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	ggcaaaccaagacagctaaagat ctcgg
pCJH058	Plasmid expressing a Cas12a non- targeting guide RNA	Chloramph enicol	-	gcggtgaagtcctgactgcgttcgct cg
pCJH059	Plasmid expressing Cas12c tracrRNA and a GFP-targeting pre-crRNA separately	Chloramph enicol	183076	TCCCAATTCTTGTTGAA
pCJH061	Plasmid expressing two Cas12c non- targeting sgRNAs connected in one transcript as a control for multiplexed repression	Chloramph enicol	-	ttttttgtacttggtac; tcgagtaaggatctcca
pCJH064	Plasmid expressing Cas12c tracrRNA and a non-targeting pre-crRNA separately	Chloramph enicol	-	ttttttgtacttggtac
pCJH065	Plasmid expressing Cas12c tracrRNA and GFP-targeting crRNA separately, with the crRNA ending with a HDV ribozyme sequence.	Chloramph enicol	183077	TCCCAATTCTTGTTGAA
pCJH066	Plasmid expressing Cas12c tracrRNA and non-targeting crRNA separately, with the crRNA ending with a HDV ribozyme sequence.	Chloramph enicol	-	ttttttgtacttggtac
pCJH069	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting the promoter of integrated GFP/RFP (2) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	AATTCAGATCTATTATA
pCJH070	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting GFP (4) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	ATTCAACAAGAATTGGG
pCJH071	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting GFP (5) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	TGCCCATTAACATCACC
pCJH072	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting GFP (6) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	CAAATAAATTTAAGGGT
pCJH073	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting RFP (4) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	ACGTCTTCGCTACTCGC
pCJH074	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting RFP (5) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	ATAACGTCTTCGCTACT
pCJH075	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting RFP (6) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	accgttaacggaacctt
pCJH076	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting RFP (7) (non-template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	cggggacaggatgtccc
pCJH078	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda Cro (2) (non- template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	cataatctttcagggtt
pCJH079	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda Cro (4) (non- template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	atatacgccgagatctt
pCJH080	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda mcpE (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	ctggcggcaaatgagca
pCJH081	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda mcpE (3)	Chloramph enicol	-	atccgctgtttctgcgt
pCJH082	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda mcpE (2) (Non- template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	ctcatttgccgccagca

pCJH083	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda mcpE (4) (Non- template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	aagggatagctctcacg
pCJH084	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda ea47 (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	gagagttttatgactaa
pCJH085	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda ea47 (3)	Chloramph enicol	-	ctcaaatgctgcattga
pCJH086	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda ea47 (2) (non- template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	gtttttagtcataaaa
pCJH087	Plasmid expressing Cas12c sgRNA targeting Lambda ea47 (4) (non- template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	cagcatttgagcaagtg
pCJH088	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting Lambda Cro (2) (non- template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	atatacgccgagatctttagctgtctt g
pCJH091	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting Lambda ea47 (1)	Chloramph enicol	-	tcgcacttgctcaaatgctgcattgat a
pCJH092	Plasmid expressing Cas12a guide RNA targeting Lambda ea47 (2) (non- template strand)	Chloramph enicol	-	agcaagtgcgataaatctttaagtct tc

Plasmid Name	Description	Selection marker	Addgene ID	Spacer sequence
Cas12c- sgRNA_BbsI CAM	Cas12c sgRNA containing BbsI restriction recognition sites in spacer sequence for Golden Gate Assembly	Chloramph enicol	183221	tcgtcttccgaagactt
 LbCas12a- gRNA_BbsI CAM	LbCas12a gRNA containing BbsI restriction recognition sites in spacer sequence for Golden Gate Assembly	Chloramph enicol	183222	tcgtcttccttgtacttggtgaagaca a

Table 2.1 List of plasmids, Related to Methods

Table 2.2A: ssDNA oligonucleotides PAGE-purified for assays						
ID	Description	Length	Sequence	Source		
dCJH0073	Cas12c Non-target Strand DNA	53	GCCTGCCCGCAGATTGatca ataccaaactctgGCGGCGTAAA CTTTCCAGTC	IDT		
dCJH0074	Cas12c Target Strand DNA	53	GACTGGAAAGTTTACGCCG CcagagtttggtattgatCAATCTGC GGGCAGGC	IDT		
dCJH0086	Cas12c Non-target Strand DNA with Non-cognate Protopacer	53	GCCTGCCCGCAGATTGttttttg tacttggtacGCGGCGTAAACTT TCCAGTC	IDT		
dCJH0096	Cas12c Non-target Strand DNA with Non-cognate PAM	53	GCCTGCCCGCAGATACatca ataccaaactctgGCGGCGTAAA CTTTCCAGTC	IDT		
dCJH0097	Cas12c Target Strand DNA with Non- cognate PAM	53	GACTGGAAAGTTTACGCCG CcagagtttggtattgatGTATCTGC GGGCAGGC	IDT		
dCJH0098	Cas12c Target Strand DNA with Non- cognate Protopacer	53	GACTGGAAAGTTTACGCCG CgtaccaagtacaaaaaaaCAATCT GCGGGCAGGC	IDT		

Table 2.2B: s	sDNA oligos used to generate the ssRNA	through <i>in</i>	vitro transcription (IVT)	
ID	Description	Length	Sequence	Source
oCJH0017	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH007	58	GGCTCTCCCTTAGCCATCC GAGTTTCCTCGGATGCCCA GGTCGGACCGCGAGGAGG TG	IDT
oCJH0031	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH006	47	GTCGAAATTAATACGACTCA CTATAGGTGGTATCTGATGA GGCCTTC	IDT
oCJH0032	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH006	43	AGATTTCAGGTCGCTCTAG CTGCGCGCCCCGGACATTGA GGTAC	IDT
oCJH0033	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH006	59	GTATCTGATGAGGCCTTCG GGCCGAAACGGTGAAAGCC GTAATACCACCCGTGCATTT C	IDT
oCJH0034	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH006	53	CCGGACATTGAGGTACGGA TCATTGATCCAGAAATGCAC GGGTGGTATTACGG	IDT
oCJH0035	Used in PCR reactions to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH007, rCJH010	49	GTCGAAATTAATACGACTCA CTATAgggtaacgagcaggattcag gttg	IDT
oCJH0036	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH007	60	aacgagcaggattcaggttgggtttgag gATCAATACCAAACTCTGagc aggatGGGTCG	IDT
oCJH0037	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH007	51	GACCGCGAGGAGGTGGAG ATGCCATGCCGACCCatcctgc tCAGAGTTTGG	IDT
oCJH0042	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH010	42	GGCTCTCCCTTAGCCATCC GAGTTTCCTCGGATGCCCA GGTC	IDT
oCJH0043	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH010	60	taacgagcaggattcaggttgggtttgag gATCAATACCAAACTCTGGG GTCGGCATGGC	IDT

oCJH0051	T7 promoter oligo in the forward direction for IVT of rCJH019, rCJH024, and rCJH040	17	TAATACGACTCACTATA	IDT
oCJH0044	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH010	58	TCGGATGCCCAGGTCGGAC CGCGAGGAGGTGGAGATG CCATGCCGACCCCAGAGTT TG	IDT
oCJH0060	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH017	42	GTCGAAATTAATACGACTCA CTATAagcaggattcaggttgg	IDT
oCJH0061	Used in PCR reactions to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH017, rCJH018	42	GGCTCTCCCTTAGCCATCC GAGTTTCCTCGGATGCCCA GGTC	IDT
oCJH0062	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH017	60	gcaggattcaggttgggtttgaggATC AATACCAAACTCTGagcaggat GGGTCGGCATG	IDT
oCJH0063	Used in PCR reactions to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH017, rCJH018	53	TCGGATGCCCAGGTCGGAC CGCGAGGAGGTGGAGATG CCATGCCGACCCatcc	IDT
oCJH0064	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH018	60	ATAggattcaggttgggtttgaggATC AATACCAAACTCTGagcaggat GGGTCGGCATG	IDT
oCJH0065	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH018	43	GTCGAAATTAATACGACTCA CTATAggattcaggttgggtttg	IDT
oCJH0066	Used to anneal with the T7 promoter primer (oCJH0051) for IVT of rCJH019	99	aacctgaatcctgctCAGAGTTTG GTATTGATCAGAGTTTGGTA TTGATcctcaaacccaacctgaatcc tgctcgttacccTATAGTGAGTCG TATTA	IDT
oCJH0071	Used to anneal with the T7 promoter primer (oCJH0051) for IVT of rCJH024	155	aacctgaatcctgctCAGAGTTTG GTATTGATcctcaaacccaacctga atcctgctTTTCAGATTTCAGGT CGCTCTAGCTGCGCGCCCCG GACATTGAGGTACGGATCA TTGATCCAGAAATGCACGG GTGGTATCCTATAGTGAGTC GTATTA	IDT
oCJH0076	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH033	60	ACTCACTATAGGCCAGTCCT GATGAGGCCTTCGGGCCGA AACGGTGAAAGCCGTAGAC TG	IDT
oCJH0077	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH033	56	TGAAAGCCGTAGACTGGAA AGTTTACGCCGCcagagtttggt attgatCAATCTGC	IDT
oCJH0078	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH033	52	ggtattgatCAATCTGCGGGCA GGCGGGTCGGCATGGCATC TCCACCTCCTC	IDT
oCJH0079	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH033	50	CATCTCCACCTCCTCGCGG TCCGACCTGGGCATCCGAG GAAACTCGGATG	IDT
oCJH0080	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH033	29	GGCTCTCCCTTAGCCATCC GAGTTTCCTC	IDT
oCJH0081	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH033	31	GTCGAAATTAATACGACTCA CTATAGGCCAG	IDT
oCJH0087	Used in PCR reactions to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH038, rCJH041	39	GTCGAAATTAATACGACTCA CTATAGGATACCACCCGTG	IDT

oCJH0088	Used in PCR reactions to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH038, rCJH041	30	GGCTCTCCCTTAGCCATCC GAGTTTCCTCG	IDT
oCJH0089	Used in PCR reactions to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH038, rCJH041	42	AGGATACCACCCGTGCATT TCTGGATCAATGATCCGTAC CTC	IDT
oCJH0090	Used in PCR reactions to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH038, rCJH041	56	GATCAATGATCCGTACCTCA ATGTCCGGGCGCGCAGCTA GAGCGACCTGAAATCTG	IDT
oCJH0091	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH038	44	AGCGACCTGAAATCTGAAAa gcaggattcaggttgggtttgagg	IDT
oCJH0092	Used in PCR reactions to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH038, rCJH041	60	caggttgggtttgaggATCAATACC AAACTCTGGGGTCGGCATG GCATCTCCACCTCCTC	IDT
oCJH0093	Used in PCR reactions to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH038, rCJH041	51	GCATCTCCACCTCCTCGCG GTCCGACCTGGGCATCCGA GGAAACTCGGATG	IDT
oCJH0094	Used to anneal with the T7 promoter primer (oCJH0051) for IVT of rCJH040	149	aacctgaatcctgctCAGAGTTTG GTATTGATcctcaaacccaacctga atTTTCAGATTTCAGGTCGCT CTAGCTGCGCGCGCCCGGACA TTGAGGTACGGATCATTGAT CCAGAAATGCACGGGTGGT ATCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATT A	IDT
oCJH0095	Used in a PCR reaction to generate the dsDNA template for IVT of rCJH041	38	AGCGACCTGAAATCTGAAAa ttcaggttgggtttgagg	IDT

Table 2.2C: ssRNA oligos used in this study						
ID	Description	Length	Sequence	Source		
0.111000						
rCJH006	Cas12c tracrRNA	75	AUACCACCCGUGCAUUUCU	PCR		
			GGAUCAAUGAUCCGUACCU	171		
	Cool 2 El extended are er DNA (Ort	50		DOD		
rCJH007	Casizc 5 extended pre-crRNA (8nt	56		PCR		
	random + \mathbf{R} 3 + ont of \mathbf{R})		Addugggggggggggadc	171		
	Matura Cas12c crPNA (for rC IH007)	50		DCD		
10311010	$(8nt random + \mathbf{P}S)$	50				
			AAUACCAAACUCUG	1 1 1		
rCJH017	Cas12c pre-crRNA (RS + 8nt of R)	50		PCR		
			UUUGAGGAUCAAUACCAAA	IVT		
			CUCUGAGCAGGAU			
rCJH018	Cas12c pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R	46	GGAUUCAGGUUGGGUUUG	PCR		
	+ S + 8nt of R)		AGGAUCAAUACCAAACUCU	IVT		
			GAGCAGGAU			
rCJH019	Cas12c double spacer pre-crRNA (8nt	82	GGGUAACG AGCAGGAUUC	IDT IVT		
	random + R SS + 15nt of R)		AGGUUGGGUUUGAGGAUC			
			AAUACCAAACUCUGAUCAA			
			UACCAAACUCUGAGCAGGA			
			UUCAGGUU			

rCJH024	Cas12c pre-sgRNA version 1 (tracrRNA + GAAA + R S + 15nt R)	138	GGAUACCACCCGUGCAUUU CUGGAUCAAUGAUCCGUAC CUCAAUGUCCGGGCGCGC AGCUAGAGCGACCUGAAAU CUGAAAAGCAGGAUUCAG GUUGGGUUUGAGGAUCAA UACCAAACUCUGAGCAGGA UUCAGGUU	IDT IVT
rCJH026	3' 6-FAM labeled Cas12c pre-crRNA (RS + 11nt of R)	49	GGAUUCAGGUUGGGUUUG AGGAUCAAUACCAAACUCU GAGCAGGAUUCA/36-FAM/	IDT
rCJH027	3' 6-FAM labeled 11nt R Cas12c with 5' P	11	/5Phos/AGCAGGAUUCA/36- FAM/	IDT
rCJH028	3' 6-FAM labeled 11nt R Cas12c with 5' OH	11	AGCAGGAUUCA/36-FAM/	IDT
rCJH029	Multi-phosphorothioated rCJH018 Cas12c pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R + S + 8nt of R)	46	GGAUUCAGGUUGGGUUUG AGGAUCAAUACCAAACUCU *G*A*G*CAGGAU	IDT
rCJH030	Phosphorothioated rCJH018 Cas12c pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R + S + 8nt of R)	46	GGAUUCAGGUUGGGUUUG AGGAUCAAUACCAAACUCU *GAGCAGGAU	IDT
rCJH031	Phosphorothioated rCJH018 Cas12c pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R + S + 8nt of R)	46	GGAUUCAGGUUGGGUUUG AGGAUCAAUACCAAACUCU G*AGCAGGAU	IDT
rCJH032	Phosphorothioated rCJH018 Cas12c pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R + <i>S</i> + 8nt of R)	46	GGAUUCAGGUUGGGUUUG AGGAUCAAUACCAAACUCU GA*GCAGGAU	IDT
rCJH033	(ssRNA) Cas12c target strand (cleaved by HHrz and HDVrz)	53	GACUGGAAAGUUUACGCCG CCAGAGUUUGGUAUUGAUC AAUCUGCGGGCAGGC	PCR IVT
rCJH037	Mature Cas12c crRNA (for rCJH018) (21-nt shortened $\mathbf{R} + S$)	38	GGAUUCAGGUUGGGUUUG AGGAUCAAUACCAAACUCU G	IDT
rCJH038	Mature sgRNA version 1 (processed version of rCJH024)	123	GGAUACCACCCGUGCAUUU CUGGAUCAAUGAUCCGUAC CUCAAUGUCCGGGCGCGC AGCUAGAGCGACCUGAAAU CUGAAAAGCAGGAUUCAG GUUGGGUUUGAGGAUCAA UACCAAACUCUG	PCR IVT
rCJH039	Partial DNA version of rCJH018 Cas12c pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R + S + 8nt of R)	46	rGrGrArUrUrCrArGrGrUrUrGr GrGrUrUrUrGrArGrGrArUrCrA rArUrArCrCrArArArCrUrC(d)T(d)G(d)A(d)GrCrArGrGrArU	IDT
rCJH040	Cas12c sgRNA version 2 (tracrRNA + GAAA + 19nt R + <i>S</i> + 15nt R)	132	GGAUACCACCCGUGCAUUU CUGGAUCAAUGAUCCGUAC CUCAAUGUCCGGGCGCGC AGCUAGAGCGACCUGAAAU CUGAAA AUUCAGGUUGGG UUUGAGG AUCAAUACCAAA CUCUGAGCAGGAUUCAGG UU	IDT IVT
rCJH041	Mature sgRNA version 2 (processed version of rCJH040)	117	GGAUACCACCCGUGCAUUU CUGGAUCAAUGAUCCGUAC CUCAAUGUCCGGGCGCGC AGCUAGAGCGACCUGAAAU CUGAAAAUUCAGGUUGGG UUUGAGGAUCAAUACCAAA CUCUG	PCR IVT

rCJH042	Partial DNA version of rCJH018 Cas12c	46	rGrGrArUrUrCrArGrGrUrUrGr	IDT
	pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R + S + 8nt		GrGrUrUrUrGrArGrGrArUrCrA	
	of R)		rArUrArCrCrArArArCrUrC (d)T r	
			GrArGrCrArGrGrArU	
rCJH043	Partial DNA version of rCJH018 Cas12c	46	rGrGrArUrUrCrArGrGrUrUrGr	IDT
	pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R + S + 8nt		GrGrUrUrUrGrArGrGrArUrCrA	
	of R)		rArUrArCrCrArArArCrUrCrU(d)	
	,		G rArGrCrArGrGrArU	
rCJH044	Partial DNA version of rCJH018 Cas12c	46	rGrGrArUrUrCrArGrGrUrUrGr	IDT
	pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R + S + 8nt		GrGrUrUrUrGrArGrGrArUrCrA	
	of R)		rArUrArCrCrArArArCrUrCrUrG	
	,		(d)ArGrCrArGrGrArU	
rCJH045	Partial DNA version of rCJH018 Cas12c	46	rGrGrArUrUrCrArGrGrUrUrGr	IDT
	pre-crRNA (21-nt shortened R + S + 8nt		GrGrUrUrUrGrArGrGrArUrCrA	
	of R)		rArUrArCrCrArArArCrUrCrUrG	
	,		rA(d)GrCrArGrGrArU	
Notes:				
IDT IVT: A long ssDNA oligo from IDT was directly annealed with a T7 promoter oligo (oCJH0051) and transcribed				
PCR IVT: Multiple IDT oligos were used in a PCR reaction to create the dsDNA template with a T7 promoter				

sequence for IVT, on which IVT was then performed IDT: Oligos ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies R = Repeat sequence; S = Spacer sequence

oligo ID	IVT template assembled by PCR or direct annealing from DNA oligos:	ribozyme(s) in initial transcript?
rCJH006	oCJH0031, oCJH0032, oCJH0033, oCJH0034	HHrz
rCJH007	oCJH0035, oCJH0017, oCJH0036, oCJH0037	HDVrz
rCJH010	oCJH0035, oCJH0042, oCJH0043, oCJH0044	HDVrz
rCJH017	oCJH0060, oCJH0061, oCJH0062, oCJH0063	HDVrz
rCJH018	oCJH0065, oCJH0061, oCJH0064, oCJH0063	HDVrz
rCJH019	oCJH_0066, oCJH0051_T7oligo	None
rCJH024	oCJH_0071, oCJH0051_T7oligo	None
rCJH033	oCJH0080, oCJH0081, oCJH0076, oCJH0077, oCJH0078, oCJH0079	HHrz, HDVrz
rCJH038	oCJH0087, oCJH0088, oCJH0089, oCJH0090, oCJH0091, oCJH0092, oCJH0093	HDVrz
rCJH040	oCJH_0094, oCJH0051_T7oligo	None
rCJH041	oCJH0087, oCJH0088, oCJH0089, oCJH0090, oCJH0095, oCJH0092, oCJH0093	HDVrz

Table 2.2 Oligonucleotide sequences, Related to Methods

	Plasmid or oligo ID
Figure 2.1B	tracrRNA: rCJH006 crRNA: rCJH010 dsDNA (TS/NTS): dCJH0074+dCJH0073 Bubbled dsDNA (TS/bubbledNTS): dCJH0074+dCJH0086 ssDNA: dCJH0074 ssRNA : rCJH033
Figure 2.1C	tracrRNA: rCJH006 crRNA: rCJH010 dsDNA (TS/NTS): dCJH0074+dCJH0073
Figure 2.2A	pre-crRNA: rCJH018, tracrRNA: rCJH006 RNA marker: rCJH037
Figure 2.2C	pre-crRNA: rCJH026 tracrRNA: rCJH006 RNA markers: rCJH027 and rCJH028
Figure 2.2D	pre-crRNA: rCJH039 tracrRNA: rCJH006 RNA marker: rCJH037
Figure 2.2E	Pre-crRNA: rCJH042, rCJH043, rCJH044, rCJH045 tracrRNA: rCJH006 RNA Marker: rCJH037
Figure 2.3B	pre-sgRNA: rCJH024 and rCJH040 RNA markers: rCJH038 and rCJH041
Figure 2.4A	tracrRNA: rCJH006 crRNA: rCJH010 dsDNA (TS/NTS): dCJH0074+dCJH0073 Bubbled dsDNA (TS/bubbledNTS): dCJH0074+dCJH0086 dsDNA with no PAM (NTS/TS): dCJH0096+dCJH0097 Non-complementary dsDNA (NTS/TS): dCJH0086+dCJH0098 ssDNA: dCJH0074 ssRNA : rCJH033
Figure 2.4B	tracrRNA: rCJH006 pre-crRNA: rCJH018 Mature crRNA: rCJH037 Phosphorothioated pre-crRNA: rCJH029 dsDNA (5' radiolabeled NTS/TS): dCJH0073+dCJH0074
Figure 2.4C	tracrRNA: rCJH006 pre-crRNA: rCJH018 Mature crRNA: rCJH037 dsDNA (5' radiolabeled NTS/TS): dCJH0073+dCJH0074
Figure 2.5B	Cas plasmids: pCJH027 or pCJH028 Guide RNA plasmids: pCJH029, pCJH030, pCJH031, pCJH032, pCJH033, pCJH0034, and pCJH035
Figure 2.5C	Cas plasmids: pCJH019 or pCJH020 sgRNA plasmids: pCJH007, pCJH008, pCJH009, pCJH010, pCJH011, pCJH012, pCJH013, pCJH014, and pCJH015
Figure 2.5D&E	Cas plasmids: pCJH021 or pCJH022 sgRNA plasmids: pCJH009 and pCJH015 Dual RNA guide plasmids: pCJH059 and pCJH064

	Dual RNA with HDVrz guide plasmids: pCJH065 and pCJH066
Figure 2.5F&G	Cas plasmids: pCJH019 or pCJH020 sgRNA plasmids: pCJH009 and pCJH015 Dual RNA guide plasmids: pCJH059 and pCJH064 Dual RNA with HDVrz guide plasmids: pCJH065 and pCJH066
Figure 2.5H	Cas plasmid: pCJH019 sgRNA plasmids: pCJH026 and pCJH061
Figure 2.6B&C	Cas12a plasmids: pCJH027 (Figure 2.6B) or pCJH028 (Figure 2.6C) Cas12a guide plasmids: pCJH057, pCJH088, pCJH091, pCJH092 Cas12a non-targeting guide plasmid: pCJH058
Figure 2.6D&E	Cas12c plasmid: pCJH019 (Figure 2.6D) or pCJH020 (Figure 2.6E) Cas12c sgRNA plasmids: pCJH053, pCJH078, pCJH054, pCJH079, pCJH080, pCJH082, pCJH081, pCJH083, pCJH084, pCJH086, pCJH085, pCJH087 Cas12c non-targeting sgRNA plasmid: pCJH055
Figure 2.8A	Pre-crRNA: rCJH007, rCJH017, rCJH018, and rCJH019 tracrRNA: rCJH006 RNA marker: rCJH010
Figure 2.8B	pre-crRNA: rCJH026 tracrRNA: rCJH006
Figure 2.8C	Pre-crRNA: rCJH018, rCJH029, rCJH030, rCJH031, rCJH032 tracrRNA: rCJH006 RNA marker: rCJH037
Figure 2.8D	Pre-crRNA: rCJH018 and rCJH029 tracrRNA: rCJH006
Figure 2.9A&C	tracrRNA: rCJH006 crRNA: rCJH010 dsDNA (TS/NTS): dCJH0074+dCJH0073
Figure 2.9B&D	sgRNA: rCJH038 dsDNA (TS/NTS): dCJH0074+dCJH0073
Figure 2.10A,C,E	tracrRNA: rCJH006 crRNA: rCJH010 dsDNA (TS/NTS): dCJH0074+dCJH0073
Figure 2.10B,D,F	sgRNA: rCJH038 dsDNA (TS/NTS): dCJH0074+dCJH0073
Figure 2.12	pre-crRNA: rCJH017 tracrRNA: rCJH006
Figure 2.13A	Cas plasmids: pCJH027 or pCJH028 Guide RNA plasmids: pCJH029, pCJH030, pCJH031, pCJH032, pCJH033, pCJH0034, and pCJH035
Figure 2.13B	Cas plasmids: pCJH019 or pCJH020 sgRNA plasmids: pCJH007, pCJH008, pCJH009, pCJH010, pCJH011, pCJH012, pCJH013, pCJH014, and pCJH015
Figure 2.13C	Cas plasmids: pCJH019 or pCJH020 sgRNA plasmids: pCJH069, pCJH070, pCJH071, pCJH072, pCJH073, pCJH074, pCJH075, pCJH076, and pCJH015

Figure 2.14A	Cas plasmids: pCJH027 or pCJH028 Guide RNA plasmids: pCJH045, pCJH046, pCJH047, pCJH048, pCJH049, pCJH050, pCJH051, pCJH052, pCJH035
Figure 2.14B	Cas plasmid: pCJH019 or pCJH020 sgRNA plasmids: pCJH037, pCJH038, pCJH039, pCJH040, pCJH041, pCJH042, pCJH043, pCJH044, pCJH015
Figure 2.14C	pre-crRNA: rCJH017 tracrRNA: rCJH006

Table 2.3 Plasmids and oligonucleotides used in experiments, Related to Methods

2.5 Discussion

CRISPR-Cas systems have evolved in diverse microbes to provide adaptive immunity against foreign nucleic acids. Until now, immunity provided by the class 2 singleeffector type V CRISPR-Cas systems was thought to rely on RNA-guided nuclease activity that targets phage or other foreign molecules, typically at the level of dsDNA cutting. In this study, we show that the type V CRISPR effector Cas12c is an RNA-guided DNA-binding enzyme that does not cut target DNA or exhibit detectable target-activated trans-cleavage activity. We found that the RuvC domain of Cas12c, which was previously assumed to cut DNA based on bioinformatic and in vivo functional comparison with other type V CRISPR enzymes, instead exclusively catalyzes the maturation of pre-crRNA for targeted Cas12c DNA binding. Despite its lack of dsDNase activity, in cells this DNAbinding activity enables robust transcriptional repression of fluorescent reporter proteins in a strand-independent manner. Furthermore, this naturally DNase-free Cas12c enzyme can protect bacteria from lytic bacteriophage infection when targeting an essential phage gene. These results suggest that CRISPR systems can provide anti-phage immunity even in the absence of target-directed nuclease activity and that this is likely Cas12c's native mechanism (Figure 2.7).

The proposed DNA-binding-only mechanism of gene repression by Cas12c would require spacers that target transcribed regions of invading-essential genes for successful immunity in a native context (Figure 2.6 and Figure 2.7). We speculate that CRISPR-Cas12c immunity that limits protospacer targets to transcribed regions may be similarly limiting as the immunity offered by CRISPR systems that primarily target RNA transcripts such as the CRISPR-Cas13 systems (Abudayyeh et al., 2016; East-Seletsky et al., 2016). Although target-activated DNase activity may bolster immunity when directed to a transcribed region (see Cas12a in Figure 2.6B), these benefits must be weighed against the fitness costs associated with incidental host-directed nuclease activity (Weissman et al., 2020; Wimmer and Beisel, 2020). For example, if a native type V-C system accidentally acquires a self-targeting spacer, there is a lower chance of significant toxicity. Additionally, lack of native DNase activity exhibited by Cas12c is not a mere loss of function relative to Cas12a. As shown in Figure 2.6, Cas12c displays greater protospacer flexibility in strand-targeting and PAM constraints than the RuvC-deactivated dCas12a does, which is likely reflective of its evolutionary history. We speculate that the evolutionary trajectory of CRISPR-Cas12c systems has evolved to mitigate such tradeoff space while playing a role in immune defense.

All Cas12-family enzymes contain a RuvC catalytic center, an enzymatic domain resembling RNase H that catalyzes DNA or RNA phosphodiester cleavage by a "carboxylate-chelated two metal-ion" mechanism (Yang and Steitz, 1995). Enzymes thought to be ancestral to Cas12, including TnpB, possess RuvC domain-mediated DNA-cutting activity (Altae-Tran et al., 2021; Karvelis et al., 2021), implying that the observed RNA-cutting specificity of Cas12c's RuvC domain represents a lineage-specific departure from the ancestral state. Notably, the substrate specificities of RuvC domains found within different Cas12 enzymes are divergent. For example, Cas12a's RuvC domain cleaves DNA only, with a separate active site responsible for pre-crRNA processing (Fonfara et al., 2016). In contrast, the RuvC domain of CasPhi and Cas12g can cleave both DNA and RNA (Pausch et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2019). Based on reported *trans*-cleavage activity

on DNA by another Cas12c family member (Cas12c1; Wang and Zhong, 2021), the switch to exclusive use of RNA as a substrate by the Cas12c variants tested in this study may have occurred recently.

Repurposing the RuvC active site for exclusive RNA processing suggests that precrRNA processing is essential for function. Interestingly, we observed that RuvCmediated pre-crRNA processing was required for high-affinity DNA binding *in vitro* but often not as important for transcriptional silencing *in vivo* in a heterologous host. A possible explanation for this observation is that guide RNA expression is under the control of a non-native strong promoter in our assays. If some transcripts undergo abortive transcription or cleavage by host nucleases, the resulting mature guide RNAs may be sufficient to direct unimpeded transcriptional silencing by Cas12c. The preservation of RuvC-catalyzed RNase activity in Cas12c suggests that crRNA maturation is an essential function of Cas12c in its natural host, perhaps due to lower pre-crRNA transcriptional levels or a lack of certain host nucleases.

Prior to this study, single-effector CRISPR-Cas systems that are DNA targeting yet DNase-free have only been described in the context of transcriptional regulation (Ratner et al., 2019; Workman et al., 2021) and transposase association (Strecker et al., 2019), with no indication of immune function. The results presented here suggest that contrary to previous assumptions, immunity against bacteriophage may be achieved solely through RNA-guided DNA binding. Other CRISPR systems for which no immune mechanism has been identified, such as some type IV multi-effector systems (Taylor et al., 2021), may work analogously to Cas12c. The targeting parameters of the DNase-free Cas12c orthologs, including mismatch tolerance and seed sequence, remain to be determined, and they could be distinct from those of DNA-cleaving Cas12 enzymes. In addition, the structural basis for the substrate specificity swap in Cas12c's RuvC active site will be fascinating to uncover. Nonetheless, the specific properties of this CRISPR-Cas12c system, including its minimal PAM requirement (TN), capacity for multiplexed targeting, and uniquely precise pre-crRNA processing activity, offer new tool development potential for transcriptional regulation and engineered base editing.

Limitations of the study

Since many type V-C CRISPR-Cas systems, including the focus of this study, were identified on short contigs from metagenomes with unknown hosts, we cannot fully rule out the possibility that a Cas12c that is DNase-free *in vitro* and *in vivo* in a heterologous host *E. coli* may be associated with other enzymatic activities in its natural hosts instead of simply being a DNA binder. Additionally, due to the unusually short spacer lengths (17–18 nt) in the native CRISPR arrays and limited availability of databases on mobile genetic elements, we were unable to identify the origins of the native spacers with confidence. It remains possible that although a DNA-targeting, but DNase-free, CRISPR-Cas12c protein is capable of anti-phage activity, it may have other functions instead of, or in addition to, being part of a prokaryotic adaptive immune system.

2.6 Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Doudna lab and the Innovative Genomics Institute for helpful discussions. We would also like to acknowledge Dr. Joshua C. Cofsky, Dr. Patrick

Pausch, and Dr. Brady F. Cress for advice and guidance. The modified E. coli strain for interference assays was a generous gift originally from Dr. Lei Stanley Qi and modified through the removal of the *kan^R* cassette by Dr. Basem Al-Shayeb. This project was funded by grants from the National Science Foundation and by support from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Research reported in this publication was supported by the Centers for Excellence in Genomic Science of the National Institutes of Health under award number RM1HG009490. C.J.H. acknowledges the support of the T32 NIH Genetics Training Grant (T32GM132022-02). Phage experiments and analyses by B.A.A. were supported by m-CAFEs (Microbial Community Analysis & Functional Evaluation in Soils [m-CAFEs@ Ibl.gov]), a project led by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological & Environmental Research. J.A.D. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

2.7 Author contributions

Conceptualization, C.J.H. and J.A.D.; investigation, C.J.H. and B.A.A.; methodology, C.J.H., B.A.A., and J.A.D.; supervision, J.A.D.; writing, C.J.H., B.A.A., and J.A.D.

Declaration of interests

J.A.D. is a cofounder of Caribou Biosciences, Editas Medicine, Scribe Therapeutics, Intellia Therapeutics, and Mammoth Biosciences. J.A.D. is a scientific advisory board member or consultant for Vertex, Caribou Biosciences, Intellia Therapeutics, Scribe Therapeutics, Mammoth Biosciences, Algen Biotechnologies, Felix Biosciences, The Column Group, Sixth Street, and Inari. J.A.D. is a Director at Altos Labs, Johnson & Johnson, and Tempus, and she has research projects sponsored by AppleTree Partners and Roche. J.A.D. is a member of Molecular Cell's Advisory Board. Chapter 3 Reconstituting type V-C CRISPR-Cas adaptation in *E. coli*

3.1 Abstract

Acquiring functional spacer sequences from foreign nucleic acid to the CRISPR array during adaptation is an essential part of CRISPR-Cas immunity. Some type V CRISPR-Cas12c (V-C) systems are compact systems that interfere through a transcriptional-blocking mechanism without target-activated DNase activity, and regardless of the mechanism for interference, V-C systems lack a cas2 gene that was previously thought to be essential during the acquisition stage for all CRISPR systems. To eventually understand the basic principles underlying the type V-C PAM-specific spacer acquisition and look for evidence of primed type V-C adaptation in DNase-free V-C systems, I attempted to reconstitute the type V-C adaptation in vivo in a heterologous host E. coli. The results here showed that the multiple attempts using different plasmid constructs/expression strategies to reconstitute spacer acquisition in vivo have not been successful under the conditions tested, but varying induction conditions or detection methods may improve experimental outcomes in future studies. Understanding the mechanistic details of interference for type V-C systems can contribute to our understanding of the CRISPR-Cas adaptation process, which may advance the development of molecular recording tools.

3.2 Introduction

CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas (CRISPR-associated) systems are prokaryotic adaptive immunity against invading mobile genetic elements, such as viruses or plasmids (Wright et al., 2016). In these systems, Cas nuclease effectors typically recognize and cleave foreign nucleic acids containing sequences complementary to their associated crRNAs to trigger degradation of targeted nucleic acids. Before functional interference can occur, the spacer sequence from foreign nucleic acid fragments must first be acquired to CRISPR arrays by the CRISPR integrase complex, commonly Cas1 and Cas2, potentially with the help of other factors.

The type V CRISPR Cas12c (V-C) system is uniquely interesting for exploring different aspects of spacer acquisition, as it is a compact system that lacks Cas2, which was previously thought to be an essential structural component of the CRISPR integrases. However, Wright et al. showed that a V-C (6) Cas1 alone is sufficient for integration in vitro, which explains the unusually short spacers (~17-18 nt) in these V-C systems (2019). Interestingly, the three V-C systems characterized in the E. coli screen performed in Yan et al. 2019 appeared to carry out immunity function through various interference mechanisms (DNase-free or DNase-active). Kurihara et al. showed that, among these 3 orthologs, Cas12c1 (Cas12c 6) was DNase-active, while Cas12c2 (similar to Cas12c 4 in this study) and OspCas12c (from Oleiphilus sp. HI0009) were unable to cleave or nick circular or linear target DNA under the conditions tested (2022). One of these DNase-free Cas12c orthologs (Cas12c 4) has been proposed to be a natural CRISPRi enzyme, supported by the observations that it could bind to target DNA but exhibited no DNase activity in vitro or in vivo in a heterologous host E. coli (Huang et al., 2022). Targeted Cas12c DNA binding alone was sufficient to repress target gene transcription and restrict phage infection in E. coli in a strand-independent manner, and this was proposed to be the native function of these DNase-free CRISPR-Cas systems (Huang et al., 2022). Since

nuclease activity in several types I and II systems, including type II Cas9, is required for primed adaptation (Lee and Sashital, 2022; Nussenzweig et al., 2019), whether or not, and how, primed adaptation occur in DNase-free systems are questions yet to be answered.

Additionally, the mechanism by which the acquisition machinery selects functional protospacers flanked by a PAM during adaptation has not been explored in any type V-C systems. For effective interference to occur, DNA targets of the type V-C CRISPR-Cas system must contain a PAM sequence of TN/TG, depending on the homolog (Yan et al., 2019). The type V-C acquisition machinery must also select protospacers flanked by the same PAM during adaptation to ensure functional immunity. Since there are only two proteins, Cas1 and Cas12c, encoded in these minimal V-C CRISPR-Cas systems, it would be intriguing to test if the type V-C Cas1 recognizes the PAM, similar to type I Cas1 (Wang et al. 2015) or if Cas12c is essential for aiding the V-C Cas1 mini-integrase to select protospacers in a PAM-dependent manner, similar to the role of Cas9 in type II adaptation (Heler et al., 2015).

Without prior knowledge of the PAM sequence identities for interference (Yan et al., 2019), Wright et al. reconstituted the DNA integration activity of a type V-C Cas1 miniintegrase *in vitro* (2019). However, the integration events were promiscuous, suggesting that additional host factors or mechanisms were involved in specific spacer acquisition to the CRISPR array (Wright et al., 2019). These hypothetical host factors can potentially include an integration host factor (IHF), which is required for leader-proximal spacer integration *in vitro* and spacer acquisition *in vivo* in *Escherichia coli* type I-E CRISPR systems (Nuñez et al. 2016). I therefore reasoned that an *in vivo* adaptation assay might yield more specific integration capability. Since type V-C systems have been mostly found in uncultured microbes and from marine and gut metagenomic data with hosts that remained undetermined due to incomplete genome reconstruction (Shmakov et al. 2015; Burstein et al. 2017), I attempted to reconstitute V-C adaptation in a heterologous *E. coli* host.

To reconstitute type V-C adaptation *in vivo* in a heterologous host *E. coli*, I cloned the three type V-C CRISPR loci each containing *cas1* and *cas12c* genes as well as a minimal CRISPR array consisting of reduced number of repeat:spacer sequences flanked by ~200bp of the upstream and downstream native sequences into different vectors. Spacer acquisition assays were performed using multiple expression strategies including using native DNA sequences and codon-optimized sequences with inducible promoters. Assay results showed that my attempts to reconstitute type V-C adaptation *in vivo* in *E. coli* have not been successful, but varying expression conditions or other variables such as PCR strategies may yield positive outcomes in future studies.

3.3 Materials and Methods

3.3.1 Construction of expression plasmids

Three type V-C CRISPR-Cas systems with identified PAM for interference (Yan et al., 2019) were investigated in this study. For the V-C (4) system, Cas12c_4 in this study corresponds to what was described in Huang et al., 2022 and is similar to Cas12c2 described in Yan et al., 2019. For the V-C (6) system, Cas12c_6 in this study corresponds

to Cas12c1 described in Yan et al., 2019, and Cas1 (VC-6) corresponds to the Cas1 described in Wright et al., 2019.

For Figure 3.1, the native sequences of the three V-C CRISPR-Cas loci were cloned into a low-copy plasmid backbone that contains a SC101 origin of replication and a kanamycin resistance gene, with the only change being that the number of repeat:spacer pairs in the array was reduced to \sim 6.

For Figure 3.2, the coding sequences for all Cas1 and Cas12c were *E. coli*-codon optimized, and a two-plasmid expression system was used. On the first plasmid (p15A origin and CAM^R), the expression of Cas1 and Cas12c were under the control of the isopropyl β -D-thiogalactoside (IPTG)-inducible Lac promoter and the anhydrotetracycline (aTc)-inducible Tet promoter, respectively, while the expression of tracrRNA was under the control of a strong constitutive promoter, proD. On the second plasmid (SC101 origin and Kan^R), the miniature CRISPR array, including ~200 bp upstream (5') and downstream (3') flanking native sequences, was constitutively expressed under the control of a J23119 promoter.

For overexpression of the V-C proteins, another two-plasmid expression system was used. On the first plasmid (pCDFDuet-1 from Novagen with a CloDF13 origin and Sm^R), the expressions of Cas1 and Cas12c were under the control of separate T7 promoters. On the second plasmid (SC101 origin and Kan^R), the tracrRNA and the minimal CRISPR array including only one repeat and spacer (flanked by native neighboring sequences) were constitutively expressed in one single transcript under the control of a J23119 promoter.

These plasmids were originally constructed from Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) gBlocks or ThermoFisher GeneArt Gene Synthesis. Different variants of the plasmids were produced by Gibson assembly. Sequences of the V-C systems and plasmids generated are described in Table 3.1 and Table 3.2.

3.3.2 *In vivo* spacer acquisition assays

Adaptation assays were performed as previously described (Kieper et al., 2018; Levy et al., 2015) with modifications. Briefly, a single colony of E. coli BL21 (DE3) Star (QB3-Macrolab, UC Berkeley) or BL21-AI (Invitrogen) harboring various combinations of plasmids coding for type V-C systems was inoculated in LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics (34 µg/mL chloramphenicol, 50 µg/mL Kanamycin, and/or 60 µg/mL Spectinomycin depending on the constructs). Cultures were aerated at 37 °C overnight. Each overnight culture was diluted 1:1000 in LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics and inducers (anhydrotetracycline hydrochloride, IPTG, and/or Larabinose depending on the constructs and cell type) with inducer concentrations specified in each figure. These induced cultures were typically aerated at 37 °C for overnight unless otherwise specified in the figure. 400 µL of cells were harvested by centrifugation for 1 min at 13,000g and resuspended in 100 µL water. Samples were boiled at 98°C for 6 min and stored at -20°C until further analysis. Subsequently, 2-µL cell suspension (or 12.5 µL if cell culture was collected within 4 hours) was subjected to spacer detection PCR using primers described in Table 3.3 and Table 3.4., and PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Selected samples were SPRI bead-purified and sent to IGI Next-Generation Sequencing Core (UC Berkeley) for library prep and sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq. The plasmids used are described in Table
3.2 and Table 3.4.

3.3.3 Oligo protospacer electroporation

Oligo protospacer electroporation for adaptation assays was performed as previously described (Shipman et al., 2016) with modifications. Briefly, after inoculated cultures were grown with inducers with or without antibiotic selection (specified in each figure) at 37°C for 2 h, 1.5 mL of each culture was pelleted at 13,000*g* for 1.5 min at 4°C and re-suspended in 1 mL of ice-cold water. The pellet was washed twice more with ice-cold water, then pelleted a final time and re-suspended in 50 μ L of a 3.2- μ M solution of double-stranded oligonucleotides. Finally, the cell-oligo mixture was transferred to a 1mm gap cuvette and electroporated using a Bio-Rad MicroPulser Electroporator with Ec1 default settings. Only those conditions with an electroporation, cells were transferred into a culture tube containing 3 mL of LB and grown for an additional 2 h or more (specified in each figure). A list of IDT-synthesized oligo protospacers used can be found in Table 3.3 and Table 3.4.

3.4 Results

3.4.1 Native V-C CRISPR locus in a plasmid did not lead to spacer acquisition

To attempt to reconstitute type V-C adaptation *in vivo*, I first cloned the three native V-C loci (Figure 3.1A) each containing Cas1, Cas12c, and CRISPR array and surrounding native sequences into a low-copy-number plasmid without changing any sequence except for reducing the number of repeat:spacer pairs in the array to ~6 (Figure 3.1B). Liquid culture of *E. coli* containing a native V-C locus in a plasmid was placed in a shaker at 37°C for overnight with antibiotic selection (Figure 3.1B). Afterwards, PCR was performed across the miniature array using a PCR primer set annealing to the 5' and 3' flanking sequences of the array, and the amplification products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis to detect expanded arrays (Figure 3.1B). With this PCR strategy, the amplification products would contain both parental and expanded arrays. If spacer acquisition is reconstituted, a portion of the PCR product would be ~43 bp longer (for +1 new spacer), and I should observe a slower migration of expanded array on the agarose gel (Figure 3.1B).

In this experimental setup, the source of new spacers would derive from fragments of bacterial genome and plasmids. From experiments published on the *E. coli* type I-E CRISPR system under non-overexpressed conditions (Levy et al., 2015), I expected that with this PCR strategy and experimental setup, if there are expanded arrays, the events could be rare (~2% of the total lane volume). Additionally, for the nuclease-free orthologs, I hypothesized that acquiring self-spacers should not be detrimental to the host unless the spacer is from essential genes, which amount to only a small fraction of the genome (Gerdes et al., 2003; Martínez-Carranza et al., 2018). Therefore, I expected to observe expanded arrays in the DNase-free orthologs, VC-4 and V-C Osp, but not the DNase-active VC-6.

The result of the acquisition assay (Figure 3.1C) showed that there could be a faint band at expected +1 spacer position (+43 bp) on the agarose gel. Therefore, samples were sent for Next-Generation Sequencing. However, no expanded arrays were observed

after analyzing the sequencing data (Data not shown).

3.4.2 Codon-optimized V-C Cas1 and Cas12c induced at physiological expression levels did not lead to spacer acquisition

It was possible that the native sequences of the loci contained elements (such as promoter and ribosome binding site) that were too distant from *E. coli*, and *E. coli* was unable to express the protein or RNA required for adaptation. Therefore, I designed a two-plasmid expression system with the coding sequences for Cas1 and Cas12c being codon-optimized for *E. coli* (Figure 3.2). I aimed for physiological expression levels (not overexpression) for Cas 1 and Cas12c, which were under the control of synthetic promoters pLac and pTet, respectively (Figure 3.2). The tracrRNA was constitutively expressed from the same plasmid containing *Cas1* and *Cas12c*, while the CRIPSR array was under the control of another strong constitutive promoter on separate plasmid (Figure 3.2).

Agarose gel result showed that there were no expanded arrays (Figure 3.2) and that the shadows at expected +1 spacer (+43 bp) position existed even in uninduced conditions. Next-Generation Sequencing again confirmed the lack of new spacer acquisition under the conditions tested (Data not shown).

3.4.3 V-C Cas1 without Cas12c did not lead to spacer acquisition

To improve the assay setup, I used a minimal parental CRISPR array containing only one repeat:spacer sequence instead of the miniature array containing ~6 repeat:spacer pairs so that the expanded arrays, if existed, could be better observed when using agarose gel electrophoresis as the main detection method. In terms of experimental strategy, I tested if there was acquisition of new spacers occurring without the interference component, Cas12c. However, the results showed that after overnight incubation of the cultures with antibiotic selection, no expanded arrays were observed in agarose gel when there was no Cas12c encoded in the plasmids (Figure 3.3).

3.4.4 Overexpression of V-C proteins did not lead to spacer acquisition

Since overexpression of the *E. coli* type I-E CRISPR-Cas proteins Cas1 and Cas2 was shown to efficiently drive acquisition of new spacers (Levy et al., 2015; Shipman et al., 2016), I wondered if V-C Cas1 (and potentially Cas12c) should be overexpressed in order to observe adaptation *in vivo*. In this experiment, the expressions of Cas1 and Cas12c were under the control of separate T7 promoters on the same plasmid (Figure 3.4A). *E. coli* BL21-AI containing these inducible *Cas1* and *Cas12c* genes were induced for 2 hours before electroporating a 20-nt DNA duplex containing a native 18-nt spacer sequence and a TG PAM (Figure 3.4B). These 20-nt duplex oligos could serve as a source of new spacers, in addition to bacterial genome and plasmids. However, no expanded arrays were observed under the conditions tested (Figure 3.4C). Overexpression of Cas1 without Cas12c also did not result in expanded arrays under the conditions tested (Figure 3.5).

Although in type II-A systems, Cas9 with its tracrRNA is required for spacer acquisition (Heler et al., 2015), I explored the possibility that tracrRNA may be inhibitory for spacer acquisition in type V-C systems by performing the acquisition assay with Cas1 and Cas12c but without a tracrRNA (Figure 3.6A). Results from overnight expression

without antibiotic selection or when using the electroporation strategy without antibiotic selection suggest that these changes also did not allow for the reconstitution of type V-C spacer acquisition *in vivo* (Figure 3.6B and Figure 3.6C).



Figure 3.1 Acquisition assay with V-C loci in native DNA sequences

(A) Representation of the three V-C CRISPR-Cas systems with identified PAM for interference (Yan et al., 2019). Only two proteins, Cas1 and Cas12c, are encoded by these type V-C CRISPR loci. The status of DNase activity of each Cas12c effector was identified based on previous reports from Huang et al., 2022 and Kurihara et al., 2022. Osp = Oleiphilus sp. HI0009.

(B) Schematics of the experimental outline. The native sequence of each V-C locus was cloned into a single plasmid that was transformed into a heterologous *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) Star host. The miniature CRISPR array including ~6 repeat(R):spacer(S) sequences flanked by native neighboring sequences acted as the parental CRISPR array that could accept new spacer integration (blue rectangles in "Population PCR" section). After incubation at 37°C overnight with antibiotic selection, a PCR primer set annealing to the flanking sequences of the array were used to amplify the V-C arrays, and PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis to detect expanded arrays.

(C) Result of the acquisition assay described in Figure 3.1B. The amplicons of the parental arrays were 361 bp, 333 bp, and 328 bp for V-C (4), for V-C (6), and for V-C Osp,

respectively. Addition of 1 new spacer would add ~43 bp to the length of the original amplicon. Samples were SPRI bead-purified for Next-Generation Sequencing.



Figure 3.2 Acquisition assay with *E. coli*-codon-optimized V-C Cas1 and Cas12c induced at physiological expression level

Schematics of the experimental outline and outcomes. The coding sequences for Cas1 and Cas12c were *E. coli*-codon optimized and under the control of the isopropyl β -D-thiogalactoside (IPTG)-inducible Lac promoter and the anhydrotetracycline (aTc)-inducible Tet promoter, respectively. On the same plasmid, the expression of tracrRNA was under the control of a strong constitutive promoter, proD. The miniature CRISPR array, including 5' and 3' flanking native sequences, was constitutively expressed under the control of a J23119 promoter on a separate plasmid. DNA from overnight BL21(DE3) Star cultures containing these plasmids with appropriate antibiotics and various combinations of inducers was used as templates in PCR for analysis of spacer acquisition. Agarose gel electrophoresis result showed no difference between induced and uninduced samples. The amplicons of the parental arrays were 361 bp, 333 bp, and 328 bp for V-C (4), for V-C (6), and for V-C Osp, respectively. Addition of 1 new spacer would add ~43 bp to the length of the original amplicon.



Figure 3.3 Acquisition assay with V-C Cas1 only

Schematics of the experimental outline and outcomes. The coding sequences for Cas1

orthologs were *E. coli*-codon optimized and were under the control of a Lac promoter. The minimal CRISPR array including only one repeat and spacer (flanked by native neighboring sequences) was constitutively expressed under the control of a J23119 promoter on a separate plasmid. DNA from overnight BL21(DE3) Star cultures containing these plasmids with appropriate antibiotics and 0.1 mM IPTG was used as templates in PCR for analysis of spacer acquisition. Agarose gel electrophoresis result showed no difference between induced and uninduced samples. The amplicons of the parental arrays were 159 bp, 137 bp, and 125 bp for V-C (4), for V-C (6), and for V-C Osp, respectively. Addition of 1 new spacer would add ~43 bp to the length of the original amplicon.



2.5% agarose

Figure 3.4 Acquisition assay with overexpressed V-C Cas1 and Cas12c

(A) The coding sequences for Cas1 and Cas12c were *E. coli*-codon optimized and cloned into pCDFDuet-1, under the control of separate T7 promoters. On the second plasmid, the tracrRNA and the minimal CRISPR array were constitutively expressed in one single

transcript under the control of a J23119 promoter.

(B) After BL21-AI cultures containing the plasmids described in Figure 3.4A were grown with 0.2% L-arabinose and 1 mM IPTG in the presence of appropriate antibiotics at 37°C for 2 h, cells were electroporated in solution containing double-stranded oligonucleotides. After electroporation, cells were grown for an additional 2 h in LB only. DNA from these samples collected at 2 h and 4 h was used as templates in PCR for analysis of spacer acquisition.

(C) Agarose gel electrophoresis result showed no difference between induced and uninduced samples at any time point, with or without oligo protospacer electroporated. The amplicons of the parental arrays were 159 bp, 137 bp, and 125 bp for V-C (4), for V-C (6), and for V-C Osp, respectively. Addition of 1 new spacer would add ~43 bp to the length of the original amplicon.





(A) The coding sequences for Cas1 orthologs were *E. coli*-codon optimized and under the control of a T7 promoter. On the second plasmid, the minimal CRISPR array was constitutively expressed under the control of a J23119 promoter.

(B) After BL21-AI cultures containing the plasmids described in Figure 3.5A were grown with 0.2% L-arabinose and 1 mM IPTG in the presence of appropriate antibiotics at 37°C

for 2 h, cells were electroporated in solution containing double-stranded oligonucleotides. After electroporation, cells were grown for an additional 2 h in LB only. DNA from these samples collected at 4 h was used as templates in PCR for analysis of spacer acquisition. (C) Agarose gel electrophoresis result showed no difference between induced and uninduced samples at any time point, with or without oligo protospacer electroporated. The amplicons of the parental arrays were 159 bp, 137 bp, and 125 bp for V-C (4), for V-C (6), and for V-C Osp, respectively. Addition of 1 new spacer would add ~43 bp to the length of the original amplicon.



Figure 3.6 Acquisition assay with overexpressed V-C Cas1 and Cas12c without tracrRNA

(A) The coding sequences for Cas1 and Cas12c were *E. coli*-codon optimized and cloned into pCDFDuet-1, under the control of separate T7 promoters. On the second plasmid, the minimal CRISPR array was constitutively expressed under the control of a J23119 promoter.

(B) DNA from overnight BL21-AI cultures containing these plasmids described in Figure 3.6A with 0.2% L-arabinose and 1 mM IPTG (but no antibiotic selection) was used as templates in PCR for analysis of spacer acquisition. The result showed no difference between induced and uninduced samples.

(C) After BL21-Al cultures containing these plasmids described in Figure 3.6A were grown with 0.2% L-arabinose and 1 mM IPTG (in the absence of antibiotics) at 37°C for 2 h, cells were electroporated in solution containing double-stranded oligonucleotides. After electroporation, cells were grown for an additional 2 h or 14 h in LB only. DNA from these samples was used as templates in PCR for analysis of spacer acquisition. The results showed no difference between induced and uninduced samples at any time point, with or without oligo protospacer electroporated. The amplicons of the parental arrays were 159 bp, 137 bp, and 125 bp for V-C (4), for V-C (6), and for V-C Osp, respectively. Addition of 1 new spacer would add ~43 bp to the length of the original amplicon.

3.1A: Amino acid sequences of type V-C Cas1 and Cas12c			
Protein	Amino Acid Sequence	Length (AA)	
Cas1 (VC-4)	MEISQFQHPDSIWTWKNIRGGRAALWIPYLNSIEPIQKSKAR YKFVYKGGEVVCALKDIDFIMIYGGDAALSVGFLDDIGTHGV VLAIHRRNMPRPLYLLPHTESDDTDILSAQILVRENLTRRCYV	336	
	ARTIAQRIASESWRIPIPGAVEKKLREARTLKSIRSIEAEWTK RYWDAFYSNLSIDTTRRETHQVNSALDAGSKFLSGILLRWA LFHKLSPTHGFLHEQTTYISLIYDLIEPYRIWIERAVDMAFQQ		
	LALRSYLAKETARLVIPVEGERIGGRPVKAGFAIPGAKK*		
Cas12c_4	MTKHSIPLHAFRNSGADARKWKGRIALLAKRGKETMRTLQF PLEMSEPEAAAINTTPFAVAYNAIEGTGKGTLFDYWAKLHLA GFRFFPSGGAATIFRQQAVFEDASWNAAFCQQSGKDWPW LVPSKLYERFTKAPREVAKKDGSKKSIEFTQENVANESHVSL VGASITDKTPEDQKEFFLKMAGALAEKFDSWKSANEDRIVA MKVIDEFLKSEGLHLPSLENIAVKCSVETKPDNATVAWHDAP MSGVQNLAIGVFATCASRIDNIYDLNGGKLSKLIQESATTPN VTALSWLFGKGLEYFRTTDIDTIMQDFNIPASAKESIKPLVES AQAIPTMTVLGKKNYAPFRPNFGGKIDSWIANYASRLMLLN DILEQIEPGFELPQALLDNETLMSGIDMTGDELKELIEAVYAW VDAAKQGLATLLGRGGNVDDAVQTFEQFSAMMDTLNGTLN TISARYVRAVEMAGKDEARLEKLIECKFDIPKWCKSVPKLVG ISGGLPKVEEEIKVMNAAFMDVRARMFVRFEEIAAYVASKG AGMDVYDALEKRELEQLKKLKSAVPERAHIQAYRAVLHRIG RAVQNCSEKTKQLFSSKVIEMGVFKNPSHLNNFIFNQKGAIY RSPFDRSRHAPYQLHADKLLKNDWMELLAEISTTLMASEST EQMEDALRLERTRLQLQLSGLPDWEYPASLAKPDIEVEIQT ALKMQLAKDTVTSDVLQRTFNLYSSVLSGLTFKLLRRSFSLK MRFSVADTTQLIYVPKDCDWAIPKQYLQAEGEIGIAARVVTE SSPAKMVTEVEMKEPKALGHFMQQAPHDWYFDASLGGTQ VAGRIVEKGKEVGKERKLVGYRMRGNSAYKTVLDKSLVGN TELSQCSMIIEIPYTQTVDADFRAQVQAGLPKVSINLPVKETI TASNKDEQMLFDRFVAIDLGERGLGYAVFDAKTLELQESGH RPIKAITNLLNRTHHYEQRPNQRQKFQAKFNVNLSELRENT VGDVCHQINRICAYYNAFPVLEYMVPDRLDKQLKSVYESVT NRYIWSSTDAHKSARVQFWLGGETWEHPYLKSAKDKKPLV LSPGRGASGKGTSQTCSCCGRNPFDLIKDMKPRAKIAVVD GKAKLENSELKLFERNRESKDDMLARRHRNERAGMEQPLT	1218	
	PGNYTVDEIKALLRANLRRAPKNRRTKDTTVSEYHCVFSDC GKTMHADENAAVNIGGKFIADIEK*		
Cas1 (VC-6)	MDQGNQTIENQTINCDQHPDFLWTWKSNKRGSRVSVWLP YFSQAKKIPRSKKWSVAYNGGSIEFDLKETDLIMFYGATGEL PLEFLDDASKNGVMILIHRRNVLQPYVFYPSVIGDEEDILTKQ IQFRTNERKRLYIAKTLIKKRLENMGSTIPISAPLLRQLSAAKS IDEVRAIEANTTARYWNKWYENLNIETTRRKDHPINSALDAG SKFIYGVILRWLVFHRFSPNHGFMHQPTSYPSLVYDLMEPF RYMIENVCSAAWKRGERENSKIVALSLSFLKEELDKPCYVP ATRQYVRKKNLLHGAVLALRSYLIGDMRKLVFPSEGVPNGG RPIKASYKLPGSMYDVGRKPPEIKQKDEICFDEVSQEESEE*	374	

Cas12c 6		1302
Cas120_0		1302
	AELLDSMLASYGPFDSVCKMIGDSDSRNSLPDKSTIAFTNN	
	AEITVDIESSVMPYMAIAALLREYRQSKSKAAPVAYVQSHLT	
	TTNGNGLSWFFKFGLDLIRKAPVSSKQSTSDGSKSLQELFS	
	VPDDKLDGLKFIKEACEALPEASLLCGEKGELLGYQDFRTSF	
	AGHIDSWVANYVNRLFELIELVNQLPESIKLPSILTQKNHNLV	
	ASLGLQEAEVSHSLELFEGLVKNVRQTLKKLAGIDISSSPNE	
	QDIKEFYAFSDVLNRLGSIRNQIENAVQTAKKDKIDLESAIEW	
	KEWKKLKKLPKLNGLGGGVPKQQELLDKALESVKQIRHYQR	
	IDFERVIQWAVNEHCLETVPKFLVDAEKKKINKESSTDFAAK	
	ENAVRFLLEGIGAAARGKTDSVSKAAYNWFVVNNFLAKKDL	
	TEYKEISKEIEKENIESOEEOTEI HI ENI RMKI I I RRIOKPIPA	
	LNGNUU RRSRSVI RAKESWI/GNSKI IVAAKEARI WKIPNA	
	STYRKKKQRLQNFKQNYDSTAFIMRENVTGDVCAKIVGLMK	
	EFNAFPVLEYDVKNLESGSRQLSAVYKAVNSHFLYFKEPGR	
	DALRKQLWYGGDSWTIDGIEIVTRERKEDGKEGVEKIVPLKV	
	FPGRSVSARFTSKTCSCCGRNVFDWLFTEKKAKTNKKFNV	
	NSKGELTTADGVIQLFEADRSKGPKFYARRKERTPLTKPIAK	
	GSYSLEEIERRVRTNLRRAPKSKQSRDTSQSQYFCVYKDCA	
	LHFSGMQADENAAINIGRRFLTALRKNRRSDFPSNVKISDRL	
	LDN*	
Cas1 (VC-Osp)	MNDENKTKSHPNSIWYWCSGEKLWYLPYSGTPKRTSKSV	338
	WTVSGSFGQLDIDLYKTSHILFYGANETTGDLPLLFLSDCAK	
	ASREYWRI YEKKI GI EGI GRRDDH\/\/\/KAI DATSHEI SGIM	
0010		4050
OspCas12c		1252
	GQVIEFRKAFSAYARAIKGEMIDGRKNMFIHSFEPFKIKP	
	SLHQCELADKAYQSLHSYLPGSLAHFLLSAHALGFRIFSKSG	
	EATAFQASSKIEAYESKLASELACVDLSIQNLTISTLFNALTTS	
	VRGKGEETSADPLIARFYTLLTGKPLSRDTQGPERDLAEVIS	
	RKIASSFGTWKEMTANPLQSLQFFEEELHALDANVSLSPAF	
	DVLIKMNDLQGDLKNRTIVFDPDAPVFEYNAEDPADIIIKLTA	
	RYAKEAVIKNQNVGNYVKNAITTTNANGLGWLLNKGLSLLP	
	VSTDDELLEFIGVERSHPSCHALIELIAQLEAPELFEKNVFSD	

TRSEVQGMIDSAVSNHIARLSSSRNSLSMDSEELERLIKSFQ	
IHTPHCSLFIGAQSLSQQLESLPEALQSGVNSADILLGSTQY	
MLTNSLVEESIATYQRTLNRINYLSGVAGQINGAIKRKAIDGE	
KIHLPAAWSELISLPFIGQPVIDVESDLAHLKNQYQTLSNEFD	
TLISALQKNFDLNFNKALLNRTQHFEAMCRSTKKNALSKPEI	
VSYRDLLARLTSCLYRGSLVLRRAGIEVLKKHKIFESNSELR	
EHVHERKHFVFVSPLDRKAKKLLRLTDSRPDLLHVIDEILQH	
DNLENKDRESLWLVRSGYLLAGLPDQLSSSFINLPIITQKGD	
RRLIDLIQYDQINRDAFVMLVTSAFKSNLSGLQYRANKQSFV	
VTRTLSPYLGSKLVYVPKDKDWLVPSQMFEGRFADILQSDY	
MVWKDAGRLCVIDTAKHLSNIKKSVFSSEEVLAFLRELPHRT	
FIQTEVRGLGVNVDGIAFNNGDIPSLKTFSNCVQVKVSRTNT	
SLVQTLNRWFEGGKVSPPSIQFERAYYKKDDQIHEDAAKRK	
IRFQMPATELVHASDDAGWTPSYLLGIDPGEYGMGLSLVSI	
NNGEVLDSGFIHINSLINFASKKSNHQTKVVPRQQYKSPYAN	
YLEQSKDSAAGDIAHILDRLIYKLNALPVFEALSGNSQSAAD	
QVWTKVLSFYTWGDNDAQNSIRKQHWFGASHWDIKGMLR	
QPPTEKKPKPYIAFPGSQVSSYGNSQRCSCCGRNPIEQLRE	
MAKDTSIKELKIRNSEIQLFDGTIKLFNPDPSTVIERRRHNLG	
PSRIPVADRTFKNISPSSLEFKELITIVSRSIRHSPEFIAKKRGI	
GSEYFCAYSDCNSSLNSEANAAANVAQKFQKQLFFEL*	

3.1B: tracrRNA sequences of type V-C systems		
System	tracrRNA sequence	Length (nt)
VC-4	AUACCACCCGUGCAUUUCUGGAUCAAUGAUCCGUACCU CAAUGUCCGGGCGCGCAGCUAGAGCGACCUGAAAUCU	75
VC-6	AGAAACUCCCGACUUCCUCAGGAUGAAGUUGAUUCUUU AUCCAUACCUUGGUGCCGGGACGCCGAUUGAGGAAUG GGCGGCGCCUUCCAAAUUCUCAUUCCUCACU	106
VC-Osp	UCAUCGUUGGUAUGGCUGUGUUCUUCUGAACGCACAUC AUUCUCUUAGAGACGUCUACAUACCAACCCACGAUGGG GAGCGUGGACAACAAAAUCUCCCCACU	103

3.1C: Repeat sequences of type V-C systems		
System	Repeat sequence	Length
VC-4	AGCAGGAUUCAGGUUGGGUUUGAGG	25
VC-6	CCCAUUAUUGGAAGGGUUUAUAAGG	25
VC-Osp	AGAAUUACUGAUGUUGUGAUGAAGGC	26

Table 3.1 Summary of amino acid and guide RNA sequences of type V-C CRISPR-Cas systems used in this study, Related to Methods

Plasmid	Plasmid sequence
ID/Name	
ID/Name	ATTACATCCGACAAACCAAGCTGCAAGGAGGACTTTGGCAGGTGcticotgagtaggacaaactogcocycotagacctagggtacgggtaggtaggtcocygt acggogtttraggtgtagtaggtgtacggtaggtaggtgaggtgcaggtaggt
	CAATCTGCTCCAGAATGTCGTTCAGCAACATCAGGCGGGAAGCGTAGTTCGCGATCCATGAGTCGATTTTCCCGCCAAAGTTCGGA
	CGGAACGGCGCGCGTGTGTTCTTCCTCCCGAGCACAGTCATGGTCGGGGATAGCCTGCGCGCGC
	GAGAGTGCTGTGACGTTCGGGGGTTGTGGCGCTTTCCTGGATGAGCTTGGACAGTTTTCCACCATTCAAATCGTAGATGTTGTCGAT TCTGGATGCACAGGTTGCAAAAACCCCCGATGGCGAGATTCTGCACGCCACTCATCGGAGCGTCGTGCCATGCCACGGTCGCATTA
	TCAGGTTTCGTTTCCACAGAGCATTTGACTGCTATGTTTTCCAAGGAAGG

	ACCTTCATGGCGACGATGCGATCTTCATTGGCAGACTTCCATGAGTCGAACTTCTCTGCCAGTGCGCCGGCCATCTTCAGGAAGAA TTCTTTCTGGTCTTCTGGTGTCTTGTCCGTGATGGATGCGCCCACCAGCGATACATGACTTTCGTTTGCCACGTTTTCTTGCGACGAAGAA TTCGATGCTCTTTTGCTGCCGCGTCTTTCTTGGCCACCTCCCGGCGCCTTGGTGAAGCGCCTCATAGAGTTTGCTTGGGACGAGCC ACGGCCAATCTTTACCTGATTGCTGGCAGAACGCTGCATTCCAGCTGCGCACGCTCGCATACCGCCGCGCGCG
pCJH100_SC 101_Native_ VC-6_Kan	ArtAn TRAAGGTCAAGGGGCGCTGCAAGGAGCTTTGGCAGGTCatclctgaptgocnantcogcopcctapactagggtbcaggagctgargggtcorg ancgggdgttrggigttgleagttgltglatagatagcgttaggtbcaggtbacggtbacggtbacggtbactggtbactlcaggtbaccagtbactaggtbactaggtbacagtbactgtbactgtbaccgtbacagt

	CTTATCTGCGAGCTAAATTTAGTTGGGTGGGTAATAGTAAACTTATCTATGCGGCAAAAGAAGCTAGATTATGGAAAATTCCCAATG CATATTGGAAATCGGACGAATGGAAGATGATCCTAGATTCTAATGTGCTGGTGTTTGATAAAGCCGGCAATGTTCTTCCGGCACCG ACCCTGAAAAAGGTGTGTGAACGTGGACAGGTGATCCTAGGGCTTTTCTAATGTCGTGCTGGTGTTTGATAAAGCCGGCAATGTTCTTCCGGGCACCG ACCCTGAAAAAGGTGTGTGAACGTGGGCAGAGAAAAAAACGTCTGAGGCTTTTCAACAAGAAGGGGAACCTAAAGTTGCTTCGGGTTTGCCGCACAGA AATCCCTTTGTGCAAGAGCGGGCACAGAAAAAAACGTCCATGAAGTTGAAGTGAAGGGGAACCTAAAGTTGCTTCGGCTTTACC GGGATCTTTGTCCGACTGACGGCACAGGAAAAAAACGTCCAGGAAGGTCAGAAAGTGGAGGGCCCCATTGGAATACGATCATGTACCAGGA ATCCCCGATTAGGTCAGGACAGGA
pCJH101_SC 101_Native_ VC-Osp_Kan	AACACCTGCTGCAAGAAGACTTTGGCAAGTGCtctcgagtaggacaastc:gocgcctaggactaggattgcggttaggtgttgtgtgtgtgt

	ATCTTACGCGAGGATAACTTCTGCTAAATCACGTTCAGGGCCTTGAGTGTCTCGAGACAGAGGCTTGCCAGTAAGTA
pCJH105_p1 5A_proD- tracrRNA(4)+ pTET- Cas12c(4)+p Lac- Cas1(4)_CA M	GGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAGTTAACACGgtgtcagtatttgttatcogtcacaatgttaatggtatattgttatcogtcacaatgtcattgtaatcctaagcocgaagcatagggggatgggggggggg
	GTAAAGTTATCGAAATGGGAGTGTTCAAGAATCCCTCACATCTGAATAACTTTATTTTTAATCAAAAGGGTGCGATTTATCGTAGTCC CTTCGACCGCTCGCGCCACGCACCTTATCAGCTTCACGCAGACAAGCTGTTGAAGAACGACTGGATGGA

	GTACCACGCTTATGGCAAGCGAATCCACCGAGCAGATGGAGGACGCCTTACGTCTGGAACGTACACGTTTGCAGTTGCAATTGCA GGATTGCCAGATTGGGAGTATCCACCTTCGCTGGCCAAGCCTGATATTGAAGTAGAAATTCAAACCGCATTGAAAATGCAATTAGCT AAGGACACGATTATTCGACGTCTTCACGCGCTTCAACTTGATTTCTAGCGTCTTAAGTGGCCTTACGTTCAAGTTGCAGT CGCAGCTTCAGCCTTAAATGCGCTTCTCCGTGGCTGATACCACGCAGCTTATTTAACGTGCCTACGCAAAGGATTGCGATTGGGCCATCCC TAAGCAACAAGCCGAAAGCCGAAAGCCTTGGCCCATTTTACGCGCCCCGCCCACCGCAAGGATACCGCACAAGATTGGCATTCCAGGCAAAGC GTAGAAATGAAGGAACCGAAAGCTTTGGGCGAACTGCACGCCCCACCGCACGCA
	GCCATGCGTACCGATGTCGTCCAAAAAACCCAACGGAAAGGGCAGCGTCACCTCCATAAATCATAATGAAATCGATGTCTTTCAGGG CGCACACCACTTCACCGCCTTTATAAACGAACTTGTAACGCGCCTTAGACTTTTGGATTGGCTCAATGGAATTCAAATAAGGGATCC AAAGCGCTGCACGACCACCACGAATATTCTTCCAAGTCCAGATACTGTCTGGATGTTGGAATTGACTGATTTCCAT
pCJH106_p1 5A_proD- tracrRNA(6)+ pTET- Cas12c(6)+p Lac- Cas1(6)_CA M	GGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAGTTAACACGgigcicagialcitigtialcogcicacaalgicaaltigtialcogcicacaalticlogatciclaagocigalcocagiggigciggigciggigciggigciggiggiggiggigg

	CTGCAGGAACTTTCTCAGTACCCGACGACGATAAGCTGGATGGTCTTAAATTCATTAAGGAGGCCTGTGGAAGGCGTGC ATTGTTGGCGGGAAAAAGGTGTAGCTGTAGGATAGCCAAGACTTTCGTGCGGCAGTAGTAGTCGCTGGCGCGAAGAAGCCCAGAGGTTGTTGGCGGGGCTGAGTAGCTAGC
pCJH107_p1 5A_proD- OsptracrRNA +pTET- OspCas12c+ pLac- OspCas1_CA M	GGTATATCTCCTTCTAAAGTTAACACGgtgctcagtalcttgttatccgctcacaatgtcaattgttatccgctcacaattctgadccttacgccggagcacatcgtgggcgggagcggtgcgggggggg

	titagogatigatigatigatigagogigacagagigatigatigagogigagigatigat
pCJH112_SC 101_J23119_ VC- 4MinNatArray _Kan	TTCCGGATATGCTTGGGCCCGAACAAAACTCatctcagaagaggatctgaatagccgtgaacatcatcatcatcatcattgagtttaaacgtctccagttgggtgtt tggcggatgagagaagattttcagcctgatacagattaaatcagaacgcagaagcggtcgataaacagaattgcctgcggcgcagtagcgcggtggtcccacctgacccatgccgaactc agaagtgaaacgccgtagcgccgatggtagtgtggggtcgcccatgcgagagtagggatcggatggcactgccaggcatcaaataaacgaaaggctcagtcgaagagcgggttttgtgtggtgacgctctcctgagtaggacaatcgccgccagacctaggtacgggtttggtgcccaacgggcgttcggtgtgtgaaggctcagtggagggctcggcagtaggagggtcggtagggtttggtggcggcaggggtggtcggatggggtttggtgtgtgt

	agcagttcctgccctctgattttccagtcgacacttcggattatcccgtgacaggtcattcagactggctatatgcacccagtaaggcagggtatcatcacaagggttaccgtcatgtcgtcatatgtggttgtggttgtacaccaggaggttatcgaaggcggggcaccggagggggggg
pCJH113_SC 101_J23119_ VC- 6MinNatArray _Kan	GCCAGCAATGCTTGGGCCCGAACAAAACTCatctagaagaggattgaatagcgcgtgacatcatcatcatcatcattagtgtttaaacgcttgagttgggtgtttatagggggagaagagtttgaatagcggtggagagaagagtttgaatagcggtggggggagggggggg
pCJH114_SC 101_J23119_ VC- OspMinNatAr ray_Kan	GAAGACCTATGCTTGGGCCCGAACAAAAACTCatctagaagaggattgaatgcgcgtggaccatcatcatcatcatcatgagttaaagctccagttgggtgt tggcggatgagagaagattttcagccgatacagattaaatcagaacgcagaagcggtggatgga

	alccgagtacgtgctcgctcgatgcgatgtttcgcttggtggtcgaatgggcaggtagccggatcaagcgtatgcagccgcgcattgcatcagccatggtgatactttctcggcaggagcaag gtgagatgacaggagatcctgccccggcattgcccaatagcagccagtccttcccgcttcagtgacaacgtggacaagccggcgcaaggagacccgtggtgacaggagagccggtgccagcgggggcacagctgtgtgtg
pCJH120_p1 5A_pLac- Cas1(4)_CA M	GGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAGTTAACCACGgtgctcagtalctigtlatccgclcacatigtcaattigtaattcggclcacattcgggctcattcgggctcattcgggctgcgcattcggggcgggggggg
pCJH121_p1 5A_pLac- Cas1(6)_CA M	GGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAAGTTAACACGgtgctcagtatcttgttatccgctcacaatgtcaattgttatccgctcacaattctcgatcctctacggccgcaggacgcatcgtggccggtat caccggcgcacacgtgoggtgctggcgcattatcgcggacatcaccgatggggaggagtggctgcacatggggcggtgttccaatgggcggtgttccaatggagggtggtgcatagggggggg

r	
	geogagigaageogaalalalaceagigaageogleeda teee first in Geogaa Gacaacaa in the Geogaa Gacaacaacaa in the Geogaa Gacaacaacaacaa in the Geogaa Gacaacaacaa in the Geogaa Gacaacaacaa in the Geogaa Gacaacaacaacaa in the Geogaa Gacaacaacaacaa in the Geogaa Gacaacaacaacaa in the Geogaa Gacaacaacaacaa in the Geogaa Gacaacaacaacaacaacaacaacaacaacaacaacaaca
pCJH122_p1 5A_pLac- OspCas1_CA M	GGTATATCTCCTTCTAAAGTTAACACGgtgcteagtatcttgttatcgctcacaatgtcaattgttattcggtcacaattgtgatgcgtcactataggcgggggggg
pCJH123_SC 101_J23119_ VC- 4MinArray_K an	TTGACAGCTAGCTCAGTCCTAGGTATAATACTAGTATATCCTTCAAAGCACAAACAA

	gcaccclcgcaagclcgggcaaalcgctggalatticcttttgtclcgaccatcaggcacclgaglcgctglctttttgtglgacattcagtlcgctgcgctcacggcclctggcgggalaaa tggcactacaggcgccttttatggattcatgcaaggaactacccataatacaagaaaagccggtcacgggcttctcagggcgtttatgggggltactatgggtgtcatctgacgtttttgggggctaccggtggggltaca agcagttctgcccclctgatttccagtctgaccacttcggattatcccgtgacagggcattcagatggcatggcgatggggggtattcagggggtatcacagggggttaccagggggtaccacgggggltaccattgggggtaccacggggggtaccacggggggatgggggaaaggggcatccagggggggg
pCJH124_SC 101_J23119_ VC- 6MinArray_K an	TTGACAGCTAGGTCAGGTCAGGTATAATACTAGTTGATTGTTTGATTTTCGATTGTTTGATTTCCCTGGTCCATATTTATT
pCJH125_SC 101_J23119_ VC- OspMinArray _Kan	TTGACAGCTAGCTCAGTCCTAGGTATAATACTAGTGTTGGCCAAAAGAACCAGAAACCGTCCAAACAGATTTAGATGTTCTTTAGG TGTCCCAGAGTAAGCCATAGCTATGTATCACCATGGTTTCCACCAGAACACCCAATACCAAATTGAATTTGGTGGCGTTTTTGTTTTATTTTCGTCA TTCATATCCAATACTTGTATCACCATAGTATCACCATGGCATGGACACCCAATACCAAATTGAATTGGGTGTGTGATGAAGGCCT AACCGTGCAAGTGGCAGAATAGCGAAAGCTGTGATGAACGGTTTTGTATGGCGCCGAACAAAAACTCattcagaagaggattgaatagcg cgtgcaccatactactactatacta

	ggcaattccgacgtctaagaaaccattattatcatgacattaacctataaaaataggcgtatcacgaggccctttggAATTC
pCJH134_pC DFDuet_Cas 12c- 4_Cas1(VC- 4)_Spec	
	TTCGCAGAGGATTTGTTAGCTAAACACGCGGTTGCTCTTGAAGTGTGCGCCAAAGTCCGGCTAGACGGGAAGGACAGATTTGGTAG GCTGTGCTCTGCGAAAGCCAGGTTACCACGGGTTAAGCAGGTCCCCCAACTGACTTAACCTCCGATCAAACCACCTCCCCAGGTGGTTT
	TTCGTTTACAGGGCAAAAGATTACGCGCAGAAAAAAAGGATCTCAAGAAGATCCTTTGATCTTTTCTACTGAACCGCTCTAGATTTC AGTGCAATTTATCTCTTCAAATGTAGCACCTGAAGTCAGCCCCCCATACGATATAAGTTGTAATTCTCATGTTAGTCATGCCCCGCGCC CACCGGAAGGAGCTGACTGGGTTGAAGGCTCTCAAAGGGCATCGGTCCGTCC

r	
	TIGCGTIGCGCTCACTGCCCGCCTTICCAGGGTGGTTTTGCGGGAAACCTGTGCGGCCACGGCCAACGCGCTGCCACGGCCACGGCCACGGCGGGGGGAAGG GGTTTGCGTATTGGCCGCCCAGGGTGGTTTTGCCCCAGGAGGCGAAAATCCTGTTGATGGTGGTTACCGCCGCGGGATATAACAT GAGCTGTCTTCGGTATCGTCGTATCCCACTACCGAGATGTCCGCACCAACGCGGCAACGCGGATGCGCTTAACGGCGCGCATTGCCC CCAGCGCCATCGATCGTTGGCAACCAGCATCGCAGGTGGGAACGGTGCCCTCATTCAGCGTTGGTAACGGCGCGCATTGGCG CCAGCGCCATCGGTCGTCCGCTTCCGCTATCGGCGGAACGGTGGCACCAACGCGGCAGCCGGGATCGGTTGTGAAAACCGGAC CGCCGAGACAGAACTTAATGGGCCCGCTAACAGCGCGGATTGGTGGTGACCCAATGCGACCAGGCAGCGCCAGCCCAGCGCCAGCGCCGGCAGCCGGACGGCCGGCCCAGTCGGCTA CGCCTTCATGGGAGAAAATAATACTGTTGATGGGTGTCTGGTGAGCACTCAAGAATACGCCGGAACATTAGTGCAGCGC GCCTTTCATGGGAGAAAATAATACTGTTGATGGGTGTCTGGTCAGCACTCAAGAAATAACGCCGGAACATTAGTGCAGCGC GCTTTACAGGCATCCGGCCTCGGTCATCCAGCGGATAGTTAATGATCAGCCCACTGACGCGTTGCGCGAGAAGATTAATCGCCGC GCTTTACAGGCTTCGACGCCGCTTCGTCTCACAGCGACACCACCACGCCAGGCACCCAGGGCGGGAAGATTAATCGCCG CGACAATTTGCGACGCGCGTGCGAGGGCCAGACTGGAGGTGGCAACCCACCACGACAGCACGGTTGGCAGAACGTGGCTGGC
pCJH135_pC	GGGGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTCCCCTGTAGAAATAATTTTGTTTAACTTTAATAAGGAGATATACCATGCAAACGAAAAAGAC CCATCTGCATCTGATCTCGGCAAAAGCGAGTCGCAAGTACCGTCGTACGATTGCCTTAGTGATACTGCAAAAAAAGATCTGG
pCJH135_pC DFDuet_Cas 12c- 6_Cas1(VC- 6)_Spec	GegGaATTG1AcGCGGATAACAATCCCCTTAGAAATAATTTTTTTTTAACTTTAATAAGGAGATATACCATGCAAAAAAGAC CCATCTGCATCTGATCCGGGAAAACCGGGATCCTGCGCAAGATTGCGTGCTGATAGCTTGATACTGCAAAAAAGATCGG GAGGTAAGCAGTTCAGGGTTCACGGGGAAAACCGAGGATCAGTATTAATGCACTGGAAACACTTGGCTAAAAAGATCTGG GGTCTAAGCTTCCATGCTGCGGCATTCCCGCAACAATTGCACTGGCAAAACCGTTGCAAAACGATTGCAAAGGGTCACTGCTTCACCGTTGCAAAGGAAGG
	AAAAGGATGAGATCTGTTTCGATGAGGTGAGGCGGGGCAGGAAGAATCCGAAGAATGATTAACCTAGGCTGCTGCCACCGCTGAGCAATAA CTAGCATAACCCCTTGGGGCCTCTAAACGGGTCTTGAGGGGGTTTTTTGCTGAAACCTCAGGCATTTGAGAAGCACACGGGTCACACT
	TGGACAAATTCTTCCAACTGATCTGCGCGCGCGAGGCCAAGCGATCTTCTTCTTGTCCAAGATAAGCCTGTCTAAGCTTCAAGTATGACG
	CAATGCGGGACAACGTAAGCACTACATTTCGCTCATCGCCAGCCCAGTCGGCGGCGGCGGCGACTTCCATAGCGTTAAGGTTTCATTTAG CGCCTCAAATAGATCCTGTTCAGGAACCGCAGTCAAAGAGTTCCTCCGCCGGCGGCGGCGACGTACCAAAGGCTACCTTTCCTT

	THGTCAGCAAGATAGCCAGATCAATGTCGATCGTGGCTGGCT
pCJH136_pC DFDuet_Osp Cas12c_Cas 1(OspVC)_S pec	GGGGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTCCCCTGTAGAAATAATTTTGTTTAACTTAATAAGGAGATATACCATGACCAAGGCTGCGTCA TCGTCAGAAGAAGCGGACAACTGATTGCGGCGAGTTCCGAAGAAACGGCGAGTTCCTGGATCCAATGGTAAGTGCAAAGTGCCAATGGTAACGCAA TGGTCGCAAAAATATGTTTACGCACAGCTGCAAAGATCGCAACGAACCTTCTGCGCCTAACGCCGGTCGCTACAAGGGAAGTGACGGA TCAATCTTAACATAGCTACCTGCCGGGTAGTCTGGCGCACTTTTACTTCCAGCGGATCACCAGGTCGCGAGCCTTGCAAGAAGAAGAAGCGCTGCAAAGGTCGAAGCGAAAGTAGCACCACGGGCTTGCAACGCGTGCAAAGGCGAAAGCACCACGAGGCTTGCCGACGCAGCCGTTGCTTGC

	GAAATTECTTTCCTGTAACGTTGAAGTACGTACGTTGAAGCACTGCGCCCCCCCC
pCJH140_SC 101_J23119_ tracrRNA(4)_ VC- 4MinArray_K an	TTGACAGCTAGGTCAGTCCAGGTCTAGGTATAATACTAGTGGATACCACCCGTGCATTTCTGGATCAATGATCCGTACCTCAATGTCCGGGC GCGCAGCTAGAGCGACCTGAAATCTATATCCTTATATCCTTCAAAGCACCAACCA

pCJH141_SC 101_J23119_ tracrRNA(6)_ VC- 6MinArray_K an	TTGACAGCTAGCTCAGGTCAGGTATAATACTAGTGGAGAAACTCCCCGACTTCCTCAGGATGAAGTTGATTCTTTATCCATACCTTG GTGCCGGACGCCGATTGAGGAATGGGCGGCGCCTTCCAAATTCTCATTCCTCATTGATTG
pCJH142_SC 101_J23119_ tracrRNA(Os pVC)_VC- OspMinArray _Kan	TTGACAGCTAGCTCAGTCCTAGGTATAATACTAGTGGTCATCGTTGGTATGGCTGTGTTCTTCTGAACGCACATCATTCTTTAGAG ACGTCTACATACCAACCCACCACAGGGGAGCGTGGACAACAAAATCCCCCACTGTTGGCCAAAAGAACCGGACAACAA GATTTAGATGTTCTTTTAGGTGTCCCAGAGTAAGGCAAATACCATAGTTTCTCCCCACTGTTGGCCAAAAGAACCGGACAACAA GATTTAGATGTTCTTTTAGGGTGCCCAAAGGGAAATACCATAGTTTCTCCCCACTGTTGGCCAAAAGAACCGGACAAACA GATTTAGATGTTCATTCATTCCAATACTTGATACCATATAACTACCATAGTTTCCACCAGAACCAAATACCAAATTGAATTGGGTGG CTTTTTGTTTTG
pCJH143_pC DF- 1b_Cas1(VC- 4)_Spec	GGGGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTCCCCTGTAGAAATAATTTTGTTTAACTTTAATAAGGAGATATACCATGGAAATCAGTCAATTC CAACATCCAGACAGTATCTGGACTTGGAAGAAGAATATTCGTGGTGGTGGTGGCGGCGCTTTGGATCCCTTATTTGAATTCCATTGAGCCA ATCCAAAAGTCTAAGGCGCGTTACAAGTTCGTTTATAAAGGCGGTGAGGGGTGTGCGCCCCTGAAAGACATCGATTTCATTATGAT TATGGAGGTGACGCTGCCCTTTCCGTTGGTTTTTTGGACGACATCGGTACGCATGGCGTAGGTGTGCGCCCTGAAAGACATCGCGTACCAT GCCACGTCCCTTATACTTGCTTCCGCCACACGGAGTCAGATCAGTCATATCTTATCAGTCCAGATCCTGGTACGCGAGAGACAACCTTA CTCGCCGCTGTTACGTGGCCACGAGTCAGATTACCGCCCACCGGATCAGGATCGGCACCAAACCGTTACTGGCGACGAGCCAACCGATTCGCTCTGAACCGGAGCCAAAACCTTACTGCGCGGAGCCAACGGATCGGCTCATTTGAACGCGGAACGAAACCGTTACTGGCGCGCGC

	GTTGCGCTGGGCTTGTGTTGTAAGTTATCGCCGACGCACGGGTTCTTACATGACCAACCA
pCJH144_pC DF- 1b_Cas1(VC- 6)_Spec	GGGGAATTGTGAGGCGATAACAATTCCCCTGTAGAAATAATTTTGTTAACTTTAATAAGGAGATATACCATGGACCAAGGCAACCA AACGATCGAAAACCAGACAATCAACTGCGGCAACACCCCGACTTCTTATGGACTTGGAAATCAAATAACGTGGAAGCCGCGGTT CAGGTCGAAAACACAGCATTAATCAACTGCGACAACACCCCGACGTTCTTAAGAGCTGGAAATCAAATAAACGTGGAAGCCGCGGGTT CGAGTCTAACGTTCACCTTTTCCCAAGCCGAACAACACCCCGACGTTCTAAAAAGTGCGAGTGTAGCTTAACAACGTGGGGGTGAACAGAGAATAATT GCGCTCATTGATTTCGTTCACCCCCGTAACGGCGTAACGGCTTATTTTTTACCGAGGCGGCTGACGGGCGAGGAAGAACAATTGT GCGCTCATCTGATTTCCTTGCCCCCTAACGGCGCTAACGGCTCTTTGTTTTTATCCGACGCAGGTCGATGCGAGGCAACAATTCCGACCAACGACGCCCCCAACCATGGGGCTACTGGAGGAACATTGGTACGACCAACTTGTGACGCCACTGTTGCAGCCCACCCTGGCGCTAAGGGTCACCCTGTTCGTGCCGCCAACCATGGGCGCGCTACTGGAAGAAGTGGTGATGCAACGCTTGCGATCGAGGAAGGTCGCCCAACCATGCGGCGGGCTAAGGGTCATCCGACGACGACACCCCCCCC

	GTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCCAGGGTGGTTTTCTTTC
pCJH145_pC DF- 1b_OspCas1 (VC)_Spec	GGGGAATTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTCCCCTGTAGAAATAATTTGTTTAACTTAATAAGGAGATATACCATGAACGAAC

 Table 3.2 Expression plasmids used in this study, Related to Methods
Oligo ID	Sequence	Length
oCJH0230	GCTCTTCCGATCTTGCAAGCTGGGTGGCTGTT	32-mer
oCJH0231	GCTCTTCCGATCTCCCACCTGAATACTACTTAGATTCA	46-mer
	GCAACATG	
oCJH0232	GCTCTTCCGATCTTTGACTTTCAAATAGATATTTGCTAG	45-mer
	TCTCCC	
oCJH0233	GCTCTTCCGATCTCAATGGACGTCTAGAAAGCAGGTC	37-mer
oCJH0234	GCTCTTCCGATCTTTGCAATGGGTTTTGTATGCGCAT	37-mer
oCJH0235	GCTCTTCCGATCTGCCTTCACCACATTAATTCTATCAG	43-mer
	ATCGG	
oCJH0277	GCTCTTCCGATCTGGCGGGGGAATGTTTTGAATTTACG	38-mer
	G	
oCJH0278	GCTCTTCCGATCTGCATAGGTCTTCATCACAGCTTTCG	39-mer
	C	
oCJH0324	(duplex) TGAGCTGGATGCCGTTCCAC	20-bp
oCJH0326	(duplex) TGAGAAAAGCCAAATCGCAA	20-bp
oCJH0328	(duplex) TGGTTAGACCCATCTCGTTA	20-bp

Table 3.3 Oligonucleotide sequences, Related to Methods

	Plasmid or oligo ID
Figure 3.1	VC-4: Plasmid: pCJH099 Primers: oCJH0230 and oCJH0231 VC-6: Plasmid: pCJH100 Primers: oCJH0232 and oCJH0233 VC-Osp: Plasmid: pCJH101 Primers: oCJH0234 and oCJH0235
Figure 3.2	VC-4: Plasmids: pCJH105 and pCJH112 Primers: oCJH0230 and oCJH0231 VC-6: Plasmids: pCJH106 and pCJH113 Primers: oCJH0232 and oCJH0233 VC-Osp: Plasmids: pCJH107 and pCJH114 Primers: oCJH0234 and oCJH0235
Figure 3.3	VC-4: Plasmids: pCJH120 and pCJH123 Primers: oCJH0230 and oCJH0277 VC-6: Plasmids: pCJH121 and pCJH124 Primers: oCJH0232 and oCJH0233 VC-Osp: Plasmids: pCJH122 and pCJH125 Primers: oCJH0234 and oCJH0278
Figure 3.4	VC-4: Plasmids: pCJH134 and pCJH140 Duplex oligo used in electroporation: oCJH0324 Primers: oCJH0230 and oCJH0277 VC-6: Plasmids: pCJH135 and pCJH141 Duplex oligo used in electroporation: oCJH0326 Primers: oCJH0232 and oCJH0233 VC-Osp: Plasmids: pCJH136 and pCJH142

	Duplex oligo used in electroporation: oCJH0328 Primers: oCJH0234 and oCJH0278
Figure 3.5	VC-4: Plasmids: pCJH143 and pCJH123 Duplex oligo used in electroporation: oCJH0324 Primers: oCJH0230 and oCJH0277
	VC-6: Plasmids: pCJH144 and pCJH124 Duplex oligo used in electroporation: oCJH0326 Primers: oCJH0232 and oCJH0233
	VC-Osp: Plasmids: pCJH145 and pCJH125 Duplex oligo used in electroporation: oCJH0328 Primers: oCJH0234 and oCJH0278
Figure 3.6	VC-4: Plasmids: pCJH134 and pCJH123 Duplex oligo used in electroporation: oCJH0324 Primers: oCJH0230 and oCJH0277
	VC-6: Plasmids: pCJH135 and pCJH124 Duplex oligo used in electroporation: oCJH0326 Primers: oCJH0232 and oCJH0233
	VC-Osp: Plasmids: pCJH136 and pCJH125 Duplex oligo used in electroporation: oCJH0328 Primers: oCJH0234 and oCJH0278

Table 3.4 Plasmids and oligonucleotides used in experiments, related to Methods

3.5 Discussion

In my attempts to reconstitute type V-C adaptation *in vivo* in a heterologous host E. coli, I cloned three type V-C CRISPR loci each containing cas1 and cas12c genes as well as their respective minimal CRISPR arrays consisting of a reduced number of repeat:spacer sequences flanked by ~200bp of the upstream and downstream native sequences into different vectors. In vivo spacer acquisition assays were performed when using native sequences of these systems or codon-optimized sequences with synthetic inducible promoters. Assays were also performed when expressing Cas1 alone or coexpressing Cas1 with Cas12c in the presence or absence of its tracrRNA, under physiological expression or overexpression conditions, and with or without electroporation of synthetic protospacers with a PAM. Agarose gel results showed that the attempts to reconstitute type V-C CRISPR-Cas adaptation in a heterologous host E. coli have not been successful under the conditions tested. Some possible reasons include expression conditions and sensitivity of the detection method used. Varying the induction level, time, temperature for protein expression, or changing the synthetic strong promoter that control the expression of CRISPR minimal arrays, may improve the experimental outcomes in the future. Additionally, there may be some amplified arrays, the intensity of which may fall below the detection limit of agarose gels. Degenerated primers that preferentially amplify expanded compared with unexpanded arrays (Heler et al., 2015; Kieper et al., 2018, 2019) can be utilized in future studies to overcome such limitations.

However, it remains possible that spacer acquisition requires native host factors that may not exist in E. coli. Additionally, in the native host, co-occurring CRISPR-Cas systems may provide the necessary factors for adaptation in vivo. Therefore, computationally identifying putative native host factors from metagenomic data and experimentally screening some candidates may also be ways to improve the outcomes of this investigation. If type V-C adaptation is reconstituted in vivo in E. coli or other culturable microbes, further experiments can be performed to identify determinants of type V-C adaptational PAM selectivity and look for evidence of primed type V-C adaptation in DNase-free orthologs. Understanding the mechanistic details of type V-C adaptation can lay the foundation for many future studies to explore the interactions between the interference and acquisition complexes, including studies of the potential protein-protein interactions (if exists) between Cas1 and Cas12c, as well as structural determinations. These comprehensive insights from future work will contribute to our understanding of the CRISPR adaptation process, which may advance the development of molecular recording tools through the utilization of the natural property in CRISPR-Cas adaptation to store DNA-based information within living organisms on demand.

3.6 Acknowledgments

I thank members of the Doudna lab, especially Dr. Benjamin A. Adler, Dr. Brady F. Cress, Dr. Joy Y. Wang, and Brittney Thornton, for helpful discussions or materials.

Bibliography

Abudayyeh, O.O., Gootenberg, J.S., Konermann, S., Joung, J., Slaymaker, I.M., Cox, D.B.T., Shmakov, S., Makarova, K.S., Semenova, E., Minakhin, L., et al. (2016). C2c2 is a single-component programmable RNA-guided RNA-targeting CRISPR effector. Science *353*, aaf5573.

Altae-Tran, H., Kannan, S., Demircioglu, F.E., Oshiro, R., Nety, S.P., McKay, L.J., Dlakić, M., Inskeep, W.P., Makarova, K.S., Macrae, R.K., et al. (2021). The widespread IS200/IS605 transposon family encodes diverse programmable RNA-guided endonucleases. Science *374*, 57–65.

Barrangou, R., Fremaux, C., Deveau, H., Richardss, M., Boyaval, P., Moineau, S., Romero, D.A., and Horvath, P. (2007). CRISPR Provides Against Viruses in Prokaryotes. Science *315*, 1709–1712.

Behler, J., and Hess, W.R. (2020). Approaches to study CRISPR RNA biogenesis and the key players involved. Methods *172*, 12–26.

Burstein, D., Harrington, L.B., Strutt, S.C., Probst, A.J., Anantharaman, K., Thomas, B.C., Doudna, J.A., and Banfield, J.F. (2017). New CRISPR-Cas systems from uncultivated microbes. Nature *542*, 237–241.

Casjens, S.R., and Hendrix, R.W. (2015). Bacteriophage lambda: Early pioneer and still relevant. Virology *479–480*, 310–330.

Chen, J.S., Ma, E., Harrington, L.B., Da Costa, M., Tian, X., Palefsky, J.M., and Doudna, J.A. (2018). CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. Science *360*, 436–439.

Chylinski, K., Le Rhun, A., and Charpentier, E. (2013). The tracrRNA and Cas9 families of type II CRISPR-Cas immunity systems. RNA Biol. *10*, 726–737.

Cofsky, J.C., Karandur, D., Huang, C.J., Witte, I.P., Kuriyan, J., and Doudna, J.A. (2020). CRISPR-Cas12a exploits R-loop asymmetry to form double-strand breaks. Elife *9*, 1–32.

Cui, L., and Bikard, D. (2016). Consequences of Cas9 cleavage in the chromosome of Escherichia coli. Nucleic Acids Res. *44*, 4243–4251.

Datsenko, K.A., Pougach, K., Tikhonov, A., Wanner, B.L., Severinov, K., and Semenova, E. (2012). Molecular memory of prior infections activates the CRISPR/Cas adaptive bacterial immunity system. Nat. Commun. *3*.

Deltcheva, E., Chylinski, K., Sharma, C.M., Gonzales, K., Chao, Y., Pirzada, Z.A., Eckert, M.R., Vogel, J., and Charpentier, E. (2011). CRISPR RNA maturation by transencoded small RNA and host factor RNase III. Nature *471*, 602–607.

Dillard, K.E., Brown, M.W., Johnson, N. V., Xiao, Y., Dolan, A., Hernandez, E.,

Dahlhauser, S.D., Kim, Y., Myler, L.R., Anslyn, E. V., et al. (2018). Assembly and

Translocation of a CRISPR-Cas Primed Acquisition Complex. Cell *175*, 934-946.e15. Dillingham, M.S., and Kowalczykowski, S.C. (2008). RecBCD Enzyme and the Repair of Double-Stranded DNA Breaks. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. *72*, 642–671.

East-Seletsky, A., O'Connell, M.R., Knight, S.C., Burstein, D., Cate, J.H.D., Tjian, R., and Doudna, J.A. (2016). Two distinct RNase activities of CRISPR-C2c2 enable guide-RNA processing and RNA detection. Nature *538*, 270–273.

Fonfara, I., Richter, H., Bratovič, M., Le Rhun, A., and Charpentier, E. (2016). The CRISPR-associated DNA-cleaving enzyme Cpf1 also processes precursor CRISPR RNA. Nature *532*, 517–521.

Gerdes, S.Y., Scholle, M.D., Campbell, J.W., Balázsi, G., Ravasz, E., Daugherty, M.D., Somera, A.L., Kyrpides, N.C., Anderson, I., Gelfand, M.S., et al. (2003). Experimental Determination and System Level Analysis of Essential Genes in Escherichia coli MG1655. J. Bacteriol. *185*, 5673–5684.

Gleditzsch, D., Pausch, P., Müller-Esparza, H., Özcan, A., Guo, X., Bange, G., and Randau, L. (2019). PAM identification by CRISPR-Cas effector complexes: diversified mechanisms and structures. RNA Biol. *16*, 504–517.

Harrington, L.B., Burstein, D., Chen, J.S., Paez-Espino, D., Ma, E., Witte, I.P., Cofsky, J.C., Kyrpides, N.C., Banfield, J.F., and Doudna, J.A. (2018). Programmed DNA destruction by miniature CRISPR-Cas14 enzymes. Science *362*, 839–842.

Harrington, L.B., Ma, E., Chen, J.S., Witte, I.P., Gertz, D., Paez-Espino, D., Al-Shayeb, B., Kyrpides, N.C., Burstein, D., Banfield, J.F., et al. (2020). A scoutRNA Is Required for Some Type V CRISPR-Cas Systems. Mol. Cell *79*, 416-424.e5.

Heler, R., Samai, P., Modell, J.W., Weiner, C., Goldberg, G.W., Bikard, D., and Marraffini, L.A. (2015). Cas9 specifies functional viral targets during CRISPR-Cas adaptation. Nature *519*, 199–202.

Hu, C., Almendros, C., Nam, K.H., Costa, A.R., Vink, J.N.A., Haagsma, A.C., Bagde, S.R., Brouns, S.J.J., and Ke, A. (2021). Mechanism for Cas4-assisted directional spacer acquisition in CRISPR–Cas. Nature *598*, 515–520.

Huang, C.J., Adler, B.A., and Doudna, J.A. (2022). A naturally DNase-free CRISPR-Cas12c enzyme silences gene expression. Mol. Cell *82*, 2148-2160.e4.

Jackson, S.A., McKenzie, R.E., Fagerlund, R.D., Kieper, S.N., Fineran, P.C., and Brouns, S.J.J. (2017). CRISPR-Cas: Adapting to change. Science *356*.

Jiang, F., Taylor, D.W., Chen, J.S., Kornfeld, J.E., Zhou, K., Thompson, A.J., Nogales, E., and Doudna, J.A. (2016). Structures of a CRISPR-Cas9 R-loop complex primed for DNA cleavage. Science *351*, 867–871.

Johnson, A., Meyer, B.J., and Ptashne, M. (1978). Mechanism of action of the croprotein of bacteriophage lambda. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *75*, 1783–1787.

Ka, D., Jang, D.M., Han, B.W., and Bae, E. (2018). Molecular organization of the type II-A CRISPR adaptation module and its interaction with Cas9 via Csn2. Nucleic Acids Res. *46*, 9805–9815.

Karvelis, T., Druteika, G., Bigelyte, G., Budre, K., Zedaveinyte, R., Silanskas, A., Kazlauskas, D., Venclovas, Č., and Siksnys, V. (2021). Transposon-associated TnpB is a programmable RNA-guided DNA endonuclease. Nature

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-021-04058-1.

Kieper, S.N., Almendros, C., Behler, J., McKenzie, R.E., Nobrega, F.L., Haagsma, A.C., Vink, J.N.A., Hess, W.R., and Brouns, S.J.J. (2018). Cas4 Facilitates PAM-Compatible Spacer Selection during CRISPR Adaptation. Cell Rep. *22*, 3377–3384.

Kieper, S.N., Almendros, C., and Brouns, S.J.J. (2019). Conserved motifs in the CRISPR leader sequence control spacer acquisition levels in Type I-D CRISPR-Cas systems. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. *366*, 2016–2020.

Kim, S.K., Kim, H., Ahn, W.-C., Park, K.-H., Woo, E.-J., Lee, D.-H., and Lee, S.-G. (2017). Efficient Transcriptional Gene Repression by Type V-A CRISPR-Cpf1 from Eubacterium eligens. ACS Synth. Biol. *6*, 1273–1282.

Knott, G.J., and Doudna, J.A. (2018). CRISPR-Cas guides the future of genetic engineering. Science *361*, 866–869.

Knott, G.J., East-Seletsky, A., Cofsky, J.C., Holton, J.M., Charles, E., O'Connell, M.R., and Doudna, J.A. (2017). Guide-bound structures of an RNA-targeting A-cleaving CRISPR–Cas13a enzyme. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *24*, 825–833.

Knott, G.J., Cress, B.F., Liu, J.-J., Thornton, B.W., Lew, R.J., Al-Shayeb, B.,

Rosenberg, D.J., Hammel, M., Adler, B.A., Lobba, M.J., et al. (2019). Structural basis for AcrVA4 inhibition of specific CRISPR-Cas12a. Elife 8.

Koonin, E. V., Makarova, K.S., and Zhang, F. (2017). Diversity, classification and evolution of CRISPR-Cas systems. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. *37*, 67–78.

Künne, T., Kieper, S.N., Bannenberg, J.W., Vogel, A.I.M., Miellet, W.R., Klein, M., Depken, M., Suarez-Diez, M., and Brouns, S.J.J. (2016). Cas3-Derived Target DNA

Degradation Fragments Fuel Primed CRISPR Adaptation. Mol. Cell 63, 852-864.

Kurihara, N., Nakagawa, R., Hirano, H., Okazaki, S., Tomita, A., Kobayashi, K.,

Kusakizako, T., Nishizawa, T., Yamashita, K., Scott, D.A., et al. (2022). Structure of the type V-C CRISPR-Cas effector enzyme. Mol. Cell 1–13.

Lee, H., and Sashital, D.G. (2022). Creating memories: molecular mechanisms of CRISPR adaptation. Trends Biochem. Sci. 1–13.

Levy, A., Goren, M.G., Yosef, I., Auster, O., Manor, M., Amitai, G., Edgar, R., Qimron, U., and Sorek, R. (2015). CRISPR adaptation biases explain preference for acquisition of foreign DNA. Nature *520*, 505–510.

Lim, Y., Bak, S.Y., Sung, K., Jeong, E., Lee, S.H., Kim, J.S., Bae, S., and Kim, S.K. (2016). Structural roles of guide RNAs in the nuclease activity of Cas9 endonuclease. Nat. Commun. 7, 1–8.

Liu, J.J., Orlova, N., Oakes, B.L., Ma, E., Spinner, H.B., Baney, K.L.M., Chuck, J., Tan, D., Knott, G.J., Harrington, L.B., et al. (2019). CasX enzymes comprise a distinct family of RNA-guided genome editors. Nature *566*, 218–223.

Maguin, P., Varble, A., Modell, J.W., and Marraffini, L.A. (2022). Cleavage of viral DNA by restriction endonucleases stimulates the type II CRISPR-Cas immune response. Mol. Cell *82*, 907-919.e7.

Makarova, K.S., Wolf, Y.I., Alkhnbashi, O.S., Costa, F., Shah, S.A., Saunders, S.J., Barrangou, R., Brouns, S.J.J., Charpentier, E., Haft, D.H., et al. (2015). An updated evolutionary classification of CRISPR-Cas systems. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *13*, 722–736. Makarova, K.S., Zhang, F., and Koonin, E. V. (2017a). SnapShot: Class 1 CRISPR-Cas Systems. Cell *168*, 946-946.e1.

Makarova, K.S., Zhang, F., and Koonin, E. V. (2017b). SnapShot: Class 2 CRISPR-Cas Systems. Cell *168*, 328-328.e1.

Makarova, K.S., Wolf, Y.I., Iranzo, J., Shmakov, S.A., Alkhnbashi, O.S., Brouns, S.J.J., Charpentier, E., Cheng, D., Haft, D.H., Horvath, P., et al. (2020). Evolutionary classification of CRISPR–Cas systems: a burst of class 2 and derived variants. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *18*, 67–83.

Martínez-Carranza, E., Barajas, H., Alcaraz, L.-D., Servín-González, L., Ponce-Soto, G.-Y., and Soberón-Chávez, G. (2018). Variability of Bacterial Essential Genes Among Closely Related Bacteria: The Case of Escherichia coli. Front. Microbiol. 9, 1–7.

McCarty, N.S., Graham, A.E., Studená, L., and Ledesma-Amaro, R. (2020). Multiplexed CRISPR technologies for gene editing and transcriptional regulation. Nat. Commun. *11*, 1281.

Modell, J.W., Jiang, W., and Marraffini, L.A. (2017). CRISPR-Cas systems exploit viral

DNA injection to establish and maintain adaptive immunity. Nature *544*, 101–104. Murialdo, H., and Becker, A. (1977). Assembly of biologically active proheads of bacteriophage lambda in vitro. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *74*, 906–910.

Nussenzweig, P.M., and Marraffini, L.A. (2020). Molecular Mechanisms of CRISPR-Cas Immunity in Bacteria. Annu. Rev. Genet. *54*, 93–120.

Nussenzweig, P.M., McGinn, J., and Marraffini, L.A. (2019). Cas9 Cleavage of Viral Genomes Primes the Acquisition of New Immunological Memories. Cell Host Microbe *26*, 515-526.e6.

Pausch, P., Al-Shayeb, B., Bisom-Rapp, E., Tsuchida, C.A., Li, Z., Cress, B.F., Knott, G.J., Jacobsen, S.E., Banfield, J.F., and Doudna, J.A. (2020). CRISPR-CasΦ from huge phages is a hypercompact genome editor. Science *369*, 333–337.

Pausch, P., Soczek, K.M., Herbst, D.A., Tsuchida, C.A., Al-Shayeb, B., Banfield, J.F., Nogales, E., and Doudna, J.A. (2021). DNA interference states of the hypercompact CRISPR–CasΦ effector. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *28*, 652–661.

Qi, L.S., Larson, M.H., Gilbert, L.A., Doudna, J.A., Weissman, J.S., Arkin, A.P., and Lim, W.A. (2013). Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-Guided Platform for Sequence-Specific Control of Gene Expression. Cell *152*, 1173–1183.

Ratner, H.K., Escalera-Maurer, A., Le Rhun, A., Jaggavarapu, S., Wozniak, J.E., Crispell, E.K., Charpentier, E., and Weiss, D.S. (2019). Catalytically Active Cas9 Mediates Transcriptional Interference to Facilitate Bacterial Virulence. Mol. Cell *75*, 498-510.e5.

Redding, S., Sternberg, S.H., Marshall, M., Gibb, B., Bhat, P., Guegler, C.K.,

Wiedenheft, B., Doudna, J.A., and Greene, E.C. (2015). Surveillance and Processing of Foreign DNA by the Escherichia coli CRISPR-Cas System. Cell *163*, 854–865.

Robert, X., and Gouet, P. (2014). Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. Nucleic Acids Res. *42*, 320–324.

Shipman, S.L., Nivala, J., Macklis, J.D., and Church, G.M. (2016). Molecular recordings by directed CRISPR spacer acquisition. Science *353*.

Shmakov, S., Abudayyeh, O.O., Makarova, K.S., Wolf, Y.I., Gootenberg, J.S., Semenova, E., Minakhin, L., Joung, J., Konermann, S., Severinov, K., et al. (2015). Discovery and Functional Characterization of Diverse Class 2 CRISPR-Cas Systems. Mol. Cell *60*, 385–397.

Shmakov, S., Smargon, A., Scott, D., Cox, D., Pyzocha, N., Yan, W., Abudayyeh, O.O., Gootenberg, J.S., Makarova, K.S., Wolf, Y.I., et al. (2017). Diversity and evolution of class 2 CRISPR–Cas systems. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. *15*, 169–182.

Smargon, A.A., Cox, D.B.T., Pyzocha, N.K., Zheng, K., Slaymaker, I.M., Gootenberg, J.S., Abudayyeh, O.A., Essletzbichler, P., Shmakov, S., Makarova, K.S., et al. (2017). Cas13b Is a Type VI-B CRISPR-Associated RNA-Guided RNase Differentially

Regulated by Accessory Proteins Csx27 and Csx28. Mol. Cell 65, 618-630.e7.

St-Pierre, F., and Endy, D. (2008). Determination of cell fate selection during phage lambda infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *105*, 20705–20710.

Stella, S., Alcón, P., and Montoya, G. (2017). Structure of the Cpf1 endonuclease Rloop complex after target DNA cleavage. Nature *546*, 559–563.

Strecker, J., Ladha, A., Gardner, Z., Schmid-Burgk, J.L., Makarova, K.S., Koonin, E. V., and Zhang, F. (2019). RNA-guided DNA insertion with CRISPR-associated transposases. Science *364*, 48–53.

Swarts, D.C., and Jinek, M. (2018). Cas9 versus Cas12a/Cpf1: Structure-function comparisons and implications for genome editing. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 9, e1481. Swarts, D.C., and Jinek, M. (2019). Mechanistic Insights into the cis- and trans-Acting DNase Activities of Cas12a. Mol. Cell 73, 589-600.e4.

Swarts, D.C., Mosterd, C., van Passel, M.W.J., and Brouns, S.J.J. (2012). CRISPR interference directs strand specific spacer acquisition. PLoS One 7, 1–7.

Swarts, D.C., van der Oost, J., and Jinek, M. (2017). Structural Basis for Guide RNA Processing and Seed-Dependent DNA Targeting by CRISPR-Cas12a. Mol. Cell 66, 221-233.e4.

Takeda, S.N., Nakagawa, R., Okazaki, S., Hirano, H., Kobayashi, K., Kusakizako, T., Nishizawa, T., Yamashita, K., Nishimasu, H., and Nureki, O. (2021). Structure of the miniature type V-F CRISPR-Cas effector enzyme. Mol. Cell *81*, 558-570.e3.

Taylor, H.N., Laderman, E., Armbrust, M., Hallmark, T., Keiser, D., Bondy-Denomy, J., and Jackson, R.N. (2021). Positioning Diverse Type IV Structures and Functions Within Class 1 CRISPR-Cas Systems. Front. Microbiol. *12*, 1–10.

Wang, Z., and Zhong, C. (2021). Cas12c-DETECTOR: A specific and sensitive Cas12cbased DNA detection platform. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. *193*, 441–449.

Wang, J., Li, J., Zhao, H., Sheng, G., Wang, M., Yin, M., and Wang, Y. (2015). Structural and Mechanistic Basis of PAM-Dependent Spacer Acquisition in CRISPR-Cas Systems. Cell *163*, 840–853.

Wei, Y., Terns, R.M., and Terns, M.P. (2015). Cas9 function and host genome sampling in type II-A CRISPR–cas adaptation. Genes Dev. 29, 356–361.

Weissman, J.L., Stoltzfus, A., Westra, E.R., and Johnson, P.L.F. (2020). Avoidance of Self during CRISPR Immunization. Trends Microbiol. *28*, 543–553.

Wilkinson, M., Drabavicius, G., Silanskas, A., Gasiunas, G., Siksnys, V., and Wigley, D.B. (2019). Structure of the DNA-Bound Spacer Capture Complex of a Type II CRISPR-Cas System. Mol. Cell *75*, 90-101.e5.

Wimmer, F., and Beisel, C.L. (2020). CRISPR-Cas Systems and the Paradox of Self-Targeting Spacers. Front. Microbiol. *10*, 1–17.

Workman, R.E., Pammi, T., Nguyen, B.T.K., Graeff, L.W., Smith, E., Sebald, S.M., Stoltzfus, M.J., Euler, C.W., and Modell, J.W. (2021). A natural single-guide RNA repurposes Cas9 to autoregulate CRISPR-Cas expression. Cell *184*, 675-688.e19. Wright, A. V., Nuñez, J.K., and Doudna, J.A. (2016). Biology and Applications of CRISPR Systems: Harnessing Nature's Toolbox for Genome Engineering. Cell *164*, 29– 44.

Wright, A. V., Wang, J.Y., Burstein, D., Harrington, L.B., Paez-Espino, D., Kyrpides, N.C., Iavarone, A.T., Banfield, J.F., and Doudna, J.A. (2019). A Functional Mini-

Integrase in a Two-Protein-type V-C CRISPR System. Mol. Cell 73, 727-737.e3. Wu, W.Y., Jackson, S.A., Almendros, C., Haagsma, A.C., Yilmaz, S., Gort, G., van der Oost, J., Brouns, S.J.J., and Staals, R.H.J. (2022). Adaptation by Type V-A and V-B CRISPR-Cas Systems Demonstrates Conserved Protospacer Selection Mechanisms Between Diverse CRISPR-Cas Types. Cris. J. *5*, 536–547.

Yan, W.X., Hunnewell, P., Alfonse, L.E., Carte, J.M., Keston-Smith, E., Sothiselvam, S., Garrity, A.J., Chong, S., Makarova, K.S., Koonin, E. V., et al. (2019). Functionally diverse type V CRISPR-Cas systems. Science *363*, 88–91.

Yang, W., and Steitz, T.A. (1995). Recombining the structures of HIV integrase, RuvC

and RNase H. Structure 3, 131–134.

Yang, H., Gao, P., Rajashankar, K.R., and Patel, D.J. (2016). PAM-Dependent Target DNA Recognition and Cleavage by C2c1 CRISPR-Cas Endonuclease. Cell *167*, 1814-1828.e12.

Zhang, B., Ye, W., Ye, Y., Zhou, H., Saeed, A.F.U.H., Chen, J., Lin, J., Perčulija, V., Chen, Q., Chen, C.-J., et al. (2018). Structural insights into Cas13b-guided CRISPR RNA maturation and recognition. Cell Res. *28*, 1198–1201.

Zhang, B., Luo, D., Li, Y., Perčulija, V., Chen, J., Lin, J., Ye, Y., and Ouyang, S. (2021). Mechanistic insights into the R-loop formation and cleavage in CRISPR-Cas12i1. Nat. Commun. *12*, 3476.

Zhang, X., Wang, J., Wang, J., Cheng, Q., Zheng, X., and Zhao, G. (2017). Multiplex gene regulation by CRISPR-ddCpf1. Cell Discov. *3*, 1–9.